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Can an atomically thin mechanical resonator render response of a resonator that is $10^7$ times heavier than it, nonlinear? Here we find that strong back-action force of a freely suspended graphene drum resonator mode, with tunable coupling to a large area silicon nitride (SiNx) resonator results in nonlinear response of the significantly heavier and otherwise linear SiNx mode. Robust coupling of pristine graphene to SiNx substrate further amplifies the force. We develop a methodology based on observation of a novel frequency comb, to quantify nonlinear coefficients of these hybridized modes. We measure a giant induced nonlinear Duffing coefficient of $\beta_{\text{hyb}} = 8.0(\pm0.8) \times 10^{21}$ N/m$^3$, on the SiNx resonator surface. Such ‘giant’, tunable nonlinearity induced in a high-Q SiNx resonator mode by graphene ‘cavity’ can find applications in precision measurements and in processing classical and quantum information with mechanical resonators.

I. INTRODUCTION

For more than a century, mechanical resonators have played a central role in measuring new forces and testing fundamental physical principles. With the advent of microscale and nanoscale mechanical resonators, and in particular, after experimental observations of their quantum ground states, there has been a renewed interest in usage of such resonator modes as bits of information in classical and quantum regimes. Accordingly, significant progress has been made in two broad directions over the last decade. On one hand, resonators with high quality factor $Q$ have been developed to get to a regime of $Q \times f_0 \geq 10^{14}$ Hz where one expects to resolve their quantum states over classical fluctuations at room-temperature. Silicon Nitride (SiNx) has emerged as a dominant material of choice for such resonators, demonstrating $Q$’s in excess $10^9$ at MHz frequencies. On the other hand, progress has been made in characterizing nonlinear response of mechanical modes, towards nonlinear phase shifting, controlled mixing, and processing bits of information. Freely suspended graphene resonator—which has low mass and high Young’s modulus—resulting in exceptionally nonlinear elastic properties—has been extremely efficient as a choice of material; mixing and sideband cooling of its strongly coupled modes have been observed. Developing a platform that can integrate these two directions of progress, by combining high quality factors of SiNx resonators with strong nonlinear response of graphene resonators should therefore be the next logical step.

Here we explore a hybrid platform consisting of a large area SiNx resonator coupled to an atomically thin, freely suspended graphene that is deposited on holes etched on SiNx. We find that when a mechanical mode of graphene is electrostatically tuned into resonance with a SiNx mode, the two resulting hybrid modes become nonlinear in their response to an external driving force (fig. 1a). To estimate the corresponding effective nonlinear coefficients of the hybrid modes, we drive the system parametrically and observe generation of a novel phase coherent frequency comb in displacement power spectra. The comb carries unique signatures of nonlinear response of the hybrid modes. We develop a methodology to estimate Duffing constant and nonlinear damping of the hybrid modes from measured amplitudes of the frequency comb and our estimates match well with results of numerical modeling. The model suggests that giant induced nonlinearity of the hybrid mode as measured on SiNx is due to a large back-action force from the low-mass and highly nonlinear graphene resonator that robustly couples to the SiNx resonator mode. Our results thereby combine two directions of development of electromechanical resonators in a single hybrid device, providing tunable nonlinear response of a relatively high-Q mechanical mode of a large area, heavy SiNx resonator (fig. 1a).

II. NONLINEAR RESPONSE OF GRAPHENE - SILICON NITRIDE HYBRID

The device consists of a 300 nm thick SiNx resonator of dimensions $320 \times 320 \mu$m$^2$, with through holes of diameters 10, 15, and 20 $\mu$m etched on to it (fig. 1b) and monolayer (CVD) graphene is deposited on the holes. Both graphene and SiNx resonators are actuated electro-
Figure 1: **Nonlinear response of graphene-SiNx modes:** (a) A cartoon depicting tunable, linear to nonlinear response of a SiNx resonator mode, due to its strong coupling to graphene. We observe oscillations of the hybrid mode with a confocal microscope, either focused on an atomically thin graphene or on the large area SiNx resonator surface (3d cartoon). (b) Scanning electron micrograph of the device with the large area SiNx resonator (320 × 320 × 0.3 µm², in grey) with graphene deposited onto 20, 15, and 10 µm diameter holes etched on it. The 20 µm diameter, intact graphene drum, coupling to SiNx is the focus of this study (see ref. [36] for details). (c) Thermally driven 20 µm graphene fundamental mode dispersion with the d.c. gate voltage interacting with array of SiNx modes. The right panel shows a cross-section at 194 V, where graphene hybridizes strongly with a single SiNx mode. (d) Corresponding dispersion of SiNx modes with dc gate voltage. The dispersion profile of fundamental modes of both the 20 µm and the 15 µm diameter graphene resonators can be observed, imprinted on SiNx dispersion, a signature of their backaction force. (e) Peak amplitude of three SiNx modes under direct a.c. drive shows linear response when not in resonance with the graphene mode. (f) When on resonance with low-Q graphene mode, response of the SiNx modes become nonlinear (dots). Solutions for steady-state amplitude of a Duffing oscillator fit well with the data points (solid line) (see ref. [36] for details).
stably with a highly doped silicon back gate, separated by an insulator which results in a net separation of 10 μm between graphene/SiNx and back gate. A fiber based confocal microscope, as a path stabilized Michelson interferometer, is used to detect optical signals reflected from the sample (3d cartoon of fig. 1a). Using thermal spectrum of graphene, we calibrate the power spectrum with a detection sensitivity of ~ 0.17 pm/√Hz.

When the microscope is focused on a 20 μm freely standing graphene membrane, we observe thermally driven modes, corresponding to that of a circular resonator with anisotropic tension. The modes are tunable in excess of 1 MHz with a d.c. gate voltage (fig. 1c) and as we tune from 0 V to 250 V, we see distinct avoided level crossing that signals hybridization with modes of a SiNx resonator (fig. 1c and right panel). In a recent work we have found that a bilinear coupling model fits well with observed hybridized Brownian spectra and from that fitting, we extract the quality factors: $Q_g \approx 254$ for graphene and $Q_s \approx 3800$ for SiNx. The effective mass of the graphene mode, estimated from dispersion of fig. 1e is estimated at $m_g \approx 10m_0$, where $m_0$ is the mass of a single layer of graphene. We estimate the effective mass, $m_s$, of SiNx from its dimensions and density, and find it to be $\sim 10^4m_0$.

Comparatively heavier mass of SiNx results in smaller amplitude for the Brownian power spectrum, which is below our detection sensitivity (see ref. [36] for details). However, when the device is actuated with a c.c. gate voltage and the microscope is focused on SiNx away from graphene, we observe dense distribution of SiNx resonator modes (fig. 1d). The mode-densities and their dispersion (fig. 1d, right panel) match well with simulated modes of a square membrane of comparable dimensions with an inbuilt tension of 80 MPa (see ref. [36] for details).

When SiNx modes are not hybridized with graphene, the peak amplitudes increase linearly with applied a.c. gate voltage, up to a maximum amplitude of 20 V that we can apply in our experiment. In particular, we gate voltage, up to a maximum amplitude of 20 V and asymmetric broadening due to nonlinear damping, when the graphene mode is driven hard at resonance with an a.c. gate voltage (see ref. [36] for details). Recent studies have characterized Duffing coefficient and nonlinear damping of such driven graphene resonators by accurate fitting of data to theory [21, 22]. However, for our device, hybridization of a single graphene mode with multiple SiNx modes results in several free parameters, leading to large errors in the estimated Duffing constants from curve fitting. This calls for an alternative methodology to estimate nonlinear parameters.

### III. TOWARDS UNDERSTANDING GIANT NONLINEAR COEFFICIENTS

What leads to such giant Duffing constants for the hybridized SiNx modes? Aforementioned good fit to a Duffing model of the SiNx hybrid mode implies that the third order response is due to its hybridization with graphene, a highly nonlinear Duffing oscillator. It is therefore critical to characterize the Duffing constant of our bare graphene resonator. We have indeed observed distinct signatures of Duffing-like hysteresis in response and asymmetric broadening due to nonlinear damping, when the graphene mode is driven hard at resonance with an a.c. gate voltage (see ref. [36] for details). To parametrically drive the system, we first tune the fundamental mode of graphene at a frequency, $\omega_0 = 2.865$ MHz, at which it strongly hybridizes with a SiNx mode. With the microscope focused on the graphene, hybridization of modes is distinctly visible in the form of a splitting of the Brownian mode primarily into two modes, at frequencies, say, $\omega_1$ and $\omega_2$. We simultaneously apply an a.c. gate voltage (parametric pump) at exactly twice the resonant frequency, $\omega_0$ (fig. 2a).

To parametrically drive the system, we first tune the fundamental mode of graphene at a frequency, $\omega_0 = 2.865$ MHz, at which it strongly hybridizes with a SiNx mode. With the microscope focused on the graphene, hybridization of modes is distinctly visible in the form of a splitting of the Brownian mode primarily into two modes, at frequencies, say, $\omega_1$ and $\omega_2$. We simultaneously apply an a.c. gate voltage (parametric pump) at exactly twice the resonant frequency, $\omega_0$ (fig. 2a). As the amplitude of the parametric pump voltage is increased, up to a threshold voltage $V_c = 11.9$ V we observe gain in both the hybridized modes (see ref. [36] and [35] for details). Above this threshold, equispaced
new frequency components on either side of the two hybridized modes emerge. The number of such modes increases with increasing pump voltage and eventually the modes span out into a "comb" like pattern with a triangular envelop (fig. 2b). The shape of the envelope is mildly asymmetric on either side of $\omega_0$. The separation between the modes decreases with the increasing pump voltage.

V. THEOREUTICAL MODEL

Towards developing a functional understanding, we consider a model of graphene as a 1d oscillator with a quality factor $Q_g$, a third-order nonlinear response described by an effective Duffing constant ($\beta^g_{\text{bare}}$) along with nonlinear damping ($\eta^g_{\text{bare}}$) \[21\] \[38\] \[39\], coupled to a linear SiNx resonator mode. Specifically, we simulate the following set of equations:

\[
\ddot{x}_g = -\frac{\omega_g}{Q_g} \dot{x}_g - \frac{\eta^g_{\text{bare}}}{m_g} x_g \dot{x}_g - \frac{\beta^g_{\text{bare}}}{m_g} x_g^3 - \left[\omega^2_g + \epsilon_p \cos(\omega_p t)\right] x_g - \frac{\alpha}{m_g} x_s,
\]

and

\[
\ddot{x}_s = \frac{\omega_s}{Q_s} \dot{x}_s - \omega^2_s x_s - \frac{\alpha}{m_s} x_g.
\]

Here $\alpha$ is an effective coupling constant, $x_g,s$ are the amplitudes of vertical displacements of graphene ($g$) and SiNx ($s$) resonators modes respectively, and $\epsilon_p$ denotes the magnitude of the parametric drive. $\beta^g_{\text{bare}}$ is the Duffing constant of the bare graphene resonator mode while $\eta^g_{\text{bare}}$ is the coefficient of nonlinear damping.

Features of numerically simulated spectra is in excellent agreement with the experimental observations (see ref. \[39\] for details). By fitting simulation to the calibrated spectra, we get an estimate for the
oscillation of hybrid modes 1 and 2 respectively, in the
region. Accordingly, one expects single frequency self-oscillation regimes (grey shades, I and III) while in the region of overlap, the system oscillates with two frequencies which mix to produce side bands (dark grey, region II). While the left edge of the envelope is due to the tongue of the hybridized mode \( \omega_1 \), the right edge is due to \( \omega_2 \). This insight leads to an estimation of the nonlinear coefficients of the hybrid modes. (b) To test the hypothesis of fig. 3a, we scan the pump frequency \( \delta \) at a fixed a.c. pump voltage (black dashed line). We indeed observe a multi mode, comb spectra (region II) sandwiched between two single mode self-oscillation regimes (I and III). (c) Numerical simulation varying pump detuning matches well with the experimental observation. (d) Cross section of the multi-mode spectrum with mode separation of \( \sim 28.7 \text{KHz} \). From the ratios of amplitudes, we estimate nonlinear coefficients of the hybrid modes, measured on graphene. (e) Proof of phase coherence: measured time trace of the generated signal of fig. 3d shows a pulse sequence that is Fourier-transform limited, confirming that the pulse is phase coherent.

Figure 3: Quantifying frequency comb: (a) Cartoon of frequency response of a parametrically driven hybrid oscillator with two hybridized modes with frequencies \( \omega_1 \) and \( \omega_2 \). Above a threshold, each mode develops a tongue shaped instability region. Consequently, one expects a region of overlap (dark region II, fig. 3a). While region I and region III correspond to self-oscillation of hybrid modes 1 and 2 respectively, in the overlap region II the system is multi-periodic with frequencies \( \omega_1 \) and \( \omega_2 \). Moreover, in the instability region, large amplitude leads to strong nonlinear response. One therefore expects mixing of two accessible frequencies in the overlap region II.

The expected behavior of the system in the aforementioned three regions is distinctly visible when we vary the pump frequency across \( \omega_p = 2\omega_0 \) at a fixed drive amplitude, \( V_p \) (dotted line in fig. 3a) (fig. 2a). In particular, we scan the frequency over 20 kHz across \( 2\omega_0 \) keeping its amplitude fixed at \( V_p = 20 \text{ V} \) (fig. 3b). We indeed observe single frequency self-oscillation regions I and III, on either side of region II that is characterized by the frequency comb (fig. 3b). As the final validation, we note that the experimental observations match well with numerical simulations (fig. 3c).

Diagram of fig. 3a therefore indicates that the right and the left boundaries of region II correspond to the instability tongues of the hybridized modes, viz., mode 1 and mode 2, respectively. One can then ascribe the observed Duffing constant of the bare (non-hybridized) graphene mode [23] to be \( \beta_{bare}^D = 5.8 \times 10^{13} \text{ N/m}^3 \) with a nonlinear damping coefficient \( \gamma_{bare}^D = 9.7 \times 10^6 \text{ Ns/m}^3 \), in close agreement with recent measurements [22, 23].

Furthermore, experimentally measured comb spectra provides a direct means of quantifying nonlinear coefficients of the two hybrid modes (fig. 3). It is well understood that response of a parametrically driven oscillator mode becomes unstable above a threshold [40–43], above which, the instability region extends to form a tongue shaped region in the parameter space. The envelope of the tongue is set by pump amplitude, nonlinear frequency, and damping. Therefore, for the two hybridized modes—hybrid mode 1 and hybrid mode 2—respectively with frequencies, \( \omega_1 \) and \( \omega_2 \), there should be two such independent instability tongues (fig. 3a). Consequently, there ought to be a region of overlap (dark region II, fig. 3a), [44]. While region I and region III correspond to self-oscillation of hybrid modes 1 and 2 respectively, in the

\( \omega \) with frequencies, \( \omega_1 \) and \( \omega_2 \), the right edge is due to \( \omega_2 \). This insight leads to an estimation of the nonlinear coefficients of the hybrid modes. (b) To test the hypothesis of fig. 3a, we scan the pump frequency \( \delta \) at a fixed a.c. pump voltage (black dashed line). We indeed observe a multi mode, comb spectra (region II) sandwiched between two single mode self-oscillation regimes (I and III). (c) Numerical simulation varying pump detuning matches well with the experimental observation. (d) Cross section of the multi-mode spectrum with mode separation of \( \sim 28.7 \text{KHz} \). From the ratios of amplitudes, we estimate nonlinear coefficients of the hybrid modes, measured on graphene. (e) Proof of phase coherence: measured time trace of the generated signal of fig. 3d shows a pulse sequence that is Fourier-transform limited, confirming that the pulse is phase coherent.
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asymmetry of the envelope of fig. 2b to that of different effective nonlinearities of the two hybrid modes. Furthermore, balancing the amplitudes of the new modes generated due to effective cubic nonlinearity ($\beta_{hyb1}^g$, $\beta_{hyb2}^g$) and nonlinear damping ($\eta_{hyb1}^g$, $\eta_{hyb2}^g$) with experimentally measured mode amplitudes $A_i$ and $A'_i$, ($i=1,2,\cdots$), we estimate $\beta_{hyb1}^g = 1.3(\pm 0.4) \times 10^{15}$ N/m$^3$, $\beta_{hyb2}^g = 8.6(\pm 4.6) \times 10^{14}$ N/m$^3$, $\eta_{hyb1}^g = 7.0(\pm 2.2) \times 10^7$ Ns/m$^3$, and $\eta_{hyb2}^g = 4.8(\pm 2.5) \times 10^7$ Ns/m$^3$ on average (see ref. [36] for details). We observe the estimated coefficients to depend on the pump voltage and this dependence, along with the estimations from data, are in good agreement with numerical simulations (see ref. [36] for details). This substantiates our methodology for estimating and quantifying the effective nonlinear coefficients of the two hybrid modes.

There is a definite nonlinear phase relationship of the generated modes with respect to the fundamental modes, at frequencies $\omega_{1,2}$, and in principle, the nonlinear coefficients can also be estimated by carefully measuring relative phases of the generated modes. For the spectrum of fig. 3d, we observe pulses in time domain (fig. 3e). Repetition rate of the pulses correspond to inverse of $2\Delta = \omega_1 - \omega_2$, pulse width to inverse of the envelope of the generated comb while the carrier frequency to inverse of the carrier frequency $\omega_0 = 2.760$ MHz (fig. 3d). The frequency comb of the hybrid mode is therefore phase coherent and Fourier transform limited.

### VI. Induced Frequency Comb on Silicon Nitride Surface

It can be noted that due to widely varying masses and quality factors of the two physical resonators, values of Duffing coefficients of a hybrid mode would differ when measured on graphene or on SiNx resonator surface (see ref. [36] for details). Interestingly, signature of the comb spectrum is also observable, when the microscope is focused on the surface of the SiNx resonator (fig. 4). However, the amplitude of oscillations is orders of magnitude smaller than that on graphene, due to significantly heavier mass of SiNx. Accordingly, signatures of measured spectrum are less pronounced. Nevertheless, we use the developed methodology to estimate Duffing coefficients of the hybrid modes on SiNx. In fig. 4, we focus on a SiNx mode at 2.970 MHz, while applying a
parametric drive at twice its resonant frequency. When the fundamental graphene mode is off-resonant, we do not observe any parametric gain (blue region on the left of fig. 4a and black dots in the right inset). However, when the graphene mode is tuned across resonance with a gate voltage between 193 V (approx.) to 200 V, we observe generation of frequency comb as well as single frequency self-oscillation regime. Furthermore, the induced nonlinearity of the hybrid mode extends over the entire SiNx surface and we observe generation of combs at distances in excess of 200 µm from the edge of the graphene drum that is only 20 µm in diameter (fig. 4b, bottom panel). Essentially, the localized mode of the graphene acts as a defect center, on the large area oscillating mode of SiNx. From amplitudes of the generated modes, we estimate the effective Duffing constant and nonlinear damping of the hybrid modes to be \( \beta_{\text{hyb1}} = 3.4(\pm 0.1) \times 10^{23} \text{ N/m}^4 \), \( \beta_{\text{hyb2}} = 6.3(\pm 5.3) \times 10^{22} \text{ N/m}^4 \) and \( \eta_{\text{hyb1}} = 1.8(\pm 0.1) \times 10^{15} \text{ Ns/m}^3 \), \( \eta_{\text{hyb2}} = 3.3(\pm 2.8) \times 10^{15} \text{ Ns/m}^3 \) respectively, as measured on SiNx surface (see ref. [36] for details). The estimates are in close agreement with effective Duffing constant \( \beta_{\text{hyb}} \) measured on SiNx in fig. 1f.

VII. CONCLUSION

To conclude, here we have explored nonlinear response of graphene-SiNx hybrid modes and developed a methodology to quantify corresponding nonlinear coefficients, as measured on graphene and SiNx resonator surfaces. The measured Duffing constant of the hybrid mode on SiNx surface is found to be nine orders of magnitude larger than that on graphene. This indicates that nonlinear response is highly efficient on SiNx surface, setting in at displacement scale that is two orders of magnitude smaller, at 40 pm, compared to measurement on graphene.

It is remarkable that an atomically thin resonator generates a significant backaction force \( (F_{\text{ba}} = \alpha x_g) \) on SiNx. Based on the \( F_{\text{ba}} \), a perturbative estimate yields \( \beta_{\text{hyb}} \propto \alpha^2 \frac{m_g}{m_s} \) (see ref. [36] for details) and indicates graphene to be a powerful candidate to induce such giant nonlinearity due to three primary factors: firstly, pristine graphene robustly couples to SiNx substrate via stable electrostatic forces resulting in a large coupling strength (\( \alpha \)). This also leads to better device yield. Secondly, low mass of graphene \( (m_g) \) results in a large amplitude \( (x_g) \) of oscillation, boosting the force further. Finally, exceptionally large Young’s modulus results in large nonlinear response \( \beta_{\text{bare}} \) to an applied force. Large tunable backaction force of graphene thereby emerges as the dominant mechanism behind observations in this work.

For our device, the tension of SiNx resonator is merely 80 MPa [10], leading to comparatively lower quality factors (~3000 on average), along with a dense distribution of SiNx modes (fig. 1d). An immediate improvement can therefore be towards increasing inbuilt tension of the SiNx resonator, so that one can resolve mode shapes distinctly and observe graphene induced interaction between SiNx modes of quality factors in excess of \( 10^6 \), possibly in a quantum regime. With this improvement, the hybrid device proposed here can provide a new platform for generating nonlinear induced mechanical squeezed states in precision measurements and for controlled nonlinear phase shifts in long lived mechanical bits of information, both in classical and quantum domains at room temperature.
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Supplementary Information:
Giant Tunable Mechanical Nonlinearity in Graphene-Silicon Nitride Hybrid Resonator

I. Experimental method

Experimental Setup: We use a fiber based confocal microscope with a spot size of 4 µm to optically probe our graphene-SiN\textsubscript{x} hybrid device. The microscope forms one arm of a Michelson interferometer while the reference arm is actively stabilized against drifts or fluctuations through a feedback loop and PI lock. A frequency and amplitude stabilized external cavity diode laser (ECDL) (\(\lambda = 780\) nm) is used as an optical probe. The sample is placed inside a vacuum chamber (10\(^{-2}\) mbar) with high voltage electrical leads for gate control. The entire chamber assembly is mounted on a 3D scanning stage with active position locking. Fig. S.1 below illustrates the experimental setup.

Figure S.1: Experimental Setup: The figure illustrate the basic structure of the fiber based confocal microscope in interferometric arrangement used for the measurements.

For detection, we use a balanced photo-detector with a detection bandwidth of 45 MHz. We position the sample by actively monitoring the generated 2-d confocal image, which helps in selecting the relative probe position and to lock the microscope there. The photo-current signal is analysed with spectrum analyzer and dual-lock-in-amplifier. Fig. 1c, 2b, 3b, 3d, 4a and 4b in the main text and S.2, S.10 and S.13 in the supplement are taken with spectrum analyzer while fig. 1d-f in the main text and S.3-6 and S.15 in the supplement are acquired by scanning the drive frequency from a lock-in-amplifier.
II. Displacement calibration from hybrid Brownian spectrum

Displacements are calibrated by fitting the Brownian spectrum to a model that is based on coupled modes of graphene and SiNx resonators, denoted by displacements $x_g$ and $x_s$, respectively. For thermally driven graphene and SiNx modes we ignore the nonlinear terms. The equations of motion are then given by:

\[ \ddot{x}_g + \gamma_{bare}^g \dot{x}_g + \omega_g^2 x_g - \frac{\alpha}{m_g} x_s = \frac{F_{th}^g}{m_g}, \] (S.1a)

\[ \ddot{x}_s + \gamma_{bare}^s \dot{x}_s + \omega_s^2 x_s - \frac{\alpha}{m_s} x_g = \frac{F_{th}^s}{m_s}, \] (S.1b)

where $\gamma_{bare}^k$, $\omega_k$ and $F_{th}^k$ ($k = g, s$) represent linear damping, normal mode frequency and thermal forces acting on graphene and SiNx modes respectively. Coupling of graphene and SiNx modes is modeled by an effective interaction Hamiltonian, $H_{int} = \alpha x_g x_s$, where $\alpha$, the coupling constant, is a fitting parameter. Solving the above coupled equations in Fourier space, the displacement power spectrum for the graphene resonator is:

\[ S_{x,g}^{1/2} = \kappa \left[ \frac{S_{F,g}^{th}}{m_g^2} \left( \frac{(\omega_g^2 - \omega_s^2)^2 + (\gamma_{bare}^s \omega_s^2)^2}{m_g m_s} \right) + S_{noise} \right]^{1/2}, \] (S.2)

where $S_{F,k} = 4k_B T \gamma_{bare}^k, (k = g, s)$ is the thermal force acting on graphene (g) and SiNx (s). The calibration factor, $\kappa$ along with all other free parameters are extracted by fitting experimental data, $S_{x,g}^{1/2}$ (V/$\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$) to the above equation. Using the calibration factor, the recorded spectrum is then converted into displacement spectrum.

Figure S.2: Brownian spectrum: The displacement spectrum of thermally driven mode of graphene-SiNx hybrid fitted with equation S2.

Extracted values of the fitting parameters for the Brownian mode corresponding to fig. S.2 are listed below.

$\kappa = 1.921(\pm 0.074) \times 10^{10}$ V$^2$/m$^2$, $\omega_g/2\pi = 2.8646(\pm 0.0002) \times 10^6$ Hz, $\omega_s/2\pi = 2.8656(\pm 0.001) \times 10^6$ Hz, $\gamma_{bare}^g/2\pi=11.237(\pm 0.690) \times 10^3$ Hz, $\gamma_{bare}^s/2\pi=0.744(\pm 0.234) \times 10^3$ Hz, $\alpha/4\pi^2 = 1.978(\pm 0.095) \times 10^{-3}$ kgHz$^2$.

This model can be extended for graphene interaction with multiple SiNx modes. The supplemental information of Singh, R. et.al. [1] can be referred for more information.
III. Graphene and SiNx resonator modes

A. Nonlinear modes of Graphene resonator

The sample consists of a large area SiNx resonator (320 × 320 × 0.3 µm³), with 20 µm, 15 µm and 10 µm diameter circular holes etched on to it over which monolayer graphene is deposited, thereby forming suspended drums. The graphene gets clamped to SiNx at the edges via Van der Waals forces while rest of the part above the hole remains freely hanging. When the microscope is focused on graphene, we observe its thermo-mechanical spectrum from the detected signal in an electronic spectrum analyser.

Figure S.3: Driven hybridized graphene modes: (a) Amplitude plot of weakly driven (linear regime) graphene fundamental mode that is interacting with multiple SiNx modes. The bottom panel depicts the corresponding phase response. (b) At larger drive, amplitude and phase response exhibits asymmetric profile with hysteresis, a quintessential signature of cubic nonlinearity.

Figure S.4: Nonlinearity in graphene modes: (a) Dispersion of driven graphene modes as a function drive voltage. (b) The peak amplitude of graphene modes as a function of drive voltage.
Fig. 1c, main text shows the dispersion of fundamental mode of graphene as a function of gate voltage. Mode shapes deviate from usual Lorentzian shape, due to interaction of the graphene vibrational mode with densely packed, multiple SiNx modes. Such interactions lead to sharp dips and Fano-like asymmetry in graphene spectrum (fig. S.3a). The asymmetry gets more pronounced when the graphene mode is driven on resonance. The corresponding phase profile shows an overall envelop corresponding to \( \pi \)-phase jump, as one crosses the broad graphene resonance. However, finer features in phase profile with sharp, intermediate \( \pi \) phase jumps correspond to individual SiNx modes which are coupled to graphene mode. It can be noted that in general, each of these narrow SiNx modes have a unique coupling strength to the same graphene, that is different from the other SiNx modes.

When driven harder, the broad graphene mode shows an asymmetric signature in spectra that is typical of a Duffing oscillator with cubic nonlinear response in displacement (fig. S.3b). Forward and backward sweeps of drive frequency shows hysteresis in both the amplitude and phase.

Fig. S.4a shows transition from a linear response to a Duffing-like nonlinear response for the graphene mode, coupled to multiple SiNx modes. The spectrum shows an increase in the FWHM (full width at half maximum) with increasing drive voltage, pointing towards the existence of nonlinear damping. The amplitude of the modes with drive voltage show saturation after a certain drive voltage and the critical voltage for saturation is specific to a given mode (fig. S.4b).

**B. Modes of large area Silicon Nitride resonator**

Silicon Nitride is a large area (320 × 320 × 0.3 \( \mu \text{m}^3 \)) resonator with through holes. Fig. S.5 shows the amplitude and phase of weakly driven SiNx modes. The modes are densely packed with quality factor in the range of 1000-4000. From COMSOL simulation, we estimate the inbuilt tension, \( T_s \sim 80 \) MPa.

![Amplitude and phase response of driven SiNx modes](image)

**Figure S.5: Silicon Nitride Modes:** (a) Amplitude response of driven SiNx modes. In particular the modes marked as 1, 2 and 3 and their interaction with the fundamental graphene mode were studied in detail. (b) Corresponding phase profile of the SiNx modes. (c) Simulated spatial profiles of modes X, 1, 2 and 3 indicated with their mode number and frequency respectively. Simulations were performed using COMSOL.

**C. Nonlinear response of hybrid Silicon Nitride modes under direct driving**

We observe SiNx modes, respond linearly to external drive when it is off resonant from graphene mode. However, when we couple a graphene mode to the SiNx mode, its response becomes nonlinear with applied forces and shows...
saturation in amplitude above a critical displacement.

To quantify nonlinear response of the SiNx modes, we follow the procedure described in D. Davidovikj et. al. [2]. We first extract the slope from the linear region of $x_s$ vs $V_{ac}$ plot (fig. 1e, main text). The rescaled force, $F$ corresponding to the $V_{ac}$ is given by,

$$ F = \text{slope} \frac{\omega_s^2 m_s}{Q_s} V_{ac}. \quad (S.3) $$

This rescaled force is plotted with $x_s$, the steady-state response of a Duffing oscillator, such that [2]:

$$ \zeta F = (A x_s^2 + B x_s^4 + C x_s^6)^{1/2} \quad \quad (S.4) $$

where $C = \frac{9}{16} (\beta_{hyb})^2$. Here $\zeta$ depends on the geometry of the mode and is of the order of 1.

![Figure S.6: Nonlinear response of hybrid SiNx modes](image)

The value of $\beta_{hyb1}^s$ for hybrid mode 1’ extracted from fitting result in $5.6(\pm0.5) \times 10^{21} \text{ N/m}^3$. Similarly for hybrid mode 2’, $\beta_{hyb2}^s = 8.0(\pm0.8) \times 10^{21} \text{ N/m}^3$ and for hybrid mode 4’, $\beta_{hyb4}^s = 7.6(\pm0.2) \times 10^{21} \text{ N/m}^3$ respectively. One can also estimate the nonlinear coefficient of the hybrid SiNx modes using critical displacement of that mode [3]. It is given by:

$$ \beta_{hyb}^s = 1.54 \frac{m_s \omega_s^2}{Q_s x_{s,cr}^{s,hyb}} \quad \quad (S.5) $$

For hybrid mode 1’, $x_{s,cr}^{hyb1} = 38.2 \text{ pm}$ and $Q_s = 1316.5$ result in $\beta_{hyb1}^s = 7.1(\pm1.6) \times 10^{21} \text{ N/m}^3$. Similarly for hybrid mode 2’, $\beta_{hyb2}^s = 7.6(\pm1.5) \times 10^{21} \text{ N/m}^3$ and for hybrid mode 4’, $\beta_{hyb4}^s = 7.1(\pm1.2) \times 10^{21} \text{ N/m}^3$. It is remarkable to note that the hybrid modes of SiNx are well described by a Duffing-oscillator model and therefore, induced SiNx nonlinearities can be effectively described by Duffing constants for hybrid modes.
IV. Giant, induced nonlinearity measured on SiNx surface

Here we provide few technical justifications for our usage of the term giant nonlinearity for Duffing constant as measured on SiNx surface of graphene-SiNx hybrid modes. Our justification is based on two estimates, all of which show orders of magnitude changes: (i) a comparison of nonlinear threshold of our hybrid modes to that of bare SiNx resonators without hybridization, as measured by different groups [4], (ii) a comparison of average thermal displacement and threshold displacement for the onset of nonlinearity for bare graphene, SiNx and hybrid SiNx modes. We further discuss the importance of having induced and tunable nonlinearity of SiNx resonator modes.

A. Comparison of nonlinear threshold displacements for bare and hybrid SiNx resonators:

The threshold displacement corresponding to the bare SiNx \( x_{\text{bare}}^{s,cr} \) is extracted using following relation:

\[
x_{\text{bare}}^{s,cr} = x_{\text{hyb}}^{s,cr} \sqrt{\frac{\beta_{\text{hyb}}^s}{\beta_{\text{bare}}^s}}
\]

(S.6)

where \( \beta_{\text{hyb}}^s \) and \( \beta_{\text{bare}}^s \) (= \( 5 \times 10^{12} \) N/m³) denotes hybrid and bare (following ref. [4]) Duffing constant of SiNx. For mode 1, \( \beta_{\text{hyb}}^s \) = \( 7.1 \times 10^{21} \) N/m³ and \( x_{\text{hyb}}^{s,cr} \) = 38 pm results in \( x_{\text{bare}}^{s,cr} = 1.2 \) µm. Similarly for mode 2, \( x_{\text{bare}}^{s,cr} = 1.4 \) µm and for mode 3, \( x_{\text{bare}}^{s,cr} = 1.2 \) µm. One can therefore note that such estimated displacement for onset of nonlinearity is 5 order of magnitude larger than that of hybrid SiNx.

B. Comparison of thermal displacement and displacement corresponding to nonlinear threshold:

The ratio of nonlinear threshold \( x_{\text{bare}}^{s,cr} \) and thermal displacement \( x_{\text{hyb}}^{s} \) for bare graphene is \( (4.6 \times 10^{-9}/1.04 \times 10^{-12} = ) \ 4.4 \times 10^{3} \). Using our parameters and results of ref. [4], in case of bare SiNx, the ratios are \( (1.4 \times 10^{-6}/24.5 \times 10^{-15} = )5.9 \times 10^{7}, (1.2 \times 10^{-6}/23.7 \times 10^{-15} = )5.0 \times 10^{7} \) and \( (1.2 \times 10^{-6}/25.4 \times 10^{-15} = )4.7 \times 10^{7} \) for mode 1, 2 and 3 respectively.

However, for the same hybrid mode measured on graphene, ratio is \( (1.0 \times 10^{-9}/1.04 \times 10^{-12} = ) \ 1.0 \times 10^{3} \) and \( (1.2 \times 10^{-9}/1.04 \times 10^{-12} = )1.1 \times 10^{3} \), same order as that of bare graphene.

In case of SiNx hybrid modes the ratio drops by four orders of magnitude to \( (38.2 \times 10^{-12}/24.5 \times 10^{-15} = )1.6 \times 10^{3}, (30.4 \times 10^{-12}/23.7 \times 10^{-15} = )1.3 \times 10^{3} \) and \( (31.5 \times 10^{-12}/25.4 \times 10^{-15} = )1.2 \times 10^{3} \).

C. Relevance of induced nonlinearity of SiNx modes:

SiNx resonators have shown significant promise of observing quantum mechanical behavior for high-Q mechanical resonators at room-temperature. However, one needs to engineer nonlinearity in such a quantum device, to make it useful. After all, fluctuations of a classical resonator in thermal state is similar in shape in phase space to that of fluctuations of a linear harmonic oscillator deep in the quantum regime, dominated by zero point motion. For the resonator to be useful for precision measurement, one requires to squeeze the fluctuations in one quadrature: this require nonlinear interactions. Similarly, for gate operations in information devices, it is necessary to have conditional switching and phase shifts, both of which require nonlinear interactions between modes.
V. Theoretical model

Here we discuss details of numerical simulations based on the model of coupled linear and non-linear oscillators of varying masses and quality factors. In this section, we analyze the model and find that the nonlinear system can be described by hybrid modes to some extent, akin to that of normal modes for a corresponding linear system.

A. Coupled linear SiNx and nonlinear graphene resonator

Our model is based on coupled modes of graphene and SiNx resonators, denoted by 1-dimensional amplitudes $x_g$ and $x_s$, respectively and is described by the set of equations:

$$
\ddot{x}_g = -\gamma^g_{\text{bare}}\dot{x}_g - \frac{\eta^g_{\text{bare}}}{m_g} x_g^2 \dot{x}_g - \frac{\beta^g_{\text{bare}}}{m_g} x_g^3 - \left[\omega^g_0^2 + \epsilon_p \cos(\omega_p t)\right] x_g + \frac{\alpha}{m_g} x_s \tag{S.7a}
$$

$$
\ddot{x}_s = -\gamma^s_{\text{bare}}\dot{x}_s - \omega^s_0 x_s + \frac{\alpha}{m_s} x_g \tag{S.7b}
$$

where $\gamma^k_{\text{bare}}$ and $\omega_k$ ($k = g, s$) represent linear damping and frequency of graphene and SiNx modes. Nonlinearity of graphene is quantified with two parameters: nonlinear damping $\eta^g_{\text{bare}}$ and a cubic nonlinear response, characterized by its Duffing coefficient $\beta^g_{\text{bare}}$. The graphene mode is bilinearly coupled to a SiNx mode which is modeled by an effective interaction Hamiltonian, $H_{\text{int}} = \alpha x_g x_s$, where $\alpha$ is a coupling constant. SiNx is considered to be a linear oscillator in the range of forcing that we apply in our experiments.

B. Normal modes at low-amplitudes: probe on graphene and on SiNx resonators

At low external forcing, one can ignore nonlinear terms and thereby define two normal modes $x_1$ and $x_2$. These modes extend over the entire device. However, we detect either on graphene ($x_g$) or on SiNx ($x_s$), which can be expressed as:

$$
x_g = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\alpha/m_s}} (x_2 + x_1) = x_2^g + x_1^g \tag{S.8a}
$$

and

$$
x_s = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\alpha/m_g}} (x_2 - x_1) = x_2^s - x_1^s \tag{S.8b}
$$

Figure S.7: **Experimental schematics:** Probing the two resonators graphene and SiNx, which according to equation S.7a,b detects the motion of the coupled hybrid mode (i.e. mode 1 and mode 2).
The detected amplitudes of normal mode $x_1$ (or $x_2$) on graphene or SiNx are scaled by the ratio of square-root of respective masses ($m_s/m_g \sim 10^4$). As a result, amplitude of normal mode 1 (mode 2) on graphene i.e. $x_1^0$ ($x_2^0$) is two orders of magnitude larger than the amplitude of the same mode, $x_1^f$ ($x_2^f$), detected on SiNx surface. Accordingly, we have two Duffing constants for mode 1 (mode 2): $\beta_{hyb1}^g$ ($\beta_{hyb2}^g$) detected on graphene and $\beta_{hyb1}^s$ ($\beta_{hyb2}^s$) detected on SiNx.

C. Perturbative estimation I: Difference in $\beta_{hyb}^g$, measured on SiNx and graphene surfaces

The difference in scales of Duffing constants measured on SiNx and on graphene surfaces, can be understood in the following way: it can be noted that the nonlinear forcing ($F_{NL}^g$) of a hybrid mode is uniform all along the spatial extent of the mode. However, since the hybrid mode for our device has physically two different kinds of oscillators with varying masses and surface areas, the force can be expressed as: $F_{NL} = \beta_{hyb}^g x_g^3 = \beta_{hyb}^s x_s^3$, as measured on graphene ($x_g$) or on SiNx ($x_s$). For a forcing $F_0$ and assuming a steady state amplitude of $x_{g,s} \sim F_0 Q_{g,s}/(m_{g,s} \omega_0^2)$ for graphene and SiNx, leads to an approximate ratio of the measured Duffing coefficients $\beta_{hyb}^g/\beta_{hyb}^s \sim (m_g Q_{g}/m_s Q_s)^3 \sim 10^9$. This is in accordance with our measured values of $\beta_{hyb}^g$ on graphene and on SiNx and provides a simple explanation of the giant nonlinearity measured on SiNx resonator surface.

D. Perturbative estimation II: effective nonlinearity

To get an estimate of effective scaling of induced Duffing constant of SiNx hybrid mode, $\beta_{hyb}^s$ to that of graphene’s mass ($m_g$), bare Duffing constant, $\beta_{bare}^g$ and coupling $\alpha$, perturbatively, let us consider the following simplified equations:

\[
\ddot{x}_g + \omega_0^2 x_g + \frac{\beta_{bare}^g}{m_g} x_g^3 - \alpha_g x_s = 0, \tag{S.9a}
\]

\[
\ddot{x}_s + \omega_0^2 x_s - \alpha_s x_g = 0. \tag{S.9b}
\]

where $\alpha_i = \alpha/m_i$ ($i = g, s$) and damping is ignored.

For uncoupled graphene mode ($\alpha_g = 0$) and assuming $\beta_{bare}^g x_g^3 \ll 1$, standard perturbation methods yields a (zeroth-order) solution of the form:

\[
x_g^{(0)} = A_g \cos \left[ \left( \omega_0 + \frac{3 A_g^2}{8 \omega_0^3 m_g} \right) t \right] - \frac{\beta_{bare}^g A_g^3}{32 \omega_0^6 m_g} \left( \cos \omega_0 t - \cos 3 \omega_0 t \right). \tag{S.10}
\]

where $A_g$ is a constant set by initial conditions. Substituting this zeroth order expression of $x_g^{(0)}$ in eqn. (??), we arrive at

\[
\ddot{x}_s + \omega_0^2 x_s - \frac{\beta_{hyb}^s}{m_s} x_s^3 = B \cos \omega_0 t, \tag{S.11}
\]

where, we have recognized $\cos \omega_0 t$ as $x_s^{(0)}/A_s$ ($A_s$ being $x_s^{(0)}$ when $x_s$ and $x_g$ are uncoupled) and defined:

\[
B \equiv \alpha_s A_g - \frac{\alpha_s^2 \beta_{bare}^g A_g^3}{8 \omega_0^3 m_g}, \tag{S.12}
\]

\[
\beta_{hyb}^s \equiv \frac{\alpha_s \beta_{bare}^g A_g^3}{8 \omega_0^3 m_g A_s^3}. \tag{S.13}
\]

Here, in the definition of $B$, we have ignored frequency correction.

We further note that the sign of $\alpha_s$ determines whether the SiNx is effectively a soft or a hard nonlinear oscillator. From the expression of $\beta_{hyb}^s$, one can then express an effective scaling as:

\[
\beta_{hyb}^s \propto \frac{\alpha \beta_{bare}^g m_s^3}{m_g^4}. \tag{S.14}
\]
VI. Frequency comb I: estimating nonlinear coefficients

We first develop a numerical model that reproduce the experimental observation of the frequency comb. Simulations results thereby give us estimate of $\beta^g_{\text{bare}}$ and $\eta^g_{\text{bare}}$. Next, we develop a general methodology to estimate nonlinear coefficients from measured experimental spectra on a general resonator surface. We finally apply the methodology to estimate $\beta^k_{\text{hyb}}$ and $\eta^k_{\text{hyb}}$ ($k = g, s$), as measured on graphene or SiNx surface.

A. Estimating nonlinear coefficient from simulated spectra

The parameters used in numerical simulation of equations S.7a,b were extracted by fitting the Brownian spectrum (fig. S.2) of graphene (equation S.2) and are listed in Table I. By varying the free parameters i.e. $\beta^g_{\text{bare}}$ and $\eta^g_{\text{bare}}$, we carefully calibrate and match the spectra in the instability region, where the comb is generated. The flow diagram in fig. S.8 describes the methodology of nonlinearity estimation.

![Flow diagram of nonlinearity estimation from simulation](image)

**Figure S.8: Nonlinearity estimation from simulation:** The flow diagram represents our method to estimate the nonlinear coefficients $\beta^g_{\text{bare}}$ and $\eta^g_{\text{bare}}$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>fig. 2c, main text</th>
<th>fig. 3c, main text</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$m_g$</td>
<td>kg</td>
<td>$10 \times 6.25 \times 10^{-16}$</td>
<td>$10 \times 6.25 \times 10^{-16}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m_s$</td>
<td>kg</td>
<td>$2.38 \times 10^{-11}$</td>
<td>$2.38 \times 10^{-11}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\omega_g$</td>
<td>s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>$2\pi \times 2.864 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>$2\pi \times 3.005 \times 10^6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\omega_s$</td>
<td>s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>$2\pi \times 2.866 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>$2\pi \times 3.007 \times 10^6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma^g_{\text{bare}}$</td>
<td>s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>$2\pi \times 25050$</td>
<td>$2\pi \times 8045.8$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma^s_{\text{bare}}$</td>
<td>s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>$2\pi \times 744$</td>
<td>$2\pi \times 496.4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$</td>
<td>kg$s^{-2}$</td>
<td>$4\pi^2 \times 2.328 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$4\pi^2 \times 3.4 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta^g_{\text{bare}}$</td>
<td>N/m$^3$</td>
<td>$5.8 \times 10^{13}$</td>
<td>$1.07 \times 10^{12}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\eta^g_{\text{bare}}$</td>
<td>Ns/m$^3$</td>
<td>$9.8 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>$7.5 \times 10^4$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Numerically, we observe that the asymmetry in the envelop of the generated comb increases when nonlinear coefficient ($\beta^g_{\text{bare}}$) is increased (fig. S.9a) while the slope of the envelop changes with non-linear damping coefficient ($\eta^g_{\text{bare}}$) (fig. S.9b). The overall asymmetric fan-like shape of the generated comb is therefore a result of interplay between nonlinear damping and Duffing nonlinearity.
Figure S.9: **Interplay of Duffing constant and nonlinear damping**: The simulated profile of the instability region depends on the values of $\beta_{\text{bare}}^g$ and $\eta_{\text{bare}}^g$, the curves illustrate the envelop of the instability region in accordance with fig. 3a, main text. (a) For a fixed $\eta_{\text{bare}}^g$, the asymmetry of the profile increases with increasing $\beta_{\text{bare}}^g$ values. (b) For a fixed $\beta_{\text{bare}}^g$ value, the simulated profile becomes narrower with increasing $\eta_{\text{bare}}^g$ values.

B. Methodology: Nonlinearity from comb amplitudes measured on any generic resonator surface

When modes are driven at twice the resonance frequency, we observe parametric gain (fig. S.10) in both the hybrid modes below a threshold pump voltage. Above the threshold, in the self-oscillation regime, we observe mixing of modes. We attribute this mixing to nonlinearity in the system (and as modeled in equation S.7a,b). Using amplitudes of newly generated modes, we thereby estimate the nonlinear coefficients.

Figure S.10: **Multimode spectrum on graphene**: (a) Selected cross-sectional plots of graphene-SiNx hybrid on graphene as a function of pump voltage from fig. 2b, main text. (b) Plot of gain as a function of pump voltage for the hybrid modes up to the critical voltage, the fitting curves were referred from ref. [1].
In particular, starting with amplitudes of four modes of frequency comb to be $A_1$, $A_1'$, $A_2$ and $A_2'$, such that the corresponding displacement (measured on graphene or SiNx surface) can be expressed as:

$$x_k = (A_1 e^{-i\Delta t} + A_1' e^{i\Delta t} + A_2 e^{-i3\Delta t} + A_2' e^{i3\Delta t}) e^{i\omega_0 t}$$  \hspace{1cm} (S.15)

where $k = g, s$ and $n\Delta$ ($n = 1, 3$) is the separation of modes from the central frequency, $\omega_0$. Combining equation S.15 with S.7a, the Duffing nonlinearity and nonlinear damping terms are expressed as follows:

$$\beta_{hyb}^k (x_k^2) x_k + \eta_{hyb}^k (x_k^2) \dot{x}_k = \beta_{hyb}^k (A_1 e^{-i\Delta t} + A_1' e^{i\Delta t} + A_2 e^{-i3\Delta t} + A_2' e^{i3\Delta t}) e^{i\omega_0 t} + \eta_{hyb}^k (A_1 e^{-i\Delta t} + A_1' e^{i\Delta t} + A_2 e^{-i3\Delta t} + A_2' e^{i3\Delta t})^2 \{ (\omega_0 - \Delta) A_1 e^{-i\Delta t} + (\omega_0 + \Delta) A_1' e^{i\Delta t} \} e^{i\omega_0 t}$$  \hspace{1cm} (S.16)

Solving using rotating wave approximation, and collecting the terms corresponding to modes at $\pm 3\Delta$ from central frequency, we get

$$A_1^3 \beta_{hyb}^k + iA_1^3 (\omega_0 - \Delta) \eta_{hyb}^k = 6A_2 \Delta \omega_0 m_g$$  \hspace{1cm} (S.17a)

and,

$$A_1'^3 \beta_{hyb}^k + iA_1'^3 (\omega_0 + \Delta) \eta_{hyb}^k = 6A_2' \Delta \omega_0 m_g.$$  \hspace{1cm} (S.17b)

Square of above expression, yields

$$(\beta_{hyb}^k)^2 + (\omega_0 - \Delta)^2 (\eta_{hyb}^k)^2 = 36 \left( \frac{A_2}{A_1^3} \right)^2 \Delta^2 \omega_0^2 m_g^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (S.18a)

$$(\beta_{hyb}^k)^2 + (\omega_0 + \Delta)^2 (\eta_{hyb}^k)^2 = 36 \left( \frac{A_2'}{A_1'^3} \right)^2 \Delta^2 \omega_0^2 m_g^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (S.18b)

Similarly, collecting terms corresponding to $\pm 5\Delta$ from equation S.16 and squaring it, we get

$$9(\beta_{hyb}^k)^2 + (3\omega_0 - 5\Delta)^2 (\eta_{hyb}^k)^2 = 100 \left( \frac{A_3^2}{(A_1^3 A_2 + A_1 A_2^2)^2} \right) \Delta^2 \omega_0^2 m_g^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (S.19a)

$$9(\beta_{hyb}^k)^2 + (3\omega_0 + 5\Delta)^2 (\eta_{hyb}^k)^2 = 100 \left( \frac{A_3'^2}{(A_1'^3 A_2 + A_1 A_2'^2)^2} \right) \Delta^2 \omega_0^2 m_g^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (S.19b)

where $A_3$ and $A_3'$ depicts amplitude of newly generated modes, emerging at $\pm 5\Delta$ from central frequency.

Solving equation S.18a and S.19a for the nonlinear coefficients, we finally get:

$$\beta_{hyb2}^k = \frac{\omega_0 \Delta m_g}{\sqrt{12\omega_0 \Delta}} \sqrt{100(\omega_0^2 - 2\omega_0 \Delta) \left( \frac{A_3^2}{(A_1^3 A_2 + A_1 A_2^2)^2} \right) - 36(9\omega_0^2 - 30\omega_0 \Delta) \left( \frac{A_2}{A_1^3} \right)^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (S.20)

and

$$\eta_{hyb2}^k = \frac{\omega_0 \Delta m_g}{\sqrt{12\omega_0 \Delta}} \sqrt{-100 \left( \frac{A_3^2}{(A_1^3 A_2 + A_1 A_2^2)^2} \right) + 324 \left( \frac{A_2}{A_1^3} \right)^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (S.21)

where $\beta_{hyb2}^k$ and $\eta_{hyb2}^k$ are nonlinear damping and Duffing nonlinear coefficient of the left ($\omega_1$) hybrid mode. Similarly solving equation S.18b and S.19b for the right ($\omega_2$) hybrid mode we get:

$$\beta_{hyb1}^k = \frac{\omega_0 \Delta m_g}{\sqrt{12\omega_0 \Delta}} \sqrt{-100(\omega_0^2 + 2\omega_0 \Delta) \left( \frac{A_3'}{A_1'^3 A_2 + A_1' A_2'^2} \right) + 36(9\omega_0^2 + 30\omega_0 \Delta) \left( \frac{A_2'}{A_1'^3} \right)^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (S.22)

and

$$\eta_{hyb1}^k = \frac{\omega_0 \Delta m_g}{\sqrt{12\omega_0 \Delta}} \sqrt{100 \left( \frac{A_3'}{A_1'^3 A_2 + A_1' A_2'^2} \right)^2 - 324 \left( \frac{A_2'}{A_1'^3} \right)^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (S.23)
C. Application I: Estimating nonlinear coefficients on graphene resonator surface

Based on the above methodology, we estimate values of $\beta_{h_{hyb}(2,1)}^g$ and $\eta_{h_{hyb}(2,1)}^g$ (corresponding to experimental data of fig. 2b, main text) for every pump voltage above threshold (fig. S.11).

Figure S.11: **Estimated nonlinear parameters**: Following our nonlinear coefficient estimation scheme, we determine (a) nonlinear coefficient, $\beta_{h_{hyb}(2,1)}^g$ and (b) nonlinear damping, $\eta_{h_{hyb}(2,1)}^g$ values for fig. 2b, main text. The dashed lines in the plots indicate the average value of the extracted parameters.

Corresponding values of $\beta_{h_{hyb}(2,1)}^g$ and $\eta_{h_{hyb}(2,1)}^g$ as obtained from numerical simulation (corresponding to fig. 2c, main text) are also plotted with pump voltage (fig. S.12). There is an overall agreement between the experimental observations and numerical simulations.

Figure S.12: **Estimated nonlinear parameters from simulation**: We determine (a) nonlinear coefficient, $\beta_{h_{hyb}(2,1)}^g$ and (b) nonlinear damping, $\eta_{h_{hyb}(2,1)}^g$ from fig. 2c, main text. The values of nonlinear coefficients remain fairly constant with the pump voltage. The dashed lines indicate the average value of calculated parameters.

This method gives an estimate of the nonlinear coefficients of coupled hybrid graphene-SiNx mode from spectral measurements.
D. Application II: Estimating Duffing constant on SiNx resonator

We have already establish graphene to be nonlinear resonator with many intriguing properties in parametric regime, which we expect to observe in SiNx at resonance with graphene. However due to huge mass of SiNx, the nonlinear signature is not easily detectable when probed on SiNx. The signature of parametrically driven graphene-Silicon Nitride hybrid mode is observed with small number of new generated modes (fig. S.13). Looking at the asymmetry we can conclude about large nonlinearity.

Figure S.13: Frequency comb on SiNx surface: Induced multi-mode spectrum on SiNx as a function of pump voltage.

Figure S.14: Simulated multimode spectrum on SiNx: Simulation of induced multi-mode spectrum in SiNx.

We simulate using equation S.7a,b, where SiNx is treated as a linear resonator, i.e., $\beta_s, \eta_s = 0$ and observe multimode generation in SiNx spectrum (fig. S.14), further validating our observation. The nonlinear coefficients estimated from simulation plots (fig. S.14) using equation S.20-23 turns out to be, $\beta_{hyb2}(\beta_{hyb1}) = 4.9 \times 10^{23}(4.7 \times 10^{23})$ N/m$^3$ and $\eta_{hyb2}(\eta_{hyb1}) = 2.7 \times 10^{16}(2.6 \times 10^{16})$ Ns/m$^3$, in harmony with the experimentally measured values.
VII. Frequency comb II: Back-action force of graphene resonator mode on Silicon Nitride due to strong coupling

SiNx behaves as a linear resonator within its dynamic range for the maximum value of external forcing we can apply in our experiments i.e. its nonlinear coefficients are negligibly small. The corresponding dynamics of the uncoupled SiNx mode can thereby be described as:

\[ \ddot{x}_s + \gamma_{bare}^s \dot{x}_s + \omega_s^2 x_s = \frac{F_s}{m_s} \]  \hspace{1cm} (S.24)

When coupled to graphene it experiences an additional back-action force, \( F_{ba}(x_g) = \alpha x_g \). The corresponding modified equation for SiNx is then:

\[ \ddot{x}_s + \gamma_{bare}^s \dot{x}_s + \omega_s^2 x_s = \frac{F_s}{m_s} + \frac{F_{ba}(x_g)}{m_s} \]  \hspace{1cm} (S.25)

Using equation S.3 and S.4 and data points from fig. 1e, main text, we plot the capacitive forcing on the SiNx modes when they are not coupled to graphene, as a function of drive voltage (fig. S.15a). In fig. S.15b, we repeat the same exercise but using the scenario of coupled SiNx and graphene modes of fig. 1f, main text. We subtract the capacitive forcing contribution from fig. S.15a and plot only the back-action force \( F_{ba} \). It can be observed that the back action force \( F_{ba} \) is an order of magnitude larger than the capacitive force acting on the SiNx resonator.

Figure S.15: Forces on SiNx: (a) Capacitive force acting on modes of SiNx as a function of drive voltage. (b) Backaction force experienced by SiNx modes coupled with graphene as a function of drive voltage.
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