arXiv:1904.03229v1 [cond-mat.soft] 5 Apr 2019

Following in the footsteps of *E. coli*: sperm in microfluidic "strictures"

E. Altshuler[1,2], G. Miño[3,2], A. Lindner[2], A. Rousselet[2], and E. Clément[2]

In a very interesting paper, Zaferani *et al.* study the locomotion of sperm into "strictures" made on microfluidic channels that resemble an hourglass through which a liquid flow is established[1]. Their experiments are, in fact, extremely similar to those published by Altshuler *et al.* in 2013 on another micro-swimmer, *E. coli* bacteria[2].

Figure 1 shows the clear parallel between the findings for bacteria and sperm in terms of motion: both tend to move against the flow along lateral boundaries; both detach and re-attach to lateral boundaries, depending on the relation between the local shear and the "strength" of the swimmer. *But it should not surprise us*. Firstly, because *E. coli* and sperm share a lot of similarities. Both are "pushers", i.e., their hydrodynamic center lies near the head of the swimmer, defined with respect to its direction of self-propelled motion and the resulting hydrodynamic interactions with bounding walls lead in both cases to an attraction[3]. In addition, both swimmer shapes show a fore-aft asymmetry, which is thought responsible for the upstream motion observed for both micro-swimmers[4–7]. Secondly, because the geometry and dimensions of the "hourglass" micro-channel structures used in[1] are very similar to the ones used in[2].

Interestingly, equation (1) proposed by Zaferani *et al.* can be assumed as a "microscopic" version of the advection-diffusion equation proposed by Altshuler *et al.*[2]:

$$-D\frac{d^2n(x)}{dx^2}+\frac{d}{dx}[u_a(x)n(x)]=S(x) \qquad (1)$$

where $n(x)$ is the volume concentration of bacteria, $u_a(x)$ is their mean advection velocity along the flow, D is an effective longitudinal dispersion coefficient and $S(x)$ is a conservative bulk source/sink term coming from the lateral wall contributions (i.e., absorption-erosion processes). By extracting from the experiment the effect of the lateral walls given by $S(x)$, Altshuler *et al.* used the equation above to reproduce quantitatively the bacterial distribution not only near the constriction, but far from it, as illustrated in Fig. 3 in[2].




Fig. 1 Following the steps of *E. coli* into a microfluidic "stricture".(a) Figure 5(c) taken from the 2013 paper by Altshuler *et al.*[2] where the trajectories of *E. coli* bacteria are traced into an hourglass constriction made on a microfluidic channel where the liquid flow is established from left to right. The stricture is 40 μm width and forms 90 degrees angle; channel's width and depth are 200 μm and 20 μm, respectively. (b) Figure 3(c) taken from the 2019 paper by Zaferani *et al.*[1] where a similar experiment is performed on sperm. The stricture is of 40 μm width and forms 80 degrees angle; channel's width and depth are 300 μm and 30 μm, respectively. Key features of the locomotion of the micro-swimmers are shared between the two pictures (see text).

The PMMH-ESPCI group's more recent work reveals the complex nature of $S(x)$ through systematic experiments on *E. coli* bacteria confined into microfluidic channels at different flows[8] –a very relevant study in connection to hospital infections. We believe that many features of the swimmer-boundary interaction in the presence of shear found in those experiments are valid to many pushers, so they have good chances to be extended for the important case of sperm.

[1] Group of Complex Systems and Statistical Physics, Physics Faculty, University of Havana, 10400 Havana, Cuba
[2] PMMH, UMR 7636, CNRS, ESPCI Paris, PSL Research University, Universitè Paris Diderot, Sorbonne Universitè, Paris, 75005, France
[3] LAMAE, Facultad de Ingeniería, Universidad Nacional de Entre Ríos (FI - UNER) and Instituto de Investigación y Desarrollo en Bioingeniería y Bioinformática (IBB) - CONICET - UNER, Argentina

References

1 M. Zaferani, G. D. Palermo and A. Abbaspourrad, Sci. Adv. **5**, eaav2111 (2019).

2 E. Altshuler, G. Miño , C. Pérez-Penichet, L. del Río, A. Lindner, A. Rousselet and E. Clément, Soft Matter **9**, 1864 (2013)

3 Shun Pak and E. Lauga, Theoretical models in low-Reynolds number locomotion In Fluid-Structure Interactions in Low- Reynolds-Number Flows (ed. C. Duprat and H. A. Stone), Royal Society of Chemistry.

4 V. Kantsler, J. Dunkel, M. Blayney, and R. E. Goldstein, eLife **3**, 02403 (2014).

5 C. K. Tung, F. Ardon, A. Roy, D. L. Koch, S. S. Suarez, and M. Wu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 108102 (2015).

6 T. Kaya and H. Koser, Biophys. J. **102**, 1514 (2012).

7 J. Hill, O. Kalkanci, J. McMurry, and H. Koser, Phys.Rev. Lett. **98**, 068101 (2007).

8 N. Figueroa-Morales, G. Miño, A. Rivera, R. Caballero, E. Clément, E. Altshuler and A. Lindner, Soft Matter **11**, 6284 (2015)