THE CHARACTERISTIC VARIETY FOR
FEIGIN AND ODESKII’S ELLIPTIC ALGEBRAS. I

ALEX CHIRVASITU, RYO KANDA, AND S. PAUL SMITH

ABSTRACT. This paper examines an algebraic variety that controls an important part of the structure and representation theory of the algebra $Q_{n,k}(E, \tau)$ introduced by Feigin and Odesskii. The $Q_{n,k}(E, \tau)$’s are a family of quadratic algebras depending on a pair of coprime integers $n > k \geq 1$, an elliptic curve $E$, and a point $\tau \in E$. For fixed $(n, k, E)$ they form a flat family of graded deformations of the polynomial ring on $n$ variables. It is already known that the structure and representation theory of $Q_{n,1}(E, \tau)$ is controlled by the geometry associated to $E$ embedded as a degree $n$ normal curve in the projective space $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$, and by the way in which the translation automorphism $z \mapsto z + \tau$ interacts with that geometry. For $k \geq 2$ a similar phenomenon occurs: $(E, \tau)$ is replaced by $(X_{n/k}, \sigma)$ where $X_{n/k} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ is the characteristic variety of the title and $\sigma$ is an automorphism of it that is determined by the negative continued fraction for $\frac{n}{k}$. There is a surjective morphism $\Phi : E^g \to X_{n/k}$ where $g$ is the length of that continued fraction. The main result in this paper is that $X_{n/k}$ is a quotient of $E^g$ by the action of an explicit finite group. We also prove some assertions made by Feigin and Odesskii. The morphism $\Phi$ is the natural one associated to a particular invertible sheaf $L_{n/k}$ on $E^g$. The generalized Fourier-Mukai transform associated to $L_{n/k}$ sends the set of isomorphism classes of degree-zero invertible $O_E$-modules to the set of isomorphism classes of indecomposable locally free $O_E$-modules of rank $k$ and degree $n$. Thus $X_{n/k}$ has an importance independent of the role it plays in relation to $Q_{n,k}(E, \tau)$. The forward $\sigma$-orbit of each point on $X_{n/k}$ determines a point module for $Q_{n,k}(E, \tau)$.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction 2
   1.1. The algebras $Q_{n,k}(E, \tau)$ 2
   1.2. The characteristic variety 3
   1.3. The characteristic variety is a quotient of $E^g$ 3
   1.4. The point variety for $Q_{n,k}(E, \tau)$ 4
   1.5. The contents of the paper 4
   1.6. Acknowledgements 5

2. Preliminaries 5
   2.1. The elliptic curve $E$ 5
   2.2. The action of $\Sigma_{g+1}$ on $E^g$ 5
   2.3. The integers $n_1, \ldots, n_g$ and the subgroup $\Sigma_{n/k} \subseteq \text{Aut}(E^g)$ 6
   2.4. The negative continued fraction for $n/k$ and the integer $g$ 6
   2.5. A distinguished automorphism $\sigma : E^g \to E^g$ 9
   2.6. Theta functions in one variable 10
   2.7. Theta functions in $g$ variables: the space $\Theta_{n/k}(\Lambda)$ 11
   2.8. Notation 11
   2.9. A surjective morphism $E^g \to \mathbb{P}^g$ 13

3. The invertible $O_{E^g}$-module $L_{n/k}$ and the divisor $D_{n/k}$ 14
   3.1. Definition of $L_{n/k}$ 14
   3.2. Ampleness of $L_{n/k}$ 16
   3.3. Divisors on $E^g$ associated to weighted graphs 19

4. The characteristic variety as a quotient of $E^g$ 21

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 14A22 (Primary), 16S38, 16W50, 17B37, 14H52 (Secondary).
Key words and phrases. Elliptic algebra; Sklyanin algebra; characteristic variety; point module; theta functions.
1. Introduction

This is the second of several papers we are writing about the elliptic algebras $Q_{n,k}(E, \tau)$ defined by Feigin and Odesskii in 1989 [OF89]. The first of them, [CKS18], focused on their definition in terms of generators and relations and established some immediate consequences of that definition. The present paper concerns an algebraic variety that controls a large part of its structure and representation theory, its characteristic variety. Another, [CKSb], will show that certain twisted homogeneous coordinate rings for the characteristic variety are quotients of $Q_{n,k}(E, \tau)$.

1.1. The algebras $Q_{n,k}(E, \tau)$. These algebras depend on a pair of relatively prime integers $n > k \geq 1$, a complex elliptic curve $E$, and a translation automorphism $\tau : E \to E$, or, what is almost the same thing, a point $\tau \in E$. This notation will apply throughout the paper. For fixed $(E, \tau)$, the algebras form a family parametrized by the rational numbers $> 1$; this parametrization is realized by writing each rational number $> 1$ as $\frac{n}{k}$ with $n > k \geq 1$ being a pair of relatively prime positive integers. For a fixed such $\frac{n}{k}$, the $Q_{n,k}(E, \tau)$'s form a flat family parametrized by the moduli space of 2-pointed complex elliptic curves.

Once and for all, we fix a lattice $\Lambda$ in $\mathbb{C}$ such that $E = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$ and use the symbol $\tau$ for a point in $E$ and for a fixed preimage of it in $\mathbb{C}$. In the introduction we assume $\tau$ is not in $\frac{1}{n}\Lambda$.\(^1\)

By definition, $Q_{n,k}(E, \tau)$ is the free algebra $\mathbb{C}\langle x_0, \ldots, x_{n-1} \rangle$ modulo the $n^2$ relations\(^2\)

$$R_{ij} = \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}_n} \frac{\theta_{j-i+(k-1)r}(0)}{\theta_{j-i-r}(-\tau)\theta_{kr}(\tau)} x_{j-r}x_{i+r}$$

where the indices $i$ and $j$ belong to $\mathbb{Z}_n$ and $\theta_0(z), \ldots, \theta_{n-1}(z)$ are the theta functions defined in Proposition 2.11 below. When $\tau \in \frac{1}{n}\Lambda$, $\theta_{kr}(\tau) = 0$ for some $r$ so the relations no longer make sense; nevertheless, as explained in [CKS18, §3.2], the definition can be extended to all $\tau$ in $\mathbb{C}$.

Tate and Van den Bergh showed that $Q_{n,1}(E, \tau)$ is a noetherian ring whose homogeneous components have the same dimensions as the homogeneous components of the polynomial ring on $n$ variables [TVdB96]. They also proved that $Q_{n,1}(E, \tau)$ has excellent homological properties: it has all the good homological properties that the polynomial ring has.

\(^1\)We discussed the case $\tau \in \frac{1}{n}\Lambda$ in [CKS18].

\(^2\)Feigin and Odesskii’s original definition uses $x_{k(j-r)}x_{k(i+r)}$ instead of $x_{j-r}x_{i+r}$ but, as remarked in [CKS18, §3.1], this is simply a change of variables.
The limit of $Q_{n,k}(E, \tau)$ as $\tau \to 0$ is the polynomial ring on $n$ variables. In [CKSa] we will extend Tate and Van den Bergh’s result to show that for fixed $(n, k, E)$ the algebras form a flat family of deformations of the polynomial ring on $n$ variables.

The algebras $Q_{3,1}(E, \tau)$ and $Q_{4,1}(E, \tau)$, known as the 3- and 4-dimensional Sklyanin algebras, respectively, are particularly well understood [ATvdB91], [SS92], [LS93]. Their structure and representation theory is intimately related to, indeed, controlled by, the geometry associated to the translation automorphism $x \mapsto x + \tau$ on $E$ embedded as a cubic (respectively, quartic) curve in $\mathbb{P}^2$ (respectively, $\mathbb{P}^3$) via the theta functions $\theta_i(z)$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}_3$ (respectively, $i \in \mathbb{Z}_4$). These curves in the ambient projective spaces are the characteristic varieties for $Q_{3,1}(E, \tau)$ and $Q_{4,1}(E, \tau)$. For all $n \geq 3$, the characteristic variety for $Q_{n,1}(E, \tau)$, is a copy of $E$ embedded as a degree-$n$ elliptic normal curve in $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$.

The way in which the characteristic variety for $Q_{n,1}(E, \tau)$ controls its representation theory is illustrated by the results in [TVdB96, Thm. 1.4] and [Sta96, §5]. Those results concern the classification and relationships between linear modules for $Q_{n,1}(E, \tau)$. Linear modules are somewhat like Verma modules, though they are not induced from smaller subalgebras. The results and assertions of Feigin and Odesskii suggest that the characteristic variety for $Q_{n,k}(E, \tau)$ controls a large part of its representation theory. The simplest linear modules are the point modules defined in §1.4 below. The characteristic variety parametrizes most of them.

1.2. The characteristic variety. The characteristic variety for $Q_{n,k}(E, \tau)$, which we denote by $X_{n/k}$, is defined to be the image of the morphism $\Phi_{|D_{n/k}|} : E^g \to \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ associated to the complete linear system $|D_{n/k}|$ where $D_{n/k}$ is the divisor defined in §3.1. We write $\mathcal{L}_{n/k}$ for $\mathcal{O}_{E^g}(D_{n/k})$.

The integer $g$ in the previous paragraph is the length of the “negative continued fraction” expansion for the rational number $n/k$: there is a unique sequence of integers $n_1, \ldots, n_g$, all $\geq 2$, such that

$$\frac{n}{k} = n_1 - \frac{1}{n_2 - \frac{1}{\ddots - \frac{1}{n_g}}}.$$ 

The divisor $D_{n/k}$, the sheaf $\mathcal{L}_{n/k}$, and hence the characteristic variety, are defined in terms of $n_1, \ldots, n_g$:

$$\mathcal{L}_{n/k} := (\mathcal{L}^{n_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{L}^{n_g}) \otimes \left( \bigotimes_{i=1}^{g-1} \text{pr}_{i,i+1}^* \mathcal{P} \right)$$

where $\mathcal{L}^r = \mathcal{O}_E((0))^\otimes r$, $\text{pr}_{i,i+1} : E^g \to E^2$ denotes the projection to the $i$th and $(i+1)$th factors of $E^g$, and $\mathcal{P}$ is the Poincaré bundle on $E^2$.

Although the definition of $\mathcal{L}_{n/k}$ (see [OF89, §3.3]) appears mysterious at first, its naturality and significance is illustrated by the following fact.

**Proposition 1.1** (Proposition 3.1). The generalized Fourier-Mukai transform $R \text{pr}_{1*}(\mathcal{L}_{n/k} \otimes^L \text{pr}_g^*(\cdot))$ provides a bijection from the set of isomorphism classes of invertible $\mathcal{O}_E$-modules of degree zero to the set of isomorphism classes of indecomposable locally free $\mathcal{O}_E$-modules of rank $k$ and degree $n$.

We make no claim to originality for this observation (cf., [HP17, Remark 5.6]).

1.3. The characteristic variety is a quotient of $E^g$. The main result in this paper is

**Theorem 1.2** (Theorem 4.17). The characteristic variety $X_{n/k}$ is isomorphic to the quotient $E^g/\Sigma_{n/k}$.

We now explain the notation $\Sigma_{n/k}$ and its action on $E^g$. First, recall the natural permutation action of the symmetric group $\Sigma_{g+1}$ of order $(g+1)!$ on the product $E^{g+1}$. The summation map $E^{g+1} \to E$ is constant on $\Sigma_{g+1}$-orbits and its kernel is isomorphic to $E^g$ in many ways; by choosing one such isomorphism one obtains an action of $\Sigma_{g+1}$ on $E^g$. The group $\Sigma_{n/k}$ is the subgroup of $\Sigma_{g+1} \subseteq \text{Aut}(E^g)$ generated by the “simple reflections” $s_i$ for which the integer $n_i$ is equal to 2. See §§2.2 and 2.3 for the definition of $s_i$ and other details.

The proof that $X_{n/k}$ is isomorphic to $E^g/\Sigma_{n/k}$ occupies most of Section 4. The first step towards that is to show that $\mathcal{L}_{n/k}$ has a $\Sigma_{n/k}$-equivariant structure; this is a simple consequence of the fact that
the divisor $D_{n/k}$ is stable under the action of $\Sigma_{n/k}$ (see Proposition 4.13). To prove Theorem 1.2 we show that the morphism $\Phi|_{D_{n/k}} : E^g \to \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ factors through $E^g/\Sigma_{n/k}$, and that the induced factor morphism $E^g/\Sigma_{n/k} \to \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ is an isomorphism onto its image. The second of these steps requires an intricate examination of divisors on $E^g$ that are linearly equivalent to $D_{n/k}$. The intermediate results involved in doing this will be useful in other situations.

It is not simply $X_{n/k}$ in isolation that is important, but the pair $(X_{n/k}, \sigma)$ where $\sigma$ the automorphism of $E^g/\Sigma_{n/k}$ defined in §2.5. The automorphism $\sigma$ is induced from an affine automorphism of $\mathbb{C}^g$, defined in terms of the continued fraction for $\frac{n}{k}$, that sends $\Lambda$ to itself and so descends to $E^g$; that automorphism of $E^g$ commutes with the action of $\Sigma_{n/k}$.

1.4. The point variety for $Q_{n,k}(E, \tau)$. Let $V = \text{span}\{x_0, \ldots, x_{n-1}\} = Q_{n,k}(E, \tau)_1$. If $p \in \mathbb{P}(V^*)$ we write $p^\perp$ for the subspace of $V$ consisting of the linear forms that vanish at $p$.

A point module for $Q_{n,k}(E, \tau)$ is a graded left $Q_{n,k}(E, \tau)$-module $M = M_0 \oplus M_1 \oplus \cdots$ that is generated by $M_0$ and has the property that $\dim_C(M_i) = 1$ for all $i \geq 0$. Each point module determines a point $p \in \mathbb{P}(V^*)$, namely the unique $p$ for which $p^\perp M_0 = 0$. The set of all $p$ that arise in this way is called the point variety for $Q_{n,k}(E, \tau)$ even though we don’t know whether it is an algebraic variety when $k \geq 2$; it is when $k = 1$.

In §§6, we show that every $p \in X_{n/k}$ belongs to the point variety. To make such a statement we must reconcile the fact that $X_{n/k}$ is defined as a subvariety of $\mathbb{P}(H^0(E^g, L_{n/k})^*)$ whereas $p \in \mathbb{P}(V^*)$. Two steps are required to do this. First, we show there is a canonical isomorphism between $H^0(E^g, L_{n/k})$ and the space of theta functions $\Theta_{n,k}(\Lambda)$ on $g$ variables defined in §2.7. Second, we identify each basis element $x_i \in V$ with a particular $w_i \in \Theta_{n/k}(\Lambda)$. The $w_i$’s are defined in §§5.1.1. The space $\Theta_{n/k}(\Lambda)$ is an irreducible representation for the finite Heisenberg group $H_n$ of order $n^3$, and the $w_i$’s are defined in terms of the $H_n$-action. In particular, we obtain an explicit description of the composition $\mathbb{C}^g \to E^g \xrightarrow{\Phi} \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ as $z \mapsto (w_0(z), \ldots, w_{n-1}(z))$.

1.4.1. Suppose $k = 1$. Then $g = 1$ and $L_{n/1} = \mathcal{O}_E(n(0))$ so the characteristic variety for $Q_{n,1}(E, \tau)$ is the image of the canonical map $E \to \mathbb{P}(H^0(E, L_{n/1})^*)$. Implicit in this statement is an identification $V = H^0(E, L_{n/1})$. We identify $E$ with its image in $\mathbb{P}(V^*)$. For all $n \geq 3$, except for $n = 4$, the point variety for $Q_{n,1}(E, \tau)$ is $E$ [Smi94]. The point variety for $Q_{4,1}(E, \tau)$ is the union of $E$ and four additional points, those additional points being the vertices of the four singular quadrics that contain $E$ [SS92].

1.4.2. When $k > 1$, the point variety for $Q_{n,k}(E, \tau)$ is not known. In [OF89], Feigin and Odesskii showed it contains the characteristic variety when $\tau$ is close to $0$ and they speak as if the two coincide: see, in particular, paragraph (d) on the first and second pages of [FO98]. This is not true for $Q_{4,1}(E, \tau)$ nor for $Q_{8,3}(E, \tau)$.

1.5. The contents of the paper. In Section 2 we set up notation and collect several results, old and new, that will be used in this and our subsequent papers. The subgroup $\Sigma_{n/k} \subseteq \text{Aut}(E^g)$ is defined in §2.3. In that section we also examine the integers $n_1, \ldots, n_g$ appearing in the continued fraction expression for $\frac{n}{k}$, and define and examine two related sequences $k = (k_1, \ldots, k_g)$, and $l = (l_1, \ldots, l_g)$. A distinguished automorphism $\sigma : E^g \to E^g$, depending on the data $(k, l, \tau)$, is defined in §2.5. We show that $\sigma$ commutes with the action of $\Sigma_{n/k}$ and therefore descends to an automorphism of $X_{n/k}$. §§2.6 and 2.7 record some facts and notation regarding a space of theta functions in one variable, $\Theta_{n,c}(\Lambda)$, and a space $\Theta_{n,k}(\Lambda)$ of theta functions in $g$ variables. The space $\Theta_{n,k}(\Lambda)$ is naturally isomorphic to $H^0(E^g, L_{n/k})$ (Lemma 5.6).

The results in Sections 3 and 4 depend only on the data $(n, k, E)$. They concern the sheaf $L_{n/k}$ on $E^g$, the divisor $D_{n/k}$ defined in (3-2), and the characteristic variety $X_{n/k}$. The parameter $\tau$ does not appear at all in Sections 3 and 4.

Because $L_{n/k}$ is $\Sigma_{n/k}$-equivariant there is an action of $\Sigma_{n/k}$ on $H^0(E^g, L_{n/k})$ and hence an action of it on $\Theta_{n,k}(\Lambda)$; the latter action can be defined directly. The Heisenberg group of order $n^3$ also acts naturally on $\Theta_{n,k}(\Lambda)$ making it an irreducible representation. Section 5 addresses these matters. We
also identify there a basis \( \{ w_i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_n \} \) for \( \Theta_{n/k}(\Lambda) \) that behaves nicely with respect to the action of the Heisenberg group. We explain in §5.3 how the degree-one component of \( Q_{n/k}(E, \tau) \) can be identified with \( \Theta_{n/k}(\Lambda) \) in such a way that the \( w_i \)'s are identified with the \( x_i \)'s in (1-1); the proof of this relies on a beautiful theta-function identity discovered by Odesskii [Ode02, p. 1153] (Theorem 5.12). We provide a detailed proof of Odesskii’s identity in the Appendix.

The last subsection of the paper considers the point modules for \( Q_{n,k}(E, \tau) \). We show there is a point module associated to the forward \( \sigma \)-orbit of each point on \( X_{n/k} \); this module was first identified by Feigin and Odesskii. We point out that these are not all the point modules in general; in particular, we observe that \( Q_{8,3}(E, \tau) \) (when \( \tau \) is not an 8-torsion point) has point modules corresponding to the points on four lines in \( \mathbb{P}^7 \) that do not lie on its characteristic variety which is \( E^2 \subseteq \mathbb{P}^7 \).

An index of notation appears just before the bibliography.
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2. Preliminaries

2.1. **The elliptic curve** \( E \). Fix \( \eta \in \mathbb{C} \) lying in the upper half-plane. Let \( \Lambda = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\eta \) and \( E = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda \). We write 0 for the zero element in \( \mathbb{C} \) and for its image in \( E \). We give \( E \) the group structure inherited from \( \mathbb{C} \). Thus \( 0 \to \Lambda \to \mathbb{C} \to E \to 0 \) is an exact sequence of abelian groups. The \( g \)-fold Cartesian product of this, namely \( 0 \to \Lambda^g \to \mathbb{C}^g \to E^g \to 0 \), is also exact.

Let \( \text{sum} : \text{Div}(E) \to E \) be the map \( \text{sum} \left( \sum_{i=1}^r a_i(z_i) \right) = a_1z_1 + \cdots + a_rz_r \).

We write \( E[n] \) for the \( n \)-torsion subgroup of \( E \). It equals \( \frac{1}{n}\Lambda/\Lambda \) and is isomorphic to \( \mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z}_n \).

2.2. **The action of** \( \Sigma_{g+1} \) **on** \( E^g \). Always, \( \Sigma_r \) denotes the symmetric group on \( r \) letters. Always, \( \Sigma_{g+1} \) will have its natural permutation action on \( E^{g+1} \).

There is an action \( \Sigma_{g+1} \) on \( E^g \) that will play an important role. Although less well-known, it parallels the action of the Weyl group of type \( A_g \) on the Cartan subalgebra of \( \mathfrak{sl}_{g+1} \), i.e., the natural action of \( \Sigma_{g+1} \) on the diagonal \( (g + 1) \times (g + 1) \) matrices of trace zero. The parallel is apparent if we first define the action of \( \Sigma_{g+1} \) on \( \mathbb{Z}^g \) and then obtain the action of \( \Sigma_{g+1} \) on \( E^g \) by realizing \( E^g \) as \( \mathbb{Z}^g \otimes \mathbb{Z} E \).

If \( (z_1, \ldots, z_g) \in \mathbb{Z}^g \) we define \( z_0 = 0 \) and \( z_{g+1} = 0 \) (see (2-4) below).

**Proposition 2.1.** Let \( \varepsilon : \mathbb{Z}^g \to \mathbb{Z}^{g+1} \), \( \sigma : \mathbb{Z}^{g+1} \to \mathbb{Z}^g \), \( \text{sum} : \mathbb{Z}^{g+1} \to \mathbb{Z} \), and \( s_i : \mathbb{Z}^g \to \mathbb{Z}^g \), \( 1 \leq i \leq g \), be the \( \mathbb{Z} \)-linear maps

\[
\varepsilon(z_1, \ldots, z_g) := (z_1, z_2 - z_1, \ldots, z_g - z_{g-1}, -z_g),
\]

\[
\sigma(z_1, \ldots, z_{g+1}) := \left( z_1, z_1 + z_2, \ldots, \sum_{i=1}^{g} z_i \right),
\]

\[
\text{sum}(z_1, \ldots, z_{g+1}) := z_1 + \cdots + z_{g+1},
\]

\[
s_i(z_1, \ldots, z_g) := (z_1, \ldots, z_{i-1}, z_{i-1} - z_i + z_{i+1}, z_{i+1}, \ldots, z_g).
\]

Let \( (i, i+1) : \mathbb{Z}^{g+1} \to \mathbb{Z}^{g+1} \), \( 1 \leq i \leq g \), be the transposition that switches the \( i \)th and \( (i+1) \)st coordinates. There is a split exact sequence and a commuting rectangle of abelian groups

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} & \mathbb{Z}^g & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \mathbb{Z}^{g+1} & \xrightarrow{\text{sum}} & \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{0} \\
\downarrow{s_i} & & \downarrow{\varepsilon} & & \downarrow{(i, i+1)} & & \downarrow{} & \\
\mathbb{Z}^g & & \mathbb{Z}^{g+1} & & & & \mathbb{Z}.
\end{array}
\]
The same formulas define a split exact sequence and a commuting rectangle of abelian varieties

\[ \begin{array}{cccccccc}
0 & \rightarrow & E^g & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & E^{g+1} & \xrightarrow{\text{sum}} & E & \rightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow{s_i} & & \downarrow{\varepsilon} & & \downarrow{(i,i+1)} & & \downarrow{\varepsilon} & & E^g \\
E^g & \rightarrow & E^{g+1} & & & & & & E^g
\end{array} \]

In particular,

(1) the map \((i,i+1) \mapsto s_i\) extends to an isomorphism \(\Sigma_{g+1} \rightarrow \langle s_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq g \rangle \subseteq \text{Aut}(E^g)\), and

(2) the morphism \(\varepsilon : E^g \rightarrow E^{g+1}\) is equivariant for the actions of \(\Sigma_{g+1}\) on \(E^g\) and \(E^{g+1}\).

**Proof.** The properties of the first diagram are verified by simple calculations. Applying the functor \(- \otimes \mathbb{Z} E\) to it produces another split exact sequence and a commuting rectangle. \(\square\)

We lift the action of \(s_i\) on \(E^g\) to the affine map \(s_i : \mathbb{C}^g \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^g\) given by the formula

\[ s_i(z_1, \ldots, z_g) := (z_1, \ldots, z_{i-1}, z_{i-1} - z_i + z_{i+1} + \eta, z_{i+1}, \ldots, z_g). \]

2.3. **The integers \(n_1, \ldots, n_g\) and the subgroup \(\Sigma_{n/k} \subseteq \text{Aut}(E^g)\).** If \(n_1, \ldots, n_g\) are positive integers, we use the notation

\[ [n_1, \ldots, n_g] = n_1 - \frac{1}{n_2 - \frac{1}{\ddots - \frac{1}{n_{g-1} - \frac{1}{n_g}}}} \]

throughout the paper (when division by zero does not occur in the right-hand side).

Given the relatively prime integers \(n > k \geq 1\) in the definition of \(Q_{n,k}(E, \tau)\), there are unique integers \(g \geq 1\) and \(n_1, \ldots, n_g\), all \(\geq 2\), such that

\[ \frac{n}{k} = [n_1, \ldots, n_g]. \]

Let \(s_i \in \text{Aut}(E^g)\) be the automorphism defined in (2-4). Define

\[ \Sigma_{n/k} := \text{the subgroup generated by } \{s_i \mid n_i = 2, 1 \leq i \leq g\}. \]

Thus \(\Sigma_{n/k} \cong \Sigma_{t_1+1} \times \cdots \times \Sigma_{t_r+1}\) where \(t_1, \ldots, t_r\) are the lengths of the maximal sequences of consecutive 2’s in \((n_1, \ldots, n_g)\). For example, if \((n_1, \ldots, n_g) = (3, 2, 4, 2, 2, 5)\), then \(\Sigma_{n/k} \cong \Sigma_2 \times \Sigma_4\). By Proposition 2.1, \(\Sigma_{n/n-1} \cong \Sigma_{g+1}\). We have seen that \(Q_{n,n-1}(E, \tau)\) is a polynomial ring for generic \(\tau\), and we will prove that it is the case for all \(\tau\) in a later paper (see [CKS18, Prop. 5.3] and the preceding paragraph).

Theorem 4.17 shows that the characteristic variety for \(Q_{n,k}(E, \tau)\) is isomorphic to \(E^g/\Sigma_{n/k}\).

2.4. **The negative continued fraction for \(n/k\) and the integer \(g\).** Given a sequence \(n_1, \ldots, n_g \in \mathbb{Z}\), we define the \(g \times g\) matrix

\[ D(n_1, \ldots, n_g) := \begin{pmatrix} n_1 & -1 & & & \\ -1 & n_2 & -1 & & \\ & -1 & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & n_{g-1} & -1 \\ & & & -1 & n_g \end{pmatrix} \]

and the integer

\[ d(n_1, \ldots, n_g) := \det(D(n_1, \ldots, n_g)). \]
Note that \( d(n_1, \ldots, n_g) = d(n_g, \ldots, n_1) \) because \( D(n_g, \ldots, n_1) \) is obtained from \( D(n_1, \ldots, n_g) \) by conjugation by the permutation matrix that reverses the order of \( 1, 2, \ldots, r \). It is often convenient to have \( d(n_1, \ldots, n_g) \) defined for \( g = 0 \) and \( g = -1 \) so we adopt the conventions
\[
\begin{align*}
  d(n_1, \ldots, n_0) & := 1, \\
  d(n_1, \ldots, n_{-1}) & := 0.
\end{align*}
\]

The cofactor expansions of \( D(n_1, \ldots, n_g) \) along the first and last columns lead to the equalities
\[
\begin{align*}
  (2-8) & \quad d(n_2, \ldots, n_g)n_1 = d(n_1, \ldots, n_g) + d(n_3, \ldots, n_g), \\
  (2-9) & \quad d(n_1, \ldots, n_{g-1})n_g = d(n_1, \ldots, n_g) + d(n_1, \ldots, n_{g-2}).
\end{align*}
\]

These equalities also hold when \( g = 1 \) and \( g = 2 \).

**Lemma 2.2.** Let \( g \) be a positive integer and \( n_1, \ldots, n_g \in \mathbb{Z} \). Then
\[
(2-10) \quad d(n_1, \ldots, n_{g-1})d(n_2, \ldots, n_g) = d(n_2, \ldots, n_{g-1})d(n_1, \ldots, n_g) + 1.
\]

**Proof.** We prove this by the induction on \( g \). When \( g = 1 \) both sides of (2-10) equal 1. Suppose \( g \geq 2 \). Applying (2-8) to \( d(n_1, \ldots, n_g) \) and \( d(n_1, \ldots, n_{g-1}) \), we obtain
\[
\begin{align*}
  & d(n_1, \ldots, n_{g-1})d(n_2, \ldots, n_g) - d(n_2, \ldots, n_{g-1})d(n_1, \ldots, n_g) \\
  = & \quad (n_1 d(n_2, \ldots, n_{g-1}) - d(n_3, \ldots, n_{g-1}))d(n_2, \ldots, n_g) \\
  & \quad - d(n_2, \ldots, n_{g-1})(n_1 d(n_2, \ldots, n_g) - d(n_3, \ldots, n_g)) \\
  = & \quad d(n_2, \ldots, n_{g-1})d(n_3, \ldots, n_g) - d(n_3, \ldots, n_{g-1})d(n_2, \ldots, n_g),
\end{align*}
\]

which is equal to 1 by the induction hypothesis. \( \square \)

**Lemma 2.3.** Let \( g \) be a positive integer and \( n_1, \ldots, n_g \in \mathbb{Z} \). For each \( 1 \leq i \leq g \),
\[
d(n_1, \ldots, n_g) = n_i d(n_1, \ldots, n_{i-1})d(n_{i+1}, \ldots, n_g) \\
- d(n_1, \ldots, n_{i-2})d(n_{i+1}, \ldots, n_g) - d(n_1, \ldots, n_{i-1})d(n_{i+2}, \ldots, n_g).
\]

**Proof.** Assume \( 3 \leq i \leq p - 2 \) for simplicity; the other cases are easier. By the cofactor expansion along the \( i^{th} \) column, \( d(n_1, \ldots, n_g) \) is equal to
\[
\det \begin{pmatrix}
  \ddots & -1 \\
  -1 & n_{i-2} & -1 \\
  -1 & -1 & n_{i+1} \\
  -1 & \ddots & \ddots
\end{pmatrix} + n_i d(n_1, \ldots, n_{i-1})d(n_{i+1}, \ldots, n_g) + \det \begin{pmatrix}
  \ddots & -1 \\
  -1 & n_{i-1} & -1 \\
  -1 & -1 & n_{i+2} \\
  -1 & \ddots & \ddots
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

The cofactor expansion down the column with two \((-1)\)'s shows that the first summand is equal to
\[
\det \begin{pmatrix}
  \ddots & -1 \\
  -1 & n_{i-3} & -1 \\
  -1 & -1 & n_{i+1} \\
  -1 & \ddots & \ddots
\end{pmatrix} - d(n_1, \ldots, n_{i-2})d(n_{i+1}, \ldots, n_g) - d(n_1, \ldots, n_{i-1})d(n_{i+2}, \ldots, n_g).
\]

where the first matrix is zero. Therefore
\[
d(n_1, \ldots, n_g) = n_i d(n_1, \ldots, n_{i-1})d(n_{i+1}, \ldots, n_g) \\
- d(n_1, \ldots, n_{i-2})d(n_{i+1}, \ldots, n_g) - d(n_1, \ldots, n_{i-1})d(n_{i+2}, \ldots, n_g). \quad \square
\]
Lemma 2.4. Let $r$ be a positive integer and $n_1, \ldots, n_g \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then
\[
D(n_1, \ldots, n_g)^{-1} = \frac{1}{d(n_1, \ldots, n_g)}(d[i, j])_{i,j}
\]
where
\[
d[i, j] = \begin{cases} 
  d(n_i, \ldots, n_{i-1})d(n_{j+1}, \ldots, n_g) & \text{if } i \leq j, \\
  d(n_i, \ldots, n_{j-1})d(n_{i+1}, \ldots, n_g) & \text{if } j < i.
\end{cases}
\]

Proof. The $(i, j)$-entry of the symmetric matrix $D(n_1, \ldots, n_g)(d[i, j])_{i,j}$ is
\[
- d[i - 1, j] + n_id[i, j] - d[i + 1, j].
\]
If $i = j$, then (2-11) equals
\[
-d(n_i, \ldots, n_{i-1})d(n_{i+1}, \ldots, n_g) + n_id(n_i, \ldots, n_{i-1})d(n_{i+1}, \ldots, n_g) - d(n_i, \ldots, n_i)d(n_{i+1}, \ldots, n_g),
\]
which is equal to $d(n_1, \ldots, n_g)$ by Lemma 2.3.

If $i < j$, then (2-11) equals
\[
- d(n_i, \ldots, n_{i-2})d(n_{i+1}, \ldots, n_g) + n_id(n_i, \ldots, n_{i-1})d(n_{i+1}, \ldots, n_g),
\]
which is zero by (2-9).

Hence $D(n_1, \ldots, n_g)(d[i, j])_{i,j}$ is the identity matrix multiplied by $d(n_1, \ldots, n_g)$. □

2.4.1. The integers $k'$, $k_i$, and $l_i$. Let $k$ and $n$ be coprime integers such that $n > k \geq 1$.

Let $k'$ be the unique integer such that $kk' \equiv 1 (\text{mod } n)$ and $n > k' \geq 1$.

We define $k_i$ and $l_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq g + 1$ by
\[
k_i := d(n_{i+1}, \ldots, n_g) \quad \text{and} \quad l_i := d(n_{i-1}, \ldots, n_1).
\]

It follows from (2-8) that $k_in_i = k_{i-1} + k_{i+1}$ and $l_in_i = l_{i-1} + l_{i+1}$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, g$.

Proposition 2.5.

(1) $n = k_0 > \cdots > k_{g+1} = 0 = l_0 < \cdots < l_{g+1} = n$.
(2) $k_1 = k$ and $l_g = k'$.
(3) $\gcd(k_i, k_{i+1}) = \gcd(l_i, l_{i+1}) = 1$ for all $i = 0, \ldots, g$.
(4) $n = d(n_1, \ldots, n_g) = \det(D(n_1, \ldots, n_g))$, $k = d(n_2, \ldots, n_g)$, and $k' = d(n_1, \ldots, n_{g-1})$.
(5) For all $1 \leq i \leq g$,
\[
\frac{k_{i-1}}{k_i} = [n_i, \ldots, n_g] \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{l_{i+1}}{l_i} = [n_i, \ldots, n_1].
\]

Proof. For $i = 1, \ldots, g$, let $m'_i$ and $m_i$ be the unique relatively prime positive integers such that $m'_i/m_i$ is equal to the right-hand side of the first equality in (2-12). We also define $m_0 = m'_1$ and $m_{g+1} = 0$.

For all $i = 1, \ldots, g - 1$,
\[
\frac{m'_i}{m_i} = n_i - \frac{1}{m'_i/m_{i+1}} = n_i - \frac{m_{i+1}}{m'_i}.
\]

Since $m_i$ and $m'_i$ are relatively prime, $m_i = m'_{i+1}$. Hence for all $i = 2, \ldots, g - 1$,
\[
\frac{m_{i-1}}{m_i} = n_i - \frac{m_{i+1}}{m_i}.
\]

Therefore $n_im_i = m_{i-1} + m_{i+1}$; this equality also holds for $i = 1$ and $i = g$. As we noted above, we also have $n_ik_i = k_{i-1} + k_{i+1}$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, g$. Since $m_g = 1 = k_g$ and $m_{g+1} = 0 = k_{g+1}$, it follows that $m_i = k_i$ for all $i = 0, \ldots, g + 1$. Thus, $k_{i-1}/k_i = m_{i-1}/m_i = m'_i/m_i$; i.e., the first equality in (2-12) holds.

We obtain the second equality in (2-12) from the first by replacing the sequence $n_i, \ldots, n_g$ by $n_i, \ldots, n_1$.

Since $n_i \geq 2$, the continued fractions in (2-12) are $> 1$. Hence $k_{i-1} > k_i$ and $l_{i+1} > l_i$. 

Since \( \frac{n}{k} = \frac{m_i}{m_i} = \frac{m_0}{m_1} \) we have \( n = k_0 \) and \( k = k_1 \). Also, \( k_1 l_0 \equiv 1(\mod n) \) because
\[
l_g k_1 = d(n_1, \ldots, n_g - 1) d(n_2, \ldots, n_g) = d(n_2, \ldots, n_g - 1) d(n_1, \ldots, n_g) + 1 = d(n_2, \ldots, n_g - 1)n + 1
\]
(where the middle equality comes from Lemma 2.2). But \( k_1 = k \) and \( 0 < l_g < n \) so \( l_g = k' \). We also have \( l_{g+1} = d(n_g, \ldots, n_1) = d(n_1, \ldots, n_g) = k_0 = n \).

Since \( k_i = m_i = m_i' + 1 \) and \( k_{i+1} = m_{i+1} \), \( \gcd(k_i, k_{i+1}) = 1 \). Similarly, replacing \( n_1, \ldots, n_g \) by \( n_g, \ldots, n_1 \), we obtain \( \gcd(l_i, l_{i+1}) = 1 \).

All the statements in the proposition have now been proved. \( \square \)

**Corollary 2.6.** If \( n/k = [n_1, \ldots, n_g] \), then \( n/k' = [n_g, \ldots, n_1] \).

**Lemma 2.7.** If \( n > k \geq 1 \) are relatively prime integers and \( n/k = [n_1, \ldots, n_g] \), then
\[
n = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq g} \sum_{i} (-1)^{\frac{g-j}{2}} n_{i_1} \cdots n_{i_j}
\]
where \( i = (i_1 < \cdots < i_j) \) ranges over all subsequences of \( 1, \ldots, g \) that alternate in parity and for which \( i_1 \) is odd and \( i_j \) has the same parity as \( g \). (When \( j = 0 \) the term \( n_{i_1} \cdots n_{i_j} \) is defined to be 1.)

**Proof.** This is a simple induction on \( g \) using (2-8), left to the reader. \( \square \)

2.4.2. **Remark.** The conditions imposed on the sequences \( i_1 < \cdots < i_j \) in Lemma 2.7 are equivalent to requiring that each term \( n_{i_1} \cdots n_{i_j} \) in the sum is obtained from \( n_1 \cdots n_g \) by deleting any number, 0 up to \( \left\lfloor \frac{g}{2} \right\rfloor \), of consecutive factors \( n_{i_1}n_{i_1+1} \). These conditions imply that \( j \) has the same parity as \( g \), whence \(( -1)^{\frac{g-j}{2}} \) is \( \pm 1 \) as opposed to an ambiguous root of unity.

**Lemma 2.8.** Let \( (n_1, \ldots, n_g) \) be any point in \( \mathbb{Z}^g \). Let \( D = D(n_1, \ldots, n_g) \) and \( d := \det(D) \). Let \( (A, +) \) be an abelian group and let \( A[d] \) and \( dA \) denote the kernel and image of the multiplication map \( A \to A \), respectively. If \( d \neq 0 \), there is an exact sequence of abelian groups
\[
0 \to A[d] \to A^g \xrightarrow{D} A^g \to A/dA \to 0,
\]
where \( D : A^g \to A^g \) denotes left multiplication by \( D \). In particular, when \( \frac{n}{k} = [n_1, \ldots, n_g] \), \( \mathbb{Z}^g/D\mathbb{Z}^g \cong \mathbb{Z}_n \).

**Proof.** Since \( d \neq 0 \), \( \mathbb{Z}^g/D\mathbb{Z}^g \) is a finite group. Its structure is therefore determined by its invariant factors, which are the same as those of \( D \). If \( s_1|s_2| \cdots |s_g \) denote the invariant factors of \( D \), then \( s_k = \frac{d_k(D)}{d_{k-1}(D)} \), where \( d_0(D) = 1 \) and \( d_k(D) \) denotes the greatest common divisor of the determinants of the \( k \times k \) minors of \( D \) [New72, §§13–16]. The \( (g-1) \times (g-1) \) minor obtained by deleting the first column and bottom row of \( D \) is a lower triangular matrix with \(-1\)'s on the diagonal so \( d_{g-1}(D) = 1 \). The invariant factors for \( D \), and hence of the group \( \mathbb{Z}^g/D\mathbb{Z}^g \), are therefore \( 1, \ldots, 1, \det(D) \). Hence \( \mathbb{Z}^g/D\mathbb{Z}^g \cong \mathbb{Z}_d \).

Since \( \text{Tor}_1^\mathbb{Z}(A, \mathbb{Z}_d) = A[d] \), the exact sequence (2-13) is obtained by applying the functor \( A \otimes \mathbb{Z} \) to the exact sequence \( 0 \to \mathbb{Z}^g \xrightarrow{D} \mathbb{Z}^g \to \mathbb{Z}_d \to 0 \).

**Remark 2.9.** More generally, if \( s_1|s_2| \cdots |s_g \) are the invariant factors of \( D \in M_g(\mathbb{Z}) \), then the kernel and cokernel of \( D : A^g \to A^g \) are
\[
\bigoplus_i A[s_i] \quad \text{and} \quad \bigoplus_i A/s_i A,
\]
respectively.

2.5. **A distinguished automorphism** \( \sigma : E^g \to E^g \). Let \( k, l, n \in \mathbb{Z}^g \subseteq \mathbb{C}^g \) be the points
\[
k := (k_1, \ldots, k_g),
\]
\[
l := (l_1, \ldots, l_g),
\]
\[
n := (n, \ldots, n).
\]
Define \( \sigma : \mathbb{C}^g \to \mathbb{C}^g \) by
\[
\sigma(z) := z + (k + l - n) \tau.
\]
Thus, $\sigma(z_1, \ldots, z_g) = (z_1 + \tau_1, \ldots, z_g + \tau_g)$ where $\tau_i = (k_i + l_i - n)\tau$.

Because $(\mathbb{C}^g, +)$ is an abelian group, all translation automorphisms of it commute with one another. In particular, $\sigma$ commutes with the translation action of $\Lambda^g$, and therefore induces an automorphism of $E^g = \mathbb{C}^g/\Lambda^g$ that we also denote by $\sigma$.

**Proposition 2.10.** The action of $\sigma$ on $E^g$ commutes with the action of $\Sigma_{n/k}$, and therefore descends to an automorphism of $E^g/\Sigma_{n/k}$ (that we also denote by $\sigma$).

**Proof.** It suffices to show that $\sigma s_j = s_j \sigma$ if $n_j = 2$.

Let $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_g) \in E^g$. The points $z$ and $s_j(z)$ differ (if they do at all) only in the $j^\text{th}$ coordinate. Hence $\sigma s_j(z)$ and $s_j \sigma(z)$ differ (if they do at all) only in the $j^\text{th}$ coordinate. The $j^\text{th}$ coordinate of $\sigma s_j(z)$ is $z_{j-1} - z_j + z_{j+1} + \tau_j$. The $j^\text{th}$ coordinate of $s_j \sigma(z)$ is $(z_{j-1} + \tau_{j-1}) - (z_j + \tau_j) + (z_{j+1} + \tau_{j+1})$. Thus, $\sigma s_j(z) = s_j \sigma(z)$ if and only if $2\tau_j = \tau_{j-1} + \tau_{j+1}$, i.e., if and only if $2(k_j + l_j) = k_{j-1} + l_{j-1} + k_{j+1} + l_{j+1}$; this equality holds since $n_i k_i = k_{i-1} + k_{i+1}$ and $n_i l_i = l_{i-1} + l_{i+1}$ for all $i$. \qed

### 2.6. Theta functions in one variable.

We recall some notation in [CKS18].

Given an integer $n \geq 1$ and a point $c \in \mathbb{C}$, we write $\Theta_{n,c}(\Lambda)$ for the space of holomorphic functions $f(z)$ such that

$$f(z + 1) = f(z) \quad \text{and} \quad f(z + \eta) = e(-nz + \frac{z}{2} + c)f(z).$$

This is a vector space of dimension $n$. In keeping with the notation in the Kiev preprint [FO89, p. 32] and in the first Odesskii-Feigin paper [OF89], we always use the notation

$$\Theta_n(\Lambda) := \Theta_{n,0}(\Lambda).$$

The function

$$\theta(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^n e(nz + \frac{1}{2}n(n-1)\eta)$$

is a basis for $\Theta_{n,0}(\Lambda)$. It has a zero of order one at 0 and no other zeroes in the fundamental parallelogram.

Once and for all we fix a basis for $\Theta_n(\Lambda)$, namely $\{\theta_0, \ldots, \theta_{n-1}\}$.

**Proposition 2.11.** The functions

$$\theta_\alpha(z) := e \left( \alpha z + \frac{\alpha}{2n} + \frac{\alpha(a-n)}{2n} \eta \right) \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \theta \left( z + \frac{k}{n} + \frac{\eta}{n} \right)$$

indexed by $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$, have the following properties:

1. $\theta_{\alpha+n}(z) = \theta_\alpha(z)$,
2. $\{\theta_0(z), \ldots, \theta_{n-1}(z)\}$ is a basis for $\Theta_n(\Lambda)$,
3. $\theta_\alpha(z + \frac{1}{n}) = e \left( \frac{\alpha}{n} \right) \theta_\alpha(z)$,
4. $\theta_\alpha(z + \frac{\eta}{n}) = e \left( -nz - \frac{1}{2n} + \frac{n-1}{2n} \eta \right) \theta_{\alpha+1}(z)$,
5. $\theta_\alpha(-z) = -e \left( -nz + \frac{\alpha}{n} \right) \theta_{-\alpha}(z)$, and
6. the zeros of $\theta(z)$ are $\{\frac{1}{n}(-\alpha \eta + m) \mid 0 \leq m \leq n-1\} + \Lambda$, which are all simple,
7. for all integers $r \geq 0$, $\theta_\alpha(z + \frac{r-\alpha}{n} \eta) = e \left( -rz - \frac{1}{2n} + \frac{r-1}{2n} \eta \right) \theta_{\alpha+r}(z)$.

The Heisenberg group of order $n^3$ is

$$H_n = \langle S, T \mid S^n = T^n = [S, T]^n = 1, [S, [S, T]] = [T, [S, T]] = 1 \rangle.$$

It acts on $\Theta_n(\Lambda)$ via the operators

$$(S \cdot f)(z) = f(z + \frac{1}{n})$$

$$(T \cdot f)(z) = e \left( z + \frac{1}{2n} - \frac{n-1}{2n} \eta \right) f \left( z + \frac{1}{n} \eta \right).$$
Lemma 2.12. The space $\Theta_n(\Lambda)$ is an irreducible representation of $H_n$. The action on the basis $\theta_\alpha(z)$ is

\[
(S \cdot \theta_\alpha)(z) = e(\frac{\alpha}{n})\theta_\alpha(z),
\]

\[
(T \cdot \theta_\alpha)(z) = \theta_{\alpha+1}(z).
\]

2.7. Theta functions in $g$ variables: the space $\Theta_{n/k}(\Lambda)$. Fix a point $c \in \mathbb{C}^g$. Define $\Theta_{n/k}(\Lambda)$ to be the $\mathbb{C}$-vector space consisting of all holomorphic functions $f: \mathbb{C}^g \to \mathbb{C}$ such that

\[
f(z_1, \ldots, z_i + 1, \ldots, z_g) = f(z_1, \ldots, z_g),
\]

\[
f(z_1, \ldots, z_i + \eta, \ldots, z_g) = e(z_{i-1} - n_iz_i + z_{i+1} + c_i)f(z_1, \ldots, z_g)
\]

with the convention that $z_0 = z_{g+1} = 0$.

2.7.1. We write $\mathbf{e}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_g$ for the standard basis for $\mathbb{Z}^g$. Thus, $\mathbf{e}_i = (0, \ldots, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$ where the 1 is in the $i$th position.

Proposition 2.13. [Ode02] $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \Theta_{n/k}(\Lambda) = n$.

Proof. We adopt the convention that $\mathbf{e}_0 = \mathbf{e}_{g+1} = 0$ and $z_0 = z_{g+1} = 0$.

Suppose $f: \mathbb{C}^g \to \mathbb{C}$ is periodic of period 1 in each variable. There are unique scalars $a_\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

\[
f(z_1, \ldots, z_g) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^g} a_\alpha e(\alpha_1 z_1 + \cdots + \alpha_g z_g).
\]

Clearly

\[
f(z_1, \ldots, z_i + \eta, \ldots, z_g) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^g} a_\alpha e(\alpha_1 z_1 + \cdots + \alpha_g z_g).
\]

However, $e(z_{i-1} - n_iz_i + z_{i+1} + c_i)f(z_1, \ldots, z_g)$ equals

\[
\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^g} a_\alpha e(c_i) e(\alpha_1 z_1 + \cdots + (\alpha_{i-1} + 1)z_{i-1} + (\alpha_in_i)z_i + (\alpha_{i+1} + 1)z_{i+1} + \cdots + \alpha_g z_g)
\]

\[
= \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^g} a_{\alpha+n_i\mathbf{e}_i-e_{i-1}-e_{i+1}} e(c_i) e(\alpha_1 z_1 + \cdots + \alpha_g z_g)
\]

so $f \in \Theta_{n/k}(\Lambda)$ if and only if

\[
a_\alpha e(\alpha_i \eta) = a_{\alpha-n_i\mathbf{e}_i-e_{i+1}} e(c_i)
\]

for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^g$.

It follows from (2-18) that the $a_\alpha$'s are determined by their values for $\alpha$ belonging to a set of coset representatives for the subgroup $D\mathbb{Z}^g$ of $\mathbb{Z}^g$, where $D := D(n_1, \ldots, n_g)$ is the matrix defined in (2-7). Hence $\dim \Theta_{n/k}(\Lambda)$ is equal to the cardinality of $\mathbb{Z}^g/D\mathbb{Z}^g$. By Lemma 2.8, $\mathbb{Z}^g/D\mathbb{Z}^g \cong \mathbb{Z}_n$. \qed

2.8. Notation. Let $X$ be a complex projective variety. We write $X^g$ for its $g$-fold product and $S^gX$ for its $g^{th}$ symmetric power, i.e., for the quotient $X^g/\Sigma_g$ with respect to the natural action of the symmetric group $\Sigma_g$ on $X^g$.

If $1 \leq i \neq j \leq g$ we write $\text{pr}_{ij} : X^g \to X \times X$ for the projection onto the $i^{th}$ and $j^{th}$ components, and $\text{pr}_i : X^g \to X$ for the projection onto the $i^{th}$ component. If $D \subseteq X \times X$ is a closed subscheme we write $D_{ij} := \text{pr}_{ij}^{-1}(D)$.

We write $\Delta$ for the diagonal $\{(x, x) \mid x \in X\} \subseteq X \times X$. Thus

\[
\Delta_{ij} = \text{pr}_{ij}^{-1}(\Delta) = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_g) \in X^g \mid x_i = x_j\}.
\]

\[\text{Odesskii's survey [Ode02, p. 1152] uses } c = \frac{1}{2}(n_1, \ldots, n_g) - (0, \eta, \ldots, \eta).\]
If $D$ is a divisor on $X$ such that $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$ is generated by its global sections or, equivalently, such that the linear system $|D|$ is base-point free, we write

$$\Phi_{|D|} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D))^*)$$

for the morphism $\Phi_{|D|}(x) := \{s \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D)) \mid s(x) = 0\}$.

2.8.1. Line bundles and invertible $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules. We will use Roman letters like $L$ to denote line bundles and script letters like $\mathcal{F}$ to denote sheaves of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules.

2.8.2. Algebraic equivalence and the Néron-Severi group. Divisors $D_1$ and $D_2$ on $X$ are algebraically equivalent, denoted $D_1 \equiv D_2$, if $D_1 \cdot C = D_2 \cdot C$ for all irreducible curves $C$ on $X$. The Néron-Severi group of a variety $X$, which we denote by $\text{NS}(X)$, is the group of divisors on $X$ modulo algebraic equivalence, i.e., $\text{NS}(X) = \text{Div}(X)/\equiv$. Thus, $D$ is zero in $\text{NS}(X)$ if and only if $\deg C(i^*\mathcal{O}_X(D)) = 0$ for all irreducible curves $i : C \hookrightarrow X$.

The defining homomorphism $\text{Div}(X) \rightarrow \text{NS}(X)$ factors through the Picard group, $\text{Pic}(X)$. We write $\text{Pic}^0(X)$ for the kernel of the homomorphism $\text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow \text{NS}(X)$. Thus, $\text{NS}(X) = \text{Pic}(X)/\text{Pic}^0(X)$.

We extend the notation and terminology of algebraic equivalence to invertible $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules: if $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{L}'$ are algebraically equivalent invertible $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules we write $\mathcal{L} \equiv \mathcal{L}'$.

2.8.3. Preliminaries on abelian varieties. Let $A$ be a complex abelian variety.

If $x \in A$ we write $T_x : A \rightarrow A$ for the translation automorphism $a \mapsto a + x$. If $\mathcal{F}$ is a sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_A$-modules we call $T^*_x \mathcal{F}$ a translation of $\mathcal{F}$.

By [BL04, p.88], two ample invertible sheaves on $A$ are algebraically equivalent if and only if one is isomorphic to a translation of the other. In other words, if $\mathcal{L}$ is ample and $\mathcal{M}$ is in $\text{Pic}^0(A)$, then $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{M} \cong T^*_x \mathcal{L}$ for some $x \in A$ (see [Mum08, Thm. II.8.1, p.77]).

**Proposition 2.14.** Let $D$ and $D'$ be divisors on $A$. If $D \equiv D'$, then

1. $D$ is ample if and only if $D'$ is, and
2. $D$ is very ample if and only if $D'$ is.

**Proof.** (1) This follows from the remark just before the proposition.4

(2) Let $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_A(D)$ and $\mathcal{L}' = \mathcal{O}_A(D')$. Suppose $D$ is very ample (and therefore ample). By (1), $D'$ is also ample. Thus $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{L}'$ are algebraically equivalent and ample so $\mathcal{L}' \cong T^*_x \mathcal{L}$ for some $x \in A$. Let $\Phi, \Phi' : A \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^d$ be the morphisms associated to $\mathcal{L}$ and $T^*_x \mathcal{L}$ respectively. By [BL04, Lemma 4.6.1], there is an automorphism $f : \mathbb{P}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^d$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A & \xrightarrow{T_x} & A \\
\downarrow \Phi & & \downarrow \Phi' \\
\mathbb{P}^d & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathbb{P}^d
\end{array}$$

commutes. It follows that $\mathcal{L}'$, and hence $D'$, is also very ample.

**Definition 2.15.** [Mum08, p.60] If $\mathcal{L}$ is an invertible $\mathcal{O}_A$-module and $D$ a divisor on $A$ we define

$$K(\mathcal{L}) := \{x \in A \mid T^*_x \mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{L}\},$$

$$K(D) := K(\mathcal{O}_A(D)),$$

$$H(D) := \{x \in A \mid T^*_x(D) = D\} \quad \text{(actual equality of divisors)}.$$ 

**Proposition 2.16.** [Mum08, §II.6, Appl.1, p.60] For an effective divisor $D \in \text{Div}(A)$ the following conditions are equivalent:

1. $D$ is ample;

---

4A more general result holds: by the Nakai-Moishezon Criterion [Har77, Thm. 5.1, p.434], if $D$ and $D'$ are algebraically equivalent divisors on a projective variety over an algebraically closed field, then $D$ is ample if and only if $D'$ is.
(2) $K(D)$ is finite;
(3) $H(D)$ is finite.

**Proposition 2.17.** [Mum08, §16, Vanishing Theorem] If $\mathcal{L}$ is an invertible $\mathcal{O}_A$-module such that $K(\mathcal{L})$ is finite, then there is a unique $i$ such that $H^i(A, \mathcal{L}) \neq 0$ and $H^j(A, \mathcal{L}) = 0$ for all $j \neq i$.

### 2.9. A surjective morphism $E^g \to \mathbb{P}^g$.

The material in this subsection is folklore. Some of it can be found in [Hul86].

Let $r$ be an integer $\geq 3$ and let $g = r - 1$. The divisor $r(0) \in \text{Div}(E)$ is very ample so determines a morphism $\Phi_{\lfloor r(0) \rfloor} : E \to \mathbb{P}^g = \mathbb{P}(H^0(E, \mathcal{O}_E(r(0))))^*$, the image of which is the elliptic normal curve of degree $r$. For the rest of this section we identify $E$ with its image under $\Phi_{\lfloor r(0) \rfloor}$.

If $H$ is a hyperplane in $\mathbb{P}^g$, then the scheme-theoretic intersection $E \cap H$ is a divisor $(x_1 + \cdots + (x_r)$ for which $x_1 + \cdots + x_r = 0$. Conversely, if $x_1, \ldots, x_r \in E$ are such that $x_1 + \cdots + x_r = 0$ there is a unique hyperplane $H \subseteq \mathbb{P}^g$ such that $E \cap H$ is the divisor $(x_1 + \cdots + (x_r)$.

Let $(\mathbb{P}^g)^\vee$ denote the dual projective space consisting of the hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}^g$.

For each $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_g) \in E^g$ we define $L_x \subseteq \mathbb{P}^g$ to be the unique hyperplane whose scheme-theoretic intersection with $E$ is the divisor $(x_1 + \cdots + (x_g - (x_1 - \cdots - x_g)$. The morphism $E^g \to (\mathbb{P}^g)^\vee$, $x \mapsto L_x$, is surjective and factors through the natural map $E^g \to S^g E$ so giving a surjective morphism

$$S^g E \to (\mathbb{P}^g)^\vee, \quad x = (x_1, \ldots, x_g) \mapsto L_x.$$

The degree of this morphism is $g$ because if $x'$ is obtained from $x$ by replacing any $x_i$ by $-x_1 - \cdots - x_g$, then $L_x = L_{x'}$, and these are the only equalities among the $L_x$'s.

Let $\theta \in \text{Aut}(E^g)$ be the automorphism $\theta(x_1, \ldots, x_g) = (x_1, x_2 - x_1, \ldots, x_g - x_{g-1})$. Thus, $L_{\theta(x)}$ is the unique hyperplane whose scheme-theoretic intersection with $E$ is the divisor

$$\sum (x_1 + (x_2 - x_1) + \cdots + (x_g - x_{g-1}) + (-x_g).$$

**Proposition 2.18.** The map $x \mapsto L_{\theta(x)}$ is a surjective morphism

$$E^g \to (\mathbb{P}^g)^\vee$$

and is equal to $\Phi_{\lfloor D \rfloor}$ where

$$D = \{0\} \times E^{g-1} + E^{g-1} \times \{0\} + \sum_{i=1}^{g-1} \Delta_{i,i+1} \in \text{Div}(E^g).$$

**Proof.** Write $f$ for the morphism $x \mapsto L_{\theta(x)}$. Since every hyperplane in $\mathbb{P}^g$ meets $E$ at $r$ points (counted with multiplicity) whose sum is 0, $f$ is surjective.

If $h$ is a hyperplane in $(\mathbb{P}^g)^\vee$ and $f^*h \in \text{Div}(E^g)$ is its pullback to $E^g$, then $f = \Phi_{\lfloor f^*h \rfloor}$. In particular, if $h$ is the hyperplane consisting of those hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}^g$ that pass through $0 \in E$, then $f^*\mathcal{O}_{E^g}(h) = \mathcal{O}_{E^g}(f^*h)$. Clearly, $(x_1, \ldots, x_g) \in f^(-1)(h)$ if and only 0 lies on the hyperplane whose scheme-theoretic intersection with $E$ is the divisor in (2-20). Thus, $f^{-1}(h) = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_g) \mid 0 \in \{x_1, x_2 - x_1, \ldots, x_g - x_{g-2}, -x_g\}\}$, $f^*h = D$, and $f = \Phi_{\lfloor D \rfloor}$. \qed

**Corollary 2.19.** Let $x \in E$ and let

$$D := (x) \times E^{g-1} + E^{g-1} \times (x) + \sum_{i=1}^{g-1} \Delta_{i,i+1} \in \text{Div}(E^g).$$

The morphism $\Phi_{\lfloor D \rfloor} : E^g \to \mathbb{P}(H^0(E^g, \mathcal{O}_{E^g}(D))^*)$ is a surjection $E^g \to \mathbb{P}^g$.

**Proof.** This follows from Proposition 2.18 because the $D$ in this corollary is a translation of the $D$ in Proposition 2.18 by $(-x, \ldots, -x) \in E^g$. \qed
3. The invertible \( \mathcal{O}_{E^g} \)-module \( \mathcal{L}_{n/k} \) and the divisor \( D_{n/k} \)

In (3-1) below, following Odesskii and Feigin [OF89, §3.3], we define an invertible sheaf \( \mathcal{L}_{n/k} \) on \( E^g \). Although the definition appears rather mysterious at first, Proposition 3.1 explains the significance of \( \mathcal{L}_{n/k} \) in terms of vector bundles on \( E \). The rest of Section 3 establishes the basic properties of \( \mathcal{L}_{n/k} \): for example, Lemma 3.2 shows that \( \mathcal{L}_{n/k} \) is ample and Corollary 3.8 proves the assertion in [FO98, (d), p. 2] that \( \dim \mathcal{H}^0(E^g, \mathcal{L}_{n/k}) = n \). These results can be stated in terms of divisors, and in §3.3 we provide alternative proofs of some of these results for a larger class of divisors on \( E^g \).

In Proposition 4.2 we prove that \( \mathcal{L}_{n/k} \) is generated by its global sections. This too is stated in [OF89].

3.1. Definition of \( \mathcal{L}_{n/k} \). Let \( \mathcal{O}_E((0)) \) be the degree-one invertible \( \mathcal{O}_E \)-module corresponding to the divisor \( (0) \), let \( \mathcal{L}' = \mathcal{O}_E((0))^{\otimes r} = \mathcal{O}_E(r \cdot (0)) \), and define

\[
\mathcal{L}_{n/k} := (\mathcal{L}^{n_1} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \mathcal{L}^{n_g}) \otimes \left( \bigotimes_{j=1}^{g-1} \text{pr}^*_{j,j+1} (\mathcal{L}^{-1} \boxtimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}) (\Delta) \right)
\]

The term \( \mathcal{P} := (\mathcal{L}^{-1} \boxtimes \mathcal{L}^{-1})(\Delta) = \mathcal{O}_{E^g}(\Delta -(0) \times E - E \times (0)) \) in (3-1) is the Poincaré bundle on \( E \times E \).

We will often use the fact that \( \mathcal{L}_{n/k} = \mathcal{L}_{E^g}(D_{n/k}) \) where

\[
D_{n/k} := \sum_{i=1}^{g} E^{i-1} \times D_{i} \times E^{g-i} + \sum_{j=1}^{g-1} \Delta_{j,j+1}
\]

and \( D_i := (n_i - 2 + \delta_{i,1} + \delta_{i,g})(0) \). When \( g \geq 2 \),

\[
D_i = \begin{cases} (n_i - 1)(0) & \text{if } i \in \{1, g\} \\ (n_i - 2)(0) & \text{if } 2 \leq i \leq g - 1. \end{cases}
\]

When \( k = 1 \), \( g = n = 1 \), \( \mathcal{L}_{n/1} = \mathcal{L}^n = \mathcal{O}_E(n(0)) \), and \( D_{n/1} = n(0) \).

Sometimes we use the notation

\[
\mathcal{L}_{[n_1, \ldots, n_g]} = \mathcal{L}_{n/k}, \\
D_{[n_1, \ldots, n_g]} = D_{n/k}.
\]

We will also use the notation \( \mathcal{L}_{[n_1, \ldots, n_g]} \) for the sheaf on the right-hand side of (3-1) when \( n_1, \ldots, n_g \) are arbitrary integers. In the same spirit, we define \( D_{[n_1, \ldots, n_g]} \) for all \( (n_1, \ldots, n_g) \in \mathbb{Z}^g \).

3.1.1. Although the next result is known to the experts (see, e.g., [HP17, Rmk. 5.6]), we could not find a proof in the literature. Let \( \mathcal{E}(k, n) \) denote the full subcategory of \( \mathcal{D}^b(E) \) consisting of the locally free indecomposable \( \mathcal{O}_E \)-modules of rank \( k \) and degree \( n \) concentrated in homological degree 0.

**Proposition 3.1.** The functor \( \Phi := \text{R} \text{pr}_{1*} (\mathcal{L}_{n/k} \boxtimes \mathcal{P} \boxtimes \text{pr}^*_g (\cdot)) \) sends \( \mathcal{E}(1, 0) \) to \( \mathcal{E}(k, n) \).

**Proof.** We make use of the well-known fact ([Pol03, §§11.3 and 14.2]) that

\[
\text{R} \text{pr}_{1*} (\mathcal{P} \boxtimes \mathcal{L} \boxtimes \text{pr}^*_g (\cdot)) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{E}(d, -r) & \text{if } d \geq 1, \\ \mathcal{E}(-d, r)[1] & \text{if } d \leq 0. \end{cases}
\]

Since almost all the inverse and direct image functors in this proof are the full derived functors between various bounded derived categories of coherent sheaves we omit the symbols \( \mathbf{L} \) and \( \mathbf{R} \).

The result is clear when \( g = 1 \) because in that case \( k = 1 \) and \( \Phi = \mathcal{L}^n \otimes - \).

Assume \( g = 2 \). Write \( \frac{n}{k} = [n_1, n_2] \). Hence

\[
\mathcal{L}_{n/k} = \text{pr}^*_1 \mathcal{L}^{n_1} \otimes \text{pr}^*_{12} \mathcal{P} \otimes \text{pr}^*_2 \mathcal{L}^{n_2} = \text{pr}^*_1 \mathcal{L}^{n_1} \otimes \mathcal{P} \otimes \text{pr}^*_2 \mathcal{L}^{n_2}.
\]
Let $\mathcal{F} \in D^b(E)$. By the Projection Formula \cite[(3.11), p.83]{Huy06},

$$
\Phi(\mathcal{F}) = \text{pr}_{1*} \left( \text{pr}_1^* \mathcal{L}^{n_1} \otimes \mathcal{P} \otimes \text{pr}_2^*(\mathcal{L}^{n_2} \otimes \mathcal{F}) \right)
= \mathcal{L}^{n_1} \otimes \text{pr}_{1*} \left( \mathcal{P} \otimes \text{pr}_2^*(\mathcal{L}^{n_2} \otimes \mathcal{F}) \right).
$$

Assume $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{E}(1,0)$. It follows that $\mathcal{L}^{n_2} \otimes \mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{E}(1,n_2)$ and, because $n_2 \geq 1$,

$$
\text{pr}_{1*} \left( \mathcal{P} \otimes \text{pr}_2^*(\mathcal{L}^{n_2} \otimes \mathcal{F}) \right) \in \mathcal{E}(n_2,-1).
$$

The proposition holds when $g = 2$ because $\mathcal{L}^{n_1} \otimes \text{pr}_{1*} \left( \mathcal{P} \otimes \text{pr}_2^*(\mathcal{L}^{n_2} \otimes \mathcal{F}) \right) \in \mathcal{E}(n_2,n_1n_2 - 1) = \mathcal{E}(k,n)$.

We now assume that $g \geq 3$ and that the proposition is true for $g - 1$. The next diagram helps to keep track of the calculations (most of which involve the Projection Formula and Flat Base Change \cite[(3.18), p.85]{Huy06}, cf. the proof of \cite[Prop.5.1]{Huy06}):

$$
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{ccc}
E^9 & \xrightarrow{E^2} & E^9-1 \\
\xrightarrow{\pi} & & \xrightarrow{\alpha} \\
E & \xrightarrow{\delta} & E^g-2 \\
\xrightarrow{\gamma} & & \xrightarrow{\beta}
\end{array}
\end{array}
$$

The morphisms are the obvious projections; for example, $\text{pr}_1 = \gamma \circ \text{pr}_{12}$, $\text{pr}_2 = \delta \circ \text{pr}_{12} = \varepsilon \pi$, $\text{pr}_g = \beta \alpha \pi$, and $\alpha$ is the projection onto the right-most factor in the factorization $E^{g-1} = E \times E^{g-2}$. The square in the diagram is a Cartesian square in which all four morphisms are flat. We will use the factorization

$$
\mathcal{L}_{n/k} = \text{pr}_{1*} \mathcal{L}^{n_1} \otimes \text{pr}_{12*} \mathcal{P} \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{V}
$$

where $\mathcal{V}$ is the invertible $\mathcal{O}_{E^{g-1}}$-module

$$
(\text{pr}_2^* \mathcal{L}^{n_2} \otimes \text{pr}_{23*} \mathcal{P}) \otimes \cdots \otimes (\text{pr}_g^* \mathcal{L}^{n_{g-1}} \otimes \text{pr}_{g-1,2*} \mathcal{P}) \otimes \text{pr}_g^* \mathcal{L}^{n_g}.
$$

Write $\frac{n}{k} = n_1 - \frac{n_2}{k}$. If $\mathcal{F} \in D^b(E)$, then

$$
\Phi(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{L}^{n_1} \otimes \text{pr}_{1*} \left( \text{pr}_{12*} \mathcal{P} \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{V} \otimes \text{pr}_g^* \mathcal{F} \right)
= \mathcal{L}^{n_1} \otimes \gamma_* \text{pr}_{12*} \left( \text{pr}_{12*} \mathcal{P} \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{V} \otimes \pi^* \alpha^* \beta^* \mathcal{F} \right)
= \mathcal{L}^{n_1} \otimes \gamma_* \left( \mathcal{P} \otimes \text{pr}_{12*} \big( \pi^* \mathcal{V} \otimes \pi^* \alpha^* \beta^* \mathcal{F} \big) \right)
= \mathcal{L}^{n_1} \otimes \gamma_* \left( \mathcal{P} \otimes \text{pr}_{12*} \big( \mathcal{V} \otimes \alpha^* \beta^* \mathcal{F} \big) \right)
= \mathcal{L}^{n_1} \otimes \gamma_* \left( \mathcal{P} \otimes \delta^* \varepsilon_* \big( \mathcal{V} \otimes \alpha^* \beta^* \mathcal{F} \big) \right).
$$

If $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{E}(1,0)$, then $\varepsilon_* (\mathcal{V} \otimes \alpha^* \beta^* \mathcal{F}) \in \mathcal{E}(m,k)$ by the induction hypothesis. Since $\gamma_* (\mathcal{P} \otimes \delta^* (\cdot))$ sends $\mathcal{E}(m,k)$ to $\mathcal{E}(k,-m)$, $\Phi(\mathcal{F}) \in \mathcal{L}^{n_1} \otimes \mathcal{E}(k,-m) = \mathcal{E}(k,n_1k - m) = \mathcal{E}(k,n)$. \hfill $\square$

3.1.2. There is a more direct interpretation of the $n_i$'s in terms of slope. Consider the homomorphism from the Grothendieck group $K_0(\text{coh}(E)) = K_0(D^b(E))$ to $\text{NS}(E) = \mathbb{Z}^2$ given by taking the first Chern class, $c_1 : \text{coh}(E) \to \mathbb{Z}^2$, namely

$$
c_1(\mathcal{F}) = \begin{pmatrix} \deg(\mathcal{F}) \\ \text{rank}(\mathcal{F}) \end{pmatrix}.
$$

We use the basis $\binom{0}{1} = c_1(\mathcal{O}_E)$ and $\binom{1}{0} = c_1(\mathcal{O}_{(0)})$ for $\mathbb{Z}^2$ where $\mathcal{O}_{(0)}$ is the skyscraper sheaf at $0 \in E$. Define $\mu : \mathbb{Z}^2 \to \mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\} = \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{Q}}$ by $\mu(\binom{a}{b}) = \frac{a}{b}$. The actions on $K_0(D^b(E))$ that are induced by the
auto-equivalences $\mathbf{R} \text{pr}_1^*(\mathcal{P} \boxtimes^L \text{pr}_2^*(\cdot))$ and $- \otimes \mathcal{O}_E((0))$ induce actions on $\mathbb{Z}^2$ that are given by left multiplication by
\[
S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},
\]
respectively. The induced action of the functor $\Phi$ in Proposition 2.5 is therefore left multiplication by $T^{n_1}ST^{n_2}S \cdots ST^{n_g}$. A straightforward calculation shows that
\[
\mu\left(\left(T^{n_1}ST^{n_2}S \cdots ST^{n_g}S\right)(1,0)\right) = [n_1, \ldots, n_g] = \frac{n}{k}.
\]

### 3.1.3. Comparison with Feigin and Odesskii

The sheaf $\mathcal{L}_{n/k}$ is isomorphic to the sheaf of holomorphic sections of the line bundle denoted $\mathcal{L}$ in [OF89]. For $g \geq 2$, the latter sheaf is
\[
(\mathcal{L}^n \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \mathcal{L}^g) \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{j=1}^{g-1} \text{pr}_{j+1}^* \left(\mathcal{L} \boxtimes \mathcal{L}^{\prime}\right)(-\Delta')\right)
\]
where $\Delta' = \{(z, -z) \mid z \in E\}$. It follows from the See-Saw Theorem that $2(\{0\} \times E) + 2(E \times \{0\})$ and $\Delta + \Delta'$ are linearly equivalent divisors on $E \times E$: for all $p \in E - \{0\}$ the restrictions of these divisors to $\{p\} \times E$ are linearly equivalent and so are their restrictions to $E \times \{p\}$. Hence, on $E \times E$,
\[
(n_1 + 1)((0) \times E) + (n_2 + 1)(E \times (0)) - \Delta' \sim (n_1 - 1)((0) \times E) + (n_2 - 1)(E \times (0)) + \Delta
\]
The claimed isomorphism for $g = 2$ is immediate, and the general case follows easily.

### 3.2. Ampleness of $\mathcal{L}_{n/k}$

In conjunction with Proposition 2.16, the next lemma establishes the ampleness of $\mathcal{L}_{n/k}$, and a somewhat larger class of invertible $\mathcal{O}_{E^g}$-modules.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let $(n_1, \ldots, n_g)$ be any point in $\mathbb{Z}^g$, and let $D = D(n_1, \ldots, n_g)$ be the matrix defined in (2.7). If $d := \det(D) \neq 0$, then $K[D_{n_1, \ldots, n_g}] = E[d]^g \subseteq E^g$. In particular,

1. $K[D_{n/k}] = E[n]^g$, and
2. if $n_i \geq 2$ for all $i \neq 1$ (resp., all $i \neq g$) and $n_1 \geq 1$ (resp., $n_g \geq 1$), then $K[D_{n_1, \ldots, n_g}]$ is finite.

The divisors in (1) and (2) are therefore ample.

**Proof.** Let $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_g) \in E^g$. Let $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{[n_1, \ldots, n_g]}$. By the Seesaw Theorem [Mum08, §5, Cor. 6], $T^*_z \mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{L}$ are isomorphic if and only if, for each $i = 1, \ldots, g$ and for generic $(x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_g) \in E^{g-1}$, their restrictions to
\[
Y = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}) \times E \times \{(x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_g)\} \subseteq E^g.
\]
are isomorphic to each other.

The restriction of $T^*_z D_{[n_1, \ldots, n_g]}$ to $Y$, regarded as a divisor on $E$ by the natural identification, is
\[
(n_i - 2)(-z_i) + (x_{i-1} + z_{i-1} - z_i) + (x_{i+1} - z_i + z_{i+1})
\]
for $2 \leq i \leq g - 1$; if $i = 1$ (resp., $i = g$), delete the second (resp., the third) term and increase the coefficient $n_i - 2$ by 1 (resulting in $n_i - 1$ if $g \neq 1$ and $n_i$ if $g = 1$). Thus, when $i \notin \{1, g\}$ the restrictions of $T^*_z \mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{L}$ to $Y$ are isomorphic if and only if (3-5) is linearly equivalent to
\[
(n_i - 2)(0) + (x_{i-1}) + (x_{i+1}),
\]
that is, if and only if $-z_{i-1} + n_i z_i - z_{i+1} = 0$. The same formula holds for $i = 1$ and $i = g$ if we set $z_0 = z_{g+1} = 0$. Thus, $T^*_z \mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{L}$ if and only if $z$ is in the kernel of the multiplication map $D : E^g \to E^g$. That kernel is $E[d]^g$ by Lemma 2.8.

(1) By Proposition 2.5(4), $\det(D) = d(n_1, \ldots, n_g) = n \neq 0$.

(2) Suppose $n_i \geq 2$ for all $i$. Write $[n_1, \ldots, n_g] = n/k$ for relatively prime integers $n > k \geq 1$. To prove the first claim we will show that $d(1, n_1, \ldots, n_g) \neq 0$. By (2.8),
\[
d(1, n_1, \ldots, n_g) = d(n_1, \ldots, n_g) - d(n_2, \ldots, n_g) = n - k > 0.
\]
So the first claim holds. The second follows because $d(n_1, \ldots, n_g, 1) = d(1, n_1, \ldots, n_g) \neq 0$. □
Proposition 3.3. If $n_1 \geq 1$ and $n_i \geq 2$ for all $i \geq 2$, then $\mathcal{L}_{[n_1,\ldots,n_g]}$ is ample. In particular, $\mathcal{L}_{n/k}$ is ample.

Proof. By Lemma 3.2(2), $K(D_{[n_1,\ldots,n_g]})$ is finite, so $D_{[n_1,\ldots,n_g]}$ is ample by Proposition 2.16. □

Corollary 3.4. If $n_i \geq 2$ for all $i$, then $H^q(E^g, \mathcal{L}_{n/k}) = 0$ for all $q > 0$.

Proof. Let $X$ be a smooth projective variety, $\omega_X$ its canonical bundle, and $\mathcal{L}$ an ample invertible $\mathcal{O}_X$-module. The Kodaira Vanishing Theorem [Har77, Remark III.7.15] says that $H^q(X, \mathcal{L} \otimes \omega_X) = 0$ for all $q > 0$. But $\omega_X \cong \mathcal{O}_X$ when $X$ is an abelian variety so the result follows from Proposition 3.3. □

Remark 3.5. If we replace each $D_i$ in the definition of $D_{n/k}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{n/k}$ by a divisor on $E$ that has the same degree as $D_i$, Lemma 3.2, Proposition 3.3, and Corollary 3.4 still hold by essentially the same proofs. This will be used in Lemma 4.16.

Corollary 3.6. If $n_i \geq 2$ for all $i$, then

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(H^0(E^g, \mathcal{L}_{n/k})) = \frac{1}{g!} D^g_{n/k}$$

where $D^g_{n/k}$ denotes the $g$-fold self-intersection number.

Proof. The Riemann-Roch theorem in [Mum08, III.16] says that $D^g_{n/k}/g!$ equals the Euler characteristic

$$\chi(\mathcal{L}_{n/k}) = \sum_{i=0}^{g} (-1)^i \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(H^i(E^g, \mathcal{L}_{n/k})).$$

But the higher cohomology groups of $\mathcal{L}_{n/k}$ vanish by Corollary 3.4 so $\chi(\mathcal{L}_{n/k}) = \dim(\mathcal{H}^0(\mathcal{L}_{n/k})).$ □

Proposition 3.7. Let $z_1, \ldots, z_g \in E$ and let $X_i = E^{i-1} \times \{z_i\} \times E^{g-i}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, g$. Let $a_1, \ldots, a_g$ and $b_1, \ldots, b_{g-1}$ be arbitrary integers and let $D$ be the divisor

$$a_1 X_1 + \cdots + a_g X_g + b_1 \Delta_{12} + \cdots + b_{g-1} \Delta_{g-1,g}$$

on $E^g$. In the Chow ring $\text{CH}(E^g)$,

$$\frac{D^g}{g!} = \det(A) X_1 \cdots X_g$$

where $A = A(a_1, \ldots, a_g; b_1, \ldots, b_{g-1})$ is the $g \times g$ matrix

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & b_1 \\
b_1 & a_2 + b_1 & b_2 \\
b_2 & a_3 + b_2 & b_3 \\
b_3 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
b_{g-2} & a_{g-1} + b_{g-2} & b_{g-1} & \ddots & \ddots \\
b_{g-1} & a_g + b_{g-1}
\end{pmatrix}
$$

(3-6)

Proof. We will use the fact that $X^2_i = \Delta^2_{i,i+1} = 0$ and $X_i \Delta_{i,i+1} = X_i X_{i+1} = X_{i+1} D_{i,i+1}$.

We argue by induction on $g$. The result is certainly true when $g = 1$.

If $g = 2$, then $D = a_1 X_1 + a_2 X_2 + b_1 \Delta$ so

$$\frac{D^2}{2!} = (a_1 a_2 + a_1 b_1 + a_2 b_1) X_1 X_2 = \det \begin{pmatrix} a_1 + b_1 & b_1 \\ b_1 & a_2 + b_1 \end{pmatrix} X_1 X_2.$$ 

Thus the proposition is true when $g = 2$.

From now on we assume $g \geq 3$ and that the result is true for smaller $g$'s.
We will make use of the following elements in $\text{CH}(E^g)$:

$$X = a_1X_1 - b_1X_2 + b_1\Delta_{12},$$
$$Y = D - X$$
$$\quad = (a_2 + b_1)X_2 + a_3X_3 + \cdots + a_gX_g + b_2\Delta_{23} + \cdots + b_{g-1}\Delta_{g-1,g} \quad \text{and}$$
$$Z = (a_3 + b_2)X_3 + a_4X_4 + \cdots + a_gX_g + b_3\Delta_{34} + \cdots + b_{g-1}\Delta_{g-1,g}.$$

Since $D = X + Y$,

$$\frac{D^g}{g!} = \frac{1}{g!} \sum_{j=0}^{g} \binom{g}{j} X^j Y^{g-j} = \frac{Y^g}{g!} + X \frac{Y^{g-1}}{(g-1)!} + \frac{1}{2} X^2 \frac{Y^{g-2}}{(g-2)!} + \cdots.$$

The terms involving $X^3, X^4, \ldots$, are zero because $X = X' \times E^{g-2}$ for a divisor $X'$ on $E \times E$ and $(X')^3 = (X')^4 = \cdots = 0$. For a similar reason, $Y^g = 0$. Thus

$$\frac{D^g}{g!} = X \frac{Y^{g-1}}{(g-1)!} + \frac{1}{2} X^2 \frac{Y^{g-2}}{(g-2)!}.$$

However, $X^2 = -2b_1^2X_1X_2$, and $X_1X_2Y = X_1X_2Z$ because

$$X_1X_2(Y - Z) = X_1X_2((a_2 + b_1)X_2 - b_2X_3 + b_2\Delta_{23}) = 0,$$

so

$$\frac{D^g}{g!} = X \frac{Y^{g-1}}{(g-1)!} - b_1^2X_1X_2 \frac{Z^{g-2}}{(g-2)!}.$$

Applying the induction hypothesis to $Y$, viewed as a divisor on $E^{g-1}$, and to $Z$, viewed as a divisor on $E^{g-2}$,

$$\frac{Y^{g-1}}{(g-1)!} = \det(A(a_2 + b_1, a_3, \ldots, a_g; b_2, \ldots, b_{g-1})) \quad \text{and}$$
$$\frac{Z^{g-2}}{(g-2)!} = \det(A(a_3 + b_2, a_4, \ldots, a_g; b_3, \ldots, b_{g-1})).$$

Using the cofactor expansion along the first row of $A(a_1, \ldots, a_g; b_1, \ldots, b_{g-1})$ then the cofactor expansion down the first column of the appropriate minor, one sees that

$$\det(A(a_1, \ldots, a_g; b_1, \ldots, b_{g-1})) = (a_1 + b_1) \det(A(a_2 + b_1, a_3, \ldots, a_g; b_2, \ldots, b_{g-1}))$$
$$\quad - b_1^2 \det(A(a_3 + b_2, a_4, \ldots, a_g; b_3, \ldots, b_{g-1})).$$

The result follows. □

**Corollary 3.8.** If $n_i \geq 2$ for all $i$, then $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(H^0(E^g, \mathcal{L}_{n/k})) = n$.

**Proof.** By Corollary 3.6, $\dim(H^0(E^g, \mathcal{L}_{n/k})) = D^g/g!$ where $D = D_{n/k}$. By Proposition 3.7,

$$\frac{D^g}{g!} = \det \begin{pmatrix} n_1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & n_2 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & \cdots & n_{g-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

If we write $f(n_1, \ldots, n_g)$ for the determinant in the right-hand side, one sees that

$$f(n_1, \ldots, n_g) = n_1 f(n_2, \ldots, n_g) - f(n_3, \ldots, n_g).$$
Viewing $f(x_1,\ldots, x_g)$ as a polynomial in the $x_i$’s, an induction argument shows that every monomial appearing in it is a product of an even number of $x_i$’s when $g$ is even and a product of an odd number of $x_i$’s when $g$ is odd. It follows that $f(-x_1,\ldots, -x_g) = (-1)^g f(x_1,\ldots, x_g)$. Hence

$$
\begin{vmatrix}
  n_1 & 1 \\
  1 & n_2 & 1 \\
  & \ddots & \ddots \\
  & & n_g-1 & 1 \\
  & & 1 & n_g
\end{vmatrix}
= \begin{vmatrix}
  n_1 & -1 \\
  -1 & n_2 & -1 \\
  & \ddots & \ddots \\
  & & n_g-1 & -1 \\
  & & 1 & n_g
\end{vmatrix}
$$

By Proposition 2.5(4), this determinant is $n$. \hfill \square

### 3.3. Divisors on $E^g$ associated to weighted graphs

In this section we use labeled graphs as bookkeeping devices for certain divisors on $E^g$.

**Definition 3.9.** A **weighted graph** is an unoriented graph with an integer label on each of its edges (some of which can be loops). The label 0 means that the respective edge is not present.

We use $G$ to denote both the labelled and unlabelled graph when no confusion arises. We write $G^0$ and $G^1$ for the sets of vertices and edges respectively. For $e \in G^1$ we write $\ell_e \in \mathbb{Z}$ for its label. We introduce the following objects.

- The **degree matrix** of $G$ is the diagonal $|G^0| \times |G^0|$ matrix $\text{Deg}_G$, whose $i^{th}$ diagonal entry is $\sum_e \ell_e$, where the sum ranges over the edges incident to $i$ that are *not* loops.
- The **adjacency matrix** of $G$ is the $|G^0| \times |G^0|$ matrix $A = A_G = (a_{ij})$ whose entry $a_{ij}$ is the label of the edge $(i, j) \in G^1$.
- $M_G := \text{Deg}_G + A_G$.

Let $G$ be a labeled graph and let $g = |G^0|$. We write $D_G$ for the divisor

$$
D_G := \sum_{e \in G^1} \ell_e D_e
$$
on $E^g$ where

$$
e = (i, j) \implies D_e = \begin{cases} 
\Delta_{ij} & \text{if } i \neq j, \\
E^{i-1} \times \{pt\} \times E^{g-i} & \text{otherwise,}
\end{cases}
$$

where the point in $E$ denoted by $pt$ is fixed but arbitrary for each $i$.

The divisor $D_G$ corresponds to a line bundle $\mathcal{L}_G$ whose Chern class is a Hermitian bilinear form $H = H_G$ on $\mathbb{C}^g$ as described in [BL04, Ch. 2]. We will now identify the associated skew-symmetric form $E = E_G = 3H$ with respect to the basis

$$
(3-9) \quad \eta e_1, \, \eta e_2, \ldots, \eta e_g, \, e_1, \ldots, \, e_g
$$
of $\mathbb{R}^{2g} \cong \mathbb{C}^g$, where $e_i$ is the vector whose $i^{th}$ entry is 1 and other entries are zero.

In the notation of [Pol03, Prop. 1.2], the factor of automorphy (see [Kem91, §1.3] and/or [BL04, Appendix B]) for $\mathcal{L}_G$ is given by

$$
(3-10) \quad e_{e_i}(z_1, \ldots, z_g) = 1, \\
e_{\eta e_i}(z_1, \ldots, z_g) = e((-Mz)_i + C_i)
$$

for certain constants $C_i$. (Polishchuk calls a factor of automorphy a “multiplicator”.)

Writing $e_u(z) = e(f_u(z))$, the skew-symmetric bilinear form $E$ can be computed as explained in [Mum08, p. 18, Proposition]:

$$
(3-11) \quad E(u_1, u_2) = f_{u_2}(z + u_1) + f_{u_1}(z) - f_{u_1}(z + u_2) - f_{u_2}(z).
$$
It follows that the $2g \times 2g$ matrix $E$, with respect to the basis in (3-9), for which $E(u_1, u_2) = (u_1)^t E u_2$ is of the form
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
* & M_G \\
-M_G & 0
\end{pmatrix}.
\]
The upper left hand block * is also zero: according to (3-10) we have
\[
f_{\eta e_i}(z + \eta e_j) - f_{\eta e_i}(z) = -\eta(Me_j)_i = -\eta M_{ij}.
\]
Since $M$ is symmetric, interchanging $i$ and $j$ has no effect on this expression and hence (3-11) vanishes for $u_1 = e_i$ and $u_2 = e_j$. In conclusion, the matrix of $E = \mathfrak{I}H$ in the basis (3-9) is
\[
(3-12) \quad \begin{pmatrix}
0 & M_G \\
-M_G & 0
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

**Proposition 3.10.** Let $G$ be a labeled graph. The self-intersection number of the divisor $D = D_G$ defined above can be computed as follows:
\[
\frac{D^g}{g!} = \det (\text{Deg}_G + A_G).
\]

*Proof.* Recall that $M_G = \text{Deg}_G + A_G$. As seen above, the matrix of the antisymmetric bilinear form $\mathfrak{I}H$ with respect to this basis is
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & M_G \\
-M_G & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]
It follows that
\[
\det \mathfrak{I}H = (\det M_G)^2,
\]
which is equal to the square of the self-intersection number $\frac{D^g}{g!}$ by [BL04, Theorems 3.6.1 and 3.6.3]. We thus have the desired conclusion up to sign:
\[
\frac{D^g}{g!} = \pm \det M_G.
\]
To determine the sign, notice first that the self-intersection number is a multivariate polynomial in the labels of $G$, as is $\det M_G$. The fact that the sign is + now follows by considering the computationally immediate case when $G^1$ consists of only loops. \(\square\)

The next result extends Lemma 3.2 to divisors of the form $D_G$.

**Proposition 3.11.** Let $D_G$ be the divisor attached to a labeled graph $G$ as above. Then $K(D_G)$ is the kernel of the matrix $M_G = \text{Deg}_G + A_G$ regarded as an operator on $E^g$ by multiplication.


As before, we denote by $E$ the skew-symmetric form $\mathfrak{I}H$ associated to the hermitian form $H$ of the line bundle $L = O(D)$. According to [BL04, discussion preceding Lemma 2.4.7], $K(D) = \Gamma(L)/\Gamma$, where
\[
\Gamma(L) := \{ v \in V \mid E(v, \Gamma) \subseteq \mathbb{Z} \}
\]
and $V = \mathbb{C}^g \cong \mathbb{R}^{2g}$ is the universal cover of $E^g$. Having identified $E$ with the matrix (3-12), this is the same as
\[
\{ v \in V \mid E v \in \Gamma \}.
\]
Now consider the real endomorphism $J$ of $V$ acting as $-\eta$ on $\bigoplus_{i=1}^g \mathbb{R} e_i$ and as $\frac{1}{\eta}$ on $\bigoplus_{i=1}^g \mathbb{R} \eta e_i$. Note that $J$ acts isomorphically on $\Gamma$, and hence induces an automorphism of the torus $E^g$ (not holomorphic, in general).

The operator on $E^g$ induced by $M$ is $JE$, where $E$ is similarly regarded as an operator on $E^g$ via the matrix (3-12).
\[
K(D) = \Gamma(L)/\Gamma
\]
is the kernel of the torus endomorphism $E : E^g \to E^g$. This in turn coincides with the kernel of the endomorphism $M = JE : E^g \to E^g$, hence the conclusion. \(\square\)
In particular, we obtain an

Alternative proof for Lemma 3.2. Simply apply Proposition 3.11 to the labeled graph $G$ whose underlying graph has edges $(i,i)$ and $(i,i+1)$ with labels

$$
\ell_{(i,i+1)} = -1, \quad \ell_{(i,i)} = \begin{cases} n_i + 1 & \text{if } i = 1, g \\ n_i + 2 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}
$$

$$
\square
$$

4. The characteristic variety as a quotient of $E^g$

Because $L_{n/k}$ is generated by its global sections (Proposition 4.2), there is an associated morphism

$$
(4.1) \quad \Phi_{n/k} : E^g \to \mathbb{P}^{n-1} = \mathbb{P}(H^0(E^g, L_{n/k}^*)).
$$

The image of $\Phi_{n/k}$ is called the characteristic variety for $Q_{n,k}(E, \tau)$ and is denoted $X_{n/k}$. The main result in this section is that

$$
X_{n/k} \cong E^g / \Sigma_{n/k}.
$$

4.1. Effective divisors linearly equivalent to $D_{n/k}$. We need a large supply of effective divisors linearly equivalent to $D_{n/k}$. To obtain them, we introduce the divisors

$$
(4.2) \quad \Delta_{j,j+1}^z := \{(z_1, \ldots, z_g) \in E^g | z_{j+1} = z_j + z\}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq g - 1.
$$

For divisors $d_1, \ldots, d_g$ on $E$ and points $z_1, \ldots, z_{g-1} \in E$, we define

$$
(4.3) \quad D_{d_i,z_j} := \sum_{i=1}^g \text{pr}_i^*(d_i) + \sum_{j=1}^{g-1} \Delta_{j,j+1}^{z_j}.
$$

If all $d_i$ are effective we call $D_{d_i,z_j}$ a standard divisor on $E^g$. We say $D_{d_i,z_j}$ is of type $(n_1, \cdots, n_g)$ where

$$
n_i = \deg d_i + 2 - \delta_{i,1} - \delta_{i,g},
$$

that is, $n_1 = n$ when $g = 1$, and

$$
n_i = \begin{cases} \deg d_i + 1, & i = 1, g \\ \deg d_i + 2, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
$$

when $g \geq 2$.

Proposition 4.1. Let $d_1, \ldots, d_g, d'_1, \ldots, d'_g$ be effective divisors on $E$ and $z_1, \ldots, z_{g-1}, z'_1, \ldots, z'_{g-1} \in E$.

1. The divisors $D_{d_i,z_j}$ and $D_{d'_i,z'_j}$ are linearly equivalent if and only if

$$
(4.4) \quad d_i + (-z_i) + (z_{i-1}) \sim d'_i + (-z'_i) + (z'_{i-1})
$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq g$, with the convention that $z_0 = z'_0 = z_g = z'_g = 0$.

2. If $\deg(d'_i) = \deg(d_i)$ and $d'_i \sim d_i$ for all $i$, then $D_{d'_i,z'_j}$ is linearly equivalent to $D_{d_i,z_j}$.

3. The divisor $D_{d_i,z_j}$ is linearly equivalent to $D_{n/k}$ if and only if

   (a) $\deg(d_i) = (n_i - 1)(0)$ for $i \in \{1, g\}$ and
   (b) $\deg(d_i) = (n_i - 2)(0)$ for $i \notin \{1, g\}$ and
   (c) $\text{sum}(d_i) = z_i - z_{i-1}$ for all $i$.

Proof. (1) This follows from the Seesaw Theorem which says that two divisors on $E^g$ are linearly equivalent if and only if their restrictions to almost all slices $\{(x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1})\} \times E \times \{(x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_g)\}$ are linearly equivalent.

(2) This follows immediately from (1).

(3) For all $i = 1, \ldots, g$, let $z'_i = 0$ and $d'_i = D_i$ as in (3.3). Then $D_{d'_i,z'_j} = D_{n/k}$. Thus, $D_{d_i,z_j}$ is linearly equivalent to $D_{n/k}$ if and only if $d_i + (-z_i) + (z_{i-1}) \sim D_i + (0) + (0)$ for all $i$; i.e., if and only if $\deg(d_i) = \deg(D_i)$ and $\text{sum}(d_i) - z_i + z_{i-1} = 0$ for all $i$. \qed
Proposition 4.2. Suppose $n_i \geq 2$ for all $i$. Every standard divisor $D_{\mathbf{g}, z_j}$ of type $(n_1, \ldots, n_g)$ is base-point free or, equivalently, $\mathcal{O}_{E^*}(D_{\mathbf{g}, z_j})$ is generated by its global sections.

In particular, $D_{n/k}$ is base-point free and $L_{n/k}$ is generated by its global sections.

Proof. Fix a point $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_g) \in E^g$. We will find a standard divisor $D_{\mathbf{g'}, z'_j}$ linearly equivalent to $D_{\mathbf{g}, z_j}$ that does not contain $x$. Choose $w = (w_1, \ldots, w_g) \in E^g$ so that

1. $w_i = 0$ for all $i$ for which $\deg(\mathbf{d}_i) = 0$,
2. $w_i \neq x_i$ for all $i$ for which $\deg(\mathbf{d}_i) = 1$,
3. $z_j + \sum_{i=1}^j (w_i - \text{sum}(\mathbf{d}_i)) \neq x_{j+1} - x_j$ for all $1 \leq j \leq g - 1$, and
4. $\sum_{i=1}^g (w_i - \text{sum}(\mathbf{d}_i)) = 0$.

Such a $w$ does exist: For example, let $w_i = 0$ whenever $\deg(\mathbf{d}_i) = 0$, and choose $w_i \neq x_i$ for other $i \neq 1, g$. Once we further fix $w_1$, the last condition determines $w_g$. Since $\deg(\mathbf{d}_1), \deg(\mathbf{d}_g) \geq 1$, the remaining requirements are a finite number of inequalities on $w_1$ (or $w_g$), so there is a solution.

Due to the properties of $w$, we can choose a divisor $\mathbf{d}'_i$ on $E$ for each $i$ so that

1. $\deg(\mathbf{d}'_i) = \deg(\mathbf{d}_i)$,
2. $\text{sum}(\mathbf{d}'_i) = w_i$, and
3. $\mathbf{d}'_i$ does not contain $x_i$.

Let $z'_j := z_j + \sum_{i=1}^j (w_i - \text{sum}(\mathbf{d}_i))$ for each $j$. Then the standard divisor $D_{\mathbf{g'}, z'_j}$ does not contain $x$, and it is linearly equivalent to $D_{\mathbf{g}, z_j}$ by Proposition 4.1(1).

Lemma 4.3. Let $D = D_{\mathbf{g}, z_j}$ be a standard divisor of type $(n_1, \ldots, n_g)$ where $n_i \geq 2$ for all $i$. Let $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_g)$ and $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_g)$ be points in $E^g$. If $x_i \neq y_i$ for some $t$ satisfying $n_t \geq 3$, then there is a standard divisor $D' = D_{\mathbf{g'}, z'_j}$ linearly equivalent to $D$ such that $x \in D'$ and $y \notin D'$.

Proof. Choose $w = (w_1, \ldots, w_g) \in E^g$ so that

1. $w_i = 0$ for all $i$ for which $\deg(\mathbf{d}_i) = 0$,
2. $w_i = x_i$ if $\deg(\mathbf{d}_i) = 1$,
3. $w_i \neq y_i$ for all $i$ for which $\deg(\mathbf{d}_i) = 1$,
4. $w_i \neq x_i + y_i$ if $\deg(\mathbf{d}_i) = 2$,
5. $z_j + \sum_{i=1}^j (w_i - \text{sum}(\mathbf{d}_i)) \neq x_{j+1} - x_j$ for all $1 \leq j \leq g - 1$,
6. $z_j + \sum_{i=1}^j (w_i - \text{sum}(\mathbf{d}_i)) \neq y_{j+1} - y_j$ for all $1 \leq j \leq g - 1$, and
7. $\sum_{i=1}^g (w_i - \text{sum}(\mathbf{d}_i)) = 0$.

If $t = 1$ or $t = g$, then $\deg(\mathbf{d}_t) \geq 2$ and the second condition does not arise. So such $w$ exists by the same reason as in the proof of Proposition 4.2. Similarly, choose a divisor $\mathbf{d}'_i$ on $E$ for each $i$ so that

1. $\deg(\mathbf{d}'_i) = \deg(\mathbf{d}_i)$,
2. $\text{sum}(\mathbf{d}'_i) = w_i$,
3. $\mathbf{d}'_i$ does not contain $y_i$, and
4. $\mathbf{d}'_i$ contains $x_i$.

and let $z'_j := z_j + \sum_{i=1}^j (w_i - \text{sum}(\mathbf{d}_i))$ for each $j$. The standard divisor $D_{\mathbf{g'}, z'_j}$ satisfies the desired property.

Now we prove a similar result that will be used in the proof of Lemma 4.20.

Lemma 4.4. Let $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_g)$ be a point and let $D_{\mathbf{g}, z_j}$ be a standard divisor of type $(n_1, \ldots, n_g)$ where $n_i \geq 2$ for all $i$. For each $t$ satisfying $n_t \geq 3$, there is a standard divisor $D_{\mathbf{g'}, z'_j}$ linearly equivalent to $D_{\mathbf{g}, z_j}$ such that $D_{\mathbf{g'}, z'_j}$ contains $E^{t-1} \times (x_t) \times E^{g-t}$ and no other component of $D_{\mathbf{g'}, z'_j}$ contains $x$.

Proof. Choose $w = (w_1, \ldots, w_g) \in E^g$ so that

1. $w_i = 0$ for all $i$ for which $\deg(\mathbf{d}_i) = 0$,
2. $w_i \neq x_i$ for all $i \neq t$ for which $\deg(\mathbf{d}_i) = 1$,
3. $w_t = x_t$ if $\deg(\mathbf{d}_t) = 1$,
Choose a divisor $d_i'$ on $E$ for each $i$ so that

- $\deg(d_i') = \deg(d_i)$,
- $\sum_i (d_i') = w_i$,
- $d_i'$ does not contain $x_i$ if $i \neq t$, and
- $d_t'$ contains $x_t$ with multiplicity one,

and let $z_j' := z_j + \sum_i (w_i - \sum_i d_i)$ for each $j$. 

**4.2. The equivariant structure on $L_{n/k}$.** First, we recall some facts about equivariant invertible sheaves on varieties acted on by finite groups (i.e., “linearized sheaves” in the terminology of [MFK94, §1.3]).

Throughout the ensuing discussion we follow [Har77, §II.4, Definition] in that (algebraic) varieties are integral schemes of finite type over an algebraically closed field.

**Proposition 4.5.** Let $X$ be a complex quasi-projective algebraic variety, acted upon by a finite group $\Gamma$ and $L$ a $\Gamma$-equivariant invertible sheaf on $X$. Suppose

- $L$ is globally generated, and
- the action of $\Gamma$ on $H^0(X, L)$ resulting from the equivariant structure is trivial.

If $\pi : X \to X/\Gamma$ is the canonical surjection then

$$K := (\pi_* L)^\Gamma$$

is an invertible sheaf on $X/\Gamma$ with $\pi^* K \cong L$.

**Proof.** From [Sta18, Tag 01ZY] we know that every finite subset of $X$ is contained in an open affine, and hence in particular this is true of orbits of $\Gamma$. [Mum08, §7, Theorem] thus applies to every $\Gamma$-invariant open subscheme $U \subseteq X$ to prove the claim in the case $L = \mathcal{O}_X$.

Now let $x \in X$. The global generation of $L$ means that we can find a global section $s \in H^0(X, L)$ that does not vanish at $x$. Since the action of $\Gamma$ on $H^0(X, L)$ is trivial, $s$ vanishes at none of the points in the orbit $x \Gamma$. That orbit is thus contained in some (dense, by irreducibility) affine open subscheme $U \subseteq X$ where $s$ is non-vanishing; upon substitution with

$$\bigcap_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma U$$

we can furthermore assume $U$ is $\Gamma$-invariant (the intersection is again affine because the scheme is quasi-projective and hence separated).

The $\Gamma$-invariant section

$$s|_U \in H^0(U, L)$$

now implements a $\Gamma$-equivariant isomorphism $L|_U \cong \mathcal{O}_U$, so we can apply the cited result [Mum08, §7, Theorem] to conclude that

- $(\pi_* L)^\Gamma$ is an invertible sheaf on $U/\Gamma$ and
- the canonical morphism

$$L \to \pi^* (\pi_* L)^\Gamma$$

is an isomorphism over $U$.

Since $X$ can be covered by such open patches $U$ giving rise to a corresponding covering of $X/\Gamma$ by $U/\Gamma$, the conclusion follows. 

\[ \square \]
Consider an algebraic variety $X$ (complex say, to fix ideas) with an action by a finite group, as in Proposition 4.5. The structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X$ has a family of $\Gamma$-equivariant structures parametrized by the (one-dimensional) characters of $\Gamma$, i.e., the group homomorphisms $\chi : \Gamma \to \mathbb{C}^\times$. This correspondence is such that the equivariant structure attached to $\chi$ results in an action of $\Gamma$ on $H^0 := H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ via $\chi$ (i.e., as a representation of $\Gamma$, $H^0$ is $\chi$-isotypic). We write $\mathcal{O}_X^\chi$ for $\mathcal{O}_X$ with the equivariant structure corresponding to $\chi$.

**Definition 4.6.** Let $X$, $\Gamma$, etc. be as above. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a $\Gamma$-equivariant invertible sheaf on $X$ and let $\chi : \Gamma \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ be a group homomorphism. The $\chi$-twist $\mathcal{L}^\chi$ of the equivariant structure of $\mathcal{L}$ is the tensor product $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X^\chi$ of equivariant sheaves.

**Lemma 4.7.** Let $X$ be a projective complex algebraic variety acted upon by the finite group $\Gamma$ and let $\mathcal{L}$ be a $\Gamma$-equivariant globally generated invertible $\mathcal{O}_X$-module. If the canonical map

$$X \to \mathbb{P}(H^0(X, \mathcal{L})^*)$$

factors through $X/\Gamma$, then the action of $\Gamma$ on $H^0(X, \mathcal{L})$ is $\chi$-isotypic for some character $\chi$.

**Proof.** Let $g \in \Gamma$. Let $s$ be a non-zero section of $\mathcal{L}$. By hypothesis, $(s)_0 = (g.s)_0$. Hence by [Sta18, Tag 01X0], there is an automorphism of $\mathcal{L}$ sending $s$ to $g.s$. Since $X$ is a projective variety,

$$\text{Aut}(\mathcal{L}) \cong \text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_X) \cong \mathbb{C}^\times$$

by [Har77, Theorem I.3.4]. Hence $g.s$ is a scalar multiple of $s$. Thus, every non-zero element in $H^0(X, \mathcal{L})$ is an eigenvector for every $g \in \Gamma$. It now follows that $H^0(X, \mathcal{L})$ is $\chi$-isotypic for some character $\chi$. \qed

**Corollary 4.8.** Under the hypotheses of Lemma 4.7, some twist $\mathcal{L}^\chi$ of the equivariant sheaf $\mathcal{L}$ has the property that the natural action of $\Gamma$ on $H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^\chi)$ is trivial.

**Proof.** If the equivariant structure on $\mathcal{L}$ is such that $H^0(X, \mathcal{L})$ is $\chi^{-1}$-isotypic for the $\Gamma$-action, then the twist $\mathcal{L}^\chi$ satisfies the conclusion of the corollary. \qed

**Example 4.9.** The global generation assumption is necessary in Proposition 4.5. Consider, for example, the natural action of $\Gamma := \{\pm 1\}$ on $E$ and the sheaf $\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{O}_E((0))$. Since $(0)$ is stable under the action of $\Gamma$ we can put a $\Gamma$-equivariant structure on $\mathcal{L}$. The space $H^0(E, \mathcal{L})$ consists of only the constant functions $\mathcal{O}_E \to \mathcal{O}_E \subseteq \mathcal{L}$, so the induced action of $\Gamma$ on $H^0(E, \mathcal{L})$ is trivial. However, $E/\Gamma \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ and, with the notation above, $(\pi_* \mathcal{L})^\Gamma \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$. It follows that the homomorphism (4-5) is not an isomorphism in this situation.

**Lemma 4.10.** Let $X$ be a projective variety and let $\Gamma \subseteq \text{Aut}(X)$ be a finite subgroup. If $D$ is an effective Cartier divisor on $X$ that is stable under the action of $\Gamma$, then there is a $\Gamma$-equivariant structure on $\mathcal{O}(D)$ such that the resulting action of $\Gamma$ on $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(D))$ fixes the sections vanishing along $D$.

**Proof.** Let $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and let $s \in H^0(\mathcal{O}(D))$ be a section whose zero locus is $D$; $s$ is then unique up to a non-zero scalar multiple (see the proof of Lemma 4.7).

According to the same result [Sta18, Tag 01X0] cited above in the proof of Lemma 4.7, effective Cartier divisors are in bijection with isomorphism classes of pairs $(\mathcal{L}, s)$ where $\mathcal{L}$ is an invertible sheaf and $s$ is a non-zero section.

Since $\gamma D = D$, the pairs $(\mathcal{O}(D), s)$ and $(\gamma^* \mathcal{O}(D), \gamma^* s)$ are isomorphic. But since the automorphism group of a pair $(\mathcal{L}, s)$ over a projective variety is trivial, the isomorphism

$$\phi_\gamma : \mathcal{O}(D) \to \gamma^* \mathcal{O}(D)$$

that sends $s$ to $\gamma^* s$ is unique. It follows from said uniqueness that the cocycle condition

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
(\alpha \beta)^* \mathcal{O}(D) & \xrightarrow{\beta^* \phi_\alpha} & \beta^* \mathcal{O}(D) & \xrightarrow{\phi_\beta} & \mathcal{O}(D)
\end{array}$$

$$\phi_{\alpha \beta} = \beta^* \phi_\alpha \circ \phi_\beta$$
holds and hence that the $\phi_{s}, \gamma \in \Gamma$ constitute an equivariant structure. Furthermore, the resulting action on $H^0(\mathcal{O}(D))$ fixes $s$ by construction and hence all of its scalar multiples, as desired. \hfill \Box

Remark 4.11. Note that the choice of $s$ in the above proof does not affect the equivariant structure, as $s$ is unique up to scaling which would then be passed on to $\gamma^*s$. The structure is thus canonical.

Remark 4.12. If, in the context of Lemma 4.10, the hypotheses of Lemma 4.7 are also satisfied, then the action of $\Gamma$ on $H^0(\mathcal{O}(D))$ is trivial: indeed, the latter result says that the action is scaling by a character, whereas the former identifies a fixed invariant vector $s \in H^0(\mathcal{O}(D))$.

We now introduce a class of standard divisors that are stable under the action of $\Sigma_{n/k}$. We call a standard divisor $D_{b,z_j}$ balanced if $z_j = 0$ for all $j$ and it contains $(0) \times E^{g-1} + E^{g-1} \times (0)$. This is equivalent to the condition that $D_{b,z_j}$ contains

\begin{equation}
\begin{cases}
2(0) & \text{if } g = 1; \\
(0) \times E^{g-1} + \Delta_{1,2} + \cdots + \Delta_{g-1,g} + E^{g-1} \times (0) & \text{if } g \geq 2.
\end{cases}
\end{equation}

In particular, $D_{n/k}$ is balanced.

Proposition 4.13. Let $D$ be a balanced standard divisor of type $(n_1, \ldots, n_g)$. Then $D$ is stable under the action of $\Sigma_{n/k}$ and, consequently, $\mathcal{O}_{E^g}(D)$ admits a $\Sigma_{n/k}$-equivariant structure. In particular, $D_{n/k}$ is stable under the action of $\Sigma_{n/k}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{n/k} = \mathcal{O}_{E^g}(D_{n/k})$ admits a $\Sigma_{n/k}$-equivariant structure.

Proof. The case $g = 1$ is obvious so we assume $g \geq 2$. By the assumption, $D$ is the sum of

\begin{equation}
(0) \times E^{g-1} + \Delta_{1,2} + \cdots + \Delta_{g-1,g} + E^{g-1} \times (0)
\end{equation}

and

\begin{equation}
\sum_{i=1}^{g} E^{i-1} \times \mathfrak{d}_i \times E^{g-i}
\end{equation}

where $\deg(\mathfrak{d}_i) = n_i - 2$. For each $2 \leq i \leq g-1$, $s_i \Delta_{i,i+1} = \Delta_{i-1,i}$. Indeed, if $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_g) \in E^g$, then

\begin{align*}
x \in s_i \Delta_{i,i+1} \iff x_{i-1} - x_i + x_{i+1} = x_{i+1} \iff x_{i-1} = x_i \iff x \in \Delta_{i-1,i}.
\end{align*}

Since $s_i^2 = 1$, $s_i \Delta_{i-1,i} = \Delta_{i,i+1}$. Similarly, $s_i \Delta_{i,2} = (0) \times E^{g-1}$ and $s_i \Delta_{g-1,g} = E^{g-1} \times (0)$. Thus (4-7) is stable under all $s_i$. If $1 \leq i \leq g$ and $n_i = 2$, then $E^{i-1} \times \mathfrak{d}_j \times E^{g-j}$ is stable under the action of $s_i$ for all $j \neq i$. So (4-8) is stable under $s_i$. Therefore $D$ is stable under all $s_i$ satisfying $n_i = 2$ so we can equip $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$ with the equivariant structure described in Lemma 4.10.

In particular, all this applies to $\mathcal{L}_{n/k} \cong \mathcal{O}_{E^g}(D_{n/k})$.

Let $D$ be a balanced standard divisor of type $(n_1, \ldots, n_g)$ where $n_i \geq 2$ for all $i$. Later we need to consider the restriction of $D$ to the closed subvariety

\[ Y := E^{t-1} \times \{z\} \times E^{g-t} \]

for a point $z \in E$ and $1 \leq t \leq g$ satisfying $n_t \geq 3$. Obviously $Y$ is stable under the action of $\Sigma_{n/k}$, and there is a canonical isomorphism

\[ \Sigma_{n/k} \cong \Sigma_{[n_1, \ldots, n_{t-1}]} \times \Sigma_{[n_{t+1}, \ldots, n_g]} \]

that sends each $s_i$ to $s_i$ on either $E^{t-1}$ or $E^{g-t}$, which identifies these two groups. Note that the group on the right-hand side is naturally acting on $E^{t-1} \times E^{g-t}$.

Lemma 4.14. In the above setting, there is a $\Sigma_{[n_1, \ldots, n_{t-1}]} \times \Sigma_{[n_{t+1}, \ldots, n_g]}$-equivariant isomorphism

\[ \psi : E^{t-1} \times E^{g-t} \to Y \]

such that $\psi^*(D|_Y)$ is linearly equivalent to

\[ D_\ell \times E^{g-t} + E^{t-1} \times D_r, \]
where $D_\ell$ and $D_r$ are some balanced standard divisors of types $(n_1, \ldots, n_{t-1})$ and $(n_{t+1}, \ldots, n_g)$, respectively.

**Proof.** The case of $g = 1$ is trivial. Suppose $g \geq 2$. As in the proof of Proposition 4.13, we can write $D = D' + D_b$, where $D'$ is (4-7) and $D_b$ is (4-8). The restriction of $D'$ is

$$D'|_Y = D' \times \{z\} \times E^{g-t} + E^{t-1} \times \{z\} \times D'_r$$

where

$$D' = (0) \times E^{t-2} + \Delta_{1,2} + \cdots + \Delta_{t-2,t-1} + E^{t-2} \times \{z\},$$

$$D'_r = (z) \times E^{g-t-1} + \Delta_{1,2} + \cdots + \Delta_{g-t-1,g-t} + E^{g-t-1} \times (0).$$

Choose $y_t, y_r \in E$ so that $t y_t = z = (g - t + 1) y_r$, and define the isomorphism $\psi : E^{t-1} \times E^{g-t} \to Y$ by

$$\psi(x_1, \ldots, x_{t-1}, x_{t+1}, \ldots, x_g) = (x_1 + y_t, x_2 + 2y_t, \ldots, x_{t-1} + (t - 1)y_t, z, x_{t+1} + (g - t)y_r, \ldots, x_{g-1} + 2y_r, x_g + y_r).$$

It is easy to see $\psi$ commutes with $s_i$ for all $i \neq t$, so $\psi$ is $[\Sigma_{n-1}] \times \Sigma_{[n_{t+1}, \ldots, n_g]}$-equivariant. The pullback of $D'|_Y$ is

$$\psi^*(D'|_Y) = D'' \times E^{g-t} + E^{t-1} \times D''_r$$

where

$$D'' = (-y_t) \times E^{t-2} + \Delta_{1,2} + \cdots + \Delta_{i-2,i-1} + E^{i-2} \times (y_t),$$

$$D''_r = (y_r) \times E^{g-t-1} + \Delta_{1,2} + \cdots + \Delta_{g-t-1,g-t} + E^{g-t-1} \times (-y_r).$$

By Proposition 4.1(1), $D'_t$ and $D'_r$ are linearly equivalent to the divisors of the form (4-7) on $E^{t-1}$ and $E^{g-t}$, respectively.

On the other hand,

$$\psi^*(D_b|_Y) = D_{b_t} \times E^{g-t} + E^{t-1} \times D_{b'_t}$$

where $D_{b_t}$ and $D_{b'_t}$ are the divisors of the form (4-8) for respective divisors $b_t$ ($1 \leq i \leq t - 1$) and $b'_t$ ($t + 1 \leq i \leq g$) on $E$ with $\deg b_t = \deg d_i = n_i - 2$. Therefore the desired result follows. \qed

We end this discussion with the following result, which we later generalize in Corollary 4.21.

**Lemma 4.15.** When all $n_i = 2$, i.e., $k = g = n - 1$, the morphism $\Phi_{n/k}$ from (4-1) factors as

$$\Phi_{n/k}$$

$$\xymatrix{ E^g \ar[r]_{\phi} & E^g/\Sigma_n \ar[r]_{\iota} & \mathbb{P}^{n-1} }$$

where $\phi$ is the quotient map and $\iota$ is an isomorphism.

**Proof.** Proposition 2.18 shows that $\Phi_{n/k}$ is a surjection of $E^g$ onto $\mathbb{P}^g$. Since all $n_i = 2$, $\Sigma_{n/k} \cong \Sigma_{g+1}$ and $E^g/\Sigma_{n/k} \cong \mathbb{P}^g$. Indeed, by Proposition 2.1, the morphism $\iota : E^g \to E^{g+1}$ is equivariant with respect to this action and the natural action of $\Sigma_{g+1}$ on $E^{g+1}$. But $E^{g+1}/\Sigma_{g+1}$ is the symmetric power $S^{g+1}$, and the composition $E^g \xrightarrow{\iota} E^{g+1} \to S^{g+1}E$ sends $E^g$ to the fiber over 0 of the morphism $S^{g+1} E \to E$, $([z_1, \ldots, z_{g+1}]) \mapsto z_1 + \cdots + z_{g+1}$. It is well-known that all fibers of this morphism are isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^g$.

By Proposition 4.13, $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{n/k}$ admits a $\Sigma_{n/k}$-equivariant structure. Since $\Phi_{n/k} : E^g \to \mathbb{P}(H^0(E^g, \mathcal{L}^*)$, factors through the quotient $\phi : E^g \to E^g/\Sigma_{n/k}$, Remark 4.12 implies that the action of $\Sigma_{n/k}$ on $H^0(E^g, \mathcal{L})$ is trivial. Proposition 4.5 thus applies to show that $(\phi_* \mathcal{L})^{\Sigma_{n/k}}$ is an invertible $\mathcal{O}_{E^g/\Sigma_{n/k}}$-module. Note also that

$$H^0\left(E^g/\Sigma_{n/k}, (\phi_* \mathcal{L})^{\Sigma_{n/k}}\right) \cong H^0\left(E^g/\Sigma_{n/k}, \phi_* \mathcal{L}^{\Sigma_{n/k}}\right) \cong H^0(E^g, \mathcal{L})^{\Sigma_{n/k}} \cong H^0(E^g, \mathcal{L}).$$

The morphism

$$\xymatrix{ \mathbb{P}^g \cong E^g/\Sigma_{n/k} \ar[r]^{-\iota} & \mathbb{P}(H^0(E^g, \mathcal{L}^*) \cong \mathbb{P}^g }$$

where $\iota$ is an isomorphism.
is induced by $(\phi_\ast L)^n/k$ via the identification (4-10), and since $(\phi_\ast L)^n/k$ a has $(g+1)$-dimensional space of global sections it must be isomorphic to $O_{E^n}(1)$. This proves the claim that $\iota$ is an isomorphism. □

4.3. The restriction of $L_{n/k}$ to certain subvarieties of $E^n$.

Lemma 4.16. Suppose that $n_j \geq 2$ for all $j$ and fix $i$ such that $n_i \geq 3$. Let $Y := E^{i-1} \times \{z\} \times E^{g-i}$, $D$ a balanced standard divisor of type $(n_1, \ldots, n_g)$, and $L := O_{E^n}(D)$. The natural map

$$H^0(E^n, L) \to H^0(Y, L|_Y)$$

is onto.

Proof. There is an exact sequence $0 \to L(-Y) \to L \to L|_Y \to 0$ so the result will follow once we show that $H^1(E^n, L(-Y)) = 0$. The sheaf $L(-Y)$ is again a balanced standard divisor but $n_i$ is replaced by $n_i - 1$. Thus, as we pointed out in Remark 3.5, the cohomology vanishing follows from Corollary 3.4. □

4.4. The characteristic variety is isomorphic to $E^n/\Sigma_{n/k}$. In this section we show that $X_{n/k}$ is isomorphic to $E^n/\Sigma_{n/k}$. We argue by induction on $g$; various divisors that are not necessarily of the form $D_{n/k}$ appear; we state the result in terms of balanced standard divisors.

Theorem 4.17. Let $D$ be a balanced standard divisor of type $(n_1, \ldots, n_g)$. Then the morphism $\Phi|_D : E^n \to \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ factors as

$$E^n \xrightarrow{\phi} E^n/\Sigma_{n/k} \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$$

in which $\phi$ is the quotient morphism and $\iota$ is a closed immersion.

First we consider the case $g = 1$, i.e., $k = 1$. Since $D$ is a degree-$n$ divisor on $E$, it is very ample if $n \geq 3$. If $n = 2$, then the claim follows from Lemma 4.15. The proof for $g \geq 2$ consists of a series of partial results.

Lemma 4.18. The morphism $\Phi|_D$ factors as in (4-11).

Proof. Let $z$ be an arbitrary point in $E^n$. We must show that $\Phi|_D(z) = \Phi|_D(s_i(z))$ for all $i$ such that $n_i = 2$; i.e., we must show that if $D'$ is an effective divisor linearly equivalent to $D$ and $z \in \text{Supp}(D')$, then $s_i(z) \in \text{Supp}(D')$ for all $i$ such that $n_i = 2$.

Let $D'$ be an effective divisor such that $D' \sim D$, and suppose $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_g)$ is in $\text{Supp}(D')$. Fix $i$ such that $n_i = 2$. Let $D$ and $D'$ be the restrictions of $D$ and $D'$, respectively, to

$$E_i := \{(z_1, \ldots, z_{i-1})\} \times E \times \{(z_{i+1}, \ldots, z_g)\}.$$

Since $D \sim D'$, $D \sim D'$ as divisors on $E_i$. Since $D$ is a balanced standard divisor of type $(n_1, \ldots, n_g)$ and $n_i = 2$,

$$D = (z_1, \ldots, z_{i-1}, z_{i-1}, z_{i+1}, \ldots, z_g) + (z_1, \ldots, z_{i-1}, z_{i+1}, z_{i+1}, \ldots, z_g)$$

where $z_0 = z_{g+1} = 0$. Since $D \sim D'$,

$$D' = (z_1, \ldots, z_{i-1}, p, z_{i+1}, \ldots, z_g) + (z_1, \ldots, z_{i-1}, q, z_{i+1}, \ldots, z_g)$$

where $p + q = z_{i-1} + z_{i+1}$. By hypothesis, $(z_1, \ldots, z_g) \in \text{Supp}(D')$ so we may assume $p = z_i$ and $q = z_{i-1} - z_i + z_{i+1}$. Thus $D' = z + s_i(z)$, and hence $s_i(z) \in D'$. □

Lemma 4.19. The morphism $\iota$ in (4-11) is injective.

Proof. Suppose $z$ and $w$ are in different $\Sigma_{n/k}$-orbits. We will find an effective divisor $D'$ that is linearly equivalent to $D$ such that $z \in \text{Supp}(D')$ but $w \notin \text{Supp}(D')$. We do this by induction on $g$, reducing to the case when all $n_i = 2$ or $g = 1$.

(1) The case when $z_i \neq w_i$ and $n_i \geq 3$. This follows from Lemma 4.3.
(2) The case when $z_i = w_i$ and $n_i \geq 3$. The points $z$ and $w$ both belong to 

$$Y := E^{i-1} \times \{z_i\} \times E^g.$$ 

Applying Lemma 4.14 to $D$ and $Y$, we obtain a $\Sigma_{[n_1, \ldots, n_i-1]} \times \Sigma_{[n_i+1, \ldots, n_g]}$-equivariant isomorphism $\psi : E^{i-1} \times E^{g-t} \to Y$ and balanced standard divisors $D_\ell$ and $D_r$ of types $(n_1, \ldots, n_{i-1})$ and $(n_{i+1}, \ldots, n_g)$ such that

$$(4-12) \quad \psi^*(D|_Y) \sim D_\ell \times E^{g-t} + E^{i-1} \times D_r =: \overline{D}.$$ 

There is a commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
E^{i-1} \times E^{g-t} & \xrightarrow{\psi} & Y \\
\Phi_{|\psi^*(D|_Y)|} \downarrow & & \Phi_{D|Y} \\
\mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathcal{O}_{E^{i-1} \times E^{g-t}}(\psi^*(D|_Y))))^* & \xrightarrow{=} & \mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathcal{O}_Y(D|_Y)))^* \\
\end{array}
$$

where the lower right horizontal arrow is a closed immersion since the canonical map

$$H^0(E^g, \mathcal{O}_{E^g}(D)) \to H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_{E^g}(D)|_Y)$$

is onto by Lemma 4.16. So it suffices to prove that $\Phi|\psi^*(D|_Y)|$ sends $z$ and $w$ to different points. By (4-12), we can replace $\Phi|\psi^*(D|_Y)|$ by $\Phi|D|$, which is the composition of

$$\Phi|D| \times \Phi|D| : E^{i-1} \times E^{g-t} \to \mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathcal{O}_{E^{i-1}}(D_\ell))^*) \times \mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathcal{O}_{E^{g-t}}(D_r))^*).$$

and the Segre embedding

$$\mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathcal{O}_{E^{i-1}}(D_\ell))^*) \times \mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathcal{O}_{E^{g-t}}(D_r))^*) \to \mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathcal{O}_{E^{i-1}}(D_\ell) \oplus H^0(\mathcal{O}_{E^{g-t}}(D_r)))^*).$$

By the induction hypothesis, the morphisms $\iota$ for $D_\ell$ and $D_r$ are injective. Since $\psi^{-1}(z)$ and $\psi^{-1}(w)$ do not belong to the same orbit, they are sent to different points by $\Phi|D_\ell| \times \Phi|D_r|$, and so by $\Phi|D|$. Therefore $\iota$ for $D$ is injective.

(3) The case when $n_i = 2$ for all $i$. The only balanced standard divisor of type $(2, \ldots, 2)$ is of the form (4-6). So the claim follows from Lemma 4.15. \qed

**Lemma 4.20.** The morphism $\iota$ in (4-11) induces embeddings of tangent spaces.

**Proof.** Write $\Sigma = \Sigma_{n/k}$ and $L = \mathcal{O}_{E^g}(D)$. The sheaf $L$ admits the $\Sigma$-equivariant structure on $\mathcal{L}$ described in Proposition 4.13 and, by Remark 4.12, the $\Sigma$-action on $H^0(E^g, \mathcal{L})$ is trivial. By Proposition 4.5,

$$K := (\phi_* L)^\Sigma$$

is an invertible sheaf on $E^g/\Sigma$ with $\phi^* K \cong L$ and as in (4-10),

$$H^0(E^g/\Sigma, K) \cong H^0(E^g, L).$$

The morphism

$$\iota : E^g/\Sigma \to \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$$

is induced by $K$.

We prove the claim by induction on $g$. For the induction step we consider two cases:

(1) All $n_i = 2$. This is nothing but Lemma 4.15.

(2) There is some $i$ with $n_i \geq 3$. Consider a point

$$x = (x_1, \ldots, x_g) \in E^g$$

with the goal of showing that $\iota$ is one-to-one on $T_{\phi(x)}(E^g/\Sigma)$. Because $n_i \geq 3$, Lemma 4.4 implies that there is a standard divisor $D'$ that is linearly equivalent to $D$ such that

- $D_0 := E^{i-1} \times (x_i) \times E^{g-i}$ is a component of $D'$ with multiplicity one;
- no other component of $D'$ contains $x$. 


$D_0$ is invariant under $\Sigma$ so we have an embedding 

$$D_0/\Sigma \subseteq E^g/\Sigma.$$ 

The divisor $D'$ is the zero locus of a section $s \in H^0(E^g, \mathcal{L})$. When regarded as an element of $H^0(E^g/\Sigma, K)$, $s$ vanishes on $D_0$ and no other components of its zero locus contains $y := \phi(x)$.

Now consider a non-zero tangent vector $v \in T_y(E^g/\Sigma)$. There are two possibilities:

1. **$v$ is not tangent to $D_0/\Sigma$.** Since $D_0/\Sigma$ is the only component of the zero locus of $s \in H^0(E^g/\Sigma, K)$ containing $y$, this means that $v$ is not tangent to that zero locus. But then the section $s$ witnesses tangent vector separation at $v \in T_y(E^g/\Sigma)$.

2. **$v$ is tangent to $D_0/\Sigma$.** Let $Y := D_0$. By the argument in the proof of Lemma 4.19, we obtain commutative diagram (4-13). By the induction hypothesis $D_{i}$ and $D_{r}$ are very ample, so $\iota$ sends $v$ to a non-zero tangent vector.

**Proof of Theorem 4.17.** To see that $\iota$ is an isomorphism onto its image we can apply [Har92, Cor. 14.10], stating that this follows from the injectivity of $\iota$ provided it induces embeddings of tangent spaces. The two conditions are provided by Lemmas 4.19 and 4.20.

**Corollary 4.21.** The morphism $\Phi_{n/k}: E^g \to \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ factors as

$$E^g \xrightarrow{\phi} E^g/\Sigma_{n/k} \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$$

in which $\phi$ is the quotient morphism and $\iota$ is a closed immersion. In particular,

1. $X_{n/k} \cong E^g/\Sigma_{n/k}$ and
2. the automorphism $\sigma : E^g \to E^g$ defined by $\sigma(z) = z + (k + 1 - n) \tau$ descends via $\Phi_{n/k}$ to an automorphism of $X_{n/k}$ that we also denote by $\sigma$.

**Proof.** The first claim is Theorem 4.17 for $D = D'_{n/k}$. Statement (1) now follows from the definition of $X_{n/k}$ as the image of $\Phi_{n/k}$, and (2) is an immediate consequence of Proposition 2.10.

4.5. **Special cases.** By Proposition 2.14, ampleness or very ampleness of $D_{n/k}$ depends only on the class of $D_{n/k}$ in $\text{NS}(E^g)$. By Proposition 3.3, $D_{n/k}$ is ample. It is stated at [OF89, p.212] that $D_{n/k}$ is very ample when all $n_i$ are $\geq 3$. We will now prove a stronger result:

**Proposition 4.22.** The divisor $D_{n/k}$ is very ample if and only if $n_i \geq 3$ for all $i$.

**Proof.** $(\Rightarrow)$ Assume $D_{n/k}$ is very ample.

Let $x \in E$. For each $i$, let $C_i \subseteq E^g$ be the curve consisting of the points whose $j^{th}$ coordinate is $x$ for all $j \neq i$. Since $D_{n/k}$ is a very ample divisor on $E^g$, $D_{n/k} \cap C_i$ is a very ample divisor on $C_i$. Since $C_i \cong E$, $\deg(D_{n/k} \cap C_i) \geq 3$; but $\deg(D_{n/k} \cap C_i) = D_{n/k} \cdot C_i = n_i$ so $n_i \geq 3$.

$(\Leftarrow)$ If $n_i \geq 3$ for all $i$, then the group $\Sigma_{n/k}$ is trivial. So this is a special case of Theorem 4.17.

**Corollary 4.23.** The characteristic variety for $Q_{n,n-1}(E, \tau)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$.

**Proof.** Since $\frac{n}{n-1} = [2, \ldots, 2]$ where the number of 2’s is $g = n - 1$, this follows from Lemma 4.15.

**Corollary 4.24.** Let $m$ be an integer $\geq 3$. If $[n_1, \ldots, n_g]$ is $[m, 2, \ldots, 2]$ or $[2, \ldots, 2, m]$, then the characteristic variety for $Q_{m,k}(E, \tau)$ is isomorphic to $S^gE$.

**Proof.** The two cases are similar so we only consider the case $n/k = [2, \ldots, 2, m]$. Again we identify $E^g$ with its image under the morphism $\varepsilon : E^g \to E^{g+1}$, and identify $\Sigma_{n/k}$ with the subgroup of $\Sigma_{g+1} \subseteq \text{Aut}(E^{g+1})$ generated by the transpositions $(i, i + 1)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, g - 1$. The image of $\varepsilon$ consists of the $(g + 1)$-tuples whose sum is 0, i.e., the points $(z_1, \ldots, z_g, -z_1 - \cdots - z_g)$, and $\Sigma_{n/k} \cong \Sigma_g$ acts on these points by permuting the first $g$ coordinates. Thus, $E^g/\Sigma_{n/k}$ is isomorphic to $E^g/\Sigma_g$ where $\Sigma_g$ has its natural action on $E^g$. Hence $E^g/\Sigma_{n/k} \cong S^gE$. 

\(\square\)
5. Theta function methods

The study of $Q_{n,k}(E,\tau)$ involves both geometric methods and methods involving theta functions. This section focuses on methods involving theta functions.

5.1. The action of the Heisenberg group $H_n$ on $\Theta_{n/k}(\Lambda)$. We will now define an action of $H_n$ on $\Theta_{n/k}(\Lambda)$ and then define a basis for $\Theta_{n/k}(\Lambda)$ that behaves well with respect to the $H_n$-action.

**Proposition 5.1.** Let $g$ be a positive integer, $N$ a symmetric $g \times g$ matrix, $N_{ii}$ its $i^{th}$ diagonal entry, and write $d = \frac{1}{2}(N_{11}, \ldots, N_{gg})$. Fix a point $c = (c_1, \ldots, c_g) \in \mathbb{C}^g$. If $f : \mathbb{C}^g \to \mathbb{C}$ is a holomorphic function such that

\begin{equation}
(5-1)\quad f(z + e_i\eta) = e(zN e_i^T + c_i) f(z) \quad \text{for } i = 1, \ldots, p,
\end{equation}

then

\begin{equation}
(5-2)\quad f(z + m\eta) = e(z N m^T + \frac{1}{2} m N m^T \eta + (c - d\eta) m^T) f(z)
\end{equation}

for all $m = (m_1, \ldots, m_g) \in \mathbb{N}^g$.

**Proof.** Fix an integer $i$ between 1 and $g$. An induction argument shows that

\begin{equation}
(5-3)\quad f(z + m e_i \eta) = e((m z N e_i^T + \left(\frac{m}{2}\right) N_{ii} \eta + mc_i) f(z)
\end{equation}

for all positive integers $m$. If we set $m = me_i$, then (5-3) becomes

\begin{equation}
(5-4)\quad f(z + m \eta) = e(z N m^T + \frac{1}{2} m N m^T \eta - \frac{1}{2} m N_{ii} \eta + cm^T) f(z).
\end{equation}

Thus, the proposition holds when $m = me_i$.

Let $r$ be an integer between 1 and $g$ and let $m = (m_1, \ldots, m_r, 0, \ldots, 0) \in \mathbb{N}^g$. We will prove the proposition by induction on $r$. More explicitly, we will show that

\begin{equation}
(5-5)\quad f(z + m \eta) = e(z N m^T + \frac{1}{2} m N m^T \eta - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{r} m_i N_{ii} \eta + cm^T) f(z).
\end{equation}

When $r = p$ this is the formula in (5-2).

We have already shown that (5-2) holds when $r = 1$. We now assume $r \geq 2$ and write $m' = (m_1, \ldots, m_{r-1}, 0, \ldots, 0)$ so that $m = m' + m_r e_r$.

It follows from (5-3) that

\begin{align*}
f(z + m \eta) &= f(z + m' \eta + m_r e_r \eta) \\
&= e(m_r (z + m' \eta) N e_r^T + \left(\frac{m_r}{2}\right) N_{rr} \eta + m_r c_r) f(z + m' \eta) \\
&= e(m_r (z + m' \eta) N e_r^T + \left(\frac{m_r}{2}\right) N_{rr} \eta + m_r c_r) \\
&\times e(z N m'^T + \frac{1}{2} m' N m'^T \eta - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} m_i N_{ii} \eta + cm^T) f(z) \\
&= e(z N m^T + m' N (m_r e_r)^T \eta + \left(\frac{m_r}{2}\right) N_{rr} \eta + \frac{1}{2} m' N m'^T \eta - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} m_i N_{ii} \eta + cm^T) f(z) \\
&= e(z N m^T + m' N (m_r e_r)^T \eta + \frac{1}{2} m_r^2 N_{rr} \eta + \frac{1}{2} m' N m'^T \eta - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{r} m_i N_{ii} \eta + cm^T) f(z).
\end{align*}

Since $N$ is symmetric, $e_i N e_j^T = e_j N e_i^T$ for all $i$ and $j$. Therefore

\begin{equation}
m' N (m_r e_r)^T = \frac{1}{2} m' N (m_r e_r)^T + \frac{1}{2} (m_r e_r) N m'^T
\end{equation}

and it follows that

\begin{equation}
\frac{1}{2} m N m^T = m' N (m_r e_r)^T + \frac{1}{2} m_r^2 N_{rr} + \frac{1}{2} m' N m'^T.
\end{equation}
Therefore
\[ f(z + m\eta) = e\left(zN\eta^T + \frac{1}{2}m\eta N^T - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{r} m_i N_i \eta + cm^T\right)f(z). \]
Thus the induction proceeds and the final case \( r = p \) gives (5.2).

Proposition 5.2. Fix a point \((c_1, \ldots, c_g) \in \mathbb{C}^g\). Let \( z_0 = z_{g+1} = 0. \) If \( f : \mathbb{C}^g \to \mathbb{C} \) is a holomorphic function such that
\[ f(z_1, \ldots, z_i + \eta, \ldots, z_g) = e(z_{i-1} - n_i z_i + z_{i+1} + c_i)f(z_1, \ldots, z_g) \]
for all \( i = 1, \ldots, g, \) then
\[ f(z_1 + k_1 \eta, \ldots, z_g + k_g \eta) = e\left(-nz_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{g} k_i(c_i + \eta) - \frac{1}{2}(nk - n + k + 1)\eta\right)f(z) \]
and
\[ f(z_1 + l_1 \eta, \ldots, z_g + l_g \eta) = e\left(-nz_g + \sum_{i=1}^{g} l_i(c_i + \eta) - \frac{1}{2}(nk' - n + k' + 1)\eta\right)f(z). \]

Proof. Recall that \( k_0 = n, k_1 = k, k_g = 1, \) and \( k_{g+1} = 0. \)
Let \( N = -D(n_1, \ldots, n_g) \) where \( D \) is the tri-diagonal matrix in (2.7). With this choice of \( N, \) the hypothesis that \( f \) satisfies (5.4) can be written as
\[ f(z + e_i, \eta) = e(zNe_i^T + c_i)f(z) \]
which is condition (5.1) appearing in Proposition 5.1. We now apply that result with \( k = (k_1, \ldots, k_g) \)
playing the role that \( m \) played there.

Since
\[ Nk^T = (-n_1k_1 + k_2, k_1 - n_2k_2 + k_3, \ldots, k_{g-2} - n_{g-1}k_{g-1} + k_g, k_{g-1} - n_g k_g) \]
\[ = (-k_0, 0, \ldots, 0) \]
\[ = (-n, 0, \ldots, 0), \]
the formula in (5.2) becomes
\[ f(z + k\eta) = e\left(-nz_1 - \frac{1}{2}k_1n\eta + \sum_{i=1}^{g} k_i(c_i + \frac{1}{2}n_i \eta)\right)f(z) \]
\[ = e\left(-nz_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{g} k_i c_i - \frac{1}{2}nk\eta + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{g} k_i n_i \eta\right)f(z) \]
\[ = e\left(-nz_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{g} k_i (c_i + \eta) - \frac{1}{2}(nk - n + k + 1)\eta\right)f(z) \]
where the last equality follows from the calculation
\[ -\frac{1}{2}nk + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{g} k_i n_i = -\frac{1}{2}nk + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{g} (k_{i-1} + k_{i+1}) \]
\[ = -\frac{1}{2}nk + \frac{1}{2}(k_0 - k_1 - k_g) + \sum_{i=1}^{g} k_i. \]
This completes the proof of the first quasi-periodicity property.

The second follows from the first by replacing \( n/k \) by \( n/k' \), replacing \((k_1, \ldots, k_g)\) by \((l_g, \ldots, l_1)\), and replacing \((z_1, \ldots, z_g)\) by \((z_g, \ldots, z_1)\). \( \square \)
In [Ode02, Appx. B], Odesskii defined two operators, that we denote by $S$ and $T$, on the ring of holomorphic functions on $\mathbb{C}^g$. We also define operators $S'$ and $T'$ that are related to $n/k'$ in the same way as $S$ and $T$ are related to $n/k$.

**Definition 5.3.** Define linear operators $S$, $T$, $S'$, and $T'$, on the space of holomorphic functions on $\mathbb{C}^g$ by

$$(S \cdot f)(z_1, \ldots, z_g) = f(z_1 + \frac{k_1}{n}, \ldots, z_g + \frac{k_n}{n}),$$

$$(T \cdot f)(z_1, \ldots, z_g) = e(z_1 + C)f(z_1 + \frac{k_1}{n} \eta, \ldots, z_g + \frac{k_n}{n} \eta),$$

$$(S' \cdot f)(z_1, \ldots, z_g) = f(z_1 + \frac{l_1}{n}, \ldots, z_g + \frac{l_n}{n}),$$

$$(T' \cdot f)(z_1, \ldots, z_g) = e(z_g + C')f(z_1 + \frac{l_1}{n} \eta, \ldots, z_g + \frac{l_n}{n} \eta),$$

where

$$(5-5) \quad C = -\frac{1}{n} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{g} k_i (c_i + \eta) + \frac{n-1}{2} - k \right) \eta,$$

$$(5-6) \quad C' = -\frac{1}{n} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{g} l_i (c_i + \eta) + \frac{n-1}{2} - k' \right) \eta.$$

**Proposition 5.4.**

1. The four operators satisfy the following relations:

$$ST = e\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)TS, \quad S'T' = e\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)T'S', \quad SS' = S'S, \quad SS' = S'S,$$

$$ST' = e\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)T'S, \quad S'T = e\left(\frac{l}{n}\right)TS', \quad TT' = T'T.$$

2. The operators $S, T, S', T'$ send $\Theta_{n/k}(\Lambda)$ to itself.
3. $S^n = T^n = S'^n = T'^n = 1$ on $\Theta_{n/k}(\Lambda)$.

**Proof.** (1) It is clear that $SS' = S'S$.

To see that $TT' = T'T$ we first compute

$$(TT'f)(z_1, \ldots, z_g)$$

$$= e\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)z_1 + \frac{k_{n+1}}{n}z_g + C) (T'f)\left(z_1 + \frac{k_1}{n} \eta, \ldots, z_g + \frac{k_n}{n} \eta\right)$$

$$= e\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)z_1 + \frac{k_{n+1}}{n}z_g + C) e\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)z_1 + \frac{k_{n+1}}{n}z_g + \frac{k_{n+1}}{n} \eta) + C')f(z_1 + \frac{k_1}{n} \eta, \ldots, z_g + \frac{k_n}{n} \eta)$$

$$= e\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)z_1 + \frac{k_{n+1}}{n}z_g + C) e\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)z_1 + \frac{k_{n+1}}{n}z_g + \frac{k_{n+1}}{n} \eta) + C')f(z_1 + \frac{k_1}{n} \eta, \ldots, z_g + \frac{k_n}{n} \eta).$$

Interchanging the roles of $k_i$ and $l_i$ in the previous calculation gives a similar expression for $T'T$. Comparing the two calculations, we see that

$$TT'f = e\left(-\frac{l_{k+1}}{n} \eta\right)e\left(\frac{k_{n+1}}{n} \eta\right)TT'f$$

$$= e\left(\frac{k_{n+1}l_{k+1}}{n^2} \eta\right)TT'f$$

$$= T'Tf$$

since $k_{g+1} = l_0 = 0$, $k_g = l_1 = 1$, and $k_0 = l_{g+1} = n$. Hence $TT' = T'T$. 

The following calculation shows that $ST' = e\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)T'S$:

$$(ST')(z_1, \ldots, z_g) = (T'f)(z_1 + \frac{k_1}{n}, \ldots, z_g + \frac{k_n}{n})$$

$$= e\left(\frac{L}{n}(z_1 + \frac{k_1}{n}) + \frac{L_{i+1}}{n}(z_g + \frac{k_n}{n}) + C'\right)f(z_1 + \frac{k_1}{n}, \ldots, z_g + \frac{k_n}{n})$$

$$= e\left(\frac{L_{i+1}k_j}{n^2} + \frac{L_{i+1}}{n^2}(z_g + \frac{k_n}{n}) + C'\right)$$

$$= e\left(\frac{L_{i+1}k_j}{n^2} + \frac{L_{i+1}}{n^2}(z_g + \frac{k_n}{n}) + C'\right)(STf)(z_1, \ldots, z_g)$$

$$= e\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)(T'Sf)(z_1, \ldots, z_g).$$

Similar calculations prove the other three equalities in (1).

(2) We only show that for all $f \in \Theta_{n/k}(A)$ the function $Sf$ has the appropriate quasi-periodicity property with respect to $\eta$ in each variable. When $i \neq 1, g,$

$$(Sf)(z_1, \ldots, z_i + \eta, \ldots, z_g)$$

$$= f(z_1 + \frac{k_1}{n}, \ldots, z_i + \frac{k_i}{n} + \eta, \ldots, z_g + \frac{k_n}{n})$$

$$= e(-n_i(z_i + \frac{k_i}{n}) + (z_i + \frac{k_i}{n}) + (z_i + \frac{k_i}{n} + c_i)f(z_1 + \frac{k_1}{n}, \ldots, z_g + \frac{k_n}{n})$$

$$= e(-n_i z_i + z_i + z_i + c_i)(Sf)(z_1, \ldots, z_g).$$

When $i = 1,$

$$(Sf)(z_1 + \eta, z_2, \ldots, z_g)$$

$$= f(z_1 + \frac{k_1}{n} + \eta, \ldots, z_i + \frac{k_i}{n} + \ldots, z_g + \frac{k_n}{n})$$

$$= e(-n_1(z_1 + \frac{k_1}{n}) + (z_1 + \frac{k_1}{n}) + (z_1 + \frac{k_1}{n} + c_1)f(z_1 + \frac{k_1}{n}, \ldots, z_i + \frac{k_i}{n}, \ldots, z_g + \frac{k_n}{n})$$

$$= e(-n_1 z_1 + z_1 + c_1)(Sf)(z_1, \ldots, z_g)$$

$$= e(-n_1 z_1 + z_1 + c_1)(Sf)(z_1, \ldots, z_g)$$

since $\frac{k_n}{n} = 1 \in \mathbb{Z}$. The case $i = p$ is similar.

(3) Since $f$ is periodic with respect to $+1$ in each variable, $S^n = S^{n+1} = 1$. We will now show that $T^n = 1$. First,

$$(T^n f)(z_1, \ldots, z_g)$$

$$= e(k_0 z_1 + k_{g+1}z_g + C')T^{n-1}(z_1 + \frac{k_1}{n}\eta, \ldots, z_g + \frac{k_n}{n}\eta)$$

$$= e(k_0 z_1 + k_{g+1}z_g + C')e(k_0 (z_1 + k_1 \eta) + k_{g+1}(z_g + k_n \eta) + C)$$

$$\times \cdots \times e\left(k_0 \eta + (n-1)\frac{k_0}{n}\eta + \frac{k_{g+1}}{n}(z_g + (n-1)\frac{k_n}{n}\eta) + C\right)f(z_1 + k_1 \eta, \ldots, z_g + k_g \eta)$$

$$= e(k_0 z_1 + k_{g+1}z_g + \frac{k_0 k_1 (n-1)k_2}{2} \eta + \frac{k_{g+1} k_n (n-1)k_0}{2} \eta + nC)f(z_1 + k_1 \eta, \ldots, z_g + k_g \eta).$$
By applying Proposition 5.2, this is equal to
\[ e(k_0 z_1 + k_{g+1} z_g + \frac{(n-1)(k_0 k_1 + k_g k_{g+1})}{2n} \eta + nC) \]
\[ \times e\left( -n z_1 + \sum_{i=1}^g k_i (c_i + \eta) + \frac{-nk+n-k-1}{2} \eta \right) f(z_1, \ldots, z_g) \]
\[ = e\left( nC + \sum_{i=1}^g k_i (c_i + \eta) + \frac{n-1}{2} - k \right) \eta \right) f(z_1, \ldots, z_g) \]
\[ = f(z_1, \ldots, z_g). \]

A similar argument shows that \( T^m = 1. \)

5.1.1. A basis for \( \Theta_{n/k}(\Lambda) \). We now exhibit a basis for \( \Theta_{n/k}(\Lambda) \) consisting of \( S \)-eigenvectors.

The actions of \( S \) and \( T \) on \( \Theta_{n/k}(\Lambda) \) make it a representation of the Heisenberg group \( H_n \) of order \( n^3 \). The generator
\[ [S, T] = STS^{-1}T^{-1} \]
of the center of \( H_n \) acts as the primitive root of unity \( e\left( \frac{k\eta}{n} \right) \), so it follows from the classification of irreducible \( H_n \)-representations (e.g. [Sch04, \S3.1]) that \( \Theta_{n/k}(\Lambda) \) is the unique irreducible \( H_n \)-representation with this property. Furthermore, it has a basis \( \{ w_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_n \} \), unique up to multiplication by a common non-zero scalar, such that
\[ Sw_\alpha = e\left( \frac{k\eta}{n} \right) w_\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad Tw_\alpha = w_{\alpha + k} \]

Proposition 5.5. There exist \( c_{\frac{1}{n}}, c_{\frac{k}{n}, \eta} \in \mathbb{C}^\times \) such that for all \( i \in \mathbb{Z}_n \),
\[ S^i w_\alpha = c_{\frac{i}{n}} e\left( \frac{\alpha}{n} \right) w_\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad T^i w_\alpha = c_{\frac{i}{n}, \eta} w_{\alpha + k} \]
where \( k' \) denotes the inverse of \( k \) in \( \mathbb{Z}_n \).

Proof. Since \( SS'w_0 = S'Sw_0 = S'w_0 \), \( S'w_0 = c_{\frac{1}{n}} w_0 \) for some \( c_{\frac{1}{n}} \in \mathbb{C}^\times \). For each \( i \),
\[ S^i w_\alpha = S^i T^\alpha w_0 = e\left( \frac{\alpha}{n} \right) T^\alpha S w_0 = e\left( \frac{\alpha}{n} \right) T^\alpha \left( c_{\frac{1}{n}} w_0 \right) = c_{\frac{i}{n}} e\left( \frac{\alpha}{n} \right) w_\alpha. \]

Therefore \( ST'w_0 = e\left( \frac{1}{n} \right) T'Sw_0 = e\left( \frac{ki}{n} \right) T'w_0 \) implies \( T'w_0 = c_{\frac{i}{n}, \eta} w_{k'} \) for some \( c_{\frac{i}{n}, \eta} \in \mathbb{C}^\times \). For each \( \alpha \),
\[ T^i w_\alpha = T^i T^\alpha w_0 = T^\alpha T^i w_0 = T^\alpha \left( c_{\frac{i}{n}, \eta} w_{k'} \right) = c_{\frac{i}{n}, \eta} w_{\alpha + k'}. \]
The proof is complete.

5.2. The identification \( \Theta_{n/k}(\Lambda) = H^0(E^g, \mathcal{L}_{n/k}) \). In this subsection \( \Theta_{n/k}(\Lambda) \) denotes the space of theta functions defined in \S2.7 with respect to the choice
\[ (5-7) \quad c = (c_1, \ldots, c_g) = \frac{1}{2} (n_1, \ldots, n_g) + (m_1, \ldots, m_g) \eta \]
for some \( m_1, \ldots, m_g \in \mathbb{Z}. \)

For a complex algebraic variety \( X = (X, \mathcal{O}) \), there is a corresponding analytic space \( X^{an} = (X^{an}, \mathcal{O}^{an}) \) called the analytification of \( X \), and a canonical morphism \( \lambda : X^{an} \to X \) of ringed spaces. There is an exact functor \( (-)^{an} \) from the category of (algebraic) coherent \( \mathcal{O} \)-modules to the category of coherent analytic \( \mathcal{O}^{an} \)-modules and Serre’s GAGA theorem says this is an equivalence when \( X \) is a complex projective algebraic variety.

We now apply this to \( X = E^g \). Its analytification \( X^{an} \) is simply \( E^g \) regarded as a complex manifold in the usual way. Define \( \mathcal{L} \) to be the invertible analytic \( \mathcal{O}^{an} \)-module whose sections on an analytic open subset \( P \subseteq X^{an} \) are the holomorphic functions on \( \pi^{-1}(P) \subseteq C^g \) satisfying the quasi-periodicity properties (2.17). Thus \( H^0(E^g, \mathcal{L}) = \Theta_{n/k}(\Lambda) \). By GAGA, there is a unique algebraic invertible \( \mathcal{O} \)-module \( \mathcal{L}' \), up to isomorphism, such that \( (\mathcal{L}')^{an} \cong \mathcal{L} \). For algebraic open subsets \( U \subseteq X \), the canonical

\[5\text{The definition of } \Theta_{n/k}(\Lambda) \text{ in } [Ode02, \text{p. 1152}] \text{ is the one for } m_i := \delta_{1,i} - 1. \]
maps \( \Gamma(U, \mathcal{L}') \to \Gamma(U, \mathcal{L}) \) are injective, and the images form an algebraic coherent sheaf \( \mathcal{L}^{\text{alg}} \) that is isomorphic to \( \mathcal{L}' \) and does not depend on the choice of \( \mathcal{L}' \). Moreover, we obtain a canonical isomorphism \( H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^{\text{alg}}) \to H^0(X^{\text{an}}, \mathcal{L}) = \Theta_{n/k}(\Lambda) \). The next result relates \( H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^{\text{alg}}) \) to the divisor \( D_{n/k} \).

**Lemma 5.6.** Let \( h : \mathbb{C}^g \to \mathbb{C} \) be the meromorphic function
\[
h(z_1, \ldots, z_g) := \prod_{i=1}^g e(m_i z_i) \theta(z_i) e(z_i + 1) \prod_{i=1}^{g-1} \frac{e(z_{i+1}) \theta(z_i - z_{i+1})}{\theta(z_i) \theta(z_{i+1})}.
\]

(1) The function \( h \) belongs to \( H^0(E^g, \mathcal{L}) = \Theta_{n/k}(\Lambda) \).

(2) If we identify \( h \) with the corresponding element of \( H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^{\text{alg}}) \) via the canonical isomorphism, then \( (h)_0 = D_{n/k} \), and thus there is a unique isomorphism \( \mathcal{O}_{E^g}(D_{n/k}) \to \mathcal{L}^{\text{alg}} \) that maps 1 to \( h \).

**Proof.** (1) follows from the quasi-periodicity properties of \( \theta(z) \) (see §2.6).

(2) Since the only zeroes of \( \theta(z) \) are at the points of \( \Lambda \) and they all have order one, the divisor of zeros \( (h)_0 \) is \( D_{n/k} \). The argument used in the proof of Lemma 4.7 completes the proof. \( \square \)

Another argument in the proof of Lemma 4.7 shows that every isomorphism \( \mathcal{O}_{E^g}(D_{n/k}) \to \mathcal{L}^{\text{alg}} \) is a non-zero scalar multiple of the one in Lemma 5.6(2). Thus we have a vector space isomorphism
\[
H^0(E^g, \mathcal{L}_{n/k}) = H^0(E^g, \mathcal{O}_{E^g}(D_{n/k})) \cong H^0(E^g, \mathcal{L}^{\text{alg}}) \cong H^0(E^g, \mathcal{L}) = \Theta_{n/k}(\Lambda)
\]
that is canonical up to non-zero scalar multiple. Hence there is a canonical isomorphism (no scalar multiples required) \( \mathbb{P}(H^0(E^g, \mathcal{L}_{n/k}))^* \cong \mathbb{P}(\Theta_{n/k}(\Lambda))^* \) which we will treat as an identification
\[
\mathbb{P}(H^0(E^g, \mathcal{L}_{n/k}))^* = \mathbb{P}(\Theta_{n/k}(\Lambda))^*.
\]

**Remark 5.7.** In order to obtain a global section of \( \mathcal{L}^{\text{alg}} \) (or equivalently \( \mathcal{L} \)) whose divisor of zeros is \( D_{n/k} \), the constant \( c \) has to be of the form \((5-7)\). To see this, take \( h \) as in Lemma 5.6 for \( c \) defined by some integers \( m_1, \ldots, m_g \), and let \( h' \) be a global section for an arbitrary \( c' = (c'_1, \ldots, c'_g) \) whose divisor of zeros is \( D_{n/k} \). Since \( (h)_0 = (h')_0 \), the function \( f := h'/h \) is holomorphic on \( \mathbb{C}^g \) and satisfies
\[
\begin{cases}
f(z_1, \ldots, z_i + 1, \ldots, z_g) = f(z_1, \ldots, z_g), \\
f(z_1, \ldots, z_i + \eta, \ldots, z_g) = e(c'_i - c_i) f(z_1, \ldots, z_g)
\end{cases}
\]
Thus the argument in the proof of Proposition 2.13 shows that \( c'_i - c_i \) has to be an integer for all \( i \). Therefore \( c' \) is also of the form \((5-7)\).

### 5.3. Remarks on the degree-one component of \( Q_{n,k}(E, \tau) \).

Although \( Q_{n,k}(E, \tau) \) is defined as a quotient of the tensor algebra on an anonymous \( n \)-dimensional vector space, \( V \), it is useful to give \( V \) various concrete interpretations:

(1) as the space \( \Theta_n(\Lambda) \) of theta functions in one variable;

(2) as the space \( \Theta_{n/k}(\Lambda) \) of theta functions in \( g \) variables;

(3) as the space \( H^0(E^g, \mathcal{L}_{n/k}) \).

We now make some remarks on how these interpretations of \( V \) are used.

(1) The quadratic relations for \( Q_{n,k}(E, \tau) \) are expressed in terms an anonymous basis \( \{x_0, \ldots, x_{n-1}\} \) for \( V \). When we take \( V \) to be \( \Theta_n(\Lambda) \) we make the identification \( x_\alpha = \theta_\alpha(z) \) where the \( \theta_\alpha \)'s are the functions in \((2-16)\). We used this identification in [CKS18, §3.4]: there is an action (related to the translation action of \( \frac{1}{n} \Lambda \) on \( \mathbb{C} \)) of the Heisenberg group \( H_n \) as automorphisms of the field of meromorphic functions \( \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C} \) and \( \Theta_n(\Lambda) \) becomes an irreducible representation of \( H_n \) with respect to that action [CKS18, §2.3]; one transfers this action of \( H_n \) to \( V \) by making the identification \( x_\alpha = \theta_\alpha(z) \); the space of quadratic relations for \( Q_{n,k}(E, \tau) \) is then seen to be stable under the action of \( H_n \), whence \( H_n \) acts as algebra automorphisms of \( Q_{n,k}(E, \tau) \). The parameter \( \tau \) plays no role in this action of \( H_n \) on \( \Theta_n(\Lambda) \) or \( V \); neither does the integer \( k \).

(2) In §5.1 we showed that \( \Theta_{n/k}(\Lambda) \) is stable under an action of \( H_n \) on the space of meromorphic functions \( \mathbb{C}^g \to \mathbb{C}^g \). (That action was defined in terms of the point \( k = (k_1, \ldots, k_g) \in \mathbb{Z}^g \) and the
translation action of $\mathbf{n}^g$ on $\mathbb{C}^g$. The $\theta_\alpha$'s in $\Theta_n(\Lambda)$ transformed in a particularly nice way with respect to the standard generators for $H_n$. A basis $w_0(z), \ldots, w_{n-1}(z)$ for $\Theta_{n/k}(\Lambda)$ having similar transformation properties was defined in $\S 5.1.1$. We identify $V$ with $\Theta_{n/k}(\Lambda)$ by setting $x_\alpha = w_\alpha(z)$. The parameter $\tau$ plays no role in this.

(3) Lemma 5.6 provides a canonical identification of $\Theta_{n/k}(\Lambda)$ with $H^0(E^g, L_{n/k})$ (up to a non-zero scalar multiple). Under this identification the $w_\alpha$'s become a basis for $H^0(E, L_{n/k})$ so the map $\Phi_{n/k} : E^g \to \mathbb{P}(H^0(E^g, L_{n/k}))^*$ can be written as

$$\Phi_{n/k}(z) := (w_0(z), \ldots, w_{n-1}(z)), \quad z \in E^g.$$ (5-8)

(4) Combining the identifications in remarks (2) and (3) leads to an identification $V = H^0(E^g, L_{n/k})$. Since $X_{n/k}$ is a subvariety of $\mathbb{P}(H^0(E^g, L_{n/k}))^*$. We obtain $X_{n/k} \subseteq \mathbb{P}(V^*)$. In this way, $V$ is realized as linear forms on $X_{n/k}$. Thus, if $x$ is a non-zero homogeneous element of degree one in $Q_{n/k}(E, \tau)$ we can speak of its divisor of zeroes on $X_{n/k}$. In a similar way, $V \otimes V$ consists of bilinear forms on $\mathbb{P}(V^*) \times \mathbb{P}(V^*)$ so we can speak of the vanishing locus of an element in $V \otimes V$ on $X_{n/k} \times X_{n/k}$. Corollary 5.13 uses the explicit description of $\Phi_{n/k}$ in (5-8) and Odesskii's identity in Theorem 5.12 to show that the quadratic relations for $Q_{n/k}(E, \tau)$ vanish on the graph of $\sigma : X_{n/k} \to X_{n/k}$. (5) Make the identifications $V = \Theta_n(\Lambda)$ and $x_\alpha = \theta_\alpha$ as in remark (1). There is an embedding $E \to \mathbb{P}(\Theta_n(\Lambda)^*)$ given by

$$z \mapsto (\theta_0(z), \ldots, \theta_{n-1}(z)).$$ (5-9)

5.4. Properties of $\Phi_{n/k}$ via theta functions. Associated to the $\Sigma_{n/k}$-equivariant structure on $L_{n/k}$ is an action of $\Sigma_{n/k}$ on $H^0(E^g, L_{n/k})$ and hence, after the identification $\Theta_{n/k}(\Lambda) = H^0(E^g, L_{n/k})$, an action of $\Sigma_{n/k}$ on $\Theta_{n/k}(\Lambda)$. In this section we provide an affirmative answer to the question: can the action of each “simple reflection” $s_i \in \Sigma_{n/k}$ on $\Theta_{n/k}(\Lambda)$ be obtained directly in terms of an action of $s_i$ on the space of meromorphic functions $\mathbb{C}^g \to \mathbb{C}^g$? Precisely, we show that the action on $\Theta_{n/k}(\Lambda)$ of each $s_i$ for which $n_i = 2$ is induced by an affine map $s_i : \mathbb{C}^g \to \mathbb{C}^g$ having order two.

This allows us to give an alternative proof of the result that $\Phi_{n/k}$ factors through $E^g/\Sigma_{n/k}$.

Let $D(n_1, \ldots, n_g)$ be the matrix in (2-7). For the rest of this section, let $N = -D(n_1, \ldots, n_g)$. With this definition of $N$, the vector $d$ in Proposition 5.1 becomes $d = -\frac{1}{2}(n_1, \ldots, n_g)$. Let $E$ denote the $g \times g$ identity matrix.

Lemma 5.8. Let $A$ be an invertible $g \times g$ matrix and define $s : \mathbb{C}^g \to \mathbb{C}^g$ by

$$s(z) = zA + u\eta$$

where

$$u = d(E - (A^T)^{-1})N^{-1}.$$ 

If $AN^T = N$ and all entries of $N$ and $u$ are integers, then $s$ acts on $\Theta_{n/k}(\Lambda)$ by $f \mapsto f \circ s$; i.e., if $f \in \Theta_{n/k}(\Lambda)$ so is $f \circ s$.

Proof. Let $f \in \Theta_{n/k}(\Lambda)$. Since $N$ and $u$ have integer entries, $f \circ s$ is periodic with period 1 in all variables. We have to show that $f \circ s$ is quasi-periodic with respect to $\eta$; i.e., that

$$f(z + e_i\eta) = e(zNe_i^T) \cdot (f \circ s)(z)$$ (5-10)

for all $i = 1, \ldots, g$. By Proposition 5.1, the left-hand side of (5-10) is

$$f(zA + u\eta + e_i\eta) = e((zA + u\eta)N(e, A)^T + \frac{1}{z}(e_i\eta)(e, A)^T\eta - d(e, A)^T\eta) \cdot f(zA + u\eta)$$

$$= e(zANA^T e_i^T + uNA^T e_i^T\tau + \frac{1}{z}e_iANA^T e_i^T\eta - dA^T e_i^T\eta) \cdot f(zA + u\eta)$$

$$= e(zNe_i^T + (uNA^T e_i^T + \frac{1}{z}e_iNe_i^T - dA^T e_i^T\eta) \cdot f(s(z))$$

This is equal to the right-hand side of (5-10) since $e_iNe_i^T = -n_i = 2de_i^T$. Therefore $f \circ s \in \Theta_{n/k}(\Lambda)$. □
Lemma 5.9. Keep the notation and assumptions in Lemma 5.8. Assume further that all entries of \( \frac{1}{n}k(A - E) \) are integers. Then the action of \( s \) on \( \Theta_{n/k}(\Lambda) \) commutes with the actions of \( S \) and \( T \). Consequently, \( s \) acts on \( \Theta_{n/k}(\Lambda) \) as multiplication by a non-zero scalar.

Proof. Let \( f \in \Theta_{n/k}(\Lambda) \). The functions
\[
((Sf \circ s))(z) = (Sf)(zA + u\eta) = f(zA + u\eta + \frac{1}{n}k)
\]
and
\[
(S(f \circ s))(z) = (f \circ s)(z + \frac{1}{n}k) = f((z + \frac{1}{n}k)A + u\eta) = f(zA + u\eta + \frac{1}{n}k + \frac{1}{n}k(A - E))
\]
are equal since \( \frac{1}{n}k(A - E) \in \mathbb{Z}^g \) and \( f \) is periodic with period 1 in each variable. Hence the action of \( s \) commutes with \( S \).

Now we show that \( s \) commutes with \( T \). Let \( C \) denote the scalar defined in (5-5). We have
\[
((Tf) \circ s)(z) = (Tf)(zA + u\eta) = e((zA + u\eta)e_1^T + C)f(zA + u\eta + \frac{1}{n}k\eta)
\]
and
\[
(T(f \circ s))(z) = e(ze_1^T + C)(f \circ s)(z + \frac{1}{n}k\eta) = e(ze_1^T + C)f((z + \frac{1}{n}k\eta)A + u\eta).
\]
By Proposition 5.1,
\[
f((z + \frac{1}{n}k\eta)A + u\eta) = f(zA + u\eta + \frac{1}{n}k\eta + \frac{1}{n}k(A - E)\eta)
\]
\[
= e((zA + u\eta + \frac{1}{n}k\eta)N(\frac{1}{n}k(A - E)))T + z\frac{1}{n}k(A - E))N(\frac{1}{n}k(A - E))T\eta - d(\frac{1}{n}k(A - E))T\eta)
\]
\[
\cdot f(zA + u\eta + \frac{1}{n}k\eta).
\]
Note that \( ANA^T = N \) and \( NK^T = -ne_1^T \) as we discussed in §2.4. The exponent of the previous equation is the sum of
\[
(zA + u\eta + \frac{1}{n}k\eta)N(\frac{1}{n}k(A - E))^T
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{n}zAN(A^T - E)k^T + (\frac{1}{n}uAN^Tk^T - \frac{1}{n}uNK^T + \frac{1}{n}kNA^Tk^T - \frac{1}{n^2}kNK^T)\eta
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{n}z(E - A)NK^T + (\frac{1}{n}d(A^T - E)k^T + \frac{1}{n}kNA^Tk^T - \frac{1}{n}kNK^T)\eta
\]
\[
= z(A - E)\eta + (\frac{1}{n}d(A^T - E)k^T + \frac{1}{n}kNA^Tk^T - \frac{1}{n^2}kNK^T)\eta,
\]
\[
\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{n}k(A - E))N(\frac{1}{n}k(A - E))^T\eta
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2n^2}(kANA^Tk^T - kAN^Tk^T - kNA^Tk^T + kNK^T)\eta
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2n^2}(kNK^T - kAN^Tk^T + kNA^Tk^T)\eta \quad (\text{since } kAN^T \text{ is a scalar})
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{n^2}(kNK^T - kNA^Tk^T)\eta,
\]
and \(-d(\frac{1}{n}k(A - E))^T\eta\). Therefore
\[
(T(f \circ s))(z) = e(ze_1^T + C)e(z(A - E)e_1^T + u\eta)f(zA + u\eta + \frac{1}{n}k\eta)
\]
\[
= ((Tf) \circ s)(z).
\]
Thus the action of \( s \) also commutes with \( T \).

Since \( w_\alpha \) is an eigenvector for \( S \) with eigenvalue \( e(\frac{k\eta}{n}) \) and \( Tw_\alpha = w_{\alpha + 1} \), the action of \( s \) multiplies each \( w_\alpha \) by a common non-zero scalar. The action \( f \mapsto f \circ s \) of \( s \) on \( \Theta_{n/k}(\Lambda) \) is therefore multiplication by that scalar. \( \square \)

Recall the affine maps \( s_j : \mathbb{C}^g \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^g \),
\[
s_j(z_1, \ldots, z_g) = (z_1, \ldots, z_{j-1}, z_{j-1} - z_j + z_{j+1} + \eta, z_{j+1}, \ldots, z_g),
\]
(where \( z_0 = z_{g+1} = 0 \)) and the induced automorphisms \( s_j \in \text{Aut}(E^g) \) defined in §2.2. We observed there that the \( s_j \)'s generate a copy of the symmetric group \( \Sigma_{g+1} \) acting on \( E^g \).
Proposition 5.10. If $n_j = 2$, then the action of $s_j$ on $\Theta_{n/k}(\Lambda)$ defined by $f \mapsto f \circ s_j$ is multiplication by a non-zero scalar. In particular, for all $z \in E^g$, $\Phi_{n/k}$ sends $z$ and $s_j(z)$ to the same point in $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$.

Proof. Denote the $i$th row of $N$ by $v_i$ and set $A := E + v_j^T e_j$. Then $s_j(z) = zA + e_j \eta$. By virtue of Lemma 5.9, it suffices to show that

1. $e_j = d(E - (A^T)^{-1})N^{-1}$,
2. $\text{AN}A^T = N$, and
3. $\frac{1}{n}k(A - E) \in \mathbb{Z}^g$.

Since $n_j = 2$,

$$e_j NA^T = v_j (E + e_j^T v_j) = v_j + (v_j e_j^T)v_j = v_j - n_j v_j = -v_j.$$ 

We also have

$$d(A^T - E) = de_j^T v_j = -\frac{1}{2} n_j v_j = -v_j.$$

It follows that (1) holds because

$$e_j = -v_j (A^T)^{-1} N^{-1} = d(A^T - E)(A^T)^{-1} N^{-1} = d(E - (A^T)^{-1}) N^{-1}.$$

Since $N$ is symmetric, $N = e_1^T v_1 + \cdots + e_g^T v_g = e_1^T v_1 + \cdots + e_g^T v_g$. Condition (2) holds because

$$\text{AN}A^T = (E + v_j^T e_j) N (E + v_j^T e_j)^T$$

$$= N + v_j^T e_j N + N e_j^T v_j + v_j^T (e_j N) e_j^T v_j$$

$$= N + v_j^T v_j + v_j^T v_j + v_j^T (v_j e_j^T) v_j$$

$$= N + 2v_j^T v_j - n_j v_j.$$ 

Finally, (3) holds because $\frac{1}{n}k(A - E) = \frac{1}{n} kv_j^T e_j = -\delta_{ij} e_j \in \mathbb{Z}^g$. \qed

Since $\Sigma_{n/k}$ is the subgroup of $\text{Aut}(E^g)$ generated by the $s_i$'s for which $n_i = 2$, the next result is an immediate consequence of the previous one.

Corollary 5.11. The morphism $\Phi_{n/k} : E^g \to \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ sends each $\Sigma_{n/k}$-orbit to a single point so factors as $E^g \to E^g / \Sigma_{n/k} \to \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$.

5.5. The vanishing locus of the relations for $Q_{n,k}(E, \tau)$. Adopting the point of view in §5.3(4), we will now show that the common zero locus of the relations for $Q_{n,k}(E, \tau)$ contains the graph of the automorphism $\sigma : X_{n/k} \to X_{n/k}$. To do this we use a variation of an identity that appears in Odesskii’s survey. In the Appendix we give a proof of it that follows Odesskii’s with a little more detail.

In this subsection the points $k = (k_1, \ldots, k_g)$ and $l = (l_1, \ldots, l_g)$ in $C^g$ are as in §2.5, and the functions $\theta(z) \in \Theta_1(\Lambda)$, $\theta_\alpha(z) \in \Theta_n(\Lambda)$, and $w_\alpha(z) \in \Theta_{n/k}(\Lambda)$ are as before.

Theorem 5.12. [Ode02, p. 1153] Let $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_g)$ and $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_g)$ be points in $C^g$. For all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ and all $(u, v) \in C^2$, the following equality holds whenever all denominators are non-zero:

$$\theta(-nu + y_1 - z_1) w_\alpha(y + ku) w_\beta(z + lv)$$

$$= \frac{\theta(z_g - y_g + nu)}{\theta(z_g - y_g)} w_\alpha(z + ku) w_\beta(y + lv)$$

$$+ \frac{\theta(z_g - y_g + nu)}{\theta(z_g - y_g)} w_\alpha(z + ku) w_\beta(y + lv)$$

$$+ \frac{\theta(z_g - y_g + nu)}{\theta(z_g - y_g)} w_\alpha(z + ku) w_\beta(y + lv)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \theta \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}_n} \frac{\theta_\beta - \alpha + r(k-1)}{\theta_\beta - \alpha - r} (-u + v) w_\beta(y) w_\alpha(z + ku + lv).$$
The identity in [Ode02, p. 1153] has three variables \( \eta, u, v \), but it can be obtained from Theorem 5.12 by substituting \( u - v \) for our \( u, \eta \) for our \( v, y_i + k_i v \) for our \( y_i \), and \( z_i + k_i v \) for our \( z_i \).\(^6\)

**Corollary 5.13.** Let \( k, l, n \), and \( \sigma \) be as in §2.5. For all \( \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_n \), all \( y = (y_1, \ldots, y_g) \in \mathbb{C}^g \), and all \( \tau \in \mathbb{C} - \frac{1}{n} \Lambda \),

\[
\sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}_n} \frac{\theta_{\beta - \alpha - r}(k) - (0)}{\theta_{\beta - \alpha - r}(-\tau) \theta_{\alpha}(\tau)} w_{\beta - r}(y) w_{\alpha + r}(\sigma(y)) = 0. \tag{5-11}
\]

The relations for \( Q_{n,k}(E, \tau) \) therefore vanish on the graph of the automorphism \( \sigma : X_{n/k} \to X_{n/k} \).

**Proof.** Since \( \sigma(y) = y + (k + l - n)\tau \), (5-11) follows from the identity in Theorem 5.12 when we set \( u = v = \tau \) and \( z_i = y_i - n\tau \). Since \( X_{n/k} \) is the image of the composition \( \mathbb{C}^g \to E^g \to \mathbb{P}(V^*) \), \( \square \)

**Corollary 5.14.** For all \( \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_n \) and all \( y, z \in \mathbb{C} \),

\[
\frac{\theta(-n\tau + y - z)}{\theta(-n\tau) \theta(y - z)} \left( \theta_{\alpha}(y + \tau) \theta_{\beta}(z + \tau) - \theta_{\alpha}(z + \tau) \theta_{\beta}(y + \tau) \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{n} \theta(\frac{1}{n}) \ldots \theta(\frac{n-1}{n}) \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}_n} \frac{\theta_{\beta - \alpha}(0)}{\theta_{\beta - \alpha - r}(-\tau) \theta_{\alpha}(\tau)} \theta_{\beta - r}(y) \theta_{\alpha + r}(z + 2\tau). \tag{5-13}
\]

**Proof.** Let \( k = 1 \). Then \( g = 1, n_1 = n, k_1 = k = 1, \) and \( l_1 = 1 \). We choose the scalar \( c_1 \) in the definition of \( \Theta_{n/1}(\Lambda) \) so that \( \Theta_{n/1}(\Lambda) = \Theta_n(\Lambda) \); for example, we can take \( c_1 = \frac{1}{2} \). If we set \( y = y_1 \) and \( z = z_1 \), then the identity in Theorem 5.12 becomes the identity in the statement of this corollary. \( \square \)

**Corollary 5.15.** For all \( \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_n \) and all \( y \in \mathbb{C} \),

\[
\sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}_n} \frac{\theta_{\beta - \alpha}(0)}{\theta_{\beta - \alpha - r}(-\tau) \theta_{\alpha}(\tau)} \theta_{\beta - r}(y) \theta_{\alpha + r}(y + (2 - n)\tau) = 0. \tag{5-14}
\]

**Proof.** Since \( \theta(0) = 0 \), the term (5-12) is zero when \( z = y - n\tau \). The result therefore follows by setting \( z = y - n\tau \) in (5-13). \( \square \)

### 5.6. The point modules and point variety for \( Q_{n,k}(E, \tau) \)

The “simplest” representations of an associative algebra are its one dimensional modules. The simplest representations of a connected graded algebra are its point modules (defined in §1.4).

**Proposition 5.16.** Let \( V = \Theta_{n/k}(\Lambda) \) and view \( Q_{n,k}(E, \tau) \) as a quotient of the tensor algebra \( TV \). Let \( z \in X_{n/k} \). Make the vector space \( M(z) = \mathbb{C}v_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}v_1 \oplus \cdots \) a left \( TV \)-module via \( x \cdot v_i := x(\sigma^{-i}(z))v_{i+1} \)

for each \( x \in V \).\(^7\) Then \( M(z) \) is a left \( Q_{n,k}(E, \tau) \)-module and is a point module with respect to the grading \( \deg(v_i) = i \).

**Proof.** If \( y \in \mathbb{C}^p \), then \( f(y) \neq 0 \) for some \( f \in \Theta_{n/k}(\Lambda) \). It follows that \( M(z) \) is generated by \( v_0 \) as a \( TV \)-module. Hence \( M(z) \) is a point module for \( TV \). To prove the result it suffices to show that every quadratic relation for \( Q_{n,k}(E, \tau) \) annihilates all \( v_i \)'s. Since

\[
(x \otimes x') \cdot v_i = x(\sigma^{-i-1}(z))x'(\sigma^{-i}(z))v_{i+2}
\]

it suffices to show that the quadratic relations for \( Q_{n,k}(E, \tau) \) vanish at \( (\sigma^{-i-1}(z), \sigma^{-i}(z)) \) for all \( i \geq 0 \). But Corollary 5.13 shows exactly that. \( \square \)

---

\(^6\)In [Ode02, p. 1153], \( m_\alpha \) was defined to be \( d(n_{\alpha+1}, \ldots, n_g) \eta \), but it should have been \( d(n_{\alpha+1}, \ldots, n_g) \).

\(^7\)Strictly speaking, \( x(\sigma^{-i}(z)) \) makes no sense so one should interpret it as the value of the theta function \( x \) at a preimage of \( \sigma^{-i}(z) \) in \( \mathbb{C}^p \). Thus, if \( z \in \mathbb{C}^g \), then \( M(z) \) is the graded module with basis \( v_0, v_1, \ldots \) and action

\[
x_\alpha \cdot v_i = \theta_{\alpha}(z + (k + l - n)\tau)v_{i+1},
\]

and \( M(z) \cong M(z') \) if and only if \( z \) and \( z' \) have the same image in \( X_{n,k} \).
We are not claiming that these are all the point modules for \( Q_{n,k}(E, \tau) \). Indeed, this is not the case for \( Q_{4,1}(E, \tau) \) (see [SS92]) or \( Q_{8,3}(E, \tau) \). If \( \tau \in E - E[8] \), then
\[
\frac{Q_{8,3}(E, \tau)}{(x_0, x_2, x_4, x_6)} \equiv \frac{\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_3, x_5, x_7]}{(x_1 - x_5, x_3 - x_7)(x_1 + x_5, x_3 + x_7)}
\]
where \( \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_3, x_5, x_7] \) is a polynomial ring. Similarly
\[
\frac{Q_{8,3}(E, \tau)}{(x_1, x_3, x_5, x_7)} \equiv \frac{\mathbb{C}[x_0, x_2, x_4, x_6]}{(x_0 - x_4, x_2 - x_6)(x_0 + x_4, x_2 + x_6)}.
\]
Thus, for \( Q_{8,3}(E, \tau) \) there are point modules parametrized by 4 lines in \( \mathbb{P}(V^*) \) that do not lie on \( X_{8/3} \cong E^2 \).

**Appendix A. A detailed proof of Odesskii’s theta identity**

We will now prove the formulation in Theorem 5.12 of the identity on page 1153 of [Ode02]. We follow Odesskii’s argument but with more detail.

Let \( \varphi(u, v, y, z) = \varphi(u, v, y_1, \ldots, y_g, z_1, \ldots, z_g) \) be the left-hand side of the equation of Theorem 5.12 minus the right-hand side.

The next two lemmas are proved by straightforward computation using Proposition 5.2.

**Lemma A.1.** \( \varphi(u, v, y, z) \) is periodic with respect to \( y_1, \ldots, y_g, z_1, \ldots, z_g \) with period 1. Moreover
\[
\varphi(u, v, y_1, \ldots, y_g, z_1, \ldots, z_g) = e((1 - \delta_{s,1})y_{s-1} - n_sy_s + (1 - \delta_{s,g})y_{s+1} + c_s)\varphi(u, v, y_1, \ldots, y_g, z_1, \ldots, z_g)
\]
\[
\varphi(u, v, y_1, \ldots, y_g, z_1, \ldots, z_g) = e((1 - \delta_{s,1})z_{s-1} - n_sz_s + (1 - \delta_{s,g})z_{s+1} - \delta_{s,1}nu - \delta_{s,g}nv + c_s)\varphi(u, v, y_1, \ldots, y_g, z_1, \ldots, z_g).
\]

**Lemma A.2.** \( \varphi(u, v, y, z) \) is periodic with respect to \( u, v \) with period 1. Moreover
\[
\varphi(u + \eta, v, y_1, \ldots, y_g, z_1, \ldots, z_g)
\]
\[
= e(-n(z_1 + ku) + \sum_{i=1}^{g} k_i(c_i + \eta) - \frac{1}{2}(nk - n + k + 1)\eta)\varphi(u, v, y_1, \ldots, y_g, z_1, \ldots, z_g),
\]
\[
\varphi(u, v + \eta, y_1, \ldots, y_g, z_1, \ldots, z_g)
\]
\[
= e(-n(z_g + k'u) + \sum_{i=1}^{g} l_i(c_i + \eta) - \frac{1}{2}(nk' - n + k' + 1)\eta)\varphi(u, v, y_1, \ldots, y_g, z_1, \ldots, z_g).
\]

**Lemma A.3.** \( \varphi(u, v, y, z) \) is uniquely extended to a holomorphic function on \( \mathbb{C}^{g+2} \).

**Proof.** First we will show that \( \varphi \) can be extended to
\[
D := \{(u, v, x_1, \ldots, x_g, y_1, \ldots, y_g) \in \mathbb{C}^{2g+2} | v \notin \frac{1}{n}\Lambda, y_s - z_s \notin \Lambda \text{ for all } s \}.
\]
Since \( Sw_i = e(\frac{k_i}{n})w_i \) and \( Tw_i = w_{i+1} \), it suffices to show that \( \varphi \) can be extended to each point of \( D \) with \( u = 0 \). Denote the \((g + 1)\) terms of the left-hand side of the equation of Theorem 5.12 by \( f_0, \ldots, f_g \) and each summand of the right-hand side by \( g_r \ (r \in \mathbb{Z}_n) \) including the multiplier \( \frac{1}{n}\theta(\frac{1}{n}) \cdots \theta(\frac{n-1}{n}) \). Since \( f_i (i \neq 0) \) and \( g_r \ (r \neq \beta - \alpha) \) are holomorphic even at \( u = 0 \), we only have to see that \( f_0 - g_{\beta - \alpha} \) can be
extended to $u = 0$ holomorphically. Using the identity (27) in [Ode02, p. 1150], we obtain

\[
(f_0 - g_{\beta - \alpha})(u, v, x, y) = \frac{\theta\left(-nu + y_1 - z_1 \right)}{\theta\left(-nu\right)} \theta\left(y_1 - z_1 \right) w_\alpha(y) w_\beta(z + lv) \\
\quad - \frac{1}{n} \theta\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \cdots \theta\left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right) \frac{\theta_{(\beta - \alpha)k}(u)}{\theta_{(u)v}(\beta - \alpha)k(v)} w_\alpha(y) w_\beta(z + ku + lv) \\
= \frac{\theta\left(-nu + y_1 - z_1 \right)}{\theta\left(-nu\right)} \theta\left(y_1 - z_1 \right) w_\alpha(y) w_\beta(z + lv) \\
\quad - \frac{e^{(n(n-1)/2)u} \theta_0(u) \cdots \theta_{n-1}(u)}{\theta(1) \cdots \theta_{n-1}(1)} \frac{\theta_{(\beta - \alpha)k}(u)}{\theta_{(u)v}(\beta - \alpha)k(v)} w_\alpha(y) w_\beta(z + ku + lv)
\]

Applying Proposition 2.11(5) to $\theta(-nu)$ and $\theta_0(-u)$,

\[
(f_0 - g_{\beta - \alpha})(u, v, x, y) = \frac{\theta\left(-nu + y_1 - z_1 \right)}{\theta\left(-nu\right)} \theta\left(y_1 - z_1 \right) w_\alpha(y) w_\beta(z + lv) \\
\quad - e^{(n(n-1)/2)u} \theta_0(u) \cdots \theta_{n-1}(u) \frac{\theta_{(\beta - \alpha)k}(u)}{\theta_{(u)v}(\beta - \alpha)k(v)} w_\alpha(y) w_\beta(z + ku + lv) \\
= \frac{1}{\theta(y_1 - z_1)} \left( \frac{\theta\left(-nu + y_1 - z_1 \right)}{\theta(y_1 - z_1)} w_\alpha(y) w_\beta(z + lv) \\
\quad - \frac{e^{(n(n-1)/2)u} \theta_0(u) \cdots \theta_{n-1}(u)}{\theta(1) \cdots \theta_{n-1}(1)} \frac{\theta_{(\beta - \alpha)k}(u)}{\theta_{(u)v}(\beta - \alpha)k(v)} w_\alpha(y) w_\beta(z + ku + lv) \right).
\]

Thus $(f_0 - g_{\beta - \alpha})(u, v, x, y)$ is a product of a meromorphic function of $u$ that has a pole of order one at $u = 0$ and a holomorphic function of $u$ that has zero at $u = 0$. So it is holomorphic at $u = 0$.

Similarly, we can show that $\varphi(u, v, y, z)$ can be extended holomorphically to

\[
\{(u, v, x_1, \ldots, x_g, y_1, \ldots, y_g) \in \mathbb{C}^{2g+2} \mid u \notin \frac{1}{n} \Lambda, y_i - z_i \notin \Lambda \text{ for all } i\}
\]

and

\[
\{(u, v, x_1, \ldots, x_g, y_1, \ldots, y_g) \in \mathbb{C}^{2g+2} \mid u, v \notin \frac{1}{n} \Lambda, y_i - z_i \notin \Lambda \text{ for all } i \neq s\}
\]

for each $1 \leq s \leq g$.

To summarize, $\varphi(u, v, y, z)$ can be extended to a holomorphic function on $\mathbb{C}^{g+2} - Z$, where $Z$ is the set consisting of all $(u, v, y, z) \in \mathbb{C}^{2g+2}$ satisfying at least two of the $g + 2$ conditions: $u \notin \frac{1}{n} \Lambda$, $v \notin \frac{1}{n} \Lambda$, $y_1 - z_1 \in \Lambda$, $\ldots$, $y_g - z_g \in \Lambda$. Since $Z$ is an analytic subset of codimension two, $f$ can further be extended holomorphically to $\mathbb{C}^{g+2}$ by the Second Riemann Theorem ([GR84, p. 132]).

\[\Box\]

**Lemma A.4.** There is a unique family of holomorphic functions $\psi_{\lambda, \nu} : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$ ($\lambda, \nu \in \mathbb{Z}_m$) such that

\[
\varphi(u, v, y, z) = \sum_{\lambda, \nu \in \mathbb{Z}_m} \psi_{\lambda, \nu}(u, v) w_\lambda(y) w_\nu(z + ku + lv).
\]

**Proof.** Lemma A.1 shows that $\varphi(u, v, y, z)$ regarded as a function of $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_g)$ belongs to $\Theta_{n/k}(\Lambda)$ (with the same constant $c$). Thus there are unique $\rho_{\lambda}(u, v, z) \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

\[
(A-1) \quad \varphi(u, v, y, z) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_m} \rho_{\lambda}(u, v, z) w_\lambda(y).
\]

Note that $\rho_{\lambda}(u, v, z)$ are holomorphic functions on $u$, $v$, and $z$: we know that the functions $w_\lambda$ are linearly independent, so

\[
\text{span}\{(w_\lambda(y))_\lambda \mid y \in \mathbb{C}^g\} = \mathbb{C}^n.
\]

We can thus find, for any fixed $\lambda_0$, arguments $y_i$ and scalars $t_i$ such that $\sum_i t_i w_\lambda(y_i)$ is $\delta_{\lambda_0, \lambda}$ (Kronecker delta). This then implies that

\[
\rho_{\lambda_0}(u, v, z) = \sum_i t_i \varphi(u, v, y_i, z).
\]
is holomorphic, as claimed.

The quasi-periodicity with respect to \( z \) in Lemma A.1 implies that each \( \rho_\lambda(u, v, z) \) has the same periodicity. It is easy to see that \( \rho_\lambda(u, v, z-ku-lv) \) belongs to \( \Theta_{n/k}(\Lambda) \) with the same constant \( c \). Thus a similar argument shows that there are unique holomorphic functions \( \psi_{\lambda, \nu}(u, v) \) such that

\[
\rho_\lambda(u, v, z) = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_n} \psi_{\lambda, \nu}(u, v)w_\nu(z),
\]

that is,

\[
(A-2) \quad \rho_\lambda(u, v, z) = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_n} \psi_{\lambda, \nu}(u, v)w_\nu(z + ku + lv).
\]

Combining (A-1) and (A-2), we obtain the desired formula. \( \square \)

Lemma A.2 implies that \( \psi_{\lambda, \nu} \) is periodic in each variable with period 1 and, moreover,

\[
\psi_{\lambda, \nu}(u + \eta, v) = e(nv)\psi_{\lambda, \nu}(u, v) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_{\lambda, \nu}(u, v + \eta) = e(nu)\psi_{\lambda, \nu}(u, v).
\]

Fix \( v \). Since \( e(nv) \) does not depend on \( u \), there exist \( a \in \mathbb{C} \) and \( m \in \mathbb{Z} \) such that \( \psi_{\lambda, \nu}(u, v) = ae(mu) \). If \( a \neq 0 \), then \( e(m\eta) = e(nv) \) and this implies \( v \in \frac{1}{n}\Lambda \). Therefore for all \( v \in \mathbb{C} - \frac{1}{n}\Lambda \) and \( u \in \mathbb{C} \), \( \psi_{\lambda, \nu}(u, v) = 0 \). By continuity, \( \psi_{\lambda, \nu}(u, v) = 0 \) for all \( u, v \in \mathbb{C} \). This completes the proof of Theorem 5.12.
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