

Two dimensional Nearly de Sitter gravity

Juan Maldacena¹, Gustavo J. Turiaci² and Zhenbin Yang³

¹Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, NJ 08540, USA

²Physics Department, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106, USA

³Jadwin Hall, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08540, USA

Abstract

We study some aspects of the de Sitter version of Jackiw-Teitelboim gravity. Though we do not have propagating gravitons, we have a boundary mode when we compute observables with a fixed dilaton and metric at the boundary. We compute the no-boundary wavefunctions and probability measures to all orders in perturbation theory. We also discuss contributions from different topologies, borrowing recent results by Saad, Shenker and Stanford. We discuss how the boundary mode leads to gravitational corrections to cosmological observables when we add matter. Finally, starting from a four dimensional gravity theory with a positive cosmological constant, we consider a nearly extremal black hole and argue that some observables are dominated by the two dimensional nearly de Sitter gravity dynamics.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Nearly dS_2 gravity	3
2.1	Action	3
2.2	The asymptotic nearly-symmetries and the boundary modes	4
2.3	The wavefunction of the universe	6
2.4	Superspace analysis	8
2.5	Sum over topologies	12
3	Nearly dS_2 from four dimensional gravity	14
3.1	Four dimensional gravity and the Schwarzschild de-Sitter solution	14
3.2	The classical no-boundary wavefunction for $S^1 \times S^2$	16
3.3	Relation between the four dimensional and the two dimensional Hartle-Hawking wavefunctions	19
3.4	Some remarks on contour choices and contributing saddle points	21
3.5	Four dimensional cosmological correlators	22
4	Matter correlators in dS_2	25
4.1	Poincare patch	25
4.2	Global dS_2	26
5	Gravitational corrections to matter correlators	28
5.1	Including gravitational corrections to the wavefunction	28
5.2	Tree Level	30
5.3	Loop corrections	33
5.4	Further comments on corrections to the wavefunction	35
6	Conclusions and discussion	36
6.1	Pure JT gravity	36
6.2	Gravitational corrections in two dimensions	38
6.3	Relation to SYK	38
A	Partition functions and Nearly-AdS_2 WdW wavefunctions	39
B	Details on Matter Correlators	41
B.1	Matter Partition Function	41
B.1.1	Partition Function on H_2	41
B.1.2	Partition function on S^2	42
B.1.3	S^2 partition function and $Z_D Z_N$	42
B.2	Backreaction: Perturbation theory	43
B.3	Four-point function identity	46
B.4	Linearized Graviton Calculation	46

C	ADM decomposition	48
D	Nearly dS_2 from D dimensional gravity	50
E	Computation of the action for geometries with Lorentzian conical singularities.	50
E.1	Evaluating the action away from the singularity	51
E.2	Evaluating the regularized cone action	51
E.3	Euclidean Actions in general two dimensional gravity theories	53
F	Integration contours and contributing saddles	55
F.1	Euclidean AdS blackhole saddle point analysis	59

1 Introduction

Recently, there has been intensive study of one of the simplest two dimensional gravity theories; the JT gravity theory [1, 2], see also [3, 4]. It has been mostly studied with negative curvature, see eg [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26]¹. This theory arises as the universal description of gravitational effects for near extremal black holes [29, 30, 31].

Here we consider the JT theory with positive curvature (see also [32, 33] and [34, 35]). This describes nearly-de-Sitter two dimensional gravity. We first study the pure gravity theory and argue that the physics is very similar to the nearly Anti-de-Sitter case. We explain that the computation of the no-boundary wavefunctions is very similar to the computation of anti-de-Sitter partition functions. These are probability amplitudes for finding a universe with a 1-geometry which is a circle of a given size and with a given value of the dilaton. We explain how perturbative quantum effects can be incorporated by the dynamics of boundary modes similar to the ones appearing in the nearly- AdS_2 case. In addition, we mention that one can also consider non-perturbative effects that correspond to summing over geometries that are essentially the same as the ones considered recently by Saad, Shenker and Stanford [26]. Our discussion can be viewed as a reinterpretation of those results into a de-Sitter context. This is perhaps not too surprising given the simplicity of this two dimensional gravity theory.

These two dimensional gravity results can be viewed as giving four dimensional gravity results in a limit where the dynamics is dominated by a two dimensional nearly- dS_2 region. This arises as follows. We can consider black holes in four dimensional de-Sitter space. Such black holes have a maximum mass (or maximum horizon area). As we approach this maximum the geometry develops a region with a nearly $dS_2 \times S^2$ geometry. In this case, the leading effects that break the dS_2 isometries are due to gravitational modes captured by the nearly- dS_2 gravity described above. We discuss some aspects of the computation

¹The zero curvature case was recently discussed in [27, 28].

of the no boundary proposal when the boundary is $S^1 \times S^2$ (see [36] and more recently [37, 38]), and argue that when the size of the S^1 becomes very large, the computation reduces to the one in two dimensional gravity. In this regime, there is a long period of two dimensional expansion that transitions to a four dimensional expansion. We will also mention that the four dimensional correlators, in the same long distance limit, reduce to the two dimensional correlators, together with their quantum corrections.

We then discuss matter correlators in exactly dS_2 with no gravity. The simplicity of nearly- dS_2 gravity allows us to compute quantum gravity corrections to these correlators. The gravitational corrections to the wavefunctions reduce to an integral over the boundary modes (as in the nearly- AdS_2 case). On the other hand, in de Sitter it is natural to compute expectation values. These can be computed by performing an integral over the boundary values of the fields in the square of the wavefunction. This leads to expressions for quantum corrections of expectation values which are relatively simple. Note that now we have two boundary modes, one for the bra and the other for the ket of the wavefunctions.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we discuss various aspects of two dimensional nearly- dS_2 gravity, its action, boundary modes, no boundary wavefunctions, sums over topologies, etc. In section 3, we describe how this two dimensional theory arises from a four dimensional gravity theory. In section 4, we recall the computation of matter correlators in dS_2 with no gravity. In section 5, we compute the gravitational correction to matter correlators by integrating over the boundary modes. We finish with some conclusions and a discussion.

As a side comment, in appendix A, we show how the nearly- AdS_2 partition function is related to the Klein Gordon inner product of two wavefunctions, where the slice independence of the Klein Gordon inner product is interpreted as the RG flow of the boundary theory.

2 Nearly dS_2 gravity

2.1 Action

The action for nearly- dS_2 gravity can be written as

$$iS = i\phi_0 \left[\int d^2x \sqrt{g} R - 2 \int_{Bdy} K \right] + i \int d^2x \sqrt{g} \phi (R - 2) - i2 \int_{Bdy} \phi_b K \quad (2.1)$$

The first term, proportional to ϕ_0 , is purely topological and captures the de Sitter entropy. The second term is the de-Sitter version of the Jackiw-Teitelboim theory [1, 2]. We have indicated also the boundary terms.

The equations of motion for ϕ set the metric to be dS_2 . The equations of motion for the metric determine ϕ up to a few constants. In fact, as in any two dimensional dilaton gravity theory, all solutions have a Killing vector, given by $\zeta^\mu = \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu \phi$ [39]. Therefore we can use it to simplify the form of the solution, which differs depending on the location of

the fixed point of this Killing vector. We find three different types of solutions

$$ds_{\text{Poincare}}^2 = \frac{-d\eta^2 + dx^2}{\eta^2}, \quad \phi = \frac{\phi_p}{\eta} \quad (2.2)$$

$$ds_{\text{Global}}^2 = -d\tau^2 + \cosh^2 \tau d\varphi^2, \quad \phi = \phi_g \sinh \tau \quad (2.3)$$

$$\begin{cases} ds_{\text{Milne}}^2 = -d\hat{\tau}^2 + \sinh^2 \hat{\tau} d\chi^2, & \phi = \phi_m \cosh \hat{\tau} \\ ds_{\text{Static}}^2 = d\hat{\theta}^2 - \sin^2 \hat{\theta} dt^2, & \phi = \phi_m \cos \hat{\theta} \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

The last two are simply different coordinate patches of the same solution. The two patches are connected via $\hat{\tau} = 0$ which is just a coordinate singularity. The coordinates are related by $\hat{\tau} \rightarrow i\hat{\theta}$ and $\chi \rightarrow it$, which produces a metric with a time translation symmetry. The static patch has two horizons, one of which can be viewed as a black hole horizon and the other as a cosmological horizon. See figure 1(c) for the regions of the Penrose diagram covered by these coordinates. We can view (2.4) as describing a nearly- dS_2 expanding universe with two black holes at its two “ends” and $\chi = \pm\infty$. The “static” coordinates in (2.4) cover the region in the vicinity of the black hole horizon while the “Milne” patch in (2.4) covers the expanding region.

As we will discuss below, when nearly- dS_2 arises from a higher dimensional theory, we find that $\phi_0 + \phi$ is typically the area of a transverse sphere. So, the regions where ϕ becomes very negative will lead to a singularity and the regions where ϕ increases tend to match into expanding higher dimensional spaces. Notice also that, independently of any higher dimensional consideration, $\phi_0 + \phi$ governs the cost to produce a topology change in the geometry, so when this becomes small we would need to include other topologies. In this paper we will mostly imagine that $\phi_0 + \phi$ is large, so that we do not have to worry about topology change².

2.2 The asymptotic nearly-symmetries and the boundary modes

We are interested in computing observables of the cosmological type, so we will be looking at the expanding regions, and also the regions where ϕ is growing. In principle, the wavefunction of the universe depends on the metric of the spatial slice and the value of ϕ along that slice. However, the constraints (coming from the reparametrization gauge symmetry) determine much of this dependence. So it is convenient to pick a gauge where ϕ takes a constant value along the spatial slice. This is equivalent to a choice of time. This choice of time is well defined in the region that ϕ is becoming very large. We will also pick a gauge where the spatial coordinate is chosen so as to represent proper distance, u . These two choices are essentially the same as the ones we do when we analyze the Nearly- AdS_2 problem [6].

As in AdS_2 , dS_2 has an asymptotic symmetry group corresponding to reparametrizations,

$$x \rightarrow \tilde{x}(x), \quad \eta \rightarrow \tilde{\eta} = \eta \frac{d\tilde{x}}{dx} \quad (2.5)$$

²The only exception is subsection 2.5.




Figure 1: The Carter-Penrose diagram of dS_2 is a horizontal strip. On top of this diagram we display the regions where ϕ goes to $+\infty$ in blue and to $-\infty$ in red, the latter representing some kind of “singularity”. In (a) we display (2.2) in (b) (2.3) in (c) we see (2.4). The region covered by the Milne type coordinates is yellow and the region covered by the static coordinates in green. In addition we have a region that corresponds to the interior of a black hole shaded in grey.

where x and η are the coordinates in (2.2). The growing dilaton breaks this symmetry and leads to change in action for two configurations related by this transformation. This change can be computed by doing the path integral over ϕ in the bulk, which sets the metric to be exactly dS_2 . We also fix the value of ϕ at the boundary. Then the transformations (2.5) act on the shape of the boundary in dS_2 (see figure 2). More explicitly, we can think of the boundary curve as parametrized by a function $x(u)$ where x is the spatial coordinate in (2.2) and u is proper time. Then η is fixed by the condition

$$du^2 = \frac{dx^2}{\eta^2} \longrightarrow \eta = -\frac{dx}{du} \quad (2.6)$$

for very small η . In addition we are assuming that we will look at large proper distances $u \propto 1/|\eta|$ corresponding to fixed comoving coordinate intervals in x . Then the extrinsic curvature term gives

$$iS = -i2\phi_b \int K = -i2\phi_b \int du + i2\phi_b \int du \{x(u), u\} \quad (2.7)$$

for small η . The first term gives a result proportional to the total length. This term says that the universe is expanding, since the momentum conjugate to ϕ_b is $-i\partial_{\phi_b} = \pi_{\phi_b} \propto -\dot{\rho}$




Figure 2: Different configurations of dS_2 related by an asymptotic symmetry.

where ρ is the conformal factor of the metric, see (2.15). By acting with $-i\partial_{\phi_b}$ on (2.7) we see that we get an expanding solution. The second term in (2.7) involves the Schwarzian derivative,

$$\{x, u\} = \frac{x'''}{x'} - \frac{3}{2} \frac{x''^2}{x'^2} \quad (2.8)$$

and it depends on the shape of the boundary curve. It is the first term violating the asymptotic symmetry (2.5) of exact dS_2 .

2.3 The wavefunction of the universe

Here we consider the computation of the wavefunction of the universe,

$$\Psi_+ = \sum_{\text{Geometries}} e^{iS} \quad (2.9)$$

where the sum is over geometries that end on the particular spatial slice and have a large value of the dilaton on that slice. The plus means that we will be focusing on the part of the wavefunction that contains the expanding universe. We can call this the “positive frequency” part of the wavefunction, as we will discuss in more detail below. The sum in (2.9) also contains a path integral over the boundary modes, $x(u)$, with the action (2.7). This is the same as what we had in the case of nearly- AdS_2 [5, 6, 7].

In addition, the computation of the wavefunction of the universe should include some prescription for how to deal with the geometries at early time. One such prescription is the Hartle-Hawking no boundary prescription [40]. This consists of summing over geometries that have been continued into the “positive imaginary time” direction and have no boundaries at early times. It is possible for the geometry to have no boundary because of the continuation to Euclidean space. What we mean by “positive imaginary time” direction

is that it selects the vacuum for the high frequency modes of the fields. It is the extension of Feynman's $i\epsilon$ prescription³.

In our perspective, as opposed to [40], we focus only on the positive frequency part and we will use the Klein-Gordon inner product. In addition, we will only apply (2.9) for a boundary in the asymptotically expanding region. As we discuss in more detail in appendix A, this is analogous to the way we treat the AdS problem (for another perspective see also [44]).

A simple example of such a geometry is the following. Imagine that we fix the future slice to be a circle of a size ℓ . Then we can consider the lorentzian geometry (2.3) from the large times τ down to $\tau = 0$. Then we can join this to its euclidean continuation

$$ds^2 = d\theta^2 + \cos^2 \theta d\varphi^2, \quad \phi = i\phi_g \sin \theta, \quad \tau = i\theta, \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \varphi \sim \varphi + 2\pi \quad (2.10)$$

Then the geometry shrinks smoothly at $\theta = \pi/2$, and has no boundary. See figure 3(b). An important point to note is that ϕ_g is real if we want the future geometry to end on a real value of ϕ_b . However, we see that in Euclidean space we have an imaginary value for ϕ . When dS_2 comes from a higher dimensional theory, this means that the higher dimensional geometry is complex. This is not a problem and it is consistent with the no boundary prescription as discussed in e.g. [45] and more recently in [46].




Figure 3: In (a) we see the integration contour in the complex τ plane, where τ is the time coordinate in (2.3). The contour starts at $\tau = i\pi/2$. The usual choice is to run it down along $\tau = i\theta$, for real θ , to $\tau = 0$ and then continue along the real and positive τ axis. (b) Picture for the geometry along the traditional contour. First we get a half sphere and then it is joined to half of global de-Sitter. An alternative choice of contour is displayed by the red dashed line where $\tau = i\frac{\pi}{2} + \tilde{\tau}$ for real τ . (c) For real $\tilde{\tau}$ the metric is minus the metric in hyperbolic space.

In conclusion, in the classical approximation, we can use this analytically continued

³Other possibilities for the wavefunction of the universe have been proposed, for example, the tunneling proposal [41, 42, 43]. It would be interesting to explore it in this two dimensional context.

geometry to get

$$\Psi_{+\text{Classical}} \sim \exp \left[-i2\phi_b \ell + 4\pi\phi_0 + i\frac{4\pi^2\phi_b}{\ell} \right], \quad S_{0,dS} = 8\pi\phi_0 \quad (2.11)$$

The term involving the de-Sitter entropy comes from the first term in (2.1), and from the Euclidean part of the geometry. The term going like $1/\ell$ comes for the classical solution for the Schwarzian action, after inserting $x(u) = \tan(\frac{\pi u}{\ell})$ in (2.7). Equivalently, we could have had the Schwarzian discussion in the global coordinates (2.3) which would have given us (2.7) with $x(u) = \tan \frac{\varphi(u)}{2}$. Then we can set $\varphi = 2\pi u/\ell$.

The simplicity of two dimensional gravity allows us to compute perturbative gravity corrections to this result (2.11). The computation is essentially the same as for Nearly- AdS_2 [47]. The quantum corrections arise by doing the path integral over the Schwarzian degrees of freedom. To be more precise, this is the ℓ dependent part of the quantum corrections. Other quantum corrections, that could arise from other fields moving the bulk, give an answer which is $SL(2)$ invariant and contributes only to $S_{0,dS}$.

Thus, all perturbative quantum corrections give

$$\Psi_+ \propto \left(\frac{\phi_b}{\ell} \right)^{3/2} \exp \left[-i2\phi_b \ell + \frac{1}{2} S_{0,dS} + i\frac{4\pi^2\phi_b}{\ell} \right] \quad (2.12)$$

where the $1/\ell^{3/2}$ arises from a one loop effect and there are no further corrections [47]. As in [47], we can express the answer as an integral of the form

$$\Psi_+ \propto \int_0^\infty dE \rho(E) e^{i\ell E}, \quad \rho(E) = e^{\frac{S_{0,dS}}{2}} \sinh \left(4\pi \sqrt{\phi_b E} \right) \quad (2.13)$$

$$\Psi_+ \propto e^{\frac{S_{0,dS}}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^\infty ds s e^s \exp \left(i \frac{\ell s^2}{16\pi^2 \phi_b} \right) \quad (2.14)$$

where in the second equation we defined s as the argument of the sinh in (2.13) to obtain an integral of the form $\int_0^\infty ds s \sinh se^{ics^2}$. We then extended the range of the integral and used the symmetry of the integrand under $s \rightarrow -s$.

2.4 Superspace analysis

It is interesting to compare (2.12) to what we can obtain from a mini-superspace⁴ analysis. Aspects of canonical quantization were discussed in [3, 4]. Since there are no propagating modes, the minisuperspace analysis is the same as all of superspace since we can fix the gauge where the only variables are a constant value of ϕ and the proper length ℓ of the circle (see appendix C). This gauge is good when we are in the asymptotic future and we ignore other topologies, etc. We expect it to fail when the size of the circle shrinks to zero,

⁴We follow the usual tradition of naming the space of all metric “superspace” (maybe a better name would be “megaspaces”). Do not confuse this with the superspace that arises in supersymmetric theories.

for example. We write the spacetime metric as $ds^2 = e^{2\rho}(-d\eta^2 + d\varphi^2)$, with $\varphi \sim \varphi + 2\pi$. After integrating by parts in (2.1) we find an action of the form

$$iS = -4\pi i \int d\eta [\dot{\phi}\dot{\rho} + \phi e^{2\rho}] , \quad \longrightarrow \quad \pi_\phi = -4\pi\dot{\rho} \quad (2.15)$$

restricting ourself to $\partial_\varphi\phi = 0$ and $\partial_\varphi\rho = 0$ while keeping the physical degree of freedom. We still need to impose the Hamiltonian constraint. The action implies that the momentum conjugate for ϕ is indeed proportional to $-\dot{\rho}$ as we remarked earlier. The only variables on the wavefunction are a constant value of ϕ and ρ , $\Psi[\phi, \rho]$. The Hamiltonian constraint contains a term that looks like the wave equation

$$\partial_\phi\partial_\rho\Psi + 16\pi^2\phi e^{2\rho}\Psi = 0 , \quad \text{or} \quad [4\partial_u\partial_v + 4]\Psi = 0 , \quad u \equiv \phi^2 , \quad v \equiv (2\pi)^2 e^{2\rho} \quad (2.16)$$

After using that our previous definition of ℓ was such that $\ell = 2\pi e^\rho$, we see that we get a wave equation with positive square mass in Minkowski space

$$ds^2 = -dudv = -dT^2 + T^2 d\sigma^2, \quad (2.17)$$

$$\text{with } T = \sqrt{uv} = \phi 2\pi e^\rho = \phi\ell , \quad e^\sigma = \sqrt{\frac{v}{u}} = \frac{2\pi e^\rho}{\phi} = \frac{\ell}{\phi} \quad (2.18)$$

In other words, the superspace is flat Minkowski space. More precisely, the region where we trust this superspace description is the far future of the its Milne wedge, $T \gg 1$. We are interested in the asymptotic form of the solutions for large T . Writing the wavefunction as

$$\Psi \sim e^{im\sigma} F_m(T) \quad (2.19)$$

we find that (2.16) becomes a Bessel function for F . Then, after expanding for large T we find that the general solution for large T has the form

$$\Psi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} e^{-2iT} f(\sigma) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} e^{+2iT} \tilde{f}(\sigma) , \quad T \gg 1 \quad (2.20)$$

where f and \tilde{f} are arbitrary functions which are not fixed by looking purely at the large T region. In principle, they should be found by looking at the solutions in the full superspace with the appropriate boundary conditions. Notice that at $v = 0$ the circle shrinks to zero size and we will need special boundary conditions there. The expanding, or “positive frequency” solutions, correspond to the first term in (2.20), and we will focus purely on that term from now on. We see that the result in (2.12) corresponds to a particular function $f \propto e^{-\sigma} \exp[i4\pi^2 e^{-\sigma}]$ which then gives

$$\Psi_+ = \frac{1}{\phi_b} \left(\frac{\phi_b}{\ell} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \exp \left[-i2\phi_b\ell + 4\pi\phi_0 + i\frac{4\pi^2\phi_b}{\ell} \right] , \quad \phi_b\ell \gg 1 \quad (2.21)$$

where we have indicated that this is the asymptotic form of the solution in the large T region. Note that we determined this solution from (2.9), rather than by solving the wave equation (2.16) in the full space.

It is interesting to note that the classical solutions (2.3) become simply straight lines in Minkowski space

$$e^\gamma u - e^{-\gamma} v = \text{const} \longrightarrow e^\gamma = \frac{2\pi}{\phi_g} = \frac{\ell}{\phi_b} \quad (2.22)$$

where γ is the rapidity or “boost angle” of the classical particle trajectory, and we used the classical solution (2.3). Notice that the region $u > 0$ corresponds to the Lorentzian region, while we move towards $u < 0$ with (2.10). The no boundary proposal says that we always have $v > 0$ and we have no interpretation for the region $v < 0$. The boundary condition at $v = 0$ is that the solution shrinks smoothly, which implies a condition on the (euclidean) conformal time derivative of the scale factor, $\partial_\theta \rho = -1$. This in turn fixes the momentum conjugate to ϕ , and then implies that $\pi_u = -\frac{2\pi}{\phi_g}$ where ϕ_g is related to the value of ϕ at the tip of the sphere, (2.10). This, together with (2.16), sets the slope (2.22) and implies that the trajectory crosses $u = 0$ at $v = (2\pi)^2$. So, all classical trajectories pass through this point, see figure 4(a). This is a consequence of the no-boundary proposal. Of course, the wavefunction (2.21) gives us a particular superposition of trajectories or more precisely a wavefunction for a relativistic particle on Minkowski space.

We could wonder whether the wavefunction (2.21) can be reproduced by a simple computation for a massive field in Minkowski space. Since all classical trajectories pass through the point $(u, v) = (0, (2\pi)^2)$, it is natural to conjecture that the wavefunction could be given by the insertion of a field operator at this point. Just by looking at the answer, (2.21), we find that it matches what we would have if we had inserted an operator $\partial_v \Phi(u, v)|_{u=0, v=(2\pi)^2}$, where Φ is the field operator for the massive field in Minkowski space⁵. We do not know the significance of this fact. In particular, note that the boundary conditions for the no-boundary wavefunction were imposed at the line $v = 0$, and not this particular point! Note also that once we include other topologies, as we will discuss in section 2.5, we will have other contributions to the wavefunction.

Note that (2.21), compared to (2.12), has an additional factor of $1/\phi_b$, which is ℓ independent. In general, the two dimensional Klein Gordon inner product takes the form

$$\langle \bar{\Psi}, \Psi \rangle_{KG} = i \int * (\bar{\Psi} d\Psi - \Psi d\bar{\Psi}) \quad (2.23)$$

where the integral is over a codimension one slice. As usual, to get a non-zero and positive answer we should consider just the “positive” frequency components, which in terms of the asymptotic form of the solutions in (2.20) corresponds to considering only the first term. This is natural if we view these wavefunctions in the context of a “third quantization” where they are multiplying universe creation and annihilation operators. In any case, we will only be applying these formulas for very large T where the notion of positive and negative frequencies is well defined and it is related to whether we consider an expanding

⁵The exact expression is $\Psi_+ = i \sqrt{\frac{u}{v - (2\pi)^2 - i\epsilon}} K_1(2i \sqrt{u(v - (2\pi)^2 - i\epsilon)})$. It is interesting that the Feynman $i\epsilon$ prescription gives us both growing wavefunction in the forbidden region and an expanding universe.




Figure 4: (a) The superspace for the JT gravity theory after a partial gauge fixing is just the Minkowski space parametrized by u and v . The late time region corresponds to $T \gg 1$. Classical solutions correspond to the usual straight lines for classical particles in Minkowski space. The condition that space shrinks smoothly corresponds to a boundary condition at $v = 0$ which sets the momentum to be such that all classical trajectories go through the point $u = 0, v = (2\pi)^2$. The region $u < 0$ corresponds to imaginary ϕ and arises after euclidean continuation of the solution. We display two possible classical trajectories. (b) We show the same space for the Euclidean AdS JT gravity theory analyzed in appendix A. The asymptotic region corresponds now to the left Rindler wedge. We also display the trajectories of the classical solutions.

or contracting universe. In our case we can take slices with constant ϕ_b and the integral becomes [48]

$$\langle \bar{\Psi}, \Psi \rangle_{KG} = i \int d\ell (\bar{\Psi} \partial_\ell \Psi - \Psi \partial_\ell \bar{\Psi}) \quad (2.24)$$

The derivative with respect to ℓ gets its leading contribution from the term $e^{-2i\phi_b \ell}$ and produces a factor of ϕ_b . As usual, we see that the Klein Gordon norm gives a positive value when we consider a wavefunction with a purely “positive” frequency part. If we had a wavefunction with both positive and negative frequencies we would need to project on to the positive frequency part before computing the Klein Gordon norm. In summary, the final expression involves an integral of the form

$$\langle \bar{\Psi}, \Psi \rangle_{KG} = e^{S_{0,dS}} \int \frac{d\ell \phi_b^3}{\phi_b \ell^3} = e^{S_{0,dS}} \int \frac{\hat{\ell}}{\hat{\ell}^3}, \quad \hat{\ell} = \frac{\ell}{\phi_b} \quad (2.25)$$

So, we derived the measure of integration for $\hat{\ell}$, up to an overall numerical constant that we have absorbed in $S_{0,dS}$.

This integral is divergent at small $\hat{\ell}$. This suggests that the sphere partition function diverges in the JT theory. However, at small ℓ we are going away from the Schwarzian limit and more information about the exact wavefunction is needed. In principle, one could attempt a computation of the sphere partition function by performing the functional

integral. We have not managed to get a concrete answer due to the presence of zero modes (both bosonic and fermionic, from ghosts), whose precise treatment we leave to the future.

The conclusion is that (2.25) represents the final probability measure for different sizes for the universe. Notice that it depends on ϕ and ℓ only through their ratio, $\hat{\ell}$, which is a quantity that is independent of time for late times, while each of them individually is growing in time. This computation involves the simplest topology but is valid to all orders in gravity perturbation theory.

One might be surprised by the factor of ϕ_b in (2.21) since we did not have such a factor in the computation of the partition function of nearly- AdS_2 . In appendix A we explain the connection between Wheeler de Witt wavefunctions and the partition function of the dual field theory.

2.5 Sum over topologies

In principle, the sum (2.9) includes also a sum over topologies. For pure JT gravity with negative cosmological constant this sum was done in [26]. An important element was that the ϕ integral sets the geometry to have negative curvature. Then there are many possible topologies with one boundary. The genus suppression factor was e^{-2S_0} .

In our case, we have positive curvature, so naively we cannot use the same idea. Before giving up completely, let us look again at the computation we discussed near (2.10) and figure 3(a). The usual presentation of this computation corresponds to picking a contour in the τ plane describing the metric in (2.3). We chose a contour that starts at $\tau = i\pi/2$ and runs along the imaginary axis up to $\tau = 0$ and then along the real τ axis, see figure 3. However, we could as well choose a contour that also starts at $\tau = i\pi/2$, but we keep the imaginary part fixed and increase the real part. In other words, we set

$$\tau = i\frac{\pi}{2} + \tilde{\tau} \quad (2.26)$$

with $\tilde{\tau}$ real. In these coordinates the metric (2.3) takes the form

$$ds^2 = -(d\tilde{\tau}^2 + \sinh^2 \tilde{\tau} d\varphi^2) \quad (2.27)$$

So the topology looks like a disk, where the circle shrinks smoothly at $\tilde{\tau} = 0$. The overall sign of the metric is negative and this makes this spacetime a solution of the JT action with *positive* cosmological constant (see also [49, 50]).

This inspires us to consider instead geometries that have the form

$$ds^2 = -(d\tilde{\tau}^2 + \cosh^2 \tilde{\tau} dx^2) \quad (2.28)$$

where we set $x \sim x+b$, see figure 5. This is a trumpet like geometry, as the ones considered in [26]. We can cut this geometry at $\tilde{\tau} = 0$ and join a genus g constant negative curvature Riemann surface with a single boundary with proper length b . The metric is just minus the metric considered in [26]. When we integrate over the moduli space of Riemann surfaces




Figure 5: Sketch of the sum over “negative” trumpet geometries (2.28) [26]. To the left we have $-AdS$ as in figure 3(c) and we add contributions with different topologies, also integrated over the size b of the throat.

we do not expect this overall minus sign to give any difference. The only difference will be in the action of the trumpet and the integral over the Schwarzian modes, which will have a difference due to the extra i , as we had for the disk. The geometry (2.28) is singular when continued to the original coordinate τ , giving $ds^2 = -d\tau^2 + \sinh^2 \tau dx^2$, with a singularity at $\tau = 0$. The geometries we discussed above are non-singular and represent an analytic continuation used for the purpose of defining the no boundary wavefunction for these geometries.

So the final conclusion is that the sum over all single boundary topologies gives us

$$\Psi_+ = Z_{SSS}\left(\frac{\beta}{\phi_b} \rightarrow -i\frac{\ell}{\phi_b}; S_0 \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}S_{0,dS}\right) \sim \langle Tr[e^{i\hat{\ell}H}] \rangle \quad (2.29)$$

where $Z_{SSS}(\frac{\beta}{\phi_b}; S_0)$ is the sum obtained in [26]. Even though higher genus contributions are suppressed by factors like $e^{-gS_{0,dS}}$, the sum over genera is divergent. In [26] a possible completion was chosen. In this completion, the result can be viewed in terms of a random hermitian matrix theory, where the matrix is the Hamiltonian of the AdS_2 problem. Here we have a similar result, except that (2.29) can be viewed as $Tr[e^{i\hat{\ell}H}]$ instead of $Tr[e^{-\beta H}]$, where H is the random hermitian matrix. What was the Hamiltonian in the Nearly- AdS_2 case becomes an operator performing space translations along the spatial boundary in the dS_2 problem. If we view Milne space (2.4) as describing a black hole, then H seems to be related to (minus) its energy.

When we consider $\langle \bar{\Psi}_+, \Psi_+ \rangle$ we get two copies of the system, and we can now get geometries that connect the two sides (the dS analog of [16]). These are interesting new geometries in the de-Sitter context that we plan to explore in more detail in the future. But the sum over all such connected geometries corresponds to computing $\langle Tr[e^{i\hat{\ell}H}]Tr[e^{-i\hat{\ell}H}] \rangle$. The idea of averaging over Hamiltonians looks somewhat natural in de-Sitter. It could correspond to the following. Assuming that some form of dS/CFT is true, we find that that the wavefunctions would be dual to some field theory. That correspondence would be precise if we wait to the infinite future. However, if we put a finite (but large) time cutoff, then we do not know what will happen in the future, and this could give rise to an average




Figure 6: Penrose diagrams for a black hole in dS_4 as a function of the mass parameter μ . The dots on the sides indicate the possibility of continuing the diagram or identifying the endpoints.

over the precise couplings of the boundary “CFT”. It is conceivable that in the case of pure JT gravity this boils down to an average of Hamiltonians as was found in [26].

3 Nearly dS_2 from four dimensional gravity

In this section we will discuss a particular four dimensional theory where the two dimensional gravity that we discussed arises in some limit. The general D dimensional case is very similar and discussed in appendix D.

3.1 Four dimensional gravity and the Schwarzschild de-Sitter solution

We start with the standard four dimensional gravity action with a positive cosmological constant $\Lambda = 3/R_{dS}^2$

$$S_L = \frac{R_{dS}^2}{16\pi G_N} \left[\int \sqrt{g}(R - 6) - 2 \int K \right], \quad (3.30)$$

where we rescaled the metric by an overall factor of R_{dS}^2 so that now the simplest classical solution is de Sitter space with unit radius.

Let us recall the Schwarzschild de-Sitter solution

$$ds^2 = -f d\tau_r^2 + \frac{d\rho^2}{f} + \rho^2 d\Omega_2^2, \quad f = 1 - \rho^2 - \frac{\mu}{\rho}, \quad \mu = 2MG_N/R_{dS}^2 \quad (3.31)$$

Penrose diagrams for various ranges of μ are shown in figure 6. For the case in figure 6(b) we have a static patch region, with $f > 0$, bounded by two horizons, where $f(\rho) = 0$; the black hole horizon ρ_+ and the cosmological horizon ρ_c , with $0 < \rho_+ < \rho_c < 1$. For $\rho_c < \rho$, τ_r is spacelike and we are in an expanding region. For this range of μ , the solution can be viewed as a pair of black holes in four dimensional de-Sitter.

If we go to Euclidean time, so that τ_r is purely imaginary, and set its period to β , $\tau_r \sim \tau_r - i\beta$, with

$$\beta = \frac{4\pi\rho_+}{1 - 3\rho_+^2}, \quad 1 - \rho_+^2 - \mu/\rho_+ = 0, \quad (3.32)$$

then the Euclidean circle shrinks smoothly as $\rho \rightarrow \rho_+$. Just for later purposes let us record here the values of the mass and entropy of the black hole in terms of ρ_+

$$M = \frac{1}{2} \frac{R_{dS}^2}{G_N} (\rho_+ - \rho_+^3) , \quad S = \frac{\pi R_{dS}^2}{G_N} \rho_+^2 \quad (3.33)$$

The entropy here is only the entropy coming from the black hole horizon, excluding any contribution from the cosmological horizon.

If we demanded that the euclidean solution is smooth at the cosmological horizon we would have obtained the same equation as (3.32) but with $\beta \rightarrow -\beta$.⁶

We see that the temperature goes to zero, or $\beta \rightarrow \infty$, when $\rho_+ \rightarrow \rho_e$, with

$$\rho_e = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} , \quad \mu \rightarrow \mu_e = \frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}} , \quad f \rightarrow -3(\rho - \rho_e)^2 , \quad \text{for } \rho \sim \rho_e \quad (3.34)$$

At this point, the black hole horizon and the cosmological horizon have the same area, $\rho_+ = \rho_c = \rho_e$. However, they are separated by a non-zero proper distance because f is also going to zero near $\rho \sim \rho_e$. In fact, in this region, the metric becomes $dS_2 \times S^2$. This solution is sometimes called an “extremal” black hole or “Nariai” solution [51][52] (see also [53, 54] for applications in inflation). This is the maximal mass (and entropy) black hole that we can have in de Sitter space.

If the value of μ is slightly below μ_e then we get a long region where the metric is approximately $dS_2 \times S^2$ which then transitions to a full four dimensional de-Sitter solution. In the nearly dS_2 region the metric is described by

$$ds^2 = \rho_e^2 ds_{dS_2}^2 + \rho_e^2 (1 + \delta)^2 d\Omega_2^2 , \quad \delta = \frac{\rho}{\rho_e} - 1 \quad (3.35)$$

The metric describing the transition to the dS_4 region can be approximated by (3.31) with $\mu \rightarrow \mu_e$. The number of e-folds during the nearly- dS_2 region is given by

$$\mathcal{N}_{\text{e-folds}}^{dS_2} \sim \log \beta \sim -\frac{1}{2} \log |\mu_e - \mu| \quad (3.36)$$

up to additive constants.

We conclude that, for very large β , there is a region of the geometry where we can perform a Kaluza Klein reduction to two dimensional nearly- dS_2 gravity. The effective two dimensional gravity action becomes (2.1) with

$$\phi_0 = \frac{R_{dS_4}^2 \rho_e^2}{4G_N} , \quad \phi = 2\phi_0 \delta \quad (3.37)$$

where δ is defined in (3.35).

⁶It is not possible to remove the singularity at both horizons with the same β .

We can also consider solutions where $\mu > \mu_e$, see figure 6(d). These solutions have a singularity in the past. Again, when μ is very close to μ_e , the solutions have a long period where the metric looks like a nearly dS_2 gravity configuration, of the form in (2.3).

Finally, for $\mu < 0$ we get solutions as in figure 6(a) which contain a naked singularity in the static patch region.

Below, we will be performing various analytic continuations of this basic solution.

3.2 The classical no-boundary wavefunction for $S^1 \times S^2$

We start by reviewing the computation for these wavefunctions that was done in section 5.2 of [37] (this was studied originally in [36], see also [55], [38], [56] and [57]). First we will describe the geometries that give rise to saddle points. There are four saddle points. We will argue that two of them do not satisfy the no-boundary conditions because they go into the wrong half of the complex plane. Whether the other two contribute or not depends on the contour of integration. We will discuss various plausible choices below.

In this section we will discuss the computation of the no-boundary wavefunction for three geometries that asymptote to $S^1 \times S^2$, or

$$ds^2 \sim -\frac{d\rho^2}{\rho^2} + \rho^2(d\tau_r^2 + d\Omega_2^2), \quad \tau_r \sim \tau_r + \lambda, \quad \rho \rightarrow \infty \quad (3.38)$$

The metric (3.31) has this asymptotic form and τ is a spacelike for large ρ . Here we are also compactifying $\tau_r \sim \tau_r + \lambda$ so that we have a circle at late times. We see that λ has the interpretation of the proper length of the circle at late times in units of the radius of the sphere. Both the size of the sphere and the size of the circle are expanding, but their ratio, λ , is staying fixed at late times.

This identification introduces a singularity at the cosmological horizon of the static patch when $\mu < \mu_e$. For $\mu > \mu_e$ the metric was singular in the past, and compactifying the τ_r direction does not introduce an additional singularity. So, all the real metrics are singular. Nevertheless we can still apply the no boundary proposal because this proposal instructs us to continue ρ in the positive imaginary direction and find a complex geometry with no boundary. A simple example of such geometries is the following. We set $\rho = ir$ and write the metric

$$ds^2 = -[f d\tau_r^2 + \frac{dr^2}{f} + r^2 d\Omega_2^2], \quad f = 1 + r^2 - \frac{\tilde{\mu}}{r}, \quad \tilde{\mu} = -i\mu \quad (3.39)$$

Up to an overall sign this looks like the metric for the Euclidean AdS Schwarzschild black hole (when $\tilde{\mu}$ is real). In this case, the period, λ , of the τ_r circle is related to r_+ via

$$\lambda = \frac{4\pi r_+}{1 + 3r_+^2}, \quad \tilde{\mu} = r_+(1 + r_+^2), \quad \text{or} \quad (3.40)$$

$$i\lambda = \frac{4\pi \rho_+}{1 - 3\rho_+^2}, \quad \mu = \rho_+(1 - \rho_+^2) \quad (3.41)$$

and λ has the interpretation of the inverse temperature of the AdS black hole. We get these geometries by thinking of the no boundary proposal along a contour like the one in dashed lines in figure 3(a). Notice that due to the overall minus sign in (3.39) this is a solution of the Einstein equations with *positive* cosmological constant. Normally we require that physical solutions have positive metrics. However, in computing the no boundary proposal, we are doing an analytic continuation, and along the dashed path in figure 3(a) we actually have a negative metric.

Evaluating the de-Sitter action on this geometry, and being careful both with the boundary terms (see appendix E), we find (as in [58, 59])

$$iS = iS_{\text{Large}} + iS_{\text{Finite}} \quad (3.42)$$

$$iS_{\text{Large}} = -i \frac{R_{dS}^2}{G_N} \lambda (\rho_b^3 - \frac{\rho_b}{2}) = -i \frac{R_{dS}^2}{4\pi G_N} \left[\int \sqrt{g^{(3)}} \left(1 - \frac{R^{(3)}}{4} \right) \right] \quad (3.43)$$

$$iS_{\text{Finite}} = \frac{\pi R_{dS}^2}{G_N} \frac{r_+^2(1-r_+^2)}{1+3r_+^2} = -\frac{\pi R_{dS}^2}{G_N} \frac{\rho_+^2(\rho_+^2+1)}{1-3\rho_+^2}, \quad \rho_+ = ir_+ \quad (3.44)$$

The large terms give a rapidly oscillating phase in the wavefunction of the universe and are the ones responsible for giving the expansion of the universe. Here ρ_b is the value of ρ at the future slice where we are evaluating the wavefunction of the universe. We have also expressed the large terms as a function of the local metric and curvature of the three-metric of that slice. Here we will be interested in the finite terms⁷.

Now, for each value of λ in (3.40) there are two values of r_+ or equivalently two values of ρ_+ . For $\lambda < \lambda_c = 2\pi/\sqrt{3}$, these two values of r_+ are purely real, or ρ_+ purely imaginary. While for $\lambda > \lambda_c$ they are both complex. Now, in all these cases the value of μ of the corresponding de-Sitter solution is complex. This means that these geometries cannot be interpreted as real geometries but rather as complex solutions that are only used to compute the wavefunction of the universe according to the no-boundary proposal. It is convenient to solve the equation for ρ_+

$$\frac{\rho_+}{\rho_e} = \frac{i}{y} \pm \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{y^2}}, \quad y = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_c}, \quad \lambda_c = \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{3}} \quad (3.45)$$

and ρ_e is the extremal value in (3.34). In figure 7 we plot the values of ρ_+/ρ_e for various values of λ . Note that as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, then $\rho_+ \rightarrow \rho_e$ and the solution develops the nearly dS_2 region. For both of these saddles we can evaluate the total amount of imaginary proper time evolution and we get

$$\Delta \text{Im}(t_{\text{proper}}) = \text{Im} \left[\int_{\rho_+}^{\infty} \frac{d\rho}{\sqrt{-f(\rho)}} \right] < 0 \quad (3.46)$$

The fact that it is less than zero implies that the saddles are consistent with the no-boundary proposal, i.e. we are going into the right direction of the complex plane, so as

⁷ iS_{Finite} is minus the final value that we would have had for $\log Z$ if we were doing the AdS problem.

to prepare a reasonable state for the matter fields. Just for reference, the total imaginary proper time evolution for the configurations in section 2.3 is $-\pi/2$ (from (2.10)).




Figure 7: We plot the values of ρ_+ for the allowed saddle points as we change λ . For each λ there are two solutions one on the red line (the left and top line) and one on the blue line (the right and bottom line). We suspect that the blue ones are the physically relevant solutions. For $\lambda > \lambda_c$ we move along the circle, reaching the real axis at $\lambda = \infty$. For $\lambda < \lambda_c$ we move along the purely imaginary axis towards the origin, which we reach as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$.

We had mentioned that there exist other possible classical solutions which are obtained by analytically continuing the euclidean black hole solution which is regular at the cosmological horizon. These are obtained from the previous ones, (3.45), by changing $\lambda \rightarrow -\lambda$. These solutions have the opposite sign for imaginary proper time, (3.46), basically because they are the complex conjugates of the solutions we discussed above. For this reason, they do *not* obey the no-boundary condition. They are going into the wrong side of the complex plane. So we will not include these other solutions⁸.

Now, out of the solutions that are allowed, (3.45), we need to select the ones that actually do contribute. This is something that requires us to know the integration contour. This is a contour on the whole functional integral and it is beyond the scope of this paper to determine it. We will make some remarks on the choice of contour in section 3.4 and appendix F. For now we will just mention that we think that the following saddles contribute (these are in blue in figure (7)).

$$\frac{\rho_+}{\rho_e} = \frac{i}{y} + \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{y^2}}, \quad \text{for } y = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_c} > 1 \quad (3.47)$$

$$\frac{\rho_+}{\rho_e} = i \left[\frac{1}{y} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{y^2} - 1} \right], \quad \text{for } y = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_c} < 1 \quad (3.48)$$

⁸This removes the solution that had similar behavior to the proposed dual of Vasiliev's theory, see near equation (5.17) of [37].

We see that as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ we get $\rho_+ \rightarrow \rho_e$. It also includes the one that looks similar to the small AdS black holes for $\lambda < \lambda_c$.

In the next section we will connect the saddle (3.47) to the nearly dS_2 computation of the wavefunction. Therefore, we are assuming this saddle does indeed contribute.

This analysis can be repeated for an asymptotic $S^1 \times H^{d-1}$ geometry. The bulk is a hyperbolic black hole in Euclidean AdS [60]. But these geometries do not develop a nearly dS_2 region, so we will not discuss them further.

3.3 Relation between the four dimensional and the two dimensional Hartle-Hawking wavefunctions

In the previous section we described bulk complex geometries that prepare a no boundary state in the asymptotic future on a $S^1 \times S^2$ slice. In this section, we will focus on the limit of a large S^1 , namely $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$.

To begin with, we will compute the classical no boundary wavefunction in this limit. For this we will use the saddle (3.47). Expanding (3.42) for large λ gives, up to a divergent phase,

$$\Psi_{cl}(\lambda) \sim \exp \left(-i\lambda M_e + S_e + i\frac{C_1}{\lambda} - \frac{C_2}{\lambda^2} + \dots \right) \quad (3.49)$$

where the dots indicate subleading terms ⁹ and

$$M_e = \frac{R_{dS}^2 \mu_e}{G_N 2}, \quad S_e = \frac{R_{dS}^2}{G_N} \pi \rho_e^2 \quad (3.50)$$

$$C_1 = \frac{R_{dS}^2}{G_N} 2\pi^2 \rho_e^3, \quad C_2 = \frac{R_{dS}^2}{G_N} \frac{8\pi^3}{27} \quad (3.51)$$

where $\rho_e = 1/\sqrt{3}$ and $\mu_e = 2\rho_e^3$. Note that S_e is the extremal black hole entropy and it is given by the area of its horizon, or the area of the cosmological horizon, but *not* the sum of the two.

The second and third terms in (3.49) can be related to the ones that appear in the JT gravity theory for parameters (3.37). The second term, related to the entropy, is straightforward. The third term requires us to identify ℓ/ϕ_b with λ . These parameters are defined in different regions of the geometry, ℓ/ϕ_b is defined in the region still well approximated by JT theory, while λ is defined in the asymptotic four dimensional region. To relate these two parameters it is necessary to discuss the metric connecting the nearly dS_2 region with the four dimensional geometry. This metric can be approximated by the extremal metric (3.31) with $\mu = \mu_e$ given by

$$ds^2 = (-f)d\tau_r^2 - \frac{d\rho^2}{(-f)} + \rho^2 d\Omega_2^2, \quad -f = (\rho - \rho_e)^2 \left(1 + \frac{2\rho_e}{\rho}\right) \quad (3.52)$$

⁹Curiously the subleading terms indicated by $+\dots$ in (3.49) are all pure phases (for $\lambda > \lambda_c$) and there are no further corrections to the absolute value $|\Psi_{cl}(\lambda)|$.

In practice, λ is the period of the τ_r coordinate. Expanding this metric near $\rho \sim \rho_e$ and using the definition of the dilaton in (3.37) we find

$$\ell \sim 3\lambda(\rho - \rho_e) , \quad \phi_b = 2\phi_0(\rho - \rho_e)/\rho_e , \quad \frac{\phi_b}{\ell} = \frac{2\phi_0\rho_e}{\lambda} \quad (3.53)$$

After this identification, third term of (2.11) agrees with the third of (3.49). The first term in (2.11) does not agree with the first term in (3.49). This is not a problem, these are just phases that depend on the late time regularization, and we are implicitly using different late time regularizations when we look at the wavefunction from the two or four dimensional perspective.

Finally, the last term in (3.49) is a new term, not captured by the JT gravity theory. Of course, it becomes very small when $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, which is the regime of validity of the JT gravity. However, this term renders the wavefunction normalizable, eliminating the small ℓ divergence of the integral over the probability measure in (2.25). So the important point about the last term in (3.49) is that it is real and it provides a suppression at small λ in the classical answer

$$|\Psi_{cl}(\lambda)|^2 = e^{2S_e} e^{-2C_2/\lambda^2} \quad (3.54)$$

In conclusion, for very large λ we can approximate the computation of the full Hartle-Hawking wavefunction in terms of the computation in the nearly- dS_2 theory. This computation correctly gives the contribution from the saddle point geometry and all its perturbative corrections in the large λ region. It includes the corrections from the determinants of the four dimensional fields, etc. The point is that those determinants are consistent with the isometries of dS_2 and only contribute to the extremal entropy S_e . The only λ dependent contribution comes from the two dimensional gravitational modes and gives a factor of $1/\lambda^3$ in the measure. So the total contribution to the square of the wavefunction is ¹⁰

$$|\Psi_s(\lambda)|^2 = e^{2S_e} e^{-2C_2/\lambda^2} \frac{1}{\lambda^3} \quad (3.55)$$

Since $C_2 \propto \frac{R_{dS}^2}{G_N}$ we see the wavefunction is peaked at a large $\lambda \sim R_{dS}/\sqrt{G_N} \gg 1$. This means that the approximations we made are correct at that maximum. Note that the peak value of λ arises from a balance between classical and quantum effects. The reason that the final answer is trustworthy is that the classical part is very small for large λ .

¹⁰To be precise, the quantum correction is not only given from the JT gravity mode but also from an $SO(3)$ gauge field arising from dimensional reduction. The final answer (which can be extracted from section 3.4 of [61]) gives $|\Psi_s(\lambda)|^2 = e^{2S_e - 2C_2/\lambda^2} \lambda^{-3}$ (for $\lambda \gtrsim R_{dS}^2/G_N$) and $|\Psi_s(\lambda)|^2 = e^{2S_e - 2C_2/\lambda^2} \lambda^{-6}$ (for $\lambda \lesssim R_{dS}^2/G_N$). This does not affect the conclusion that the wavefunction is normalizable and peaked around a size of order $\lambda \sim R_{dS}/\sqrt{G_N}$. We thank Henry Lin for pointing this out.

3.4 Some remarks on contour choices and contributing saddle points

In the Euclidean AdS_4 problem with a $S^1_\beta \times S^2$ boundary we face a similar issue when we have to decide which saddle points contribute. There, a reasonable way to proceed would be to do the functional integral over the metrics with fixed black hole area. This introduces a conical singularity at the black hole horizon. Then integrating over this last variable selects the particular black hole areas (or masses) which make the solution smooth at the horizon. The black hole solutions can be viewed as saddle points for this last integral. In this case it is reasonable to assume that the contour integral is over positive masses, or positive values of r_+ . This selects which saddles contribute. See appendix F.1. Note that we are talking about whether a saddle contributes or not, which is independent of the question of whether it is the *largest* contribution. This question arises after we decide which ones contribute.

We can mimic this in the dS_4 context. We can start with the real solution (3.31) and compactify the τ_r circle to $\tau_r \sim \tau_r + \lambda$. This produces a conical singularity at ρ_+ , where $f(\rho_+) = 0$. We then fill the geometry near this singularity with an off shell rounded complex cone which obeys the no boundary condition. This allows us to evaluate the action, see appendix E,

$$iS_{\text{Finite}}^{\text{off}} = \frac{R_{dS}^2}{G_N} \left[-i\frac{\lambda}{2}(\rho_+ - \rho_+^3) + \pi\rho_+^2 \right] = -i\lambda M + S \quad (3.56)$$

where M is formally the analytic continuation of the mass of the de-Sitter black hole and S is its entropy (3.33). Notice that the final form is the same as that of the free energy if we set $\beta = i\lambda$. Note that we did not assume the last equality in (3.56), we derived it from the action of the off shell configuration. This derivation made most sense for $\rho_+ > \rho_e$ so that ρ_+ is the first conical singularity that we encounter. But it can be analytically continued to any value of ρ_+ without any change in the answer. When it is analytically continued we might encounter other singularities, then we go around them again the positive imaginary direction and find no extra contribution, see appendix E. Of course, the saddle points for this action, (3.56), reproduce (3.45).

In order to seek some guidance on the choice of contour, we will start by analyzing (3.56) near $\rho_+ \sim \rho_e$. This is the regime where we can trust the two dimensional gravity answer. In this regime the action looks like

$$iS_{\text{Finite}}^{\text{off}} \sim -i\lambda M_e + S_e + \frac{R_{dS}^2}{G_N} \left(i\frac{\lambda}{2\rho_e}(\rho - \rho_e)^2 + 2\pi\rho_e(\rho - \rho_e) \right) \quad (3.57)$$

with M_e , S_e given in (3.50). This looks similar to the exponent in expression in (2.14), where $\rho - \rho_e$ is proportional to s in (2.14). The term quadratic in $\rho - \rho_e$ is related to the energy, E , in (2.14), (2.13), and it goes as $E \propto -(\mu - \mu_e) \propto (\rho - \rho_e)^2$. So the fact that we have positive energies in (2.13) is related to the fact that black hole masses should be less than the extremal mass. On the other hand, for a given mass we have two entropies,

$S_e \pm |s|$, which correspond to the black hole entropy or to the cosmological horizon entropy. Note that this is *not* equal to the naive entropy of the static patch, which would have been the sum of the two entropies.

From the fact that in (2.14) we have an integral over s we conclude that the integral over ρ_+ should extend to both sides of ρ_e . And also, from (2.13), we conclude that the integral is restricted to $E \geq 0$ or $\mu \leq \mu_e$.

Now, this analysis only tells us what the contour in ρ_+ looks like for the region near $\rho_+ \sim \rho_e$. As we go away from this region we do not have the luxury of the perturbatively exact results of nearly dS_2 gravity.

So one guess for the contour is that ρ_+ starts at $\rho_+ = 0$ and ends at $\rho_+ = 1$. This then covers all physical black holes $0 \leq \mu \leq \mu_e$. Another possibility is to continue to $\rho_+ \rightarrow \infty$. This is reasonable because in this case the geometries with a conical defect angle seem well defined. However, for $\rho_+ > 1$, we have $\mu < 0$ and the spacetime contains a naked singularity, visible from the far future, which does not look too reasonable.

With both of these possibilities we find that the saddles that contribute are the ones in (3.47) (3.48). In addition we have an endpoint contribution at $\rho_+ = 0$, which is subleading and, in the case that we end the contour at $\rho_+ = 1$, we have an endpoint contribution that is actually dominant over the saddles (3.47) (3.48). This endpoint contribution corresponds to dS_4 spacetime in Milne type coordinates. The action is equal to the entropy of dS_4 . The details of this analysis are presented in appendix F ¹¹. It would be interesting to understand this point further.

3.5 Four dimensional cosmological correlators

Here we connect four dimensional correlators to two dimensional ones. In sections 4 and 5 we will compute the two dimensional correlators and their quantum corrections. Here we are just motivating that computation from a four dimensional point of view.

It is useful to start first with the exactly extremal geometry (3.52). This asymptotes to $dS_2 \times S^2$ in the far past, for $\rho \rightarrow \rho_e$, and to dS_4 in the far future. We have a past infinite period of dS_2 expansion followed by a future infinite period of dS_4 expansion. Notice that the future boundary of dS_4 is a three sphere. On the other hand in (3.52) it looks like $R \times S^2$. But $R \times S^2$ and S^3 are related by a conformal rescaling, which amounts to a different choice of time slicing in the bulk. It is convenient for us to consider the $R \times S^2$ presentation since the correlators will only have $R \times SO(3)$ symmetry. For distances smaller than the size of S^2 the cosmological correlators at late times will have the usual four dimensional de-Sitter form. These correspond to modes that have crossed the horizon when the universe was already well approximated by four dimensional de Sitter space. On the other hand, for modes at distances larger than the size of the S^2 (see figures 8 and 9) the cosmological correlators will be essentially given by the two dimensional theory. These are modes which crossed the horizon when the universe was well approximated by

¹¹See [57] for another perspective on this issue.




Figure 8: Correlators on $R \times S_2$, the blue solid line represents short distance correlation functions and the red dashed line represents long distance correlation functions (distance larger than the size of sphere).

$dS_2 \times S^2$.

As a simple example, let us consider a minimally coupled massless scalar field h . For simplicity we could expand in spherical harmonics on the sphere. If we consider the lowest harmonic and fourier transform the correlator in the τ_r direction , at late times, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle h(k)h(-k) \rangle &\propto \frac{1}{|k|^3}, & |k| \gg 1 \\ \langle h(k)h(-k) \rangle &\propto \frac{1}{|k|}, & |k| \ll 1 \end{aligned} \quad (3.58)$$

where the zero subindex indicates zero angular momentum on the S^2 . (here we assumed that the τ_r direction is non-compact.).

We expect that the exactly dS_2 geometry will have strong backreaction issues due to quantum gravity. But we can similarly consider nearly dS_2 geometries by setting μ to be slightly higher or smaller than μ_e . The case when $\mu < \mu_e$ corresponds to having a pair of black holes in de Sitter, one at the north pole and the other at the south pole of the S^3 . Both black holes have masses very close to the extremal value. Similarly, we could consider $\mu > \mu_e$. In this case, the full geometry has a past singularity, see figure 6(d).

In both cases, it is useful to relate the nearly dS_2 forms of the metric to the four dimensional metrics

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= \frac{1}{3} [-d\tau^2 + \cosh^2 \tau d\varphi^2 + d\Omega_2]; & \delta &= \delta\mu^{\frac{1}{2}} 3^{\frac{1}{4}} \sinh \tau; & \tau_r &= \frac{\varphi}{3^{\frac{3}{4}} \delta\mu^{\frac{1}{2}}}; & \delta\mu &> 0 \\ ds^2 &= \frac{1}{3} [-d\hat{\tau}^2 + \sinh^2 \hat{\tau} d\chi^2 + d\Omega_2]; & \delta &= |\delta\mu|^{\frac{1}{2}} 3^{\frac{1}{4}} \cosh \hat{\tau}; & \tau_r &= \frac{\chi}{3^{\frac{3}{4}} |\delta\mu|^{\frac{1}{2}}}; & \delta\mu &< 0 \\ \delta &= \frac{\rho}{\rho_e} - 1, & \delta\mu &= \mu - \mu_e, & |\delta\mu| &\ll 1 \end{aligned} \quad (3.59)$$

These changes of coordinates allow us to read the form of the two dimensional dilaton, which is related to $\rho - \rho_e$. Since the four dimensional geometry takes over when ρ becomes different from ρ_e by an order one amount, we can read off the amount of two dimensional




Figure 9: For dimensional correlators for near extremal geometries. For short distances we have the usual four dimensional results. For long distances, distances larger than the size of the S^2 , we have correlators dominated by the effectively two dimensional region.

e-foldings from (3.59) as the value of τ where δ would be of order one. This gives

$$\mathcal{N}_{\text{efolds}} \sim \Delta\tau \sim -\frac{1}{2} \log |\mu - \mu_e| \sim -\log \left(\frac{\phi_{g,m}}{\phi_0} \right), \quad \text{for } |\mu - \mu_e| \ll 1 \quad (3.60)$$

We also see that the number of e-folds is proportional to the log of the coefficient of the dilaton in (2.3) or (2.4), see (3.37). For $\delta\mu > 0$ this is the number of e-folds of the expanding two dimensional phase. There is a similar number of e-folds for a preceding contracting two dimensional phase that comes out of a four dimensional region close to the singularity. See figure 6(d). In the case that we compactified the direction τ_r to $\tau_r \sim \tau_r + \lambda$, we imagined that the universe results from a no boundary proposal. In that case we were considering solutions where $\mu - \mu_e$ was adjusted so that the circle had proper length $2\pi\rho_e$ at $\tau = 0$ so that we can join it to the euclidean sphere. In this case, we do not view the region with $\tau < 0$ as physical. For $\delta\mu < 0$ (3.60) is the number of e-folds from the cosmological horizon of the two dimensional static patch to the region that the four dimensional expansion takes over. In both of these cases the two dimensional matter correlators and its quantum corrections, which we will compute in sections 4, 5, can be directly related to the four dimensional ones.

Note that, for $\mu < \mu_e$, shifts of φ corresponds to time evolution in the static patch, and also to a spacelike evolution in the far future along the R direction of $R \times S^2$. In general, features that occur at different times along the static patch are imprinted at different points in space along the R direction.

4 Matter correlators in dS_2

So far we have neglected the presence of matter in de-Sitter. If we have additional matter, the wavefunctional will depend on the boundary conditions for matter. This gives an answer which is very similar to the one we have for the AdS_2 case. These matter correlators depend on the initial conditions for the matter field. We will pick a Bunch-Davies-like vacuum and discuss the various cases below. This is the vacuum that arises naturally when we consider the no boundary proposal for the wavefunction.

4.1 Poincare patch

First we consider dS_2 in the Poincare coordinates. In order to compute in-in correlators we will begin by computing the wavefunction in the Bunch-Davies vacuum for the matter field. We will focus on a massive scalar field ψ of mass m with action $S = \frac{1}{2} \int (\partial\psi)^2 - m^2\psi^2$.

The wavefunction at some time η_0 is given by the path integral of the matter field between the past $\eta \rightarrow -\infty$ and the present at η_0 , with fixed boundary conditions at η_0 that become the argument of the wavefunction. For this path integral to be well-defined we also need to impose boundary conditions in the past $\eta \rightarrow -\infty$, which corresponds to choosing a particular quantum state. The Bunch-Davies state corresponds to deforming the integration contour to

$$\eta \sim -\alpha e^{-i\epsilon}, \quad \alpha, \epsilon > 0 \quad (4.61)$$

and demanding that the fields decay at early times. This is the analog of the no boundary proposal for these coordinates [62].

We will consider light fields, meaning $0 < m \leq 1/2$ in units of the dS_2 radius. At late times, the classical solutions decay as $\psi \sim (-\eta)^{\Delta_-} \psi_- + (-\eta)^{\Delta_+} \psi_+$, where we defined

$$\Delta_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} \pm \nu, \quad \nu = \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - m^2}. \quad (4.62)$$

We can compute semiclassically the wavefunction for this field in the Bunch-Davies vacuum by fixing boundary conditions $\psi(\eta_0, x) = \psi_0(u = x/\eta_0)$ at a late time $\eta_0 \sim 0$, together with the requirement that the field goes to zero at early times after the analytic continuation (4.61). We get a wavefunctional for this field of the form [62]

$$\Psi[\psi_0(u)] = Z_D e^{iS_{local}} \exp \left(-i^{2\Delta_+} c_\nu \int du \int du' \frac{\psi_0(u) \psi_0(u')}{|u - u'|^{2\Delta_+}} \right), \quad (4.63)$$

$$= Z_D e^{iS_{local}} \exp \left(-i^{-2\Delta_-} \tilde{c}_\nu \int \frac{dk}{2\pi} \psi_0(k) \psi_0(-k) |k|^{2\Delta_+ - 1} \right), \quad (4.64)$$

where we have expressed the result both in position and momentum space¹². We also defined the local phase

$$S_{local} = \frac{\Delta_-}{2} \int du \psi_0^2 \quad (4.65)$$

¹²We normalize the Fourier transform of a function or correlator as $f(x) = \int \frac{dk}{2\pi} e^{ikx} f(k)$.

This term diverges when written in terms of x as $\sim \eta_0^{-1}$. Z_D is the bulk partition function with Dirichlet boundary condition. In the expression above we defined the following real coefficients

$$c_\nu = \frac{\nu\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + \nu)}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\nu)}, \quad \tilde{c}_\nu = \frac{\Gamma(1 - \nu)}{4^\nu\Gamma(\nu)}. \quad (4.66)$$

The divergent piece in the wavefunctional makes the field classical at late times and only operators that are close to be diagonal in ψ_0 can have non-zero expectation value.

Ignoring gravitational backreaction for now, we can compute the equal-time in-in two-point function

$$\langle \psi(u_1)\psi(u_2) \rangle = \int \mathcal{D}\psi_0 |\Psi[\psi_0]|^2 \psi_0(u_1)\psi_0(u_2), \quad (4.67)$$

where we use the bracket shorthand for an expectation value computed in the Bunch-Davies vacuum. We assume we always pick a normalization (possibly divergent) for the wavefunction when computing observables like (4.67) such that $\int \mathcal{D}\psi_0 |\Psi[\psi_0]|^2 = 1$.

The path integral is gaussian and gives

$$\langle \psi(u_1)\psi(u_2) \rangle = \frac{N_\nu}{u_{12}^{2\Delta_-}}, \quad N_\nu \equiv \frac{\Gamma(\nu)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \nu)}{4\pi^{3/2}}, \quad (4.68)$$

$$\langle \psi(k)\psi(-k) \rangle' = \tilde{N}_\nu |k|^{2\Delta_- - 1}, \quad \tilde{N}_\nu \equiv \frac{4^\nu \Gamma(\nu)^2}{4\pi}, \quad (4.69)$$

where $u_{12} = u_1 - u_2$. We defined $\langle \psi(k_1)\psi(k_2) \rangle = 2\pi\delta(k_1 + k_2)\langle \psi(k_1)\psi(-k_1) \rangle'$. Note that in order to obtain this result, the factor of $i^{2\Delta_+}$ appearing in the wavefunction (4.63) is important to get the correct mass dependent prefactor. This result can be reproduced by solving a Green function equation in dS_2 with the appropriate boundary conditions [63]. Since the theory is free, higher order correlation functions factorize into products of two-point function.

4.2 Global dS_2

We can also compute the wavefunctional in the global time coordinates, as a simple reparametrization ($u \rightarrow \tan \frac{u\pi}{\ell}$) of the Poincare wavefunctional (4.63). In this notation, we denote by u the proper length at asymptotic infinity, and the size of the circle by ℓ . Therefore both quantities diverge at late times at the same rate. We find

$$\Psi[\psi_0(u)] = Z_D e^{iS_{local}} \exp \left(-i^{2\Delta_+} c_\nu \int du \int du' \psi_0(u)\psi_0(u') \left| \frac{\pi}{\ell \sin[\frac{(u-u')\pi}{\ell}]} \right|^{2\Delta_+} \right), \quad (4.70)$$

$$= Z_D e^{iS_{local}} \exp \left(-i^{2\Delta_-} 2\pi \tilde{c}_\nu \sum_k \psi_k^0 \psi_{-k}^0 \left(\frac{2\pi}{\ell} \right)^{2\Delta_+ - 2} \frac{\Gamma(\Delta_+ + k)}{\Gamma(\Delta_- + k)} \right), \quad (4.71)$$

where $u \sim u + \ell$ and the Fourier transformation is defined as $\psi_0(u) = \sum_k \psi_k^0 e^{i\frac{2\pi k}{\ell}u}$, where k is an integer.

Following the Poincare patch case, by taking the amplitude square of the wavefunctional and path-integrating over its argument ψ_0 , we can obtain the equal time in-in two-point function

$$\langle \psi(u_1) \psi(u_2) \rangle = N_\nu \left| \frac{\pi}{\ell \sin \frac{u_{12}\pi}{\ell}} \right|^{2\Delta_-}; \quad \langle \psi(k) \psi(q) \rangle = \left(\frac{2\pi}{\ell} \right)^{2\Delta_-} \frac{\tilde{N}_\nu \Gamma(\Delta_- + k)}{2\pi \Gamma(\Delta_+ + k)} \delta_{k,-q}, \quad (4.72)$$

where $\delta_{k,-q}$ is the Kroenecker delta imposing momentum conservation. We can see this result is proportional to the Euclidean AdS two-point function between operators of dimension Δ_- .

It is also easy to calculate the partition function, which should be the same as the sphere partition function. That is because the dS_2 region is purely Lorentzian, and it cancels between the two sides of the Schwinger-Keldysh contour. We have the following path integral:

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \int d\psi_0 |\Psi[\psi_0]|^2 \\ &= \int d\psi_0 |Z_D|^2 e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_k \psi_k^0 \psi_{-k}^0 G_\Delta(k)} = |Z_D|^2 e^{-\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \log \frac{G_\Delta}{\ell}} \end{aligned} \quad (4.73)$$

where $G_\Delta(k) = 8\pi \cos(\pi\Delta_-) \tilde{c}_\nu (\frac{2\pi}{\ell})^{2\Delta_+ - 2} \frac{\Gamma(\Delta_+ + k)}{\Gamma(\Delta_- + k)}$ and the additional $\frac{1}{\ell}$ piece in the log is coming from the normalization of the mode ψ_k^0 . To evaluate the trace, we can use zeta function regularization. This means the constant piece vanishes and we only have summation of the form¹³:

$$-\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \log \frac{G_\Delta}{\ell} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \log \frac{\Gamma(\Delta_+ + |k|)}{\Gamma(\Delta_- + |k|)} \quad (4.74)$$

One might think that if we regularize the summation with a hard cutoff $\sim \ell$ then there will be a possible $\ell \log \ell$ piece, but this will cancel with factors of ℓ appearing in the normalization of G_Δ/ℓ . One can also understand this determinant as the ratio between the partition function with Neumann Boundary condition and Dirichlet Boundary condition. Because integrating over ψ_0 effectively sets the canonical momentum of ψ_0 to be zero. In appendix B.1, we show that up to constant pieces, the partition function with different boundary conditions $Z_{D/N}$ is equal to¹⁴:

$$\log Z_{D/N} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \log \Gamma(\Delta_{+/-} + |k|). \quad (4.75)$$

¹³ $G_\Delta(k) = G_\Delta(-k)$ for k integer.

¹⁴We will only focus on the mass dependence of the partition function. So we ignore mass independent overall constants in the partition function.

Adding them up we have the whole partition function is equal to

$$\log Z = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \log [\Gamma(\Delta_+ + |k|)\Gamma(\Delta_- + |k|)]. \quad (4.76)$$

which can be shown to match with the sphere partition function (Appendix B.1). In addition, we saw that there is no ℓ dependence from the matter path integral.

Up to now, we worked in terms of proper distance u and proper size ℓ which is divergent at late time. One can do a conformal transformation $u \rightarrow \frac{\tilde{u}\ell}{2\pi}$ to rescale ℓ equal to 2π , due to the anomalous dimension, ψ_0 will also rescaled to $(\frac{2\pi}{\ell})^{\Delta_-} \psi$. We will use this convention in section 5 to simplify notation.

5 Gravitational corrections to matter correlators

The gravitational degree of freedom does not totally disappear in two dimensions, as we have shown in section 2.2, but it reduces to a boundary mode. In this section, we show how to couple it to matter and how it leads to corrections to cosmological correlators.

One might be surprised to get physical effects from a degree of freedom that lives on a spacelike surface. However, this is common in theories with gauge symmetries, such as the instantaneous coulomb potential in some gauges. In fact, in appendix B.4 we rederive some of the results in this section in a formalism where the gravitational effects propagate inside the lightcone (inspired by [29]). Furthermore, the boundary degrees of freedom are important in order to ensure that the full wavefunction obeys the momentum constraint of general relativity, see appendix C.

In our description, the effects of gravity can be incorporated in terms of the boundary reparameterization field $x(u)$ and its Schwarzian action (2.7) (as in [5, 6, 7]). This field couples to matter as follows. Its primary effect is to change the location of the future boundary inside a rigid dS_2 space. This means that the same physical boundary condition for the matter fields along the physical boundary corresponds to different effective boundary conditions for the matter fields moving in the rigid dS_2 spacetime. These different boundary conditions are obtained by a conformal symmetry parametrized by the field $x(u)$. Finally, doing functional integral over the Schwarzian field then gives us the final Wheeler–deWitt wavefunctional. We now proceed to show with formulas what we have said here in words.

5.1 Including gravitational corrections to the wavefunction

When matter and gravity are coupled, the Hartle-Hawking wavefunction discussed in section 2.3 now becomes (we use the rescaled fields and coordinates in this section)

$$\Psi_s[\phi_b, \tilde{\psi}(\tilde{u})] = \int \frac{\mathcal{D}\varphi}{SL(2)} e^{iS_{\text{Sch}}[\varphi(\tilde{u})]} \Psi_{\text{mat}}[\tilde{\psi}(\varphi(\tilde{u}))], \quad (5.77)$$

where the Schwarzian action is given by (see equation (2.7))

$$iS_{\text{Sch}}[\varphi(\tilde{u})] = i2\phi_b \int \left\{ \tan \frac{\varphi(u)}{2}, u \right\} = i\phi_r \int \left\{ \tan \frac{\varphi(\tilde{u})}{2}, \tilde{u} \right\}; \quad \phi_r = \frac{4\pi\phi_b}{\ell}. \quad (5.78)$$

The Schwarzian action has $SL(2)$ symmetry inherits from the isometry of the dS_2 metric. This is a gauge symmetry in our system since an overall $SL(2)$ transformation does not change the position of the matter relative to the boundary. This is why we divide it out in (5.77). The subindex s in (5.77) stands for “*Schrödinger*”, and it is the overall factor of the full Wheeler de Witt wavefunction, that also contains a highly oscillatory piece responsible for enforcing the expansion of the universe. The derivative in the Klein Gordon inner product acts on this oscillatory piece to produce the standard norm, $|\Psi_s|^2$ for the *Schrödinger* piece¹⁵.

Note that we evaluate the wavefunction at a surface with constant ϕ_b . ϕ_b acts as a clock in the future, expanding region which we are considering. The effects we discuss have a simple scaling dependence on time or ϕ_b . In particular ϕ_r in (5.78) becomes time independent at late times.

The factor $\Psi_{\text{mat}}[\tilde{\psi}(\varphi(\tilde{u}))]$ is a conformal transformation of the matter wavefunctional in global coordinates (4.70). More explicitly, after we turn on gravity, the coupling with matter is given by

$$\Psi_{\text{mat}}[\tilde{\psi}(\varphi(\tilde{u}))] = e^{iS_{\text{local}}} \exp \left[-i^{2\Delta_+} c_\nu \int \tilde{\psi}(\tilde{u}) \tilde{\psi}(\tilde{u}') G_{\Delta_+}^\varphi(\tilde{u}, \tilde{u}') \right], \quad (5.79)$$

$$G_{\Delta_+}^\varphi(\tilde{u}, \tilde{u}') = \left(\frac{\varphi'(\tilde{u})\varphi'(\tilde{u}')}{4 \sin^2 \frac{\varphi(\tilde{u}) - \varphi(\tilde{u}')}{2}} \right)^{\Delta_+}. \quad (5.80)$$

The pure phase S_{local} , (4.65), is independent of the Schwarzian mode $\varphi(\tilde{u})$ and will cancel out when we compute $|\Psi_s|^2$.

In order to compute an equal time in-in n-point correlator, we need to compute its expectation value using the backreacted wavefunction

$$\langle \psi(\tilde{u}_1) \dots \psi(\tilde{u}_n) \rangle = \int \mathcal{D}\tilde{\psi} |\Psi_s[\phi_b, \tilde{\psi}]|^2 \tilde{\psi}(\tilde{u}_1) \dots \tilde{\psi}(\tilde{u}_n), \quad (5.81)$$

where hidden inside of the amplitude square of the wavefunction we have a path integral over two copies of the Schwarzian mode (one from the bra and one from the ket). We assume we always pick a normalization (possibly divergent) for the wavefunction when computing observables like (5.81) such that $\int \mathcal{D}\tilde{\psi} |\Psi_s[\tilde{\psi}]|^2 = 1$.

¹⁵This is analogous to how the second order Klein Gordon wave equation becomes a first order *Schrödinger* equation in the non-relativistic limit.

5.2 Tree Level

We will compute correlators perturbatively in large $\phi_r = \frac{\phi_b}{\ell}$, for which the Schwarzian mode is weakly coupled. First of all, we will spell out the path integrals involved in equation (5.81), namely

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi(\tilde{u}_1) \dots \psi(\tilde{u}_n) \rangle &= \int \mathcal{D}\tilde{\psi} \frac{\mathcal{D}\varphi}{SL(2)} \frac{\mathcal{D}\bar{\varphi}}{SL(2)} \tilde{\psi}(\tilde{u}_1) \dots \tilde{\psi}(\tilde{u}_n) \exp(iS_{\text{Sch}}[\varphi] - iS_{\text{Sch}}[\bar{\varphi}]) \\ &\exp\left(-c_\nu \int \tilde{\psi}(\tilde{u}) \tilde{\psi}(\tilde{u}') [i^{2\Delta_+} G_{\Delta_+}^\varphi(u, u') + i^{-2\Delta_+} G_{\Delta_+}^{\bar{\varphi}}(\tilde{u}, \tilde{u}')]\right), \end{aligned} \quad (5.82)$$

When we compute the absolute value of the wavefunction, the mode φ comes from the wavefunction Ψ_s (the ket) while the other Schwarzian mode $\bar{\varphi}$ comes from its complex conjugate Ψ_s^* (the bra). After complex conjugation, the action for the $\bar{\varphi}$ mode changes sign, as shown in the equation above.

Semiclassically, the Schwarzian path integral has a saddle point at $\varphi(\tilde{u}) = \tilde{u}$. To organize the expansion around this solution we will write

$$\varphi(\tilde{u}) \rightarrow \tilde{u} + \varepsilon(\tilde{u}), \quad (5.83)$$

where $\varepsilon(\tilde{u})$ is periodic in \tilde{u} and for large ϕ_r it is a small correction. In this approximation we can expand the Schwarzian action as $iS_{\text{Sch}}[\varepsilon] = -i\frac{\phi_r}{2} \int [(\varepsilon'')^2 - (\varepsilon')^2]$ and its coupling with matter as

$$G_{\Delta_+}^{\varphi=u+\varepsilon}(\tilde{u}, \tilde{u}') = G_{\Delta_+}^0(\tilde{u}, \tilde{u}') + \delta_\varepsilon G_{\Delta_+}(\tilde{u}, \tilde{u}') + \delta_\varepsilon^2 G_{\Delta_+}(\tilde{u}, \tilde{u}') + \dots, \quad (5.84)$$

where it will be convenient to define

$$G_{\Delta_+}^0(\tilde{u}, \tilde{u}') \equiv \left(4 \sin^2 \frac{\tilde{u} - \tilde{u}'}{2}\right)^{-\Delta_+} \quad (5.85)$$

and $\delta_\varepsilon^n G_{\Delta_+}(\tilde{u}, \tilde{u}')$ indicates the order n term in the expansion of $G_{\Delta_+}^{\varphi=u+\varepsilon}(\tilde{u}, \tilde{u}')$ for small $\varepsilon(\tilde{u})$ and this induces an interaction between two matter fields and n reparametrization modes. The first orders in this expansion are given by

$$\delta_\varepsilon G_{\Delta}(\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2) = \frac{\Delta}{|2 \sin \frac{\tilde{u}_{12}}{2}|^{2\Delta}} \left[\varepsilon'_1 + \varepsilon'_2 - \frac{\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2}{\tan \frac{\tilde{u}_{12}}{2}} \right], \quad (5.86)$$

$$\delta_\varepsilon^2 G_{\Delta}(\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2) = \frac{1}{|2 \sin \frac{\tilde{u}_{12}}{2}|^{2\Delta}} \left[\Delta \left(\frac{(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2)^2}{4 \sin^2 \frac{\tilde{u}_{12}}{2}} - \frac{\varepsilon_1'^2 + \varepsilon_2'^2}{2} \right) + \frac{\Delta^2}{2} \left(\varepsilon'_1 + \varepsilon'_2 - \frac{\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2}{\tan \frac{\tilde{u}_{12}}{2}} \right)^2 \right]. \quad (5.87)$$

The Schwarzian tree-level propagator is given by

$$\langle \varepsilon(\tilde{u}) \varepsilon(0) \rangle_0 = \frac{1}{2\pi i \phi_r} \left[-\frac{(|\tilde{u}| - \pi)^2}{2} + (|\tilde{u}| - \pi) \sin |\tilde{u}| + a + b \cos \tilde{u} \right], \quad (5.88)$$




Figure 10: Tree level diagram for in-in four point function. The horizontal black line indicates the asymptotic future time slice where we insert operators. We draw the space above and below (denoted by \mp) the future time slice as bra $(-)$ and ket $(+)$ state. Various propagators are dictated in the right box. Here we only draw one channel with backreaction from the Schwarzian mode in bra state, there are two other channels coming from permutation with external legs. Adding the other Schwarzian contribution (coming from ket state) corresponding to add its complex conjugate.

with a, b arbitrary coefficients that disappear for $SL(2)$ invariant observables [6]. From higher order expansion of the Schwarzian action we also need to include self interactions between the reparametrization mode, although they will not be important for the observables studied below.

A similar analysis can be done for $\bar{\varphi}(\tilde{u}) = \tilde{u} + \bar{\varepsilon}(\tilde{u})$ and $G_{\Delta+}^{\bar{\varphi}}(\tilde{u}, \tilde{u}')$. The expansion of the matter coupling for small ε is equivalent to equations (5.86) and (5.87) after replacing $\varepsilon \rightarrow \bar{\varepsilon}$. Since the action for $\bar{\varepsilon}$ is the complex conjugate of ε , the propagator satisfy $\langle \bar{\varepsilon}(\tilde{u}) \bar{\varepsilon}(0) \rangle_0 = -\langle \varepsilon(\tilde{u}) \varepsilon(0) \rangle_0$. More importantly, there is no propagator between two schwarzian fields: $\langle \varepsilon(\tilde{u}) \bar{\varepsilon}(0) \rangle = 0$. One can understand this as the fact that there is no gravitational operators that can be inserted at the future time slice. The tree level propagator for the matter field is given by $\langle \psi(\tilde{u}_1) \psi(\tilde{u}_2) \rangle_0 = N_\nu G_{\Delta-}^0(\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2)$, where N_ν is a mass dependent prefactor defined in equation (4.72).

With this information we can start doing perturbative calculations. We effectively have three fields, $\tilde{\psi}(\tilde{u})$, $\varepsilon(\tilde{u})$ and $\bar{\varepsilon}(\tilde{u})$. The matter field $\tilde{\psi}$ interacts with ε and $\bar{\varepsilon}$ through bilocal couplings $\delta_\varepsilon^n G_{\Delta+}(\tilde{u}, \tilde{u}')$ and $\delta_{\bar{\varepsilon}}^n G_{\Delta+}(\tilde{u}, \tilde{u}')$.

A general feature of this expansion is that the two Schwarzian modes never interact with each other¹⁶. To leading order in $\frac{1}{\phi_r}$, this allows us to compute corrections to correlators coming from a single Schwarzian mode, then we can account for the second Schwarzian by adding the complex conjugate.

¹⁶In figure 10, there are no gravitational interactions generated between the matter at the upper half and lower half plane. This happens because ε and $\bar{\varepsilon}$ are independent. We will give a different argument of this in appendix B.4.

We will start by computing the connected in-in four-point function to leading order. This is given by a sum of diagrams like the one shown in figure 10, given by

$$\langle \psi(\tilde{u}_1)\psi(\tilde{u}_2)\psi(\tilde{u}_3)\psi(\tilde{u}_4) \rangle_{\text{conn}} = 8 \frac{i^{4\Delta_+} c_\nu^2 N_\nu^4}{2} \sum_{\text{channels}} \int d\tilde{u}_a d\tilde{u}_b d\tilde{u}_c d\tilde{u}_d G_{\Delta_-}^0(\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_a) G_{\Delta_-}^0(\tilde{u}_2, \tilde{u}_b) G_{\Delta_-}^0(\tilde{u}_3, \tilde{u}_c) G_{\Delta_-}^0(\tilde{u}_4, \tilde{u}_d) \langle \delta_\varepsilon G_{\Delta_+}(\tilde{u}_a, \tilde{u}_b) \delta_\varepsilon G_{\Delta_+}(\tilde{u}_c, \tilde{u}_d) \rangle + \text{c.c.} \quad (5.89)$$

The sum is over the three different non-equivalent Wick contractions (bulk channels). In figure 10 we show one of them. This means the other terms in the sum consist of different non-equivalent ways of pairing up $\{\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2, \tilde{u}_3, \tilde{u}_4\}$ with $\{\tilde{u}_a, \tilde{u}_b, \tilde{u}_c, \tilde{u}_d\}$. The brackets in the RHS means to take expectation value with respect to Schwarzian mode and the explicit result can be found in section 4 of [6]. The term +c.c. sums the complex conjugate coming from the other Schwarzian mode. The overall factor of 8 comes from counting equivalent Wick contractions of the matter fields. Also, we ignore terms in the Taylor expansion coming from disconnected diagrams since they account for the correction in the normalization of the wavefunction.

In appendix B.3 we show the following identity

$$\begin{aligned} \int d\tilde{u}_a d\tilde{u}_b d\tilde{u}_c d\tilde{u}_d \left| 2^4 \sin \frac{\tilde{u}_{1a}}{2} \sin \frac{\tilde{u}_{2b}}{2} \sin \frac{\tilde{u}_{3c}}{2} \sin \frac{\tilde{u}_{4d}}{2} \right|^{-2\Delta_-} \delta_\varepsilon G_{\Delta_+}(\tilde{u}_a, \tilde{u}_b) \delta_\varepsilon G_{\Delta_+}(\tilde{u}_c, \tilde{u}_d) = \\ = \left(\frac{2\pi \tan \pi \Delta_-}{2\Delta_- - 1} \right)^2 \delta_\varepsilon G_{\Delta_-}(\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2) \delta_\varepsilon G_{\Delta_-}(\tilde{u}_3, \tilde{u}_4), \end{aligned} \quad (5.90)$$

which is valid even before taking the expectation value over Schwarzian mode. Using this relation, adding up the contribution and simplifying the prefactor, the final answer for the four-point function is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi(\tilde{u}_1)\psi(\tilde{u}_2)\psi(\tilde{u}_3)\psi(\tilde{u}_4) \rangle = N_\nu^2 \tan \pi \Delta_- i^{-1} \left[\langle \delta_\varepsilon G_{\Delta_-}(\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2) \delta_\varepsilon G_{\Delta_-}(\tilde{u}_3, \tilde{u}_4) \rangle \right. \\ \left. + \langle \delta_\varepsilon G_{\Delta_-}(\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_3) \delta_\varepsilon G_{\Delta_-}(\tilde{u}_2, \tilde{u}_4) \rangle + \langle \delta_\varepsilon G_{\Delta_-}(\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_4) \delta_\varepsilon G_{\Delta_-}(\tilde{u}_2, \tilde{u}_3) \rangle \right], \end{aligned} \quad (5.91)$$

where N_ν , defined in equation (4.68), gives basically the two-point function normalization. Since the Schwarzian propagator is purely imaginary the right hand side of (5.91) is real. The term in brackets in the right hand side is the Euclidean AdS_2 four-point function between identical operators of dimension Δ_- after replacing $C \rightarrow i\phi_r$. Therefore its explicit dependence with the four coordinates can be obtained directly from [6]. Up to the factor of $\tan \pi \Delta_-$, this result can be understood as coming from reparametrization of the in-in four point function and taking the imaginary part.

To give an explicit final answer it is more convenient to work in Fourier space (with respect to \tilde{u}). The first step is to find the Fourier transform of the Schwarzian four-point function. This can be done using equation (3.126) of [64]. Combining this with the identity derived above, the dS in-in correlator is given by

$$\langle \psi_{n_1} \psi_{n_2} \psi_{n_3} \psi_{n_4} \rangle = - \frac{\tilde{N}_\nu^2 \tan \pi \Delta_-}{\phi_r} [K_{global}(1, 2; 3, 4) + K_{global}(1, 3; 2, 4) + K_{global}(1, 4; 2, 3)], \quad (5.92)$$

where \tilde{N}_ν^2 is a prefactor appearing in the momentum space tree level two-point function. The single channel Fourier transform is given by

$$K_{global}(1, 2; 3, 4) = -\frac{B_{\Delta_-}(n_1, n_2)B_{\Delta_-}(n_3, n_4)}{(n_1 + n_2)^2((n_1 + n_2)^2 - 1)} \frac{\delta_{n_1+n_2+n_3+n_4, 0}}{2\pi}, \quad (5.93)$$

where we defined the function

$$B_{\Delta_-}(n_1, n_2) = \frac{(\Delta_-(n_1 + n_2) - n_1)\Gamma(\Delta_- + n_1)}{\Gamma(1 - \Delta_- + n_1)} + \frac{(\Delta_-(n_1 + n_2) - n_2)\Gamma(\Delta_- + n_2)}{\Gamma(1 - \Delta_- + n_2)}. \quad (5.94)$$

Due to the $SL(2)$ symmetry, the momentum space four-point function above is defined for $|n_1 + n_2| \geq 2$ and vanishes otherwise. With this explicit expression we can verify the identity (5.90) derived in position space. The Fourier transform of the nearly- $EAdS_2$ Schwarzian four-point function for operators of dimension Δ is given by the same expression, in terms of $B_{\Delta}(n_1, n_2)$.

It is interesting also to consider these correlators in the limit that $|u_i - u_j| \ll \ell$ (or $|\varphi_i - \varphi_j| \ll 1$), where they reduce to the Poincare patch correlators. Then the above results reduce to

$$\langle \psi(k_1)\psi(k_2)\psi(k_3)\psi(k_4) \rangle = -\tilde{N}_\nu^2 \frac{\tan \pi \Delta_-}{\phi_r} [K_P(1, 2; 3, 4) + K_P(1, 3; 2, 4) + K_P(1, 4; 2, 3)]. \quad (5.95)$$

The single channel Fourier transform of the correlators is given by

$$\begin{aligned} K_P(1, 2; 3, 4) = & -2\pi\delta\left(\sum_{i=1}^4 k_i\right) \frac{1}{|k_{1+2}|^4} \left[\frac{\Delta_-(k_1 + k_2) - k_1}{|k_1|^{1-2\Delta_-}} + \frac{\Delta_-(k_1 + k_2) - k_2}{|k_2|^{1-2\Delta_-}} \right] \\ & \left[\frac{\Delta_-(k_3 + k_4) - k_3}{|k_3|^{1-2\Delta_-}} + \frac{\Delta_-(k_3 + k_4) - k_4}{|k_4|^{1-2\Delta_-}} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.96)$$

As explained in [6] the expressions in position space of this amplitudes depend on the particular order of the insertions in space.

This tree level computation is the two dimensional analog of the gravitational correction to the four point function computed in four dimensions in [65].

5.3 Loop corrections

We can also compute one-loop corrections to the two-point function. To simplify the discussion we will focus on the Poincare patch correlators. We need to sum diagrams such as the ones shown in figure 11. The left diagram corresponds to expanding the matter coupling to second order $\langle \delta_\varepsilon^2 G_{\Delta_+} \rangle$ while the diagram to the right comes from a




Figure 11: Diagrams contributing to two-point function to one loop. The left figure shows the contribution from the one-loop correction of in-out propagator, and the right figure shows the contribution from interaction between two in-out propagators.

quadratic contribution from the linear variation $\langle \delta_\epsilon G_{\Delta_+} \delta_\epsilon G_{\Delta_+} \rangle$, integrated over two of its arguments¹⁷.

The result in Fourier space is given by

$$\frac{\delta \langle \psi(k) \psi(-k) \rangle'_0}{\langle \psi(k) \psi(-k) \rangle'_0} = -\frac{\Delta_- (1 - \Delta_-) (1 - 2\Delta_-)}{6} \frac{1}{\phi_r |k|} + \dots, \quad (5.97)$$

where $\langle \psi(k) \psi(-k) \rangle'_0$ is the Fourier transform of the correlator in (4.68) and the dots are subleading in $1/\phi_r$.

In the case of nearly-Euclidean- AdS_2 , the correction to a two-point function of operators of dimension Δ_- is given by $\delta \langle \psi(k) \psi(-k) \rangle'_{EAdS, \Delta_-} = \langle \psi(k) \psi(-k) \rangle'_0 \frac{\Delta_- (1 - \Delta_-) (1 - 2\Delta_-)}{6 \tan \pi \Delta_-} \frac{1}{\phi_r |k|}$. Notice that as in the case of the four point function, the dS one-loop result can also be understood as coming from reparametrization of the two point function since comparing with (5.97) we see that $\delta \langle \psi(\tilde{u}_1) \psi(\tilde{u}_2) \rangle_{dS} = -\tan \pi \Delta_- \delta \langle \psi(\tilde{u}_1) \psi(\tilde{u}_2) \rangle_{EAdS, \Delta_-}$. In momentum space, this relation is also valid for the one-loop correction to the two-point function in the global patch. This can be shown by methods similar to appendix B.3.

From (5.97) we can see the one-loop correction is negative and decreases correlation at long distances. Even though we can take $|k| \ll 1$ the correction computed in this section is only valid for $|k| \phi_r \gtrsim 1$, otherwise the boundary mode becomes strongly coupled.

It is instructive to study the correction in position space since it involves the handling of IR divergences. The momentum space one-loop two-point function diverges at small $|k|$. Since we can only trust this for $|k| \phi_r \gtrsim 1$ we will introduce a hard IR cut-off $L_{IR}^{-1} < |k|$, with large $L_{IR} \sim \phi_r$. Then the one-loop correction is given by

$$\langle \psi(\tilde{u}) \psi(0) \rangle \sim \frac{1}{|\tilde{u}|^{2\Delta_-}} - \frac{\Delta_- (1 - \Delta_-)}{6 \cos \pi \Delta_- \Gamma(2\Delta_-) \phi_r} L_{IR}^{1-2\Delta_-} + \frac{\Delta_- (1 - \Delta_-)}{6 \cot \pi \Delta_- \phi_r} |\tilde{u}|^{1-2\Delta_-} \quad (5.98)$$

Since we strictly focus on $|\tilde{u}| \ll L_{IR}$ this implies that the leading correction is negative in position space and gravitational corrections tend to decrease correlations at separate points. To see this we can compare a difference of two-point functions such that the cut-off

¹⁷Note that there is no tadpole diagram for the Schwarzian mode since the Schwarzian propagator vanishes at zero momentum.




Figure 12: Here we show the two point function in position space. The top blue (solid) curve is the tree level correlator and the bottom red (dashed) curve is the one-loop corrected correlator. We see that the gravitational effects suppress the correlation at long distances. For this plot, we chose $\Delta_- = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{6}$ and $\phi_r = 20$.

dependent piece drops out. Moreover, since $\Delta_- < 1/2$, we can see that the gravitational correction decreases as we increase $|\tilde{u}|$, but it decreases slower than the tree level correlator.

Another way to regulate the IR divergences of the one-loop correction is to sum over one-particle-irreducible diagrams. This gives

$$\langle \psi(k)\psi(-k) \rangle' \sim \frac{|k|^{2\Delta_- - 1}}{1 + \frac{\Delta_-(1-\Delta_-)(1-2\Delta_-)}{6} \frac{1}{\phi_r |k|} + \dots}, \quad (5.99)$$

We can do the Fourier transformation of this integral and show that for large distances $|\tilde{u}| \lesssim \phi_r$, the two-point function decreases due to gravitational corrections (see Figure 12). Even if this expression is convergent, the answer can only be trusted for $|\tilde{u}| \ll \phi_r$. Still, this regulator might be preferred since it comes from resumming a subset of the diagrams.

5.4 Further comments on corrections to the wavefunction

Finally, we would like to point out that we can formally compute the gravitationally dressed wavefunction of the matter field. The exact wavefunction is generically non-gaussian. We can compute it term by term in an expansion for small matter fluctuations ψ as

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_s[\phi_b, \tilde{\psi}] = & \Psi_{\text{Schwarzian}}[\phi_b, \ell] e^{iS_{ct}} \exp \left[-i^{2\Delta_+} c_\nu \int \tilde{\psi}(\tilde{u}_1) \tilde{\psi}(\tilde{u}_2) \langle G_{\Delta_+}(\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2) \rangle \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{i^{4\Delta_+} c_\nu^2}{2} \int \tilde{\psi}(\tilde{u}_1) \tilde{\psi}(\tilde{u}_2) \tilde{\psi}(\tilde{u}_3) \tilde{\psi}(\tilde{u}_4) \langle G_{\Delta_+}(\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2) G_{\Delta_+}(\tilde{u}_3, \tilde{u}_4) \rangle_{\text{conn}} + \dots \right], \end{aligned} \quad (5.100)$$

where in each kernel $\langle G_{\Delta_+} \dots G_{\Delta_+} \rangle$ one can replace the exact Schwarzian connected correlators computed in [66, 12, 13, 19, 20], identifying C in those references as $C \rightarrow i\phi_r$. The

dots denote terms that are higher order in $\tilde{\psi}$. But each of the shown terms also contains an expansion in terms of $1/\phi_r$. These terms have the interpretation of self-interactions of the scalar field mediated by gravity. The factor $\Psi_{\text{Schwarzian}}[\phi_b, \ell]$ is given in equation (2.21).

Let's focus now on the Poincare case. As a simple application of this result, we will see the IR limit of the quadratic kernel in a regime where the gravitational mode is strongly coupled. The Schwarzian correlator behaves as $\langle G_{\Delta_+}(\tilde{u}, 0) \rangle \sim \tilde{u}^{-3/2}$ for $\phi_r \rightarrow 0$. Then the kernel in the quadratic term of the wavefunction behaves as

$$\Psi_s[\phi_b, \tilde{\psi}] \sim \exp \left(-i^{2\Delta_-} \int \frac{dk}{2\pi} \tilde{\psi}(k) \tilde{\psi}(-k) |k|^{1/2} + \dots \right), \quad (5.101)$$

where we rescaled the field $\tilde{\psi}$ to eliminate the momentum-independent prefactor. This should be contrasted with the leading semiclassical conformal kernel given by $|k|^{2\Delta_+-1}$. Instead, the strongly coupled IR behavior is universal and lies between the massless ($m = 0$, $\sim |k|^1$) and threshold case ($m = 1/2$, $\sim |k|^0$). We see that strongly coupled gravitational effects in the IR break the conformal invariance of the problem at distances bigger than ϕ_r . A similar analysis could be done for the higher order kernels in the wavefunction.

Note, however, that (5.101) represents only the expansion of the wavefunction around $\tilde{\psi} = 0$ and we expect that the higher order terms are equally important to determine the probabilities. In other words, we expect the wavefunction to be strongly non-gaussian. It would be very nice to determine its properties, at least in the very long distance regime...

6 Conclusions and discussion

In this paper we have considered simple two dimensional theories of gravity in nearly- dS_2 , and discussed some four dimensional situations where they arise as an approximation. We will divide the discussion into a few different topics.

6.1 Pure JT gravity

We have discussed pure JT gravity with positive curvature¹⁸. The main observation is that many features are similar to the more extensively studied case of negative curvature. In particular, the computation of the no-boundary wavefunction of the universe is essentially identical to the computation of the partition function for the euclidean AdS_2 case. The only difference is the change $\frac{\beta}{\phi_b} \rightarrow -i \frac{\ell}{\phi_b}$. It is perhaps not surprising that this holds for the disk contribution and its perturbative gravity corrections, which include a functional integral over a boundary reparametrization mode. As in the AdS_2 case, this mode can be viewed as the result of a spontaneous and explicit breaking of the asymptotic symmetries of dS_2 .

More interestingly, one can also include other topologies in the computation of the wavefunction of the universe. Here we are merely reinterpreting the results of [26]. The

¹⁸For related work see [33] and [67].

key point that makes this reinterpretation possible is the observation that in the no boundary computation of the wavefunction of the universe one considers analytically continued geometries. Among these geometries one can consider geometries which are given by the same ones contributing to the asymptotically AdS_2 problem, but with *minus* the metric. This makes them valid no-boundary configurations.

As usual in string theory, the sum over topologies is divergent. In [26] a resummation was proposed in terms of hermitian random matrices. We can do exactly the same here. We have the same hermitian matrix model but we simply consider a different observable, we change $Tr[e^{-\hat{\beta}H}] \rightarrow Tr[e^{i\hat{\ell}H}]$. Where $\hat{\beta}$ and $\hat{\ell}$ are the renormalized lengths of the boundary (i.e. the proper lengths divided by the value of the dilaton, for example).

Now, we can wonder what the interpretation of this Hamiltonian is. In the AdS_2 case it is supposed to be the Hamiltonian of the dual quantum system, or the full microscopic Hamiltonian of the theory, which describes the unitary evolution of black hole microstates. In the dS_2 case, it is associated to translations in space, rather than translations in time. Nevertheless, we know that in general de Sitter dynamics, processes that occur in the static patch of de Sitter leave their imprint on spatial correlators at infinity. And this evolution in space is the same generator that produces evolution along the static patch. Therefore it is tempting to think that this Hamiltonian and its states are related to the states in the static patch. Normally the evolution of fields in the static patch give rise to quasinormal modes, particles can fall into the horizon. But these results suggest that perhaps there is a dual description is fully unitary. Now, before reaching such a strong conclusion we should remember that the pure JT theory has no matter, so the only modes we have are the microstates themselves and we cannot probe them with simple fields. We should also mention the prefactor in the density of states in e.g. (2.13), which in AdS_2 was the extremal entropy of the black hole, here it is equal to half of the usual dS_2 entropy of the static patch. It looks as if it is only the contribution of one of the two horizons of the static patch.

Given that we have been talking about the energies of the Hamiltonian H appearing above, it is worth asking for a classical picture of what states with different “energies” look like. These correspond to spacetimes in the Milne coordinates, as in (2.4), with various values of ϕ_m . These can be viewed as black holes in de-Sitter, and we have that $E \propto \phi_m^2$. So the extremal value corresponds to $E \rightarrow 0$. When we connect this picture to the four dimensional picture involving a four dimensional black hole, then $E \propto -(\mu - \mu_e)$. Such black holes have a maximum mass and the extremal value corresponds to $E = 0$ and larger values of E correspond to masses smaller than the extremal value.

Here we have discussed geometries with a single boundary. When we compute expectation values we will get geometries with two boundaries, one for the bra and one for the ket of the wavefunction. A new aspect of those configurations is that we will have geometries connecting the two boundaries. The matrix model certainly will lead to such geometries when we compute $\langle Tr[e^{i\hat{\ell}H}]Tr[e^{-i\hat{\ell}H}] \rangle$. We will leave a more detailed analysis of those geometries to the future.

However, we cannot resist making a comment. The average over Hamiltonians that

looks a bit funny in the asymptotically AdS_2 problem seems more natural from the dS_2 point of view. The idea is that, perhaps, some version of dS/CFT is true. But, as in AdS , in order to have a completely well defined quantum system we need to go all the way to the boundary. On the other hand, when we do observations at finite times in de-Sitter, then we do not wait forever, we consider the bra and the ket and we integrate over what will happen in the future. It seems plausible that after doing this integration we do not have a definite Hamiltonian any longer, but we have an average over quantum systems.

6.2 Gravitational corrections in two dimensions

If we consider matter in AdS_2 , we can simply compute the gravitational corrections to their propagators by including the quantum mechanics of the boundary reparametrization modes. In the regime where the quantum gravity corrections are small, we can include the effects of the reparametrization modes also for the dS_2 observables. These can be the wavefunction of the universe, or cosmological correlation functions. These are computed on a slice with constant value of ϕ and as a function of the proper time along the slice. The computation involves *two* reparametrization modes, one for the “bra” and one for the “ket”. We have discussed two types of corrections, first a tree level correction to the four point function. This is a “graviton exchange” diagram. And then a loop correction to the two point function. (In four dimensions they were computed in [65] and [68] respectively). The main point of these computations is that the simplicity of two dimensional gravity enables us to do them explicitly and in a relatively simple manner. Loop corrections in inflation are notoriously difficult and this offers a simple context where they are calculable. As far as we know the loop correction due to gravity has not been evaluated in standard single field inflation. Perhaps these simple computations could be useful for researchers trying to understand the behavior of IR fluctuations in cosmology.

In the AdS_2 case, one can sum the whole gravitational perturbation theory for some observables, such as the two or higher point functions, where exact expressions can be obtained [12, 13, 19, 20]. In dS_2 this has some implications for the first derivatives of the wavefunctional around zero values of the field. However, observables in the dS_2 are more complicated because we are interested in the wavefunctional for more general values of the boundary fields. This involves computing higher and higher order correlators and we could not see how to sum exactly the effects of the boundary mode. For example, one could wonder whether for the de-Sitter two point expectation values we can also integrate out the reparametrization modes exactly ¹⁹.

6.3 Relation to SYK

The formula for the wavefunction of the universe is the same as the one we would have obtained if we considered the low energy Euclidean partition function for the SYK model

¹⁹We thank L. Iliesiu for discussions on this point.

[69, 70], and then continued the inverse temperature as $\beta \rightarrow -i\ell$. The net effect is that we are computing $\text{Tr}[e^{i\ell H_{\text{SYK}}}]$. But this looks like a Lorentzian SYK computation, were we evolve the system backwards in time, over a time ℓ and compactify the time direction, restricting to the low energy sector. The Lorentzian computation would also get a contribution from the very high energy sector of the SYK spectrum, since the spectrum of SYK is statistically symmetric under flipping the sign of the energy. Maybe this should be viewed as another oscillatory branch of the wave function. An equivalent continuation would be to take the SYK model in Euclidean space, on a circle of length ℓ , and then take $J \rightarrow -iJ$.

The matter correlators that we considered for light scalar fields, are also the same as the ones we would have obtained for the time ordered correlators in Lorentzian signature, at least for bosonic fields. If we consider light fermionic fields, then the results seem a bit different since the dimension of the fermion is related to the mass by $\Delta = \frac{1}{2} \pm m$ in Euclidean signature or in AdS and $\Delta = \frac{1}{2} \pm im$ in de Sitter. So standard massive fermions in de-Sitter would lead boundary operators of complex dimensions. This is not what we have in the standard SYK model²⁰, but perhaps there are some variations of the model that produce this structure...

Another interesting point is that when we compute expectation values we are coupling the “bra” and the “ket”. This looks like the coupling of two models (as in e.g. [72]).

Acknowledgments

We thank L. Iliesiu, R. Mahajan for initial collaboration on this project. We thank A. Almheiri, D. Anninos, V. Gorbenko, J. Hartle, G. Horowitz, D. Marolf, S. Shenker, E. Silverstein, D. Stanford and A. Vilenkin for discussions. J.M. is supported in part by U.S. Department of Energy grant de-sc0009988 and by the Simons Foundation grant 385600. G.J.T is supported by a Fundamental Physics Fellowship. G.J.T. thanks the IAS for hospitality while this work was being completed. Z.Y is supported by Charlotte Elizabeth Procter Fellowship from Princeton University.

We benefited from the workshop “Chaos and Order: From strongly correlated systems to black holes” at KITP, supported in part by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. NSF PHY-1748958 to the KITP.

A Partition functions and Nearly- AdS_2 WdW wave-functions

In this appendix we explain the connection between solutions of the Wheeler de Witt equation and the partition function of the dual theory in the nearly- AdS_2 case.

²⁰An exception is the case of massless bulk fermions and $q = 2$ SYK, which might be seen as a lower dimensional version of [71]. We thank D. Anninos for discussion on this.

The idea is that we should view the partition function as an inner product of two solutions of the Wheeler de Witt equation. One of the solutions Ψ_{IR} is determined by the sum over smooth geometries, or the Euclidean analog of the no boundary proposal. The other, Ψ_{UV} , is determined by the fact that we fix some of the metric parameters at the boundary of AdS_2 . In particular we fix the temperature, or the renormalized length of the circle.

Writing the metric as $ds^2 = e^{2\hat{\rho}}(dz^2 + d\varphi^2)$, with $\varphi \sim \varphi + 2\pi$, we find that for Euclidean nearly- AdS_2 , the Wheeler de Witt equation takes the form

$$(-\partial_\phi \partial_{\hat{\rho}} + 16\pi^2 \phi e^{2\hat{\rho}})\Psi = 0, \quad \text{or} \quad [4\partial_u \partial_v + 4]\Psi = 0, \quad u \equiv \phi^2, \quad v \equiv -(2\pi)^2 e^{2\hat{\rho}} \quad (\text{A.102})$$

This can be compared with (2.16). We have defined u and v so that we get exactly the same wave equation for a massive field as in (2.16). But now we are looking at that equation in the Rindler wedge

$$ds^2 = -dudv = dR^2 - R^2 d\sigma^2, \quad (\text{A.103})$$

$$\text{with} \quad R = \sqrt{-uv} = \phi e^{\hat{\rho}} = \phi \beta, \quad e^\sigma = \sqrt{\frac{-v}{u}} = \frac{\beta}{\phi} \quad (\text{A.104})$$

where $\beta = 2\pi e^{\hat{\rho}}$ is the proper length of the circle. For large R the solutions go like

$$\Psi_\pm = \frac{1}{\sqrt{R}} e^{\pm 2R} f_\pm(\sigma) \quad (\text{A.105})$$

where f_\pm are arbitrary functions. The two solutions we are interested in are

$$\Psi_{IR} = \frac{1}{\phi_b} \left(\frac{\phi_b}{\beta} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \exp \left[2\phi_b \beta + S_{0,AdS} + \frac{4\pi^2 \phi_b}{\beta} \right], \quad f_+(\sigma) = e^{-\sigma} e^{4\pi^2 e^{-\sigma}} \quad (\text{A.106})$$

$$\Psi_{UV} = \delta(\beta - \beta_0) \exp[-2\phi_b \beta], \quad f_-(\sigma) = e^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}} \delta(\sigma - \sigma_0) \quad (\text{A.107})$$

Both of these functions have the general form (A.105) with for different choices of function f_\pm . (A.106) is the growing solution and (A.107) is the decaying solution. For Ψ_{IR} the function f_+ was computed by summing over smooth geometries, or the no boundary idea applied to the Euclidean AdS problem. For Ψ_{UV} we chose a function f_- that fixes the length of the circle. This is just a choice because we want to compute the answer as a function of β_0/ϕ_b . Notice that this ratio is what is normally called the “renormalized temperature” since both β and ϕ are proportional to $1/z$ when $z \rightarrow 0$. In other words, on a solution of the bulk gravity equations, β/ϕ is independent of z near $z = 0$. The factor of $e^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}}$ in Ψ_{UV} was chosen so that we get the expected answer as function of σ_0 after we take the inner product below, (A.108). However, it is likely that it could be fixed from first principles. In fact, if we look at the first expression for Ψ_{UV} , we note that we get a pure delta function for σ .

Note that the good classical solutions obey $\phi = \phi_h \cosh \tau$ and $\beta = 2\pi \sinh \tau$, so that $\frac{u}{\phi_h^2} + \frac{v}{(2\pi)^2} = 1$. This implies that for $u = 0$, all solutions go through the point $v = (2\pi)^2$,

which is exactly the same as the one we had found in the de-Sitter discussion, see figure 4(b). This point is outside the Rindler wedge we are considering, which is the left wedge in figure 4(b).

Then the Klein Gordon inner product gives us the partition function, or the object that we view as the partition function of the dual boundary theory

$$Z\left(\frac{\beta_0}{\phi_b}\right) = \langle \Psi_{UV}, \Psi_{IR} \rangle = \left(\frac{\phi_b}{\beta_0}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \exp\left[S_{0,AdS} + \frac{4\pi^2\phi_b}{\beta_0}\right] \quad (\text{A.108})$$

The inner product, computed on a surfaced of fixed $\phi = \phi_b$, involves a β derivative and a β integral, as in (2.24). The derivative only acts on the leading exponential, bringing down a factor of $2\phi_b$. The integral is done by using the δ function in (A.107).

Note that in contrast with the situation in [73, 74], here Ψ_{UV} *does* solve the Wheeler de Witt equation in the asymptotic region. The fact that the inner product does not depend on the choice of slice, seems related to the invariance of the partition function under the choice of UV regulator. Notice that the exponential dependence on R in (A.106) and (A.107) is such that it cancels in the computation of the partition function (A.108). We can view this as the subtraction of the “counterterms” that are UV divergent. The fact that the Klein Gordon product is independent of the slice where it is evaluated can be interpreted as the statement of the renormalization group flow.

It seems very likely that a similar analysis could be done also in the higher dimensional case, but we leave this to the future.

B Details on Matter Correlators

B.1 Matter Partition Function

B.1.1 Partition Function on H_2

As argued in section 2.3, path integral over the global dS_2 geometry can be achieved by analytic continuing the AdS_2 result with negative metric. This allows us to relate the matter partition function on dS_2 with the partition function on H_2 , and the finite piece is unchanged under analytic continuation.

Considering a scalar field ψ with mass m in dS_2 , this corresponding to a scalar field on H_2 with imaginary mass im (coming from the flip of sign of the metric). That is the Klein-Gordon equation is $(\square + m^2)\psi = 0$. After we expand in angular momentum modes on the circle, indexed by k , we have the radial equation ($ds^2 = dr^2 + \sinh^2 r d\varphi^2$):

$$\frac{1}{\sinh r} \partial_r (\sinh r \partial_r \psi) - \frac{k^2}{\sinh^2 r} \psi + m^2 \psi = 0 \quad (\text{B.109})$$

Choosing the solution that are regular and normalized at $r = 0$ we get

$$\psi = \frac{(\tanh r)^{|k|}}{(\cosh r)^{\Delta_+}} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{|k|}{2} + \frac{\nu}{2}, \frac{3}{4} + \frac{|k|}{2} + \frac{\nu}{2}, 1 + |k|, \tanh^2 r\right)$$

$$\Delta_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - m^2}, \quad \nu = \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - m^2} \quad (\text{B.110})$$

Now, to compute the determinant we will use the Coleman formula [75] which expresses it in terms of the value of these functions at the boundary $r = r_c \gg 1$.

$$\psi \sim \frac{\Gamma(1 + |k|)2^{|k|}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left[\frac{1}{(2 \cosh r_c)^{\Delta_-}} \frac{\Gamma(\Delta_+ - \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\Delta_+ + |k|)} + \frac{1}{(2 \cosh r_c)^{\Delta_+}} \frac{\Gamma(\Delta_- - \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\Delta_- + |k|)} \right] \quad (\text{B.111})$$

The Coleman formula then tells us that the partition function with Dirichlet (or Neumann) boundary condition is the logarithm sum of the coefficient of the leading (subleading) vanishing piece. We will only focus on the finite piece that depends on the mass parameter, which is the following:

$$\log Z_{D/N} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \log \Gamma(\Delta_{+/-} + |k|). \quad (\text{B.112})$$

B.1.2 Partition function on S^2

The partition function on S^2 is given by considering the euclidean theory and summing over all the modes of the laplacian

$$-\nabla^2 + m^2 = l(l+1) + m^2 = \lambda_l \quad (\text{B.113})$$

We get

$$\log Z_{S^2} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2l+1) \log \lambda_l = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2l+1) \log(l(l+1) + m^2) \quad (\text{B.114})$$

B.1.3 S^2 partition function and $Z_D Z_N$

We will show that the sphere partition function (B.114) is the product of partition function on H_2 with Dirichlet and Neumann boundary condition (B.112). The general argument is the following, when we do path integral over S_2 , we can do Lorentzian evolution at its equator to global dS_2 forwards and backwards. Such Lorentzian evolution does not affect the path integral since they cancel, but meanwhile one can write the full path integral on this geometry as:

$$\int \mathcal{D}\psi_0 |\Psi_m|^2 \sim Z_D^2 \int \mathcal{D}\psi_0 \exp \left[-C \int \int \frac{\psi_0(u) \psi_0(u')}{(2 \sin \frac{u-u'}{2})^{2\Delta}} \right] \sim Z_D^2 \text{Det} \left[\frac{1}{(2 \sin \frac{u-u'}{2})^{2\Delta}} \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \quad (\text{B.115})$$

where we are ignoring local pieces during the derivation. Meanwhile, Z_N is related to Z_D by Legendre of ψ_0 at the boundary, the difference of which is precisely the determinant:

$$Z_N = \int \mathcal{D}\psi_0 e^{iS(\psi)} \sim Z_D \int \mathcal{D}\psi_0 \exp \left[-C' \int \int \frac{\psi_0(u)\psi_0(u')}{(2 \sin \frac{u-u'}{2})^{2\Delta}} \right] \sim Z_D \text{Det} \left[\frac{1}{(2 \sin \frac{u-u'}{2})^{2\Delta}} \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \quad (\text{B.116})$$

In consequence, the sphere partition function is equal to $Z_D Z_N$.

We can check this relation explicitly with (B.114) and (B.112). Taking derivative of $\log Z_{S^2}$ with respect to m^2 , we have ²¹:

$$\partial_{m^2} \log Z_{S^2} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{l + \frac{1}{2} + \nu} + \frac{1}{l + \frac{1}{2} - \nu} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\psi\left(\frac{1}{2} + \nu\right) + \psi\left(\frac{1}{2} - \nu\right) \right] \quad (\text{B.117})$$

Taking derivative of $\log Z_{D/N}$ with respect to Δ_{\pm} , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\Delta} \log Z &= -\frac{1}{2} \psi(\Delta) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \psi(\Delta + k) = -\frac{1}{2} \psi(\Delta) - \sum_k \sum_l \frac{1}{\Delta + k + l} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \psi(\Delta) + (\Delta - 1) \sum_m \frac{1}{\Delta + m} = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \Delta\right) \psi(\Delta). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.118})$$

Therefore we have

$$\partial_{m^2} \log(Z_D Z_N) = \frac{1}{2\nu} (\partial_{\Delta_-} \log Z_N - \partial_{\Delta_+} \log Z_D) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\psi\left(\frac{1}{2} + \nu\right) + \psi\left(\frac{1}{2} - \nu\right) \right] = \partial_{m^2} \log Z_{S^2}. \quad (\text{B.119})$$

B.2 Backreaction: Perturbation theory

In this appendix we will give details on how to use perturbation theory to compute gravitational backreaction on matter correlators. For simplicity we will focus on the Poincare patch.

Instead of doing perturbation theory with the matter field and the two copies of the Schwarzian mode, here we will use a different method to illustrate another possible approach. We will begin by integrating out the Schwarzian mode in the wavefunction, which give an expansion in gravity mediated self-interactions. The wavefunction is

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi[\tilde{\psi}] &= \exp \left[-i^{2\Delta_+} c_{\nu} \int \tilde{\psi}(u_1) \tilde{\psi}(u_2) \langle G_{\Delta_+}^{\varphi}(u_1, u_2) \rangle \right. \\ &\quad \left. + i^{4\Delta_+} \frac{c_{\nu}^2}{2} \int \tilde{\psi}(u_1) \tilde{\psi}(u_2) \tilde{\psi}(u_3) \tilde{\psi}(u_4) \langle G_{\Delta_+}^{\varphi}(u_1, u_2) G_{\Delta_+}^{\varphi}(u_3, u_4) \rangle_{\text{conn}} + \dots \right], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.120})$$

²¹We use the relation for digamma function $\psi(z) = -\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{z+k} - \gamma + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k}$. In the derivation we only keep the mass dependence.

We will work to leading order $1/\phi_r$ and therefore we can take only the leading correction to the $\tilde{\psi}^2$ and $\tilde{\psi}^4$ kernels. Using the perturbative Schwarzian correlators from [6] we can expand to this order

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi[\tilde{\psi}] = & \exp \left[-i^{-2\Delta_-} \tilde{c}_\nu \int \frac{dk}{2\pi} \tilde{\psi}_k \tilde{\psi}_{-k} |k|^{2\Delta_+-1} \right. \\ & - i^{2\Delta_+-1} \tilde{c}_\nu \frac{\Delta_+(\Delta_+-1)(1-2\Delta_+)}{6\phi_r \tan \pi \Delta_+} \int \frac{dk}{2\pi} \tilde{\psi}_k \tilde{\psi}_{-k} |k|^{2\Delta_+-2} \\ & \left. + \frac{i^{4\Delta_+-1}}{2\phi_r} \tilde{c}_\nu^2 \int \tilde{\psi}_{k_1} \dots \tilde{\psi}_{k_4} K(k_1 \dots k_4) \right],\end{aligned}\quad (\text{B.121})$$

where the term in the second line is the leading correction to $\langle G_{\Delta_+}^\varphi(u_1, u_2) \rangle$ while the term in the third line is the fourier transform of the connected four point function for the Schwarzian in Euclidean signature $\langle G_{\Delta_+}^\varphi(u_1, u_2) G_{\Delta_+}^\varphi(u_3, u_4) \rangle_{\text{conn}}$. This Fourier transform can be easily computed starting from equation (3.126) of [64], to leading order in large C , and gives the result quoted in equation (5.96). One can do the same with the global correlators but, as we saw in the main text, the expressions are more complicated.

Taking the absolute square and redefining $\tilde{\psi} \rightarrow \tilde{N}_\nu^{1/2} \tilde{\psi}$ to simplify the tree level propagator term, we get a probability distribution given by

$$\begin{aligned}|\Psi[\tilde{\psi}]|^2 = & \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \int \frac{dk}{2\pi} \tilde{\psi}_k \tilde{\psi}_{-k} |k|^{2\Delta_+-1} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Delta_+(\Delta_+-1)(2\Delta_+-1)}{6\phi_r} \int \frac{dk}{2\pi} \tilde{\psi}_k \tilde{\psi}_{-k} |k|^{2\Delta_+-2} \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{\tan \pi \Delta_+}{8\phi_r} \int \tilde{\psi}_{k_1} \dots \tilde{\psi}_{k_4} K(k_1 \dots k_4) \right\}\end{aligned}\quad (\text{B.122})$$

We can write Feynman diagrams if we interpret $\int [d\tilde{\psi}] |\Psi[\tilde{\psi}]|^2$ as an in-out path integral with action $-\log |\Psi[\tilde{\psi}]|^2$. We denote interactions by blue dots, given by the terms written in the equation above.

Finally, when computing in-in correlators we should normalize by the norm of the state, which also might have gravitational corrections. As well known in QFT, this is taken care of by simply ignoring disconnected graphs. Therefore, for the two point function, we have a sum of only these three terms

$$\langle \psi_k \psi_{-k} \rangle_{\text{in-in}} = \text{---} \overset{\text{---}}{\underset{k}{\text{---}}} \text{---} + \text{---} \overset{\text{---}}{\underset{k}{\text{---}}} \text{---} + \text{---} \overset{\text{---}}{\underset{k}{\text{---}}} \text{---} \quad (\text{B.123})$$

The first term in the right hand side is given by the tree level contribution $G(k) = |k|^{2\Delta_+-1}$. The second term is the leading quadratic interaction in the exponent of (B.122), which gives

$$\text{---} \overset{\text{---}}{\underset{k}{\text{---}}} \text{---} = -|k|^{1-2\Delta_+} \frac{\Delta_+(\Delta_+-1)(2\Delta_+-1)}{6} \frac{1}{\phi_r |k|} \quad (\text{B.124})$$

The third term can be computed using (5.96) and gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Diagram: a horizontal line with two external legs labeled } k \text{ and a loop labeled } p \text{ on the top} &= \frac{\tan \pi \Delta_+}{\phi_r} G^2(k) \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} G(p) K(k, p; -k, -p) \\
&= |k|^{1-2\Delta_+} \frac{\Delta_+(\Delta_+ - 1)(2\Delta_+ - 1)}{3} \frac{1}{\phi_r |k|} \quad (\text{B.125})
\end{aligned}$$

Contractions involving $K(k, -k; p, -p) = 0$ automatically vanish. Notice that the final answer for these two diagrams are proportional to each other. This can be shown in general and is also true for global correlators. The proof is more complicated and can be done by using the method presented in the next subsection.

Also, to each order in ϕ_r we need to renormalize the wavefunction. This is standard in QFT and its accounted for by neglecting disconnected graphs. Putting these diagrams together we recover the result presented in equation (5.97).

Using the Feynman rules derived above, we can compute the connected in-in four-point function in Fourier space. It is given only by the diagram

$$\text{Diagram: a central blue vertex connected to four external legs} = G(k_1)G(k_2)G(k_3)G(k_4)(K(k_1, k_2; k_3, k_4) + K(k_1, k_3; k_2, k_4) + \dots) \quad (\text{B.126})$$

In the formula above we need to sum over K 's with different pairings. This is equivalent to a sum over different channels in the bulk.

Using the explicit expression in (5.96) we can easily show that

$$G_\Delta(k_1)G_\Delta(k_2)G_\Delta(k_3)G_\Delta(k_4)K_\Delta(k_1, k_2; k_3, k_4) = K_{1-\Delta}(k_1, k_2; k_3, k_4), \quad (\text{B.127})$$

where $G_\Delta(k) = |k|^{2\Delta-1}$. Therefore, in our calculation of the four-point function, the effect of the convolution with the two-point function is simply to replace $\Delta_+ \rightarrow \Delta_-$. We will give a general proof of this identity in the next subsection that will also be valid for global correlators. The final result for the 4pt function is therefore proportional to the Euclidean AdS correlator as anticipated in section 5.2

$$\text{Diagram: a central blue vertex connected to four external legs} = K_{1-\Delta}(k_1, k_2; k_3, k_4) + K_{1-\Delta}(k_1, k_3; k_2, k_4) + K_{1-\Delta}(k_1, k_4; k_2, k_3) \quad (\text{B.128})$$

where we sum over bulk “s”, “t” and “u” channels.

As a final comment, we could also use these diagrams to compute corrections to the norm of the HH state. This would be given by two diagrams where we close the lines in the two point functions in (B.123). When we correct for permutation factors, these two diagrams cancel. Therefore, the leading correction, if any, to the norm appears at order at least $1/\phi_r^2$.

B.3 Four-point function identity

To show the identity (5.90) we will need the following result. If we start from the reparametrized two point function $G_{\Delta_+}^\varphi(u_1, u_2) = \left(\frac{\varphi'(u_1)\varphi'(u_2)}{4 \sin^2 \frac{\varphi(u_1) - \varphi(u_2)}{2}} \right)^{\Delta_+}$ then we can show that

$$\int du' G_{\Delta_+}^\varphi(u_1, u') G_{\Delta_-}^\varphi(u', u_2) = \frac{2\pi \tan \pi \Delta_+}{2\Delta_+ - 1} \delta(u_1 - u_2) \quad (\text{B.129})$$

which is valid for an arbitrary $\varphi(u)$. This follows from the reparametrization symmetry of this integral which allows us to undo $\varphi(u)$. This is the same argument that allows to solve the low energy Schwinger-Dyson equation in SYK [70].

Using this result, we can write the following trivial identity

$$G_{\Delta_-}^\varphi(u_1, u_2) = \frac{2\Delta_+ - 1}{2\pi \tan \pi \Delta_+} \int du_a du_b G_{\Delta_-}^\varphi(u_a, u_1) G_{\Delta_+}^\varphi(u_a, u_b) G_{\Delta_-}^\varphi(u_b, u_2) \quad (\text{B.130})$$

valid for any $\varphi(u)$. When we expand $\varphi(u) = u + \varepsilon(u)$, this identity will give us non-trivial relations order by order. To linear order, the right hand side will produce a sum of 3 terms for each propagator. Two of them involve a reparametrization of the G_{Δ_-} propagators. Using the identity (B.129), both terms give the same answer

$$\frac{2\Delta_+ - 1}{2\pi \tan \pi \Delta_+} \int du_a du_b \delta_\varepsilon G_{\Delta_-}(u_a, u_1) G_{\Delta_+}^0(u_a, u_b) G_{\Delta_-}^0(u_b, u_2) = \delta_\varepsilon G_{\Delta_-}(u_1, u_2) \quad (\text{B.131})$$

where to simplify notation we denote $G_{\Delta_+}^0(u_1, u_2) = (4 \sin^2 \frac{u_1 - u_2}{2})^{-\Delta_+}$. The other term proportional to $\delta_\varepsilon G_{\Delta_-}$ can be similarly obtained from the formula above exchanging $u_a \leftrightarrow u_b$ and give the same final result. Moving these two terms to the left hand side and including the term proportional to $\delta_\varepsilon G_{\Delta_+}$ we can deduce that to linear order (B.130) is equivalent to

$$\delta_\varepsilon G_{\Delta_-}(u_1, u_2) = -\frac{2\Delta_+ - 1}{2\pi \tan \pi \Delta_+} \int du_a du_b G_{\Delta_-}^0(u_a, u_1) G_{\Delta_-}^0(u_b, u_2) \delta_\varepsilon G_{\Delta_+}(u_a, u_b) \quad (\text{B.132})$$

Multiplying this identity with a similar expression involving $(u_1, u_2) \rightarrow (u_3, u_4)$ we obtain the equation (5.90).

So far we looked at the identity derived from expanding (B.130) to linear order in ε . We can do the same to second order but we will not give the details here. This will give us the identity needed to show that the one loop correction to the two-point function in the global patch satisfies $\delta \langle \psi(\tilde{u}_1) \psi(\tilde{u}_2) \rangle_{dS} = -\tan \pi \Delta_- \delta \langle \psi(\tilde{u}_1) \psi(\tilde{u}_2) \rangle_{EAdS, \Delta_-}$.

B.4 Linearized Graviton Calculation

In section (B.2), we solve the gravitational backreaction using the Schwarzsian techniques where we fix the metric and leave the dilaton fluctuates. In this appendix, we use a different method to study the gravitational backreaction. This method corresponds to

choose a gauge to fix the dilaton field and then study the metric fluctuation. Such a linearized graviton method was studied in AdS_2 by Almheiri and Kang [9].

Using the time and spatial diffeomorphism transformations, we can fix the metric and dilaton to the following form:

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{\eta^2}(-e^{h-g}d\eta^2 + e^{h+g}dx^2); \quad \phi = \frac{1}{\eta} \quad (\text{B.133})$$

where h and g describes the metric fluctuation. By a direct evaluation, one can find the JT action for h, g to quadratic order:

$$iS = i \int \phi(R - 2) = i \int d\eta dx \frac{1}{\eta^2} g \partial_\eta h - \frac{h^2}{\eta^3}. \quad (\text{B.134})$$

Under a change of variable $h \rightarrow h - \frac{\eta^3}{2} \partial_\eta (\frac{g}{\eta^2}) = h + g - \frac{\eta}{2} \partial_\eta g$, we now have:

$$iS = -i \int d\eta dx \frac{1}{4} \frac{(\partial_\eta g)^2}{\eta} + \frac{h^2}{\eta^3}. \quad (\text{B.135})$$

The new h field does not contain a kinetic term and is not propagating, so we can fix it to its classical value 0. The action for g has only time derivative, and this means g only propagates in time direction but not in spatial direction. This phenomenon is consistent with the fact that two-dimensional dilaton gravity only contains a single quantum mechanical degree of freedom. Including a massive scalar field ψ , the full action (to quadratic order) becomes²²:

$$iS = -\frac{i}{2} \int d\eta dx \frac{1}{2} \frac{(\partial_\eta g)^2}{\eta} - (\partial_\eta \psi)^2 + (\partial_x \psi)^2 + \frac{m^2}{\eta^2} \psi^2 - g[(\partial_\eta \psi)^2 + (\partial_x \psi)^2 - \frac{m^2 \psi^2}{2\eta^2} - \frac{m^2}{2\eta} \partial_\eta (\psi^2)] \quad (\text{B.136})$$

We see that the coupling constant for g is decreasing at time grows. Therefore there is a competition between the diminishing of gravitational coupling and the matter proliferate during the history of dS_2 inflation. The propagator for g satisfies differential equation:

$$\frac{i}{2} \partial_\eta \eta^{-1} \partial_\eta G^g = -\delta(\eta - \eta') \delta(x - x'). \quad (\text{B.137})$$

The boundary condition we are imposing is that it should not grow at the horizon ($\eta \rightarrow -\infty$). Since we are calculating the in-in propagator, there are four types of propagators depends on the location at the Schwinger-Keldysh contour:

$$\begin{aligned} G_{++}^g(x, \eta; x', \eta') &= -i\delta(x - x')(\eta^2\theta(\eta - \eta') + \eta'^2\theta(\eta' - \eta) - \eta_c^2); \\ G_{--}^g(x, \eta; x', \eta') &= i\delta(x - x')(\eta^2\theta(\eta - \eta') + \eta'^2\theta(\eta' - \eta) - \eta_c^2); \\ G_{+-}^g(x, \eta; x', \eta') &= G_{-+}^g(x, \eta; x', \eta') = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.138})$$

²²This analysis is only valid at tree level, at one-loop level one need also consider the effect of ghost modes coming from gauge fixing.

η_c is the cutoff, and by taking $\eta_c \sim 0$ we can drop the constant piece. The vanishing of G_{+-}^g and G_{-+}^g is a manifestation of the fact that there is no local propagating degree of freedom between two contours. We can also read that the excitation of g goes to zero at a late time as a direct consequence of the running of coupling constant. That means the spatial metric does not change at future time slice. Hence we do not need to do any final rescaling of the spatial coordinate. The interaction between matter and graviton is also simple:

$$iS_{\text{int}} = i\frac{g}{2} \int (\partial_\eta \psi)^2 + (\partial_x \psi)^2 - \frac{m^2 \psi^2}{2\eta^2} - \frac{m^2}{2\eta} \partial_\eta (\psi^2). \quad (\text{B.139})$$

Now let's look at how this reproduces the Schwarzian tree level answers. In section 5.2, we showed that the four point function receives a correction from gravitational backreaction as a reparameterization of the in-in correlator. We can reproduce this result from this linearized graviton analysis. To make things clear, let's focus on only one channel (this can be achieved for instance by introducing flavor indices to the matter). Then the tree level gravitational backreaction of the four point function $\langle \psi_1 \psi_2 \psi_3 \psi_4 \rangle$ is:

$$- \int_+ \frac{d\eta_i dx_i d\eta_j dx_j}{\eta_i^2 \eta_j^2} D_i[G_{1,i}^{++} G_{2,i}^{++}] G_{++}^g(i, j) D_j[G_{j,3}^{++} G_{j,4}^{++}] + (c.c). \quad (\text{B.140})$$

This simple factorization is due to lacking of gravitatonal propagator between $+-$ contours. Here G^g is the graviton propagator and G_{ij}^{++} is the matter propagator. D_i is the differential operator from the coupling between graviton and matter:

$$D_i(G_{1,i}^{++} G_{2,i}^{++}) = \partial_{\eta_i} G_{1,i}^{++} \partial_{\eta_i} G_{2,i}^{++} + \partial_{x_i} G_{1,i}^{++} \partial_{x_i} G_{2,i}^{++} - \frac{m^2}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\eta_i^2} + \frac{1}{\eta_i} \partial_{\eta_i} \right) G_{1,i}^{++} G_{2,i}^{++} \quad (\text{B.141})$$

The integral (B.140) after analytic continuation of η to iz is essentially the same as the EAdS four point function correction done in [9], and there they match it to the Schwarzian result²³. Here the only difference is that there is a change of conformal dimension from Δ_+ to Δ_- due to the change from AdS bulk to boundary correlator $K(x_1; z_2, x_2) \sim \frac{z_2^{\Delta_+}}{(z_2^2 + x_{12}^2)^{\Delta_+}}$ to dS bulk to boundary correlator $G^{\pm\pm}(x_1; z_2, x_2) \sim \frac{(\pm iz_2)^{\Delta_-}}{(z_2^2 + x_{12}^2)^{\Delta_-}}$. This explains the simple relation of four point function backreaction between $d\tilde{S}_2$ and AdS_2 .

C ADM decomposition

In this appendix we will show that the Schwarzian equation of motion is the same as Momentum Constraint. We will illustrate this simple relation by coupling JT gravity with massless scalar field ψ . Using the ADM decomposition of the metric:

$$ds^2 = -N^2 dt^2 + \omega^2 (dz + N^z dt)^2, \quad (\text{C.142})$$

²³There is an overall minus i sign coming from the change of coupling constant of the Schwarzian action.

one can show that the action is equal to:

$$iS = -2i \int N(\omega^{-1}\phi'' - \omega^{-2}\omega'\phi' + \omega\phi + \frac{1}{4}\omega^{-1}\psi'^2) + N^{-1}[(\dot{\phi} - N^z\phi')(\dot{\omega} - (N^z\omega)') - \frac{\omega}{4}(\dot{\psi} - N^z\psi')^2]. \quad (\text{C.143})$$

The lapse N and shift N^z are Lagrangian Multipliers, whose equations of motion are the Hamiltonian and Momentum Constraints:

$$\text{Hamiltonian Constraint :} \quad \partial_u^2\phi + \phi - K\partial_n\phi + \frac{1}{2}T_{nn}^m = 0 \quad (\text{C.144})$$

$$\text{Momentum Constraint :} \quad K\partial_u\phi + \partial_u\partial_n\phi + \frac{1}{2}T_{nu}^m = 0 \quad (\text{C.145})$$

where we use the following expression for the extrinsic curvature K and $\partial_n\phi$:

$$K = -\frac{\Pi_\phi}{2\omega} = \frac{\dot{\omega} - (N^z\omega)'}{\omega N}; \quad \partial_n\phi = -\frac{\Pi_\omega}{2} = \frac{\dot{\phi} - N^z\phi'}{N}; \quad du = \omega dz; \quad (\text{C.146})$$

u is the proper length along the future time slice, and the normal direction (\vec{n}) is pointing to the future. $\Pi_{\omega/\phi}$ are momentum densities for ω and ϕ . Equation (C.145) is the Momentum Constraint of the system and it relates with change of the normal derivative of dilaton along the time slice with the energy flux from matter, after we choose the gauge $\partial_u\phi = 0$. By taking variation with respect to the boundary metric σ , one can show that up to a constant piece, the normal derivative of ϕ is equal to $\phi_b\{x(u), u\}$ [6]. In addition, it is easy to check that the Schwarzian equation of motion is:

$$2\phi_b\partial_u\{x(u), u\} + T_{nu}^m = 0 \quad (\text{C.147})$$

This means that integrating over the Schwarzian field automatically implement the momentum constraint on the Wheeler de-Witt wavefunction. In this sense, the boundary mode describes the dynamics of the constraint. If the spatial direction is a circle, then integrating (C.147) over the full circle we get that the total momentum of matter should be zero. By multiplying (C.147) with $\frac{k(u)}{x'(u)}$, where $k(u) = \{1, x(u), x(u)^2\}$, one can get conservation law for three $SL(2)$ charges²⁴. Again, when the spatial direction is a circle, all three $SL(2)$ matter charges are zero, so that we have a fully de-Sitter invariant matter state.

The Hamiltonian constraint gives us the WdW equation (2.16). To see that, let's work in the gauge where $\partial_u\phi = 0$ and $N^z = 0$ and turn off the matter field. As we mention before, the momentum constraint will force Π_ω to be a constant with respect to u . This means that the WdW wavefunctional Ψ only depends on constant mode of ϕ and Π_ω and therefore is only a simple function. Redefining $\omega = e^\rho$ and specifying the period of z to be 2π , the Hamiltonian constraint C.144 becomes:

$$[4\omega\phi - \Pi_\omega\Pi_\phi]\Psi = [\partial_\phi\partial_\rho + 16\pi^2\phi e^{2\rho}]\Psi = 0 \quad (\text{C.148})$$

where we use the relation between momentum density and momentum: $\Pi_{\phi/\omega} = \frac{1}{2\pi}\pi_{\phi/\omega}$.

²⁴Restricting to the disk topology.

D Nearly dS_2 from D dimensional gravity

Here we briefly discuss the generalization of section 3 to arbitrary dimensions. We will point out the main formulas required to carry a similar analysis as done for four dimensions. The metric for the Schwarzschild de-Sitter black holes is given by

$$ds^2 = -f d\tau^2 + \frac{d\rho^2}{f} + \rho^2 d\Omega_{D-2}^2, \quad f = 1 - \rho^2 - \frac{\mu}{\rho^{D-3}}. \quad (\text{D.149})$$

The temperature, defined as the periodicity of τ at ρ_+ , the first zero of $f(\rho)$, is given by

$$\beta = \frac{4\pi\rho_+}{(D-3) - (D-1)\rho_+^2}, \quad f(\rho_+) = 0 \quad (\text{D.150})$$

If we compactify $\tau \sim \tau + \lambda$, we get the same equation as in (3.45) but with

$$\lambda_c = \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{(D-1)(D-3)}}, \quad \rho_e = \sqrt{\frac{D-3}{D-1}}, \quad \mu_e = \frac{2}{(D-3)}\rho_e^{D-1}, \quad (\text{D.151})$$

which corresponds to the D -dimensional critical length, Nariai radius and Nariai mass respectively. Therefore, for any dimension D the path followed by the solutions in the ρ_+ plane as one varies y is identical to figure 7. The off shell classical action is

$$iS = \frac{R_{dS}^{D-2}\Omega_{D-2}}{16\pi G_N} [-i\lambda(D-2)(\rho_+^{D-3} - \rho_+^{D-1}) + 4\pi\rho_+^{D-2}]. \quad (\text{D.152})$$

If we expand in large λ and focus on the same saddle as explained in 3 then the wavefunction has the same form as (3.49) after replacing

$$M_e = \frac{R_{dS}^2\Omega_{D-2}}{16\pi G_N}(D-2)\mu_e, \quad S_e = \frac{R_{dS}^2\Omega_{D-2}}{4G_N}\rho_e^{D-2}, \quad (\text{D.153})$$

$$C_1 = \frac{R_{dS}^2\Omega_{D-2}}{8\pi G_N} \frac{2\pi^2(D-2)}{D-3}\rho_e^{D-1}, \quad C_2 = \frac{R_{dS}^2\Omega_{D-2}}{8\pi G_N} \frac{4\pi^3(D-2)^2}{3(D-3)^2}\rho_e^D. \quad (\text{D.154})$$

E Computation of the action for geometries with Lorentzian conical singularities.

In this section we will evaluate the gravitational action for geometries given by (3.31) with $\tau_r \sim \tau_r + \lambda$. These have a lorentzian conical singularity at ρ_+ , where $f(\rho_+) = 0$. We will define an off shell regularization of the lorentzian conical singularity and compute its contribution to the action.

E.1 Evaluating the action away from the singularity

First we evaluate the bulk part of the action, without including any contribution from the singularity at $\rho = \rho_+$. We write

$$iS = \frac{1}{16\pi G_N} \left[\int (R - 6) - 2 \int K \right] \quad (\text{E.155})$$

We now use that

$$\varphi \sim \varphi + \lambda', \quad \lambda = \lambda' \frac{\sqrt{-f(\rho_c)}}{\rho_c}, \quad R = 12, \quad \sqrt{h}K = \partial_n \sqrt{h} = \sqrt{-f} \partial_\rho \sqrt{-f} \rho^2 \quad (\text{E.156})$$

Note that λ is the actual ratio of the proper length of the S^1 over the radius of the S^2 at the cutoff surface ρ_c [58]. Pulling out a volume of the sphere we get

$$\begin{aligned} iS &= \frac{\lambda'}{4G_N} \left[6 \int_{\rho_+}^{\rho_c} d\rho \rho^2 - 2 \sqrt{-f} \partial_\rho \sqrt{-f} \rho^2 \right] \\ iS &= \frac{\lambda}{4G_N} \left[2 \frac{\rho_c}{\sqrt{f(\rho_c)}} (\rho_c^3 - \rho_+^3) - 2 \partial_\rho \sqrt{-f} \rho^2|_{\rho=\rho_c} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E.157})$$

After expanding $\sqrt{-f} = \rho_c(1 - \frac{1}{2}1/\rho_c^2 + \frac{1}{2}\mu/\rho_c^3)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} iS &= iS_{\text{Large}} + iS_{\text{Finite}}, \quad iS_{\text{Large}} = i \frac{\lambda}{4G_N} [-4\rho_c^3 + 2\rho_c] \\ iS_{\text{Finite}} &= i \frac{\lambda}{4G_N} [-\mu - 2\rho_+^3] \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E.158})$$

E.2 Evaluating the regularized cone action

Near $\rho = \rho_+$ we have a metric of the form

$$ds^2 = -d\tau^2 + \gamma^2 \tau^2 d\psi^2, \quad \psi \sim \psi + 2\pi \quad (\text{E.159})$$

This is a conical Lorentzian space. The idea is that we fill it in using a slightly complex no boundary manifold, which is not a solution of the Einstein equations by deforming the tip a bit. An example is

$$ds^2 = -d\tau^2 + \tau^2 \frac{-\epsilon^2 + \gamma^2 \tau^2}{\tau^2 + \epsilon^2} d\psi^2 \quad (\text{E.160})$$

with ϵ very small. Where $\tau \propto \rho - \rho_+$. Our goal here is to evaluate the integral of the Einstein action over a small region in τ . Since it is a small region, we naively expect a small contribution, but because the curvature is high, we can have a finite contribution. However, the curvature is high only in the two dimensional space parametrized by τ and

ψ , but not the directions of the transverse sphere. So the problem reduces to evaluating the two dimensional curvature, which we write as²⁵

$$i \int \sqrt{g} R = i \left[\int \sqrt{g} R - 2 \int K \right] + i 2 \int K, \quad i 2 \int K = 4\pi\lambda \quad (\text{E.161})$$

The first term is a topological invariant and turns out to be equal to 4π . This is slightly subtle since naively we are getting a real answer in the end. So, we can do it very explicitly for a general metric $ds^2 = -d\tau^2 + h^2 d\psi^2$ we get

$$i \int \sqrt{g} R - 2i \int K = -i 4\pi h'(0) \quad (\text{E.162})$$

Where we used that $\sqrt{g} R = 2h''$ is a total derivative. The extrinsic curvature term cancels the boundary term at $\tau \gg \epsilon$ and we are left with $h'(0)$. When we apply this to (E.160) we get

$$\sqrt{h} = \tau \sqrt{\frac{-\epsilon^2 + \tau^2 \gamma^2}{\tau^2 + \epsilon^2}} \quad (\text{E.163})$$

In order to evaluate $h(0)$ we will need $\sqrt{-1} = +i$. The fact that we get $+i$ comes from the fact that we are supposed to continue towards the upper half plane in the complex time direction. This is part of the no boundary prescription over which metrics we are integrating over. After we get this in (E.162) we get

$$i \left[\int \sqrt{g} R - 2K \right] = 4\pi \quad (\text{E.164})$$

Finally, in our problem this two dimensional action is multiplied by the volume of the S^2 , proportional to ρ_+^2 and the appropriate power of G_N . In addition, in our problem

$$\gamma = \frac{\lambda(-f')}{4\pi} \quad (\text{E.165})$$

So that in the end of the day we get a total contribution from the singularity equal to

$$i S_{\text{Sing}} = \frac{\pi}{G_N} \rho_+^2 + i \frac{\lambda}{4G_N} (2\rho_+^3 - \mu) \quad (\text{E.166})$$

$$i S_{\text{Finite}}^{\text{total}} = \frac{\pi}{G_N} \rho_+^2 - i \frac{\lambda}{2G_N} \mu = \frac{\pi}{G_N} \rho_+^2 - i \frac{\lambda}{2G_N} (\rho_+ - \rho_+^3) = S - i\lambda M \quad (\text{E.167})$$

The saddle point equation for ρ_+ is given by

$$i\lambda = \frac{4\pi\rho_+}{1 - 3\rho_+^2} \quad (\text{E.168})$$

which is the right one. As we mentioned, we have assumed that $f'(\rho_+) < 0$, or $\rho_+ > \rho_e$.

Redoing this in the case $0 < \rho_+ < \rho_e$ we find the same final action.

²⁵This is multiplied by the area of the sphere and $1/(16\pi G_N)$.

E.3 Euclidean Actions in general two dimensional gravity theories

We can also do the analysis for general gravitational system under the assumption of spherical symmetry so that we reduce the problem to a two dimensional gravity problem. Such systems can be reduced (after a field redefinition) to a two dimensional action

$$-S_E = \int \phi R - U(\phi). \quad (\text{E.169})$$

with a general $U(\phi)$ that depends on the system we are considering. Such actions always have a killing vector [39]. $\zeta^\mu = \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu \phi$. We can choose the coordinates so that $\zeta^\mu \propto \partial_t$. Then we can write

$$ds^2 = -e^{2\Omega(z)} dx^+ dx^-; \quad \phi = \phi(z); \quad z = \frac{x^+ - x^-}{2}; \quad t = \frac{x^+ + x^-}{2}. \quad (\text{E.170})$$

The classical equations of motion are [29]:

$$-2\partial_z \Omega \partial_z \phi + \partial_z^2 \phi = 0, \quad e^{2\Omega} U + \partial_z^2 \phi = 0 \quad (\text{E.171})$$

The first equation relates the growth of dilaton with the scale factor:

$$\partial_z \phi = -e^{2\Omega} \quad (\text{E.172})$$

where we have fixed an undetermined constant by a rescaling of z . Now when z increases ϕ decreases. Using this, we can solve the second equation and it gives:

$$\partial_z \phi = W(\phi) - W(\phi_h), \quad e^{2\Omega} = W(\phi_h) - W(\phi), \quad W(\phi) - W(\phi_h) = \int_{\phi_h}^{\phi} d\phi' U(\phi') \quad (\text{E.173})$$

where we defined ϕ_h to be the value of ϕ where $\partial_z \phi = 0$, which corresponds to a horizon. We call $W(\phi)$ a “prepotential”. Near the horizon, we can expand the equation and gives:

$$\partial_z \phi = U(\phi_h)(\phi - \phi_h); \quad \phi = \phi_h + e^{zU(\phi_h)}. \quad (\text{E.174})$$

where we absorbed an integration constant by a shift of z . We are assuming that the combination $zU(h) \rightarrow -\infty$ as we approach the horizon. The metric near the horizon is

$$ds^2 = -e^{2\Omega} dx^+ dx^- = -U(\phi_h) e^{zU(\phi_h)} (-dt^2 + dz^2) \quad (\text{E.175})$$

If the horizon is smooth, then we will have a relation between Euclidean time period $t \sim t + \beta$ and the magnitude of $|U(\phi_h)|$:

$$\beta = \frac{4\pi}{|U(\phi_h)|} \quad (\text{E.176})$$

We will consider two general situations, one corresponding to black hole in a space with an asymptotically "cold" region, so that we have only one horizon. The second is black hole in dS where we have a region with two horizons. As shown in figure 13(a), in the case of AdS, one usually encounter the situation that the dilaton keeps growing away from its horizon value towards the asymptotic region. We can put a cutoff and specify its boundary value ϕ_b . We define z such that the horizon is located at $z \rightarrow +\infty$, which is consistent with the sign we picked in (E.172), and the boundary is at finite z location z_b . One can calculate the classical Euclidean action

$$\begin{aligned} -S_E &= \int (\phi R - U) - 2\phi_b \int K = \beta \int_{z_b}^{\infty} dz (-2\partial_z^2 \Omega \phi - e^{2\Omega} U) - 2\beta \phi_b \partial_z \Omega(z_b) \\ &= -2\beta \partial_z \Omega \phi_h + 2\beta \int_{z_b}^{\infty} dz \partial_z^2 \phi = 4\pi \phi_h - 2\beta [W(\phi_b) - W(\phi_h)]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E.177})$$

where we used (E.176) (with $U(\phi_h) < 0$). At the boundary, we define the proper UV temperature, given by the proper length of the circle, as

$$\hat{\beta} = \frac{e^{\Omega(z_b)} \beta}{\sqrt{-W(\phi_b)}} = \sqrt{1 - \frac{W(\phi_h)}{W(\phi_b)}} \beta \quad (\text{E.178})$$

This gives

$$-S_E = 4\pi \phi_h + 2\hat{\beta} \sqrt{-W(\phi_b)} \sqrt{W(\phi_h) - W(\phi_b)} \quad (\text{E.179})$$

$$-S_E \sim -2\hat{\beta} W(\phi_b) + 4\pi \phi_h + \hat{\beta} W(\phi_h) \quad (\text{E.180})$$

where in the second equation we took the limit where $\phi_b \gg \phi_h$. The first term is infinite in that limit, but proportional to $\hat{\beta}$ (a vacuum energy contribution). The last two terms can be viewed as the entropy and energy contributions. And we saw that the energy has a simple relation with the prepotential:

$$E = -W(\phi_h). \quad (\text{E.181})$$

Since the gravitational entropy is equal to $4\pi \phi_h$, this simple relation is actually the thermodynamics relation for general Black Holes.

Here we have obtained (E.180) as an on shell equation, where ϕ_h and β are linked as in (E.176). However, it is possible to obtain it also as an off shell expression where ϕ_h is an independent variable, which becomes fixed by using a saddle point equation, which fixes it to the value given by (E.176). We proceed to explain this in more detail.

If we call the identification of the circle β , but we do not link them as in (E.176), then the bulk action computation described in (E.177) would give us

$$-S_E = -\beta U(\phi_h) \phi_h + \hat{\beta} W(\phi_h) - 2\hat{\beta} W(\phi_b). \quad (\text{E.182})$$

However, when the geometry is not smooth at the horizon we should add an extra contribution from the conical singularity

$$-S_{\text{sing}} = 4\pi\phi_h \left[1 - \beta \frac{(-U(\phi_h))}{4\pi} \right] \quad (\text{E.183})$$

When added to (E.182) we get

$$-\tilde{S}_E^{\text{Off-Shell}} = 4\pi\phi_h + \hat{\beta}W(\phi_h) \quad (\text{E.184})$$

Here we can think of the first term as the entropy and the second as the energy. As promised, extremizing over ϕ_h gives us (E.176). Here we derived it for the case that we take the limit $\phi_b \gg \phi_h$, but we get the right answer even if we do not take this limit. Namely, we get (E.179), and with (E.176), and remembering the relation (E.178). This type of off shell configurations was discussed before, see e.g. [76].

We now turn to the case where we have two horizons. An example is the static patch of de-Sitter space. Now the typical prepotential is shown in figure 13(b). We are interested in the region between the two horizons. In this case, we can also go to Euclidean space and consider off shell geometries with conical singularities at one or both horizons. We could divide this region in two half, and each half would look like the computation we did above. When we put the two halves together all terms involving ϕ_b cancel out, since such terms were arising after integration by parts. So get that the final off shell action is

$$-S_E^{\text{Off-Shell}} = 4\pi\phi_- + 4\pi\phi_+ \quad (\text{E.185})$$

where ϕ_{\pm} are the values of ϕ at the two horizons²⁶. Notice that it is independent of the period that we have chosen for the Euclidean time direction. In this action we included a bulk piece and also the contributions for the curvature at the tips of the cones. It is interesting that we only have the contributions from the entropies of both horizons. If we vary with respect to ϕ_+ and ϕ_- we get the condition that the two temperatures are equal, which is not possible if we solve the equations between the two horizons. In some special circumstances we have solutions where they are equal. An example is the black hole in dS_4 , where the two temperatures can be equal only for the Nariai solution, $dS_2 \times S^2$.

F Integration contours and contributing saddles

We have heuristically argued in appendix E that we could reduce the whole path integral to an integral over one parameter. The argument is heuristic because we have not honestly done the path integral, we have just used a saddle point approximation for most variables except for one. The final one dimensional integral has the form

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}} d\rho \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{\hbar} E(\rho) \right\} , \quad E(\rho) = -iy(\rho - \frac{1}{3}\rho^3) + \rho^2 \quad (\text{F.186})$$

²⁶Similar calculation was done in [77].




Figure 13: The type of prepotentials that we consider. (a) for the case of one horizon. (b) for the case of two horizons.

We are not paying attention to the measure. The variables ρ and y correspond to the variables ρ_+/ρ_e and λ/λ_c in the main text, while $\hbar \sim G_N/R_{dS}^2$. \mathcal{C} denotes the contour that defines the integral. We will start by analyzing the case for which we integrate over $\rho \in (0, +\infty)$. In the end, we will compare with other choices of contours.

The first step is to identify the directions in the complex plane where the exponent $E(\rho)$ decreases for large $|\rho|$. The steepest descent directions at large $|\rho|$ are determined by the $i\rho^3$ term in the exponent and correspond to the directions with $\arg(\rho) = \pi/6, 5\pi/6, -\pi/2$. See green lines in figure 14 (while the original contour is in purple). Also near the endpoint at $\rho = 0$ the exponent goes as $E \sim -iy\rho$ (for $\rho \rightarrow 0$) and so the steepest descent line is along the negative imaginary direction.

In order to evaluate the integral with the steepest descent method we need to deform the contour so that it starts at $\rho = 0$ and follows a steepest descent line at large $|\rho|$, this implies that it should go along the direction with $\arg(\rho) = +\pi/6$. We also choose a contour where the imaginary part is constant along the contour. In principle we can get contributions from saddles and also from the endpoint at $\rho = 0$. The endpoint contribution has zero action and will always be present. The saddle contributions might or might not be present. Let us first analyze the case with $y > 1$. Then the two saddles and their directions of steepest descent are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 \rho_1 &= \frac{i}{y} + \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{y^2}}, & \arg(\delta\rho) &= \frac{\pi}{4} \\
 \rho_2 &= \frac{i}{y} - \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{y^2}}, & \arg(\delta\rho) &= -\frac{\pi}{4}
 \end{aligned} \tag{F.187}$$

where the argument of $\delta\rho$ tells us the direction of steepest descent (of course if we add π to the argument of $\delta\rho$ we also get a line of steepest descent). As we see in figure 14 we can consider a contour with constant imaginary part that passes through the first root coming from the direction $-i\infty$ and goes to infinity along the $\arg(\rho) = \pi/6$ line. This is combined




Figure 14: We show original ρ contour in purple and asymptotic steepest descent directions in green. In red, we show saddle points and local direction of steepest descent. In blue, we show steepest descent contours homologous to the original one. (a) For the case $y > 1$ only ρ_1 contributes when we integrate along the $(0, \infty)$ contour. (b) Also $y > 1$ but integrating along the $(0, \sqrt{3})$ contour. In (c) and (d) we consider the $y < 1$ case along the $(0, \infty)$ contour for two different regularizations. In (c) we only pick up ρ_1 . In (d) we pick up both, but ρ_1 dominates and both choices are consistent, and we are sitting at at Stokes line.

with a contour that starts at $\rho = 0$ and goes to $-i\infty$ along a steepest descent line. This gives us a full contour homologous to the original one. This picks up the saddle ρ_1 but not the one with ρ_2 . We also have an endpoint contribution at $\rho = 0$.

The exponent E at ρ_1 is

$$\text{Re}[E(\rho_1)] = 1 - \frac{2}{3} \frac{1}{y^2} \quad (\text{F.188})$$

Contours	Saddles	Endpoints
$\rho \in (0, +\infty)$	ρ_1	0
$\rho \in (0, \sqrt{3})$	ρ_1	0 and $\sqrt{3}$
$\rho \in (-\infty, +\infty)$	ρ_1 and ρ_2	

Table 1: Possible contours (described by their endpoints) together with their contributing saddles and endpoints for $y > 1$. The ones in red dominate.

We see that this is always bigger than zero in for $y > 1$, so that this saddle dominates over the endpoint contribution, which has $E = 0$.

We now analyze the case $y < 1$. In this case, the two saddles are along the line $\rho = ir$ with $r > 0$. The two roots and their directions of steepest descent are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_1 &= i \left[\frac{1}{y} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{y^2} - 1} \right], & \arg(\delta\rho) &= \pi/2 \\ \rho_2 &= i \left[\frac{1}{y} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{y^2} - 1} \right], & \arg(\delta\rho) &= 0\end{aligned}\tag{F.189}$$

In order to analyze this configuration it is convenient to add a small imaginary part to y so that these two roots are displaced away from the real axis. It does not matter much which way we displace them. Let us imagine we displace the first one towards the region of positive real part, as shown in figure 14(c). Then we can run a contour that passes through ρ_1 and then asymptotes to $\arg(\rho) = \pi/6$. Then only ρ_1 would contribute. If we had given the imaginary part in the other direction (figure 14d) we would have a slightly more complicated contour that would also pick up ρ_2 . So we see that we are sitting precisely at a Stokes line where ρ_2 is appearing or disappearing. Of course, the contribution of ρ_2 is subdominant. And the contribution of ρ_1 has an exponent $E(\rho_1) > 0$ which makes it dominate over the endpoint contribution.

Note that for $y < 1$ the geometries look similar to Euclidean AdS black holes. But the saddle we pick is ρ_1 , which corresponds to the “small” black hole, in contrast with the one picked in [38]. We discuss in more detail the *AdS* problem in appendix (F.1).

Finally, we can also study which saddles are picked depending on the choice of contour \mathcal{C} . Since it is the case most relevant for the discussion in this paper, we will focus on the $y > 1$ case (a similar analysis can be done for $y < 1$). We summarize the results in table 1.

Another option is to integrate over $\rho \in (0, \sqrt{3})$, or $\rho_+ \in (0, 1)$. Along this contour the mass goes from $\mu = 0$ to μ_e at $\rho = 1$ and then goes back to $\mu = 0$ at $\rho = \sqrt{3}$. We had argued in section 3.4 that the contour is expected not to stop at $\rho = 1$, or $\rho_+ = \rho_e$. For this reason, the only natural place to stop seems to be at $\rho_+ = 1$. The attractive feature of this contour is that we are summing only over regular black hole solutions in de-Sitter, with

masses $0 \leq \mu \leq \mu_e$. For this contour, the only modification is the addition of an endpoint contribution at $\rho = \sqrt{3}$, for which the steepest descent direction (for large y) is essentially along the positive imaginary direction. This endpoint contribution looks like empty dS_4 in Milne-like coordinates, given by (3.31) with τ identified. We can still deform the contour so that ρ_1 still contributes, see figure 14(b). The endpoint with $\rho = \sqrt{3}$ dominates since $E(\rho = \sqrt{3}) = 3$. This corresponds to the entropy of dS_4 . But the ρ_1 saddle is still present, though as a subleading contribution.

Finally, we could integrate over $\rho \in (-\infty, \infty)$ then we can choose a steepest descent contour that goes from $\arg(\rho) = 5\pi/6$ to $-i\infty$ passing through ρ_2 and then goes from $-i\infty$ to $\arg(\rho) = \pi/6$ passing through ρ_1 and picking both saddles.

F.1 Euclidean AdS blackhole saddle point analysis

In the *AdS* case, we encounter a very similar problem. We have various saddle points and we want to know which ones contribute. Again we can imagine solving the equations holding the area or mass of the black holes fixed. This leads us to spacetimes with a conical singularity. Smoothing out the cone slightly gives us an action which is essentially $-I = S - \beta M$ where S is the entropy and M the mass of the black hole. Then the integral over the last variable is

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}} dr \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{\hbar} \tilde{E}(r) \right\}, \quad \tilde{E}(r) = -y(r + \frac{1}{3}r^3) + r^2, \quad r = \sqrt{3}r_+, \quad y = \frac{\beta}{\beta_c} \quad (\text{F.190})$$

with $\beta_c = \lambda_c$. This has a form similar to (F.186) with $\rho = ir$ and an overall change in sign, $\tilde{E}(r) = -E(ir)$. Relative to the problem analyzed above there are two changes, first we change the sign of the exponent. Second, the natural integration contour is along real r or positive imaginary ρ . The saddle points continue to be the same, but the lines of steepest descent are rotated by $\pi/2$ due to the change in action. In addition, the lines of steepest descent at large ρ are rotated so that now the line with positive imaginary ρ (or real r) is a line of steepest descent. We show these directions in figure 15 in green. In the *AdS* case, the natural contour is between $r \in (0, +\infty)$, the purple line in figure 15. This can be viewed as the integral over energies when we go from the microcanonical to the canonical ensemble.

In figure 15(b) and 15(c) we analyze the steepest descent curves homologous to the original contour of integration. For $y < 1$ we are forced to regulate the saddle points by moving them in the imaginary direction (this can be done by a shift $y \rightarrow y + i\epsilon$). The steepest descent curves are shown in blue and the saddle ρ_2 always contributes. This corresponds to the large black hole in *AdS*. Whether it dominates or not relative to the endpoint depends on the temperature, this defines the Hawking-Page transition. It is interesting to note that the small black hole, besides having subleading action, is not even part of the steepest descent contour.

When $y > 1$, there is a steepest descent curve that goes along the original contour of integration (blue curve in figure 15a). Therefore the endpoint at $\rho = 0$ always dominates, this is thermal *AdS*. There are no real black hole solutions in *AdS*, and from this




Figure 15: We show original r contour (purple) and asymptotic steepest descent directions (green). In blue we show steepest descent contours homologous to the original one. In red we show saddle points and local direction of steepest descent. (a) For the case $y > 1$ only end-point contributes. Case $y < 1$ needs regulation: Both curves (b) and (c) pick r_2 and the end-point.

analysis we see the two complex solutions should not be included, not even as subleading contributions.

References

- [1] R. Jackiw, “Lower Dimensional Gravity,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B252** (1985) 343–356.
- [2] C. Teitelboim, “Gravitation and Hamiltonian Structure in Two Space-Time Dimensions,” *Phys. Lett.* **B126** (1983) 41–45.

- [3] M. Henneaux, “Quantum gravity in two-dimensions: exact solution of the Jackiw model,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **54** (1985) 959–962.
- [4] D. Louis-Martinez, J. Gegenberg, and G. Kunstatter, “Exact Dirac quantization of all 2-D dilaton gravity theories,” *Phys. Lett.* **B321** (1994) 193–198, [arXiv:gr-qc/9309018 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [5] K. Jensen, “Chaos and hydrodynamics near AdS_2 ,” [arXiv:1605.06098 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [6] J. Maldacena, D. Stanford, and Z. Yang, “Conformal symmetry and its breaking in two dimensional Nearly Anti-de-Sitter space,” *PTEP* **2016** no. 12, (2016) 12C104, [arXiv:1606.01857 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [7] J. Engelsöy, T. G. Mertens, and H. Verlinde, “An investigation of AdS_2 backreaction and holography,” *JHEP* **07** (2016) 139, [arXiv:1606.03438 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [8] D. Grumiller, J. Salzer, and D. Vassilevich, “Aspects of AdS_2 holography with non-constant dilaton,” *Russ. Phys. J.* **59** no. 11, (2017) 1798–1803, [arXiv:1607.06974 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [9] A. Almheiri and B. Kang, “Conformal Symmetry Breaking and Thermodynamics of Near-Extremal Black Holes,” *JHEP* **10** (2016) 052, [arXiv:1606.04108 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [10] M. Cvetič and I. Papadimitriou, “ AdS_2 holographic dictionary,” *JHEP* **12** (2016) 008, [arXiv:1608.07018 \[hep-th\]](#). [Erratum: *JHEP*01,120(2017)].
- [11] G. Sárosi, “ AdS_2 holography and the SYK model,” *PoS Modave2017* (2018) 001, [arXiv:1711.08482 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [12] T. G. Mertens, G. J. Turiaci, and H. L. Verlinde, “Solving the Schwarzian via the Conformal Bootstrap,” *JHEP* **08** (2017) 136, [arXiv:1705.08408 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [13] H. T. Lam, T. G. Mertens, G. J. Turiaci, and H. Verlinde, “Shockwave S-matrix from Schwarzian Quantum Mechanics,” *JHEP* **11** (2018) 182, [arXiv:1804.09834 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [14] D. Harlow and D. Jafferis, “The Factorization Problem in Jackiw-Teitelboim Gravity,” [arXiv:1804.01081 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [15] F. Larsen, “A nAttractor Mechanism for $n\text{AdS}_2/n\text{CFT}_1$ Holography,” [arXiv:1806.06330 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [16] P. Saad, S. H. Shenker, and D. Stanford, “A semiclassical ramp in SYK and in gravity,” [arXiv:1806.06840 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [17] A. Goel, H. T. Lam, G. J. Turiaci, and H. Verlinde, “Expanding the Black Hole Interior: Partially Entangled Thermal States in SYK,” *JHEP* **02** (2019) 156, [arXiv:1807.03916 \[hep-th\]](#).

- [18] J. Lin, “Entanglement entropy in Jackiw-Teitelboim Gravity,” [arXiv:1807.06575 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [19] A. Kitaev and S. J. Suh, “Statistical mechanics of a two-dimensional black hole,” [arXiv:1808.07032 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [20] Z. Yang, “The Quantum Gravity Dynamics of Near Extremal Black Holes,” [arXiv:1809.08647 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [21] A. R. Brown, H. Gharibyan, H. W. Lin, L. Susskind, L. Thorlacius, and Y. Zhao, “Complexity of Jackiw-Teitelboim gravity,” *Phys. Rev.* **D99** no. 4, (2019) 046016, [arXiv:1810.08741 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [22] M. Alishahiha, “On Complexity of Jackiw-Teitelboim Gravity,” [arXiv:1811.09028 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [23] A. Blommaert, T. G. Mertens, and H. Verschelde, “Fine Structure of Jackiw-Teitelboim Quantum Gravity,” [arXiv:1812.00918 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [24] A. Blommaert, T. G. Mertens, and H. Verschelde, “Clocks and Rods in Jackiw-Teitelboim Quantum Gravity,” [arXiv:1902.11194 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [25] L. V. Iliesiu, S. S. Pufu, H. Verlinde, and Y. Wang, “An exact quantization of Jackiw-Teitelboim gravity,” [arXiv:1905.02726 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [26] P. Saad, S. H. Shenker, and D. Stanford, “JT gravity as a matrix integral,” [arXiv:1903.11115 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [27] S. Dubovsky, V. Gorbenko, and M. Mirbabayi, “Asymptotic fragility, near AdS₂ holography and $T\bar{T}$,” *JHEP* **09** (2017) 136, [arXiv:1706.06604 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [28] S. Dubovsky, V. Gorbenko, and G. Hernández-Chifflet, “ $T\bar{T}$ partition function from topological gravity,” *JHEP* **09** (2018) 158, [arXiv:1805.07386 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [29] A. Almheiri and J. Polchinski, “Models of AdS₂ backreaction and holography,” *JHEP* **11** (2015) 014, [arXiv:1402.6334 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [30] P. Nayak, A. Shukla, R. M. Soni, S. P. Trivedi, and V. Vishal, “On the Dynamics of Near-Extremal Black Holes,” *JHEP* **09** (2018) 048, [arXiv:1802.09547 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [31] Y.-Z. Li, S.-L. Li, and H. Lu, “Exact Embeddings of JT Gravity in Strings and M-theory,” *Eur. Phys. J.* **C78** no. 9, (2018) 791, [arXiv:1804.09742 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [32] D. Anninos and D. M. Hofman, “Infrared Realization of dS₂ in AdS₂,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **35** no. 8, (2018) 085003, [arXiv:1703.04622 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [33] D. Anninos, D. A. Galante, and D. M. Hofman, “De Sitter Horizons & Holographic Liquids,” [arXiv:1811.08153 \[hep-th\]](#).

- [34] X. Dong, E. Silverstein, and G. Torroba, “De Sitter Holography and Entanglement Entropy,” *JHEP* **07** (2018) 050, [arXiv:1804.08623 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [35] V. Gorbenko, E. Silverstein, and G. Torroba, “dS/dS and $T\bar{T}$,” *JHEP* **03** (2019) 085, [arXiv:1811.07965 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [36] R. Laflamme, “The wave function of a $S(1) \times S(2)$ universe,” *University of Cambridge, Ph.D. thesis* (1986) .
- [37] D. Anninos, F. Denef, and D. Harlow, “Wave function of Vasiliev’s universe: A few slices thereof,” *Phys. Rev.* **D88** no. 8, (2013) 084049, [arXiv:1207.5517 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [38] S. Banerjee, A. Belin, S. Hellerman, A. Lepage-Jutier, A. Maloney, D. Radicevic, and S. Shenker, “Topology of Future Infinity in dS/CFT,” *JHEP* **11** (2013) 026, [arXiv:1306.6629 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [39] R. B. Mann, “Conservation laws and 2-D black holes in dilaton gravity,” *Phys. Rev.* **D47** (1993) 4438–4442, [arXiv:hep-th/9206044 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [40] J. B. Hartle and S. W. Hawking, “Wave Function of the Universe,” *Phys. Rev.* **D28** (1983) 2960–2975. [Adv. Ser. Astrophys. Cosmol.3,174(1987)].
- [41] A. Vilenkin, “Boundary Conditions in Quantum Cosmology,” *Phys. Rev.* **D33** (1986) 3560.
- [42] A. Vilenkin, “Approaches to quantum cosmology,” *Phys. Rev.* **D50** (1994) 2581–2594, [arXiv:gr-qc/9403010 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [43] A. Vilenkin and M. Yamada, “Tunneling wave function of the universe,” *Phys. Rev.* **D98** no. 6, (2018) 066003, [arXiv:1808.02032 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [44] L. Freidel, “Reconstructing AdS/CFT,” [arXiv:0804.0632 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [45] J. J. Halliwell and S. W. Hawking, “The Origin of Structure in the Universe,” *Phys. Rev.* **D31** (1985) 1777. [Adv. Ser. Astrophys. Cosmol.3,277(1987)].
- [46] T. Hertog and J. Hartle, “Holographic No-Boundary Measure,” *JHEP* **05** (2012) 095, [arXiv:1111.6090 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [47] D. Stanford and E. Witten, “Fermionic Localization of the Schwarzian Theory,” *JHEP* **10** (2017) 008, [arXiv:1703.04612 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [48] T. Strobl, “Quantization and the issue of time for various two-dimensional models of gravity,” *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **D3** (1994) 281–284, [arXiv:hep-th/9308155 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [49] J. M. Maldacena, “Non-Gaussian features of primordial fluctuations in single field inflationary models,” *JHEP* **05** (2003) 013, [arXiv:astro-ph/0210603 \[astro-ph\]](#).

- [50] D. Harlow and D. Stanford, “Operator Dictionaries and Wave Functions in AdS/CFT and dS/CFT,” [arXiv:1104.2621 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [51] H. Nariai, “On some static solutions of Einstein’s gravitational field equations in a spherically symmetric case,” *Sci. Rep. Tohoku Univ. Eighth Ser.* **34** (1950) .
- [52] P. H. Ginsparg and M. J. Perry, “Semiclassical Perdurance of de Sitter Space,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B222** (1983) 245–268.
- [53] R. Bousso and S. W. Hawking, “The Probability for primordial black holes,” *Phys. Rev.* **D52** (1995) 5659–5664, [arXiv:gr-qc/9506047 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [54] R. Bousso and S. W. Hawking, “Pair creation of black holes during inflation,” *Phys. Rev.* **D54** (1996) 6312–6322, [arXiv:gr-qc/9606052 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [55] A. Castro and A. Maloney, “The Wave Function of Quantum de Sitter,” *JHEP* **11** (2012) 096, [arXiv:1209.5757 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [56] D. Das, S. R. Das, and K. Narayan, “dS/CFT at uniform energy density and a de Sitter ‘bluewall’,” *JHEP* **04** (2014) 116, [arXiv:1312.1625 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [57] G. Conti and T. Hertog, “Two wave functions and dS/CFT on $S^1 \times S^2$,” *JHEP* **06** (2015) 101, [arXiv:1412.3728 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [58] S. W. Hawking and D. N. Page, “Thermodynamics of Black Holes in anti-De Sitter Space,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **87** (1983) 577.
- [59] E. Witten, “Anti-de Sitter space, thermal phase transition, and confinement in gauge theories,” *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2** (1998) 505–532, [arXiv:hep-th/9803131 \[hep-th\]](#). [,89(1998)].
- [60] D. Birmingham, “Topological black holes in Anti-de Sitter space,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **16** (1999) 1197–1205, [arXiv:hep-th/9808032 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [61] L. V. Iliesiu and G. J. Turiaci, “The statistical mechanics of near-extremal black holes,” [arXiv:2003.02860 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [62] N. Birrel and P. Davies, *Quantum fields in curved space*. Cambridge Univ. Press, 1982.
- [63] R. Bousso, A. Maloney, and A. Strominger, “Conformal vacua and entropy in de Sitter space,” *Phys. Rev.* **D65** (2002) 104039, [arXiv:hep-th/0112218 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [64] J. Maldacena and D. Stanford, “Comments on the Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev model,” [arXiv:1604.07818 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [65] D. Seery, M. S. Sloth, and F. Vernizzi, “Inflationary trispectrum from graviton exchange,” *JCAP* **0903** (2009) 018, [arXiv:0811.3934 \[astro-ph\]](#).

- [66] D. Bagrets, A. Altland, and A. Kamenev, “Sachdev–Ye–Kitaev model as Liouville quantum mechanics,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B911** (2016) 191–205, [arXiv:1607.00694](#) [[cond-mat.str-el](#)].
- [67] J. Cotler, K. Jensen, and A. Maloney, “Low-dimensional de Sitter quantum gravity,” [arXiv:1905.03780](#) [[hep-th](#)].
- [68] S. B. Giddings and M. S. Sloth, “Semiclassical relations and IR effects in de Sitter and slow-roll space-times,” *JCAP* **1101** (2011) 023, [arXiv:1005.1056](#) [[hep-th](#)].
- [69] S. Sachdev and J.-w. Ye, “Gapless spin fluid ground state in a random, quantum Heisenberg magnet,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **70** (1993) 3339, [arXiv:cond-mat/9212030](#) [[cond-mat](#)].
- [70] A. Kitaev, “A simple model of quantum holography.” <http://online.kitp.ucsb.edu/online/entangled15/kitaev/>, <http://online.kitp.ucsb.edu/online/entangled15/kitaev2/>. Talks at KITP, April 7, 2015 and May 27, 2015.
- [71] D. Anninos, T. Hartman, and A. Strominger, “Higher Spin Realization of the dS/CFT Correspondence,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **34** no. 1, (2017) 015009, [arXiv:1108.5735](#) [[hep-th](#)].
- [72] J. Maldacena and X.-L. Qi, “Eternal traversable wormhole,” [arXiv:1804.00491](#) [[hep-th](#)].
- [73] I. Heemskerk and J. Polchinski, “Holographic and Wilsonian Renormalization Groups,” *JHEP* **06** (2011) 031, [arXiv:1010.1264](#) [[hep-th](#)].
- [74] S.-S. Lee, “Holographic description of quantum field theory,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B832** (2010) 567–585, [arXiv:0912.5223](#) [[hep-th](#)].
- [75] S. Coleman, *Aspects of Symmetry: Selected Erice Lectures*. Cambridge University Press, 1985, Chapter 7.
- [76] S. Carlip and C. Teitelboim, “The Off-shell black hole,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **12** (1995) 1699–1704, [arXiv:gr-qc/9312002](#) [[gr-qc](#)].
- [77] R. Bousso and S. W. Hawking, “Lorentzian condition in quantum gravity,” *Phys. Rev.* **D59** (1999) 103501, [arXiv:hep-th/9807148](#) [[hep-th](#)]. [Erratum: *Phys. Rev.* **D60**, 109903(1999)].