Theory of active particle penetration through a planar elastic membrane

Abdallah Daddi-Moussa-Ider, ^{1, a)} Benno Liebchen, ^{1, 2} Andreas M. Menzel, ¹ and Hartmut Löwen ^{1, b)}

¹⁾Institut für Theoretische Physik II: Weiche Materie, Heinrich-Heine-Universität Düsseldorf, Universitätsstraße 1, 40225 Düsseldorf, Germany ²⁾ Theorie Weicher Materie, Fachbereich Physik, Technische Universität Darmstadt, Hochschulstraße 12,

64289 Darmstadt, Germany

(Dated: December 5, 2021)

With the rapid advent of biomedical and biotechnological innovations, a deep understanding of the nature of interaction between nanomaterials and cell membranes, tissues, and organs, has become increasingly important. Active penetration of nanoparticles through cell membranes is a fascinating phenomenon that may have important implications in various biomedical and clinical applications. Using a fully analytical theory supplemented by particle-based computer simulations, the penetration process of an active particle through a planar two-dimensional elastic membrane is studied. The membrane is modeled as a self-assembled sheet of particles, uniformly arranged on a square lattice. A coarse-grained model is introduced to describe the mutual interactions between the membrane particles. The active penetrating particle is assumed to interact sterically with the membrane particles. State diagrams are presented to fully characterize the system behavior as functions of the relevant control parameters governing the transition between different dynamical states. Three distinct scenarios are identified. These compromise trapping of the active particle, penetration through the membrane with subsequent self-healing, in addition to penetration with permanent disruption of the membrane. The latter scenario may be accompanied by a partial fragmentation of the membrane into bunches of isolated or clustered particles and creation of a hole of a size exceeding the interaction range of the membrane components. It is further demonstrated that the capability of penetration is strongly influenced by the size of the approaching particle relative to that of the membrane particles. Accordingly, active particles with larger size are more likely to remain trapped at the membrane for the same propulsion speed. Such behavior is in line with experimental observations. Our analytical theory is based on a combination of a perturbative expansion technique and a discrete-to-continuum formulation. It well describes the system behavior in the small-deformation regime. Particularly, the theory allows to determine the membrane displacement of the particles in the trapping state. Our approach might be helpful for the prediction of the transition threshold between the trapping and penetration in real-space experiments involving motile swimming bacteria or artificial active particles.

INTRODUCTION

As one of the most fundamental components in biological systems, the cell membrane defines and protects the cell and is selectively permeable for ions and organic molecules, allowing to control the movement of required chemicals into the cell and of unwanted products out of the cell. It is now possible not only to reassemble cell membranes artificially¹, but also to design synthetic membranes with properties tailored to the needs of 21st centuries societies²⁻⁴. In fact, synthetic membranes are now routinely used already for applications from water purification^{5,6} to dialysis^{7,8} and can be regarded as a paradigmatic success of biomimetics⁹⁻¹¹. Future perspectives for the usage of synthetic membranes involve problems like targeted gene and drug delivery to (cancer) $cells^{12-24}$ or, more generally, the delivery of cargo to the interior of synthetic droplets, requiring a precise understanding of the interaction of motile particles with synthetic and biological membranes. Evidence from previous studies has shown that the physical uptake by living cells is strongly affected by the particle and membrane physicochemical and functional properties $^{25-31}$.

While membranes comprising active inclusions, e.g. in

the form of embedded proteins creating a stress on the membrane, have been studied for decades^{32–34}, the penetration of active particles through the membrane is less explored 14,35 with the few existing studies focusing on nano- and biotechnology perspectives. In particular, penetration of nanoparticles through a membrane has been studied using dissipative particle dynamics simulations, focusing on effects of particle shape 14 and surfacestructure³⁶. In addition, molecular dynamics simulations have been employed to investigate the penetration of fullerens through lipid membranes³⁷. Recent studies have also explored interactions of active particles with membranes, from a more physical point of view, but did not focus on particle penetration^{38–40}. For a 1D membrane, we have recently performed a corresponding investigation⁴¹.

Conversely to most of the above works, here we explore the penetration of an active particle through a 2D synthetic membrane from a physics perspective, aiming at predicting overall properties such as the membrane shape or the parameter domain leading to penetration starting from coarse microscopic details. We focus on a minimal model membrane that can be realized in principle using as building blocks microparticles interacting via elastic forces^{42–48}. Other types of interactions, such as, dipolar interactions may be considered as well to model self-assembled chains and sheets⁴⁹⁻⁶¹. To predict the state diagram, informing us about the parameter domains where particles can penetrate

a) Electronic mail: abdallah.daddi.moussa.ider@uni-duesseldorf.de

b) Electronic mail: hartmut.loewen@uni-duesseldorf.de


through the membrane and where they cannot, we systematically derive a continuum description of the membrane. We compare our results with particle-based computer simulations, finding close quantitative agreement regarding the transition between trapping and penetrating states, membrane shape and dynamics. Our analytical closed-form expressions might help to predict the properties of synthetic membranes, e.g. regarding the speed and size of particles which will be able to penetrate through them.

Below, we first define our model (Sec. II), followed by a brief discussion of the relevant parameters and of the 2D membrane dynamics as induced by the active particle approaching it (Sec. III). Here, besides a trapping state in which the membrane is deformed in the final state and does not allow the particle to pass, we find two scenarios of penetration. The first of these corresponds to the particle breaking through the membrane, followed by a complete self-healing of the membrane, which might be the desired behavior when delivering cargo towards a synthetic droplet or a healthy cell. The second scenario of penetration occurs mainly for larger particles, creating a hole in the membrane with a size exceeding the interaction range of the membrane components. This situation is accompanied by a partial fragmentation of the membrane structure into isolated particles. Following this qualitative discussion, we systematically explore the corresponding state diagram using numerical simulations, showing for which parameter combinations which of these three states prevails, and we develop a detailed analytical theory (Sec. IV). The latter is able to predict essentially the entire state diagram as well as the shape and the dynamics of the membrane, in close quantitative agreement with our simulations. Interestingly, the transition between self-healing and non-healing states is sharp, suggesting that there is a critical size for particles that pass a membrane by causing significant damage. This also suggests that if one were to permanently damage the membrane in our minimal model (and perhaps similarly in practice to treat cancer cells), one needs to use particles with a certain minimal size. Finally, concluding remarks summarizing our findings are contained in Sec. V.

II. SYSTEM SETUP

We examine the penetration mechanism of a non-fluctuating membrane by an active particle moving under the action of a constant propulsion force \mathbf{F}_0 . We assume that the persistence length of the self-propelling active particle is larger than the distance initially separating the particle from the membrane. Correspondingly, we focus on the limiting case of vanishing rotational diffusion. This implies that the particle essentially moves along a straight trajectory without changing its orientation. $^{62-73}$. The active particle may represent a swimming microorganism $^{74-79}$ or an artificial microrobot that can be manipulated by controlled external fields $^{80-83}$.

In our model, the membrane is composed of N identical spherical beads (or vertices) of radius a, uniformly arranged on a square lattice of size $L \times L$, rotated by 45° around






Figure 1. (Color online) Graphical illustration of the system setup. (a) An active particle of radius R moving through an effective driving force F_0 toward a two-dimensional membrane composed of N particles of radius a. The membrane particles are initially arranged on a square lattice of dimension $L \times L$ and spacing h, rotated by 45° around the z axis, the latter oriented normal to the plane of the membrane. The membrane is centered about the origin and clamped at its periphery. Periodic boundary conditions are imposed in both x and y directions. We assume that the membrane particles are subject to steric and elastic pairwise interactions. The system is fully immersed in a Newtonian viscous fluid of shear viscosity η . (b) Schematic illustration of the lattice structure composing the model membrane. For future reference, the eight nearest neighbors of the particle at the center of the lattice are identified by numbers (1-8). Elastic springs are also inserted along the lattice diagonals but are not displayed here for reasons of clarity.

the axis z, the latter directed normal to the membrane, as schematically illustrated in Fig. 1. We denote by h the lattice spacing after initialization. The membrane is immersed in a Newtonian fluid, characterized by a constant dynamic viscosity η . We support the membrane at its periphery (the particle displacements are zero for $x, y = \pm L/2$) and assume periodic boundary conditions in the transverse directions (x,y). Moreover, we suppose that the mutual interactions between the membrane particles are pairwise additive and described by forces that depend only on the difference of coordinates of each two neighboring particles. Repre-

senting the membrane as a collection of spherical beads arranged on vertices has extensively been employed as a coarse-grained model for cell membranes, see, for instance Refs. 42–48.

Typically, various types of interactions may occur among membrane particles including steric and elastic interactions. For instance, steric interactions can be imposed by membrane phospholipids chains and other biomolecules^{84–86}, whereas intermolecular coupling between the lipid bilayer and the cytoskeleton network gives rise to elastic interactions^{87–90}. Accordingly, the total potential energy of the membrane here is written as a sum of two distinct contributions as

$$\mathcal{U} = 4\epsilon \sum_{\substack{i,j=1\\j < i}}^{N} N_{ij} \left(\left(\frac{\sigma}{r_{ij}} \right)^{6} \left(\left(\frac{\sigma}{r_{ij}} \right)^{6} - 1 \right) + \frac{1}{4} \right)$$

$$+ \frac{k}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{\substack{j \in \mathcal{N}(i)\\j < i}} \left(r_{ij} - \xi r_{0ij} \right)^{2}, \qquad (1)$$

wherein $r_{ij} = |\mathbf{r}_{ij}|$ is the distance between particles i and j, $\mathbf{r}_{ij} = \mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j$, and $\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{ij} = \mathbf{r}_{ij}/r_{ij}$ is the corresponding unit distance vector. In addition, ϵ is an energy scale associated with the Weeks-Chandler-Anderson (WCA) pair-potential⁹¹, $\sigma = 2a$ is the diameter of the particles, $N_{ij} = H(r_{\rm C} - r_{ij})$, with $H(\cdot)$ denoting the Heaviside step function, and $r_{\rm C} = 2^{1/6}\sigma$ is a finite cutoff distance beyond which the steric interactions energy vanishes. Furthermore, k is the elastic constant of the harmonic springs coupling each particle to its four nearest and four nextnearest neighbors, r_{0ij} is the rest length of the springs, and $\xi \in (0,1]$ is a prestress parameter. Here, we use the notation $\mathcal{N}(i)$ to denote the set of nearest and next-nearest neighbors of the ith membrane particle. For real cell membranes, the lattice spacing h may, for instance, be viewed as an average distance between cytoskeleton-bilayer connection sites. In addition, the elastic constant k may be connected to the shear modulus of the cytoskeleton network, the order of magnitude of which is about 10^{-6} N/m.

For the sake of simplicity, we neglect all possible hydrodynamic interactions between particles. Moreover, we assume that the particles are small enough or sufficiently matched in density to the surrounding fluid for the influence of gravity to be neglected, and large enough for the effect of thermal fluctuations to be neglected. In addition, we assume throughout this work that the size of the active particle is comparable or larger than that of the membrane particles.

The corresponding interaction force acting on the *i*th membrane particle is obtained by differentiating the potential energy described by Eq. (1) with respect to the particle position⁹² as $\mathbf{F}_i = -\partial \mathcal{U}/\partial \mathbf{r}_i$. Accordingly,

$$\mathbf{F}_{i} = 48\epsilon \sum_{\substack{j=1\\i\neq i}}^{N} \frac{N_{ij}}{r_{ij}} \left(\frac{\sigma}{r_{ij}}\right)^{6} \left(\left(\frac{\sigma}{r_{ij}}\right)^{6} - \frac{1}{2}\right) \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{ij}$$

Dimensionless Number	Expression	Denomination
E	$rac{aF_0}{\epsilon}$	Reduced activity
κ	$rac{akh}{2\epsilon}$	Reduced stiffness
δ	$\frac{R}{a}$	Size ratio
λ	$rac{\ell}{h}$	Scaled cutoff distance
P_0	$\frac{E}{\kappa} = \frac{2F_0}{kh}$	Admittance

Table I. Dimensionless numbers characterizing the system in the trapping and penetrating states.

$$+ k \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}(i)} \left(\xi r_{0ij} - r_{ij} \right) \hat{\boldsymbol{r}}_{ij} . \tag{2}$$

At small length scales, aqueous systems are characterized by small Reynolds numbers, so that viscous forces dominate over inertial forces. The resulting overdamped dynamics can therefore be adequately described within the framework of linear hydrodynamics^{93,94}. Accordingly, the translational velocity of the membrane particles V_i is linearly coupled to the forces acting on their surfaces via the hydrodynamic mobility functions^{95–98}. The latter are second-order tensors, which simply reduce to scalar quantities when considering motion in an unbounded medium and neglecting the fluid-mediated hydrodynamic interactions between the particles. Specifically,

$$V_i = \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{r}_i}{\mathrm{d}t} = \mu \left(\mathbf{F}_i + \mathbf{F}_i^{\mathrm{ext}} \right) , \qquad (3)$$

where μ denotes the translational self-mobility functions of the membrane particles. This is given by the usual Stokes formula for an isolated sphere in an infinite fluid domain as $\mu = 1/(6\pi\eta a)$. In addition, $F_i^{\rm ext}$ represent the external force exerted by the active particle due to the steric interactions with the membrane particles. These pair interactions are modeled via a soft repulsive WCA potential as in Eq. (1) for which $\sigma = R + a$, with R denoting the radius of the active particle.

We introduce at this point an additional cutoff length ℓ beyond which the elastic interactions are set to zero. Accordingly, the elastic potentials are also shifted to this cutoff length, so as to ensure that the resulting forces are continuous.

III. TRAPPING, PENETRATION, AND SELF-HEALING

Having introduced a model for our membrane and derived the corresponding equations governing the translational dynamics of the particles composing the membrane, we next study in detail the dynamical states emerging from the interaction between an active particle propelling toward the membrane. For that purpose, we solve numerically the set of ordinary differential equations in time given by Eqs. (2) and (3) using a standard Euler scheme with adaptive time stepping⁹⁹. Before the active particle starts to interact with the membrane particles, we assume that the lattice spacing h is identical to the cutoff length scale $r_{\rm C}$ associated with the WCA pair potential. In addition, we assume that the rest length of the elastic springs is equal to the initial interparticle separation, i.e., $r_{0ii} = h$ for the pairs of particles located along the lattice axes, and $r_{0ij} = \sqrt{2}h$ for the pairs along the diagonal. Under these conditions, the membrane is initially at equilibrium, on account of the periodic boundary conditions imposed along the transverse directions (x, y). We further mention that requiring $h = r_{\rm C}$ is equivalent to considering a constant ratio $h/a = 2^{7/6}$. Unless stated otherwise, we consider throughout the present article a membrane composed of N=450 particles and set the prestress parameter as $\xi = 0.9$.

We now introduce the reduced activity

$$E = \frac{aF_0}{\epsilon} \,, \tag{4}$$

which represents a balance between the magnitude of the active driving force F_0 and the steric forces at particle contact. Further, we define the reduced stiffness

$$\kappa = \frac{akh}{2\epsilon} \,, \tag{5}$$

which quantifies the importance of the elastic forces relative to the steric forces. The prefactor one half follows from theoretical considerations as will be shown in the sequel. In addition, we introduce the size ratio

$$\delta = \frac{R}{a} \tag{6}$$

to denote the radius of the active particle relative to that of the membrane particles. Finally, we define

$$\lambda = \frac{\ell}{h} \,, \tag{7}$$

as a scaled cutoff distance beyond which the elastic interactions vanish. Unless otherwise stated, we set $\lambda=3/2>\sqrt{2}$, such that the pair-interactions between the membrane particles are restricted to the four nearest and four nextnearest neighbors only. Choosing larger values of λ hardly changes our results.

An additional dimensionless parameter, that we denominate as "admittance", is introduced to quantify the penetration capability of the active particle. It is defined based on the above definitions of E and κ and expresses the ratio

between active and elastic forces. Specifically,

$$P_0 = \frac{E}{\kappa} = \frac{2F_0}{kh} \tag{8}$$

Here, the admittance serves to quantify a criterion of whether or not the active passes through the membrane. For ease of reference, the explicit expressions of the key dimensionless numbers characterizing the states of the system are listed in Tab. I.

To get a first intuition of the possible membrane dynamics, we display the different observed scenarios in Fig. 2. For low admittance ($P_0 = 1$, top row and movie S1 in the Supporting Information), the membrane starts to deform when the motile active particle comes close, but only up to some point, reaching a steady state of constant membrane shape and fixed position of the active particle [see Fig. 2, panels (c) and (d)]. When increasing the admittance to $P_0 = \sqrt{10}$ (second row and movie S2), the membrane no longer reaches a steady state, but the active particle breaks through the membrane, leaving a hole that starts to selfheal once the particle has left the membrane particles behind. Here, the membrane evolves back towards its original configuration, as it would be desired, e.g., when delivering cargo to the inside of a healthy cell, the membrane of which we would want to remain intact. The membrane dynamics qualitatively changes when using larger particles instead ($\delta = 7$) and strongly enhancing the admittance to $P_0 = 100\sqrt{10}$ (third row and movie S3). In this situation, the particle breaks through the membrane and creates a permanent hole. The four particles located around the center of the membrane in Fig. 2 (l) remain isolated because the range of the internal membrane interactions is shorter than the separation distance of these four particles from the rest of the membrane. Such a behavior is even more pronounced for significantly larger particles ($\delta = 13$) (bottom row and movie S4) where the membrane is partially fragmented into four clusters of particle triplets and four clusters of particle sextuplets (Fig. (p)), after the active particle has penetrated through the membrane.

In Fig. 3, we present state diagrams indicating the system behavior in the parameter space (κ, E) . As already mentioned, the membrane is composed of N=450 particles. Here, we set $\delta=1$. Depending on the ratio between the control parameters κ and E, we observe that the active particle either passes through the membrane to reach the other side (red triangles) or remains trapped (blue rectangles). The transition between the two states can be described by a linear hypothesis of the form $P_0=1$. Accordingly, penetration events occur when the membrane restoring forces consisting of elastic contributions become weaker than the damaging force resulting from the steric interactions with the active particle. After full penetration has occurred, the membrane self-heals and relaxes back to its initial equilibrium configuration.

In Fig. 4, we show dynamical state diagrams in the planes of the control parameters (a) (δ, E_2) for $\lambda = 3/2$, and (b) (δ, λ) for E = 1. To limit the parameter space, we set in both diagrams the reduced stiffness to $\kappa = 10^{-2}$.




Figure 2. (Color online) Snapshots of particle-based computer simulations illustrating the membrane conformation in the trapping and penetration states at different time intervals scaled by the unit simulation time $t_{\rm S} = \eta L^3/\epsilon$. At time t = 0, the active particle begins interacting with the membrane particles (cyan circles). Here, we set the reduced stiffness to $\kappa = 10^{-2}$ in all these simulations. For clarity, the membrane particles located in the planes x = 0 and y = 0 within the interaction range of the elastic potentials are shown as blue disks linked by dashed lines. The first row [panels (a) - (d)] displays the membrane dynamics in the trapping state, for a size ratio $\delta = 1$ and a reduced activity $E = 10^{-2}$. Since the driving force is not strong enough compared to the membrane restoring forces, the active particle remains trapped near the membrane. The second row [panels (e) - (h)] represents the time frames during penetration with subsequent self-healing, for $\delta = 1$ and $E = 10^{-1.5}$. In this state, the membrane recovers its initial planar shape after the active particle has passed through it. Next, the third row [panels (i) - (l)] contains the frame series during penetration without self-healing, for $\delta = 7$ and $E = \sqrt{10}$. The membrane remains permanently damaged after penetration as the mutual distance between the four depicted fragmented particles becomes larger than the scaled cutoff distance λ . The bottom row [panels (m)-(p)] further illustrates the penetration state without self-healing, for $\delta=13$ and $E=\sqrt{10}$. Due to the relatively large size of the active particle, the membrane is partially fragmented around its center into four clusters of particle triplets and four clusters of particle sextuplets, the distance between these particles and the remaining of the membrane is larger than the cutoff length ℓ . The red disks represent the positions of the active particle, which are out of the field of view in some panels. Because of the pronounced difference between the scales along the lateral and normal directions, the particles and their shapes are not plotted to scale.

We observe that the transition between the trapping and penetration states can also be enabled by varying the size ratio δ (Fig. 4 (a)). Accordingly, the penetration capability through a membrane is not only determined by the system admittance, but also by the size of the active par-

ticle relative to that of the membrane particles. This is in agreement with earlier experimental investigations indicating that particle size may strongly affect the uptake efficiency and kinetics^{100–104}. Consequently, an active particle with a size larger than that of the membrane parti-





Figure 3. (Color online) State diagram of membrane penetration and trapping of an active particle in the parameter space (κ, E) . Symbols correspond to the dynamical state resulting from numerically integrating the governing equations of motion stated by Eqs. (2) and (3). Here, we set the size ratio to $\delta = 1$. The solid lines indicate an estimate of the transition between trapping (blue squares) and penetration (red triangles), given by $P_0 = 1$.

cles is more likely to remain trapped. It is worth noting that, in the considered range of parameters, the transition has been found to only depend on the admittance P_0 for our simplistic 1D model membrane studied in a previous work 41. For large values of the size ratio and small scaled cutoff distance λ , the penetration process may also occur without subsequent self-healing of the membrane. This situation is accompanied by partial fragmentation of the membrane, during which a number of particles around the center remain isolated from the remaining of the membrane, creating a permanent hole in the membrane. The number of fragments largely depends on the propulsion speed and the size ratio. Interestingly, the membrane was also observed to become partially fragmented into clusters of four quadruplets for $(\delta, E) = (9, 1)$ or a combination of four triplets and four sextuplets of membrane particles for $(\delta, E) = (13, \sqrt{10})$. This effect points to an interesting size effect of the membrane behavior and shows that motile particles can be used to permanently damage the considered type of membrane. For large scaled cutoff distances (Fig. 4 (b)), the penetration capability decreases, yet the transition between the trapping and penetration states is weakly dependent on the scaled cutoff distance λ . In these situations, the membrane self-heals after particle penetration because the displaced membrane particles remain within the interaction range of the restoring elastic forces.

Having investigated the penetration mechanism of a single active particle self-propelling toward the membrane it is worth commenting on the collective penetration of a large






Figure 4. (Color online) State diagram in the parameter spaces (a) (δ, E) for $\lambda = 3/2$ and (b) (δ, λ) for E = 1, where, in both diagrams, $\kappa = 10^{-2}$. Symbols represent the dynamical state resulting from numerical integration of the governing equations of motion given by Eqs. (2) and (3). In addition to trapping (blue squares) and penetration with healing (red triangles), penetration events without subsequent healing (green symbols) occur in some parameter ranges for large values of the size ratio δ and reduced activity E for $\lambda \leq 3/2$. These penetration scenarios may be accompanied by the creation of a permanent hole of a size exceeding the interaction range of the membrane particles in addition to the partial fragmentation of the membrane into isolated or clusters of particles.




Figure 5. (Color online) State diagram in the parameter space $(\kappa, N_{\rm P})$ for $\delta = 1$, $\lambda = 3/2$, and E = 1. Symbols represent the state resulting from numerical integration of the governing equations of motion given by Eqs. (2) and (3) for $N_{\rm P}$ active particles initially placed around the center of the membrane. The parameter r quantifies the fraction of the active particles that penetrate through the membrane.

number of active particles. Depending on the density and activity of the penetrating particles as well as on the physical properties of the membrane, the penetration of a group of active particles may show a behavior different from the one observed for a single particle. In order to probe this effect in some detail, we consider $N_{\rm P}$ active particles initially arranged around the center of the membrane. The active particles move under the action of equal propulsion forces and are initialized at the same vertical distance to the membrane. The initial Cartesian coordinates of the active particles are listed in Tab. II.

In Fig. 5, we present a state diagram in the parameter space (κ, N_P) for $\delta = 1$, $\lambda = 3/2$, and E = 1. We quantify the proportion of the active particles that pass through the membrane by the ratio r. Here, r = 0 corresponds to the situation in which all the active particle are fully trapped while r = 1 corresponds to the penetration of all the particles. The latter scenario is always accompanied by a subsequent self-healing considering the present set of parameters. We observe that, as the number of active particles gets larger, the penetration capability increases. This behavior is justified by the fact that, as the number of active particles increases, the forces damaging the membrane become larger than the elastic forces. Consequently, more active particles are able to break through the membrane even if a single particle would be trapped. In this context, Kaiser et al. 64 demonstrated that a chevron-shaped boundary represents an excellent trapping device for selfpropelled active particles. Accordingly, the deformation of the membrane induced by the active particles in the trapping state would eventually trap other particles, thus

$N_{ m P}$	Scaled initial positions $(x,y)/h$		
1	(0,0)		
4	$(\pm\sqrt{2}/2,\pm\sqrt{2}/2)$		
8	$(\pm\sqrt{2}/2,\pm\sqrt{2}/2),\ (0,\pm1),\ (\pm1,0)$		
12	$(\pm\sqrt{2}/2,\pm\sqrt{2}/2),\ (0,\pm1),\ (\pm1,0)$		
	$(\pm\sqrt{2},\pm\sqrt{2})$		
	$(\pm\sqrt{2}/2,\pm\sqrt{2}/2),\ (0,\pm1),\ (\pm1,0)$		
24	$(\pm\sqrt{2},\pm\sqrt{2}), (\pm2\sqrt{2},0), (0,\pm2\sqrt{2})$		
	$(\pm 3\sqrt{2}/2, \pm \sqrt{2}/2), (\pm \sqrt{2}/2, \pm 3\sqrt{2}/2)$		

Table II. Initial Cartesian coordinates of the $N_{\rm P}$ active particles in the transverse plane

resulting into an increased penetration capability.

IV. ANALYTICAL THEORY

To rationalize our numerical results, we derive in the following an analytical theory based on a perturbative expansion technique that describes the system behavior in the small-deformation regime. Particularly, we are interested to determine theoretically the membrane displacement field of the particles in the trapping state. Our analytical calculations proceed through the linearization of the governing equations of motion, followed by prescribing the relevant fields using a discrete-to-continuum approach 105,106.

A. Linearized equations of motion

In the following, we neglect for simplicity the steric interactions between the membrane particles and assume that the mutual distance between neighboring particle is within the interaction range of the elastic forces, i.e., $r_{ij} \in [h, \ell]$, with $j \in \mathcal{N}(i)$, for $i = 1, \ldots, N$.

The dynamical equation governing the evolution of the ith membrane particle displacement field can be cast in the form

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_i = \mu \left(\boldsymbol{F}_i^{\mathrm{E}} + \boldsymbol{F}_i^{\mathrm{ext}} \right) , \qquad (9)$$

wherein the superposed dot represents a temporal derivative, and $F_i^{\rm E}$ is the elastic force.

Assuming that the active particle has a radius comparable to that of the membrane particles, i.e., for $\delta \sim 1$, it can readily be verified that the resistive force due to the steric interactions with the active particle vanishes except for the four particles located near the center of the membrane, the initial coordinates of which are given in the Cartesian coordinate system by $(x,y) = (\pm \sqrt{2}h/2,0)$

and
$$(x, y) = (0, \pm \sqrt{2}h/2)$$
.

Following a linear elasticity theory approach 107,108 , we express the position vectors of each particle relative to the laboratory frame as $\mathbf{r}_i = (U_i + u_i) \, \hat{\mathbf{e}}_x + (V_i + v_i) \, \hat{\mathbf{e}}_y + w_i \hat{\mathbf{e}}_z$, for $i = 1, \ldots, N$, where $U_i \hat{\mathbf{e}}_x + V_i \hat{\mathbf{e}}_y$ is the position vector in the undeformed state of reference, and $u_i \hat{\mathbf{e}}_x + v_i \hat{\mathbf{e}}_y + w_i \hat{\mathbf{e}}_z$ is the displacement of the membrane particles relative to the initial configuration. The linearized elastic force acting on the ith particle reads

$$\boldsymbol{F}_{i}^{E} = -\frac{kh}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 2(p_{1} + p_{5}) + (2 - \xi)S_{p} + 2(1 - \xi)(p_{3} + p_{7}) + \xi(q_{2} - q_{4} + q_{6} - q_{8}) \\ \xi(p_{2} - p_{4} + p_{6} - p_{8}) + 2(1 - \xi)(q_{1} + q_{5}) + (2 - \xi)S_{q} + 2(q_{3} + q_{7}) \\ 2(1 - \xi)(S_{r} + Q_{r}) \end{pmatrix}.$$
(10)

where we have defined $p_j = (u_i - u_j)/h$, $q_j = (v_i - v_j)/h$, and $r_j = (w_i - w_j)/h$ to denote the displacement gradients. Here, the numbers $j = 1, \ldots, 8$ appearing in subscript denote the index of a nearest or next-neighbor particle on the lattice, as schematically illustrated in Fig. 1 (b). Moreover, we have used the shorthand notations $S_{\alpha} = \alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6 + \alpha_8$, $Q_{\alpha} = \alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_7$, for $\alpha \in \{p, q, r\}$, in addition to $W = p_2 + p_4 - p_6 - p_8 + q_2 - q_4 - q_6 + q_8$.

Notably, the inplane components of the elastic forces involve gradients of the lateral displacements p_j and q_j . In contrast to that, the normal components are found to depend on the displacement gradient r_j only. Consequently, a decoupling between the lateral and normal displacements is found for planar membranes, in a way analogous to what has previously been observed for 2D elastic membranes that are modeled as a continuum hyperelastic material featuring resistance toward shear and bending 109–113. Particularly, for a non-prestressed membrane ($\xi = 1$), the elastic forces are purely tangential (oriented along the plane of the membrane) and depend solely on the inplane displacement gradients p_i and q_i .

Having derived linearized expressions for the forces and torques governing the evolution of the membrane particles, we next consider the dynamics of the active particle. The latter is subject to the active driving force $\mathbf{F}_0 = F_0 \, \hat{\mathbf{e}}_z$ in addition to the repulsive steric forces resulting from the interaction with the nearby membrane particles. In the overdamped regime, the translational motion of the active particle along the z direction is governed by

$$6\pi\eta R \,\dot{z}_{\rm P} = F_0 - 4F^{\rm ext}\cos\alpha\,,\tag{11}$$

wherein $z_{\rm P}$ denotes the z-position of the active particle, and $F^{\rm ext}$ stands for the magnitude of the steric force exerted by one of the four particles located around the membrane center, and α denotes the angle this force makes with the vertical.

Equations. (9) form 2N ordinary differential equations in the time variable for the unknown membrane displacement field. These equations are subject to the initial conditions of vanishing membrane displacement, in addition to vanishing displacement at the membrane periphery and periodic boundary conditions along the x and y directions. In the steady state, the problem is equivalent to searching for the solution of linear recurrence relations coupling the positions of all the membrane particles initially located on a lattice. Due to the somewhat complicated nature of the resulting equations, an analytical solution is far from being trivial. To handle this difficulty and to obtain a quantitative insight into the system behavior in the small-deformation regime, we will approach the problem differently. Our solution methodology will be based on a continuum description of the linearized equations of motion as detailed below.

B. Continuum theory

The core idea of discrete-to-continuum analysis, is to express the membrane displacements following the standard approach as

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_{i+s,i+r} \\ v_{i+s,i+r} \\ w_{i+s,i+r} \end{pmatrix} = \exp\left(h\sqrt{2}\left(sD_x + rD_y\right)\right) \begin{pmatrix} u(x,y) \\ v(x,y) \\ w(x,y) \end{pmatrix}, (12)$$

where $D_{\alpha} = \partial/\partial \alpha$, $\alpha \in \{x,y\}$ represents the differential operator and $(s,r) \in \{0,\pm 1/2,\pm 1\}$. Here, the fraction at subscripts $i \pm 1/2$ refer to the nearest-neighboring particle on the lattice axes, namely, the ones identified by even numbers in Fig. 1 (b). The integer subscripts $i \pm 1$ refer to the next-nearest-neighboring particles located on the lattice diagonals.

The exponential argument in Eq. (12) can be expanded up to the second order in power series using a twodimensional Taylor expansion as¹¹⁴

$$\exp(h\sqrt{2}(sD_x + rD_y)) = 1 + h\sqrt{2}(sD_x + rD_y) + h^2(s^2D_x^2 + 2srD_xD_y + r^2D_y^2) + \cdots$$
(13)

Applying this transformation rule to Eq. (9), the partial differential equation governing the translational degrees of

tor form as

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_{,t} \\ v_{,t} \\ w_{,t} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{A}{2} \begin{pmatrix} (6-\xi) u_{,xx} + (6-5\xi) u_{,yy} + 2\xi v_{,xy} \\ (6-5\xi) v_{,xx} + (6-\xi) v_{,yy} + 2\xi u_{,xy} \\ 6(1-\xi) (w_{,xx} + w_{,yy}) \end{pmatrix} + h^2 (\mu F_0 - \delta \dot{z}_P) \delta(x,y) \,\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_z \,, \tag{14}$$

where $A := \mu k h^2$ is a parameter having the dimension of a diffusion coefficient. Here, we have approximated the steric force exerted on the particles near the center of the membrane by a two-dimensional Dirac delta function $\delta(x,y) = \delta(x)\delta(y)$. We have checked that taking alternative forms for the steric force, such as a 2D rectangle function centered around the origin, does not alter our results significantly. Therefore, a Dirac delta function has been adopted here for simplicity.

In the steady-state limit, Eq. (14) simplifies to

$$\begin{pmatrix} (6-\xi) u_{,xx} + (6-7\xi) u_{,yy} \\ (6-7\xi) v_{,xx} + (6-\xi) v_{,yy} \\ 6(1-\xi) (w_{,xx} + w_{,yy}) \end{pmatrix} + hP_0 \delta(x,y) \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_z = \boldsymbol{0},$$
(15)

wherein $P_0 = 2F_0/(kh)$, is the system admittance defined above by Eq. (8). In Eq. (15), we explicitly observe that P_0 controls how far the active particle can penetrate through the initial planar membrane and leads to a deflection of the membrane. We note that the 2D Dirac delta function has the dimension of inverse length squared. In the following, we attempt to obtain closed analytical expressions for the displacement field not only for the steady state but also for transient dynamics situations.

C. Steady solution

Because of the already-mentioned decoupling between the lateral and normal displacements, the solution for the in- and out-of-plane deformations can be obtained independently. Since the external force is exerted normal to the plane of the membrane, deformation will predominantly occur along the z direction. In the following, we assume that $|w| \ll L$, for our approximate equations of motion derived above to be valid.

By projecting Eq. (15) onto the z direction, the normal displacement is governed by a second-order partial differential equation of the form

$$6(1 - \xi)(w_{,xx} + w_{,yy}) + hP_0 \delta(x,y) = 0, \qquad (16)$$

To solve Eq. (16), we exploit periodicity of the system along the transverse directions by expressing the membrane normal displacement w in terms of a Fourier series¹¹⁵. Then,

$$w(x,y) = \frac{4}{L^2} \sum_{p \ge 1} \sum_{q \ge 1} \hat{w}(p,q) c_p(x) c_q(y) , \qquad (17)$$

with $p, q = 1, 2, \ldots$ denoting the positive integers that set the coordinates in Fourier space. Here, we have defined the basis function $c_p(x) = \cos(H_p x)$, where $H_p = (2p-1)\pi/L$, and analogously for $c_q(y)$. In addition, $\hat{w}(p,q)$ denotes the Fourier coefficients of w, defined as

$$\hat{w}(p,q) = \int_{-\frac{L}{2}}^{\frac{L}{2}} \int_{-\frac{L}{2}}^{\frac{L}{2}} w(x,y) c_p(x) c_q(y) \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}y.$$
 (18)

It is worth mentioning that the solution form given by Eq. (17) follows from the prescribed boundary conditions, so as to ensure that $w(x=\pm L/2,y)=w(x,y=\pm L/2)=0$. Moreover, the basis functions $c_p(x)$ satisfy the orthogonality relation

$$\int_{-\frac{L}{2}}^{\frac{L}{2}} c_p(x) c_{p'}(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = \frac{L}{2} \, \delta_{pp'} \,. \tag{19}$$

By substituting Eq. (17) into Eq. (16) and making use of the orthogonality property given by Eq. (19), we readily obtain

$$\hat{w}(p,q) = \frac{hP_0}{6(1-\xi)\left(H_p^2 + H_q^2\right)}.$$
 (20)

Finally, by writing the solution for the transverse displacements u(x,y) and v(x,y) in terms of Fourier series in a way analogous to Eq. (17), it follows that u and v must vanish to satisfy the boundary conditions imposed at the membrane extremities, considering the present approximate equations.

Figure 6 shows the steady-state variations of the normal displacement (scaled by the membrane size) versus x/L. Results are shown in the plane of maximum deformation y=0 for three different values of the reduced activity E, while keeping the reduced elasticity to $\kappa=1$. Symbols indicate the numerical solution of the full nonlinear problem given by Eqs. (2) and (3) and solid lines are the analytical predictions obtained from the solution of the continuum equations using finite Fourier transforms.




Figure 6. (Color online) Membrane normal displacement versus scaled distance x/L calculated in the plane of maximum deformation y=0. Comparison is shown between analytical predictions (lines) and full numerical solution of Eqs. (2) and (3) (symbols) in the steady-state of membrane trapping for various values of E, while keeping $\kappa=1$. Thus, the elastic interactions have essentially comparable effects on the overall membrane behavior.

Good agreement is found between the theory and simulations. All in all, our predictive model requires no fitting parameters and thus can conveniently be applied to describe the steady-state membrane displacement in the small-deformation regime considered here.

D. Transient dynamics

Having investigated the system behavior in the steady trapping limit, we next turn our attention to the transient dynamics under the action of the force exerted by an active particle pushing against the membrane. To be able to make an analytical progress, we assume that $\delta \dot{z}_P \ll \mu_0 F$, such that F_0 is balanced by the steric interaction with the membrane, not by friction with the fluid. Accordingly, we set $\dot{z}_P = 0$ in Eq. (14) for t > 0.

The projected equations of motion governing the temporal evolution of the normal displacement field \boldsymbol{w} read

$$\frac{w_{,t}}{A} = 3(1 - \xi)(w_{,xx} + w_{,yy}) + \frac{hP_0}{2}\delta(x,y).$$
 (21)

Using a similar solution procedure as for the steady dynamics that is based on Fourier transforms, we obtain

$$\frac{\hat{w}_{,t}}{4} = -3(1-\xi)\left(H_p^2 + H_q^2\right)\hat{w} + \frac{hP_0}{2}.$$
 (22)

Applying Laplace transforms¹¹⁶ to Eqs. (22) and solving




Figure 7. (Color online) Membrane normal displacement out of the plane of the undeformed membrane as functions of scaled distance x/L calculated in the plane y=0. Comparison is made between analytical predictions (lines) and full numerical simulations (symbols) for the transient behavior before the trapping state at various scaled times where, again, $t_{\rm S} = \eta L^3/\epsilon$ denotes the unit of simulation time. Here, we set $(\kappa, E) = (1, 1)$.

for the unknown field \hat{w} , we readily obtain

$$\hat{w}(p,q) = \frac{hP_0A}{2s\left(3A\left(1-\xi\right)\left(H_p^2 + H_q^2\right) + s\right)}.$$
 (23)

The expressions of the Fourier coefficient in the time domain follow forthwith by inverse Laplace transform as

$$\frac{\hat{w}}{\hat{w}_{\infty}} = 1 - e^{-3A(1-\xi)\left(H_p^2 + H_q^2\right)t},$$

where \hat{w}_{∞} represents the steady normal displacement given by Eq. (20).

In Fig. 7, we present the transient evolution of the membrane normal displacement before reaching the steady-state at three scaled times, where $t_{\rm S}=\eta L^3/\epsilon$ denotes the simulation time. Here, curves are shown in the plane y=0 using the membrane parameters $(\kappa,E)=(1,1)$. As time increases, the membrane deformation exponentially approaches the steady-state value. Although the analytical theory involves no fitting parameters, very good agreement is obtained between full numerical simulations (symbols) and analytical predictions (lines).

V. CONCLUSION

In the present work, we have discussed the interaction of an active particle with a minimal 2D membrane which could be realized, e.g., using synthetic particles of controlled interactions. We have identified three different scenarios, one corresponding to a permanent trapping of the particle by the membrane and the remaining two implying penetration of the particle through the membrane. The

first type of penetration is characterized by a complete subsequent healing of the membrane which relaxes towards its equilibrium configuration once the particle has passed. In stark contrast, we have shown that much larger particles can create a hole in the membrane that is large enough to prevent such a self-healing dynamics, resulting in a permanently damaged membrane. This behavior is accompanied by the expulsion of membrane particles into isolated fragments. Our result suggests that if one were to effectively damage a synthetic vesicle, or perhaps a cancer cell membrane, one would need to use particles of a certain minimal size. Complementary to simulations, we here provide a detailed analytical theory allowing to predict the entire state diagram, the shape and the dynamics of the membrane. Our approach might be useful to predict transitions between trapping, penetration with and without self-healing in experiments.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Joachim Clement and Cornelia Monzel for stimulating discussions. The authors gratefully acknowledge support from the DFG (Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft) through the projects DA 2107/1-1, ME 3571/2-2, and LO 418/16-3.

REFERENCES

- ¹I. Budin and N. K. Devaraj, "Membrane assembly driven by a biomimetic coupling reaction," J. Am. Chem. Soc. **134**, 751–753 (2011).
- ²Y. Osada and T. Nakagawa, Membrane Science and Technology (CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida, 1992).
- ³G. Belfort, Synthetic Membrane Process: Fundamentals and Water Applications (Academic Press, Inc., Orlando, Florida, 2012).
- ⁴A. T. Brown and P. Cicuta, "Biological fluid interfaces and membranes," in *The Oxford Handbook of Soft Condensed Matter* (2017).
- ⁵A. G. Fane, R. Wang, and M. X. Hu, "Synthetic membranes for water purification: status and future," Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. **54**, 3368 (2015).
- ⁶I. Kocsis, M. Sorci, H. Vanselous, S. Murail, S. E. Sanders, E. Licsandru, Y.-M. Legrand, A. van der Lee, M. Baaden, P. B. Petersen, et al., "Oriented chiral water wires in artificial transmembrane channels," Sci. Adv. 4, eaao5603 (2018).
- ⁷ J. Vienken, M. Diamantoglou, W. Henne, and B. Nederlof, "Artificial dialysis membranes: from concept to large scale production," Am. J. Nephrol. 19, 355–362 (1999).
- ⁸H. Klinkmann and J. Vienken, "Membranes for dialysis," Nephrol. Dial. Transplant 10, 39 (1995).
- ⁹A. P. Davis, D. N. Sheppard, and B. D. Smith, "Development of synthetic membrane transporters for anions," Chem. Soc. Rev. **36**, 348–357 (2007).
- ¹⁰Y.-R. Kim, S. Jung, H. Ryu, Y.-E. Yoo, S. M. Kim, and T.-J. Jeon, "Synthetic biomimetic membranes and their sensor applications," Sensors 12, 9530–9550 (2012).
- ¹¹I.-K. Jun and H. Hess, "A biomimetic, self-pumping membrane," Adv. Mater. **22**, 4823–4825 (2010).
- $^{12}\mathrm{R.}$ Langer, "Drug delivery and targeting," Nature $\mathbf{392},\ 5\text{--}10$ (1998).
- ¹³A. Verma, O. Uzun, Y. Hu, Y. Hu, H.-S. Han, N. Watson, S. Chen, D. J. Irvine, and F. Stellacci, "Surface-structure-regulated cell-

- membrane penetration by monolayer-protected nanoparticles," Nat. Mater. 7, 588 595 (2008).
- ¹⁴K. Yang and Y.-Q. Ma, "Computer simulation of the translocation of nanoparticles with different shapes across a lipid bilayer," Nat. Nanotech. 5, 579 (2010).
- ¹⁵J. Lin, H. Zhang, Z. Chen, and Y. Zheng, "Penetration of lipid membranes by gold nanoparticles: insights into cellular uptake, cytotoxicity, and their relationship," ACS Nano 4, 5421–5429 (2010).
- ¹⁶A. Verma and F. Stellacci, "Effect of surface properties on nanoparticle-cell interactions," Small 6, 12–21 (2010).
- ¹⁷A. E. Nel, L. Mädler, D. Velegol, T. Xia, E. M. Hoek, P. Somasundaran, F. Klaessig, V. Castranova, and M. Thompson, "Understanding biophysicochemical interactions at the nano-bio interface," Nat. Mater. 8, 543 (2009).
- ¹⁸T. Wang, J. Bai, X. Jiang, and G. U. Nienhaus, "Cellular uptake of nanoparticles by membrane penetration: a study combining confocal microscopy with FTIR spectroelectrochemistry," ACS Nano 6, 1251–1259 (2012).
- ¹⁹L. Shang, K. Nienhaus, and G. U. Nienhaus, "Engineered nanoparticles interacting with cells: size matters," J. Nanobiotechnology 12, 5 (2014).
- ²⁰C. Monzel, C. Vicario, J. Piehler, M. Coppey, and M. Dahan, "Magnetic control of cellular processes using biofunctional nanoparticles," Chem. Sci. 8, 7330–7338 (2017).
- ²¹D. Liße, C. Monzel, C. Vicario, J. Manzi, I. Maurin, M. Coppey, J. Piehler, and M. Dahan, "Engineered ferritin for magnetogenetic manipulation of proteins and organelles inside living cells," Adv. Mater. 29, 1700189 (2017).
- ²²E. K. Müller, C. Gräfe, F. Wiekhorst, C. Bergemann, A. Weidner, S. Dutz, and J. H. Clement, "Magnetic nanoparticles interact and pass an in vitro co-culture blood-placenta barrier model," Nanomaterials 8, 108 (2018).
- ²³M. Xuan, J. Shao, C. Gao, W. Wang, L. Dai, and Q. He, "Self-propelled nanomotors for thermomechanically percolating cell membranes," Angew. Chem. 130, 12643–12647 (2018).
- ²⁴W. Wang, Z. Wu, X. Lin, T. Si, and Q. He, "Gold nanoshell-functionalized polymer nanoswimmer for photomechanical poration of single cell membrane," J. Am. Chem. Soc. (2019).
- ²⁵B. D. Chithrani, A. A. Ghazani, and W. C. W. Chan, "Determining the size and shape dependence of gold nanoparticle uptake into mammalian cells," Nano Lett. 6, 662–668 (2006).
- ²⁶K. Yang and Y. Q. Ma, "Computer simulation of the translocation of nanoparticles with different shapes across a lipid bilayer," Nat. Nanotechnol. 5, 579–583 (2010).
- ²⁷T. Dos Santos, J. Varela, İ. Lynch, A. Salvati, and K. A. Dawson, "Quantitative assessment of the comparative nanoparticle-uptake efficiency of a range of cell lines," Small 7, 3341–3349 (2011).
- ²⁸A. Parodi, N. Quattrocchi, A. L. Van De Ven, C. Chiappini, M. Evangelopoulos, J. O. Martinez, B. S. Brown, S. Z. Khaled, I. K. Yazdi, M. V. Enzo, et al., "Synthetic nanoparticles functionalized with biomimetic leukocyte membranes possess cell-like functions," Nat. Nanotechnol. 8, 61 (2013).
- ²⁹A. Daddi-Moussa-Ider, A. Guckenberger, and S. Gekle, "Long-lived anomalous thermal diffusion induced by elastic cell membranes on nearby particles," Phys. Rev. E 93, 012612 (2016).
- ³⁰F. Jünger, F. Kohler, A. Meinel, T. Meyer, R. Nitschke, B. Erhard, and A. Rohrbach, "Measuring local viscosities near plasma membranes of living cells with photonic force microscopy," Biophys. J. 109, 869–882 (2015).
- ³¹C. Gräfe, I. Slabu, F. Wiekhorst, C. Bergemann, F. von Eggeling, A. Hochhaus, L. Trahms, and J. Clement, "Magnetic particle spectroscopy allows precise quantification of nanoparticles after passage through human brain microvascular endothelial cells," Phys. Med. Biol. 61, 3986 (2016).
- ³²S. Ramaswamy and M. Rao, "The physics of active membranes," C. R. Acad. Sci., Ser. IV-Phys.-Astrophys. 2, 817–839 (2001).
- ³³Y. Z. Yoon, J. Kotar, A. T. Brown, and P. Cicuta, "Red blood cell dynamics: from spontaneous fluctuations to non-linear response," Soft Matter 7, 2042–2051 (2011).
- ³⁴D. Lacoste and P. Bassereau, "An update on active membrane," Liposomes, Lipid Bilayers and Model Membranes: From Basic

- Research to Application , 271 (2014).
- ³⁵S. Mitragotri and J. Lahann, "Physical approaches to biomaterial design," Nat. Mater. 8, 15 (2009).
- ³⁶Y. Li, X. Li, Z. Li, and H. Gao, "Surface-structure-regulated penetration of nanoparticles across a cell membrane," Nanoscale 4, 3768–3775 (2012).
- ³⁷J. Wong-Ekkabut, S. Baoukina, W. Triampo, I.-M. Tang, D. P. Tieleman, and L. Monticelli, "Computer simulation study of fullerene translocation through lipid membranes," Nat. Nanotech. 3, 363 (2008).
- ³⁸G. Junot, G. Briand, R. Ledesma-Alonso, and O. Dauchot, "Active versus passive hard disks against a membrane: mechanical pressure and instability," Phys. Rev. Lett. 119, 028002 (2017).
- ³⁹U. M. B. Marconi, A. Sarracino, C. Maggi, and A. Puglisi, "Self-propulsion against a moving membrane: Enhanced accumulation and drag force," Phys. Rev. E **96**, 032601 (2017).
- ⁴⁰A. Costanzo, J. Elgeti, T. Auth, G. Gompper, and M. Ripoll, "Motility-sorting of self-propelled particles in microchannels," EPL 107, 36003 (2014).
- ⁴¹A. Daddi-Moussa-Ider, S. Goh, B. Liebchen, C. Hoell, A. J. Mathijssen, F. Guzmán-Lastra, C. Scholz, A. M. Menzel, and H. Löwen, "Membrane penetration and trapping of an active particle," J. Chem. Phys. **150**, 064906 (2019).
- ⁴²D. H. Boal, U. Seifert, and A. Zilker, "Dual network model for red blood cell membranes," Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 3405 (1992).
- ⁴³ J. Lidmar, L. Mirny, and D. R. Nelson, "Virus shapes and buckling transitions in spherical shells," Phys. Rev. E 68, 051910 (2003).
- ⁴⁴H. Noguchi and G. Gompper, "Shape transitions of fluid vesicles and red blood cells in capillary flows," Proc. Nat. Sci. USA 102, 14159 (2005).
- ⁴⁵D. A. Fedosov, B. Caswell, and G. E. Karniadakis, "Systematic coarse-graining of spectrin-level red blood cell models," Comput Methods Appl Mech Eng. 199, 1937–1948 (2010).
- ⁴⁶A. Förtsch, M. Laumann, D. Kienle, and W. Zimmermann, "Mi-gration reversal of soft particles in vertical flows," Europhys. Lett. 119, 64003 (2017).
- ⁴⁷M. Laumann, P. Bauknecht, S. Gekle, D. Kienle, and W. Zimmermann, "Cross-stream migration of asymmetric particles driven by oscillating shear," Europhys. Lett. 117, 44001 (2017).
- ⁴⁸M. Laumann, W. Schmidt, A. Farutin, D. Kienle, S. Förster, C. Misbah, and W. Zimmermann, "Emerging attractor in wavy poiseuille flows triggers sorting of biological cells," Phys. Rev. Lett. 122, 128002 (2019).
- ⁴⁹V. Froltsov, R. Blaak, C. Likos, and H. Löwen, "Crystal structures of two-dimensional magnetic colloids in tilted external magnetic fields," Phys. Rev. E 68, 061406 (2003).
- ⁵⁰E. Barry and Z. Dogic, "Entropy driven self-assembly of nonamphiphilic colloidal membranes," Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 107, 10348–10353 (2010).
- ⁵¹M. Ewerlin, D. Demirbas, F. Brüssing, O. Petracic, A. A. Ünal, S. Valencia, F. Kronast, and H. Zabel, "Magnetic dipole and higher pole interaction on a square lattice," Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 177209 (2013).
- ⁵²R. Messina, L. A. Khalil, and I. Stanković, "Self-assembly of magnetic balls: From chains to tubes," Phys. Rev. E 89, 011202 (2014)
- ⁵³A. Kaiser, K. Popowa, and H. Löwen, "Active dipole clusters: from helical motion to fission," Phys. Rev. E 92, 012301 (2015).
- ⁵⁴F. Guzmán-Lastra, A. Kaiser, and H. Löwen, "Fission and fusion scenarios for magnetic microswimmer clusters," Nat. Commun. 7, 13519 (2016).
- ⁵⁵A. B. Yener and S. H. L. Klapp, "Self-assembly of three-dimensional ensembles of magnetic particles with laterally shifted dipoles," Soft Matter 12, 2066–2075 (2016).
- ⁵⁶L. Spiteri and R. Messina, "Columnar aggregation of dipolar chains," Europhys. Lett. **120**, 36001 (2017).
- ⁵⁷R. Messina and I. Stanković, "Assembly of magnetic spheres in strong homogeneous magnetic field," Physica A 466, 10 – 20 (2017).
- ⁵⁸S. D. Peroukidis and S. H. L. Klapp, "Orientational order and translational dynamics of magnetic particle assemblies in liquid

- crystals," Soft Matter 12, 6841-6850 (2016).
- ⁵⁹F. Deißenbeck, H. Löwen, and E. C. Oğuz, "Ground state of dipolar hard spheres confined in channels," Phys. Rev. E **97**, 052608 (2018).
- ⁶⁰J. García-Torres, C. Calero, F. Sagués, I. Pagonabarraga, and P. Tierno, "Magnetically tunable bidirectional locomotion of a self-assembled nanorod-sphere propeller," Nat. Commun. 9, 1663 (2018).
- ⁶¹E. C. Oğuz, A. Mijailović, and M. Schmiedeberg, "Self-assembly of complex structures in colloid-polymer mixtures," Phys. Rev. E 98, 052601 (2018).
- ⁶²B. ten Hagen, S. van Teeffelen, and H. Löwen, "Brownian motion of a self-propelled particle," J. Physics: Condens. Matter 23, 194119 (2011).
- ⁶³R. Wittkowski and H. Löwen, "Self-propelled brownian spinning top: dynamics of a biaxial swimmer at low reynolds numbers," Phys. Rev. E 85, 021406 (2012).
- ⁶⁴A. Kaiser, H. Wensink, and H. Löwen, "How to capture active particles," Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 268307 (2012).
- ⁶⁵H. H. Wensink and H. Löwen, "Emergent states in dense systems of active rods: from swarming to turbulence," J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 24, 464130 (2012).
- ⁶⁶F. Kümmel, B. ten Hagen, R. Wittkowski, I. Buttinoni, R. Eichhorn, G. Volpe, H. Löwen, and C. Bechinger, "Circular motion of asymmetric self-propelling particles," Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 198302 (2013).
- ⁶⁷B. Ten Hagen, F. Kümmel, R. Wittkowski, D. Takagi, H. Löwen, and C. Bechinger, "Gravitaxis of asymmetric self-propelled colloidal particles," Nat. Commun. 5, 4829 (2014).
- ⁶⁸B. ten Hagen, R. Wittkowski, D. Takagi, F. Kümmel, C. Bechinger, and H. Löwen, "Can the self-propulsion of anisotropic microswimmers be described by using forces and torques?" J. Phys. Condes. Matter 27, 194110 (2015).
- ⁶⁹T. Speck and R. L. Jack, "Ideal bulk pressure of active Brownian particles," Phys. Rev. E 93, 062605 (2016).
- ⁷⁰J. de Graaf, H. Menke, A. J. T. M. Mathijssen, M. Fabritius, C. Holm, and T. N. Shendruk, "Lattice-boltzmann hydrodynamics of anisotropic active matter," J. Chem. Phys. **144**, 134106 (2016).
- ⁷¹B. Liebchen, D. Marenduzzo, and M. E. Cates, "Phoretic interactions generically induce dynamic clusters and wave patterns in active colloids," Phys. Rev. Lett. 118, 268001 (2017).
- ⁷²C. Hoell, H. Löwen, and A. M. Menzel, "Dynamical density functional theory for circle swimmers," New J. Phys. **19**, 125004 (2017).
- ⁷³A. Daddi-Moussa-Ider and A. M. Menzel, "Dynamics of a simple model microswimmer in an anisotropic fluid: Implications for alignment behavior and active transport in a nematic liquid crystal," Phys. Rev. Fluids 3, 094102 (2018).
- ⁷⁴E. Lauga and T. R. Powers, "The hydrodynamics of swimming microorganisms," Rep. Prog. Phys. **72**, 096601 (2009).
- ⁷⁵A. Zöttl and H. Stark, "Emergent behavior in active colloids," J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 28, 253001 (2016).
- ⁷⁶E. Lauga, "Bacterial hydrodynamics," Ann. Rev. Fluid Mech. 48, __105–130 (2016).
- ⁷⁷J. Elgeti, R. G. Winkler, and G. Gompper, "Physics of microswimmers single particle motion and collective behavior: A review," Rep. Prog. Phys. **78**, 056601 (2015).
- ⁷⁸C. Bechinger, R. Di Leonardo, H. Löwen, C. Reichhardt, G. Volpe, and G. Volpe, "Active particles in complex and crowded environments," Rev. Mod. Phys. 88, 045006 (2016).
- ⁷⁹P. Illien, R. Golestanian, and A. Sen, "Fuelled motion: phoretic motility and collective behaviour of active colloids," Chem. Soc. Rev. 46, 5508–5518 (2017).
- ⁸⁰W. Gao, X. Feng, A. Pei, C. R. Kane, R. Tam, C. Hennessy, and J. Wang, "Bioinspired helical microswimmers based on vascular plants," Nano Lett. 14, 305–310 (2013).
- ⁸¹W. Gao and J. Wang, "Synthetic micro/nanomotors in drug delivery," Nanoscale 6, 10486–10494 (2014).
- ⁸²C. Scholz, M. Engel, and T. Pöschel, "Rotating robots move collectively and self-organize," Nat. Commun. 9, 931 (2018).

- ⁸³C. Scholz, S. Jahanshahi, A. Ldov, and H. Löwen, "Inertial delay of self-propelled particles," Nat. Commun. 9, 5156 (2018).
- ⁸⁴W. R. Adey, "Models of membranes of cerebral cells as substrates for information storage," Biosystems 8, 163–178 (1977).
- ⁸⁵J. Jiang, K. B. Eisenthal, and R. Yuste, "Second harmonic generation in neurons: electro-optic mechanism of membrane potential sensitivity," Biophys. J. 93, L26–L28 (2007).
- ⁸⁶A. F. Demirörs, J. C. Stiefelhagen, T. Vissers, F. Smallenburg, M. Dijkstra, A. Imhof, and A. van Blaaderen, "Long-ranged oppositely charged interactions for designing new types of colloidal clusters," Phys. Rev. X 5, 021012 (2015).
- ⁸⁷N. S. Gov, "Active elastic network: cytoskeleton of the red blood cell," Phys. Rev. E **75**, 011921 (2007).
- ⁸⁸I. V. Pivkin and G. E. Karniadakis, "Accurate coarse-grained modeling of red blood cells," Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 118105 (2008).
- ⁸⁹Z. Peng, X. Li, I. V. Pivkin, M. Dao, G. E. Karniadakis, and S. Suresh, "Lipid bilayer and cytoskeletal interactions in a red blood cell," Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **110**, 13356–13361 (2013).
- ⁹⁰C. O. Mejean, A. W. Schaefer, K. B. Buck, H. Kress, A. Shundrovsky, J. W. Merrill, E. R. Dufresne, and P. Forscher, "Elastic coupling of nascent apcam adhesions to flowing actin networks," PLoS One 8, e73389 (2013).
- ⁹¹ J. D. Weeks, D. Chandler, and H. C. Andersen, "Role of repulsive forces in determining the equilibrium structure of simple liquids," J. Chem. Phys. **54**, 5237–5247 (1971).
- ⁹²S. Babel, H. Löwen, and A. M. Menzel, "Dynamics of a linear magnetic microswimmer molecule," Europhys. Lett. **113**, 58003 (2016).
- ⁹³ J. Happel and H. Brenner, Low Reynolds Number Hydrodynamics: with Special Applications to Particulate Media (Springer Science & Business Media, The Netherlands, 2012).
- ⁹⁴S. Kim and S. J. Karrila, Microhydrodynamics: Principles and Selected Applications (Courier Corporation, 2013).
- ⁹⁵J. W. Swan and J. F. Brady, "Simulation of hydrodynamically interacting particles near a no-slip boundary," Phys. Fluids 19, 113306 (2007).
- ⁹⁶J. W. Swan and J. F. Brady, "Particle motion between parallel walls: Hydrodynamics and simulation," Phys. Fluids **22**, 103301 (2010).
- ⁹⁷F. Balboa-Usabiaga, B. Kallemov, B. Delmotte, A. Bhalla, B. Griffith, and A. Donev, "Hydrodynamics of suspensions of passive and active rigid particles: a rigid multiblob approach," Comm. App. Math. Com. Sc. 11, 217–296 (2017).
- ⁹⁸M. Driscoll and B. Delmotte, "Leveraging collective effects in externally driven colloidal suspensions: Experiments and simulations," Curr. Opin. Colloid Interface Sci. (2018).
- ⁹⁹W. H. Press, *The Art of Scientific Computing* (Cambridge University Press, 1992).
- ¹⁰⁰W. Jiang, B. Y. Kim, J. T. Rutka, and W. C. Chan, "Nanoparticle-mediated cellular response is size-dependent," Nat. Nanotechnol. 3, 145 (2008).

- ¹⁰¹P. O. Andersson, C. Lejon, B. Ekstrand-Hammarström, C. Akfur, L. Ahlinder, A. Bucht, and L. Österlund, "Polymorph-and sizedependent uptake and toxicity of tio2 nanoparticles in living lung epithelial cells," Small 7, 514–523 (2011).
- ¹⁰²E. Oh, J. B. Delehanty, K. E. Sapsford, K. Susumu, R. Goswami, J. B. Blanco-Canosa, P. E. Dawson, J. Granek, M. Shoff, Q. Zhang, et al., "Cellular uptake and fate of pegylated gold nanoparticles is dependent on both cell-penetration peptides and particle size," ACS Nano 5, 6434–6448 (2011).
- ¹⁰³W. Huang, C. B. Chang, and H. J. Sung, "Three-dimensional simulation of elastic capsules in shear flow by the penalty immersed boundary method," Journal of Computational Physics 231, 3340– 3364 (2012).
- ¹⁰⁴A. Elbakry, E.-C. Wurster, A. Zaky, R. Liebl, E. Schindler, P. Bauer-Kreisel, T. Blunk, R. Rachel, A. Goepferich, and M. Breunig, "Layer-by-layer coated gold nanoparticles: Size-dependent delivery of DNA into cells," Small 8, 3847–3856 (2012).
- ¹⁰⁵S. Goh, A. M. Menzel, and H. Löwen, "Dynamics in a one-dimensional ferrogel model: relaxation, pairing, shock-wave propagation," Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 20, 15037–15051 (2018).
- ¹⁰⁶A. M. Menzel, "Mesoscopic characterization of magnetoelastic hybrid materials: magnetic gels and elastomers, their particle-scale description, and scale-bridging links," Arch. Appl. Mech. 89, 17–45 (2019).
- ¹⁰⁷S. P. Timoshenko and S. Woinowsky-Krieger, Theory of Plates and Shells, Vol. 2 (McGraw-hill, New York, 1959).
- ¹⁰⁸M. H. Sadd, Elasticity: Theory, Applications, and Numerics (Academic Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 2009).
- ¹⁰⁹A. Daddi-Moussa-Ider, A. Guckenberger, and S. Gekle, "Particle mobility between two planar elastic membranes: Brownian motion and membrane deformation," Phys. Fluids 28, 071903 (2016).
- ¹¹⁰A. Daddi-Moussa-Ider, B. Rallabandi, S. Gekle, and H. A. Stone, "Reciprocal theorem for the prediction of the normal force induced on a particle translating parallel to an elastic membrane," Phys. Rev. Fluids 3, 084101 (2018).
- ¹¹¹A. Daddi-Moussa-Ider and S. Gekle, "Brownian motion near an elastic cell membrane: A theoretical study," Eur. Phys. J. E 41, 19 (2018).
- ¹¹²A. Daddi-Moussa-Ider, M. Lisicki, S. Gekle, A. M. Menzel, and H. Löwen, "Hydrodynamic coupling and rotational mobilities near planar elastic membranes," J. Chem. Phys. **149**, 014901 (2018).
- ¹¹³A. Daddi-Moussa-Ider, B. Kaoui, and H. Löwen, "Axisymmetric flow due to a stokeslet near a finite-sized elastic membrane," J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 88, 054401 (2019).
- ¹¹⁴P. Rosenau, "Hamiltonian dynamics of dense chains and lattices: or how to correct the continuum," Phys. Lett. A 311, 39–52 (2003).
- ¹¹⁵R. Bracewell, The Fourier Transform and Its Applications (McGraw-Hill, Pennsylvania, 1999).
- ¹¹⁶D. V. Widder, Laplace Transform (PMS-6) (Princeton University Press, New Jersey, 2015).