The Heisenberg uncertainty relation is derived for relativistic electrons described by the Dirac equation. The standard nonrelativistic lower bound $3/2\hbar$ is attained only in the limit and the wave function that reproduces this value is singular. At the other end, in the ultrarelativistic limit, the bound is the same as that found before for photons.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Recent progress in the generation of relativistic beams of electrons calls for adequate theoretical tools, based on the Dirac equation, that would allow to account precisely for quantum properties of these electrons. The problem raised and answered in this paper is that of Heisenberg uncertainty relation for relativistic particles.

Our task is to find the minimal value $\gamma_H$ of the product $\gamma = \sqrt{\Delta r^2 \Delta p^2}$. The minimal value will be obtained with the use of the variational procedure. This task is simplified if we put $\langle r \rangle = 0$ and $\langle p \rangle = 0$ in the definitions and . In the case of $\Delta r^2$ the prescription is simple. We just choose the origin of the coordinate system at the center of the probability distribution. In the case of $\Delta p^2$ the solution is more subtle because the space of momenta is not homogeneous and we cannot choose its origin at will. We may, however, seek the wave function which minimizes the product $\Delta r^2 \Delta p^2$ in the following form:
exp(i(p \cdot r)\psi(r)$. Such a replacement does not change $\Delta r^2$ but it shifts the argument of the Fourier transform by $\langle p \rangle = 0$ so that we obtain

$$\Delta p^2 = \frac{1}{N^2} \int d^3r (p - \langle p \rangle)^2 p_p(p - \langle p \rangle).$$

(9)

The change of the integration variables removes $\langle p \rangle$. In this way we have eliminated completely the average values of position and momentum from the formulas for the dispersions. In the next Section we will express the product $\Delta r^2\Delta p^2$ in terms of the independent degrees of freedom that can be subjected to unrestricted variations in the variational procedure.

II. EVALUATION OF THE VARIATIONAL FUNCTIONAL

The states of an electron are described by the wave functions obeying the Dirac equation ($c = 1, \hbar = 1$),

$$[i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m] \psi(r, t) = 0.$$  

(10)

Since we are interested in the wave functions of an electron and not of a positron, we consider only positive energy solutions of the Dirac equation. Such bispinors $\psi_{\alpha}(r, t)$ can be expressed in terms of two complex amplitudes $f(p, \pm)$:

$$\psi_{\alpha}(r, t) = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \times \sum_{s=\pm} u_{\alpha}(p, s)f(p, s) \exp(ip \cdot r - iEt).$$

(11)

The wave functions $f(p, s)$ of momentum variables and the spin index $s$ are not subjected to any restrictions; they represent the independent degrees of freedom of an electron moving in free space. They also form a representation of the Poincaré group (inhomogeneous Lorentz group) for massive spin 1/2 particles as has been described by Wigner [3].

Two orthonormal bispinors $u_{\alpha}(p, s)$ in the Weyl representation [4] of $\gamma$ matrices will be chosen in the form:

$$u(p, +) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4E(E + m)}} \begin{bmatrix} m + E + p_x \\ p_x - i p_y \\ m + E - p_z \\ -p_x - i p_y \end{bmatrix},$$

(12a)

$$u(p, -) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4E(E + m)}} \begin{bmatrix} p_x - i p_y \\ m + E + p_z \\ -p_x + i p_y \\ m + E + p_z \end{bmatrix},$$

(12b)

where $E = \sqrt{m^2 + p^2}$. They are linear combinations of the simpler bispinors used before [3] but they are more convenient in setting up the variational functional. The original, commonly used Dirac representation of $\gamma$ matrices is better suited when it comes to the connection between the relativistic and the nonrelativistic description. In this representation the two upper components survive in the nonrelativistic limit and they form the Pauli spinor. The Weyl representation is better suited for the relativistic analysis because the upper and lower components are genuine relativistic spinors.

In what follows we put $t = 0$. This is justified because it makes no difference whether the functions that minimize the left-hand side of the uncertainty relation are called $f(p, s)$ or $f(p, s)\exp(-iEt)$.

To set up the stage for the variational calculation, we shall express the dispersions in terms the amplitudes $f(p, s)$. Orthonormality of the bispinors $u(p, s)$ leads immediately to simple forms of $\Delta p^2$ and $N^2$:

$$\Delta p^2 = \frac{1}{N^2} \int d^3p p^2 \sum_s |f(p, s)|^2,$$

(13)

$$N^2 = \int d^3p \sum_s |f(p, s)|^2.$$  

(14)

The corresponding formulas for $\Delta r^2$,

$$\Delta r^2 = \frac{1}{N^2} \int d^3p \sum_s \left[ |\nabla_p f(p, s)|^2 - is/2(1 - m/E) \left( f_{p_x} f^*(p, s) \partial_{p_x} f(p, s) - f_{p_y} f^*(p, s) \partial_{p_y} f(p, s) \right) 
+ (1 - m/E + m^2 p^2/(4E^4)) |f(p, s)|^2 \right],$$

(15)

is obtained with the use of the following identity:

$$r\psi_{\alpha}(r) = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \nabla_p \left( \sum_s u_{\alpha}(p, s)f(p, s) \right) \exp(ip \cdot r).$$

(16)

We now express these formulas in spherical coordinates to simplify the variational equations.

$$N^2 = \int_0^\infty dp p^2 \int_0^\pi d\theta \sin \theta \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \sum_s |f(p, \theta, \phi, s)|^2,$$

(17)
\[ \Delta p^2 = \frac{1}{N^2} \int_0^{\infty} dp \int_0^\pi d\theta \sin \theta \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \sum_s p^2 |f(p, \theta, \phi, s)|^2, \tag{18} \]

\[ \Delta r^2 = \frac{1}{N^2} \int_0^{\infty} dp \int_0^\pi d\theta \sin \theta \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \sum_s \left[ p^2 |\partial_p f(p, \theta, \phi, s)|^2 + |\partial_\theta f(p, \theta, \phi, s)|^2 + |\csc \theta \partial_\phi f(p, \theta, \phi, s)|^2 \right. \]
\[ \left. - is/2(1 - m/E)f^*(p, \theta, \phi, s) \partial_p f(p, \theta, \phi, s) + (1 - m/E + m^2 p^2/(4E^4)) |f(p, \theta, \phi, s)|^2 \right]. \tag{19} \]

The product \( \sqrt{\Delta r^2 \Delta p^2} \) of the dispersions is a well defined functional of the electron amplitudes \( f(p, \theta, \phi, s) \). The minimal value \( \gamma_H \) of this product that appears in the uncertainty relation \cite{5} will be found in the next Section.

### III. SOLUTIONS OF THE VARIATIONAL EQUATIONS

We will search for the function that minimizes the uncertainty relation among functions of \( p \) only. In this case the variation of \( \gamma^2 = \Delta r^2 \Delta p^2 \) with respect to \( f^* \) gives the following ordinary differential equation for \( f \):

\[ \left[ \Delta p^2 \left( -\partial_p^2 - \frac{2}{p} \partial_p + \frac{1}{p^2} - \frac{m}{p^2 \sqrt{m^2 + p^2}} + \frac{m^2}{4(m^2 + p^2)^2} \right) + \Delta r^2 p^2 - 2\gamma^2 \right] f(p) = 0. \tag{20} \]

We dropped the index \( s \) because the equations for both components of \( f(p, s) \) are identical. In terms of the dimensionless momentum variable \( q \) and the dimensionless parameter \( d \), the variational equation takes on the following form:

\[ \frac{1}{2} \left[ -\partial_q^2 - \frac{2}{q} \partial_q + \frac{1}{q^2} - \frac{1}{q^2 \sqrt{1 + d^2 q^2}} + \frac{d^2}{4(1 + d^2 q^2)^2} + q^2 \right] f_d(q) = \gamma f_d(q), \tag{21} \]

where

\[ q = \frac{p}{mc}, \quad d = \frac{1}{m c} \left( \frac{\hbar^2 \Delta p^2}{\Delta r^2} \right)^{1/4}. \tag{22} \]

Thus, our variational equation becomes the eigenvalue problem for the radial Schrödinger equation with a modified harmonic oscillator potential. The lowest value of \( \gamma \) (the energy of the ground state) will be the best bound in the uncertainty relation. Should we allow for the angular dependence, the effective potential would pick up the centrifugal term \( l(l + 1)/p^2 \). This would definitely increase the ground state energy.

The eigenvalue problem \cite{21} has no analytic solution but considering the potential \( V(q) \),

\[ V(q) = \frac{1}{q^2} - \frac{1}{q^2 \sqrt{1 + d^2 q^2}} + \frac{d^2}{4(1 + d^2 q^2)^2} + q^2, \tag{23} \]

as a function of the parameter \( d \) we find (see Fig. 1) that the smaller the value of \( d \), the deeper the potential. Therefore, we will obtain lower values of the eigenvalue \( \gamma \) for smaller values of \( d \). In the limit, when \( d \to 0 \), the variational equation is that of the harmonic oscillator in 3D,

\[ \frac{1}{2} \left[ -\partial_q^2 - \frac{2}{q} \partial_q + q^2 \right] f_0(q) = \gamma f_0(q). \tag{24} \]
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**FIG. 1.** Dependence of the potential \( V(q) \) on the parameter \( d \). The horizontal lines mark the values of the five ground state energies \( \gamma \) of the eigenvalue problem \cite{21} obtained for the same values of \( d \).

Therefore, the wave function \( f_0(q) \) is a Gaussian,

\[ f_0(q) = e^{-\gamma q^2/2}, \tag{25} \]

and we obtain the nonrelativistic result \( \gamma_H = 3/2 \). The parameter \( d \) also vanishes in the formal nonrelativistic limit, when \( c \to \infty \).
An exact form of the relativistic uncertainty relation can be obtained also in the other extreme case; in the ultrarelativistic limit, when \( d \to \infty \). In this case, the variational equation

\[
\frac{1}{2} \left[ -\partial_{q}^{2} - \frac{2}{q} \partial_{q} + \frac{1}{q^{2}} + q^{2} \right] f_{\infty}(q) = \gamma f_{\infty}(q) \tag{26}
\]

has again an analytic solution. The wave function of the lowest energy state and the corresponding value of \( \gamma \) are:

\[
f_{\infty}(q) = q^{(\sqrt{5}-1)/2} e^{-q^{2}/2}, \quad \gamma_{H} = 3/2 \sqrt{1 + \frac{4\sqrt{5}}{9}}. \tag{27}
\]

Quite unexpectedly, this value of \( \gamma_{H} \) is the same as for photons [1], even though the definition of \( \Delta r^{2} \) for photons is different; it is based on the energy density and not on the charge density. Note, however, that \( d = 0 \) means that either the dispersion in \( p \) vanishes or the dispersion in \( r \) becomes infinite which cannot happen for regular, integrable functions. Thus, in relativistic quantum mechanics of electrons the Heisenberg uncertainty relation has the form:

\[
\sqrt{\Delta r^{2} \Delta p^{2}} > \frac{3}{2} \hbar. \tag{28}
\]

In contrast to the nonrelativistic case, this inequality is never saturated. There is no square integrable function that would give the equality in (28), but one may get arbitrarily close to \( \frac{3}{2} \hbar \).

One may trace the difference between the relativistic and the nonrelativistic form of the uncertainty relation to the presence of the scale factor, the Compton wavelength, in the relativistic theory reflected in the appearance of the dimensionless parameter \( d \) in our calculations. The parameter \( d \) allows one to compare the values of the two dispersions \( \Delta r^{2} \) and \( \Delta p^{2} \). One may tell whether the wave function is more squeezed in the momentum space than in the position space. In the nonrelativistic case all Gaussians saturate the uncertainty relation while in the relativistic case the saturation occurs only in the limit, when either \( \Delta r^{2} \to \infty \) or \( \Delta p^{2} \to 0 \).