Correlation enhancement of high-order harmonics generation in Xe
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We consider the process of high-order harmonics generation (HHG) in the xenon atom enhanced by the inter-shell correlation between the valence 5p and inner 4d shells. We derive the HHG spectrum from a numerical solution of the one-electron time-dependent Schrödinger equation multiplied by the enhancement factor taken as the photoionization cross-sections ratio calculated with and without the inter-shell correlation. Such a simplified approach is adequate to describe the experimental HHG spectrum reported by Shiner et al. [Nat. Phys. 7, 464 (2011)] generated by a single color IR laser. Similarly, we find good agreement when applied to the two-color driven HHG spectra reported by Faccialé et al. [Phys. Rev. Lett. 117, 093902 (2016)].

PACS numbers: 32.80.Rm, 32.80.Fb, 42.50.Hz

I. INTRODUCTION

The inter-shell correlation in atomic photoionization is a well documented phenomenon [1]. Instead of directly removing an electron from a designated atomic shell, the photon can be absorbed by an adjacent, inner or outer, shell. The photoelectron then either scatters inelastically on the ionized core, or recombines with its parent ion. In the latter, the released energy is transferred to remove an electron from said atomic shell. Such an inter-shell correlation can modify very considerably the photoionization cross-sections ratio calculated with and without the inter-shell correlation. Such a simplified approach is adequate to describe the experimental HHG spectrum reported by Shiner et al. [Nat. Phys. 7, 464 (2011)] generated by a single color IR laser. Similarly, we find good agreement when applied to the two-color driven HHG spectra reported by Faccialé et al. [Phys. Rev. Lett. 117, 093902 (2016)].

In the more recent measurements [4, 5], the correlation enhanced HHG spectrum of Xe was recorded using the two-color $\omega/2\omega$ IR laser field. This spectrum was systematically studied as a function of the relative $\omega/2\omega$ phase which allowed for a tunable enhancement of a narrow spectral range to be overlapped with the giant resonance of Xe. Comparison was made with the solution of the time-dependent Schrödinger equation (TDSE) expanded on the specially designed configuration-interaction basis (the so-called time-dependent configuration-interaction singles - TDCIS) [15]. As expected, the HHG spectral range of the giant resonance was greatly amplified, both by the phase tuning and the inter-shell correlation. Agreement with the TDCIS calculation was achieved only when the inter-shell correlation between the 5p, 5s and 4d shells was taken into account. However, there was a noticeable disagreement around 63 eV photon energy discussed both in [4] and [5] and attributed to interference between the various photoelectron trajectories recombining with the parent ion from the opposite sides. It was concluded that this interference was blurred in the experiment due to a likely spatial and temporal averaging effects.

In the present work, we consider both the single-color and two-color driven HHG spectra of Xe within the same theoretical model. We derive the HHG spectrum from a numerical solution of the one-electron TDSE multiplied by the enhancement factor. This factor is taken
as the PICS ratio calculated with and without the 5p/4d inter-shell correlation. We find this approach to work for both the single-color driven HHG as was previously documented [3], and the two-color field with the additional phase dependency introduced.

II. METHODS

A. One-electron TDSE and HHG spectrum

As previously [19] [20], we solve the one-electron TDSE for a target atom

\[ i\hbar \frac{\partial \Psi(r, t)}{\partial t} = \left[ \hat{H}_{\text{atom}} + \hat{H}_{\text{int}}(t) \right] \Psi(r, t), \]

where the radial part of the atomic Hamiltonian

\[ \hat{H}_{\text{atom}}(r) = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{l(l + 1)}{2r^2} + V(r) \]

contains an effective one-electron potential \( V(r) \) (Kr [21], Xe [20]).

The Hamiltonian \( \hat{H}_{\text{int}}(t) \) describes interaction with the external field and is written in the velocity gauge

\[ \hat{H}_{\text{int}}(t) = A(t) \cdot \hat{p}, \quad E(t) = -\partial A(t)/\partial t. \]

This external field is given by

\[ A_z(t, \alpha, \phi) = -A_z \alpha f(t)[\sin \omega t + \alpha \sin(2\omega t + \phi)]. \]

The \( f(t) \) is a Gaussian envelope of the form given in [22]. In the one-color case (\( \alpha = 0 \)) we set these parameters to correspond to a 1800 nm pulse of peak intensity \( 1.8 \times 10^{14} \text{ W/cm}^2 \) and FWHM approximately 8.8 fs with respect to this intensity. For the two-color case we take the \( \omega \) (1550 nm) field to be of FWHM 25 fs with \( 7 \times 10^{13} \text{ W/cm}^2 \) peak intensity, and the electric field strength of the \( 2\omega \) (775 nm) to be 0.4 of that of the primary.

Once the time-dependent wave function \( \Psi(r, t) \) is obtained, the induced dipole moment in the length and acceleration forms can be calculated [23]:

\[ d_L(t) = \langle \Psi(t)|z|\Psi(t) \rangle \]

\[ d_A(t) = \langle \Psi(t)|-dV(r)/dz + E(t)|\Psi(t) \rangle \]

where \( z \) is the displacement along the laser polarisation axis. The HHG power spectra can be obtained by the Fourier transformation of time-dependent dipole moment, it is expressed as

\[ P_L(\omega) \propto \omega^4 \left| \int_{-T/2}^{T/2} d_L(t) e^{-i\omega t} dt \right|^2 \]

\[ P_A(\omega) \propto \omega^2 \left| \int_{-T/2}^{T/2} d_A(t) e^{-i\omega t} dt \right|^2 \]

for a pulse of length \( T \). Typically the dipole acceleration form, when applicable, is preferred as it is not influenced by flux far from the origin, and hence is more rapidly convergent. This is the case for our calculations and accordingly, what we use.

It should also be noted that theoretical spectra as derived above exhibit sharp oscillations over several orders of magnitude at an energy resolution unattainable by experiment. Consequently, for comparison, filtering techniques are applied to the theoretical spectrum designed to mimic experimental detection. For this purpose we choose Gaussian convolution of the form

\[ P_S(\omega) = \frac{\int_0^\infty P(\omega') e^{-\sigma(\omega-\omega')^2} d\omega'}{\int_0^\infty e^{-\sigma(\omega-\omega')^2} d\omega'}, \]

with \( \sigma = 0.01 \). In practice, the upper integration bound is the highest photon energy in the theoretical spectrum. The effect of such convolution is demonstrated for our single color Kr calculation in Fig. 1.

FIG. 1: (Color online) Comparison between the raw theoretical HHG spectrum [3] (dashed black line) and that smoothed via Gaussian convolution [9] (blue solid line). The spectra in particular are for the single color case on Kr.

It should also be noted that comparison with experiment is further complicated by macroscopic propagation effects through the medium whereas theory typically provides only the single atom response. Accordingly however, experiments are conducted with thin targets to minimize such macroscopic effects [3].

B. Inter-shell correlation

Random phase approximation with exchange (RPAE) has long been used to treat inter-shell correlations in noble gas atoms [1]. In this approximation, the two inter-shell correlation processes are considered: recombination and inelastic electron scattering. These processes contain the direct and exchange matrix elements of the Coulomb interaction which is highlighted in the acronym RPAE. The photoionization amplitude calculated in the RPAE contains the three terms shown graphically in Fig. [2].
III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Enhancement factor

The correlation enhancement factor is calculated as the ratio

$$\rho(\omega) = \frac{\sigma_z^{5p/4d}(\omega)}{\sigma_z^{5p}(\omega)} ,$$  

(10)

where $\sigma_z^{5p/4d}$ refers to the polarization direction PICS calculated with the account for the 5p/4d inter-shell correlation whereas $\sigma_z^{5p}(\omega)$ is calculated without such a correlation. The non-correlated RRPA, RPAE and RTDDFT calculations employ different one-electron basis sets. These bases are not necessarily commensurate with the one-electron TDSE. Even though we selected the one-electron potential in Eq. (1) carefully, an additional test is needed to make sure we can apply various correlation enhancement factors to the TDSE generated HHG spectrum. In this test, we compare the angular anisotropy $\beta$ parameters derived from non-correlated RRPA and RKS calculations. We further compare with the parameters resulting from our choice of Xe pseudopotential (labelled TDSE) obtained from the angular dependence of the photoelectron spectrum as described in [19]. Results of all these calculations are shown in Fig. 3. Even though numerically the $\beta$ parameters differ, the general trend of their energy dependence is very similar in all the calculations.

In Fig. 4 we present the polarization direction PICS enhanced by the correlation $\sigma_z^{5p/4d}$ returned by different calculations, RRPA, RPAE and RTDDFT, and compare them with the experimental values collated in [8]. It appears that the RRPA calculation is closest to the experiment.

Finally, we proceed with the correlation enhancement factor calculation. This factor is displayed in Fig. 5.
As the first test of our computational procedure we calculate the HHG spectrum of Kr, as is unaffected by the correlation enhancement. We make a comparison with the experiment [3] [14] and the $R$-matrix calculation [16]. The calculated spectrum derived from Eqs. (1), (6) and (8) and smoothed by a Gaussian convolution (9) is shown by the green dashed line in the top frame of Fig. 6. This compares favourably both with the experiment [3] (green line) and against an analogous $R$-matrix calculation [16] (blue dashed line). This is in particularly true for the location of the spectral cut-off albeit the relative magnitude of the experiment is marginally higher. All three datasets have approximately equal predictions for the Cooper minimum.

To test our enhancement procedure, we calculate single color HHG spectrum from Xe. Our results are given in the bottom frame of Fig. 6 as enhanced (red dot-dashed line) and otherwise (green dashed line) and compared again with experiment [3] (black solid line) and $R$-matrix calculation [16] (blue dashed line). Additionally we include the QRS 25 Torr dataset from Fig. 3c of [10] given for peak intensity $2 \times 10^{14}$ W/cm$^2$. This latter approach includes macroscopic effects introducing dependencies on the focusing position and gas pressure. Of the QRS calculations presented [9, 10] it is this which appears to have best agreement with the Shiner experiment. Our reproduction of the giant resonance is an improvement over the RMT method. However, both of these approaches exhibit a broader tail at high energies than that seen in the experiment. Conversely, the QRS method places the resonance well in-line with the experiment but with an altogether sharper dependency.
C. Two color

We now look to calculate the two-color HHG spectra of Xe presented in [4]. The present TDSE calculated HHG spectra, both raw and enhanced by the RRPA $5p/4d$ intershell correlation, are displayed in the middle and bottom frames of Fig. 7 respectively. They are drawn as 2D false color plots in the energy and relative phase $\phi$ coordinates. The top frame of Fig. 7 exhibits the experiment from [5]. We find solid qualitative agreement between our results and that of the experiment, and as desired, applying the enhancement ratio appropriately magnifies the caustic in the cut-off region. The most notable difference is the differing phase at which the low energy maxima occurs, being approximately $\phi = 0.75\pi$ in the experiment and our theory predicting closer to $0.10\pi$ below this. Additionally the experiment exhibits a much stronger relative background across phases than what we see in our calculation. This being a feature similarly found in their comparison with TDCIS theory [4].

A more detailed comparison is made in Fig. 8 where the phase maximum of the spectra shown in Fig. 7 is traced ($\max_{\phi} P_A$). On the top panel we display the TDSE calculation compared with the uncorrelated ($5p$ only) TDCIS theory. Here we find the observed trend to be essentially equivalent bar minor features. On the bottom panel comparison is made of between our RRPA enhanced spectra and the correlated TDCIS ($5p, 4d, 5s$) as well as the experiment. Again we find very solid agreement between all three datasets. Most notably, we did not find a noticeable disagreement between our calculation and the experiment around 63 eV photon energy which is visible in the TDCIS calculation.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We performed simulations of HHG spectra from Xe in both one- and two-color fields from the numerical solution of the one-electron TDSE and account for the effects of intershell correlation as a ratio of photoionization cross-sections. In both cases we find strong agreement between our results and those of experiment and to either be an improvement, or equivalently accurate, to those of more advanced theories. This is attributed to the equivalence of the recombination process in the production of HHG to the time reversal of photoionization and accordingly find the correlation effects to behave similarly, even when the dynamics is complicated significantly by the introduction of the secondary field. Such an observation suggests further aspects of two-color HHG production can be efficiently and effectively studied through the lens of correlated photoionization.

To this end, we will next apply our techniques to other atomic systems where the HHG process is enhanced by inter-shell correlation and giant resonances. One such system is atomic manganese where a giant autoionization resonance due to transition from the $3p$ to a partially filled $3d$ shell enhances strongly photoionization and photoionization from the outer valence shell. This enhancement is recorded in the HHG spectrum accordingly [20].
FIG. 8: (Color online) The maximum HHG yield of Xe with phase for a given photon energy ($\max_P \phi_P$). Top: Our raw TDSE compared with the 5p only TDCIS theory \cite{4}. Bottom: Enhanced TDSE result compared with the correlated TDCIS theory and experimental measurements \cite{4}.
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