Pairing and non-Fermi liquid behavior in partially flat-band systems
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Introduction.- While there is a long history for study of flat-band systems as initiated by interests in ferromagnetism [1,5], there is a recent surge of interests in flat-band superconductivity, where possibilities are explored for unconventional superconductivity favored by the flat-band structure [6,9]. For attractive electron-electron interactions, Torma’s group has shown that a flat band can indeed favor superconductivity when the band is topological, with the superfluid weight lower-bounded by the topological number [10–14]. For repulsive interactions, on the other hand, a key question is how the presence of flat bands affects electron correlation processes. It has been discussed that, in repulsively-interacting flat-band systems, spin alignment lowers the total energy due to unorthogonalizable Wannier orbitals through Pauli’s exclusion principle [3]. For superconductivity, on the other hand, it has been suggested, for systems with coexisting flat and dispersive bands, that an essential process is quantum mechanical virtual hopping of Cooper pairs between the flat and dispersive bands mediated by spin fluctuations in repulsive systems [6,8,15], which involves large entanglement when the flat band is topological [3], see also Fig. 1(a).

Conventional proposals for the flat-band magnetism and superconductivity are primarily for multi-band systems, as exemplified by Lieb’s, Mielke’s and Tasaki’s models, where one of the one-electron bands is flat while other(s) are dispersive. Now, an intriguing question is: can we have interesting phenomena for one-band systems that have flat portions in the dispersion in the Brillouin zone? This is an interesting possibility, since, even when the Fermi energy resides on the dispersive part, quantum states are expected to be significantly changed through not only the pair-scattering processes between the flat and dispersive portions of the band, but also the pair-scatterings within the flat region (which we shall show is not fully-filled as a correlation effect), both with many channels (see Fig. 1). This will be quite outside the conventional “nesting physics” for Fermi surfaces defined for dispersive bands. To address this problem, here we explore two flat-band models, where we start with a tight-binding model with nearest- and next-nearest neighbor hoppings. By controlling them, we have flat regions in the dispersion with vanishing group velocity in the Brillouin zone. To single out the effect of the flat part, we consider a second model, in which we truncate the dispersion into a flat one. In Ref. [16] the determinantal quantum Monte Carlo method (DQMC) is used to show an enhanced correlation and superconductivity in the truncated model in the weak-coupling regime and at high temperatures.

In these flat regions the density of one-electron states diverges, so that perturbative approaches, e.g. the Schrieffer-Wolff transformation [17], might fail even in the weak electron-electron coupling regime. We can also pose an interesting question of whether non-Fermi liquid behavior can arise when flat portions exist in the dispersion. Thus we adopt here the determinant quantum Monte Carlo (DQMC) method, along with the FLEX+DMFT method [20–23] which is the combination of the dynamical mean-field theory (DMFT) [23,25] and fluctuation exchange approximation (FLEX) [26,27]. The former is numerically exact, while the latter is diagrammatic but can deal with Mott insulating
phases. With these we explore magnetism, superconductivity, and non-Fermi liquid properties for varied band filling. The obtained results exhibit a dominant ferromagnetic spin correlation at small band fillings, and antiferromagnetic spin structure toward the half-filling. For superconductivity, we shall show that the pairing symmetry changes from spin-triplet to singlet. A new finding is that the gap function, depending on the filling for the Fermi energy around the boundary between the flat and dispersive parts, has various numbers of nodal lines in momentum space, which translate to spatially extended pairings in real space. These are intimately related with a doping-sensitive spin structure of the system. We shall also reveal that non-Fermi liquid behavior indeed arises in various observables such as a momentum distribution function that is uniform but fractional over the flat region, and the self-energy of the many-body system with fractional-power frequency dependence. Thus we shall surmise that partially-flat band systems indeed harbor a quite different and versatile physics from the ordinary bands.

**Model Hamiltonian and Methods.** We consider the Hubbard model on the square lattice,

\[
H = \sum_{k\sigma} \varepsilon_{k\sigma} c_{k\sigma}^\dagger c_{k\sigma} + U \sum_i n_{i\uparrow} n_{i\downarrow} - \mu \sum_i n_{i\sigma},
\]

where \( c_{k\sigma}^\dagger \) creates an electron with spin \( \sigma \) and momentum \( k \), \( \varepsilon_k \) is the noninteracting band dispersion, \( n_{i\sigma} = c_{i\sigma}^\dagger c_{i\sigma} \), \( U (> 0) \) is the repulsive on-site interaction, and \( \mu \) is the chemical potential.

Here we consider two models (see Fig. 1): a \( t-t' \) model with the nearest-neighbor (\( t \)) and the second-nearest-neighbor (\( t' \)) hoppings with a partially-flat band, along with an “ideal partially-flat band” (iPFB), with respective dispersions given as

\[
\varepsilon_{k}^{t-t'} = -2t[\cos(k_x) + \cos(k_y)] - 4t' \cos(k_x) \cos(k_y),
\]

\[
\varepsilon_{k}^{\text{iPFB}} = \left[ 1 + F \text{sign}(\varepsilon_{k}^{\text{NN}}) \right] \varepsilon_{k}^{\text{NN}}.
\]

In the latter, \( \varepsilon_{k}^{\text{NN}} = -2t[\cos(k_x) + \cos(k_y)] \) is the nearest-neighbor hopping (NN) model, and the parameter \( F \) controls the truncation of the dispersion into a perfectly flat part, e.g., for \( F = 1 \) the negative-energy part of the cosine band is flattened as displayed in Fig. 1(b). To have the same total band width as the NN (\( W_{\text{tot}} = 8 \)), we set \( \varepsilon_{k}^{\text{iPFB}} = 2\varepsilon_{k}^{\text{NN}} \) for the positive part when \( F = 1 \). For the \( t-t' \) band, we set the second-nearest-neighbor hopping to \( t' = -0.548t \) to minimize the curvature of the flat region along the \( \Gamma - M \) lines as in Fig. 1(a). In this paper we take \( t \) as the unit of energy.

As for the band filling, \( \langle n \rangle = \langle n_{\uparrow} \rangle + \langle n_{\downarrow} \rangle \), the noninteracting Fermi energy lies close to the flat region for \( \langle n \rangle < 1 \). Here we study paramagnetic phases with no spin imbalance with FLEX+DMFT. In FLEX+DMFT, the local self-energy is obtained from the DMFT procedure, with the FLEX local self-energy subtracted to avoid double counting. As an impurity solver for the DMFT we adopt the modified iterative perturbation theory \([28, 29]\). For FLEX+DMFT, we do not address the regimes with \( U > \) bandwidth and very dilute fillings for convergence reasons. To sanity check and benchmark our FLEX+DMFT results, we compare them with DQMC results at relatively high temperatures where the sign problem is absent. In the DQMC, due to the fermionic sign problem the accessible temperature \( (T) \) for \( U \leq 2 \) is restricted to \( T \leq U/15 \), see also Ref. \([16]\), while we can go to lower \( T \) in FLEX+DMFT. DQMC simulations are performed on a \( 16 \times 16 \) periodic cluster, while FLEX+DMFT is performed for a \( 64 \times 64 \) momentum grid.

**Results.** Let us first look at the double occupancy as a function of the band filling in the present models in Fig. 2. There, we plot the ratio between the double occupancy \( \langle n_{\uparrow} n_{\downarrow} \rangle \) and the uncorrelated value \( \langle n_{\uparrow} \rangle \langle n_{\downarrow} \rangle = (\langle n \rangle)^2/4 \). For the ordinary cosine (NN) band, this quantity starts to increase, in the strong-coupling regime, above half-filling \( \langle \langle n \rangle \rangle > 1 \) where the number of electrons exceeds the number of lattice sites, e.g., see inset of Fig. 2(a) in Ref. \([16]\). If we first look at the result for the iPFB model, we can see quite a different behavior, where the double occupancy starts to increase at \( \langle n \rangle \approx 0.6 \) well below half-filling, in both FLEX+DMFT [squares in Fig. 2(b)] and DQMC results (solid curves).

We can particularly note that even at a weak \( U = 0.5 \) the double occupancy has magnitudes comparable with those in the NN model at strong \( U > 8 \). This implies that accommodating up and down spin electrons in the flat portion of the band is more favorable than singly occupying the entire band. Namely, the flat region plays a significant role already in the occupancy, with suppressed double occupancy occurring in the less than half-filled regime. Thus we can deduce that even weak interactions are sufficient to make room for the emergence of correlation phenomena in partially flat-band cases. To elaborate this, we can turn to the double occupancy for the \( t-t' \) model obtained with FLEX+DMFT [solid curves in Fig. 2(a)]. We again encounter the suppressed double occupancy well below the half-filling. The double occupancy in the \( t-t' \) model is greater than those in the iPFB, which is understandable since the flat region in the former is much narrower than in the iPFB.

To look more closely at the electron configuration between the flat and dispersive parts (i.e., how electrons doubly occupy the flat regions before the dispersive regions are filled), we present the momentum-dependent distribution function \( n_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{1}{2} \langle c_{\mathbf{k}\uparrow}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{k}\uparrow} + c_{\mathbf{k}\downarrow}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{k}\downarrow} \rangle \),...
FLEX+DMFT

Figure 2: Double occupancy normalized by the uncorrelated value for the $t$-$t'$ [solid curves in (a)] and iPFB [squares in (b)] models, obtained with the FLEX+DMFT. Solid curves with error bars in (b) represent DQMC result for the iPFB model \[16\]. The results are for $U = 0.5 - 2$ at temperature $T = U/15$. Error bars are determined by jackknife resampling.

Figure 3: (a) Momentum-dependent distribution function $n_k$ for the $t$-$t'$ model at filling $\langle n \rangle = 0.5$. (b) The same for the iPFB model at filling $\langle n \rangle = 0.62$. Results are computed with FLEX+DMFT on a $64 \times 64$ momentum grid. We have $U = 2$ and an inverse temperature $\beta = 7.5$ for both results.

Figure 4: (Top panels) Color-coded spin-susceptibilities in the $t$-$t'$ model for fillings $\langle n \rangle = 0.7$ (a), 0.9 (b), and 0.94 (c). (Bottom) The same for the iPFB model for fillings $\langle n \rangle = 0.7$ (d), 0.9 (e), and 0.94 (f). Maxima in panel (f) are located at $(\pm \pi, \pm \pi)$. All the results are for $U = 3$, $\beta = 33$, and note different color codes for different panels.

occupation in the flat region around $k_x = 0$ and $k_y = 0$ has values $0.7 < n_k < 0.85$. For the iPFB model at $\langle n \rangle = 0.62$, we can see an almost constant and half-filled $(0.52 < n_k < 0.55)$ distribution over the flat region delineated by $|k_x| + |k_y| \leq \pi$ in that model. Larger occupation in the $t$-$t'$ model should again be related to the fact that the flat region is narrower. These results show that the flat portion is first doubly-occupied before the dispersive portion starts to be occupied. This implies that the occupation is selectively changed in the flat region with basically the same area as we increase the filling in both models, so that Luttinger’s theorem \[30\] does not seem to apply, and we do not observe jumps from one to zero in $n_k$ either, which suggest a non-Fermi liquid behavior. We come back to this point below in terms of the frequency behavior of the self-energy.

We now examine the filling dependence of the spin structure. Figure 4 presents the static spin correlation function, $\chi_s(q) = 2 \int_0^{\pi} d\tau \langle S_x^q(\tau) S_x^q(0) \rangle$ for the $t$-$t'$ (upper panels) and iPFB (lower models) at $U = 3$, $\beta = 33$, and $\langle n \rangle = 0.7 - 0.94$. Both models exhibit a crossover from ferromagnetic to antiferromagnetic spin fluctuations. This is expected, as the half-filling of the flat regions may tend to form spin alignment as in the (multi)flat-band models. If we look closely at the spin structure, the peaks tend to shift away from $q = 0$ for ferromagnetic case, or from $(\pm \pi, \pm \pi)$ for antiferromagnetic case as the filling is varied. A smaller overall value of the spin susceptibility in Fig.4(c) may be attributed to coexistence of spin fluctuations coming from occupied flat and dispersive portions of the $t$-$t'$ band. As for the charge susceptibility, $\chi_c(q) = \int_0^{\beta} d\tau \langle n_q(\tau) n_{-q}(0) \rangle$, we observe a similar trend in both of the iPFB and $t$-$t'$ models, but $\chi_c$ is an order of magnitude smaller than the spin susceptibility. We shall indeed see below that the spin structure governs the structures of the self-energy, local spectral function and pairing.
Now we are in position to explore the superconducting phases with the linearized Eliashberg equation,

$$\lambda \Delta(k) = -\frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{k'} V_{\text{eff}}(k-k')G(k')G(-k')\Delta(k'),$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)$$

where $k \equiv (k, i\omega_n)$ and $k' \equiv (k', i\omega'_n)$, with $\omega_n$ ($\omega'_n$) being the Matsubara frequency and $\sum_n = 1$. Here the effective pairing interaction is $V_{\text{eff}} = U + 3U^2\chi_s/2 - U^2\chi_c/2$, $G$ is Green’s function, $\Delta$ is the gap function, and $\lambda$ is the eigenvalue with the largest $\lambda = 1$ corresponding to $T_c$. Figure 5 plots $\lambda$ for singlet (filled symbols) and triplet (empty) pairings for the $t$-$t'$, iPFB and NN models.

In the iPFB model, triplet pairing is favored with larger $\lambda$, which indicates the importance of the flat regions accompanied by ferromagnetic fluctuations, until singlet pairing becomes rapidly dominating as we approach $\langle n \rangle = 1$. In the $t$-$t'$ model, with narrower flat portion and smaller ferromagnetic fluctuations (see Fig. 4), singlet pairing dominates over the region studied here. Both of these are in dramatic contrast with the usual NN model, where the singlet d-wave pairing dominates with a dome structure in $\lambda$ around $\langle n \rangle \approx 0.9$ \cite{20, 21, 32}. The sharp enhancement in the singlet pairing close to the half-filling in the iPFB, which should come from the prevailing antiferromagnetic fluctuations, has $\lambda$ that is larger than $t$-$t'$ and even NN counterparts. Interestingly, the singlet pairing in the iPFB takes over the triplet (an arrow in Fig. 5) when the flat-band filling exceeds $3/4$ (see Appendix C), which coincides with a critical filling, $\langle n \rangle_c$, at which the DMFT spin susceptibility is peaked (see Appendix B) and the exponent in the self-energy is peaked (see Fig. 8(b)) below. As for the singlet pairing in the $t$-$t'$ model, we can see that $\lambda$ has a maximum around $\langle n \rangle_c$ for this model.

So let us now fathom these results in terms of filling-dependent singlet and triplet gap functions in momentum space in Fig. 6 or in real space in Fig. 7 for the $t$-$t'$ and iPFB models. We can see that all the gap functions are anisotropic and possess nodal lines whose number sensitively depends on the filling. Unraveling the functional forms of the gap function for the iPFB model is very challenging. In the $t$-$t'$ model, the pairing symmetry is more or less d-wave in some cases (as in Fig. 6(b), Fig. 7(b)), but generally admits structures, $\Delta_{\text{singlet}}(k) = \cos(\gamma k_x) - \cos(\gamma k_y)$, \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $\gamma$ characterizes the number of nodal lines along with the shape of the pair in real space. For instance, we have $\gamma = 1 \rightarrow 2$ as we go $\langle n \rangle = 0.94 \rightarrow 0.7$ in the $t$-$t'$ model. This shows that, as we go away from the half-filling where antiferromagnetic fluctuations dominate, the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ symmetry with $\gamma = 1$ changes into something more complicated.

If we turn to the gap functions in real space in Figs. 7, we realize that the larger the number of nodal lines, the more ex-
tended the pairs over several lattice spacings in real space. Similar long-range pairings have also been explored for quasi-one-dimensional and 2D systems [33, 34], where each pair becomes more extended in space as we go from p-wave to d and f with increased number of nodes. For the triplet gap function [35] in Fig. 6 (c,d,g,h), we also tend to have spatially extended pairing with larger numbers of nodes. For the iPFB model, triplet gap functions are close to a simple p-wave, \( \Delta_{\text{triplet}}(k) = \sin(k_x) \pm \sin(k_y) \). In the literature, the random-phase approximation (RPA) has been used to obtain the filling-dependent gap symmetry in the t-t' model [36]. The present results are different, e.g., we do not observe s-waves, which should be due to the self-energy effects which incorporate more extended states.

In Fig. 5 we can see that the value of \( \alpha \) in our partially flat-band systems tends to be lower than that for the NN model. While this may be related to a more compact pairing in the latter, we do have effects peculiar to the flat-band cases in that, for the iPFB, (i) the singlet \( \lambda \) sharply blows up toward the filling \( 1 \), and (ii) before this occurs the triplet pairing is favored, which does not tend to occur in ordinary bands. For t-t', (iii) we have a change in the number of nodes around the dip of \( \lambda \) (marked with a vertical dashed line in Fig. 5).

We finally look into the Fermi-liquid properties in terms of the self-energy. In Fig. 8 (a,c) we plot the imaginary part of the DMFT self-energies in the t-t' (a) and iPFB (c) models, for average electron densities \( \langle n \rangle = 0.7 - 1.0 \) at \( U = 3, \beta = 33 \). To quantify non-Fermi liquid behavior, we fit the imaginary part of the DMFT impurity self-energy to \( \text{Im} \Sigma_{\text{imp}}(i\omega_n) \propto \omega_n^\alpha \), at the two lowest Matsubara frequencies. Then \( \alpha = 1 \) characterizes the Fermi liquids, while \( \alpha < 0.5 \) will signify bad-metallic behavior [37-39]. The reason we take the DMFT impurity self-energy is we want to look at the local (momentum-integrated) self-energy, which we shall later compare with the DMFT impurity spin-susceptibility. We can note that the DMFT impurity self-energy and the local FLEX+DMFT self-energy differ only slightly even at intermediate \( U \). We can then see in Fig. 8 (b) that the exponent \( \alpha \) increases up to a critical filling \( \langle n \rangle_c \) that depends whether we have the t-t' or iPFB models. We shall also see that the DMFT impurity spin-susceptibility \( \chi_s^{\text{imp}} \) has a peak precisely around the \( \langle n \rangle_c \) for the self-energy as shown in Appendix C below. For further increase of the filling \( \alpha \) starts to decrease. The t-t' has \( \langle n \rangle_c = 0.82 \), while iPFB has \( \langle n \rangle_c = 0.94 \) for \( U = 3, \beta = 33 \). The value \( \langle n \rangle_c = 0.94 \) in the iPFB corresponds to the filling at which the flat part of the band is about \( 3/4 \)-filled, namely we have \( 0.71 < n_k < 0.83 \) on the flat portion in the momentum-dependent distribution function (see Appendix C). A bad-metallic behavior also appears as deformations in the local spectral functions, obtained via analytic continuation with the Pade approximation, see Fig. 8 (d). Particularly for \( \langle n \rangle > \langle n \rangle_c \), local spectral functions exhibit strong changes with an emergence of multi-peaks that are separated by \( \omega \ll U \), see also Appendix D.

Conclusion. To summarize, we have studied two partially flat-band models (iPFB and t-t'), with DQMC and FLEX+DMFT methods. We conclude that the sequence of the dominant pairing symmetries is dramatically different from the ordinary band. This occurs in both models, with a difference coming from different sizes of the flat regions. Specifically, triplet pairings can be favored due to ferromagnetic fluctuations. Another manifestation of the flat band is pairings that are very extended in real space with larger number of nodes than usual, which occur in a filling-dependent manner. We identify these as a peculiar occurrence of correlation effects in flat-band systems that can occur even for intermediate electron-electron interactions. We have also shown that non-Fermi liquid like behavior exists in the momentum distribution function, a power-law frequency dependence of the self-energy and the local spectral functions.

We can note that vanishing group velocity occurs at a point in van Hove singularity, and its effect on correlation physics has been discussed [40], so it may be interesting to examine possible relations. Another interesting future problem is to compare the one-band physics here with multiband flat-band models [41]. The partially flat-band models proposed here require distant hopping amplitudes. In real materials, an organic \( \tau \)-type conductor, for instance, has been investigated experimentally, and distant hoppings are theoretically shown to give rise to a partially flat band [42]. As for inorganic materials, ruthenate superconductors [43, 44] and some iron-chalcogenides [45, 46] have partially flat bands, although they are multi-band systems. In these systems, competition between various pairing symmetries [47, 48], fractional power-law behavior in the optical conductivity [49, 51], and (anti)ferromagnetic spin structures [52, 53] have been discussed.

On the other hand, there is a recent upheaval of interests in the twisted bilayer graphene, where the band structures are shown to have flat portions on hexagonal lattices [54, 64]. This further highlights the need to generically understand the consequences of partially flat bands as we have studied here.
We can indeed extend the present idea on tetragonal lattices to hexagonal cases, which will be an interesting future problem.
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[40] T. Misumi and H. Aoki, Phys. Rev. B 96, 155137 (2017), propose a class of models where a flat band pierces right in the middle of a dispersive band, so that each band in this system is a partially flat band.
Appendix A: Comparison of DQMC and FLEX+DMFT results for momentum-dependent distribution function

Let us here compare in Fig. 9 the momentum-dependent distribution functions obtained for the iPFB model with the DQMC and FLEX+DMFT approaches, for $U=2$, $\beta=7.5$ and $\langle n \rangle = 0.62$. The size and shape of the occupied regions are seen to accurately agree between the two results. More precisely, the electron occupancy in the flat portions ranges 0.52-0.55 in the DQMC (a), and 0.52-0.57 in the FLEX+DMFT (b).

Appendix B: DMFT impurity spin-susceptibility

Let us display in Fig.10 how the momentum-dependent distribution function, $n_k$, looks like right at the critical filling $\langle n \rangle_c = 0.82$ for $t-t'$, and 0.94 for the iPFB model. The occupation of the flat portion of the band in the iPFB system varies from 0.71 to 0.83, i.e., about 3/4 occupation. In the $t-t'$, the flat portion is fully occupied, which is linked to the narrower size of the flat region of this band. These results should be compared with Fig.3, where the flat portion is about 1/2 occupied in iPFB.

Appendix C: Momentum-dependent distribution functions for the $t-t'$ and iPFB models

We display in Fig.11 how the momentum-dependent distribution function, $n_k$, looks like right at the critical filling $\langle n \rangle_c = 0.82$ for $t-t'$, and 0.94 for the iPFB model. The occupation of the flat portion of the band in the iPFB system varies from 0.71 to 0.83, i.e., about 3/4 occupation. In the $t-t'$, the flat portion is fully occupied, which is linked to the narrower size of the flat region of this band. These results should be compared with Fig.3, where the flat portion is about 1/2 occupied in iPFB.
Figure 11: Momentum-dependent distribution function, $n_k$, at the critical filling $\langle n \rangle_c = 0.82$ for the $t-t'$ (a), and 0.94 for the iPFB (b) models.

at $\Gamma$ and $X$ points

We present the momentum-dependent spectral functions at $\Gamma$ (0, 0) and X (0, $\pi$) points in the Brillouin zone for band filling $\langle n \rangle = 0.94$ in iPFB and $\langle n \rangle = 0.81$ in $t-t'$ models in Fig. 12. The spectrum is obtained with Padé approximation. In both panels, shoulder-like features are present at the $\Gamma$ point, which is a correlation effect. This feature is also appears at (0, $\pi$) in the iPFB model. The result should be compared with the local spectral functions in Fig. 8(d).

Figure 12: Spectral functions at $\Gamma$ (solid lines) and X (dotted) points in $t-t'$ (a) and iPFB (b) models for $U = 3$, $\beta = 33$, and band filling $\langle n \rangle = 0.81$ (a) and $\langle n \rangle = 0.94$ (b). Spectral function at X point in (a) is devided by a factor of 5.