Targeted Sub-threshold Search for Strongly-lensed Gravitational-wave Events

Alvin K. Y. Li, 1, 2 Rico K. L. Lo, 2, 6 Surabhi Sachdev, 2, 3, 4 C. L. Chan, 1 E. T. Lin, 5 Tjonnie G. F. Li, 1 and Alan J. Weinstein 2

1 Department of Physics, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, New Territories, Hong Kong
2 LIGO Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA
3 Department of Physics, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA
4 Institute for Gravitation and the Cosmos, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA
5 Institute of Astronomy, National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu 30013, Taiwan

(Dated: December 10, 2019)

Strong gravitational lensing of gravitational waves can produce duplicate signals that are separated in time with different amplitudes. We consider the case in which strong lensing produces identifiable gravitational-wave events together with weaker sub-threshold signals that are hidden in the noise background. We present a search method for the sub-threshold signals using reduced template banks targeting specific confirmed gravitational-wave events. We apply the method to an event from Advanced LIGO’s first observing run O1, GW150914. We show that the method is effective in reducing the noise background and hence raising the significance of (near-) sub-threshold triggers. In the case of GW150914, we are able to improve the sensitive distance by 4.5% – 11.9%. Finally, we present the possible lensed candidates for GW150914 which passed our nominal significance threshold of False-Alarm-Rate ≤ 1/30 days.

INTRODUCTION

The LIGO-Virgo Collaboration 1–4 has successfully made 11 confident detections of gravitational waves from compact binary mergers 5 in the first two observing runs O1 and O2. They have also released 37 events publicly in the third observing run O3 so far. The study of gravitational waves has been applied to many different aspects of physics, including multi-messenger astronomy 6–11, cosmology 12–14, testing general relativity 15, 16, and particle physics 17, 18.

General relativity predicts that, just as masses can curve spacetime, waves emitted from a source can be refracted and deflected before reaching the observer, an effect known as gravitational lensing. This effect has been extensively studied in the context of electromagnetic waves over the last century 19–25. Recent works have started considering gravitational lensing of gravitational waves, including the rate of lensing 26–30, the effects of strong lensing on gravitational-wave waveforms 31–33, and weak lensing effects onto the gravitational waveforms 34, 35. A recent study has shown, however, that there was no strong evidence for the observation of gravitational lensing during the first observing run (O1) and the second observing run (O2) 26. Nevertheless, with the expected rise in the detection rate of gravitational waves from binary black hole mergers in the coming years 41, there is a chance that we will observe lensed gravitational-wave signals.

Under the influence of strong gravitational lensing, multiple signals from the same source can arrive with relative time delay and magnification. Therefore, it is possible to have gravitational-wave signals with sufficiently strong amplitudes that can be identified as detections and corresponding weaker gravitational-wave signals which are buried in the noise background. We refer to these weaker signals as sub-threshold.

In this paper, we present a search for sub-threshold lensed signals using information from confirmed gravitational-wave events. In particular, we use knowledge from detected events to limit the parameter space for our matched-filtering analysis. Similar analyses have been developed to target gamma-ray bursts (GRBs) 42 and sub-solar mass compact binaries 43. For example, Ref. 42 made use of the confirmed gamma-ray burst signals to fix the time and sky location for the search of the associated gravitational waves and showed an improvement of 25% in terms of the sensitive distance.

METHODS

Before describing the targeted sub-threshold search methods for strongly-lensed gravitational-wave signals in detail, we first give an overview of the search (see Fig. 1).

Suppose we want to search for strongly-lensed counterparts of a gravitational-wave event, which are potentially sub-threshold because of the relative de-magnification. This means the counterparts will appear to be further away from the observing point and hence the signals will be weaker. Here we assumed geometrical optics, meaning that the magnification is frequency-independent and the gravitational lens is achromatic, hence it will not distort waveform apart from an overall scaling. As an input to the search, a number of posterior samples of parameters obtained from parameter estimation (e.g. from LALInference 44) of the event of interest are used to generate a set of injections 45. For each posterior sample selected, we generate one injection with its original strength, and nine additional weaker injections to mimic its weaker lensed counterparts.

After obtaining an injection set, we run a matched-filtering based search pipeline to see what templates in the full template bank can recover these injected signals with sufficiently high significance. In this work, we are applying the GstLAL

---

1 An injection refers to a simulated lensed signal that we test a search pipeline against to measure the effectiveness of the pipeline.
pipeline \cite{43,44}. In principle, the search will work equally well for any other matched-filtering based pipelines such as PyCBC \cite{45}. These templates will then be used to form the reduced template bank to search for the sub-threshold lensed signals. Detailed description of the generation of the reduced template bank can be found in Section.

We then use the same search pipeline to perform a search, with identical procedures as the usual analyses but with our newly obtained reduced template bank, whose size is much smaller than the original bank, to look for candidates of strongly-lensed counterparts from all the available data.

Compared to a search using the full template bank, a weaker lensed signal of the event of interest will have a higher statistical significance in a search using the smaller reduced template bank because of the reduction in the trials factor. Further explanation can be found in section.

As an output of the search, we give a list of possible strongly-lensed candidates of the targeted gravitational-wave event. These triggers will then be followed-up using a Bayesian model selection analysis. The follow-up analysis is described in another upcoming paper \cite{46}. The interpretation of the statistical significance of these triggers is described in Section.

\textbf{Reduced template bank}

Our starting point is the template bank used in O2, with the parameter space of masses of the binary components spanning from $2M_\odot$ to $400M_\odot$, covering binary neutron stars (BNS), neutron-star-black-hole (NSBH) and binary black hole (BBH) systems, with a total of 677000 templates \cite{43,44}. For templates dedicated for BBH systems, they carry (anti-)aligned dimensionless spin magnitudes $\leq 0.999$. This spin limit is chosen to be as close to the theoretical limit of 1 as possible with current waveform approximants.

To generate a reduced template bank that is effective in identifying lensed signals of the event of interest, we need to figure out which templates in the original template bank are able to find these weaker signals. To achieve this, we perform an injection campaign where simulated lensed signals are injected into the data.

Since the parameters (e.g. masses and spins) of a gravitational-wave signal are not precisely known, we use the posterior samples of $N_{ps} = O(1000)$ highest likelihood values from parameter estimation to represent the event. For a matched-filtering based CBC search, the templates are characterized by the intrinsic parameters, such as component masses and spins, since they govern the evolution of a waveform over time. The dependence on other extrinsic parameters, such as luminosity distance $D_L$ and inclination $\iota$, are absorbed into the effective distance $D_{\text{eff}}$, which is defined in \cite{50} as

$$D_{\text{eff}} = D_L \left[ F_+^2 \left( \frac{1 + \cos^2 \iota}{2} \right) + F_\times \cos^2 \iota \right]^{-1/2}, \quad (1)$$

where $F_+$ and $F_\times$ are the antenna response functions of a GW detector to the plus and cross polarization of GW respectively. The optimal signal-to-noise (SNR) ratio $\rho_{\text{opt}} = \sqrt{\langle h | h \rangle}$ of a template $h_{\text{template}}$ is given by

$$\rho_{\text{opt}}^2 = \langle h | h \rangle = 4 \int_0^\infty df \frac{|h_{\text{template}}|^2}{S_n(f)} \propto \left( \frac{1}{D_{\text{eff}}} \right)^2, \quad (2)$$

where $S_n(f)$ is the one-sided power spectral density of the noise in a detector \cite{50}. Each template in a template bank is normalized by the optimal SNR squared such that the matched-filtering SNR is independent of the strength of the templates. The SNR ratio $\rho_{\text{opt}}$ then scales with the effective distance as

$$\rho_{\text{opt}} \propto \frac{1}{D_{\text{eff}}}. \quad (3)$$

For each posterior sample, we make one injection with the original optimal SNR, and nine additional injections with smaller optimal SNRs by varying their effective distances to mimic the weaker lensed images of the event of interest, since the optimal SNR scales with $1/D_{\text{eff}}$ as in Eq. \ref{eq:snr}. After generating a set of $10N_{ps}$ injections, we inject these simulated

\begin{figure}[h]
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\includegraphics[width=0.7\linewidth]{flowchart.png}
\caption{The flow-chart outlining briefly the workflow of the targeted sub-threshold search method. We will describe each step in detail in Section.}
\end{figure}
lensed signals into the data, and use a matched-filtering based CBC search pipeline (such as GstLAL) to try to recover the injected signals. An injection is said to be found if the corresponding trigger has a False-Alarm-Rate (FAR) of less than 1 per 30 days. The templates that recovered the injections will be put into the reduced template bank for the sub-threshold search. Performing the injection campaign described above makes sure that the templates in the reduced template bank will be able to identify the sub-threshold lensed signals, if they truly exist in the data.

**Statistical significance of triggers**

Each gravitational-wave candidate in the GstLAL search is assigned a likelihood-ratio statistic \( L \) \([45, 46, 51, 52]\) to measure its significance. The likelihood-ratio is assigned based on the SNR, a signal consistency check (GstLAL uses the normalized power in the difference of the SNR time series and the autocorrelation time series), the sensitivity of each detector at the time of the candidate, and the time and phase delays between the triggers from different detectors. A p-value or False-Alarm-Probability (FAP) is then calculated for each event. FAP is the probability that noise would produce a trigger with a ranking statistic \( \ln L \) greater or equal to the ranking statistic \( \ln L^* \) of the trigger under consideration \([45]\). In terms of the complementary cumulative distribution function of the likelihood-ratio,

\[
P(\ln L \geq \ln L^* | \text{noise}) = \int_{\ln L^*}^{\infty} P(\ln L | \text{noise}) d \ln L.
\]

(4)

Alternatively, we can express an event’s significance in terms of the FAR, which refers to how often noise would produce a trigger with a ranking statistic \( \ln L \) greater or equal to the ranking statistic \( \ln L^* \) of the trigger under consideration \([45]\). In terms of the complementary cumulative distribution function of the likelihood-ratio and the time length of the data under analysis \( T \),

\[
\text{FAR} = \frac{P(\ln L \geq \ln L^* | \text{noise})}{T}.
\]

(5)

As we have briefly discussed before in Section 1, we expect to increase the significance of sub-threshold triggers by reducing the size of the template bank. By targeting a subset of the parameter space, we can increase the significance of events present in the reduced subspace by reducing the trials factor. Since we are only interested in signals that belong to the reduced subspace, the foreground is kept constant while the background is lowered. This method has the potential to reveal sub-threshold signals belonging to a restricted parameter space, and can be used to look for quieter lensed counterparts of the gravitational-wave signals detected by LIGO-Virgo.

When we make use of the reduced template bank for the targeted search of lensed companions of the targeted GW event, the GstLAL pipeline associates with each found trigger a FAP and a FAR (See Table I as an example). Readers should be reminded that the FAPs evaluated here are not indicators of how likely/unlikely the triggers are lensed counterparts of the targeted event, since the FAR evaluated here is only indicating how likely the identified trigger is a gravitational-wave signal. Instead, the output of this search should only be interpreted as a priority list for follow-up analysis that computes the likelihood that two triggers share the same set of source parameters. This means, we need a further analysis for the triggers obtained from the search which evaluates the likelihood that they are lensed gravitational-wave signals from the same source (i.e. the target event). The Bayesian model selection between lensed and un-lensed hypotheses will be explored in a follow-up paper \([48]\).

**EXAMPLE SEARCH: GW150914**

### Constructing the Reduced Template Bank

To study the performance of our proposed method, we apply it to the gravitational-wave event GW150914 \([5, 53]\). GW150914 is the first reported gravitational wave event and has the highest SNR among all the identified O1 events. Table I summarises the information about GW150914.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Properties</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UTC time</td>
<td>Sep 14 2015 09:50:45.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPS time</td>
<td>1126259462.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary mass in source frame</td>
<td>35.6 ± 0.7 M⊙</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary mass in source frame</td>
<td>30.6 ± 3.0 M⊙</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chirp mass in source frame</td>
<td>28.6 ± 1.7 M⊙</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luminosity distance (D_l)</td>
<td>440 ± 150 Mpc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source redshift (z)</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Network signal-to-noise ratio (\rho)</td>
<td>24.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>False-Alarm-Rate FAR</td>
<td>(&lt; 1.0 \times 10^{-7} \text{ yr}^{-1})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table I. Essential information of the gravitational-wave event GW150914 reported in \([5, 53]\).

We generate the reduced template bank by first selecting posterior samples with aligned spins released by the LIGO-Virgo collaboration \([54]\). We use these samples to simulate 7015 lensed signals with varying strengths (or equivalently at varying effective distances) in the time near GW150914. Fig. 2 shows the distribution of injections in the chirp mass (detector frame). \(M_{c, \text{detector}}^{\text{eff}}\) - effective spin, \(\chi_{\text{eff}}\), parameter space. The orange cross indicates the median inferred value for \(M_{c, \text{detector}}^{\text{eff}}\) and \(\chi_{\text{eff}}\) reported in Ref. \([53]\). We confirm that the injections primarily populate around the median inferred value.
The templates which successfully (i.e. with FAR $\leq 1/30$ days) identify our injected signals are added to the reduced template bank. Figure 3 shows the original template bank (dark blue) used by the GstLAL search in O1 and O2, and the reduced template bank (orange) generated for the sub-threshold search of GW150914 projected onto the $m_1 - m_2$ plane. We can see that the reduced template bank is sparser than the original bank. In fact, there are only 181 templates in the reduced template bank, compared to the 677000 templates in the full template bank.

To confirm that our reduced template bank is effectual and improves sensitivity, we use the reduced template bank to analyse the same set of injections and data as above. Figure 4 compares the distribution of ranking statistic (log likelihood $\ln L$). Note that the blue curve (with reduced template bank) is below the red curve (with original bank), indicating that the noise background is reduced when we use the reduced template bank.

From our set of injections with known distances, we can assess the distance out to which we may be able to identify gravitational-wave events (observable range). Figure 6 shows the observable range - FAR curves for GW150914-like signals using the original and reduced template bank. Note that the orange curve (with reduced template bank) is shifted upward compared to the blue curve (using the full template bank), indicating an increase in the observable range. In fact, the observable range for combined FAR $> 10^{-11}$Hz is improved by at least 4.5%. In particular, around the usual FAR threshold (i.e. FAR $\approx 10^{-7}$Hz), the observable range is improved by approximately 11.9%. The turnover after FAR $> 10^{-11}$Hz is solely due to statistical uncertainty, but will not affect the efficiency of our search since we are only focusing at sub-threshold region (i.e. around FAR $\approx 10^{-7}$Hz). This suggests that with the use of a reduced template bank, we are able to see gravitational-wave signals which seem to be further away.
Figure 4. The comparison plot of the FARs of the found injected simulated lensed signals of GW150914 for the original and reduced template bank. The red line indicates the identity line. For injections near the usual FAR threshold (i.e. FAR $\approx 10^{-7}$Hz), most injections have their FAR lowered through the use of the reduced template bank. This means that the use of a reduced template bank effectively reduce the FARs of (near-) sub-threshold triggers.

Figure 5. (Colour online) The ranking statistic threshold curves (on the log likelihood $\ln L$ - Number of events $N \geq \ln L$ plane) for GW150914. Note that the results combined all the data in O1. The red curve corresponds to the result using the original full template bank, while the blue curve corresponds to the result using the reduced template bank. It should be noted that the blue curve is below the red one, indicating that the noise background has been reduced through reducing the template bank size.

Figure 6. (Colour online) The observable range - combined FAR plots for GW150914 using the original and reduced template bank. The curve with the reduced template bank is above the one with the original full template bank. In general the improvement in observable range for combined FAR $> 10^{-11}$Hz is at least 4.5%. Particularly, around the usual threshold for FAR (i.e. FAR $\approx 10^{-7}$Hz), the observable range is improved by approximately 11.9%.

Strong-lensing Candidates of GW150914

Finally, we present the list of candidates of strongly-lensed images of GW150914 identified (i.e. passing the usual FAR threshold of FAR $\leq 1/30$ days) by this search over all data from O1 in Table II.

A number of items should be noted. As we can see, our reduced template bank retrieved GW150914 as its most probable candidate. Since most of the original templates that match the other two events GW151012 and GW151226 well are eliminated through the injection campaign, the search did not recover these events as candidates. In this search, we have found two candidates besides from GW150914. Candidate number 3, in particular, coincides with the newly reported trigger GW151011i in [55], meaning that the candidate found has a certain possibility of being a real gravitational-wave event. Nevertheless, readers should be reminded that the FARs shown in Table II do not indicate how likely these triggers are lensed counterparts of the targeted gravitational-wave event, but instead a priority ranking for follow-up analysis that computes the likelihood that two triggers share the same set of source parameters.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

Gravitational-wave lensing has been the focus of increased attention in the recent literature [27-40]. A recent study has found no compelling evidence for lensing magnification, repeated signals from a single strongly lensed source, and wave optics effects due to solar-mass compact objects [26] in the GWTC-1 catalog [5]. Nevertheless, the possibility exists that strong lensing produces strong signals that can be identified as a gravitational-wave event and weaker signals that are buried.
inside the noise background. The latter are referred to as sub-threshold and may not be labelled as a gravitational-wave detection without further analysis.

We have proposed a search for these sub-threshold signals by means of matched-filtering using a reduced template bank that is produced to target specific gravitational-wave events. In particular, we construct this targeted template bank by selecting high-likelihood samples from the parameter estimation results, simulating a set of signals by varying distances of these samples and selecting the templates that successfully detect these signals. We show that the resulting reduced template bank reduces the noise background and increase the search sensitivity of the search. Applied to one event from O1 - GW150914 as an example, we found that the sensitive distance increases by at most 11.9%.

We also present the list of triggers which pass the usual FAR threshold of FAR ≤ 1/30 days from the targeted search of GW150914. We have found two possible sub-threshold lensed signals for GW150914 in the O1 data apart from the original event GW150914. In particular, one of the candidates also coincides with the newly found trigger in [55], making the candidate more likely to be a real gravitational wave event. Nevertheless, it should be noted that our reduced bank successfully lowers the noise background, and hence increases the significance of the (near-) sub-threshold triggers.

The new FAR associated with these triggers should not be interpreted as a measure of the likelihood that these triggers are indeed duplicated signals of the same source. Instead, the output of this search should be interpreted as a priority list for follow-up analysis that computes the likelihood that two triggers share the same set of source parameters [28, 48].

The performance of our search can be further optimized. For example, the selection procedure for templates that are included in the reduced bank may require tuning to find the optimal balance between coverage and sensitivity. Moreover, it has been shown that the subdivision of templates within a bank can also affect the performance. Furthermore, since we are looking for lensed counterparts of targeted events, from the sky location of the target, we should be able to set a consistent range for the difference in arrival time between the detectors for the lensed counterparts. With such, we can further increase the sensitivity of the search.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>GPS Time</th>
<th>UTC Time</th>
<th>SNR</th>
<th>(\text{FAR}_{\text{full} \text{ bank}} ) (Hz)</th>
<th>(\text{FAR}_{\text{reduced} \text{ bank}} ) (Hz)</th>
<th>Remark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1126259462.43</td>
<td>14 Sep 2015 09:50:46</td>
<td>24.256</td>
<td>(3.169 \times 10^{-15})</td>
<td>(1.407 \times 10^{-26})</td>
<td>This is GW150914.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1128652652.63</td>
<td>10 Dec 2015 02:37:16</td>
<td>8.842</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(2.991 \times 10^{-7})</td>
<td>This trigger was not found in the full bank search.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1128626886.60</td>
<td>11 Oct 2015 19:27:50</td>
<td>8.848</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(3.097 \times 10^{-7})</td>
<td>This trigger was found and reported in [55].</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table II. A list of candidates of strongly-lensed images of GW150914 found by this search over all the O1 data.
[54] “O1 parameter estimation samples release,”