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Abstract. We prove the non-degeneracy of the extremals of the Sobolev inequality

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{p} d x \geqslant \mathcal{S}_{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{\frac{N p}{N-p}} d x, u \in \mathcal{D}^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)
$$

when $1<p<N$, as solutions of a critical quasilinear equation involving the $p$-Laplacian.

## 1. Introduction and statement of the main result

In this paper we establish the linear non-degeneracy of the extremals of the optimal classical Sobolev inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S}_{p}\|u\|_{L^{p^{*}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \leqslant\|\nabla u\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \text { for any } u \in \mathcal{D}^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p^{*}:=\frac{N p}{N-p}$ and $1<p<N$.
Aubin [1] and Talenti [24 found the optimal constant and the extremals for inequality (1.1). Indeed, equality is achieved precisely by the functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{\delta, \xi}(x):=\delta^{-\frac{N-p}{p}} U\left(\frac{x-\xi}{\delta}\right) \text { where } \delta>0, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(x)=\left(\frac{\alpha_{N, p}}{1+|x-\xi|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}}\right)^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \text { with } \alpha_{N, p}:=N^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\frac{N-p}{p-1}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which solve the critical equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta_{p} u=u^{p^{*}-1} \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N}, u>0 \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N}, u \in \mathcal{D}^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

All the solutions to the equation (1.4) are indeed the only ones of (1.2). Caffarelli, Gidas and Spruck proved the claim when $p=2$. The case $p \neq 2$ has been firstly solved by Guedda and Veron [15] in the radial case, where the authors classified all the positive radial solutions and successively by Damascelli, Merchán, Montoro and Sciunzi [8] when $\frac{2 N}{N+2} \leqslant p<2$, by Vetóis [25] and Damascelli and Ramaswamy [7] when $1<p<2$ and finally by Sciunzi [23] in the remaining cases, namely when $2<p<N$.

Here we are interested in the linear non-degeneracy of the solutions (1.2) to equation (1.4). Let us point out that equation (1.4) is invariant by scaling and by translations. Therefore, if we differentiate the equation

$$
-\Delta_{p} U_{\delta, \xi}=U_{\delta, \xi}^{p^{*}-1} \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N}
$$

with respect to the parameters $\delta$ and $\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{N}$ at $\delta=1$ and $\xi=0$ we see that the functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{0}(x):=-\left.\partial_{\delta} U_{\delta, \xi}\right|_{\delta=1, \xi=0}=\frac{N-p}{p} U+x \cdot \nabla U \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]and
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{i}(x):=\left.\partial_{\xi_{i}} U_{\delta, \xi}\right|_{\delta=1, \xi=0}=-\partial_{x_{i}} U, i=1, \ldots, N \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

annihilate the linearized operator around the function $U$ defined in (1.3), namely they solve the linear equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla U|^{p-2} \nabla \phi\right)-(p-2) \operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla U|^{p-4}(\nabla U, \nabla \phi) \nabla U\right)=\left(p^{*}-1\right) U^{p^{*}-2} \phi \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We say that $U$ is non-degenerate if the kernel of the associated linearized operator (1.7) is spanned only by the functions $Z_{i}$ 's defined in (1.5) and (1.6). This property is true when $p=2$ as it was established by Rey in 22. Our main result extends the non-degeneracy of the solution $U$ to any $p \in(1, N)$ in the weighted Sobolev space $\mathcal{D}_{*}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, which is defined as the completion of $C_{c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with respect to the norm

$$
\|\phi\|:=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla U|^{p-2}|\nabla \phi|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

Theorem 1.1. The solution

$$
U(x)=\left(\frac{\alpha_{N, p}}{1+|x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}}\right)^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \text { with } \alpha_{N, p}:=N^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\frac{N-p}{p-1}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}
$$

of equation (1.4) is non-degenerate in the sense that all the solutions of the equation (1.7) in the space $\mathcal{D}_{*}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ are linear combination of the functions

$$
Z_{0}(x)=\frac{N-p}{p} U+x \cdot \nabla U, Z_{1}(x)=\partial_{x_{1}} U(x), \ldots, Z_{N}(x)=\partial_{x_{N}} U(x)
$$

The structure of the linearized equation (1.7) strongly suggests to introduce the space $\mathcal{D}_{*}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. A similar first order Sobolev space with weight was introduced by Damascelli and Sciunzi in 9 to study a linearized operator on a bounded domain. Here the situation is much more delicate due to the unboundness of the domain. Section 2 is devoted to prove some properties of $\mathcal{D}_{*}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ which are essential to get Theorem 1.1 whose proof is carried out in Section 3

Quasilinear equations with critical growth involving the $p$-Laplace operator have been widely studied in recent years using a variational framework, starting from the quasilinear version of the classical Brezis-Nirenberg problem (see [2]) studied by Guedda and Veron in [16]. In particular, we would like to focus on the problem of the existence of sign-changing solutions to the critical equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta_{p} u=|u|^{p^{*}-2} u \text { in } \Omega \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Omega$ is either the whole space $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ or a bounded smooth domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ in which case we assume homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions. As far as we know the only result concerning existence of sign-changing solutions to (1.8) in the whole space is due to Clapp and Lopez Rios in [5], where they prove that (1.8) has a certain finite number (depending on the dimension $N$ ) of non-radial sign-changing solutions. On the other hand if $p=2$ del Pino, Musso, Pacard, and Pistoia in [10, 11] used the LyapunovSchmidt procedure to build infinitely many sign-changing solutions which look like a positive bubble crowned by an arbitrary large number of negative bubbles arranged on a regular polygon. It would be interesting to check if it is possible to build this kind of solutions in the quasilinear case. When $\Omega$ is a bounded domain, the existence of solutions is a more delicate issue. Indeed if $\Omega$ is starshaped the problem does not have any solutions because of a Pohozaev identity obtained by Guedda and Veron in [16]. The existence of a positive solution has been proved by Mercuri, Sciunzi and Squassina in [18 when the domain has a small hole, in the same spirit of Coron's result [6] when $p=2$. The existence of a sign-changing solution has been
obtained by Mercuri and Pacella in [17] when the domain $\Omega$ has either a small hole and little symmetry or a hole of any size and more symmetry. On the other hand, if $p=2$ and $\Omega$ has a small hole, Musso and Pistoia in [20] (see also [13, 12]) used the LyapunovSchmidt procedure to built sign-changing solutions which look like the superposition of bubbles with alternating sign whose number becomes arbitrary large as the size of the hole approaches zero. It is natural to ask if this kind of solutions do exist also in the quasilinear case.
In both cases the understanding of the linear non-degeneracy of the bubble is the first step in the application of the Ljapunov-Schmidt procedure.
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## 2. A suitable weighted Sobolev space

First of all, let us point out the following fact.
Lemma 2.1. (i) If $p \in(1,2)$ there exists $C>0$ such that

$$
\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\phi|^{\frac{N p}{N-p}} d x\right)^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \leqslant C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla U|^{p-2}|\nabla \phi|^{2} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \text { for any } \phi \in C_{c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) .
$$

(ii) If $p \in(2, N)$ for any $R>0$ there exists $C(R)>0$ such that

$$
\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{R}(0)}|x|^{-\frac{N p+p-2 N}{p-1}}|\phi|^{2} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leqslant C(R)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla U|^{p-2}|\nabla \phi|^{2} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \text { for any } \phi \in C_{c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)
$$

Proof. To get (i) it is useful to recall the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequality (see [4]): if $r, q \geqslant 1, \frac{1}{r}+\frac{\gamma}{N}=\frac{1}{q}+\frac{\alpha-1}{N}>0$ then for any $\phi \in C_{c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\||x|^{\gamma} \phi\right\|_{L^{r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \leqslant c\left\||x|^{\alpha}|\nabla \phi|\right\|_{L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we apply (2.1) with $\gamma=0, r=\frac{N p}{N-p}, q=2$ and $\alpha=\frac{N(2-p)}{2 p}$

$$
\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\phi|^{\frac{N p}{N-p}}\right)^{\frac{(N-p)}{N p}} \leqslant c\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|x|^{\frac{N(2-p)}{p}}|\nabla \phi|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \leqslant c\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla U|^{p-2}|\nabla \phi|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} .
$$

and the claim follows since if $p<2$ there exists a constant $c$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|x|^{\frac{N(2-p)}{p}} \leqslant c \frac{|x|^{\frac{p-2}{p-1}}}{\left(1+|x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right)^{\frac{N(p-2)}{p}}} \text { for any } x \in \mathbb{R} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

To get (ii) it is useful to recall the weighted Hardy-Sobolev inequality (see for example Lemma 2.3 in [7]):
if $q \geqslant 1, s>q-N$ and $R \geqslant 0$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{R}(0)}|x|^{s-q}|\varphi|^{q} \leqslant c(N, q, s) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{R}(0)}|x|^{s}|\nabla \varphi|^{q} d x \quad \text { for any } \varphi \in C_{c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we apply (2.3) with $s=-\frac{(N-1)(p-2)}{p-1}$ and $q=2$ (note that $s-q>-N$ because $p<N$ )

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{R}(0)}|x|^{-\frac{(N-1)(p-2)}{p-1}-2}|\varphi|^{2} \leqslant c \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{R}(0)}|x|^{-\frac{(N-1)(p-2)}{p-1}}|\nabla \varphi|^{2} \leqslant c(R) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla U|^{p-2}|\nabla \phi|^{2} .
$$

and the claim follows since if $p>2$ for any $R>0$ there exists $c(R)$ such that

$$
|\nabla U(x)| \geqslant c|x|^{\frac{N-1}{p-1}} \text { if }|x| \geqslant R
$$

Lemma 2.1 allows us to define the Hilbert space $\mathcal{D}_{*}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, which is defined as the completion of $C_{c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with respect to the norm $\|\phi\|:=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla U|^{p-2}|\nabla \phi|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2}$ induced by the scalar product

$$
\langle\phi, \psi\rangle:=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla U|^{p-2}(\nabla \phi, \nabla \psi) d x .
$$

Now, we can look for a weak solution $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_{*}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ to the linear equation (1.7), namely

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla U|^{p-2}(\nabla \phi, \nabla \psi), d x+(p-2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla U|^{p-4}(\nabla U, \nabla \phi)(\nabla U, \nabla \psi) d x \\
& =\frac{N p-N+p}{N-p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U^{\frac{N p-2 N+2 p}{N-p}} \phi \psi d x \text { for any } \psi \in \mathcal{D}_{*}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

All the integrals involved in (2.4) are finite. Indeed, the integrals in the L.H.S. can be easily estimated using Hölder inequality and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. The finiteness of the integral in the R.H.S. is more delicate and follows by the continuous embedding of the weighted space $\mathcal{D}_{*}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ into the the weighted space

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}_{*}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)=\left\{\phi: \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U^{\frac{N p-2 N+2 p}{N-p}} \phi^{2} d x<+\infty\right\} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is stated in the following result.
Proposition 2.2. There exists $C>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla U|^{p-2}|\nabla \phi|^{2} d x \geqslant C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U^{\frac{N p}{N-p}-2} \phi^{2} d x \quad \text { for any } \phi \in \mathcal{D}_{*}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We will prove (2.6) for any $\phi \in C_{c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. The statement will follow by a density argument. Throughout the proof $c$ will denote a constant (possibly depending on the parameters) which may change from line to line. Although we will not estimate the constants explicitly, it will be clear from the arguments that our claims hold.
It is useful to remind that

$$
U(x)=c \frac{1}{\left(1+|x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right)^{\frac{N(p-2)+2 p}{p}}} \quad \text { and } \quad|\nabla U(x)|^{p-2}=c \frac{|x|^{\frac{p-2}{p-1}}}{\left(1+|x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right)^{\frac{N(p-2)}{p}}} .
$$

We distinguish 3 cases.

- The case $\frac{2 N}{N+2}<p<2$.

We remark that since $\frac{2 N}{N+2}<p$ Hölder's inequality implies

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{1}{\left(1+|x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right)^{\frac{N(p-2)+2 p}{p}}}|\phi|^{2} \leqslant\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{1}{\left(1+|x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right)^{N}}\right)^{\frac{N(p-2)+2 p}{N p}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\phi|^{\frac{N p}{N-p}}\right)^{\frac{2(N-p)}{N p}} .
$$

Now, we apply Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg's inequality (2.1) (with $\gamma=0, r=\frac{N p}{N-p}$, $q=2$ and $\left.\alpha=\frac{N(2-p)}{2 p}\right)$ and we get

$$
\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\phi|^{\frac{N p}{N-p}}\right)^{\frac{(N-p)}{N p}} \leqslant c\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|x|^{\frac{N(2-p)}{p}}|\nabla \phi|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

The claim follows because of (2.2).

- The case $1<p \leqslant \frac{2 N}{N+2}$.

In this case $\frac{N(p-2)+2 p}{p} \leqslant 0$ and so

$$
\left(1+|x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right)^{-\frac{N(p-2)+2 p}{p}} \leqslant c\left(1+|x|^{-\frac{N(p-2)+2 p}{(p-1)}}\right) .
$$

Then

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{1}{\left(1+|x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right)^{\frac{N(p-2)+2 p}{p}}}|\phi|^{2} \leqslant c \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\phi|^{2}+c \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|x|^{-\frac{N(p-2)+2 p}{(p-1)}}|\phi|^{2} .
$$

Now, we apply Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg's inequality and we get (with $\gamma=0, r=$ $q=2$ and $\alpha=2$ )

$$
\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\phi|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \leqslant c\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|x|^{2}|\nabla \phi|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

and also (with $\gamma=-\frac{N(p-2)+2 p}{2(p-1)}, r=q=2$ and $\alpha=1-\frac{N(p-2)+2 p}{2(p-1)}=\frac{-2-N p+2 N}{2(p-1)}$ )

$$
\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|x|^{-\frac{N(p-2)+2 p}{(p-1)}}|\phi|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \leqslant c\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|x|^{\frac{-2-N p+2 N}{(p-1)}}|\nabla \phi|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} .
$$

Then

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{1}{\left(1+|x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right)^{\frac{N(p-2)+2 p}{p}}}|\phi|^{2} \leqslant c \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|x|^{2}+|x|^{\frac{-2-N p+2 N}{(p-1)}}\right)|\nabla \phi|^{2} .
$$

The claim follows because if $p \leqslant \frac{2 N}{N+2}$ it is easy to check that there exists a constant $c$ such that

$$
|x|^{2}+|x|^{\frac{-2-N p+2 N}{(p-1)}} \leqslant c \frac{|x|^{\frac{p-2}{p-1}}}{\left(1+|x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right)^{\frac{N(p-2)}{p}}} \text { for any } x \in \mathbb{R} .
$$

- The case $p>2$.

The proof in this case is much more delicate because the weight $|\nabla U|^{p-2}$ has different decay as $|x| \rightarrow 0$ or $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. Let $m \geqslant 1$ be a fixed integer. We can write

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U^{p^{*}-2} \phi^{2} d x=\underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{2^{m}}(0)} U^{p^{*}-2} \phi^{2} d x}_{(I)}+\underbrace{\int_{B_{2} m(0)} U^{p^{*}-2} \phi^{2} d x}_{(I I)},
$$

where $B_{2^{m}}(0)$ is the ball centered at the origin with radius $2^{m}$.

First, we estimate $(I)$. We remark that there exists constants $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{4}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{c_{1}}{|x|^{\frac{N-p}{p-1}}} \leqslant U(x) \leqslant \frac{c_{2}}{|x|^{\frac{N-p}{p-1}}} \text { and } \frac{c_{3}}{|x|^{\frac{N-1}{p-1}}} \leqslant|\nabla U| \leqslant \frac{c_{4}}{|x|^{\frac{N-1}{p-1}}} \text { if }|x| \geqslant 2^{m} . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{2} m(0)} U^{p^{*}-2} \phi^{2} d x \\
& \text { (we use 2.7]) } \\
& \leqslant c \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{2} m(0)} \frac{1}{|x|^{\frac{N-p}{p-1}\left(p^{*}-2\right)}} \phi^{2} d x \\
& \text { (we set } s=-\frac{N-1}{p-1}(p-2)>2-N \text { and } \beta=\left(p^{*}-2\right) \frac{N-p}{p-1}+s-2>0 \text { ) } \\
& =c \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{2}{ }^{m}(0)} \frac{1}{|x|^{\beta}}|x|^{s-2} \phi^{2} d x \\
& \text { (we use (2.3) with } q=2 \text { and } R=2^{m} \text { ) } \\
& \leqslant c \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{2^{m}}(0)}|x|^{s}|\nabla \phi|^{2} d x \\
& \text { (we use 2.7) } \\
& \leqslant c \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{2^{m}}(0)}|\nabla U|^{p-2}|\nabla \phi|^{2} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{2^{m}}(0)} U^{p^{*}-2} \phi^{2} d x \leqslant c \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla U|^{p-2}|\nabla \phi|^{2} d x \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we estimate $(I I)$. Firstly, given $A:=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}: 1<|x| \leqslant 2\right\}$, it is useful to recall the standard interpolation inequality (see for example [19]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{A}\left|u-u_{A}\right|^{m} d x \leqslant c\left(\int_{A}|\nabla u|^{r} d x\right)^{\frac{m}{r}} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\frac{1}{m}=\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{N}>0 \text { and } u_{A}:=\frac{1}{|A|} \int_{A} u d x
$$

Therefore, if $r=2, m=\frac{2 N}{N-2}>0$ by Hölder inequality we immediately deduce

$$
\int_{A}\left|u-u_{A}\right|^{2} d x \leqslant\left(\int_{A} 1^{\frac{m}{m-2}} d x\right)^{\frac{m-2}{m}}\left(\int_{A}\left|u-u_{A}\right|^{m} d x\right)^{\frac{2}{m}} \leqslant c|A|^{\frac{2}{N}} \int_{A}|\nabla u|^{2} d x
$$

Moreover, if $\lambda>0$ and $\lambda A:=\{\lambda x: x \in A\}$, a simply scaling gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\lambda A}\left|u-u_{\lambda A}\right|^{2} d x \leqslant c|A|^{\frac{2}{N}} \lambda^{2} \int_{\lambda A}|\nabla u|^{2} d x \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, let us introduce a sequence of disjoint annuli $A_{k}:=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}: 2^{k}<|x| \leqslant\right.$ $\left.2^{k+1}\right\}$ which covers the ball $B_{2^{m}}(0)$, namely $A_{k} \cap A_{h}=\emptyset$ for $h \neq k$ and

$$
B_{2^{m}}(0)=\bigcup_{k=-\infty}^{m-1} A_{k}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{2^{m}}(0)} U^{p^{*}-2} \phi^{2} d x=\sum_{k=-\infty}^{m} \int_{A_{k}} U^{p^{*}-2} \phi^{2} d x \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We are going to estimate each term in the sum of R.H.S. of (2.11), taking into account that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{A_{k}}|\nabla U|^{p-2} \geqslant c \frac{2^{k \frac{p-2}{p-1}}}{\left(1+2^{k \frac{p}{p-1}}\right)^{\frac{N}{p}(p-2)}} . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{A_{k}} U^{p^{*}-2} \phi^{2} d x \\
& \text { (since } \left.p \geqslant 2, \sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}}|x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} U^{p^{*}-2}=L\right) \\
& \leqslant L \int_{A_{k}}|x|^{-\frac{p}{p-1}} \phi^{2} d x  \tag{2.13}\\
& \leqslant c \int_{A_{k}}|x|^{-\frac{p}{p-1}}\left|\phi-\phi_{A_{k}}\right|^{2} d x+c \int_{A_{k}}|x|^{-\frac{p}{p-1}}\left|\phi_{A_{k}}\right|^{2} d x \\
& \leqslant c \int_{A_{k}}|x|^{-\frac{p}{p-1}}\left|\phi-\phi_{A_{k}}\right|^{2} d x+c 2^{k\left(-\frac{p}{p-1}+N\right)}\left|\phi_{A_{k}}\right|^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{A_{k}}|x|^{-\frac{p}{p-1}}\left|\phi-\phi_{A_{k}}\right|^{2} d x \leqslant c 2^{-k \frac{p}{p-1}} \int_{A_{k}}\left|\phi-\phi_{A_{k}}\right|^{2} d x \\
&\text { (we use (2.10) with } \left.\lambda=2^{k}\right) \\
& \leqslant c 2^{k\left(-\frac{p}{p-1}+2\right)} \int_{A_{k}}|\nabla \phi|^{2} d x \\
& \text { (we use (2.12|) }  \tag{2.14}\\
& \leqslant c 2^{k\left(-\frac{p}{p-1}+2\right)} \frac{\left(1+2^{\left.k \frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{\frac{N}{p}(p-2)}}\right.}{2^{k \frac{p-2}{p-1}}} \int_{A_{k}}|\nabla U|^{p-2}|\nabla \phi|^{2} d x \\
&=c\left(1+2^{k \frac{p}{p-1}}\right)^{\frac{N}{p}(p-2)} \int_{A_{k}}|\nabla U|^{p-2}|\nabla \phi|^{2} d x .
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (2.13) and (2.14) we get
and summing upon $k$
$\int_{B_{2^{m}}} U^{p^{*}-2} \phi^{2} d x$
$=\sum_{k=-\infty}^{m-1} \int_{A_{k}} U^{p^{*}-2} \phi^{2} d x$

$+c \sum_{k=-\infty}^{m-1} 2^{k\left(-\frac{p}{p-1}+N\right)}\left|\phi_{A_{k}}\right|^{2}$
$\leqslant c \sum_{k=-\infty}^{m-1} \int_{A_{k}}|\nabla U|^{p-2}|\nabla \phi|^{2} d x+c \sum_{k=-\infty}^{m-1}\left[2^{k N \frac{p-2}{p-1}} \int_{A_{k}}|\nabla U|^{p-2}|\nabla \phi|^{2} d x\right]$
$+c \sum_{k=-\infty}^{m-1} 2^{k\left(-\frac{p}{p-1}+N\right)}\left|\phi_{A_{k}}\right|^{2}$
$\leqslant c \int_{B_{2^{m}}}|\nabla U|^{p-2}|\nabla \phi|^{2} d x+c \underbrace{\sum_{k=-\infty}^{m-1} 2^{k N \frac{p-2}{p-1}}}_{<+\infty} \int_{B_{2^{m}}}|\nabla U|^{p-2}|\nabla \phi|^{2} d x+$
$+c \sum_{k=-\infty}^{m-1} 2^{k\left(-\frac{p}{p-1}+N\right)}\left|\phi_{A_{k}}\right|^{2}$
$\leqslant c \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla U|^{p-2}|\nabla \phi|^{2} d x+c \sum_{k=-\infty}^{m-1} 2^{k\left(-\frac{p}{p-1}+N\right)}\left|\phi_{A_{k}}\right|^{2}$

It remains to estimate the last term of (2.15), namely $\sum_{k=-\infty}^{m-1} 2^{k\left(-\frac{p}{p-1}+N\right)}\left|\phi_{A_{k}}\right|^{2}$. Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{A_{k} \cup A_{k+1}}\left|\phi-\phi_{A_{k} \cup A_{k+1}}\right|^{2} d x \\
& =\frac{1}{\left|A_{k}\right|+\left|A_{k+1}\right|}\left(\int_{A_{k}}\left|\phi-\phi_{A_{k} \cup A_{k+1}}\right|^{2} d x+\int_{A_{k+1}}\left|\phi-\phi_{A_{k} \cup A_{k+1}}\right|^{2} d x\right) \\
& \geqslant \int_{A_{k}}\left|\phi-\phi_{A_{k} \cup A_{k+1}}\right|^{2} d x \\
& \geqslant\left|\int_{A_{k}}\left(\phi-\phi_{A_{k} \cup A_{k+1}}\right) d x\right|^{2} \\
& =\left|\int_{A_{k}} \phi d x-\frac{\left|A_{k}\right|}{\left|A_{k+1}\right|+\left|A_{k}\right|} \int_{A_{k}} \phi d x-\frac{\left|A_{k}\right|}{\left|A_{k+1}\right|+\left|A_{k}\right|} \int_{A_{k+1}} \phi d x\right|^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{\left|A_{k}\right|+\left|A_{k+1}\right|}| | A_{k+1}\left|\int_{A_{k}} \phi d x-\left|A_{k}\right| \int_{A_{k}} \phi d x\right|^{2} \\
& =\frac{\left|A_{k}\right|\left|A_{k+1}\right|}{\left|A_{k}\right|+\left|A_{k+1}\right|}\left|\phi_{A_{k}}-\phi_{A_{k+1}}\right|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\phi_{A_{k}}-\phi_{A_{k+1}}\right|^{2} & \leqslant c \frac{\left|A_{k}\right|+\left|A_{k+1}\right|}{\left|A_{k}\right|\left|A_{k+1}\right|} \int_{A_{k} \cup A_{k+1}}\left|\phi-\phi_{A_{k} \cup A_{k+1}}\right|^{2} d x \\
& \text { (taking into account that }\left|A_{k}\right|=c 2^{k N}\left(2^{N}-1\right) \text { ) } \\
& \leqslant c 2^{-k N} \int_{A_{k} \cup A_{k+1}}\left|\phi-\phi_{A_{k} \cup A_{k+1}}\right|^{2} d x \\
& \text { (we use (2.10) with } \lambda=2^{k} \text { ) } \\
& \leqslant c 2^{k(2-N)} \int_{A_{k} \cup A_{k+1}}|\nabla \phi|^{2} d x  \tag{2.16}\\
& \text { (we use (2.12) ) } \\
& \leqslant c 2^{k(2-N)}\left(1+2^{\left.k \frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{\frac{N(p-2)}{p}} 2^{-k \frac{p-2}{p-1}} \int_{A_{k} \cup A_{k+1}}|\nabla U|^{p-2}|\nabla \phi|^{2} d x}\right. \\
& \leqslant c\left(2^{k \frac{p-N p+N}{p-1}}+2^{k \frac{p-N}{p-1}}\right) \int_{A_{k} \cup A_{k+1}}|\nabla U|^{p-2}|\nabla \phi|^{2} d x .
\end{align*}
$$

Now, we use the simple fact that for any $\eta>0$ the following inequality holds

$$
(a+b)^{2} \leqslant(1+\eta) a^{2}+\left(\frac{\eta+1}{\eta}\right) b^{2} \text { for any } a, b \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Then, if we choose $\eta>0$ so that $1+\eta=\eta_{0} 2^{-\frac{p}{p-1}+N}$ where $\eta_{0}=\frac{2}{1+2^{-\frac{p}{p-1}+N}}<1$, (this is possible because $N-\frac{p}{p-1}>0$, since $p \geqslant 2$ ), we get

$$
\left|\phi_{A_{k}}\right|^{2}=\left|\phi_{A_{k}}-\phi_{A_{k+1}}+\phi_{A_{k+1}}\right|^{2} \leqslant \eta_{0} 2^{-\frac{p}{p-1}+N}\left|\phi_{A_{k+1}}\right|^{2}+\frac{\eta+1}{\eta}\left|\phi_{A_{k}}-\phi_{A_{k+1}}\right|^{2} .
$$

and using (2.16) we deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2^{k\left(-\frac{p}{p-1}+N\right)}\left|\phi_{A_{k}}\right|^{2} \\
& \leqslant 2^{k\left(-\frac{p}{p-1}+N\right)} 2^{\left(-\frac{p}{p-1}+N\right)} \eta_{0}\left|\phi_{A_{k+1}}\right|^{2} \\
& +c 2^{k\left(-\frac{p}{p-1}+N\right)}\left(2^{k \frac{p-N p+N}{p-1}}+2^{k \frac{p-N}{p-1}}\right) \int_{A_{k} \cup A_{k+1}}|\nabla U|^{p-2}|\nabla \phi|^{2} d x \\
& =2^{(k+1)\left(-\frac{p}{p-1}+N\right)} \eta_{0}\left|\phi_{A_{k+1}}\right|^{2}+c\left(1+2^{k N \frac{p-2}{p-1}}\right) \int_{A_{k} \cup A_{k+1}}|\nabla U|^{p-2}|\nabla \phi|^{2} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

We sum upon $k$ and we get
$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{m-1} 2^{k\left(-\frac{p}{p-1}+N\right)}\left|\phi_{A_{k}}\right|^{2}$
$\leqslant \eta_{0} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{m-1} 2^{(k+1)\left(-\frac{p}{p-1}+N\right)}\left|\phi_{A_{k+1}}\right|^{2}+\sum_{k=-\infty}^{m-1}\left(1+2^{k N \frac{p-2}{p-1}}\right) \int_{A_{k} \cup A_{k+1}}|\nabla U|^{p-2}|\nabla \phi|^{2} d x$
$\leqslant \eta_{0} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{m} 2^{k\left(-\frac{p}{p-1}+N\right)}\left|\phi_{A_{k}}\right|^{2}+(1+\underbrace{\sum_{k=-\infty}^{m-1} 2^{k N \frac{p-2}{p-1}}}_{<+\infty}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla U|^{p-2}|\nabla \phi|^{2} d x$,
which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-\eta_{0}\right) \sum_{k=-\infty}^{m-1} 2^{k\left(-\frac{p}{p-1}+N\right)}\left|\phi_{A_{k}}\right|^{2} \leqslant c\left|\phi_{A_{m}}\right|^{2}+c \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla U|^{p-2}|\nabla \phi|^{2} d x . \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\phi_{A_{m}}\right|^{2} \quad \text { (we use Hölder's inequality) } \\
& \leqslant c \int_{A_{m}}|x|^{\left.-\frac{(N-1)(p-2)}{p-1} \phi^{2} d x \quad \text { (the annulus } A_{m} \subset \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{2^{m}(0)}\right)} \\
& \leqslant c \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{2^{m}}(0)}|x|^{-\frac{(N-1)(p-2)}{p-1}} \phi^{2} d x \quad \text { (we use (2.8) }  \tag{2.18}\\
& \leqslant c \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla U|^{p-2}|\nabla \phi|^{2} d x .
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, combining (2.15) with (2.17) (remember that $\eta_{0}<1$ ) and (2.18) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{2^{m}(0)}} U^{p *-2} \phi^{2} d x \leqslant c \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla U|^{p-2}|\nabla \phi|^{2} d x \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

3.1. A wave decomposition. First of all, let us rewrite the linear equation (1.7) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
|x|^{2} \Delta \phi+(p-2) \sum_{i, j=1}^{N} \partial_{i j}^{2} \phi x_{i} x_{j}+\frac{(p-2) N}{1+|x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}}(\nabla \phi, x)+\gamma_{N, p} \frac{|x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}}{\left(1+|x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right)^{2}} \phi=0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma_{N, p}:=N \frac{N p-N+p}{p-1}$. Indeed a straightforward computation shows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla \mathrm{U}|^{\mathrm{p}-2} \nabla \phi\right)+(\mathrm{p}-2) \operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla \mathrm{U}|^{\mathrm{p}-4}(\nabla \mathrm{U}, \nabla \phi) \nabla \mathrm{U}\right) \\
& =|\nabla U|^{p-2} \Delta \phi+\left(\nabla|\nabla U|^{p-2}, \nabla \phi\right) \\
& +(p-2)|\nabla U|^{p-4}(\nabla U, \nabla \phi) \Delta U \\
& +(p-2)(\nabla U, \nabla \phi)\left(\nabla|\nabla U|^{p-4}, \nabla U\right) \\
& +(p-2)|\nabla U|^{p-4}(\nabla(\nabla U, \nabla \phi), \nabla U) .
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nabla U=-c_{N, p} \frac{|x|^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}} x}{\left(1+|x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right)^{\frac{N}{p}}} \\
& |\nabla U|^{p-4}=c_{N, p}^{p-4} \frac{|x|^{\frac{p-4}{p-1}}}{\left(1+|x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right)^{\frac{N(p-4)}{p}}} \\
& \left(\nabla|\nabla U|^{p-4}, \nabla U\right)=-c_{N, p}^{p-3} \frac{p-4}{p-1} \frac{|x|^{-\frac{2}{p-1}}}{\left(1+|x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right)^{\frac{N(p-4)}{p}+\frac{N}{p}}}+c_{N, p}^{p-3} N \frac{p-4}{p-1} \frac{|x|^{\frac{p-2}{p-1}}}{\left(1+|x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right)^{\frac{N(p-4)}{p}+\frac{N}{p}+1}} \\
& (\nabla U, \nabla \phi)=-c_{N, p}^{p-3} \frac{|x|^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}}{\left(1+|x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right)^{\frac{N}{p}}}(\nabla \phi, x) \\
& (\nabla(\nabla U, \nabla \phi), \nabla U)=\frac{c_{N, p}^{2}}{\left(1+|x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right)^{\frac{2 N}{p}}}(\nabla \phi, x)\left[\frac{1}{p-1}|x|^{2 \frac{2-p}{p-1}}-\frac{N}{p-1} \frac{|x|^{\frac{4-p}{p-1}}}{1+|x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}}\right] \\
& \quad+c_{N, p}^{2} \frac{|x|^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}}{\left(1+|x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right)^{\frac{2 N}{p}}} \sum_{i, j} \partial_{i j}^{2} \phi x_{i} x_{j} \\
& |\nabla U|^{p-4}(\nabla U, \nabla \phi) \Delta U=\frac{c_{N, p}^{p-2}}{\left(1+|x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right)^{\frac{N(p-4)}{p}}+\frac{2 N}{p}}(\nabla \phi, x)\left[\left(\frac{2-p}{p-1}+N\right)|x|^{-\frac{p}{p-1}}-\frac{N}{p-1} \frac{1}{1+|x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}}\right] \\
& \left(\nabla|\nabla U|^{p-2}, \nabla \phi\right)=\frac{c_{N, p}^{p-2}}{\left(1+|x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right)^{\frac{N(p-2)}{p}}}(\nabla \phi, x)\left[\frac{p-2}{p-1}|x|^{-\frac{p}{p-1}}-\frac{N(p-2)}{p-1} \frac{1}{1+|x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c_{N, p}:=\alpha_{N, p}^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \frac{N-p}{p-1}$.
Now, since $U$ is radial we can make a partial wave decomposition of (3.1), namely we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \phi_{k}(r) Y_{k}(\theta), \quad \text { where } \quad \psi_{k}(r)=\int_{S^{N-1}} \phi(r, \theta) Y_{k}(\theta) d \theta \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r=|x|, \theta=\frac{x}{|x|} \in S^{N-1}$ and $Y_{k}(\theta)$ denotes the $k$-th spherical harmonic satisfying ( $\Delta_{S^{N-1}}$ stands for the Laplace-Beltrami operator)

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta_{S^{N-1}} Y_{k}=\lambda_{k} Y_{k} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is known that this equation has a sequence of eigenvalues

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{k}=k(N+k-2), k=0,1,2, \ldots, \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose multiplicity is finite. In particular $\lambda_{0}=0$ has multiplicity 1 and $\lambda_{1}=N-1$ has multiplicity $N$.

Let us write the equations satisfied by the radial functions $\psi_{k}$.
It is known that (hereafter ' stands for $\frac{d}{d r}$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta\left(\psi_{k}(r) Y_{k}(\theta)\right)=Y_{k}(\theta)\left(\psi_{k}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{N-1}{r} \psi_{k}^{\prime}\right)+\frac{1}{r^{2}} \psi_{k}(r) \Delta_{S^{N-1}} Y_{k}(\theta) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we have to compute the other terms in (3.1). It is easy to see that

$$
\partial_{x_{i}} \phi=\psi_{k}^{\prime}(r) \frac{x_{i}}{r} Y_{k}(\theta)+\psi_{k}(r) \frac{\partial Y_{k}}{\partial \theta_{h}} \frac{\partial \theta_{h}}{\partial x_{i}}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{x_{i} x_{j}}^{2} \phi & =\psi_{k}^{\prime \prime}(r) \frac{x_{i} x_{j}}{r^{2}} Y_{k}(\theta)+\psi_{k}^{\prime}(r)\left(\frac{\delta_{i j}}{r}-\frac{x_{i} x_{j}}{r^{3}}\right) Y_{k}(\theta)+\psi_{k}^{\prime}(r) \frac{x_{i}}{r} \frac{\partial Y_{k}}{\partial \theta_{h}} \frac{\partial \theta_{h}}{\partial x_{j}} \\
& +\psi_{k}^{\prime}(r) \frac{x_{j}}{r} \frac{\partial Y_{k}}{\partial \theta_{h}} \frac{\partial \theta_{h}}{\partial x_{i}}+\psi_{k}(r) \frac{\partial^{2} Y_{k}}{\partial \theta_{h} \partial \theta_{\ell}} \frac{\partial \theta_{\ell}}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial \theta_{h}}{\partial x_{i}}+\psi_{k}(r) \frac{\partial Y_{k}}{\partial \theta_{h}} \frac{\partial^{2} \theta_{h}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\nabla \phi, x)=\sum_{i=1}^{N} x_{i} \partial_{x_{i}} \phi=\psi_{k}^{\prime}(r) r Y_{k}(\theta)+\psi_{k}(r) \frac{\partial Y_{k}}{\partial \theta_{h}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial \theta_{h}}{\partial x_{i}} x_{i}=\psi_{k}^{\prime}(r) r Y_{k}(\theta) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{i, j=1}^{N} \partial_{x_{i} x_{j}}^{2} \phi x_{i} x_{j} & =\psi_{k}^{\prime \prime}(r) r^{2} Y_{k}(\theta)+2 \psi_{k}^{\prime}(r) r \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial Y_{k}}{\partial \theta_{h}} \frac{\partial \theta_{h}}{\partial x_{i}} x_{i}+\psi_{k}(r) \sum_{i, j=1}^{N} \frac{\partial^{2} Y_{k}}{\partial \theta_{h} \partial \theta_{\ell}} \frac{\partial \theta_{\ell}}{\partial x_{j}} x_{j} \frac{\partial \theta_{h}}{\partial x_{i}} x_{i} \\
& +\psi_{k}(r) \sum_{i, j=1}^{N} \frac{\partial Y_{k}}{\partial \theta_{h}} \frac{\partial^{2} \theta_{h}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}} x_{i} x_{j}=\psi_{k}^{\prime \prime}(r) r^{2} Y_{k}(\theta) \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

because it holds true that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial \theta_{h}}{\partial x_{i}} x_{i}=0 \text { and } \sum_{i, j=1}^{N} \frac{\partial^{2} \theta_{h}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}} x_{i} x_{j}=0, h=1, \ldots, N-1
$$

Putting together (3.3), (3.5), (3.6) and (3.7) into (3.1) we get the following equations for any $\psi_{k}, k=0,1,2, \ldots$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{k}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{\psi_{k}^{\prime}}{r}\left(\frac{N-1}{p-1}+\frac{(p-2) N}{p-1} \frac{1}{1+r^{\frac{p}{p-1}}}\right)-\frac{\lambda_{k}}{r^{2}} \psi_{k}+\gamma_{N, p} \frac{r^{\frac{p}{p-1}-2}}{\left(1+r^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right)^{2}} \psi_{k}=0 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be rewritten in a weak form as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{k}\left(\psi_{k}\right)=0, \quad k=0,1,2, \ldots \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the operator $\mathcal{L}_{k}$ is defined by

$$
\mathcal{L}_{k}(\psi):=\left(r^{N-1}\left|U^{\prime}(r)\right|^{p-2} \psi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\left(p^{*}-1\right) r^{N-1}(U(r))^{p^{*}-2} \psi-\lambda_{k} r^{N-3}\left|U^{\prime}(r)\right|^{p-2} \psi
$$

Since we are concerned with solutions $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_{*}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ to the linear equation (1.7), we will look for solutions $\psi_{k}$ to (3.8) or (3.9) in the space $\mathcal{D}_{k}$ which is the completion of $C_{c}^{1}([0,+\infty))$ with respect to the norm

$$
\|\psi\|_{k}:=\left(\int_{0}^{+\infty} r^{N-1}\left|U^{\prime}(r)\right|^{p-2}\left|\psi^{\prime}(r)\right|^{2} d r+\lambda_{k} \int_{0}^{+\infty} r^{N-3}\left|U^{\prime}(r)\right|^{p-2}|\psi(r)|^{2} d r\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

3.2. Solving the equations $\mathcal{L}_{k}(\psi)=0$.

- The case $k=0$.

We know that the function $Z_{0}$ defined in (1.5) as

$$
Z_{0}(x)=\frac{N-p}{p(p-1)} \alpha_{N, p}^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \underbrace{\frac{p-1-|x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}}{\left(1+|x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right)^{\frac{N}{p}}}}_{:=\psi_{0}(|x|)}
$$

solves the equation (3.1). We claim that all the solutions in $\mathcal{D}_{0}$ to $\mathcal{L}_{0}(\psi)=0$ are given by $\psi=c \psi_{0}, c \in \mathbb{R}$. Indeed, for $k=0$ we have that $\lambda_{0}=0$ and a straightforward
computation shows that $\psi_{0} \in \mathcal{D}_{0}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{0}\left(\psi_{0}\right)=0$.
We look for a second linearly independent solution of the form

$$
w(r)=c(r) \psi_{0}(r)
$$

Then we get

$$
c^{\prime \prime}(r) \psi_{0}(r)+c^{\prime}(r)\left[2 \psi_{0}^{\prime}(r)+\frac{\psi_{0}(r)}{r}\left(\frac{N-1}{p-1}+\frac{N(p-2)}{p-1} \frac{1}{1+r^{\frac{p}{p-1}}}\right)\right]=0
$$

and hence

$$
\frac{c^{\prime \prime}(r)}{c^{\prime}(r)}=-2 \frac{\psi_{0}^{\prime}(r)}{\psi_{0}(r)}-\frac{1}{r}\left(\frac{N-1}{p-1}+\frac{N(p-2)}{p-1} \frac{1}{1+r^{\frac{p}{p-1}}}\right) .
$$

A direct computation shows that

$$
c^{\prime}(r)=A \frac{\left(1+r^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right)^{\frac{N(p-2)}{p}}}{\left(\psi_{0}(r)\right)^{2} r^{\frac{N-1+N(p-2)}{p-1}}} \text { for some } A \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}
$$

Therefore

$$
c(r) \sim B r^{\frac{N-p}{p-1}} \text { and } w(r)=c(r) \psi_{0}(r) \sim B \text { as } r \rightarrow+\infty \text { with } B \neq 0
$$

However $w \notin \mathcal{D}_{0}$ because of Lemma 2.1.

- The case $k=1$.

We know that the function $Z_{i}$ defined in (1.6) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{i}(x)=\frac{N-p}{p-1} \alpha_{N, p}^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \frac{x_{i}}{|x|} \underbrace{\frac{|x|^{\frac{1}{p-1}}}{\left(1+|x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right)^{\frac{N}{p}}}}_{:=\psi_{1}(|x|)}, i=1, \ldots, N \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

solve the equation (3.1). We claim that all the solutions in $\mathcal{D}_{1}$ to $\mathcal{L}_{1}(\psi)=0$ are given by $\psi=c \psi_{1}, c \in \mathbb{R}$. Indeed, for $k=1$ we have that $\lambda_{1}=N-1$ and a straightforward computation shows that $\psi_{1} \in \mathcal{D}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{1}\left(\psi_{1}\right)=0$.
As above, we look for a second linearly independent solution of the form

$$
w(r)=c(r) \psi_{1}(r)
$$

Then we get

$$
c^{\prime \prime}(r) \psi_{1}(r)+c^{\prime}(r)\left[2 \psi_{1}^{\prime}(r)+\frac{\psi_{1}(r)}{r}\left(\frac{N-1}{p-1}+\frac{N(p-2)}{p-1} \frac{1}{1+r^{\frac{p}{p-1}}}\right)\right]=0
$$

and a direct computation shows that

$$
c^{\prime}(r)=A \frac{\left(1+r^{\left.\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{\frac{N(p-2)}{p}}}\right.}{\left(\psi_{1}(r)\right)^{2} r^{\frac{N-1+N(p-2)}{p-1}}} \text { for some } A \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\} .
$$

Therefore

$$
c(r) \sim B r^{\frac{N-1}{p-1}+1} \text { and } w(r)=c(r) \psi_{1}(r) \sim B r \text { as } r \rightarrow+\infty \text { with } B \neq 0
$$

However $w \notin \mathcal{D}_{1}$ because of Lemma 2.1.

- The case $k \geqslant 2$.

We claim that all the solutions in $\mathcal{D}_{k}$ of $\mathcal{L}_{k}(\psi)=0$ are identically zero if $k \geqslant 2$.
Assume there exists a function $\psi_{k}$ such that $\mathcal{L}_{k}\left(\psi_{k}\right)=0$, i.e. for any $r \geqslant 0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r^{N-1}\left|U^{\prime}(r)\right|^{p-2} \psi_{k}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+r^{N-1}(U(r))^{\frac{N p-2 N+2 p}{N-p}} \psi_{k}-\lambda_{k} r^{N-3}\left|U^{\prime}(r)\right|^{p-2} \psi_{k}=0 \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We claim that $\psi_{k} \equiv 0$ if $k \geqslant 2$. We argue by contradiction. Without loss of generality, we can suppose that there exists $r_{k}>0$ (possibly $+\infty$ ) such that $\psi_{k}(r)>0$ for any $r \in\left(0, r_{k}\right)$ and $\psi_{k}\left(r_{k}\right)=0$. In particular, $\psi_{k}^{\prime}\left(r_{k}\right) \leqslant 0$.
Now, let $\psi_{1}(r)=U^{\prime}(r)\left(\right.$ see (3.10) ) be the solution of $\mathcal{L}_{1}\left(\psi_{1}\right)=0$, i.e. for any $r \geqslant 0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r^{N-1}\left|U^{\prime}(r)\right|^{p-2} \psi_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+r^{N-1}(U(r))^{\frac{N p-2 N+2 p}{N-p}} \psi_{1}-\lambda_{1} r^{N-3}\left|U^{\prime}(r)\right|^{p-2} \psi_{1}=0 \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We multiply (3.11) by $\psi_{1}$, (3.12) by $\psi_{k}$, we integrate between 0 and $r_{k}$, we subtract the two expressions and we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\lambda_{k}-\lambda_{1}\right) \int_{0}^{r_{k}} r^{N-3}\left|U^{\prime}(r)\right|^{p-2} \psi_{k} \psi_{1} d r \\
& =\int_{0}^{r_{k}}\left(r^{N-1}\left|U^{\prime}(r)\right|^{p-2} \psi_{k}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \psi_{1} d r-\int_{0}^{r_{k}}\left(r^{N-1}\left|U^{\prime}(r)\right|^{p-2} \psi_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \psi_{k} d r \\
& \text { (we integrate by part and we use that } \psi_{k}\left(r_{k}\right)=0 \text { ) } \\
& =r_{k}^{N-1}\left|U^{\prime}\left(r_{k}\right)\right|^{p-2} \psi_{k}^{\prime}\left(r_{k}\right) \psi_{1}\left(r_{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and a contradiction arises when $\lambda_{k}>\lambda_{1}$, (that is $k \geqslant 2$ ), since $\psi_{k}^{\prime}\left(r_{k}\right) \leqslant 0, \psi_{1}(r)<0$ for any $r>0$ and $\int_{0}^{r_{k}} r^{N-3}\left|U^{\prime}(r)\right|^{p-2} \psi_{k} \psi_{1} d r<0$.
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