Preservation of dynamics in coupled cavity system using second order non-linearity
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Abstract. We introduce a harmonic time-dependent second-order non-linear process in one of the cavities in a coupled-cavity array with qubits and observe its effect in generation and preservation of quantum states. Von Neumann entropy and mutual information of the subsystems are used to interpret entanglement and synchronisation between the subsystems. Using a time dependent second order process we could preserve the dynamics of the system. By treating mutual information as an order parameter for synchronisation, we observed that a similar evolution of population should not be interpreted as synchronisation or entanglement. We extended our studies to off resonant regions and found that detuning reduces the effects produced by the time-dependent coupling.
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1. Introduction

A two-level quantum system (qubit [1]) is the simplest model of matter one can think. Jaynes-Cummings model describes the interaction of quantized electromagnetic radiation with a single two-level system (TLS) in the rotating wave approximation [2]. Work by R.H. Dicke [3] has also made significant impact on the extension of Jaynes-Cummings model to multilevel system. Over the last five decades of research, Jaynes Cummings model has undergone various modifications and has covered different aspects of light-matter physics [4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9].

Quantum computation [10] and information theory [11] has resulted in an exponential demand on the need for quantum systems that can showcase well-defined quantum signatures. Effective protocols and designs for the same have been reported in many works [12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20]. The difficulties in preserving quantum states, such as the entangled state, has made the topic an active area of research since 1960s. Entanglement generation and preservation being top in the checklist, have been addressed in different cavity architectures [21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35]. These "spooky" states [36, 37] in quantum mechanics have accelerated the development of quantum information and communication [38, 39, 40, 41].

Various measures have been proposed to quantify the entanglement between the systems, such as the entropies, concurrence etc. [42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48]. On the other hand, features such as the synchronization between the coupled oscillators [49, 50] and mutual information [51, 52, 53] are also being used to estimate correlations between the systems. The motivation to inspect the possibility of defining an entanglement or correlation measure between subsystems can be seen in many works [54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59]. In information theory, mutual information is treated as a measure of entanglement [60]. If there is no mutual information between the systems, knowledge about one does not reveal any information about the other. As the number of subsystems increases, quantifying the distribution of entanglement between a given pair needs a mutual information measure. Since this cannot reveal the entire information about the entanglement, one could consider von Neumann entropy to account entanglement. Decoherence of the system makes the quantum state mixed, making it rather difficult to quantify quantum correlations and classical correlations.

Quantum descriptions of non-linear processes has improved the realization of versatile quantum systems. The theoretical modelling and experimental realization of such systems has been reported [61]. One such candidate for realizing non-linear phenomena are the optomechanical systems. These systems are well studied [62, 63], leading to the advancement in experimental quantum optics.

Second order non-linear interactions are of extreme importance in quantum experiments [64, 65] and processes such as optical squeezing [66]. Single photon non-linear process has been experimentally reported using graphene nanostructures [67], which could potentially overcome the decoherence caused by a classical light source. Here we study the effects of a degenerate second order non-linear process (degenerate spontaneous parametric down-conversion) [68, 69] on the dynamics of quantum states and its role in preserving the dynamics of qubits (TLS) in coupled oscillators. Further, the influence of a time-dependent non-linear coupling is investigated and the emergence of preservation of population of qubit with a tunable SPDC coupling is shown. The studies were extended for TLS - cavity detuning. For simplicity, the system is assumed to be decoherence free.

2. Two coupled cavities with two-level system

Initially we consider two coupled optical cavity systems with a cavity mode frequency \( \omega_c \) and a two-level quantum system (qubit/TLS) in both of them. By taking \( \hbar = 1 \) the system can be described by the free Hamiltonian and the interaction Hamiltonian respectively as [70],

\[
\hat{H}_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \omega_{c} \sigma_z^{(i)} + \omega_i a_{i}^\dagger a_{i} \right),
\]

and

\[
\hat{H}_I = J \left( a_{1}^\dagger a_{2} + a_{1} a_{2}^\dagger \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{2} \lambda_i \left( a_{i}^\dagger \sigma_{z}^{(i)} + a_{i} \sigma_{z}^{(i)} \right),
\]

Here, \( \lambda_i \) corresponds to the coupling between the cavity mode and the qubit in the \( i \)th cavity, \( J \) is the
cavity-cavity hopping factor (tunnelling factor). The operators $a_i$ ($a_i^\dagger$) is the annihilation (creation) operator of the $i$th cavity mode, $\sigma_i^+ (\sigma_i^-)$ is the population inversion operator, $\sigma_i^z$ is the raising (lowering) operator for the TLS in the $i$th cavity ($i=1, 2$ for first and second cavity respectively). The dynamics of these coupled cavities have been investigated extensively in many literature. A general state of the form $|\psi\rangle = |\text{qubit}_1, \text{field}_1, \text{qubit}_2, \text{field}_2\rangle$, with a maximum of one excitation can be written in Fock basis as,

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = q_1 |0000\rangle + f_1 |0010\rangle + q_2 |0010\rangle + f_2 |0001\rangle,$$

where $q_1$ and $f_1$ are the time-dependent coefficients of qubits and fields respectively. At resonance the following coupled dynamical equations can be obtained from the corresponding Schrödinger equation,

$$i\frac{\partial |\psi\rangle}{\partial t} = \hat{H} |\psi\rangle$$

as,

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial t} q_1(t) = \lambda f_1(t),$$

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f_1(t) = \lambda q_1(t) + J f_2(t),$$

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial t} q_2(t) = \lambda f_2(t),$$

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f_2(t) = \lambda q_2(t) + J f_1(t).$$

We have taken $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda$ and $\omega_{ai} = \omega_{ci} = \omega$ for convenience. These equations can be solved using Laplace transform method and the Laplace transforms are given in Eqs. 9 to 12.

$$Q_1(s) = \frac{-iJ\lambda q_2(0)s + iJ\lambda f_2(0)s + i\lambda f_1(0)}{J^2s^2 + \lambda^2 + 2\lambda^2 s^2 + s^4}$$

$$- \frac{q_1(0)s(J^2 + \lambda^2 + s^2)}{J^2s^2 + \lambda^4 + 2\lambda^2 s^2 + s^4},$$

$$F_1(s) = \frac{J\lambda q_2(0)s^2 + iJ f_2(0)s^2 + i\lambda q_1(0)}{J^2s^2 + \lambda^2 + 2\lambda^2 s^2 + s^4}$$

$$- \frac{f_1(0)s(\lambda^2 + s^2)}{J^2s^2 + \lambda^4 + 2\lambda^2 s^2 + s^4},$$

$$Q_2(s) = \frac{-iJ\lambda q_0(0)s + iJ f_1(0)s + i\lambda f_2(0)}{J^2s^2 + \lambda^2 + 2\lambda^2 s^2 + s^4}$$

$$- \frac{q_2(0)s(J^2 + \lambda^2 + s^2)}{J^2s^2 + \lambda^4 + 2\lambda^2 s^2 + s^4},$$

$$F_2(s) = \frac{J\lambda q_0(0)s^2 + iJ f_1(0)s^2 + i\lambda q_2(0)}{J^2s^2 + \lambda^2 + 2\lambda^2 s^2 + s^4}$$

$$- \frac{f_2(0)s(\lambda^2 + s^2)}{J^2s^2 + \lambda^4 + 2\lambda^2 s^2 + s^4}. $$

Now by choosing appropriate initial conditions we can obtain solutions to the Eqs. 5 to 8 by taking the inverse Laplace transform of Eqs. 9 to 12. For instance, with $|\psi(0)\rangle = |0000\rangle$, we have $q_1(0) = 1$ and $f_1(0) = q_2(0) = f_2(0) = 0$ and the corresponding time evolution of probabilities becomes:

$$|q_1(t)|^2 = \left| \frac{\xi_+^2 + 2\lambda^2 \cosh \left( \frac{\xi_+ \sqrt{2}}{\lambda^2} \right) - \xi_+^2 + 2\lambda^2 \cosh \left( \frac{\xi_- \sqrt{2}}{\lambda^2} \right)}{4(J^2 + 4\lambda^2, J^2)} \right|^2,$$

$$|q_2(t)|^2 = \left| \frac{\xi_-^2 + 2\lambda^2 \sinh \left( \frac{\xi_- \sqrt{2}}{\lambda^2} \right) - \xi_-^2 + 2\lambda^2 \sinh \left( \frac{\xi_+ \sqrt{2}}{\lambda^2} \right)}{2(J^2 + 4\lambda^2)} \right|^2,$$

$$|f_1(t)|^2 = \left| \frac{\lambda^2 \left[ \sinh \left( \frac{\xi_+ \sqrt{2}}{\lambda^2} \right) + \sinh \left( \frac{\xi_- \sqrt{2}}{\lambda^2} \right) \right]}{J^2 + 4\lambda^2} \right|^2,$$

$$- \xi_- \xi_+ \left[ \sinh \left( \frac{\xi_+ \sqrt{2}}{\lambda^2} \right) \sinh \left( \frac{\xi_- \sqrt{2}}{\lambda^2} \right) \right] \frac{1}{J^2 + 4\lambda^2},$$

$$|f_2(t)|^2 = \left| \frac{\lambda^2 \left[ \cosh \left( \frac{\xi_+ \sqrt{2}}{\lambda^2} \right) - \cosh \left( \frac{\xi_- \sqrt{2}}{\lambda^2} \right) \right]}{J^2 + 4\lambda^2} \right|^2,$$

Where $\xi_\pm = \sqrt{-J^2 - 2\lambda^2 \pm \sqrt{J^4 + 4J^2\lambda^2}}$. The evolution of the qubits and fields can be viewed as a quantum state transfer between the cavities. One could also control the dynamics by varying the coupling parameters and with the choice of initial conditions. It has to be noted that we have not considered any detuning or decay parameters for cavity and qubits. For the experimental realization one has to account for the environment (decoherence) by taking the proper Lindblad operators (decay operators) \cite{68} and by probing the system with external pump LASER. In the next section we introduce a two photon process to the above system.

3. Coupled cavities with two cavities process

We now study the effect of second order process by incorporating a $\chi^{(2)}$ medium in the first cavity. This mechanism is used for producing entangled pairs of photons (Spontaneous Parametric Down Conversion, SPDC), optical squeezing etc. Here $\omega_\gamma$ bosonic mode can be converted into two $\omega_c$ cavity modes by means of degenerate SPDC. The cavities are allowed to interact each other through photon hopping, $J$ and with the two-level system with a coupling, $\lambda$. Figure 1 illustrates the coupled cavity system.
The dynamics of this system can be studied by adding an additional term $\hat{H}_k$ \cite{68, 69}, to the Hamiltonians in Eqs. 1 and 2 as,

$$\hat{H}_k = ik \left[ \left( a_1^+ \right)^2 b - \left( a_1 \right)^2 b^+ \right] + \omega_b b^+ b. \quad (17)$$

Here, $k$, ($k = k_0/2$) is the non-linear coupling between the cavity mode and the $\omega_b$ mode. The operator $b$ ($b^+$) is the annihilation (creation) operator of the bosonic mode. For simplicity, we study the system without decay. By representing $\omega_b$ bosonic mode as ‘field$_b$', cavity excitations as field$_i$ and qubit excitations as ‘qubit', an elementary product state may be written as,

$$|\psi\rangle = |\text{qubit}_1, \text{field}_1, \text{field}_b, \text{qubit}_2, \text{field}_2\rangle \quad (18)$$

For a maximum of single excitation in $\omega_b$ mode, the general state now takes the form,

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = \chi(t) |00100\rangle + a(t) |11000\rangle + b(t) |10010\rangle + c(t) |10001\rangle + d(t) |01001\rangle + e(t) |01010\rangle + f(t) |00011\rangle + g(t) |02000\rangle + h(t) |00002\rangle. \quad (19)$$

The experimental realization of single photon SPDC \cite{67} makes it possible to have states with a maximum of one excitation in $\chi^{(2)}$ mode and still showcase two photon process. Further tunable and enhanced harmonic generation with graphene nanostructures with light-plasmon interaction \cite{71, 72, 73, 74, 75} could revolutionize the field of experimental quantum optics. The dynamics can be studied by solving Schrödinger equation of the system for a given initial state and coupling factors. Since the analytical solutions are lengthy, we study the dynamics numerically \cite{76}. We start with a single excited state of $\omega_b$ frequency which could undergo degenerate SPDC due to the non-linear coupling factor $k$, which will result in two $\omega_c$ photons. It is observed that the population inversion of qubits $Q1$ and $Q2$ goes from $-1$ (ground state) to $0.0$. It means, individual qubits are mostly in the superposition of ground and excited states. This superposition indicates a possible entanglement or mixing between the degrees of freedom of the system. From the population inversion there appears to have a correlation between the qubits such that both evolves almost identically. A change in value of $k$, changes the periodicity and this raise the question of synchronization between the qubits. To check whether this is due to SPDC, we repeat the simulation for a different initial state and $k$ values.

With $|\psi(0)\rangle = (|00100\rangle + |01001\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$, where there is an entanglement between the subsystems, the system does not shows any identical evolution as exhibited in the first case. This highlights the influence of other coupling parameters and initial state on the system. Still we could see that there is an approximate identical behaviour initially. This leads to a conclusion that, an initial entanglement does not guarantee an identical evolution for the subsystem in the future. The population inversion for two different initial conditions are shown in Fig. 2. With different initial states, population inversion behaves entirely different, while the other configurations are same. As the inversion is highly dependent on initial state, we could impart a control over the dynamics by controlling the coupling factors. Further, the effect of detuning brings significant impact on the evolution of the system. The population inversion of TLS for different detuning, $\Delta = \omega_{x1} - \omega_{c1}$ as well as different values of $k$ are shown in Fig. 3 and 4. As the detuning increases, the TLS oscillates much rapid and the population inversion is reduced considerably. An increase in the coupling factor $k$ has a considerable effect on the periodicity rather than the population inversion. We have only considered low coupling between light and TLS interaction, as strong couplings schemes are experimentally possible, this could give insight into theoretical modelling as illustrated by recent work \cite{77}.

Since an uncontrolled evolution doesn’t appear to be much fruitful, we introduce a time dependency on the coupling $k$ and discuss its effect on population inversion. In order to address the question of synchronization between the subsystems, mutual information between the subsystems has to be studied \cite{52}, which we shall address in the later section.

### 4. Time dependent coupling

Time dependent coupling schemes has been addressed in cavity systems \cite{78}. Here we focus on the effect of time dependency on the second order process alone, such that the non-linear coupling factor, $k$ get modified.
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Figure 2. Population inversion $\langle \sigma_z \rangle$, of qubit 1 (red colour) and qubit 2 (blue colour) for $\omega = 10 \times 2\pi$ GHz, $\lambda = 0.01 \omega$, $J = 0.05 \lambda$, with (a) $k_0 = 0.010 \omega$ and initial state $|\psi(0)\rangle = |00100\rangle$; (b) $k_0 = 0.010 \omega$ and initial state $|\psi(0)\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|00100\rangle + |01001\rangle)$.

Figure 3. Effect of detuning ($\Delta = \omega_0 - \omega_c$) between cavity mode and TLS on population inversion of TLS in cavities. (a) and (b) Population inversion of TLS in the cavity (1) and (2) for the initial state $|00100\rangle$. (c) and (d) Population inversion of TLS in the cavity (1) and (2) for the initial state $(|00100\rangle + |01001\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$, $k_0 = 0.005 \omega_c$.

As $k \to k(t)$. Tunable and enhanced non-linearity can be achieved through various materials and have been reported [79, 80, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75]. By implementing such a time dependency we could achieve a controlled
preservation in the unitary dynamics of the system, which will reflect in the population inversion of qubits. Time dependence can be introduced in different form, here we choose a sinusoidal (harmonic) time variation as,

\[ k(t) = k_0 \left( \frac{1 + \sin(\Omega t)}{2} \right) , \]  

such that \( k(t) \in [0, k_0] \). Here \( \Omega \) can vary from 0 to \( \infty \) and when \( \Omega = 0 \) we get the constant coupling as, \( k = k_0/2 \). Table 1, gives the time at which \( k \) becomes zero for a given \( \Omega \) in the first cycle. We look for the signature of the time dependence of \( k \) over the interval in which the value of \( k \) decreases from 10% of the maximum value of \( k \) to zero and further increases to 10% of the maximum value of \( k \). As we have seen in earlier section, an increase in the value of \( k \), affects the periodicity of dynamics. By reducing the coupling we could reduce the periodic behaviour and achieve a rather stable dynamics. Now the choice of when to reduce the coupling is controlled by the factor \( \Omega \). So an appropriate choice of \( \Omega \) could select what kind of dynamics one has to preserve, provided the time independent evolution history is available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No</th>
<th>( \Omega ) (GHz)</th>
<th>Time to 10% ( k_0 )</th>
<th>Time to ( k = 0 )</th>
<th>Time to 10% ( k_0 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>No data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.002222</td>
<td>1961</td>
<td>2120</td>
<td>2281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.004444</td>
<td>981</td>
<td>1060</td>
<td>1140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.006667</td>
<td>654</td>
<td>707</td>
<td>760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.008889</td>
<td>491</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>570</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Time required to reduce the value of \( k \) to reach 0 from its initial value for different values of \( \Omega \).
The limit $k \to 0$ makes the evolution less dependent on the second order process and thus get the dynamics depend more on the other coupling factors ($J$ and $\lambda$). It is to be noted that, the dynamics of the qubits are preserved for a time interval around which $k \to 0$. Thus, by adjusting the value of $\Omega$ one can choose when to reduce the value of $k$ to zero and hence we have a control over the dynamics of the system.

With this, one can preserve the dynamics of the system, even though there is no revival of the identical behaviour exhibited in the initial time. We could utilise this to preserve the dynamics of a particular state. Figures 5(a) and 5(b) illustrate the effects of time dependent $k$ on the population inversion. Here with an initial state $|00100\rangle$ and a time dependent $k$, we get a superposed state which tends to remain in that state while $k$ reduces to its minimum. This should not be interpreted as there is no dynamics. Here the dynamics does not alter the superposition considerably. By overlooking the fluctuations, one can say that the system has created a particular superposition. In Fig. 5(a) the population inversion of $Q1$ and $Q2$ remains zero for a longer period. Which means that, qubits are in a superposition of both excited and ground state. For $|\psi(0)\rangle = (|00100\rangle + |01001\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$, rather than preserving a superposition, the preservation of dynamics of qubits are well observed. Thus a particular revival dynamics of qubits can be preserved over a time period with time dependent coupling. The variation of population inversion with respect to the change in $\Omega$ for a fixed value of $k$ are evaluated for the previous states at resonance, the results are shown in the Fig. 6. From the figure we can see that the TLS tends to preserve the dynamics over a temporal period depending upon the value of $\Omega$. For smaller value of $\Omega$ we can have a longer preservation. On comparing with Fig. 3, the dynamics preservation in qubit’s population is evident from Fig. 7, where we have studied the effect of detuning in a time dependent system for a fixed value of $k_0$ and $\Omega$. As the system tends to preserve the dynamics of qubits, the effect of detuning has enhanced.

Furthermore, for any given initial state, we observe the preservation around the same time intervals for a given $\Omega$. This confirms that preservation depends on the temporal behaviour of $k$. Thus by implementing various time dependent schemes, one could preserve the desired dynamics of the system. This motivate us to investigate the possibility of generation of entangled state. Since, in our system, there are five subsystems, it is possible to have different combinations of the entangled state. Hence we further investigated the amount of correlation by studying the von Neumann entropy and mutual information of the system. The study is also motivated by the behaviour of qubits in both cavities, as the population inversion appears to be correlated (see Fig. 2 and Fig. 5).

5. Mutual Information and von Neumann entropy

Entropy is a measure that can account for the lack of information from the works of C.E. Shannon [81]. The works of von Neumann [82], describes the mathematical motivation in formulating the entropy in quantum mechanics. Here we focus on mutual information (mutual entropy) and von Neumann entropy. Mutual information quantifies the amount of information shared between two systems [83]. Given any two continuous random variables, say $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$, the mutual information can be related to classical Shannon entropy as,

$$I(X : Y) = \sum_{y \in Y} \sum_{x \in X} p(x,y) \log \left( \frac{p(x,y)}{p(x)p(y)} \right)$$  (21)

$$I(X : Y) = H(X) + H(Y) − H(X,Y).$$  (22)

Where $p(x)$ and $p(y)$ are the marginal probability and $p(x,y)$ is the joint probability. We can find a relation such that, the Shannon entropy due to a single random variable $H(X)$ and $H(Y)$ is always less than the joint Shannon entropy ($H(X,Y)$) as,

$$H(X,Y) \geq H(X) + H(Y).$$  (23)

The general quantum analogue of Shannon entropy is the $\alpha$-entropy [84], from which the quantum analogue for mutual information can we written as,

$$I(m : n) = S_{\alpha}(\rho_m) + S_{\alpha}(\rho_n) − S_{\alpha}(\rho_{mn}),$$  (24)

where $\rho$ is the density matrix and $m,n$ are the indices for the subsystems. From which one can deduce the inequality,

$$S_{\alpha}(\rho_{mn}) \geq S_{\alpha}(\rho_n).$$  (25)

The violation of the above inequality (Eq. 25) indicates the presence of entanglement in the system and here we investigate the same for our system. It has to be noted that the violation of the above inequality would suggest the presence of classical probability (mixed state) for system with decoherence. Since there are five subsystems, the respective density matrices are labelled as,

$$\begin{pmatrix}
\rho_{q_1} \\
\rho_{f_1} \\
\rho_b \\
\rho_{q_2} \\
\rho_{f_2}
\end{pmatrix}
= \rho_m$$  (26)

One can find the reduced density matrix ($\rho_{\text{sub}}$), by taking the partial trace over the rest of the system.
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Figure 5. Population inversion \( \langle \sigma_z \rangle \), of qubit 1 (red colour) and qubit 2 (blue colour) for \( \omega = 10 \times 2\pi \text{ GHz}, \lambda = 0.01\omega, J = 0.05\lambda \) and \( k_0 = 0.01\omega \). (a) \( |\psi(0)\rangle = |00100\rangle \) (b) \( |\psi(0)\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|00100\rangle + |01001\rangle) \).

Figure 6. Effect of various time dependent \( \lambda \) on population inversion of TLS in cavities. (a) and (b) Population inversion of TLS in the cavity (1) and (2) for the initial state \( |00100\rangle \). (c) and (d) Population inversion of TLS in the cavity (1) and (2) for the initial state \( (|00100\rangle + |01001\rangle)/\sqrt{2} \), \( k_0 = 0.005\omega_c, \Delta = 0 \).

Since we are interested in mutual information which takes two subsystems at a time. We must also calculate a partially reduced density matrix, \( \rho_{mn} \), where \( m \) and \( n \) are the subsystems. Then we can estimate the mutual
Figure 7. Effect of detuning in time dependent $k$ on population inversion of TLS in cavities. (a) and (b) Population inversion of TLS in the cavity (1) and (2) for the initial state $|00100\rangle$. (c) and (d) Population inversion of TLS in the cavity (1) and (2) for the initial state $(|00100\rangle + |01001\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$, $\Omega = 0.005$ and $k_0 = 0.005\omega_c$.
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information between subsystem $m$ and $n$. The general expression for $\alpha$-entropy is given as,

$$S_\alpha(\rho_m) = (1 - \alpha)^{-1} \log \text{Tr} (\rho_m)^\alpha,$$  \hspace{1cm} (27)

Eq. 27 reduces to von Neumann entropy for the limit $\alpha \to 1$ as,

$$S(\rho_m) = -\text{Tr} (\rho_m \log_2 \rho_m).$$  \hspace{1cm} (28)

Thus the mutual information becomes,

$$I(m : n) = S(\rho_m) + S(\rho_n) - S(\rho_{mn}).$$  \hspace{1cm} (29)

We can calculate the mutual information and the von Neumann entropy of the system to quantify the entanglement. Since there are five subsystems first we calculate the reduced density matrices of each, $\rho_m$ and also calculate the reduced density matrix for each pairs, $\rho_{mn}$. Using which we can compute the von Neumann entropy and mutual information respectively. Here we obtain the total density matrix numerically for each time from the dynamical equation and compute the reduced densities matrices accordingly.

5.1. Von Neumann Entropy

Von Neumann entropy is calculated using Eq. 28. In our model we consider only a maximum of one excitation in the $2\omega$ mode. Thus the simplest model will need only the following Hilbert space,

$$\begin{pmatrix}
Qubit-1 \\
Cavity-1 \\
\chi^{(2)/Mode} \\
Qubit-2 \\
Cavity-2
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
2 	imes 2 \\
3 	imes 3 \\
2 	imes 2 \\
2 	imes 2 \\
3 	imes 3
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
Q1 \\
C1 \\
\chi \\
Q2 \\
C2
\end{pmatrix}$$

We now verify the amount of entanglement produced by measuring the von Neumann entropy. Here we choose $\Omega = 0.004444$ and specifically look for the von Neumann entropy during the time period considered in the Table 1. The results for $|\psi(0)\rangle = |00100\rangle$ is
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Figure 8. The von Neumann entropy for (a) initial state $|\psi(0)\rangle = |00100\rangle$ and (b) initial state $|\psi(0)\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00100\rangle + |01001\rangle)$.

Table 2. Von Neumann entropy for $|\psi(0)\rangle = |00100\rangle$ for $\Omega = 0.00444$.

| $\rho_m$ | $\log_2(|\mathcal{H}_m|)$ | $S_{\text{max}}$ | $S_{\text{min}}$ | S Avg.S (981→1140) |
|--------|----------------|----------|----------|----------------|
| Q1     | 1.00000        | 0.99999  | 0.00000  | 0.99991        |
| C1     | 1.58496        | 1.04188  | 0.00000  | 1.01221        |
| $\chi$ | 1.00000        | 0.99999  | 0.00000  | 0.06086        |
| Q2     | 1.00000        | 0.99999  | 0.00000  | 0.99990        |
| C2     | 1.58496        | 1.04285  | 0.00000  | 1.01052        |

Table 3. Von Neumann entropy for $|\psi(0)\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00100\rangle + |01001\rangle)$ for $\Omega = 0.00444$.

| $\rho_m$ | $\log_2(|\mathcal{H}_m|)$ | $S_{\text{max}}$ | $S_{\text{min}}$ | S Avg.S (981→1140) |
|--------|----------------|----------|----------|----------------|
| Q1     | 1.00000        | 0.99999  | 0.00000  | 0.93277        |
| C1     | 1.58496        | 1.04689  | 0.22792  | 0.94677        |
| $\chi$ | 1.00000        | 0.99999  | 0.00000  | 0.03442        |
| Q2     | 1.00000        | 0.99999  | 0.00000  | 0.87914        |
| C2     | 1.58496        | 1.04647  | 0.15459  | 0.89122        |

5.2. Mutual Information

As the von Neumann entropy do not account for the amount of entanglement distribution between the subsystems, we compute the partially reduced density matrix ($\rho_{mn}$) of the pair of subsystems $m$ and $n$. And one can compute the mutual information between subsystems $m$ and $n$ using the formula given in Eq. 29. Here we compute mutual information for the partially re-

The numerical results shows that, there are time when each subsystems get maximally entangled with the rest of the system. Since we were looking for the effect of time dependent $k$ in a particular period in the time range suggested by Table 1, we are getting maximum von Neumann measure for the qubits and cavity while the $\chi^{(2)}$ mode has become more pure. The von Neumann entropies for the initial state $|\psi(0)\rangle = (|00100\rangle + |01001\rangle) / \sqrt{2}$ is tabulated in Table 3. As von Neumann entropy is a measure entanglement of qubit, the results suggests that, the qubits are in a maximally entangled state while the $\chi^{(2)}$ bosonic mode decouples in the first case. Thus by introducing a tunable second order interaction we could generate entangled states and preserve the entanglement.

In the second case as the $\chi^{(2)}$ bosonic mode decouples, the dynamics of the system is preserve. Thus rather than preserving a particular entanglement, the entanglement dynamics is preserved. This further confirms that the dynamics of the system being preserved. So, irrespective of the initial state, the preservation of dynamics is possible by means of a time dependent coupling scheme. The numerical results are plotted for initial states $|\psi(0)\rangle = |00100\rangle$ and $|\psi(0)\rangle = (|00100\rangle + |01001\rangle) / \sqrt{2}$ with $\Omega = 0.00444$ and are shown in Fig. 8.
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Figure 9. The mutual information for (a and c) initial state $|\psi(0)\rangle = |00100\rangle$ and (b and d) initial state $|\psi(0)\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00100\rangle + |01001\rangle)$.

Produced pairs as shown in Table 4 and 5 for initial states $|\psi(0)\rangle = |00100\rangle$ and $|\psi(0)\rangle = (|00100\rangle + |01001\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ with $\Omega = 0.00444$.

Mutual information also shows a convincing measure of entanglement, since separable pure system would have zero mutual information. Figure 9 gives the mutual information shared between different subsystems for different initial conditions. As we can see, the entanglement is not strictly bipartite, as there are information shared between others. From the simulations we can see that the mutual information do not reach its maximum possible value. This further confirms that the entanglement produced in the system is not bipartite. The average mutual information during the period of interest (as given in Table 1) is not maximum. This indicates that an identical behaviour of population inversion should not be interpreted as entanglement. In the first case we could observe that the mutual information and von Neumann entropy of the $\chi^{(2)}$ medium is very low during the period when other subsystems has higher von Neumann entropy.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pairs</th>
<th>MI max</th>
<th>MI min</th>
<th>Avg. MI</th>
<th>(t=981→1140)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q1-C1</td>
<td>0.99244</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.93038</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q1-C2</td>
<td>1.04242</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.99766</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2-C1</td>
<td>1.04067</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.99934</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2-C2</td>
<td>0.99674</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.92956</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q1-Q2</td>
<td>1.00065</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.93301</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1-C2</td>
<td>1.00665</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.94915</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q1-χ</td>
<td>0.33425</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00705</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2-χ</td>
<td>0.32721</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00705</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1-χ</td>
<td>0.35976</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.01145</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2-χ</td>
<td>0.35551</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.01104</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Mutual information of partially reduced density matrix pairs with $|\psi(0)\rangle = |00100\rangle$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pairs</th>
<th>MI max</th>
<th>MI min</th>
<th>Avg. MI</th>
<th>(t=981→1140)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q1-C1</td>
<td>1.75965</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>1.48266</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q1-C2</td>
<td>0.22449</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.14768</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2-C1</td>
<td>0.21794</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.12549</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2-C2</td>
<td>1.75162</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>1.37360</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q1-Q2</td>
<td>0.70958</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.15041</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1-C2</td>
<td>0.99999</td>
<td>0.00276</td>
<td>0.15041</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q1-χ</td>
<td>0.81879</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00371</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2-χ</td>
<td>0.86259</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00395</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1-χ</td>
<td>1.00038</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00774</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2-χ</td>
<td>0.99999</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00780</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Mutual information of partially reduced density matrix pairs with $|\psi(0)\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|00100\rangle + |01001\rangle)$.

This indicates that the $\chi^{(2)}$ mode has almost decoupled with rest of the subsystems. Another factor that can be deduced from the mutual information is about the synchronization, where identical population inversion does not implies a synchronization. As we can see that qubits are more entangled with the cavity mode and not with each other, the identical population inversion of the qubits does not corresponds to a correlation between the qubits.

6. Conclusion

A coupled cavity system with a second order non-linear medium in one of the cavity is studied. Numerical analysis of the system for different initial state and coupling factors is performed. In order to have a control over the dynamics a harmonic time dependent non-linear coupling between the $\chi^{(2)}$ medium and cavity mode is introduced. With various values for the frequency, $\Omega$ in the harmonic time dependent coupling, we looked for the dynamical behaviour around the region where the value of non-linear coupling, $k$ is reduced to zero. Irrespective of the initial state we observed a preservation of dynamics in the system. Depending upon the value of $\Omega$, different dynamics could be preserved for different time interval. To check for the presence of entanglement or correlations we calculated the von Neumann entropy of each subsystems and mutual information between pairs of subsystems. From the analysis over a given time limit, we observed that the specific subsystems can be made independent of the rest of the system by using a time dependent coupling scheme. From which we conclude that the control can be utilized to preserve entanglement and dynamics in the system. We also confirm that the presence of identical behaviour in the population inversion should not be interpreted as synchronization or entanglement between the qubits. We also found that the system is highly sensitive to detuning which can be used as an advantage over extremely sensitive studies.

Practically the system will interact with the environment the system should be driven with an external pump LASER. The driving mode can be either the cavity mode or the $\chi^{(2)}$ mode or both. Then depending upon the external drive strength and decay factors, probability of the second order process can vary.
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