Classes of metastable Thermodynamic Quantum Time Crystals
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We found that thermodynamic quantum time crystals in Fermi systems, defined as quantum orders oscillating periodically in the imaginary Matsubara time with zero mean, are metastable for two general classes of solutions. Mean-field time independent solutions proved to have lower free energy manifesting true thermodynamic equilibrium with either single or multiple (competing) charge, spin, and superconducting symmetry breaking orders. The no-go theorem is proven analytically for a case of long-range interactions between fermions in momentum space in electron-hole and Cooper channels.

Mean-field is widely used description for broken symmetry ordered states of many-body systems. Functional integral formalism relates the quantum partition function $Z$ of a many-body system to the Euclidean action $S$, where the inverse temperature, $\beta = 1/T$, acts as imaginary Matsubara time\textsuperscript{1,2}:

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}\Psi(\tau)\mathcal{D}\bar{\Psi}(\tau) \exp (-S),$$

$$S = \int^\beta_0 d\tau \sum_q \left\{ \bar{\Psi}(\partial_\tau - \mu)\Psi + H(\bar{\Psi}, \Psi) \right\},$$

where $\bar{\Psi}, \Psi$ are Matsubara time conjugated quantum field operators and the Plank’s constant is the unit of action ($\hbar = 1$). It is also known that degenerate states with classically broken symmetries could be connected by instantons, i.e. by periodic in Matsubara time solutions of the classical equations of motion extremizing the Euclidean action\textsuperscript{3,4}. One of us has proposed an example of such solution for the quasi one-dimensional onsite repulsive-U Hubbard model\textsuperscript{5-7}, introducing a notion of quantum order parameter, QOP, that contains an “instantonic crystal”, which breaks translational invariance along the Matsubara time axis. It was demonstrated\textsuperscript{6,7} that instantonic crystal, e.g. of spin density wave type, possesses zero scattering cross-section for incident particles that couple to spin and, thus, forms a new kind of “hidden order”, that could be relevant e.g. for pseudo-gap state of high-Tc cuprates\textsuperscript{8}. It was suggested recently\textsuperscript{9}, that in the case of two competing spin- and charge density wave orders an instantonic crystal, called there \textsuperscript{9} “thermodynamic quantum time crystal”, might form and realize previously proposed “quantum time crystal”\textsuperscript{10} as the ground state. The purpose of the present paper is to prove analytically that at least for two general classes of solutions neither a single nor multiple (competing) charge-, spin- and superconducting “thermodynamic quantum time crystals” (instantonic crystals) can form a stable thermodynamic equilibrium state of interacting fermi-system at any temperature including the absolute zero. To start a proof of the ‘no-go’ theorem we consider a simple two band model with long-range interaction between electron-hole pairs in momentum space, that was recently considered in\textsuperscript{9}, and discussed previously\textsuperscript{6,7} in relation with quasi 1D model. Namely, we introduce a complex quantum order parameter, periodic in Matsubara time:

$$\hat{H}_M = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_q + t_q & M(\tau) \\ M^*(\tau) & -\epsilon_q + t_q \end{pmatrix}$$

Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_M$ in Eq. (3) acts on a two-component "spinor" of bare fermionic states assigned to each point in momentum space (Brillouin zone)\textsuperscript{10}, $\bar{\psi}^T \equiv \{ u_+, u_- \}$. Corresponding two energy bands possess dispersions counted in relation with quasi 1D model considered in\textsuperscript{6,7}. A simple example of an origin of a complex field $M(\tau) \equiv M_1(\tau) + iM_2(\tau)$ is provided e.g. by a decoupling of onsite repulsion term in the Hubbard $U$ lattice model via Hubbard-Stratonovich (HS) procedure, that leads to the spin- and charge-density fields, $M_1, M_2$\textsuperscript{12}:

$$\exp \left\{ -\int^\beta_0 dt U \bar{n}_{i\uparrow} \bar{n}_{i\downarrow} \right\} = \frac{1}{\pi U} \int \mathcal{D}M_1 \mathcal{D}M_2$$

$$\exp \left\{ -\int^\beta_0 dt \left( \frac{1}{U} (M^2_1 + M^2_2) + iM_2 \bar{n}_i + M_1 \bar{s}_{zi} \right) \right\},$$

where onsite-\textit{i} charge and spin density operators are defined as:

$$\bar{n}_i = \bar{n}_{i\uparrow} + \bar{n}_{i\downarrow}, \bar{s}_{zi} = \bar{n}_{i\uparrow} - \bar{n}_{i\downarrow}.$$  

Hence, partition function in Eqs. (1), (2), after HS decoupling in Eq. (4) and changing from lattice coordinate to momentum representation, is expressed as follows (a single $U$ is generalized by a different $U_\gamma$ for two fields $M_\gamma = M_{1,2}$):

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}M_1 \mathcal{D}M_2 \prod_q \mathcal{D}\bar{\psi}_q(\tau)\mathcal{D}\psi_q(\tau) \exp \{-S\} \quad (6)$$

$$S = \int^\beta_0 d\tau \sum_q \left\{ \bar{\psi}_q \left[ \partial_\tau + \hat{H}_M \right] \psi_q + \sum_{\gamma=1}^2 \frac{M^2_\gamma(\tau)}{4U_\gamma} \right\}$$

(7)
In Eqs. (6), (7) path integration implements a trace over diagonal elements of the exponential, and hence it is performed over \( \tau \)-periodic Hubbard-Stratonovich fields:

\[
M_q(\tau + 1/T) = M_q(\tau),
\]

(8)

In case of a classical phase transition the overwhelming contribution to the path integral \( Z \) comes from the \( \tau \)-independent HS fields, i.e. the minimum of the Euclidian action \( S \) is achieved with some particular \( \tau \)-independent functions \( M_q(\tau) \), that constitute well known classical (mean-field) order parameters (COP). A condition for \( \text{QOP} \), being parameters

\[
1)
\]

where

\[
S
\]

with eigenvalues \( \epsilon_i \) the fermionic eigenvectors \( \xi_i(\tau) \) are defined as:

\[
(\partial\tau + \hat{H}_M)\xi_i = \epsilon_i \xi_i; \quad \xi_i(\tau + 1/T) = -\xi_i(\tau)
\]

(10)

The eigenvalues \( \epsilon_i \) could be obtained using the following procedure. First, a spectrum \( \{\alpha_q\} \) of the quasi-energies (Floquet indices) of the Matsubara time-dependent Hamiltonian Eq. (3) is found. The Floquet indices label 'Bloch' solutions of the corresponding Dirac like equation with \( \tau \)-periodic potential \( M(\tau) \) in (3):

\[
(\partial\tau + \hat{H}_M)\tilde{\psi}_\alpha = 0, \quad \tilde{\psi}_\alpha(\tau + 1/T) = e^{-\alpha q}\tilde{\psi}_\alpha(\tau).
\]

(11)

Provided the 'Bloch' functions \( \tilde{\psi}_\alpha \) and indices \( \alpha_q \) are known, the eigenvalues follow: \( \epsilon_{m,q} = \epsilon(2m + 1)\pi/T + \alpha_q \), enabling antiperiodicity of the fermionic eigenfunctions in (10), that are constructed as: \( \tilde{\xi}_{m,q} = \exp\{i(2m + 1)\pi\tau/T + \alpha_q\tau/T\}\tilde{\psi}_\alpha \). Calculating product \( \prod_{m,q} \epsilon_{m,q} \) in the partition function in Eq. (6) one finds:

\[
Z = \int D\bar{\psi}(\tau) e^{-\int dt\bar{\psi}(\tau)(\partial\tau + \hat{H}_M)\psi(\tau) / 2T}
\]

(12)

where \( S_M \) is the bare Gaussian action of the Hubbard-Stratonovich fields \( M_q(\tau) \) expressed by the last sum in Eq. (7). Then, QOP is a periodic function \( M(\tau) \) that obeys Eqs. (8), (9) and extremizes the total action, being a saddle-point of the path integral (12) in the functional space of HS fields:

\[
\delta M(\tau) \left\{ S_M - \sum_q \ln \left\{ \cosh \left( \frac{\alpha_q}{2} \right) \right\} \right\} = 0
\]

(13)

The self-consistency equation is readily derived from (13) using the first-order perturbation theory:

\[
T\partial M(\tau)\alpha_q = \psi_q(\tau)\{\partial M(\tau)\hat{H}_M\}\psi_q(\tau)
\]

(14)

where a normalization condition is assumed:

\[
\int_0^\beta d\tau\psi_q(\tau)\psi_q(\tau) = 1
\]

(15)

Substituting this condition and Eq. (14) into Eq. (13) one obtains:

\[
\frac{M(\tau)}{U} = \sum_q \tanh \left( \frac{\alpha_q}{2} \right) \psi_q(\tau)\{\partial M(\tau)\hat{H}_M\}\psi_q(\tau)
\]

(16)

Rather nontrivial functional equation Eq. (16), where we have dropped the indices \( \gamma = 1,2 \) of \( M(\tau) \), has to be solved in each point of the Matsubara time interval \( [0,1/T] \).

Resuming consideration of the Dirac-type equation (11) with Hamiltonian matrix given by Eq. (3) we gauge out the 'antinesting' part of the dispersion, \( t_q \), by "rotation" in Matsubara time:

\[
\tilde{\psi} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} u_+ \\ u_- \end{pmatrix} = e^{-\tau t_q} \tilde{\phi} \equiv e^{-\tau t_q} \begin{pmatrix} g_+ \\ g_- \end{pmatrix}
\]

(17)

After that the famous "nesting" symmetry\(^\text{15}\) \( \epsilon_+ = -\epsilon_- \) is restored in the resulting Dirac-type equation:

\[
(\partial\tau + \epsilon_+ M(\tau) - \epsilon_-) \tilde{\phi}_q(\tau) = 0
\]

(18)

Thus, Floquet indices in the representation of 'rotated' spinor \( \tilde{\phi}_q(\tau) \) become shifted:

\[
\alpha_q = T^{-1}t_q + \tilde{\alpha}_q; \quad \tilde{\phi}_q(\tau + 1/T) = e^{-\tilde{\alpha}_q}\tilde{\phi}_q(\tau).
\]

(19)

We shall see below from exact solution, that the indices \( \tilde{\alpha}_q \) occur in plus-minus pairs, obeying the symmetry relation:

\[
\tilde{\alpha}(\epsilon_q) = -\tilde{\alpha}(\epsilon_q)
\]

(20)

Next, we imply an electron-hole symmetry of the bare spectrum \( \epsilon_q \) and combine it with the symmetry relation (20). As a result, in the representation of \( \tilde{\alpha}_q \), Euclidean action from Eq. (12) acquires the following form allowing for identity \( \cosh(x+y) = \cosh(x) + \cosh(y)/2 \):

\[
S^Q = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_q \ln \left[ \cosh(\tilde{\alpha}_q) + \cosh(t_q/T) \right] + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{2} \frac{P^2_{\gamma}}{4U_{\gamma}}
\]

(21)

where \( U_{\gamma} \) stands for parameters \( U_{\gamma} \) in (7), but properly renormalized by the volume of the system, that is tacitly involved in the summation over momenta \( \sum_q \) over the
fermionic states in the Brillouin zone. Here we have also introduced notations for ‘mean square orders’:

$$P^2_\gamma = T \int_0^{1/T} M^2_\gamma(\tau) d\tau$$  \hspace{1cm} (22)

First, we are going to prove the ‘no-go’ theorem for the action (21) for two general classes of HS fields: 1) $M_2(\tau) =$ const, and 2) $M_1(\tau) + iM_2(\tau) \equiv M(\tau)e^{i\phi}$ with $\phi =$ const and $M(\tau)$ real function, see Fig.1: Namely, we are going to demonstrate that in the both cases Euclidean actions (e.g. free energies) depends merely on the difference between their Floquet spectra $\tilde{\alpha}_q$ and $\sqrt{\sum_q P^2_\gamma + \epsilon^2_q}/T$. The key of our proof is the demonstration that any Matsubara time-dependent order parameter QOP has Floquet spectrum $\tilde{\alpha}_q < \sqrt{\sum_q P^2_\gamma + \epsilon^2_q}/T$ for all $q$. Hence, corresponding QOP free energy is greater than that of COP and, consequently, the QOP state is metastable.

Consider case 1) corresponding to $M_2 =$ const and $M_1(\tau)$ periodic with period $1/T$ along the Matsubara time axis. After an extra unitary transformation:

$$f_\pm = (2)^{-1/2}(g_+ \pm g_-)$$  \hspace{1cm} (24)

the corresponding Floquet equation for the ‘spinor’ $\tilde{\phi}(\tau)$ defined in Eq. (17) is readily obtained from (18), allowing for the definition (22):

$$\left(\partial^2_\tau - Q_\pm(\tau) - \epsilon^2_q - P^2_\gamma\right)f_\pm = 0; \hspace{1cm} (25)$$

$$Q_\pm(\tau) = M_1(\tau)^2 \mp \partial_\tau M_1(\tau) \hspace{1cm} (26)$$

Rewriting equation (25) in the following equivalent form and integrating over one period along the Matsubara time axis, $1/T$, we obtain:

$$T \int_0^{1/T} \frac{\partial^2 f_\pm(\tau)}{f_\pm(\tau)} d\tau = T \int_0^{1/T} \left[ Q_\pm(\tau) + \epsilon^2_q + P^2_\gamma \right] d\tau \hspace{1cm} (27)$$

Right hand side of Eq. (27) can be simplified using definitions of $Q(\tau)$ in Eq. (26) and $P^2_\gamma$ in Eq. (22), together with periodicity condition (8). Simultaneously, the left hand side of Eq. (27) could be directly expressed via $\tilde{\alpha}_q$ using Eq. (19) in the form: $f_\pm(\tau) = e^{-\tilde{\alpha}_q T \theta_\pm(\tau)}$ with $\theta_\pm(\tau + 1/T) = \theta_\pm(\tau)$. Thus, after straightforward manipulations, Eq. (27) acquires an equivalent form:

$$T \int_0^{1/T} \frac{\partial^2 f_\pm(\tau)}{f_\pm(\tau)} d\tau = (\tilde{\alpha}_q T)^2 - 2\tilde{\alpha}_q T^2 \int_0^{1/T} \frac{\theta_\pm(\tau)}{\theta_\pm(\tau)} d\tau + T \int_0^{1/T} \frac{\theta_\pm(\tau)}{\theta_\pm(\tau)} d\tau = \epsilon^2_q + \sum_\alpha P^2_\alpha. \hspace{1cm} (28)$$

Integrating by parts in the left hand side of (28) and allowing for the periodicity of function $\theta_\pm(\tau)$ one finds:

$$(\tilde{\alpha}_q T)^2 = -T \int_0^{1/T} \frac{\partial^2 f_\pm(\tau)}{f_\pm(\tau)} d\tau + \epsilon^2_q + \sum_\alpha P^2_\alpha \leq \epsilon^2_q + \sum_\alpha P^2_\alpha \hspace{1cm} (29)$$

Since both the Floquet indices and Bloch functions in Eqs. (25) are real (see below), the equality in Eq. (29)
could be achieved only in the COP case:

\[ T \int_0^{1/T} \frac{\partial^2 \tau(\tau)}{\partial^2 \tau(\tau)} d\tau = 0; \quad \theta(\tau) = \text{const} \quad (30) \]

Hence, we had proven that Euclidean action (free energy) of any QOP (thermodynamic quantum time crystal) state, \( S^Q \), is always higher than that of the COP state, \( S^C \), and therefore, QOP state is metastabile.

A proof for the second class of thermodynamic quantum time crystals, i.e.: \( M_1(\tau) + i M_2(\tau) = M(\tau) e^{i\phi} \) with \( \phi = \text{const} \) and \( M(\tau) \) real function, is trivially reduced to the case 1 considered above by the following transformation of the 'spinor' \( \phi(\tau) \) in Eq. (18):

\[ \tilde{\phi}(\tau) \equiv \left( \begin{array}{c} g_+ \\ g_- \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} e^{i\phi/2} \tilde{g}_+ \\ e^{-i\phi/2} \tilde{g}_- \end{array} \right) \quad (31) \]

Then, Eq. (18) with \( M(\tau) e^{+i\phi} \) functions in the place of functions \( M(\tau), M^*(\tau) \), transforms into the following equation:

\[ \left( \begin{array}{cc} \partial_\tau + \epsilon_q & M(\tau) \\ M(\tau) & \partial_\tau - \epsilon_q \end{array} \right) \tilde{\phi}(\tau) = 0 \quad (32) \]

with real function \( M(\tau) \). Here \( \tilde{\phi}^T \equiv \{ \tilde{g}_+, \tilde{g}_- \} \). Now, substituting \( M_1(\tau) \rightarrow M(\tau) \) and \( M_2(\tau) \equiv 0 \) everywhere in the above proof in case 1, as we arrive also at the proof of the statement \( S^Q > S^C \) also for the case 2 considered in this section. Next, introducing also superconducting order parameter \( \Delta_q \) of a d-wave symmetric type in momentum space (e.g. relevant for high-Tc cuprates) we prove metastabilility of thermodynamic quantum time crystals also in the case of multiple (competing) charge, spin and superconducting symmetry breaking orders described by the \( 4 \times 4 \) matrix in the 'bispinor' space\(^{16}\)

\[ \tilde{\Psi}^T \equiv \{ u_+, u_-, v_+, v_- \} : \]

\[ \partial_\tau \tilde{\Psi} + \left( \begin{array}{cccc} \epsilon_q & M & 0 & \Delta_q \\ M^* & -\epsilon_q & 0 & -\Delta_q \\ \Delta_q^* & 0 & -\epsilon_q & M \\ 0 & -\Delta_q^* & M^* & \epsilon_q \end{array} \right) \tilde{\Psi} = 0 \quad (33) \]

It is straightforward now to reduce Hamiltonian matrix in (33) to two block-matrices \( 2 \times 2 \) of the kind (18) by imposing the following linear relations between bispinor components\(^{16}\): \( v_\pm = \gamma_\pm u_\mp \) with constant coefficients:

\[ |\gamma_\pm| = 1; \quad \gamma_- = -\gamma_+ \Delta^*/\Delta \quad (34) \]

This reduces Eq. (33) to the two equations of the kind Eq. (18), but with different composite order parameters \( M_\pm \):

\[ M_+ = M + \gamma_+ \Delta; \quad M_- = (\gamma_-/\gamma_+ + \Delta^*/\gamma_+)^* \quad (35) \]

Hence, our proof of the metastability of the thermodynamic quantum time crystals presented above applies also in the cases of coexisting spin-, charge and superconducting orders entering Hamiltonian in Eq. (33). Depending on what condition of the considered cases 1) or 2) apply to the composite complex order parameters \( M_\pm \) in Eq. (35), the corresponding version of the 'no-go' theorem stays.

Finally, we discuss analytic thermodynamic quantum time crystal solution obtained by one of us earlier\(^{5–7}\), that provides direct demonstration of the workings of 'no-go' theorem presented above and a 'pseudo-gap' thermodynamic behaviour. Comparing Eqs.(25) and (26) with the well known solitonic-lattice equations for the Peierls/polycetylene\(^{17–20}\) and one-dimensional Hubbard model\(^{16}\) we conclude, that due to replacement of the space coordinate with imaginary Matsubara's time \( \tau \) equation (25) differs from the solitonic-lattice equations only by the opposite sign in front of the square dispersion \( \epsilon_q^2 \). Using this similarity one finds\(^{5–7}\) QOP \( M_1(\tau) \), that obeys self-consistency equation (16):

\[ M_1(\tau) = 4nKTk_1 \text{sn} \left( 4nKT \tau; k_1 \right), \quad K = K(k_1) \quad (36) \]

Here \( \text{sn}(\tau, k_1) \) is the Jacobi snoidal elliptic function, with period \( 1/nT \) commensurate with the main period \( 1/T: \quad M_1(\tau) = M_1(\tau+1/nT) \), integer \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \) counts number of instanton - anti-instanton pairs. For simplicity, we consider here the case 1) of 'no-go' theorem with \( M_2 \equiv 0 \). Simultaneously, the Floquet indices spectrum \( \alpha_q \) takes the form\(^5\):

\[ \alpha_q = 2\tilde{\epsilon}_q \left( \frac{1 - k^2 + \tilde{\epsilon}_q^2}{1 + \tilde{\epsilon}_q^2} \right)^{1/2} n\Pi \left( \frac{k^2 - \tilde{\epsilon}_q^2}{1 + \tilde{\epsilon}_q^2} ; k_1 \right) \quad (37) \]

Accordingly, \( \Pi(m, k) \) and \( K(k_1) \) are elliptic integrals of the third and first kind respectively, and \( k \) is Landen
transformed parameter $k_1$ from (36):
\[
\hat{\epsilon}_q \equiv \frac{\epsilon_q}{2TnK(k)}; \quad k = 2\sqrt{k_1/(1+k_1)}; \quad k'^2 = 1-k^2 \quad (38)
\]
Hence, (37) proves the symmetry relation (20). The Jacobi function from Eq. (36) transforms the QOP self-consistency equation (16) into algebraic equation for parameters $k, n$:
\[
\sum_q \left[ \tanh \frac{\alpha_q}{2} \right] \frac{\epsilon_q}{\{(\epsilon_q^2 + \Delta_T^2)/(\epsilon_q^2 + k'^2\Delta_T^2)\}^{1/2}} = \frac{1}{U} \quad (39)
\]
\[
\alpha_q = T^{-1}t_q + \hat{\alpha}_q; \quad \Delta_T \equiv 2TnK(k) \quad (40)
\]
Result (37) is remarkable. Namely, compare expressions (21) and (23) under condition\(^a\):
\[
P_1^2 = P_2^2 \equiv (2nK(k)T)^2 \left( 1 + k'^2 - 2\frac{E(k)}{K(k)} \right), \quad (41)
\]
while: $P_1^2 = P_2^2 = 0$. Then, partition function in (21) maps the QOP state on the fermi gas with effective dispersion $\varepsilon_{eff}(q) = T\hat{\alpha}_q$ of the manifestly pseudo-gap type, while (23) possesses dispersion $\varepsilon_{eff}(q) = \pm \sqrt{P_1^2 + \epsilon_q^2}$ with the usual Peierls-type gap in the density of states $g$. Comparison of the corresponding densities of states $g(\varepsilon_{eff}(q)) = (\partial \varepsilon_{eff}(q)/\partial \epsilon_q)^{-1}$ in Fig. 3 makes then our ‘no-go’ theorem rather obvious, as the energy gain of the fermions in the gapped state is manifestly greater than in the pseudo-gap state at the same temperature. Simultaneously, a comparison between the curves enumerated as (1)-(3) in Figs. 2,3 indicates that instantonic crystals/thermodynamic quantum time crystals with more rectangular shape (i.e. $k \to 1$) of Jacoby snoidal function (36), see curves (1),(2) in Fig.2, create deeper pseudogap in the density of the Floquet states in Fig. 3, while instantonic crystals of sine-like shape, see curve (3) in Fig. 2, create shallow pseudogap in the density of the Floquet states according to curve (3) in Fig. 3.
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