Emergent topology and symmetry-breaking order in correlated quench dynamics
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Quenching a quantum system involves three basic ingredients: the initial phase, the post-quench target phase, and the non-equilibrium dynamics which carries the information of the former two. Here we propose to identify both the topology and symmetry-breaking order in a correlated system, the Haldane-Hubbard model, from quantum dynamics induced by quenching an initial magnetic phase to topologically nontrivial regime. The equation of motion for the complex pseudospin dynamics is obtained through the flow equation method, with the pseudospin evolution shown to obey a microscopic Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert-like equation. We find that with the particle-particle interaction playing crucial roles, the correlated quench dynamics exhibit robust universal behaviors on the so-called band-inversion surfaces (BISs), from which the nontrivial topology and magnetic orders can be extracted. In particular, the topology of the post-quench regime can be characterized by an emergent dynamical topological pattern of quench dynamics on BISs, which is robust against dephasing and heating induced by interactions; the pre-quench symmetry-breaking orders is read out from a universal scaling behavior of the quench dynamics emerging on the BIS. This work shows insights into exploring profound correlation physics with novel topology by quench dynamics.

Quenching a quantum system across a phase transition, the induced far-from-equilibrium dynamics carries the information of both the initial and final phases. Quantum quench has been extensively applied to study non-equilibrium physics from the real-time dynamics [1–4]. In condensed matter physics, the melting or creation of long-range order can be investigated in the dynamics of symmetry-breaking states, e.g., the survival of magnetic order following an interaction quench in the Hubbard model [5–7]. For topological systems, characterization of topology by quench dynamics has also attracted particular interest very recently [8–16].

So far the dynamical characterization theories are applicable to noninteracting topological systems [8–16]. For an interacting system, the much more challenging and interesting issues could arise. First, the single-particle quantum numbers in correlated systems are not conserved. It is unclear how to define the dynamical topology for the characterization. Second, the interaction can bring about complex effects [8], such as dephasing and heating. Their influence on topology remains an open question. Third, symmetry-breaking orders can emerge in correlated systems. It is of great importance to study how to characterize both the topology and symmetry-breaking orders from quench dynamics. These outstanding issues shall be addressed in this work.

We consider the spin-1/2 Haldane model [17,18] with onsite Hubbard interaction and quench the interaction from an initial symmetry-breaking ordered trivial phase (Fig.1), which exists in strongly interacting regime [19–20], to a topological regime with relatively weak interaction. We show that the particle-particle interaction has nontrivial correlation effects on the pseudospin dynamics which, after being projected onto the momentum space, follow a novel microscopic Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert-like equation. With this the dephasing and heating effects are explicitly predicted. Importantly, we find that the correlated quench dynamics exhibit emergent robust topological structure and universal scaling behavior on one-dimensional momentum subspaces called band-inversion surfaces (BISs) [12,13]. These exotic features quantify dynamically the nontrivial topology and symmetry-breaking orders of the interacting system through the BISs which manifest themselves an essential concept for the dynamical characterization.

The model.—The full Hamiltonian of the Haldane-Hubbard model with onsite interaction $U$ reads

$$H = H_0 + U \sum_i (a_{i\uparrow}^\dagger a_{i\downarrow} a_{i\downarrow}^\dagger a_{i\uparrow} + b_{i\sigma}^\dagger b_{i\sigma}^\dagger b_{i\sigma} b_{i\sigma}),$$

$$H_0 = -t_1 \sum_{\langle \langle i,j \rangle \rangle, \sigma} (a_{i\sigma}^\dagger b_{j\sigma} + h.c.) - t_2 \sum_{\langle \langle i,j \rangle \rangle, \sigma} (e^{i\varphi} a_{i\sigma}^\dagger a_{j\sigma} + e^{-i\varphi} b_{i\sigma}^\dagger b_{j\sigma} + h.c.) + M \sum_{i, \sigma} (a_{i\sigma}^\dagger a_{i\sigma} - b_{i\sigma}^\dagger b_{i\sigma}).$$

Here $a_{i\sigma}$ ($b_{i\sigma}$) and $a_{i\sigma}^\dagger$ ($b_{i\sigma}^\dagger$) are annihilation and creation operators, respectively, for fermions of spin $\sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow$ on $A$ ($B$) sites. The nearest- ($t_1$) and next-nearest-neighbor ($t_2$) hopping is considered, with the latter having a phase $\pm \phi$. $M$ is an energy imbalance between $A$ and $B$ sites.

The noninteracting Hamiltonian for each spin depicts a two-band model $H_0 = \sum_{k, \sigma} \mathbf{h}(k) \cdot \tau^\sigma$, where $\mathbf{h}(k) =$
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The system undergoes a transition from an AF phase to a topologically nontrivial phase by quenching the interaction from $U \gg t_1$ to $U < t_1$. The first Brillouin zone (hexagon) with the reciprocal-lattice vectors $b_1 = \frac{2\pi}{a_0} (\sqrt{3}, 1)$ and $b_2 = \frac{4\pi}{a_0} (0, 1)$ ($a_0$ is the lattice constant). The dashed purple line denotes the band-inversion surface of the spin-up component. (c) The pseudospin polarization $⟨ \tau_ρ^z ⟩$ oscillates after the quench for each spin $\sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow$. Three points in the Brillouin zone (b) are taken for example. Here $M = -0.5t_1$, $m_C = 0.5t_1$, $m_A F = 4t_1$, and $U = 0.3t_1$ after quench.

$(h_x, h_y, h_z)$ mimics an effective Zeeman field in Bloch k space [27], and the pseudospin operators $\tau_ρ^z = a_κ^† a_κ^σ - b_κ^† b_κ^σ$, $\tau_x^z = a_κ^† b_κ^σ + b_κ^† a_κ^σ$, and $\tau_y^z = -i \tau_x^z - \tau_y^z$. It has been widely studied [19-26] that an AF order arises for strong repulsive interaction. Further, the energy imbalance $M$ leads to a charge order corrected by Hubbard interaction, characterizing the population difference in the two sublattices. Taking into account these orders, the mean-field Hamiltonian $H_{MF}$ reads [27]

$$H_{MF} = H_0 + \sum_{k, \sigma} m_κ \tau_κ^σ. \quad (2)$$

Here $m_κ \tau_κ^σ = m_C + m_A F$, with the charge order $m_C \equiv ⟨ a_κ^† a_κ + a_κ^† a_κ^† b_κ^† b_κ - b_κ^† b_κ^† a_κ^† a_κ ⟩ U_{in}/4$, the AF order $m_A F \equiv ⟨ b_κ^† b_κ - b_κ^† b_κ^† a_κ^† a_κ + a_κ^† a_κ^† ⟩ U_{in}/4$, and $U_{in}$ the initial strong interaction. The expectation $⟨ \cdot ⟩$ is defined for the mean-field ground state $|Ψ_{MF}\rangle$, which depends on the orders $m_C$ and $m_A F$ self-consistently. For the quench study, we take $m_C$ and $m_A F$ as input parameters. The quantum dynamics is given by evolving $|Ψ_{MF}\rangle$ under the Hamiltonian (1) with a weak interaction after quench.

We solve the quench dynamics by the flow equation method [28,39]. The process is below. First, through a unitary transformation that changes continuously with a flow parameter $\lambda$, we (nearly) diagonalize the Hamiltonian at $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ [31]. Accordingly, the transformation of an operator $O(\lambda)$ (including the Hamiltonian) follows the flow equation $dO(\lambda)/d\lambda = [\eta(\lambda), O(\lambda)]$, where the canonical generator $\eta(\lambda) = [H_0(\lambda), H_1(\lambda)] = -\eta(\lambda)^\dagger$ is anti-Hermitian, with $H_1$ the interacting term of the full Hamiltonian. Second, the time-evolved operator $O(\lambda \rightarrow \infty, t)$ is obtained straightforwardly in the diagonal bases. Finally, we perform the backward transformation so that the operator flows back as $O(\lambda \rightarrow \infty, t) \rightarrow O(0, t)$ [32,33]. The time evolution is then given in the original bases.

We apply this method to the present system (details are given in supplementary material [27]). We consider the ansatz below for post-quench regime

$$H(l) = \sum_{k, \sigma, \pm} E_{\pm}(k) : c_{k, \pm}^\dagger c_{k, \pm} : + \sum_{p', p, q, \sigma} U^p_{\sigma} s_p s_q : c_{p', \sigma}^\dagger c_{p, \sigma} c_{q, \sigma}^\dagger c_{q', \sigma} : ; \quad (3)$$

where $E_{\pm}(k)$ are the band energies of $H_0$, the normal ordering is with respect to the initial AF state $|Ψ_{MF}\rangle$, and $c_{k, \pm}^\dagger (c_{k, \pm})$ are the creation (annihilation) operators of spin $\sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow$ for the upper and lower band states of $H_0$ [27]. The interaction strength $U^p_{\sigma} s_p s_q$ is defined for different momentum-conserved scattering channels, and responsible for the flow of the Hamiltonian. For realistic study, only the leading order contributions will be considered. With the canonical generator $\eta(l)$, as elaborated previously, the interaction $U(l)$ decays exponentially with $l$ and flows to zero at $l \rightarrow \infty$.

We then work out the flow of creation and annihilation operators with respect to the A and B sites, with $A_{k\sigma}(l = 0) = a_{k\uparrow}^\dagger$ and $B_{k\sigma}(l = 0) = b_{k\uparrow}^\dagger$, from the same generator $\eta(l)$. Finally we obtain time evolution of pseudospin polarization at momentum k, calculated by $⟨ \tau_ρ^z(k, t) ⟩ = ⟨ Ψ_{MF} | A_{k\sigma}(l = 0, t) A_{k\sigma}(l = 0, t) - B_{k\sigma}(l = 0, t) B_{k\sigma}(l = 0, t) | Ψ_{MF} ⟩$, similar for $⟨ \tau_ρ^x(k, t) ⟩$. Note that the single-particle k is no longer conserved. We project the results onto the single-particle momentum space to study the pseudospin dynamics (Fig. 1d,c).

Equation of motion for pseudospin dynamics.— We show that the essential physics of the pseudospin dynamics can be captured by the equation of motion in the projected momentum space with $S_{\sigma}(k, t) \equiv \frac{1}{2} (⟨ \tau_ρ^z(k, t) ⟩, ⟨ \tau_ρ^x(k, t) ⟩, ⟨ \tau_ρ^y(k, t) ⟩)$. Taking into account the leading-order contributions we find that [27]

$$\frac{dS_{\sigma}(t)}{dt} = S_{\sigma}(t) \times 2\hbar - η_{\sigma}^2 S_{\sigma}(t) \times \frac{dS_{\sigma}(t)}{dt} - \frac{\eta_{\sigma}^2 S_{\sigma}(t)}{T_{\eta}}, \quad (4)$$

where the first $2\hbar$-term corresponds to the single-particle precession, the $η_{\sigma}^2$-term represents the interaction induced damping of precession, and $η_{\sigma}^2$-term leads to dephasing and heating, with $T_{\eta} = 1/(2E_0)$ and $E_0(k) = [h_x^2(k) + h_y^2(k) + h_z^2(k)]^{1/2}$. This equation renders a novel mixed microscopic form of Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert [34] and Bloch equations [35] for magnetization. The solution reads generically

$$S_{\sigma}(t) = S_{\sigma}^{(0)} + S_{\sigma}^{(c)}(t) + S_{\sigma}^{(b)}(t) + S_{\sigma}^{(l)}(t), \quad (5)$$
where $S_x^{(0)}(k) = \delta n_x(k) \mathbf{H}(k)/E_0(k)$ is the incoherent time-independent part, with $\delta n_x(k) = n^{x-}_u(k) - n^{x-}_l(k)$ being the density difference of the initial state populated in the upper ($n^{x-}_u(k)$) and lower ($n^{x-}_l(k)$) single-particle contributions, $S_x^c(k,t) \sim \cos(t/T_k)$ is the single-particle coherent oscillation, $S_x^{(0)}(k,t) \approx -\lambda_1(k,t) S_x^c(k,t)$ represents the interaction-induced high-frequency fluctuation, which dephases the single-particle procession, and $S_x^{(1)}(k,t) \approx -2\lambda_2(k,t) \mathbf{H}(k)/E_0(k)$ denotes the low-frequency interaction effect, which equilibrates the density distribution on upper and lower bands (heating). The coefficients $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$ are related to the factors $\eta_{1,2}$ (see later). Note that the entire many-body system evolves unitary. The dephasing and heating arise in the projected quench dynamics at fixed momentum $k$, since all the particles with other momenta act as a bath which scatters the $k$ state.

The $\eta_{1,2}$ terms depend on the Bloch momentum. For comparison, we first define the BIS for single-particle Hamiltonian $H_0$, being the momentum subspace where time-averaged spin polarizations $\overline{S}_x(k,t)|_{U=0} = 0$, or equivalent to $S_x^{(0)}(k) = 0$. On the single-particle BIS, we have $\eta_1 \approx -4(d\lambda_2^2/\partial t)T_g$ and $\eta_2 \approx 4(d\lambda_1^2/\partial t)T_g n^{x-}_u n^{x-}_l$, where $d\lambda_1^2/\partial t$ is approximately constant in the early time [27]. As shown in Fig. 2, near the BIS (dashed line), $\eta_2^2$ is small (due to the canceling of the two-band contributions) and the heating due to $\eta_2^2$-term is the dominating correlation effect. In comparison, the damping enhances at $k$ away from the BIS. The heating shortens the pseudospin vector while the damping drags the vector towards the magnetic field (see Fig. 2a-b).

Topology emerging on BIS.—From Eq. (4), one can find that the damping $\eta_1$-term modifies the process.

Thus the BISs in the presence of interactions, with $S_x(k,t)|_{U\neq0} = 0$, is deformed from the single-particle BISs where $\mathbf{H}$ is perpendicular to $S_x$. Further, one can show that the positions of topological charges, defined by $\mathbf{h}_{\sigma}(k) \equiv (h_x, h_y) = 0$ in the noninteracting regime, are unchanged from the equation of motion (4). With this one can expect that interaction may not change the topology unless the deformation of BISs by interaction crosses the topological charges.

To characterize the topology emerging on the BISs, we introduce a dynamical field $g^\sigma(k)$, with the components $g^\sigma(k) = \pm \frac{1}{2}\delta k_\perp \overline{S}_x(k,t)$. It takes $+$ (or $-$) for $\sigma = \uparrow$ (or $\downarrow$), the momentum $k_\perp$ is perpendicular to the BIS, and $N_k$ is the normalization factor. However, due to the damping and heating effects, the $g^\sigma(k)$ vector is generally not in $x$-$y$ plane. To characterize the topology, we project the dynamical field onto the $x$-$y$ plane such that $g^\parallel_\sigma(k) = \hat{\mathbf{e}}_\parallel \cdot g^\sigma(k) = (g^\parallel_\sigma, g^\parallel_\perp)$, and can prove that $g^\parallel_\sigma(k) \approx \mathbf{h}_o(k)$ on the interacting BISs [27]. Thus the winding of this projected dynamical field characterizes the total charges enclosed, corresponding to the topology of the post-quench regime, valid for the present interacting regime. This new characterization is different from the free-fermion regime, where the topology emerges in the bare dynamical field $g^\sigma(k)$ [12,13], not directly applicable to the present regime with interactions. The topology of the post-quench regime is generically characterized by the emergent winding number of $g^\parallel_\sigma(k)$ on BISs. An example is illustrated in Fig. 3a-b.

Magnetic order from quench dynamics on BIS.—The AF order and charge order are closely related to the spin and density distributions in A and B sites. Thus these orders are related to the pseudospin dynamics, in which...
the BISs also play the pivotal role. The BIS defined by
\( S_\sigma(k, t) = 0 \) is alternatively interpreted as the moments satisfying
\( E_0^\sigma(k) + m_\sigma h_z(k) = -(d\hat{S}_\sigma^\dagger(dt)TE_0(k)E_0^\sigma(k) \)
with \( E_0^\sigma = \sqrt{E_0^2 + 2m_\sigma h_z + m_\sigma^2} \). Here \( T \) denotes the
interval for time averaging and the right-hand side represents the
interaction shift of the BISs. This formula shows that BISs are determined by both the pre-quench initial state \( (m_\sigma) \) and the post-quench Hamiltonian.
Furthermore, the half of the amplitude, defined as \( Z_0^\sigma(k) \equiv \langle \tau_z^\sigma(k, t = 0) \rangle \), reads \( Z_0^\sigma = (d\hat{S}_\sigma^\dagger(dt)Th_z) / E_0 - m_\sigma(E_0^2 - h_z^2) / (E_0^2E_0^\sigma) \) on BISs, which provides another relation between magnetization and band dispersion. With these results and up to the leading order correction from interaction \( (U^2) \), we show the scaling [27]
\[
   f(m_\sigma) = -\frac{\text{sgn}(Z_0^\sigma)}{g(Z_0^\sigma)} + O(U^4),
\]
where \( f(m_\sigma) = m_\sigma T_0 \) and \( g(Z^\sigma) = \sqrt{1 - Z_0^{\sigma 2} / \pi} \), with \( T_0(k) = \pi / E_0 \). The result in Eq. [6] gives a universal scaling at any \( k \) on BISs, insensitive to interactions.

We take the spin-up component as an illustration. As shown in Fig. [4], we identify the BIS from time-averaged spin texture (the dashed purple curve), and record the short-time dynamics at momenta of three kinds: inside \( (k_1^\sigma) \), outside \( (k_2^\sigma) \), and right on the BIS \( (k_{1,2,3}^\sigma) \). We measure both the half amplitude \( Z_0 \) and the oscillation period \( T_0 \) for various magnetization \( m_\tau / t_1 \) (Fig. [4]); the results are plotted as points \( (|m_\tau T_0|, \sqrt{1 - Z_0^{\sigma 2}}) \) (see Fig. [4]). One can see that the data measured on the BIS all satisfy the scaling [6]. Hence, in experiment, one can obtain \( m_\sigma \) by measuring only the first one or two oscillations. Through Eq. [6], the AF order is then obtained by \( m_{AF} = (m_\tau - m_\sigma) / 2 \), and the charge order is \( m_C = (m_\tau + m_\sigma) / 2 \).

\textbf{Conclusion.} We have shown that the emergent topology and universal scaling behavior are obtained in the correlated quantum dynamics induced by quenching a Haldane-Hubbard model, and they characterize the topology and symmetry-breaking orders in such system. The pseudospin dynamics projected onto the single-particle momentum space follows a microscopic Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert-like equation, with which the robust universal behaviors of quench dynamics are predicted on the band inversion surfaces (BISs). The results show that BISs play a central role in the dynamical characterization of both the topological and conventional orders in correlated systems. Note that the pseudospin dynamics can be measured by the tomography of Bloch states [30, 37]. This work opens an avenue to explore profound correlation physics with novel topology by quench dynamics.
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The Bloch Hamiltonian of the noninteracting Haldane model regardless of the spin can be written as

$$H(k) = h(k) \cdot \tau = h_x(k)\tau_x + h_y(k)\tau_y + h_z(k)\tau_z,$$  \hspace{1cm} (S1)

with $h_x(k) = -t_1 \sum_j \cos(k \cdot e_j)$, $h_y(k) = -t_2 \sum_j \sin(k \cdot e_j)$ and $h_z(k) = M - 2t_2 \sin \phi \sum_j \sin(k \cdot v_j)$. Here we have removed the trivial identity matrix term, with $e_1 = (0, a_0)$, $e_2 = (-\sqrt{a_0^2}, -a_0)$, $e_3 = (\sqrt{a_0^2}, -a_0)$ and $v_1 = (\sqrt{3}a_0, 0)$, $v_2 = (-\sqrt{3}a_0, \sqrt{3}a_0)$, $v_3 = -v_2 - v_1$ (a is the lattice constant). Moreover, we set the energy difference between the two sublattices $M/t_1 = -0.5$ if it is considered. Thus, with $\phi = \pi/2$, the noninteracting system lies in the topological phase with Chern number $C = 1$ [21]. The two energy bands read

$$\mathcal{E}_\pm(k) = \pm \sqrt{h_x^2(k) + h_y^2(k) + h_z^2(k)} = \mp E_0(k).$$  \hspace{1cm} (S2)

We write $H_0 = \sum_{k, \sigma = \pm} \mathcal{E}_\sigma(k)c_\sigma^\dagger c_\sigma^\dagger$, with

$$a_{k\sigma} = \chi_\pm(k)c_{k,+\sigma} + \chi_- (k)c_{k,-\sigma}, \quad b_{k\sigma} = \chi_+ (k)c_{k,+\sigma} + \chi_- (k)c_{k,-\sigma}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (S3)

One can easily obtain $\chi_\pm = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2E_0(E_0 - h_3)}(h_x - ih_y)}$, $\chi_- = -\frac{1}{2E_0(E_0 + h_3)}(h_x - ih_y)$, $\xi_+ = \sqrt{\frac{E_0 - h_3}{2E_0}}$ and $\xi_- = \sqrt{\frac{E_0 + h_3}{2E_0}}$. We further have

$$a_{p',\uparrow}^\dagger a_{q',\uparrow}^\dagger a_{q,\downarrow}^\dagger a_{q,\downarrow}^\dagger = \left[ \chi_\pm (p')c_{p'+\uparrow} + \chi_- (p')c_{p'-\uparrow} \right] \left[ \chi_\pm (q)c_{q+\downarrow} + \chi_- (q)c_{q-\downarrow} \right],$$

$$\sum_{s_1s_2s_3s_4} \chi_\pm (p')\chi_{s_2}(p)\chi_{s_3}(q')\chi_{s_4}(q)c_{p',s_1}^\dagger c_{p,s_2}^\dagger c_{q',s_3}^\dagger c_{q,s_4}^\dagger$$

and similarly,

$$b_{p',\uparrow}^\dagger b_{q',\uparrow}^\dagger b_{q,\downarrow}^\dagger b_{q,\downarrow}^\dagger = \sum_{s_1s_2s_3s_4} \xi_\pm (p')\xi_{s_2}(p)\xi_{s_3}(q')\xi_{s_4}(q)c_{p',s_1}^\dagger c_{p,s_2}^\dagger c_{q',s_3}^\dagger c_{q,s_4}^\dagger$$

(S4)

Thus, the on-site interaction

$$H_I = U \sum_{p'pq'q} \delta_{p'q'}(a_{p',\uparrow}^\dagger a_{q',\uparrow}^\dagger a_{q,\downarrow}^\dagger a_{q,\downarrow}^\dagger + b_{p',\uparrow}^\dagger b_{q',\uparrow}^\dagger b_{q,\downarrow}^\dagger b_{q,\downarrow}^\dagger) = \sum_{p'pq'q} U_{p'pq'q}^{s_1s_2s_3s_4} \sum_{s_1s_2s_3s_4} \xi_{s_1}(p')\xi_{s_2}(p)\xi_{s_3}(q')\xi_{s_4}(q)c_{p',s_1}^\dagger c_{p,s_2}^\dagger c_{q',s_3}^\dagger c_{q,s_4}^\dagger,$$

(S5)

(S6)

where $U_{p'pq'q}^{s_1s_2s_3s_4} = U\delta_{p'q'}L_{s_1s_2s_3s_4}$ and

$$L_{s_1s_2s_3s_4} \equiv \sum_{s_1s_2s_3s_4} \chi_{s_1}(p')\chi_{s_2}(p)\chi_{s_3}(q')\chi_{s_4}(q) + \xi_{s_1}(p')\xi_{s_2}(p)\xi_{s_3}(q')\xi_{s_4}(q).$$  \hspace{1cm} (S7)

For large $U$, we consider the symmetry-breaking order in z direction, and write the Hubbard interaction in the mean-field form

$$H_I = U \sum_{p'pq'q} \left( \langle a_{p',\uparrow}^\dagger a_{p',\uparrow}^\dagger a_{q,\downarrow}^\dagger a_{q,\downarrow}^\dagger + b_{p',\uparrow}^\dagger b_{p',\uparrow}^\dagger b_{q,\downarrow}^\dagger b_{q,\downarrow}^\dagger \rangle + \langle b_{p',\uparrow}^\dagger b_{p',\uparrow}^\dagger b_{q,\downarrow}^\dagger b_{q,\downarrow}^\dagger \rangle \right)$$

(S8)

Here $\langle \cdot \rangle$ is taken with respect to the mean-field ground state of the total Hamiltonian, which can be solved self-consistently. We define the antiferromagnetic (AF) order $m_{AF} = \langle b_{p',\uparrow}^\dagger b_{p',\uparrow}^\dagger b_{q,\downarrow}^\dagger b_{q,\downarrow}^\dagger \rangle / U/2 = -\langle a_{p',\uparrow}^\dagger a_{p',\uparrow}^\dagger a_{q,\downarrow}^\dagger a_{q,\downarrow}^\dagger \rangle / U/2$ and the charge order $m_C = \langle a_{p',\uparrow}^\dagger a_{p',\uparrow}^\dagger a_{q,\downarrow}^\dagger a_{q,\downarrow}^\dagger \rangle - \langle b_{p',\uparrow}^\dagger b_{p',\uparrow}^\dagger b_{q,\downarrow}^\dagger b_{q,\downarrow}^\dagger \rangle / U/4$. After the Fourier transform, we have the Bloch Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}_{MF} = \left( H(k) + m_{\uparrow} \tau_z \right),$$

(S9)
where the magnetic order $m_{\uparrow} = m_{\downarrow} = m_{AF}$ and $m_{\downarrow} = m_{\uparrow} + m_{AF}$. Regarding the orders $m_{C}$ and $m_{AF}$ as the input parameters, the Hamiltonian can be diagonalized as $H_{MF}(k) = \sum_{s=\uparrow, \downarrow} \varepsilon_{s}(k) c_{k,s\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{k,s\sigma}$, where $\varepsilon_{\mp} = \pm E_{\mp}^0$, with $E_{\mp}^0 \equiv \sqrt{E_{\mp}^0 + 2 m_{s} h_{z} + m_{\sigma}^{2}}$. One can find the relation between the noninteracting and mean-field solutions ($\sigma = \uparrow$):

$$c_{k,+\sigma} = f_{\sigma,+}^{\dagger}(k) \tilde{c}_{k,+\sigma} + f_{\sigma,-}^{\dagger}(k) \tilde{c}_{k,-\sigma}, \quad c_{k,-\sigma} = f_{\sigma,+}^{\dagger}(k) \tilde{c}_{k,+\sigma} + f_{\sigma,-}^{\dagger}(k) \tilde{c}_{k,-\sigma},$$  \hspace{1cm} (S10)

with

$$|f_{\sigma,+}^{2} + |f_{\sigma,-}^{2}| = |f_{\sigma,+}^{2} + |f_{\sigma,-}^{2}| = 1, \quad |f_{\sigma,+}^{2}| - |f_{\sigma,-}^{2}| = 2, \quad f_{\sigma,+}^{2} + f_{\sigma,-}^{2} = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (S11)

The AF state at half-filling can be denoted as $\ket{\Psi_{MF}} = \prod_{k} \tilde{c}_{k,-\uparrow}^{\dagger} \tilde{c}_{k,-\downarrow}(0)$, and $\ket{\Psi_{MF}} \simeq \prod_{k} q_{k,\uparrow}^{\dagger} q_{k,\downarrow}^{\dagger}(0)$ when $m_{AF} \rightarrow \infty$.

II. Flow equations

We study the interacting Haldane model by flow equation method. The expansion parameter is the (small) interaction $U$ and normal ordering is with respect to the AF state $\ket{\Psi_{MF}}$, with

$$(c_{k,s\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{k,s\downarrow}) = f_{\sigma,+}^{\dagger}(k) f_{\sigma,-}^{\dagger}(k), \quad (c_{k,s\uparrow} c_{k,s\downarrow}^{\dagger}) = f_{\sigma,+}(k) f_{\sigma,-}^{\dagger}(k).$$  \hspace{1cm} (S12)

We start with the ansatz

$$H(l) = \sum_{k, s=\uparrow, \downarrow} E_{s}(k) c_{k,s\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{k,s\sigma} + \sum_{p'pq'q \neq s_{1} s_{2} s_{3} s_{4}} U_{p'p'q'q}^{s_{1} s_{2} s_{3} s_{4}}(l) c_{p'\sigma_{1} s_{1}}^{\dagger} c_{p\sigma_{2} s_{2}}^{\dagger} c_{q'\sigma_{3} s_{3}} c_{q\sigma_{4} s_{4}}^{\dagger},$$

where the interaction $U_{p'p'q'q}^{s_{1} s_{2} s_{3} s_{4}}(l)$ is responsible for the flow of the Hamiltonian and the flow of band energies and higher order terms are neglected. Since

$$[c_{k,s\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{k,s\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{p\sigma_{1} s_{1}}^{\dagger} c_{p\sigma_{2} s_{2}}^{\dagger} c_{q'\sigma_{3} s_{3}} c_{q\sigma_{4} s_{4}}^{\dagger} :] = \left( -\delta_{s_{1} s_{2}}^{2} \delta_{s_{3} s_{4}}^{2} - \delta_{s_{1} s_{3}}^{2} \delta_{s_{2} s_{4}}^{2} + \delta_{s_{1} s_{4}}^{2} \delta_{s_{2} s_{3}}^{2} \right),$$

we have the generator

$$\eta(l) = [H_{0}(l), H_{1}(l)] = \sum_{p'pq'q} U_{p'p'q'q}^{s_{1} s_{2} s_{3} s_{4}}(l) \Delta_{p'p'q'q}^{s_{1} s_{2} s_{3} s_{4}} c_{p'\sigma_{1} s_{1}}^{\dagger} c_{p\sigma_{2} s_{2}}^{\dagger} c_{q'\sigma_{3} s_{3}} c_{q\sigma_{4} s_{4}}^{\dagger},$$  \hspace{1cm} (S15)

where $\Delta_{p'p'q'q}^{s_{1} s_{2} s_{3} s_{4}} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \varepsilon_{s_{1}}(p') - \varepsilon_{s_{2}}(p) + \varepsilon_{s_{3}}(q') - \varepsilon_{s_{4}}(q)$ is the energy difference before and after scattering. Since

$$[\eta(l), H_{0}(l)] = - \sum_{p'pq'q} U_{p'p'q'q}^{s_{1} s_{2} s_{3} s_{4}}(l) \Delta_{p'p'q'q}^{s_{1} s_{2} s_{3} s_{4}}^{2} c_{p'\sigma_{1} s_{1}}^{\dagger} c_{p\sigma_{2} s_{2}}^{\dagger} c_{q'\sigma_{3} s_{3}} c_{q\sigma_{4} s_{4}}^{\dagger},$$  \hspace{1cm} (S16)

the flow of the interaction is given by

$$U_{p'p'q'q}^{s_{1} s_{2} s_{3} s_{4}}(l) = U \delta_{p'p}^{\star} q' q \Delta_{p'p'q'q}^{s_{1} s_{2} s_{3} s_{4}} \exp[-l(\Delta_{p'p'q'q}^{s_{1} s_{2} s_{3} s_{4}})^{2}],$$  \hspace{1cm} (S17)

which decays to zero when the flow parameter $l \rightarrow \infty$.

Next we work out the flow equation transformation for the creation operators. Since $a_{k\sigma}^{\dagger} = \eta_{k\sigma,\sigma}^{\dagger} + \eta_{k\sigma,-\sigma}^{\dagger}, \quad b_{k\sigma} = \xi_{k\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{k,+\sigma} + \xi_{k\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{k,-\sigma}$ and the relations

$$[c_{p'\sigma_{1} s_{1}}^{\dagger} c_{p\sigma_{2} s_{2}}^{\dagger} c_{q'\sigma_{3} s_{3}} c_{q\sigma_{4} s_{4}}^{\dagger} :] = \delta_{s_{1} s_{2}}^{2} \delta_{s_{3} s_{4}}^{2} c_{p'\sigma_{1} s_{1}}^{\dagger} c_{p\sigma_{2} s_{2}}^{\dagger} c_{q'\sigma_{3} s_{3}} c_{q\sigma_{4} s_{4}}^{\dagger} + \delta_{s_{1} s_{3}}^{2} \delta_{s_{2} s_{4}}^{2} c_{p'\sigma_{1} s_{1}}^{\dagger} c_{p\sigma_{2} s_{2}}^{\dagger} c_{q'\sigma_{3} s_{3}} c_{q\sigma_{4} s_{4}}^{\dagger} :,$$  \hspace{1cm} (S18)
we assume
\[ A_{k^+}(l) = h_{k^+}(l)c_{k^+,+} + h_{k^-}(l)c_{k^-,+} + \sum_{\nu,\rho,\gamma} M_{k,\nu,\rho,\gamma}(l,\nu,\rho,\gamma) c_{k^+,\nu,\rho} c_{l^+,\gamma} \]
\[ A_{k_-}(l) = g_{k^-}(l)c_{k^-,+} + g_{k^+}(l)c_{k^-,+} + \sum_{\nu,\rho,\gamma} W_{k,\nu,\rho,\gamma}(l,\nu,\rho,\gamma) c_{k^-,\nu,\rho} c_{l^-,\gamma} \].

(S19)

Here \( h_{k^+}(l) = 0 \) and \( h_{k^-}(l) = 0 \). The operators \( \mathcal{B}_{k^+}(l) \) take the same form as in Eq. (S19), but with \( h_{k^+}(l) = 0 \) and \( h_{k^-}(l) = 0 \).

With
\[ [c_{k_i}^+ c_{k_j} c_{k_j} c_{k_i}^+] = \delta_{i,j}^\gamma c_{\gamma} c_{\gamma} \]
\[ = \delta_{i,j}^\gamma c_{\gamma} c_{\gamma} \]
\[ = \sum_{\nu,\rho,\gamma} M_{k,\nu,\rho,\gamma}(l,\nu,\rho,\gamma) c_{k^+,\nu,\rho} c_{l^+,\gamma} \]
\[ = \sum_{\nu,\rho,\gamma} W_{k,\nu,\rho,\gamma}(l,\nu,\rho,\gamma) c_{k^-,\nu,\rho} c_{l^-,\gamma} \]

we obtain the leading-order flow equations for the creation operators
\[ \frac{\partial h_{k^+}(l)}{\partial l} = \sum_{\nu,\rho,\gamma} M_{k^+,\nu,\rho,\gamma}(l,\nu,\rho,\gamma) U_{k,\nu,\rho,\gamma}(l) \Delta_{k^+,\nu,\rho,\gamma} \]
\[ \frac{\partial h_{k^-}(l)}{\partial l} = \sum_{\nu,\rho,\gamma} W_{k^-,\nu,\rho,\gamma}(l,\nu,\rho,\gamma) U_{k,\nu,\rho,\gamma}(l) \Delta_{k^-,\nu,\rho,\gamma} \]
\[ \frac{\partial M_{k^+,\nu,\rho,\gamma}(l)}{\partial l} = h_{k^+}(l) \Delta_{k^+,\nu,\rho,\gamma} + h_{k^-}(l) \Delta_{k^-,\nu,\rho,\gamma} \]
\[ \frac{\partial M_{k^-,\nu,\rho,\gamma}(l)}{\partial l} = h_{k^+}(l) \Delta_{k^+,\nu,\rho,\gamma} + h_{k^-}(l) \Delta_{k^-,\nu,\rho,\gamma} \]

(S22)

and
\[ \frac{\partial g_{k^+}(l)}{\partial l} = \sum_{\nu,\rho,\gamma} W_{k^+,\nu,\rho,\gamma}(l,\nu,\rho,\gamma) U_{k,\nu,\rho,\gamma}(l) \Delta_{k^+,\nu,\rho,\gamma} \]
\[ \frac{\partial g_{k^-}(l)}{\partial l} = \sum_{\nu,\rho,\gamma} W_{k^-,\nu,\rho,\gamma}(l,\nu,\rho,\gamma) U_{k,\nu,\rho,\gamma}(l) \Delta_{k^-,\nu,\rho,\gamma} \]
\[ \frac{\partial W_{k^+,\nu,\rho,\gamma}(l)}{\partial l} = g_{k^+}(l) \Delta_{k^+,\nu,\rho,\gamma} + g_{k^-}(l) \Delta_{k^-,\nu,\rho,\gamma} + \Delta_{k^+,\nu,\rho,\gamma} \]
\[ \frac{\partial W_{k^-,\nu,\rho,\gamma}(l)}{\partial l} = g_{k^+}(l) \Delta_{k^+,\nu,\rho,\gamma} + g_{k^-}(l) \Delta_{k^-,\nu,\rho,\gamma} + \Delta_{k^+,\nu,\rho,\gamma} \]

(S23)

where
\[ F_{k^+,\nu,\rho,\gamma}(l) = \frac{f_{k^+,\nu,\rho,\gamma}(l)}{f_{k^-,\nu,\rho,\gamma}(l)} \]
\[ G_{k^-,\nu,\rho,\gamma}(l) = \frac{g_{k^+,\nu,\rho,\gamma}(l)}{g_{k^-,\nu,\rho,\gamma}(l)} \]

and
\[ F_{k^+,\nu,\rho,\gamma}(l) = \frac{f_{k^+,\nu,\rho,\gamma}(l)}{f_{k^-,\nu,\rho,\gamma}(l)} \]
\[ G_{k^-,\nu,\rho,\gamma}(l) = \frac{g_{k^+,\nu,\rho,\gamma}(l)}{g_{k^-,\nu,\rho,\gamma}(l)} \]
We adopt the forward-backward transformation \( S_2 \) to calculate the time-evolved operators. The forward (or backward) transformations are derived by integrating the flow equations \( S_22 \) and \( S_23 \) from \( l = 0 \) to \( \infty \) (or from \( l = \infty \) to \( 0 \)) with different initial conditions. We keep the terms up to second order in \( U \) and obtain the approximate analytic solutions. Take the number operator \( N^A_k(l) = A_k^l(l)A_k^\dagger(l) \) as an example. Time evolution yields

\[
h_{k,+}(l = \infty, t) = \frac{h_{k,=}(l = \infty, t = 0)}{e^{-iE_{=}(k)t}},
\]

\[
h_{k,-}(l = \infty, t) = \frac{h_{k,=}(l = \infty, t = 0)}{e^{-iE_{=}(k)t}},
\]

\[
M_{k,p}^{\mu\nu}(l = \infty, t) = M_{k,p}^{\mu\nu}(l = \infty, t = 0)e^{-i[E_{\mu}(p') + E_{\nu}(q') - E_{=}(k)]t}.
\]

(S24)

Since

\[
\langle c^\dagger_{p',\mu\nu}(c^\dagger_{q',\nu\lambda}c_{q',\lambda\gamma}:c^\dagger_{s,\mu\nu}c_{s,\nu\lambda}c_{s,\lambda\gamma}:) = \delta^\mu_\nu f_{\mu\nu}^{s\uparrow\uparrow}(p')f_{\mu\nu}^{s\uparrow\downarrow}(q')\delta_{\lambda\nu}^{s\downarrow\downarrow}(\lambda\gamma) f_{\lambda\gamma}^{s\uparrow\downarrow}(q)f_{\lambda\gamma}^{s\uparrow\uparrow}(q),
\]

we obtain the distribution of spin-up particles at \( A \) sites

\[
N^A_k(l = 0, t) \equiv \langle \Psi | N^A_k(l = 0, t) | \Psi \rangle
\]

\[
= |h_{k,+}(0, t)|^2|f_{\mu\nu}^{s\uparrow\uparrow}(k)|^2 + 2\Re \left[h_{k,+}(0, t)h_{k,-}(0, t)f_{\mu\nu}^{s\uparrow\downarrow}(k)f_{\mu\nu}^{s\downarrow\uparrow}(k)\right]
\]

\[
+ \sum_{p'q'q_1q_2} \sum_{\mu\nu\gamma} \delta^\mu_{\mu'} \delta^\nu_{\nu'} \delta^\gamma_{\gamma'} \mathcal{F}^{s_1s_2s_3s_4,\mu\nu\gamma
\}
\]

\[
m_{\mu\nu}^{\uparrow\downarrow}(p')n_{\nu\lambda}^{\downarrow\uparrow}(q') + n_{\mu\nu}^{\downarrow\uparrow}(p')n_{\nu\lambda}^{\uparrow\downarrow}(q') + \delta_{\mu\nu}^{s_1s_2s_3s_4} \delta_{\mu\nu}^{s_1s_2s_3s_4} \delta_{\mu\nu}^{s_1s_2s_3s_4} \delta_{\mu\nu}^{s_1s_2s_3s_4}
\]

(S25)

The computation of \( h_{k,\pm}(l = 0, t) \) and \( M_{k,p'}^{\mu\nu}(l = 0, t) \) is achieved by composing the forward transformation (FT), the time evolution (TE) and the backward transformation (BT), such as

\[
h_{k,\pm}(l = 0, t) \xrightarrow{\text{FT}} h_{k,\pm}(l = \infty, t) \xrightarrow{\text{TE}} h_{k,\pm}(l = \infty, t) \xrightarrow{\text{BT}} h_{k,\pm}(l = 0, t).
\]

(S27)

Up to now, the analytic solutions are very complicated despite the neglect of higher order terms. It is mainly due to the various possible scattering channels in the flow equations \( S_22 \) and \( S_23 \). To simplify the analysis, we take into account only the major contribution, i.e.

\[
F_{p'q'q_1q_2}^{s_1s_2s_3s_4,\mu\nu\gamma\lambda} \simeq \delta^\mu_{s_1} \delta^\nu_{s_2} \delta^\gamma_{s_3} \mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu\lambda\gamma
\}
\]

\[
m_{\mu\nu}^{\uparrow\downarrow}(p')n_{\nu\lambda}^{\downarrow\uparrow}(q') + n_{\mu\nu}^{\downarrow\uparrow}(p')n_{\nu\lambda}^{\uparrow\down\uparrow}(q') + \delta_{\mu\nu}^{s_1s_2s_3s_4} \delta_{\mu\nu}^{s_1s_2s_3s_4} \delta_{\mu\nu}^{s_1s_2s_3s_4} \delta_{\mu\nu}^{s_1s_2s_3s_4}
\]

(S28)

where

\[
\mathcal{F}_{p'q'q_1q_2}^{\mu\nu\lambda\gamma\lambda} \equiv \begin{aligned}
& n_{\mu\nu}^{\uparrow\downarrow}(p')n_{\nu\lambda}^{\downarrow\uparrow}(q') + n_{\mu\nu}^{\down\uparrow}(p')n_{\nu\lambda}^{\up\down\uparrow}(q') + \delta_{\mu\nu}^{s_1s_2s_3s_4} \delta_{\mu\nu}^{s_1s_2s_3s_4} \delta_{\mu\nu}^{s_1s_2s_3s_4} \delta_{\mu\nu}^{s_1s_2s_3s_4}
\end{aligned}
\]

III. Pseudospin dynamics

For convenience’s sake, we denote \( E_{\pm}(k) - E_{\pm}(k) = 2E_0(k) = 1/T_0(k) \). \( X_{\sigma}(k, t) \equiv \langle \tau^\sigma_x(k, t) \rangle \), \( Y_{\sigma}(k, t) \equiv \langle \tau^\sigma_y(k, t) \rangle \) and \( Z_{\sigma}(k, t) \equiv \langle \tau^\sigma_z(k, t) \rangle \) in the following. By the forward-backward transformation, we have the results

\[
X_{\sigma}(k, t) = X_{\sigma}^{(0)}(k) + X_{\sigma}^{(c)}(k, t) + X_{\sigma}^{(h)}(k, t) + X_{\sigma}^{(l)}(k, t),
\]

\[
Y_{\sigma}(k, t) = Y_{\sigma}^{(0)}(k) + Y_{\sigma}^{(c)}(k, t) + Y_{\sigma}^{(h)}(k, t) + Y_{\sigma}^{(l)}(k, t),
\]

\[
Z_{\sigma}(k, t) = Z_{\sigma}^{(0)}(k) + Z_{\sigma}^{(c)}(k, t) + Z_{\sigma}^{(h)}(k, t) + Z_{\sigma}^{(l)}(k, t),
\]

(S29)

where the incoherent part

\[
X_{\sigma}^{(0)}(k) = \frac{h_x}{E_0}[n_{\mu\nu}^{\uparrow\downarrow}(k) - n_{\mu\nu}^{\down\uparrow}(k)],
\]

\[
Y_{\sigma}^{(0)}(k) = \frac{h_y}{E_0}[n_{\mu\nu}^{\up\down\up}(k) - n_{\mu\nu}^{\down\up}(k)],
\]

\[
Z_{\sigma}^{(0)}(k) = \frac{h_z}{E_0}[n_{\mu\nu}^{\up\down\up}(k) - n_{\mu\nu}^{\up\down\up}(k)],
\]

(S30)
the coherent time-dependent oscillation

\[ X^{(c)}_\sigma(k, t) = \frac{\hbar_x}{E_0} \sqrt{E_0^2 - h_x^2} f^{\sigma \ast}_{+\sigma}(k) f^{\sigma}_{-\sigma}(k) \cos(t/T_\sigma) + \frac{\hbar_y}{E_0} \sqrt{E_0^2 - h_y^2} f^{\sigma \ast}_{+\sigma}(k) f^{\sigma}_{-\sigma}(k) \sin(t/T_\sigma), \]

\[ Y^{(c)}_\sigma(k, t) = \frac{\hbar_x}{E_0} \sqrt{E_0^2 - h_x^2} f^{\sigma \ast}_{+\sigma}(k) f^{\sigma}_{-\sigma}(k) \cos(t/T_\sigma) - \frac{\hbar_y}{E_0} \sqrt{E_0^2 - h_y^2} f^{\sigma \ast}_{+\sigma}(k) f^{\sigma}_{-\sigma}(k) \sin(t/T_\sigma), \]

\[ Z^{(c)}_\sigma(k, t) = -\frac{2}{E_0} \sqrt{E_0^2 - h_z^2} f^{\sigma \ast}_{+\sigma}(k) f^{\sigma}_{-\sigma}(k) \cos(t/T_\sigma), \] (S31)

the interaction-induced high-frequency fluctuation

\[ X^{(h)}_\sigma(k, t) \approx -2\lambda_2^\sigma(t) \left[ \frac{\hbar_x h_z}{E_0 \sqrt{E_0^2 - h_x^2}} f^{\sigma \ast}_{+\sigma}(k) f^{\sigma}_{-\sigma}(k) \cos(t/T_\sigma) + \frac{\hbar_y h_z}{E_0 \sqrt{E_0^2 - h_y^2}} f^{\sigma \ast}_{+\sigma}(k) f^{\sigma}_{-\sigma}(k) \sin(t/T_\sigma) \right], \]

\[ Y^{(h)}_\sigma(k, t) \approx -2\lambda_2^\sigma(t) \left[ \frac{\hbar_x h_z}{E_0 \sqrt{E_0^2 - h_x^2}} f^{\sigma \ast}_{+\sigma}(k) f^{\sigma}_{-\sigma}(k) \cos(t/T_\sigma) - \frac{\hbar_y h_z}{E_0 \sqrt{E_0^2 - h_y^2}} f^{\sigma \ast}_{+\sigma}(k) f^{\sigma}_{-\sigma}(k) \sin(t/T_\sigma) \right], \]

\[ Z^{(h)}_\sigma(k, t) \approx 2\lambda_2^\sigma(t) \sqrt{E_0^2 - h_z^2} f^{\sigma \ast}_{+\sigma}(k) f^{\sigma}_{-\sigma}(k) \cos(t/T_\sigma), \] (S32)

with

\[ \lambda_1^\sigma(t) \overset{\text{def}}{=} 2U^2 \sum_{p'q'} \sum_{\mu\nu} \delta k = k' \delta p = p' \delta q = q' \left[ \frac{|\Lambda_{\mu\nu}^{p'q'pq}|^2}{(\Delta_{p'q'pq}^{+\mu\nu})^2} \sin^2 \left( \frac{\Delta_{p'q'pq}^{+\mu\nu} t}{2} \right) + \frac{|\Lambda_{\mu\nu}^{p'q'pq}|^2}{(\Delta_{p'q'pq}^{-\mu\nu})^2} \sin^2 \left( \frac{\Delta_{p'q'pq}^{-\mu\nu} t}{2} \right) \right], \]

\[ \lambda_2^\sigma(t) \overset{\text{def}}{=} 2U^2 \sum_{p'q'} \sum_{\mu\nu} \delta k = k' \delta p = p' \delta q = q' \left[ \frac{|\Lambda_{\mu\nu}^{p'q'pq}|^2}{(\Delta_{p'q'pq}^{+\mu\nu})^2} \sin^2 \left( \frac{\Delta_{p'q'pq}^{+\mu\nu} t}{2} \right) - \frac{|\Lambda_{\mu\nu}^{p'q'pq}|^2}{(\Delta_{p'q'pq}^{-\mu\nu})^2} \sin^2 \left( \frac{\Delta_{p'q'pq}^{-\mu\nu} t}{2} \right) \right], \] (S33)

and the interaction-induced low-frequency fluctuation

\[ X^{(l)}_\sigma(k, t) \approx -2\lambda_2^\sigma(t) \frac{\hbar_x}{E_0}, \quad Y^{(l)}_\sigma(k, t) \approx -2\lambda_2^\sigma(t) \frac{\hbar_y}{E_0}, \quad Z^{(l)}_\sigma(k, t) \approx -2\lambda_2^\sigma(t) \frac{\hbar_z}{E_0}, \] (S34)

with

\[ \lambda_2^\sigma(t) \overset{\text{def}}{=} 2U^2 \sum_{p'q'} \sum_{\mu\nu} \delta k = k' \delta p = p' \delta q = q' \left[ \frac{|\Lambda_{\mu\nu}^{p'q'pq}|^2}{(\Delta_{p'q'pq}^{+\mu\nu})^2} \sin^2 \left( \frac{\Delta_{p'q'pq}^{+\mu\nu} t}{2} \right) - \frac{|\Lambda_{\mu\nu}^{p'q'pq}|^2}{(\Delta_{p'q'pq}^{-\mu\nu})^2} \sin^2 \left( \frac{\Delta_{p'q'pq}^{-\mu\nu} t}{2} \right) \right], \]

\[ \lambda_2^\sigma(t) \overset{\text{def}}{=} 2U^2 \sum_{p'q'} \sum_{\mu\nu} \delta k = k' \delta p = p' \delta q = q' \left[ \frac{|\Lambda_{\mu\nu}^{p'q'pq}|^2}{(\Delta_{p'q'pq}^{+\mu\nu})^2} \sin^2 \left( \frac{\Delta_{p'q'pq}^{+\mu\nu} t}{2} \right) \right] - \frac{|\Lambda_{\mu\nu}^{p'q'pq}|^2}{(\Delta_{p'q'pq}^{-\mu\nu})^2} \sin^2 \left( \frac{\Delta_{p'q'pq}^{-\mu\nu} t}{2} \right) \right], \] (S35)

Here we have denoted \((s = \pm)\)

\[ T_{p'q'pq}^{\mu\nu} \overset{\text{def}}{=} n_{\gamma -} (p) n_{\mu +} (p') n_{\nu -} (q) n_{\gamma +} (q') - n_{\gamma -} (k) n_{\mu +} (p) n_{\nu -} (q) n_{\gamma +} (q'), \]

\[ J_{pp'kq}^{\mu\nu} \overset{\text{def}}{=} n_{\gamma -} (p) n_{\mu +} (p') n_{\nu -} (k) n_{\gamma +} (q) - n_{\gamma -} (p) n_{\mu +} (p') n_{\nu -} (k) n_{\gamma +} (q'). \] (S36)

The high-frequency fluctuations come from the scattering processes from the upper to the lower band or the other way round via the background. Note that the expressions in Eqs. (S33) and (S35) resemble the structure of transition probability in time-dependent perturbation theory (see, e.g. Ref. [S1]), and the sinusoidal time dependence \(\sin^2(\Delta_{pp'qq'}^{+\mu\nu}/2t)/\sin^2(\Delta_{pp'qq'}^{+\mu\nu}/2)^2\) determines the contribution of each scattering process in the time evolution. One can find that the dependence \(\sin^2(\omega t/2)/\omega^2\), as a function of \(\omega\) for fixed \(t\), has a major peak with the height \(\propto t^2\) and the width \(\propto 1/t\) [S4]. Hence, after a summation, the parameters \(\lambda_{1,2}^\sigma(t)\) are approximately linear in \(t\), i.e., \(\lambda_{1,2}^\sigma(t) \propto t\) (see Fig. [S1]).

We define the pseudospin vector

\[ S_\sigma(k, t) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2} (X_\sigma(k, t), Y_\sigma(k, t), Z_\sigma(k, t)), \] (S37)
Figure S1: Time dependence of the parameters $\lambda_{1,2}(t)$. In a short time, they are all approximately linear in $t$. Here the values are taken at $k = 2(b_1 + b_2)/5$.

Figure S2: The distribution of the damping factors $\eta_1^\sigma$ and heating factors $\eta_2^\sigma$ for each spin with $M = 0$, $m_{AF} \to \infty$ and the post-quench interaction $U = 0.3t_1$. The dashed purple lines denote the noninteracting BIS.

and assume the equation of motion takes the form

$$\frac{dS_\sigma(t)}{dt} = S_\sigma(t) \times 2h - \eta_1^\sigma S_\sigma(t) \times \frac{dS_\sigma(t)}{dt} - \eta_2^\sigma \frac{S_\sigma(t)}{T_g},$$

where the first term in the right-hand side corresponds to the precessional motion, $\eta_1^\sigma$-term represents the damping effect, and $\eta_2^\sigma$-term describes the heating. According to Eqs. (S29-S35), we obtain

$$\eta_1^\sigma(k) = 2T_g \left\{ \frac{d\lambda_1^\sigma}{dt} \left[ n_{++}^\sigma(k) - n_{--}^\sigma(k) \right] - 2 \frac{d\lambda_2^\sigma}{dt} \right\},$$

$$\eta_2^\sigma(k) = 2T_g \left\{ 2 \frac{d\lambda_1^\sigma}{dt} n_{+-}^\sigma(k) n_{-+}^\sigma(k) + \frac{d\lambda_2^\sigma}{dt} \left[ n_{++}^\sigma(k) - n_{--}^\sigma(k) \right] \right\}.$$

Note that $\eta_{1,2}^\sigma(k)$ are approximated as time-independent in short time due to the linear time dependence of $\lambda_{1,2}^\sigma$ (Fig. S1). In Fig. S2, we show the calculated results of $\eta_{1,2}^\sigma$ for $M = 0$, $m_{AF} \to \infty$, which have a symmetrical (for $\eta_2^\sigma$) or antisymmetrical (for $\eta_1^\sigma$) distribution.

Finally, we discuss the reliability of our method. It should be pointed out that secular terms may arise from our zeroth order approximation for the time evolution, e.g. in Eq. (S24), we take $H(l \to \infty) \approx H_0$. When $\Delta_{pq}^{\sigma_3,\sigma_4,\sigma_4} = 0$, the canonical generator (S15) vanishes. Hence the energy-diagonal contributions of $H_1$ cannot be erased by flow equations. The perturbation solutions would fail on long-time scales. This failure can be also indicated by the sinusoidal time dependence in Eqs. (S33) and (S35). For a large $t$, the function $\sin^2(\omega t/2)/\omega^2$ has a very narrow peak, and approximate energy conservation is required, which means the energy-diagonal contributions can not be neglected for a long time evolution. Fortunately, we only need to focus on short-time pseudospin dynamics, from which the topology as well as magnetic order can be measured. Furthermore, from the early stage dynamics, we can qualitatively analyze which interaction effect dominates even for a relatively long time.
IV. Detecting the topology

In Ref. [S5], we have developed a dynamical classification theory, which is applicable to noninteracting topological systems and to the situation that the quench starts from a deep trivial regime. Here we first generalize the theory to the shallow quench case, which corresponds to initializing finite magnetization in the interaction quench, and then discuss its feasibility in the current interacting system.

A. Projection approach

In this subsection, we consider the noninteracting system and generalize the dynamical classification theory in Ref. [S5] to the situation that the pre-quench state is not completely polarized. For a post-quench Hamiltonian $\hat{H}(k) = h(k) \cdot \bm{\tau}$, the spin texture reads ($i = x, y, z$)

$$\langle \tau_i(k) \rangle = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T dt \text{Tr}[\rho_0 e^{i \hat{H} t} \tau_i e^{-i \hat{H} t}] = \frac{h_i}{E_0^2} \text{Tr}[\rho_0 \hat{H}],$$

(S40)

where $\rho_0$ is the density matrix of the initial state. Although it is defined for an infinite time period, the time average can be taken over several oscillations for each $k$, and the results are unchanged. The band inversion surfaces (BISs) are defined as

$$\text{BIS} = \{k|\langle \tau_i(k) \rangle = 0 \text{ for } i = x, y, z\}$$

(S41)

This implies that on the (noninteracting) BIS, the spin vector $S(k) \equiv \frac{1}{2} (\langle \tau_x \rangle, \langle \tau_y \rangle, \langle \tau_z \rangle)$ is perpendicular to the field $h(k)$, i.e., $S(k) \cdot h(k) = 0$. We denote by $k_{\perp}$ the direction perpendicular to the contour $\text{Tr}[\rho_0 \hat{H}]$. For the contours infinitely close to the BIS, we have $\text{Tr}[\rho_0 \hat{H}] \simeq \pm k_{\perp}$ and the variation of $h_i$ is of order $O(k_{\perp})$. Therefore, the directional derivative on the BIS reads

$$\partial_{k_{\perp}} \langle \tau_i \rangle = \lim_{k_{\perp} \to 0} \frac{1}{2k_{\perp}} \left[ \frac{h_i + O(k_{\perp})}{E_0^2 + O(k_{\perp})} k_{\perp} - \frac{h_i + O(k_{\perp})}{E_0^2 + O(k_{\perp})} (-k_{\perp}) \right] = \frac{h_i}{E_0^2}.$$  

(S42)

Without loss of generality, we consider quenching $h_z$. When the initial state $\rho_0$ is fully polarized ($|h_z| \to \infty$ for $t < 0$), the BIS coincides with the surfaces with $h_z(k) = 0$, and $\partial_{k_{\perp}} \langle \tau_z \rangle$ vanishes. Hence $\partial_{k_{\perp}} \langle \tau \rangle$ is a vector in the $x$-$y$ plane, and the bulk topology is well defined by the winding of the spin-orbit (SO) field $h_{\text{so}} \equiv (h_y, h_x)$ along BISs, which is characterized by the dynamical field $\partial_{k_{\perp}} \langle \tau \rangle = (\partial_{k_{\perp}} \langle \tau_y \rangle, \partial_{k_{\perp}} \langle \tau_x \rangle)$ [S5]. From the viewpoint of topological charges, which are located at $h_{\text{so}}(k) = 0$, the winding of $\partial_{k_{\perp}} \langle \tau \rangle$ counts the total charges enclosed by BISs [S6]. The BIS where $S(k) \cdot h(k) = 0$ divides the charges into two categories: $S(k) \cdot h(k) > 0$ and $S(k) \cdot h(k) < 0$. The winding of $\partial_{k_{\perp}} \langle \tau \rangle$ in fact characterizes the charges of the same category.

Now we consider the case that the initial state is not completely polarized, i.e., at $t = 0$, $\langle \tau_z(k) \rangle = 1$ (or $-1$) does not hold for all $k$ but $\langle \tau_z(k) \rangle > 0$ (or $< 0$) does. In this case, the topological charges enclosed by BISs are unchanged. The reason is as follows: First, by definition their locations are irrelevant to the initial state. Second, the condition $S(k) \cdot h(k) = \frac{1}{2} h_z(k) \langle \tau_z(k) \rangle > 0$ or $< 0$, remains the same. The BIS encloses the same charges as in the completely polarized case. Note that the topological charges are characterized by the winding of $h_{\text{so}}$. Although the vector $\partial_{k_{\perp}} \langle \tau \rangle$ is not in the $x$-$y$ plane in general, we can define the topological invariant by the winding of a projected dynamical field $(\partial_{k_{\perp}} \langle \tau_y \rangle, \partial_{k_{\perp}} \langle \tau_x \rangle)$. The dynamical field defined in the completely polarized case can be also regarded as a projection of $\partial_{k_{\perp}} \langle \tau \rangle$ but with $\partial_{k_{\perp}} \langle \tau_z \rangle = 0$.

B. Dynamical classification in interacting systems

In this subsection, we will show that the dynamical classification theory discussed above is also applicable to the interacting Haldane model. According to the results shown in Eqs. [S30-S34], the time-averaged pseudospin textures in the presence of interaction are ($i = x, y, z$)

$$\langle \tau_i^\sigma \rangle = \langle \tau_i^{\sigma(0)} \rangle + \langle \tau_i^{\sigma(t)} \rangle = \frac{h_i}{E_0} \left[ n_{+}^{\sigma}(k) - n_{-}^{\sigma}(k) - \frac{d\lambda^\sigma_z(k)}{dt} T \right],$$

(S43)
where $T$ is the period over which the time average is taken and $\lambda^2 \propto U^2$ defined in Eq. \eqref{S35} represents the interaction shift. Thus, the (interacting) BIS is determined by $\langle \tau^\sigma_i(k) \rangle = 0$, which leads to

$$
\delta n^\sigma_i(k) = n^\sigma_{+i}(k) - n^\sigma_{-i}(k) - \frac{d\lambda^2_i(k)}{dt} T = 0.
$$

(S44)

Note that in the interacting system, $k_\perp$ is defined to be perpendicular to the contour of $\delta n^\sigma_i(k)$. For the contours infinitely close to $\delta n^\sigma_i(k_0) = 0$, we have $\delta n^\sigma_i(k_0 \pm \hat{e}_\perp k_\perp) \approx \pm c_1 k_\perp / E_0$, with $c_1$ being a coefficient dependent on $m_{\sigma}$, $U$ and $T$. Therefore, we have

$$
\partial_{k_\perp} \langle \tau^\sigma_i(k) \rangle = \lim_{k_\perp \to 0} \frac{1}{2k_\perp} \left[ \frac{h_i}{E_0} \delta n^\sigma_i(k_0 + \hat{e}_\perp k_\perp) - \frac{h_i}{E_0} \delta n^\sigma_i(k_0 - \hat{e}_\perp k_\perp) \right] = c_1 \frac{h_i}{E_0},
$$

(S45)

which means the emergent gradient field $\partial_{k_\perp} \langle \tau^\sigma_i(k) \rangle$ on the (interacting) BIS still characterizes the vector field $h(k)$ despite of the interaction effect. Due to the AF order, quenches for the two spins $\sigma = \uparrow \downarrow$ are along opposite directions. Thus, according to Ref. \cite{S5}, we define the projected dynamical fields on the BIS $g^\sigma_i(k) = (g^\sigma_y, g^\sigma_x)$ with components given by

$$
g^\sigma_{y,x}(k) = \pm \frac{1}{N_k} \partial_{k_\perp} \langle \tau^\sigma_{y,x}(k) \rangle.
$$

(S46)

Here the sign $+$ (or $-$) is for $\sigma = \uparrow$ (or $\downarrow$) and $N_k$ is the normalization factor. The topological invariant is then defined by the winding of the projected dynamical field with $\sigma = \uparrow$ or $\downarrow$:

$$
w = \sum_j \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\text{BIS}_j} \left[ g^\sigma_y(k) dg^\sigma_x(k) - g^\sigma_x(k) dg^\sigma_y(k) \right].
$$

(S47)

Here a special case is shown in Fig. S3 with $M = 0$ and $m_{\text{AF}} \to \infty$. In this case, one can see that the damping factor $\eta^\sigma_i = -4T_i d\lambda^2_i / dt$ vanishes right on the noninteracting BIS where $n_{-i}(k) = n_{+i}(k)$ (Fig. S2), which is due to the exact canceling of the two contributions in Eq. \eqref{S35}. Thus, the BIS does not move in the presence of interaction. Moreover, the distributions of $\eta^\sigma_i$ are antisymmetrical. As shown in Fig. S3, the time-averaged textures $\langle \tau^\sigma_i(k) \rangle$ take the same distributions as in the noninteracting case, except for a small reduction of polarization values. The time averages are taken over 10 times of oscillation period for each $k$. An example of a general case with finite $m_{\text{AF}}$ is discussed in Fig. 3 of the main text.
V. Measuring the magnetic order

We aim to obtain the magnetic order $m_\sigma$ by measuring the pseudospin dynamics. In the presence of interaction, the BIS is given by Eq. (S44). Here we assume $|d\lambda_\sigma^\tau/dt| T \ll 1$. Since

$$n_\sigma^\tau(k) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{E_0^\sigma + m_\sigma h_z}{2E_0^\sigma}, \quad n_\sigma^\tau(k) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{E_0^\sigma + m_\sigma h_z}{2E_0^\sigma},$$

where $E_0^\sigma \equiv \sqrt{E_0^2 + 2m_\sigma h_z + m_\sigma^2}$, the BIS can be alternatively interpreted as the momenta satisfying

$$E_0^\sigma(k) + m_\sigma h_z(k) = -\frac{d\lambda_\sigma^\tau(k)}{dt} T E_0(k) E_0^\sigma(k).$$

(S49)

Note that when $h_x = h_y = 0$, the above equation becomes $(1 + Td\lambda_\sigma^\tau/dt)(h_\sigma^2 + m_\sigma h_z) = 0$, which fails to hold for $|m_\sigma| > |h_z|$. That is to say when we consider the quench from a trivial phase ($|m_\sigma| > |h_z|$), the BIS would not move across a charge where $h_{\text{so}} = 0$. Furthermore, half of the amplitude in the early time $Z_0^\sigma(k) \equiv \langle \tau_\sigma^\tau(k, t = 0) \rangle$ reads

$$Z_0^\sigma(k) = Z_\sigma^\sigma(k) + Z_\sigma^h(k, 0) = \frac{h_z(k)}{E_0(k)} \frac{d\lambda_\sigma^\tau(k)}{dt} T - \frac{E_0^\sigma(k) - h_\sigma^2(k)}{E_0^\sigma(k)} \frac{m_\sigma}{E_0^\sigma(k)} E_0^\sigma(k).$$

(S50)

on the BIS. Equations (S49) and (S50) provide two relations for the derivation of the magnetic order $m_\sigma$. We regard the interaction effect as a perturbation and approximate $m_\sigma$ and $h_z$ to the first order of $\varepsilon \equiv Td\lambda_\sigma^\tau/dt$, i.e.

$m_\sigma = m_\sigma^{(0)} + \varepsilon m_\sigma^{(1)}$ and $h_z = h_z^{(0)} + \varepsilon h_z^{(1)}$. We then have

$$E_0^\sigma + m_\sigma^{(0)} h_z^{(0)} = 0,$$

(S51a)

$$m_\sigma^{(1)} h_z^{(0)} + m_\sigma^{(0)} h_z^{(1)} = -E_0 \sqrt{m_\sigma^{(0)} - E_0^\sigma},$$

(S51b)

$$Z_\sigma^\sigma \sqrt{m_\sigma^{(0)2} - E_0^2} = -\left(1 - \frac{h_z^{(0)2}}{E_0^2}\right) m_\sigma^{(0)},$$

(S51c)

$$\frac{Z_\sigma^\sigma}{\sqrt{m_\sigma^{(0)2} - E_0^2}} \left(m_\sigma^{(1)} h_z^{(0)} + m_\sigma^{(0)} h_z^{(1)} + m_\sigma^{(1)} m_\sigma^{(0)}\right) = \frac{h_z^{(0)}}{E_0} \sqrt{m_\sigma^{(0)2} - E_0^2} + m_\sigma^{(0)} \frac{2h_z^{(1)} h_z^{(0)}}{E_0^2} - m_\sigma^{(1)} \left(1 - \frac{h_z^{(0)2}}{E_0^2}\right).$$

(S51d)

From Eqs. (S51a) and (S51c), we obtain

$$m_\sigma^{(0)} = -\text{sgn}(Z_0^\sigma) E_0 \sqrt{1 - Z_0^\sigma^2}, \quad h_z^{(0)} = \text{sgn}(Z_0^\sigma) E_0 \sqrt{1 - Z_0^\sigma^2}. $$

(S52)

Substituting the results into Eqs. (S51b) and (S51d) leads to

$$m_\sigma^{(1)} = 0, \quad h_z^{(1)} = E_0 Z_0^\sigma.$$  

(S53)

Finally, to the second order of $U$, we have

$$m_\sigma = -\text{sgn}(Z_0^\sigma) \frac{E_0}{\sqrt{1 - Z_0^\sigma^2}},$$

(S54)

which is a universal scaling behavior immune to the interaction. The AF and charge orders are finally given by $m_{\text{AF}} = (m_\downarrow - m_\uparrow)/2$ and $m_{\text{C}} = (m_\uparrow + m_\downarrow)/2$.