Topological band theory for non-Hermitian systems from a quantum field viewpoint
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We identify and investigate two classes of non-Hermitian systems, i.e., one resulting from Lorentz-symmetry violation (LSV) and the other from a complex mass (CM) with Lorentz invariance, from the perspective of quantum field theory. The mechanisms to break, and approaches to restore, the bulk-boundary correspondence in these two types of non-Hermitian systems are clarified. The non-Hermitian system with LSV shows a non-Hermitian skin effect, and its topological phase can be characterized by mapping it to the Hermitian system via a non-compact U(1) gauge transformation. In contrast, there exists no non-Hermitian skin effect for the non-Hermitian system with CM. Moreover, the conventional bulk-boundary correspondence holds in this (CM) system. We also consider a general non-Hermitian system in the presence of both LSV and CM, and we generalize its bulk-boundary correspondence.

Introduction.—Topological band theory for Hermitian systems, such as topological insulators and topological superconductors [1–14], has made remarkable progress in the past two decades. In recent years, the topological properties of non-Hermitian systems have attracted considerable attention [15–67]. Non-Hermitian Hamiltonians can describe open systems with gain and/or loss [68], interacting and disordered systems with finite lifetimes of quasiparticles [42, 69–71], and have many unique features, e.g., the existence of exceptional points causing eigenstates to coalesce and making Hamiltonians non-diagonalizable [72–77]. Non-Hermitian Hamiltonians have been successfully applied to explain experiments in various platforms including, photonic [78–90] and mechanical systems [91–93]. The introduction of non-Hermiticity into band theory induces many novel topological properties, which are significantly different from their Hermitian counterparts, e.g., Weyl exceptional rings [26], bulk Fermi arcs [37] and breakdown of conventional bulk-boundary correspondence [46–48]. In contrast to the Hermitian case, the bulk spectra of non-Hermitian systems strongly rely on the boundary conditions [46–49]. Therefore, topological invariants, defined by non-Hermitian Bloch Hamiltonians, usually fail to characterize topological phases in non-Hermitian systems, which leads to the breakdown of the conventional bulk-boundary correspondence. Although many efforts have been made to propose new topological invariants, e.g. non-Bloch winding and Chern numbers [47, 48], to restore the bulk-boundary correspondence of non-Hermitian Hamiltonians [47–49, 63, 64], it remains a challenge to understand and characterize the topological phases of non-Hermitian systems. For example, for a non-Hermitian Hamiltonian, should its topological phase follow the Bloch-wave or non-Bloch-wave behavior? This is not uncovered in Refs. [47, 48].

In this Letter, we investigate the topological phases of non-Hermitian systems from the viewpoint of quantum field theory. According to both Dirac and current-conservation equations, non-Hermitian systems can mainly be classified into two classes: one resulting from Lorentz symmetry violation (LSV), and the other from a complex mass (CM) with Lorentz invariance. We clarify the mechanisms to break the conventional bulk-boundary correspondence in these two types of non-Hermitian systems, and develop approaches to generalize the bulk-boundary correspondence. In particular, the topological phases of non-Hermitian Hamiltonians with LSV can be described by non-Bloch topological invariants, while those with CM follow the Bloch-wave behavior. The non-Hermitian Su-Schrieffer-Heeger (SSH) [94], Qi-Wang-Zhang (QWZ) [95] models and the disordered Kitaev chain [3] exemplify our approaches. Remarkably, our approach can unperturbatively predict the topological phases of 2D non-Hermitian systems. We also discuss a general non-Hermitian system containing both LSV and CM non-Hermiticities.

Hermitian Dirac equation.—Topological band theory can be described by the Dirac equation [12, 99]: $\mathcal{H} = \psi (i \gamma \cdot \hat{\nabla} + m) \psi$, where $m$ is the mass of the particle, and $\gamma^\mu$s satisfy the Clifford algebra \{γ^μ, γ^ν\} = 2η^μν. In
and current equations to the non-Hermitian cases. In the following sections, we generalize the Hermitian Dirac equation to regions with opposite signs of the mass, there exist zero-energy states, representing the critical points of the topological quantum phase transition. If we consider a domain-wall defect sandwiched by two regions with opposite signs of the mass, there exist gapless boundary modes localized at the interface. In addition, we have the conserved current equation $\partial_t \rho - \nabla \cdot \vec{j} = 0$, with $\rho = \bar{\psi} \gamma^0 \psi$ and $\vec{j} = \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \psi$. For $m = 0$, there exists zero-energy states, representing the critical points of the topological quantum phase transition. If we consider a domain-wall defect sandwiched by two regions with opposite signs of the mass, there exist gapless boundary modes localized at the interface. In the following sections, we generalize the Hermitian Dirac equation to the non-Hermitian cases.

**Non-Hermiticity with LSV**—As $\mathcal{H}$ is $U(1)$ gauge invariant, we only need to consider a compact $U(1)$ gauge group in the Hermitian case. With a specific non-compact $U(1)$ gauge transformation on $\mathcal{H}$, then

$$\mathcal{H}_{LV} = \bar{\psi} \left[ \nabla \chi \cdot (i \nabla + \vec{A}) + m \right] \psi,$$

(1)

where $\vec{A} = \nabla \chi = \vec{A}' + i \vec{A}^1$ is a complex vector potential, with real vectors $\vec{A}'$ and $\vec{A}^1$. In this case, $\mathcal{H}_{LV}$ becomes non-Hermitian for $\vec{A}^1 \neq 0$. Here, the Lorentz symmetry is broken, and we label this type of non-Hermiticity as LSV [100]. The eigenenergies of $\mathcal{H}_{LV}$ are real, and have the same values as those of the Hermitian Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ in spite of the non-Hermitian terms. However, in contrast to the eigenstates of $\mathcal{H}$, the real-space right (left) eigenstates of the continuum non-Hermitian Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_{LV}$ have an extra phase $e^{-i\chi}$ with complex $\chi$. Therefore, the states of $\mathcal{H}_{LV}$ become exponentially localized, which exactly reverts to the non-Hermitian skin effect [47, 48]. Furthermore, because $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{LV}$ can be transformed to each other by a gauge transformation, they are topologically equivalent.

For non-Hermitian systems, the real-space bands can be considerably different from those in $k$ space. The eigenenergies of $\mathcal{H}_{LV}$ with a constant $\vec{A}$ in $k$ space are

$$E_k = \pm \sqrt{(k + \vec{A} + i \vec{A})^2 + m^2},$$

and can be complex, while they are real in real space. Therefore, the energy spectra of non-Hermitian systems strongly depend on its boundary conditions. Moreover, the bulk-boundary correspondence in this non-Hermitian system with LSV is correspondingly broken. To uncover the nature of such breakdown, we consider the current equation for $\mathcal{H}_{LV}$:

$$\partial_t \rho - \nabla \cdot \vec{j} = -2 \vec{A}' \cdot \vec{j}.$$  (2)

Equation (2) indicates that there exists an intrinsic current due to non-Hermitian terms, which violates the current conservation. Moreover, the current suffers from an ambiguity under periodic boundary conditions. The current behaviors in real space and $k$ space indicate the breakdown of the conventional bulk-boundary correspondence. To preserve the current conservation and overcome this ambiguity, we replace $\vec{k}$ with $(\vec{k} - \vec{A})$ to cancel the effect of the gauge transformation. Then, after applying the Fourier transformation to have a real-space form, the conserved current equation is restored. Moreover, the topological invariant, defined by the $k$ space Hamiltonian after replacing $\vec{k}$ with $(\vec{k} - \vec{A})$, reflects the topological phases of $\mathcal{H}_{LV}$ in real space, which restores the bulk-boundary correspondence.

As in the case for the above continuum model, the topological phases of the lattice model in the presence of the non-Hermiticity with LSV can be also investigated from the perspective of the non-compact $U(1)$ gauge transformation. To clarify this, we begin with a Hermitian lattice Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_0 = \sum_{\alpha,\beta} e^{\delta_{\alpha \beta}} c_{\alpha,i}^\dagger h_{ij}^{\alpha \beta} c_{\beta,j}$ with lattice sites $i,j$ and band indices $\alpha,\beta$. By a non-compact $U(1)$ gauge transformation [101], $\mathcal{H}_0$ becomes $\mathcal{H} = e^{i\chi} \mathcal{H}_0 e^{-i\chi} \mathcal{H} = \sum_{\alpha,\beta} e^{\delta_{\alpha \beta}} c_{\alpha,i}^\dagger \tilde{h}_{ij}^{\alpha \beta} \exp(i \delta_{\alpha \beta}) c_{\beta,j}$, where $A_{ij}$ is a lattice vector potential. If $e^{i\chi}$ is unitary with a real $A_{ij}$, $\mathcal{H}$ remains Hermitian. However, for the non-unitary transformation $e^{i\chi}$ with a complex $A_{ij}$, $\mathcal{H}$ becomes non-Hermitian with LSV. Moreover, as in the case of the continuum model, $\mathcal{H}$ exhibits the non-Hermitian skin effect, and breaks the conventional bulk-boundary correspondence. Because $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{H}_0$ are topologically equivalent, the topological phases of the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ in the presence of non-Hermiticity with LSV can be characterized by mapping it to the Hermitian form with a non-compact $U(1)$ gauge transformation.

We now consider two concrete 1D and 2D non-Hermitian models with LSV. For the 1D SSH model with an asymmetric intercell hopping:

$$\mathcal{H}_1 = \sum_n t_1 c_{A,n}^\dagger c_{B,n} + t_2 c_{B,n}^\dagger c_{A,n} + (t_2 + \Gamma) c_{A,n+1}^\dagger c_{B,n} + (t_2 - \Gamma) c_{B,n}^\dagger c_{A,n+1} \equiv \sum_{\alpha,\beta} e^{\delta_{\alpha \beta}} c_{\alpha,i}^\dagger \tilde{h}_{ij}^{\alpha \beta} c_{\beta,j},$$

(3)

where $\alpha,\beta$ are orbital indices representing the $A,B$...
sub-lattices, respectively. We rewrite $H_1$ as $H_1 = \sum_{\alpha\beta ij} c^\dagger_{\alpha i} \hat{h}_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} e^{i A_{ij}} c_{\beta j}$, where $\hat{h}_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}$ is the standard Hermitian SSH model. Substituting it into Eq. (3), we can obtain $A_{ij} = -i \ln \sqrt{(t_2 - \Gamma)/(t_2 + \Gamma)}$. The modified intracell and intercell hopping strengths appearing in $\hat{h}_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}$ are $t_1 = t_1$ and $t_2 = \sqrt{(t_2 - \Gamma)(t_2 + \Gamma)}$, respectively. According to the above discussions, the non-Hermitian Hamiltonian $H_1$ and the transformed Hermitian Hamiltonian $H_1 = \sum_{\alpha\beta ij} c^\dagger_{\alpha i} \hat{h}_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} c_{\beta j}$ are topologically equivalent. Therefore, $H_1$ is topologically nontrivial for $-t_2 < t_1 < t_2$. Note that this generalized bulk-boundary correspondence is the same as the one derived by the non-Bloch-wave method in Ref. [47].

We then consider the 2D non-Hermitian QWZ model [48, 95], which describes a Chern insulator:

$$H_2(\tilde{k}) = (\sin k_x + i\delta_x)\sigma_x + (\sin k_y + i\delta_y)\sigma_y + (m - \cos k_x - \cos k_y)\sigma_z. \quad (4)$$

To restore the bulk-boundary correspondence, the non-Bloch-wave method in perturbation theory was used in [48]; while we can solve it exactly. We map $H_2(\tilde{k})$ to the corresponding Hermitian Hamiltonian by a non-compact $U(1)$ gauge transformation by replacing $(k_x, k_y)$ with $(k_x + iA_x, k_y + iA_y)$. Here, both $A_x$ and $A_y$ are real and $\tilde{k}$-independent, and they can be solved by letting the spectrum of the corresponding Hamiltonian to be real (see Supplemental Material in [102]). Generally, there exist four topological phase boundaries: $m = \pm(\sqrt{1 + \delta_x^2} + \sqrt{1 + \delta_y^2})$, and $m = \pm(\sqrt{1 + \delta_x^2} - \sqrt{1 + \delta_y^2})$, as shown in Fig. 1(a). For the specific case $\delta_x = \delta_y = \delta$, three topological phase boundaries can be found: $m = 0, \pm2\sqrt{1 + \delta^2}$, as shown in Fig. 1(b). In particular, for a small $\delta$ and $m = \pm2\sqrt{1 + \delta^2}$, the topological phase boundaries to first-order approximation are $m = \pm(2 + \delta^2)$, which are consistent with the perturbation results in Ref. [48].

**Non-Hermiticity with CM.**—In addition to the non-Hermiticity induced by LSV, the non-Hermiticity can also result from generalizing some parameters from real to complex. One typical example is the non-Hermitian system whose mass term becomes complex, i.e., $m = m^r + im^i$, with real $m^r$ and $m^i$. The Dirac Hamiltonian of this system reads

$$\mathcal{H}_{CM} = \bar{\psi}(i\nabla \cdot \vec{\gamma} + m^r + im^i)\psi, \quad (5)$$

which is Lorentz invariance. In contrast to the non-Hermitian Hamiltonian with LSV, it cannot be mapped to the Hermitian form by a non-compact $U(1)$ gauge transformation. The current equation is calculated as

$$\partial_t \rho - \vec{\gamma} \cdot \vec{J} = 2m^i\gamma^5 \rho, \quad (6)$$

which indicates that there exists no intrinsic current, and the particles have finite lifetimes.

According to the zero-mode domain wall solution of $\mathcal{H}_{CM}$, $m^i$ contributes to edge localization, while $m^r$ leads to oscillations. Therefore, $m^r = 0$ is the critical point. In $k$ space, the dispersion relation of $\mathcal{H}_{CM}$ is $E_{\pm}(k) = \pm\sqrt{k^2 + (m^r + im^i)^2}$, where the upper and lower bands coalesce at the exceptional points $k_{EP} = \pm|m|^r$ for $m^r = 0$, and the topological phase boundaries are determined by $|E| = 0$. In contrast to the system with LSV, since the non-Hermitian system with CM has no intrinsic current, its energy spectrum is not sensitive to the boundary condition. Therefore, the conventional bulk-boundary correspondence holds for the system in the presence of non-Hermiticity with CM. In the Supplemental Material [102], we give a detailed geometric description of the topological phase transition for this kind of non-Hermitian system. Note that the above discussion for the non-Hermitian continuum model can be directly generalized to the non-Hermitian lattice model with CM, where there exists non-Hermitian skin effect, and the conventional bulk-boundary correspondence holds.

We consider a SSH model in the presence of non-Hermiticity with CM in the Supplemental Material [102], where the system shows no non-Hermitian skin effect, and the topological quantum phase boundary is directly determined by exceptional points.

Alternatively, as discussed in Ref. [52], we can consider a minimal coupling to a two-level environment to describe the non-Hermitian systems with CM. The
coupled Hamiltonian can be written as $H_{\text{eff}} = H_{\text{CM}} \otimes \sigma_z + H_{\text{CM}} \otimes \sigma_x$, where $\sigma_x = (\sigma_z \pm i \sigma_y)/2$. It is shown that the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of $H_{\text{eff}}$ are the singular values and singular matrices of $H_{\text{CM}}$ (see Ref. [102]). Therefore, the minimal coupling is equivalent to solving the singular value decomposition (SVD) of $H_{\text{CM}}$, where $|E| = 0$ represents zero-value singular values of $H_{\text{CM}}$. Therefore, we can also use the SVD to explore the topological properties of the non-Hermitian systems with CM, and overcome the precision problem of numerical diagonalization of non-Hermitian Hamiltonians.

As a concrete non-Hermitian model with CM, we consider a disordered Kitaev chain. The Hamiltonian is $H_4 = H_0 + H_{\text{dis}}$, where

$$H_0 = \sum_n \left[ (-t c_n^{\dagger} c_n + \Delta c_{n+1}^{\dagger} c_n + h.c.) + \mu c_n^{\dagger} c_n \right], \tag{7}$$

and $H_{\text{dis}} = \sum_n U_n c_n^{\dagger} c_n$ denotes diagonal disorder. Here, $t$ and $\Delta$ are real numbers representing the hopping strength and the superconducting gap, respectively, and $U_n \in [-V_0/2, V_0/2]$ is the disorder satisfying an uniform distribution. As shown in Refs. [69–71], we can construct an effective non-Hermitian Hamiltonian by considering the retarded Green’s function $G_{\text{ret}}(k, \omega) = [\omega - H_{\text{eff}}(k)]^{-1}$, where $H_{\text{eff}}(k) = H_0(k) + \Sigma_{\text{ret}}(k, \omega)$, and $\Sigma_{\text{ret}}(k, \omega)$ is the retarded self-energy of the disorder scattering. According to Ref. [102], the effective Hamiltonian has the form

$$H_{\text{eff}}(k) = -2\Delta \sin k \sigma_y + (\bar{\mu} + i \Gamma - 2t \cos k) \sigma_z, \tag{8}$$

where $\bar{\mu}$ is a renormalized chemical potential. This non-Hermitian Hamiltonian effectively describes the disordered Kitaev chain, where the disorder scattering makes the particles possess a finite lifetime, and thus broadens the bands and shrinks the gap [69]. It is thus inferred that the non-Hermiticity in $H_{\text{eff}}(k)$ is attributed to the CM. The conventional bulk-boundary correspondence holds for $H_{\text{eff}}(k)$. The energy spectra of $H_{\text{eff}}(k)$ is shown in Fig. 2(a-c). When $\bar{\mu}^2 < t^2[1 - (\Gamma/\Delta)^2]$, the system is topologically nontrivial supporting Majorana zero modes (see red curves). In particular, according to Refs. [96, 102], for $\mu = 0$ and $t = 1$, the self-energy is $i V_0^2 (1 + \sigma_z)/48$. The disordered Kitaev chain is topologically nontrivial for $|\Delta| > V_0^2/96$, which agrees with the results in Refs. [96–98]. In addition, according to Fig. 2(c,d), the singular values of $H_{\text{eff}}(k)$ directly reflect the topological phase of non-Hermitian systems with CM.

**Non-Hermiticity with mixed LSV and CM.**—As discussed above, the non-Hermiticity can mainly result from LSV or CM. However, for a general non-Hermitian system, there exists both LSV and CM, dubbed here as mixed non-Hermiticity. In this case, due to LSV, the non-Hermitian system with mixed LSV and CM is sensitive to boundary conditions. Therefore, we need to utilize the non-compact $U(1)$ gauge transformation to map such mixed non-Hermitian Hamiltonian to the one containing only a CM. Its topological phases are then determined by this transformed Hamiltonian.

We now consider a mixed non-Hermitian SSH model:

$$H_5 = \sum_n \left[ (t_1 + i \kappa + \Gamma) c_{A,n}^{\dagger} c_{B,n} + (t_1 + i \kappa - \Gamma) c_{B,n}^{\dagger} c_{A,n} + t_2 c_{A,n+1}^{\dagger} c_{B,n} + t_2 c_{B,n}^{\dagger} c_{A,n+1} \right]. \tag{9}$$

By a non-compact $U(1)$ gauge transformation, we can map it to a non-Hermitian Hamiltonian with the only CM term left as

$$\tilde{H}_5 = e^{-S} H_5 e^S = \sum_n \left[ \tilde{t}_1 (c_{A,n}^{\dagger} c_{B,n} + c_{B,n}^{\dagger} c_{A,n}) + \tilde{t}_2 (c_{A,n+1}^{\dagger} c_{B,n} + c_{B,n}^{\dagger} c_{A,n+1}) \right], \tag{10}$$

where $e^S = \text{diag}(1, r, r, r^2, r^2, \ldots, r^{L-1}, r^{L-1}, r^L)$ [47], and $r = \sqrt{(t_1 + i \kappa - \Gamma)/(t_1 + i \kappa + \Gamma)}$. Note that the Hamiltonians $\tilde{H}_5$ and $H_5$ are topologically equivalent. The effective intracell and intercell hopping strengths for the transformed Hamiltonian $\tilde{H}_5$ is obtained as $\tilde{t}_1 = \sqrt{(t_1 + i \kappa - \Gamma)(t_1 + i \kappa + \Gamma)}$ and $\tilde{t}_2 = t_2$, respectively. Therefore, for $t_1^2 \leq \Gamma^2 - \kappa^2 + \sqrt{t_1^2 - 4 \Gamma^2 \kappa^2}$, $H_5$ is topologically nontrivial supporting zero-energy boundary modes, as shown in Fig. 3(a,b). The energy spectrum of the mixed non-Hermitian SSH model is sensitive to its boundary conditions [see Fig. 3(b,c)]. In addition, by comparing Fig. 3(c) with 3(d), the singular values of the SVD cannot directly reflect the topological phase of the mixed non-Hermitian systems.

**Conclusion.**—The topological phases of non-Hermitian systems are studied from the viewpoint of quantum field theory. Using both Dirac and current-conservation equations, we identified and investigated two classes of non-Hermiticities due to LSV and CM. We also addressed the mechanisms to break the conventional bulk-boundary correspondence in these two types of non-Hermitian systems. There exists intrinsic current and non-Hermitian skin effects in the non-Hermitian system with LSV. The bulk-boundary correspondence can be restored by mapping it to the Hermitian case via a non-compact $U(1)$ gauge transformation. In contrast, the non-Hermitian system with CM shows no intrinsic current, while the particles in this system have a finite lifetime. Moreover, there exists no non-Hermitian skin effect, and the conventional bulk-boundary correspondence holds in this system.
In addition, the singular values of the SVD can be utilized to directly reflect the topological phase of this system. We also studied a general non-Hermitian system containing both LSV and CM non-Hermiticities, and suggested the approaches to generalize its bulk-boundary correspondence.
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SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

TOPOLOGICAL BAND THEORY FOR NON-HERMITIAN SYSTEMS FROM A QUANTUM FIELD VIEWPOINT

I. Non-Hermitian Qi-Wu-Zhang Model with Lorentz symmetry violation

The Qi-Wu-Zhang (QWZ) model is an example of a 2D model describing a Chern insulator. The topological phases of the non-Hermitian QWZ model have been investigated using the non-Bloch-wave method in the framework of perturbation theory in Ref. [S1]. However, we can solve it exactly via a non-compact $U(1)$ gauge transformation. Here, we present the details to obtain the phase diagram of the non-Hermitian QWZ model with the Hamiltonian

$$H_2(\tilde{k}) = (\sin k_x + i\gamma_x)\sigma_x + (\sin k_y + i\gamma_y)\sigma_y + (m - \cos k_x - \cos k_y)\sigma_z.$$  \hfill (S1)

By replacing $\tilde{k}$ with $(\tilde{k} + i\tilde{A})$, we have

$$\tilde{H}_2(\tilde{k}) = [\sin(k_x + iA_x) + i\gamma_x]\sigma_x + [\sin(k_y + iA_y) + i\gamma_y]\sigma_y + [m - \cos(k_x + iA_x) - \cos(k_y + iA_y)]\sigma_z. \hfill (S2)$$

The spectrum of $\tilde{H}_2(\tilde{k})$ can be written as

$$E^2 = [\sin(k_x + iA_x) + i\delta_x]^2 + [\sin(k_y + iA_y) + i\delta_y]^2 + [m - \cos(k_x + iA_x) - \cos(k_y + iA_y)]^2$$

$$= m^2 + 2 - \delta_x^2 - \delta_y^2 + 2\gamma_x\sin A_x \cdot \cos k_x + i \sinh A_x \cdot \cos k_x + 2i\delta_y\sinh A_y \cdot \sin k_y + 2i\gamma_y \sin A_y \cdot \cos k_y)$$

$$- 2m(\cosh A_x \cdot \cos k_x - i \sin A_x \cdot \sin k_x) - 2m(\cosh A_y \cdot \cos k_y - i \sinh A_y \cdot \sin k_y)$$

$$+ 2(\cosh A_x \cdot \cos k_x - i \sinh A_x \cdot \sin k_x)(\cosh A_y \cdot \cos k_y - i \sinh A_y \cdot \sin k_y). \hfill (S3)$$

Now we consider the real and imaginary parts of $E^2$ as

$$\text{Re}E^2 = m^2 + 2 - \delta_x^2 - \gamma_x^2 - 2\gamma_x\sin A_x \cdot \cos k_x - 2\delta_y\sin A_y \cdot \cos k_y - 2m \cosh A_x \cdot \cos k_x - 2m \cosh A_y \cdot \cos k_y + 2 \cosh A_x \cdot \cosh A_y \cdot \cos k_x \cdot \sin k_y - 2 \sinh A_x \cdot \sin A_y \cdot \sin k_x \cdot \sin k_y, \hfill (S4)$$

$$\text{Im}E^2 = 2\gamma_x \cosh A_x \cdot \sin k_x + 2\delta_y \cosh A_y \cdot \sin k_y + 2m \sinh A_x \cdot \sin k_x + 2m \sinh A_y \cdot \sin k_y$$

$$- 2 \cosh A_x \cdot \sin A_y \cdot \cos k_x \cdot \sin k_y - 2 \sinh A_x \cdot \cosh A_y \cdot \sin k_x \cdot \cos k_y. \hfill (S5)$$

By letting $\text{Im}E^2 = 0$, we have

$$\delta_x \cosh A_x + m \sinh A_x - \sinh A_x \cdot \cosh A_y \cdot \cos k_y = 0, \hfill (S6)$$

$$\delta_y \cosh A_y + m \sinh A_y - \cosh A_x \cdot \sinh A_y \cdot \cos k_x = 0. \hfill (S7)$$

Firstly, we consider the special case, i.e., $\delta_x = \delta_y = \delta$. According to Ref. [S1], we know that the gapless bands only appear at high-symmetry points of the Brillouin zone, i.e., $(k_x, k_y) = (0, 0), (0, \pi), (\pi, 0)$ and $(\pi, \pi)$. For $(k_x, k_y) = (0, 0)$, according to the symmetry of Eq. (S6) and (S7), we can obtain $A_x = A_y = A$, and $A$ satisfies

$$\delta \cosh A + m \sinh A = \sinh A \cdot \cosh A. \hfill (S8)$$

The real part of $E^2$ can be simplified as

$$\text{Re}E^2 = m^2 + 2 - 2\delta^2 - 4\gamma \sinh A - 4m \cosh A + 2 \cosh^2 A \hfill (S9)$$

Given $\text{Re}E^2 = 0$, we can solve the Eqs. (S8) and (S9), and the critical point is obtained as

$$m = 2\sqrt{\delta^2 + 1}. \hfill (S10)$$

Similarly, for $(k_x, k_y) = (0, \pi) = (\pi, 0)$, the corresponding critical point is $m = 0$, while for $(k_x, k_y) = (\pi, \pi)$, the critical point is $m = -2\sqrt{\delta^2 + 1}$.

For the general case $\delta_x \neq \delta_y$, we can apply the same procedures at the high-symmetry points $(k_x, k_y) = (0, 0), (0, \pi), (\pi, 0)$ and $(\pi, \pi)$. For $(k_x, k_y) = (0, 0), (\pi, \pi)$, the critical points can be solved as $m = \pm(\sqrt{1 + \delta_x^2} + \sqrt{1 + \delta_y^2})$, and other two critical points are $m = \pm(\sqrt{1 + \delta_x^2} - \sqrt{1 + \delta_y^2})$. 
II. geometric description of topological phase transitions of non-Hermitian systems with complex mass

Here, based on quantum field theory, we give a geometric description of topological phase transitions in Hermitian systems, and then generalize it to the non-Hermitian case with complex mass. This provides a new viewpoint to understand the topological properties of non-Hermitian systems.

In quantum field theory, the 4D Dirac spinor is a representation of the 4D Clifford algebra. The generators of the algebra are \( \gamma^\mu \) matrices, which satisfy

\[
\{ \gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu \} = 2\eta^{\mu\nu}, \quad \eta^{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}\{1,-1,-1,-1\}. \tag{S11}
\]

It mathematically turns out that the 4D Dirac representation of the Lorentz group is reducible due to the 4D Clifford algebra are \( \gamma^\mu \) matrices, which satisfy \( \eta^{\mu\nu} \) are the eigenstates of \( \gamma^\mu \). Then let us accordingly consider a global transformation generated by \( \gamma^\mu \), i.e., the chiral transformation \( \psi \rightarrow e^{i\theta^\mu \gamma^\mu} \psi \). Analyzing its infinitesimal transformation, we can obtain the corresponding Noether current \( j^\mu_\chi = \psi \gamma^\mu \gamma_\chi \psi \), which satisfies

\[
\partial_\mu j^\mu_\chi = 2im\bar{\psi}\gamma_\chi \psi. \tag{S13}
\]

Note that \( j^\mu_\chi \) is conserved only if \( m = 0 \), indicating that a chiral phase transition occurs at \( m = 0 \).

Alternatively, there exists a geometric interpretation of the topological or chiral phase transition in fermionic systems. The phase transition could be identified as a Dirac field defined in a space-time with different topologies. Without loss of generality, let us investigate the dynamics of a massless spinor \( \psi(X) \) in \( D + 1 \) dimensional Minkowskian spacetime \( \mathbb{R}^{D+1} \) parameterized by \( X \equiv \{X^M\} = \{x^\mu, x^{D+1} = y\} \), and the index \( \mu \) runs from \( 0, 1, \cdots, D - 1 \). The Dirac equation for the spinor is given as

\[
\gamma^M \partial_M \psi(X) = 0, \tag{S14}
\]

where the matrices \( \gamma^M \equiv \{ \gamma^\mu, \Gamma \} \) satisfy the \( (D + 1) \) dimensional Clifford algebra \( \{ \gamma^M, \gamma^N \} = 2\eta^{MN} \). In order to take into account a mass term, we could compactify one spatial direction, denoted by \( y \), of the space-time on a circle \( S^1 \) with radius \( L \) so that \( \tau(y) = \tau(y + 2\pi L) \). Here \( \tau \) denotes the line element on \( S^1 \) and the topology of the spacetime now becomes \( \mathbb{R}^D \times S^1 \). Then, we expand the spinor by its Fourier modes as

\[
\psi(X) = \sum_n e^{iky} \Psi_n(x), \tag{S15}
\]

where \( k \) is the momentum on the \( y \) direction. Note that the boundary condition could be either periodic or anti-periodic, i.e., \( \psi(x, \tau) = \pm \psi(x, \tau + 2\pi) \) for a spinor, and we consider the anti-periodic condition here, since a fermionic field is not observable. Therefore, \( k \) has to satisfy the quantization condition

\[
k = \frac{l}{2L}, \quad l \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{S16}
\]

By plugging (S15) into (S14), we obtain

\[
\gamma^M \partial_M \psi(X) = \sum_n (\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu + \Gamma \partial_y) e^{iky} \Psi_n(x) = 0, \tag{S17}
\]

which leads to

\[
(i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - k \Gamma) \Psi_n(x) = 0. \tag{S18}
\]
Hence we can define the effective mass $m_i^2 \equiv |\Gamma/(2L)|^2 = l^2/(4L^2)$ to obtain the massive Dirac equation in $D$ dimension. As discussed here, the Dirac equation is chirally symmetric only for the massless spinor, so the chiral transition can be geometrically interpreted as going from $L \to \infty$ to a finite $L$. The former corresponds to a space-time with topology $\mathbb{R}^{D+1}$, and the latter corresponds to its topology $\mathbb{R}^D \times S^1$. Thus the chiral transition could be understood as a topological transition of the $(D + 1)$ dimensional spacetime from $\mathbb{R}^{D+1}$ to $\mathbb{R}^D \times S^1$. Furthermore, the equation (S18) also leads to an effective vertex $k\bar{\Psi}\Gamma\Psi$ in the associated action. If we accordingly compare Eq. (S18) with the coupling term in the gauge theory involving fermions, i.e., $\bar{\Psi}\gamma^{\mu}A_{\mu}\Psi$, the effective vertex $k\bar{\Psi}\Gamma\Psi$ can be obtained by treating $A_{\mu}$ as an external field. In this sense, by taking into account the massive Dirac equation $(i\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu} - m)\Psi(x) = 0$, we can define an imaginary mass, $(m_i)^2 \equiv |\Gamma/(2L)|^2 = -l^2/(4L^2)$, in order to describe such an effective interaction in $D$-dimensional space-time.

**III. Su-Schrieffer-Heeger model with complex mass**

For a non-Hermitian system with a complex mass, there exists no non-Hermitian skin effect, and the conventional bulk-boundary correspondence holds. Here we present a non-Hermitian SSH model with complex mass to exemplify this. The real-space Hamiltonian reads

$$H_3 = \sum_n (t_1 + i\kappa) c_{A,n}^\dagger c_{B,n} + (t_1 + i\kappa) c_{B,n}^\dagger c_{A,n} + t_2 c_{A,n+1}^\dagger c_{B,n} + t_2 c_{B,n}^\dagger c_{A,n+1},$$

(S19)

whose eigenenergies are complex. It cannot be mapped to a Hermitian model with a non-compact $U(1)$ gauge transformation. In addition, we shown that, according to the real-space eigenstates, there exists no non-Hermitian skin effect. Applying the Fourier transformation, we have the $k$-space Hamiltonian as

$$H_3(k) = (t_1 + i\kappa + t_2 \cos k)\sigma_x + t_2 \sin k\sigma_y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t_1 + i\kappa + t_2 e^{-ik} \\ t_1 + i\kappa + t_2 e^{ik} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & h_1(k) \\ h_2(k) & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

The dispersion relation is

$$E_{\pm}(k) = \pm \sqrt{t_1^2 + t_2^2 - \kappa^2 + 2it_1t_2 \cos k + 2it_1\kappa + 2it_2\kappa \cos k}.$$ 

(S20)

Let $|E_{\pm}(k)| = 0$, so we can obtain the topological phase transition points as $t_1^2 = t_2^2 - \kappa^2$. From Eq. (S19), we can calculate the winding numbers $h_1(k)$ and $h_2(k)$, and they have either opposite values or simultaneously zero values. For $|t_1 + i\kappa| < |t_2|$, i.e., $t_1^2 < t_2^2 - \kappa^2$, the winding numbers are non-zero, indicating that the system is topologically nontrivial. Moreover, the zero-energy boundary modes are localized at both edges [see Fig. S1].

**FIG. S1.** (Color online). (a) Real part and (b) absolute value of the energy spectrum of the non-Hermitian SSH model $H_3$ with complex mass. For $|t_1| < \sqrt{1 - \kappa^2} = 0.8$, the system is topological nontrivial, which supports zero-energy boundary states (red curves). The number of unit cells is 100 with $t_2 = 1$, and $\kappa = 0.6$. (c) Spatial distribution of the zero-energy modes along the lattice with $t_1 = 0.4$. The zero-energy modes are localized at both edges, indicating there is no non-Hermitian effect.
IV. Minimal Coupling and singular value decomposition

The minimal coupling \([S2]\) and the singular value decomposition (SVD) can be used to explore the topological properties of the non-Hermitian systems with complex mass. In addition, the SVD can overcome the precision problem of numerically diagonalizing a non-Hermitian Hamiltonian. In this section, we demonstrate that the minimal coupling is equivalent to calculating the singular value decomposition for the non-Hermitian Hamiltonian with complex mass. We write the minimal coupling Hamiltonian

\[
H_{cp} = \mathcal{H}_{CM} \otimes \sigma_+ + \mathcal{H}_{CM}^\dagger \otimes \sigma_- \tag{S21}
\]

\(\mathcal{H}_{CM}\) satisfies the singular value decomposition as

\[
\mathcal{H}_{CM} = U \Sigma V^\dagger, \text{ i.e., } \mathcal{H}_{CM}^\dagger = V \Sigma U, \tag{S21}
\]

where \(\Sigma\) is a positive diagonal matrix. Thus, we have

\[
H_{cp} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & U \\ V & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \Sigma \\ \Sigma & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & V^\dagger \\ U^\dagger & 0 \end{pmatrix},
\]

with

\[
\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \Sigma \\ \Sigma & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -\Sigma & 0 \\ 0 & \Sigma \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

The Hermitian Hamiltonian \(H_{cp}\) can be diagonalized as

\[
H_{cp} = \Lambda \begin{pmatrix} -\Sigma & 0 \\ 0 & \Sigma \end{pmatrix} \Lambda^\dagger, \text{ with } \Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & U \\ V & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

It is clear that the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of \(H\) are the singular values and singular matrix of \(\mathcal{H}_{CM}\), respectively. Therefore, the minimal coupling is equivalent to solving the singular value decomposition of the non-Hermitian system with complex mass.

V. Self-energy of Disorder Kitaev Chain

To obtain the effective Hamiltonian of the disordered Kitaev chain, we need to calculate the self-energy of the disorder scattering. Here, we derive the form of the self-energy using Feynman diagrams. The Hamiltonian of the disordered Kitaev chain has the form

\[
H_4 = \sum_k \left[ \psi_k^\dagger \left( -2\Delta \sin k \sigma_y + (\mu - 2t \cos k) \sigma_z \right) \psi_k + \sum_q V(q) \psi_{k+q}^\dagger \Lambda \psi_k \right], \tag{S22}
\]

where \(\psi_k = (c_k, c_{-k})^T\), \(V(q) = \sum_n U_n e^{-i n q}\), and \(\Lambda = (\mathbb{I} + \sigma_z) / 2\). In addition, \(V(q)\) satisfies

\[
\langle V(q) \rangle = 0, \quad \langle V(q_1) V(q_2) \rangle = \frac{V^2}{12} \delta(q_1 + q_2), \tag{S23}
\]

where \(\langle \cdots \rangle\) means the average over disorder, and \(\delta(\cdot)\) is the Dirac delta function.

We consider the Matsubara self-energy of non-crossing diagrams, and the \(n\)th-order Matsubara self-energy \(\Sigma_n(k, i\omega)\) has the form

\[
\Sigma_1(k, i\omega) = \langle V(0) \rangle = 0,
\]

\[
\Sigma_2(k, i\omega) = \sum_q (V(k - q) V(q - k) \Lambda G_0(q, i\omega) A
\]

\[
... \tag{S24}
\]

with

\[
G_0(q, i\omega) = \frac{1}{i\omega - H_0(q)}, \tag{S25}
\]
where $H_0(q)$ is the free part of $H_4$. Thus, the total Matsubara self-energy reads $\Sigma(k, i\omega) = g(k, i\omega) \cdot \Delta = g(k, i\omega)/2 + g(k, i\omega)/2 \cdot \sigma_z$, where $g(k, i\omega)$ is a $(k, \omega)$-dependent function. By analytic continuation $i\omega \to \omega + i\epsilon^*$, we can obtain the retarded self-energy $\Sigma_{\text{ret}}(k, \omega) = \Sigma(k, \omega + i\epsilon^*) = g(k, \omega + i\epsilon^*)/2 + g(k, \omega + i\epsilon^*)/2 \cdot \sigma_z$. The real part of $g(k, \omega + i\epsilon^*)$ renormalizes the chemical potential, while the imaginary part gives the finite lifetimes of the particles. Therefore, the effective Hamiltonian of $H_4$ reads

$$H_{\text{eff}}(k) = -2\Delta \sin k \sigma_y + (\bar{\mu} + i\Gamma - 2t \cos k) \sigma_z,$$

(S26)

where $\bar{\mu} = \mu + \text{Re}[g(k, \omega + i\epsilon^*)]/2$, and $\Gamma = \text{Im}[g(k, \omega + i\epsilon^*)]/2$.

---