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For a quantum pure state in conformal field theory, we generate the Shannon entropy of its coherence, that is, the von Neumann entropy obtained by introducing quantum measurement errors. We give a holographic interpretation of this Shannon entropy, based on Swingle's interpretation of anti-de Sitter space/conformal field theory (AdS/CFT) correspondence in the context of AdS$_3$/CFT$_2$. As the result, we conjecture a differential geometrical formula of the Shannon entropy as the sum of the Susskind–Alishahiha complexity and the abbreviated action, divided by $\pi \hbar$, in the bulk domain enclosed by the Ryu–Takayanagi curve.

Introduction.—In Ref. [1], von Neumann proposed basic ideas for two methods of quantum measurements. The first method is to trace out the quantum state of the measurement apparatus, that is, the environment of the measured system after the measurement apparatus and the measured system interact and their states become entangled. The second method is the use of the super-selection rules obtained by introducing quantum measurement errors. These quantum measurements are changes of the given pure state of the measured system to a mixed state, that is, an exact statistical mixture of pure eigenstates of the measured quantity. So, we can identify these quantum measurements with the sources that generate the von Neumann entropy.

Recently, the translation of the von Neumann entropy, which accompanies the change of a quantum pure state to a statistical mixture, into a differential geometrical quantity in the language of gravity via anti-de Sitter space/conformal field theory (AdS/CFT) correspondence [2–4]—the example of the holographic principle [7–9]—has been extensively studied [10]. The correspondence [5, 6]—the example of the holographic anti-de Sitter space/conformal field theory (AdS/CFT) pure state to a statistical mixture, into a different geometry of the Shannon entropy of the coherence [11–14] apply von Neumann's first method of quantum measurement of a quantum system $A$.

For the sake of simplicity, $A$ consists of a particle up to [11]. The argument is based on the mathematical theorem below, which was proven by von Neumann [1, 2].

Theorem (von Neumann). Consider the measurement errors $\sigma_Q$ and $\sigma_P$ of the canonical variables $\hat{Q}$ (position) and $\hat{P}$ (momentum), respectively, for a spatial dimension of $A$. Then, under the condition

$$\sigma_Q \sigma_P < 60^2 \frac{\hbar}{2},$$

there are errors $\sigma_Q$ and $\sigma_P$ such that the redefined $\hat{Q}$ and $\hat{P}$ that incorporate these errors commute with each other and are able to be simultaneously measured.

In this theorem, we partition the spectrum lines of $\hat{Q}$ and $\hat{P}$ into an infinite number of intervals of constant widths $\epsilon_Q$ and $\epsilon_P$ (which satisfy the equality $\epsilon_Q \epsilon_P = \hbar^2 [11]$), respectively, and we replace these canonical variables with $\hat{Q}'$ and $\hat{P}'$, which take constant eigenvalues in each of their intervals. Specifically, the spectra of $\hat{Q}'$ and $\hat{P}'$ are

$$\text{Spec} \hat{Q}' : 0, \pm \epsilon_Q, \pm 2 \epsilon_Q, \ldots$$

and

$$\text{Spec} \hat{P}' : 0, \pm \epsilon_P, \pm 2 \epsilon_P, \ldots$$

respectively. In this theorem, because $\hat{Q}'$ and $\hat{P}'$ commute, we can consider the phase space of $A$ as in classical mechanics. This phase space is divided into the Planck cells specified by (2) and (3), and there is one possible redefined quantum orbital state for each Planck cell.
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When all (orbital) observables $\hat{O}$ of $A$ are able to be simultaneously measured within certain measurement 
errors $\sigma_O$ (i.e., when only such approximate measurements are made for $A$), we say that $A$ is in a macroscopic state (distinct from the term macrostate in statistical mechanics).

A macroscopic state of $A$ is determined by the corresponding set of observables $\{\hat{O}\}$ of $A$ that is restricted from the total set of Hermitian operators. This set of observables is a set of commutative elements because these observables can be simultaneously measured.

In a macroscopic state of $A$, for any polynomial operator $\hat{O}$ of $\hat{Q}'$ and $\hat{P}'$, the superselection rules
$$\hat{\cal O}, \hat{\cal R}' = [\hat{\cal O}, \hat{\cal P}'] = 0$$
hold. We denote by $\hat{\cal R}'$ a pair comprising the redefined position $\hat{Q}'$ in the spectrum (2) and the redefined momentum $\hat{P}'$ in the spectrum (3). When $\hat{\cal R}'_1$ and $\hat{\cal R}'_2$ are distinct, $|\hat{\cal R}'_1\rangle$ and $|\hat{\cal R}'_2\rangle$ have no coherence. The reason for this is that
$$\hat{\cal O}, \hat{\cal R}' = 0 \Rightarrow \langle \hat{\cal R}'_1 | \hat{\cal O} | \hat{\cal R}'_2 \rangle = 0$$
holds for $\hat{\cal R}' \equiv \hat{\cal Q}', \hat{\cal P}'$. From this fact, when $A$ is in a macroscopic state, the superposition of simultaneous eigenstates $\{|\hat{\cal R}'\rangle\}$ of $\hat{\cal Q}'$ and $\hat{\cal P}'$, made distinct by spectrum width, becomes a statistical mixture: the von Neumann entropy is generated. Indeed, by expanding the given quantum pure state $|\psi_A\rangle$ to
$$|\psi_A\rangle = \sum_n c_n |\psi_{n}^{\text{basis}}\rangle,$$
it follows that
$$\hat{\rho}_A \equiv \sum_{m,n} c_m \bar{c}_n |\psi_{m}^{\text{basis}}\rangle \langle \psi_{n}^{\text{basis}}| \rightarrow \sum_n |c_n|^2 |\hat{\cal R}'_n\rangle \langle \hat{\cal R}'_n|,$$
where $\langle Q | |\psi_{n}^{\text{basis}}\rangle \equiv \langle Q | \hat{\cal R}'_n\rangle$ are Gaussian wave packets of the original position $Q$ with width $\epsilon_Q$ and Fourier width $\epsilon_P$, and their full set forms a complete orthonormal system of the functional Hilbert space of $A$. Namely, by introducing measurement errors of $A$ that satisfy (4), the von Neumann entropy
$$S_A \equiv - \text{tr} \hat{\rho}_A \ln \hat{\rho}_A$$
is generated from the superposition of the simultaneous eigenstates $\{|\hat{\cal R}'\rangle\}$ of $\hat{\cal Q}'$ and $\hat{\cal P}'$ (an arbitrary quantum pure state) of $A$. By using the Shannon entropy in bits
$$H_A^{\text{bit}} = - \sum_n p_A[\hat{\cal R}'_n] \log_2 p_A[\hat{\cal R}'_n],$$
we can write the von Neumann entropy as
$$\frac{S_A}{\ln 2} = H_A^{\text{bit}}.$$
Equation (10) is the information lost by introducing measurement errors (i.e., by the classical description of the given quantum pure state $|\psi_A\rangle$).

AdS/CFT correspondence.—Next, we apply the AdS$_3$/CFT$_2$ correspondence based on Swingle’s interpretation to a quantum pure state $|\psi_A\rangle$ of a quantum system $A$ in a strongly coupled CFT in two-dimensional Minkowski space-time. In this application, we consider the change of bulk induced by the measurement errors. In this section, we consider static and classical bulk space-time at zero boundary temperature, which corresponds to the ground state of an isolated quantum system $A$.

In the bulk space, we consider the bubble, defined for the domain $A$ sitting on the boundary,
$$\gamma_A, \ \text{s.t.} \ \partial \gamma_A = \partial A, \ \gamma_A \sim A.$$
The symbol $\sim$ indicates homology equivalence.

In Swingle’s interpretation based on Vidal’s multiscale entanglement renormalization ansatz, a quantum pure state on a lattice in the boundary space is equivalent to the tensor network of qubits (here, we assume that the fermionic occupancy/empty states at each site on the lattice characterize the qubit states) obtained by the entanglement renormalization group transformation in the bulk space and each bubble $\gamma_A$ of $A$ transverses this tensor network. Here, the entanglement renormalization group transformation is the real-space renormalization group transformation of the semi-ininitely alternate combinations of the disentangler that makes the entanglement between two adjacent qubits be the product state by a unitary transformation and an isometry that adjoins two adjacent qubits into one qubit by coarsening the grain.

The expression of this transformation of qubits is a scale-invariant tensor network.

Now, we denote by $G_N$ the three-dimensional Newton’s gravitational constant. Then, as the Ryu–Takayanagi formula of the entanglement entropy
$$S_A^{\text{EE}} = \min_{\gamma_A} \frac{\text{Length}[\gamma_A]}{4G_N}$$
holds in a static AdS$_3$ space-time, it is known that, for a sufficiently strongly entangled system $A$,
$$S_A^{\text{EE}} \propto \min_{\gamma_A} \{\text{EPR pairs crossed by } \gamma_A \text{ in bulk}\}$$
holds in Swingle’s interpretation. Here, denoting by $\gamma^0_A$ the geodesic bubble, $\gamma_A = \gamma^0_A$ gives rise to the values of (13) and (14). Before we introduce the measurement errors, the holographic dual, $\chi_A$, of the quantum pure state of $A$ in a static AdS$_3$ space-time is, by formula (14), the bulk domain whose boundary is specified by the geodesic bubble $\gamma^0_A$ and $A$ (the so-called time slice of entanglement wedge):
$$\Gamma^0_A, \ \partial \Gamma^0_A = \gamma^0_A \cup A.$
Next, we introduce the quantum measurement errors \( \sigma_Q \) and \( \sigma_P \) fine enough to describe positions and momenta of the system \( A \) such that the spectrum lattices of \( \{ \psi \} \) and \( \{ \chi \} \) match the lattice and its reciprocal, respectively. Then, over the whole of the boundary, every redefined position eigenstate has no coherence with any other due to \( \delta \). So, as in \( \delta \), the state of an arbitrary system of different sites on the boundary is a statistical mixture of product eigenstates of redefined positions and has no entanglement. From this fact, in the bulk space, there are no disentangler operations in the entanglement renormalization group transformation, by which the tensor network (that is, the holographic dual of the quantum systems on the boundary) works. Namely, both on the whole of the boundary and also in the whole of the tensor network in the bulk space, there is no coherence and there are no EPR pairs. Consequently, for the pure states in the mixed state of \( A \), all the bubbles \( \gamma_A \) containing \( \gamma_A^0 \) and all the bulk domains \( \Gamma_A = \gamma_A \cup A \) containing \( \Gamma_A^0 \) cannot be distinguished from each other.

From this consequence, the holographic dual \( \chi_A \) of \( A \) in the bulk space has a microstate (in the sense of statistical mechanics) for each bulk domain \( \Gamma_A \) and has entropy \( S^{(bulk)}_A \) with value \( \delta \) from the holographic principle.

As statistical mechanics reveals, the origin of the bulk entropy \( S^{(bulk)}_A \) generated by the measurement errors is the number of microstates of \( \chi_A \). (Before we introduce measurement errors, \( \delta \) is zero and the microstate of \( \chi_A \) is uniquely specified by \( \Gamma_A^0 \).) This origin is clearly different from the origin of the bulk entropy generated by the partial trace over the geometrically complementary system on the boundary (i.e., by the first method of quantum measurement).

**Holographic interpretation.** — In the second method of quantum measurement, the holographic interpretation follows from the above arguments.

For a given quantum pure state \( |\psi_A\rangle \) of the boundary system \( A \) in contact with an energy and momentum reservoir, \( \delta \), \( \sigma \), we consider the Shannon entropy \( H^A \) of its coherence in bits. This entropy is the information lost by the introduction of measurement errors. We assume that the measurement errors \( \sigma_Q \) and \( \sigma_P \) are fine enough to describe \( A \), as mentioned in the last section. In our setup, we propose that

\[
H^A = C_A + \frac{1}{\pi h} I_A ,
\]

where \( C_A \) is the Susskind–Alishahiha complexity of \( A \) and is given essentially by the area of the holographic dual \( \Gamma_A^0 \) of \( A \) for the geodesic bubble \( \gamma_A^0 \) \( \delta \), and \( I_A \) is the value of the action \( I[\Gamma_A] \) taken at \( \Gamma_A^0 \).

Here, the action \( I[\Gamma_A] \) is defined in the following way.

First, we decompose the square of line elements of the bulk space-time by using the metric \( g_{\mu\nu} \) of the two-dimensional space-times and an Arnowitt–Deser–Misner-like method as

\[
ds^2 = N^2 dr^2 + \gamma_{\mu\nu}(dx^\mu + v^\mu dr)(dx^\nu + v^\nu dr) ,
\]

where \( r \) is the extra dimension. \( \delta \) In this decomposition, the action \( I[\Gamma_A] \) is defined, in the family of phase spaces of the two-dimensional space-like hypersurface \( \Gamma^2 \)

\[
M_r = \{ (x, p^x) | p^x \text{ at } (x, r) \}
\]

for momentum density \( p^x \) of the slice of \( \Gamma^2 \) at each position \( r \) of the extra dimension \( \delta \), as

\[
I[\Gamma_A] = \int_{\Gamma_A} p^x v_x d\Gamma_A ,
\]

where the index \( x \) is contracted by the Kronecker delta (see the arguments after \( \delta \)). This action is the abbreviated action in the family of phase spaces \( M_r \).

Proposal \( \delta \) is based on the following arguments. First, we expand the given quantum pure state \( |\psi_A\rangle \) of the system \( A \) to \( |\psi_A\rangle = \sum c_n |\psi^{basis}_n\rangle \) and set \( p_A \{ |\psi^{basis}_n\rangle \} = |c_n|^2 \). Here, \( \{ |\psi^{basis}_n\rangle \} \) are equivalent to distinct product simultaneous eigenstates \( \{ |\psi\rangle \} \) of the redefined positions \( \{ Q \} \) and redefined momenta \( \{ P \} \) with many-body degrees of freedom; we omit the tilde part. \( \delta \) Next, we consider the bulk side. After introducing quantum measurement errors, \( \Gamma_A \) (that is, a microstate of \( \chi_A \)) has a normalized distribution function, \( f[\Gamma_A] \). As the consequence of the last section’s main argument, instances of \( \Gamma_A \) cannot be distinguished from each other and are uniformly distributed over their configuration system. So, due to Tolman’s principle of equal a priori probabilities, \( \delta \),

\[
f[\Gamma_A] = \frac{1}{W^{(bulk)}_A}
\]

holds for the number of states \( W^{(bulk)}_A \). Here, the equal a priori probability \( p^{(bulk)}_A \equiv 1/W^{(bulk)}_A \) is determined by the bulk momentum density \( p^x \), which is an additive quantity, its multiplier for the momentum constraint, and the geometry of \( \Gamma^0_A \). In the gravitational theory dual to the CFT of the boundary, the multiplier of \( p^x \) is the ‘shift vector’ \( v_x \). This multiplier \( v_x \) is obtained from the thermodynamic relation \( \partial \Delta^{(bulk)} / \partial p^x = -v_x \Delta (1/T_{bulk}) \) for the horizontal bulk entropy density \( s^{(bulk)}_A \) (s.t. \( \Delta^{(bulk)} = \int (dQ^{(bulk)}/\sqrt{g_{xx}})/dx \) for the area form of the bulk entropy \( d\sigma^{(bulk)}_A \)) and the inverse bulk temperature \( 1/T_{bulk} \) \( (0 \leq 1/T_{bulk} \leq \infty) \) under the identification

\[
\frac{1}{T_{bulk}} = \frac{R_{AdS} \delta \mathcal{U}}{\kappa h}
\]

for the curvature radius \( R_{AdS} \) of AdS, a dimensionless ‘time’ parameter \( \mathcal{U} \) defined by the relation \( r = r_{\infty} + \delta q \delta \) for the ultraviolet cut-off \( r_{\infty} \) of the extra dimension \( r \), and a dimensionless positive real constant.
κ: this is when we regard the entanglement renormalization group transformation as being like inverse time evolution.\(^\text{11}\) (Here, the range of \(U\) is \(-\infty < U \leq 0\) at zero boundary temperature;\(^\text{12}\) at finite boundary temperatures, we paste two truncated tensor networks together at the position of the black hole event horizon;\(^\text{13}\))

Then, the equal a priori probability \(p_A^{(\text{bulk})}\) is the value of the next factor taken at the holographic dual \(\Gamma^0_A\) of the quantum pure state of \(A\):

\[
p_A^{(\text{bulk})} = \exp\left(-\frac{\ln 2}{8\pi G_N R_{\text{AdS}}} \text{Area}[\Gamma^0_A] - \frac{\ln 2}{\pi h} I[\Gamma^0_A]\right) .
\]

(22)

This probability gives rise to the entropy \(S_A^{(\text{bulk})}\) of \(\chi_A;\(^\text{29}\)

From the above arguments and the holographic principle, the Shannon entropy \((\ln 2)H_A^{(\text{hit})}\) of the quantum mixed state of \(A\) in nats is

\[
(\ln 2)H_A^{(\text{hit})} = -\sum_n p_A(\{\psi^{(\text{basis})}_n\}) \ln p_A(\{\psi^{(\text{basis})}_n\}) .
\]

(23)

\[
= S_A .
\]

(24)

\[
= S_A^{(\text{bulk})} .
\]

(25)

\[
= -\int f[\Gamma_A] \ln f[\Gamma_A] d\Gamma_A / \Gamma_A .
\]

(26)

\[
= \ln W_A^{(\text{bulk})} .
\]

(27)

\[
= -\ln p_A^{(\text{bulk})} .
\]

(28)

\[
= \frac{\ln 2}{8\pi G_N R_{\text{AdS}}} \text{Area}[\Gamma^0_A] + \frac{\ln 2}{\pi h} I[\Gamma^0_A] .
\]

(29)

The conjectured part\(^\text{24}\), that is, an equality between entropy (i.e., lost information) in the boundary and the bulk is based on the holographic principle. Here, the integral measure \(D\Gamma_A\) satisfies

\[
\int 1D\Gamma_A = W_A^{(\text{bulk})} .
\]

(30)

Finally, to estimate the abbreviated action \(I_A\), let us consider the hydrodynamics of the CFT2 of the boundary (i.e., the system \(A\) plus the reservoir), which is perfectly described by conserved quantities and does not require the local equilibrium condition because it is two-dimensional.\(^\text{24}\) Specifically, we consider the gravity dual of a one-dimensional perfect fluid with uniform and steady fluid two-velocity \(u^\tau(x, t) = u\) and \(u^\mu = \gamma\) such that

\[
u_{\mu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} u^\nu , \quad u^\mu u_\mu = -1
\]

(31)

for the Lorentz metric \(\eta_{\mu\nu}\) of the two-dimensional boundary space-time, energy density \(\epsilon\), pressure \(p = \epsilon\), and ‘mass’ density \(\rho = \epsilon/\gamma^2\); we denote the kinetic part of \(\epsilon\) by \(\epsilon_{\text{kin}}\). Then, the metric of the bulk space-time, that is, the Schwarzschild AdS3 black hole (i.e., the nonrotating Bañados–Teitelboim–Zanelli black hole;\(^\text{27}\)), Lorentz boosted in the bulk space-time with the two-velocity \(u^\mu\), is

\[
ds^2 = -2u_\mu dx^\mu dr + r^2 \left[\eta_{\mu\nu} + \frac{r^2 p}{x^2} u_\mu u_\nu\right] dx^\mu dx^\nu .
\]

(32)

In this metric, \(x^\mu\) is covariantized with respect to Lorentz transformations in the boundary directions, where the index of \(dx^\mu\) is lowered by \(\eta_{\mu\nu}\) due to\(^\text{21}\). Here, we scale the curvature radius of AdS3 space to unity (i.e., \(R_{\text{AdS}} = 1\)) and denote the radius of the event horizon of the Schwarzschild AdS3 black hole by \(r_+\). We denote the discrepancy between the metrics \(\gamma_{\mu\nu}\) and \(r^2 \eta_{\mu\nu}\) by \(\delta g_{\mu\nu} = \gamma_{\mu\nu} - r^2 \eta_{\mu\nu}\). Then, from the one-dimensional Stefan–Boltzmann law of fluid of massless particles, we obtain

\[
8\pi G_N p^\tau = -\delta g^{\alpha\beta} = -r^2 + \gamma u = -\epsilon u/\gamma = -\rho u\quad \text{for the bulk momentum density} p^\tau .
\]

(22)

In addition to this, we have \(v_x = -u\).

We let the domain of the system in the boundary be \(A : [0, l]\). Because\(^\text{22}\) is three-dimensional, \(\chi_A\) is locally the metric of AdS3\(^\text{28}\) and so is compatible with Swingle’s interpretation of AdS/CFT correspondence; this fact is why we set this study in the context of AdS3/CFT2. In the Poincaré coordinates, the geodesic \(\gamma_A^0\) in the bulk is a half-circle. The area element in the bulk is given in the Poincaré metric of AdS3 by

\[
dA = \frac{dw dz}{z^2} ,
\]

(33)

where \(z = 0\) represents the boundary. Using these, in the ‘non-relativistic’ regime (i.e., \(v_x \ll 1\)), when the lattice constant \(\epsilon\) is sufficiently small relative to \(l\) and \(e^{r+1} \gg 1\), we obtain the abbreviated action

\[
\frac{1}{\pi h} I_A = \left[\frac{1}{8\pi G_N} \int_{\Gamma^0_A} dA \right] \frac{p u^2}{\pi h}
\]

(34)

\[
\simeq \left[\frac{1}{8\pi G_N} l \right] \frac{4 \epsilon_{\text{kin}}}{h}
\]

(35)

\[
= \left[\frac{c}{12\pi} \frac{l}{\epsilon} t^1_{\perp}\right] .
\]

(36)

Here, \(t^1_{\perp} = h/4 \epsilon_{\text{kin}}\) is the minimum elapsed time (the Maragolus–Lewitin time) required to execute a binary classical logic gate as a change of an original quantum pure state to another quantum pure state orthogonal to the original state (that is, a classical mechanically different state);\(^\text{24}\)\(^\text{21}\), and \(c = 3/2 G_N\) is the central charge of the CFT2 of the boundary\(^\text{22}\).

With this hydrodynamics, the proposal\(^\text{18}\) is reduced to two arguments: (i) after introducing quantum measurement errors, the quantum mixed state of the boundary system \(A\), obtained by\(^\text{14}\), has classical probabilities (i.e., probabilities with no interference). In addition to this, \(\Gamma^0_A\) is the holographic dual, of this quantum mixed state of \(A\), in the bulk (AdS3) because the relative phases in \(|\psi_A\rangle\) are redundant for the geometry of \(\Gamma^0_A\). Then, \(\Gamma^0_A\)
is the spatial realization of the minimum program (i.e., the program with no redundancy), whose union of \( N \) replicas outputs the statistical mixture \( \{ R \} \) of \( N \) product simultaneous eigenstates \( \{ \{ R' \} \} \) of redefined positions \( \{ Q' \} \) and redefined momenta \( \{ P' \} \) of \( A \), that is, the quantum mixed state of \( A \) in the limit as \( N \) goes to infinity. This output is done independently by means of \( \Gamma_A^0 \) itself and a binary classical computer with the Margolus–Levitin time \( t_\perp \). The spatial length of this program is

\[
\ell_A^s = \frac{1}{8\pi G_N} \text{Area}[\Gamma_A^0].
\]

(ii) The length of this minimum program is

\[
\ell_A = \ell_A^s + \frac{1}{t_\perp} \quad (38)
\]

and is the Shannon entropy \( H_A \) of the quantum mixed state \( \{ R \} \) of \( A \). If \( t_\perp \) were not the shortest Margolus–Levitin time, \( \ell_A^s \) would not be minimal because the true length of the minimum program is unique. In these arguments, whereas \( \ell_A^s \) is independent of the state of fluid, \( t_\perp \) is determined by the state of fluid. Argument (ii) is based on the fact that the Shannon entropy of a quantum mixed state can be written as the ensemble average of the classical Kolmogorov complexity.\[33]\[41]

**Determination of equal a priori probability \( p_A^{(\text{bulk})} \)**

In this appendix, we determine the equal a priori probability \( p_A^{(\text{bulk})} \) for a given metric of the bulk space-time.

After introducing quantum measurement errors, we consider \( n_r(\gg 1) \) replicas of the \( \mu \)-space \( \mathfrak{N}_r \) of a site of the tensor network and superpose these replicas; \( \mathfrak{N}_r \) is defined at each position \( r \) in the extra dimension. We denote by \( n_r(x, p^x) \) the occupied number density at the point \( (x, p^x) \) in the superposed \( \mathfrak{N}_r \).

The fundamental postulate of statistical mechanics asserts that the ensemble of equally likely microstates subject to constraints is in a state of statistical equilibrium. So, the problem is subject to the constraints

\[
n_r = \int \int n_r(x, p^x)dx dp^x \quad (39)
\]

\[
a = \text{a large constant ,}
\]

\[
p^x_r(x) = \int p^x n_r(x, p^x)dp^x
\]

\[
a = \text{a posteriori constants}, \quad (42)
\]

to determine the configuration \( n_r(x, p^x) \) that maximizes the number of microstates corresponding to the macrostate specified by \( [ R ] \) and \( \{ P' \} \).

For \( p^x_r(x) \), we suppose only the conditions that maintain their values while we maximize the number of microstates, and the values of \( p^x_r(x) \) would be determined \textit{a posteriori} after the distribution \( n_r(x, p^x) \) is determined. Here, due to

\[
\ln W_r = n_r \ln n_r - \int n_r(x, p^x) \ln n_r(x, p^x)dx dp^x, \quad (44)
\]

the variational equations are

\[
0 = \delta \ln W_r \quad (45)
\]

\[
= - \int \left( \ln n_r(x, p^x) + 1 \right) \delta n_r(x, p^x)dx dp^x, \quad (46)
\]

\[
0 = \int \delta n_r(x, p^x)dx dp^x, \quad (47)
\]

\[
0 = \int p^x \delta n_r(x, p^x)dp^x. \quad (48)
\]

For consistency with the thermodynamic relation \( \partial S_A^{(\text{bulk})}/\partial p^x = -v_x \Delta(1/T_\text{bulk}) \) under the identification \[21\] in the main text, we introduce the Lagrange multipliers for the constraints as

\[
0 = \int \left( \ln n_r(x, p^x) + \alpha + \beta p^x v_x \right) \delta n_r(x, p^x)dx dp^x, \quad (49)
\]

where the multiplication by unity with two spatial dimensions is omitted for the last two terms. Then, we obtain

\[
n_r(x, p^x) \propto e^{-\alpha - \beta p^x v_x}, \quad (50)
\]

where \( n_r \) in \[39\] diverges. From this, we have

\[
n_{\Gamma_A}[p^x] = \prod_{(x,r) \in \Gamma_A} n_r(x, p^x) \quad (51)
\]

\[
\propto \exp \left( -\alpha \text{Area}[\Gamma_A] - \beta \int_{\Gamma_A} p^x v_x d\Gamma_A \right) \quad (52)
\]

This takes the form of

\[
n_{\Gamma_A}[p^x] \propto e^{-\alpha \text{Area}[\Gamma_A] - \beta I[\Gamma_A]}. \quad (53)
\]

Based on this result \[53\], by following the arguments from \[26\] to \[28\] in the main text, we obtain

\[
S_A = \left( \ln 2 \right) H_A^{\text{bit}} \quad (54)
\]

\[
= \alpha \text{Area}[\Gamma_A^0] + \beta I[\Gamma_A^0], \quad (55)
\]

where \( I[\Gamma_A^0] \) is defined for the metric of the bulk space-time.

Now, because \( H_A^{\text{bit}} \) is the Shannon entropy of the statistical mixture \( \{ R' \} \) of the product simultaneous eigenstates \( \{ \{ R' \} \} \) of redefined positions \( \{ Q' \} \) and redefined momenta \( \{ P' \} \) in bits, we obtain \[33\]

\[
H_A^{\text{bit}} = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{K_A^{\text{bit}}(\{ \{ R' \} \})_{N,N}}{N}. \quad (56)
\]
Here, the product simultaneous eigenvectors $|\{R_i\}_n\rangle$ of $|\tilde{Q}\rangle$ and $|\tilde{P}\rangle$ are encoded by length-$n$ binary sequences. On the right-hand side of (55), these binary sequences belong to an infinitely large binary sequence, which represents the statistical mixture of $N$ replicas of the system $A$, with length $n \cdot N$ in the limit as $N$ goes to infinity, and $K_{\text{bit}}^A$ is the classical Kolmogorov complexity in bits.

From (56), we determine the two Lagrange multipliers $\alpha$ and $\beta$ by considering two cases.

First, we consider the case of a static bulk space-time: in particular, the system $A$ is not in a state of momentum equilibrium. In this case, the second term of (55) vanishes, and $H_A^{\text{bit}} = (\alpha/\ln 2) \text{Area}[\Gamma^A]$ must match the Susskind–Alishahiha complexity $C_A$, because of (56), the reduction of $|\{R\}\rangle$ to $|\{Q\}\rangle$, and the sufficient fineness of the introduced measurement errors $\sigma_Q$ and $\sigma_P$ (i.e., no extra loss of information). From the expression of $C_A$ in Ref. [21], $\alpha$ is determined by

$$\alpha = \frac{\ln 2}{8\pi G N R_{\text{AdS}}}.$$  (57)

Second, we consider the case of a non-static bulk space-time: in particular, the system $A$ is in equilibrium with a momentum reservoir with non-zero velocity $u$. From (55) and (56), we obtain

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{K_{\text{bit}}^A(|\{R\}\rangle_{n \cdot N})}{N} = \frac{\alpha}{\ln 2} \text{Area}[\Gamma^A] + \frac{\beta}{\ln 2} I[\Gamma^A].$$  (58)

When the phase $e^{iTA/\hbar}$ advances from 1 to $-1$, and $C_A$ does not change, this advance of the phase increases $\beta$ by one. Namely, in the ensemble average, this advance of the phase adds a binary classical logic gate to the minimum program of the quantum mixed state (7) of $A$ for a binary classical computer with the Margolus–Levitin time $L_L$, and the initial state of this minimum program has complexity $C_A$. This argument is based on original arguments by Lloyd [23, 30] and Brown et al. made within a context different from ours [34, 35]. From this argument and (58), $\beta$ is determined by

$$\beta = \frac{\ln 2}{\pi \hbar}.$$  (59)

From (56) and (57), and (58), the equal a priori probability $P_A^{(\text{bulk})}$ is determined by (22) in the main text.

[36] When we introduce measurement errors, we assume that the system is in a macroscopic state.
[37] After introducing quantum measurement errors, the equilibrium state of CFT$_2$ can be purified by means of the tilde states.[19]
[38] So neither $C_A$ nor (7) contains information about the relative phases in $|\psi_A\rangle$.
[39] See the appendix for the derivation of (22).
[40] The Margolus–Levitin time is shortest with binary logic.[22] This is why we use the Shannon entropy in bits.
[41] See (56) in the appendix.