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Abstract

There are two ways to define the Swan conductor of an abelian character of the absolute Galois group of a complete discrete valuation field. We prove that these two Swan conductors coincide.

1 Introduction

1.1. Let $K$ be a complete discrete valuation field, let $\bar{K}$ be a separable closure of $K$, and let $\chi: \text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K) \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ be a homomorphism which factors through $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ for a finite cyclic extension $L \subset \bar{K}$ of $K$. There are two definitions of the Swan conductor of $\chi$, one is defined by using the logarithmic upper ramification filtration on $\text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$ defined geometrically ([1]), and the other is defined by using the filtrations on the unit groups of complete discrete valuation fields and cup products in Galois cohomology ([6]).

We prove that the two Swan conductors coincide.

1.2. We briefly review the two Swan conductors, which we denote in this paper by $\text{Sw}(\chi)$ and $\text{Sw}_{\text{ab}}(\chi)$, respectively.

$\text{Sw}(\chi)$ is defined as follows. There is a decreasing filtration $\text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)^s_{\text{log}}$ indexed by $s \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$ on $\text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$ by closed normal subgroups called the logarithmic upper ramification groups. $\text{Sw}(\chi)$ is defined to be the smallest $t \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$ such that $\chi(\text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)^s_{\text{log}}) = \{1\}$ for all $s > t$. (Such $t$ exists.) See [1, Theorem 3.16] and Section 4.

$\text{Sw}_{\text{ab}}(\chi)$ is defined as follows. Fix an injection $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{C}^\times$, say $r \mapsto \exp(2\pi \sqrt{-1}r)$, and identify $\chi$ with an element of $H^1(K, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) = H^2(K, \mathbb{Z})$. Then the cup-product with $\chi$ defines a homomorphism $K^\times \to \text{Br}(K)$, denoted by $a \mapsto \{\chi, a\}$, where $\text{Br}(K) = H^2(K, \mathbb{G}_m)$ is the Brauer group of $K$ ([13, Chapitre X.4]). $\text{Sw}_{\text{ab}}(\chi)$ is defined to be the smallest integer $n \geq 0$ such that $\{\chi, 1 + m_{K'} \}$ = 0 in $\text{Br}(K')$ for any extension $K \to K'$ of complete discrete valuation fields. (Such $n$ exists. Here $m_{\cdot}$ denote the maximal ideals.) See [7].
Theorem 1.3. \(Sw(\chi) = Sw_{ab}(\chi)\).

1.4. We will also prove the coincidence of refined Swan conductors. Let \(r = Sw(\chi) = Sw_{ab}(\chi)\) and assume \(r > 0\). Then we have non-zero elements

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{rsw}(\chi) & \in \bar{F} \otimes_F m_K^{-r}/m_K^{-r+1} \otimes_{O_K} \Omega^1_{O_K}(\log), \\
\text{rsw}_{ab}(\chi) & \in m_K^{-r}/m_K^{-r+1} \otimes_{O_K} \Omega^1_{O_K}(\log)
\end{align*}
\]

called the (logarithmic) refined Swan conductors. \(\text{rsw}(\chi)\) is defined in \([10]\) as a refined version of \(Sw(\chi)\) and \(\text{rsw}_{ab}(\chi)\) is defined in \([7]\) as a refined version of \(Sw_{ab}(\chi)\). The definition of \(\text{rsw}(\chi)\) is recalled in (4.16).

Theorem 1.5. \(\text{rsw}(\chi) = \text{rsw}_{ab}(\chi)\).

1.6. Theorem 1.3 was known, for example, in the following cases. (The cases (i) and (ii) follow from Section 6.1 of \([1]\) and from \([6]\). The case (iii) is shown in \([2, Corollary 9.12]\).) The case (ii) is proved by comparing Propositions 4.11 and 5.2.

In the cases (i) and (ii), the ring \(O_L\) is generated by a single element over \(O_K\) and such an extension is also studied in \([14]\).

(i) (The classical case.) The case the residue field of \(K\) is perfect.

(ii) The case where the residue field \(F\) of \(K\) is of characteristic \(p\) such that \([F : F^p] = p\) and \(\chi\) factors through \(\text{Gal}(L/K)\) for a finite cyclic extension \(L/K\) whose ramification index \(e(L/K)\) is one.

(iii) The case \(K\) is of positive characteristic.

In the positive characteristic case, the results corresponding to Theorems 1.3 and 1.5 in the non-logarithmic case are proved in \([2]\) and \([15]\).

1.7. Our method to prove Theorem 1.3 is to reduce it to the above case (ii) (not to the classical case (i)). In Theorem 3.1 we prove that for a finite cyclic extension \(L/K\) such that \(\chi\) factors through \(\text{Gal}(L/K)\), we can find an extension of complete discrete valuation fields \(K \rightarrow K'\) such that \(Sw(\chi_K') = e(L'/K')Sw(\chi), \ Sw_{ab}(\chi_K') = e(L'/K')Sw_{ab}(\chi)\), the residue field \(F'\) of \(K'\) satisfies \([F' : (F')^p] = p\), and \(e(LK'/K') = 1\). The refined Swan conductors play important roles to find the field \(K'\) above.

Theorem 1.5 is proved also by the reduction to the case (ii). The authors would like to thank an anonymous referee for pointing out that an almost the same result as a key step Proposition 4.11 is proved in \([5, Theorem 5.9]\).

One of the authors (K. K.) is partially supported by NSF Award 1601861 and (T. S.) is partially supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (A) 26247002.
2 On the theorem of Epp

The following theorem is not explicitly written in the paper [4] of Epp, but the arguments there (with a correction in [8] of an error in [4]) actually prove this.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let $K$ be a complete discrete valuation field whose residue field $F$ is of characteristic $p > 0$, and let $L$ be a finite Galois extension of $K$. Then there exists a finite extension $K'$ of $K$ satisfying the following conditions (i) and (ii).

(i) $e(LK'/K') = 1$.

(ii) The residue field of $K'$ is a separable extension of that of $K$.

In Theorem 2.1, we may take $K'$ separable over $K$, although we will not use this fact. To see this, it suffices to modify the construction of $K'=K(\pi')$ in the proof of the case where $K$ is of characteristic $p > 0$ and $T$ is not empty in 2.6.

We use the following 2.2, 2.3 and 2.4 for the proof of Theorem 2.1.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let $K$ be a complete discrete valuation field whose residue field $F$ is of characteristic $p > 0$. Let $k = \bigcap_{r \geq 0} F^p$ be the largest perfect subfield of $F$ and let $W(k) \mapsto \mathcal{O}_K$ be the canonical morphism from the ring of Witt vectors. Then the subring $\bigcap_{r \geq 0} (\mathcal{O}_K/p\mathcal{O}_K)^p \subset \mathcal{O}_K/p\mathcal{O}_K$ equals the image of $k \mapsto \mathcal{O}_K/p\mathcal{O}_K$. (In the case $K$ is of characteristic $p$, this means that $\bigcap_{r \geq 0} (\mathcal{O}_K)^p = k$.)

**Proof.** Let $A = \bigcap_{r \geq 0} (\mathcal{O}_K/p\mathcal{O}_K)^p$ denote the subring. Then, $A \subset \mathcal{O}_K/p\mathcal{O}_K$ contains the image of $k$ and the image of $A$ by $\mathcal{O}_K/p\mathcal{O}_K \rightarrow F$ is a subring of $k$. Hence, it follows from $A \cap (m_k/k)p\mathcal{O}_K = 0$. \qed

We do not give proofs of the following lemmas 2.3 and 2.4 which are straightforward.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let $K$ be a complete discrete valuation field of characteristic $p > 0$ and let $F$ be its residue field. Consider the Artin-Schreier extension $L = K(\alpha)$, $\alpha^p - \alpha = f \in K$. Let $\pi$ be a primitive element of $K$. Let $E$ be the residue field of $L$.

(1) If $f \in \mathcal{O}_K$, the extension $L/K$ is unramified, possibly trivial.

(2) Assume that $-\text{ord}_K f = n \geq 1$ is not divisible by $p$. Then $e(L/K) = p$ and $E = F$.

(3) Assume that $f \in u\pi^{-mp} + \pi^{-mp+1}\mathcal{O}_K$ for some integer $m \geq 1$ and for some $u \in \mathcal{O}_K$ whose residue class $\bar{u}$ does not belong to $F^p$. Then $E = F(\bar{u}^{1/p})$ and $e(L/K) = 1$.

**Lemma 2.4.** Let $K$ be a complete discrete valuation field of mixed characteristic $(0,p)$. Let $F$ be its residue field. Assume that $K$ contains a primitive $p$-th root $\zeta_p$ of 1. Consider the Kummer extension $L = K(\alpha)$, $\alpha^p = a \in K^\times$. Let $\pi$ be a prime element of $K$. Let $E$ be the residue field of $E$. 
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(1) If \( a \in 1 + (\zeta_p - 1)^p \mathcal{O}_K \), the extension \( L/K \) is unramified, possibly trivial.

(2) Assume that \( \text{ord}_K(a) \) is not divisible by \( p \). Then \( e(L/K) = p \) and \( E = F \).

(3) Assume that \( a \in (\mathcal{O}_K)^\times \) and that the residue class \( \bar{a} \) of \( a \) is not contained in \( F^p \). Then \( E = F(\bar{a}^{1/p}) \) and \( e(L/K) = 1 \).

(4) Assume that \( a \in (1 + \pi^n u)(1 + \pi^{n+1} \mathcal{O}_K) \) for some integer \( n \) which is not divisible by \( p \) such that \( 1 \leq n < e_K p/(p - 1) \) and for some \( u \in (\mathcal{O}_K)^\times \). Then \( e(L/K) = p \) and \( E = F \).

(5) Assume that \( a \in (1 + \pi^m p u)(1 + \pi^{m+1} \mathcal{O}_K) \) for some integer \( m \) such that \( 1 \leq n = mp < e_K p/(p - 1) \) and for some \( u \in \mathcal{O}_K \) whose residue class \( \bar{u} \) does not belong to \( F^p \). Then \( E = F(\bar{u}^{1/p}) \) and \( e(L/K) = 1 \).

2.5. We start the proof of Theorem 2.1.

First, we reduce the theorem in the case (\( * \)) below. Let \( K_1 \subset L \) be the maximum tamely ramified extension of \( K \). Then, since \( LK_1 = L \) and the residue field of \( K_1 \) is a separable extension of that of \( K \), we may assume that \( \text{Gal}(L/K) \) equals the inertia subgroup \( I \) and is a \( p \)-group.

We prove the reduction by induction on the order of \( I \). We may assume that \( L \neq K \). Then, since \( \text{Gal}(L/K) \) is nilpotent, there exists a subextension \( L' \subset L \) such that \( L' \) is a Galois extension over \( K \) and that \( L \) is a cyclic extension of \( L' \) of degree \( p \). By induction hypothesis, there exists a finite extension \( K' \) of \( K \) such that \( e(L/K_1')/e(K/K_1') = 1 \) and satisfying (ii). If \( e(LK_1'/L/K_1') = 1 \), there is nothing to prove. Otherwise, for the maximum unramified extension \( K_2' \) of \( K_1' \) inside \( M_1' = L/K_1' \), the extensions \( K_2' \subset M_1' \subset LK_1' \) satisfies the condition (\( * \)).

(\( * \)) There exists a field \( M \) such that \( K \subset M \subset L \), \( e(M/K) = 1 \) and that \( L \) is a cyclic extension of \( M \) of degree \( p \) and \( e(L/M) = p \). The residue field \( E \) of \( M \) is a purely inseparable extension of the residue field \( F \) of \( K \).

2.6. We prove Theorem 2.1 in the case \( K \) is of characteristic \( p \). Let \( M \) be as in (\( * \)) in 2.5. We may assume \( M = E((\pi)) \) with \( \pi \) a prime element of \( K \). We can write

\[ L = M(\alpha) \]

where \( \alpha^p - \alpha = f = f_I + f_U \) with \( f_I, f_U \in M \) such that \( f_I = \sum_{n \in I} a_n \pi^{-n} \)

where \( I \) is a finite subset of \( \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \) and \( a_n \in E^\times \), and \( f_U \in \mathcal{O}_M \). By Lemma 2.3 (1) applied to the extension \( L/M, I \) is not empty because \( L/M \) is not unramified. .

In the following, we use the fact that for \( u, v \in M \) such that \( u \equiv v \mod \{w^p - w \mid w \in M\} \), the extension \( M(\beta) \), \( \beta^p - \beta = u \), of \( M \) is the same as that given by \( v \). If \( n \in I \) is divisible by \( p \) and \( a_n \in E^p \), we have \( a_n \pi^{-n} \equiv a_n^{1/p} \pi^{-n/p} \mod \{w^p - w \mid w \in M\} \) and hence we can replace \( a_n \pi^{-n} \) by \( a_n^{1/p} \pi^{-n/p} \). Hence we may (and do) assume that if \( n \in I \) is divisible by \( p \), then \( a_n \not\equiv \pi \).

Let \( S \) be the subset of \( I \) consisting of all \( n \in I \) such that \( a_n \in \bigcap_{r \geq 0} E^{F^r} = \bigcap_{r \geq 0} E^{F^{2r}} = k \), and let \( T = I \setminus S \). Note that if \( n \in S \), then \( n \) is not divisible by \( p \). By Lemma 2.2 we have \( a_n \in k \subset \mathcal{O}_K \) for \( n \in S \). Hence \( f_S \in K \).

Assume first \( T \) is empty. Then \( f_I = f_S \in K \). For \( K' = K(\alpha_S) \) with \( \alpha_S^p - \alpha_S = f_S \), the residue field of \( K' \) coincides with \( F \) by Lemma 2.3 (2) applied to \( K'/K \), and the extension \( LK'/MK' \) is unramified by Lemma 2.3 (1) applied to \( LK'/MK' \).
Assume that $T$ is not empty. For $n \in T$, write $a_n = b_n^{p^\prime(n)}$ where $b_n \in E$, $r(n) \geq 0$, and $b_n$ is not a $p$-th power in $E$. Take an integer $m$ such that $m > r(n)$ for any $n \in T$. For $n \in S$, write $a_n = b_n^{p^m}$ ($b_n \in k \cap \mathcal{O}_K$).

Let $K' = K(\pi')$ where $\pi'$ is a $p^m$-th root of $\pi$ and let $M' = MK'$, $L' = LK'$. Then

$$f_I \equiv f_S + f_T \mod \{w^p - w \mid w \in M\},$$

$$f_S = \sum_{n \in S} b_n(\pi')^{-n}, \quad f_T = \sum_{n \in T} b_n(\pi')^{-np^{m-r(n)}}.$$  

Note that $f_S \in \mathcal{k}(\{\pi')\) \subset K'$ by Lemma 2.2. Let $n_S := \max(S)$ and $n_T := \max\{np^{m-r(n)} \mid n \in T\}$. If $S$ is empty, we set $n_S = 1$ so that we have $n_S < n_T$. Since $n_S$ is not divisible by $p$ and $n_T$ is divisible by $p$, we have $n_S \neq n_T$. For the proof of Theorem 2.1, it is sufficient to prove the following Claim 1 and Claim 2.

**Claim 1.** If $n_S < n_T$, then $e(L'/M') = 1$.

**Claim 2.** If $n_S > n_T$, let $K'' = K'(\beta)$ where $\beta^p - \beta = f_S$ and let $M'' = MK''$, $L'' = LK''$. Then the residue field of $K''$ coincides with that of $K$ and $e(L''/M'') = 1$.

We first prove

**Claim 3.** There is a unique $n \in T$ such that $np^{m-r(n)} = n_T$.

We prove Claim 3. If $n, n' \in T$, $n > n'$ and $np^{m-r(n)} = np^{m-r(n')}$, then by $n = n'p^{r(n)-r(n')} > n'$, we have $p|n$. Hence $a_n \notin E^p$ and $r(n) = 0$. This contradicts to $r(n) > r(n')$.

Claim 1 follows from Claim 3 and Lemma 2.3 (3) applied to the extension $L'/M'$. We prove Claim 2. We have $e(K''/K') = p$ by Lemma 2.3 (2) applied to $K''/K'$. If $\tau$ denotes a prime element of $K''$, the residue class of the unit $\tau^p(\pi')^{-1}$ is a $p$-th power. Claim 2 follows from this and Claim 3, and from Lemma 2.3 (3) applied to the extension $L''/M''$.

**2.7.** We prove Theorem 2.1 in the case $K$ is of mixed characteristic $(0, p)$. We may assume that $K$ contains a primitive $p$-th root $z_P$ of $1$. Note that $\text{ord}_K(z_P - 1) = e_K/(p-1)$. Let $M$ be as in (*) in 2.3. We have $L = M(\alpha)$, $\alpha^p = a$ for $a \in M^\times$.

The proof consists of two steps. In Step 1, we show that we may assume $a \in 1 + p\mathcal{O}_M$. In Step 2, we give the proof assuming $a \in 1 + p\mathcal{O}_M$.

Let $E$ be the residue field of $M$ and take a ring homomorphism $E \to \mathcal{O}_M/p\mathcal{O}_M$ such that the induced map $E \to \mathcal{O}_M/m_M = E$ is the identity map, and its lifting $\iota : E \to \mathcal{O}_M$. Let $\pi$ be a prime element of $K$.

Step 1. Write $a \equiv c \prod_{n \in T} c_n \mod 1 + p\mathcal{O}_M$ where $T$ is a subset of $\{0, \ldots, e_M - 1\}$ and $c_n (n \in T)$ and $c$ are elements of $M^\times$ of the following form. If $0 \in T$, $c_0 = \iota(b)$ for some $b \in E$ such that $b \notin E^p$. If $n \in T$ and $n \geq 1$, $c_n = 1 + \pi^{m_\iota}(b)$ for some $b \in E$ such that $b \notin E^p$. The first term $c$ is a product of a power of $\pi$ and elements of the form $1 + \pi^{m_\iota}(b)$ with $b \in E^p$ for some integer $m \geq 0$.

Let $K' = K(\pi^1/p)$, $M' = MK'$, $L' = LK'$. Then we have $c \in ((M')^\wedge)^p(1 + p\mathcal{O}_M')$ since the map $x \mapsto x^p$ on $\mathcal{O}_M'/p\mathcal{O}_M'$ is a ring homomorphism. Hence if $T \neq \emptyset$, we
have \( e(L'/M') = 1 \) by Lemma 2.4 (3) and (5) applied to the extension \( L'/M' \). If 
\( T = \emptyset \), we also have \( L' = M'(\beta) \) with \( \beta^p \in 1 + pO_{M'} \). Thus, it is reduced to the case where \( L = M(\alpha) \), \( \alpha^p = a \) for \( a \in 1 + pO_M \).

Step 2. Assume \( L = M(\alpha) \), \( \alpha^p = a \in 1 + pO_M \). We have an isomorphism

\[
(\zeta_p - 1)^{-1}O_M/O_M \to (1 + pO_M)/((1 + (\zeta_p - 1)^pO_M)) ; \ x \mapsto 1 + (\zeta_p - 1)^px
\]

(from the additive group to the multiplicative group). This isomorphism maps \( x^p - x \) for \( x \in (\zeta_p - 1)^{-1}O_M \) such that \( x^p \in (\zeta_p - 1)^{-1}O_M \) to a \( p \)-th power because

\[
(1 + (\zeta_p - 1)x)^p \equiv 1 + (\zeta_p - 1)(x^p - x) \mod 1 + (\zeta_p - 1)^pO_M.
\]

Hence we have a situation similar to the theory of Artin-Schreier extension, and the rest of the proof, which is given below, is similar to the proof of the case where \( K \) is of characteristic \( p \) in 2.6.

We have \( a = a_Ia_U \) with \( a_I = 1 + \sum_{n \in I}((\zeta_p - 1)p^{n-1})I(a_n) \) where \( I \) is a subset of \( \{ n \in \mathbb{Z} | 1 \leq n \leq e_M/(p - 1) \} \) and \( a_n \in E^\times \) and \( a_U \in 1 + (\zeta_p - 1)^pO_M \). Note that we have \((\zeta_p - 1)p^{n-1} \in pO_M \) for \( n \in I \). By Lemma 2.4 (1) applied to the extension \( L/M, I \) is not empty. We may assume that if \( n \in I \) and \( n \) is divisible by \( p \), then \( a_n \) is not a \( p \)-th power in \( E \). Let \( k = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} F^{p^n} = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} E^{p^n}, S = \{ n \in I | a_n \in k \} \) and let \( T = I \setminus S \).

If \( T \) is empty, by Lemma 2.2 we have \( a_I \equiv c \mod (M^\times)^p \) where \( c = 1 + \sum_{n \in S}((\zeta_p - 1)p^{n-1})I(a_n) \) for the Teichmüller lifting \( [a_n] \in W(K^\times) \subset O_K^\times \). Let \( K' = K(c^{1/p}) \). Then the residue field of \( K' \) is \( F \) by Lemma 2.4 (4) applied to the extension \( K'/K \) and the residue field of \( K' \) is the same as that of \( K \), and the extension \( LK'/MK' \) is unramified by Lemma 2.4 (1) applied to \( LK'/MK' \).

Assume now that \( T \) is not empty. For \( n \in T \), define \( b_n \in E \setminus E^p \) and \( r(n) \geq 0 \) as in 2.6. Further take an integer \( m \) such that \( m > r(n) \) for any \( n \in T \) and \( b_n \in k \) for \( n \in S \) as in 2.6. Let \( K'' = K(\pi') \) where \( \pi' \) is a \( p^m \)-th root of \( \pi \) and let \( M' = MK' \), \( L' = LK' \). Then by Lemma 2.2

\[
a_I \equiv a_Sa_T \mod (1 + (\zeta_p - 1)^pO_M),
\]

\[
K'' \ni a_S = 1 + \sum_{n \in S}((\zeta_p - 1)p^{n-1})I(b_n), \quad a_T = 1 + \sum_{n \in T}((\zeta_p - 1)p^{n-1})I(b_n)
\]

where \([b_n] \in W(k)^\times \subset O_K^\times \) for \( n \in S \) is the Teichmüller lifting of \( b_n \). Let \( n_S := \max(S) \) and \( n_T := \max\{np^{m-r(n)} | n \in T \} \). Since \( n_S \) is not divisible by \( p \) and \( n_T \) is divisible by \( p \), we have \( n_S \neq n_T \). For the proof of Theorem 2.1 it is sufficient to prove the following Claim 1 and Claim 2.

**Claim 1.** If \( n_S < n_T \), then \( e(L'/M') = 1 \).

**Claim 2.** If \( n_S > n_T \), let \( K'' = K'(a_S^{1/p}) \). Then the residue field of \( K'' \) coincides with that of \( K \) and \( e(L''/M'') = 1 \) where \( L'' = LK'' \) and \( M'' = MK'' \).
We first prove

Claim 3. There is a unique \( n \in T \) such that \( np^{m-r(n)} = nT \).

The proof of Claim 3 is similar to that of Claim 3 in 2.6. Claim 1 follows from Claim 3 and Lemma 2.4 (5) applied to the extension \( L'/M' \). We prove Claim 2.

The residue field of \( K'' \) is \( F \) by Lemma 2.4 (4) applied to the extension \( K''/K' \) and we have \( e(K''/K') = p \). If \( \tau \) denotes a prime element of \( K'' \), the residue class of the unit \( \tau^p (\pi')^{-1} \) is a \( p \)-th power. Claim 2 follows from this and Claim 3 and from Lemma 2.4 (5) applied to the extension \( L''/M'' \).

3 Some extensions of complete discrete valuation fields

Theorem 3.1. Let \( K \) be a complete discrete valuation field whose residue field \( F \) is of characteristic \( p > 0 \). Let \( L/K \) be a finite Galois extension. Then there is an extension \( K'/K \) of complete discrete valuation fields satisfying the following conditions (i)–(iii). Let \( F' \) be the residue field of \( K' \).

(i) \( e(LK'/K') = 1 \).
(ii) \( [F'(F')^p] = p \).
(iii) The map \( \Omega^1_F(\log) \to \Omega^1_{F'}(\log) \) is injective. (Here and in the following \( \Omega^1_F(\log) = F \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \Omega^1_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\log) \).)

If \( F \) is finitely generated over a perfect subfield \( k \), we can replace (ii) by the following stronger condition (ii)’.

(ii)’ There is a perfect subfield \( k' \) of \( F' \) such that \( F' \) is finitely generated and of transcendence degree 1 over \( k' \).

We will deduce Theorem 3.1 from Theorem 2.1 and the following Propositions 3.2 and 3.3.

Proposition 3.2. Let \( K \) be a complete discrete valuation field whose residue field \( F \) is of characteristic \( p > 0 \). Let \( \pi \) be a prime element of \( K \), let \( \mathcal{O}_{K'} \) be the completion of the discrete valuation ring which is the local ring of \( \mathcal{O}_K[T, U^{\pm 1}]/(UT^p - \pi) \) at the prime ideal generated by \( T \), let \( K' \) be the field of fractions of \( \mathcal{O}_{K'} \), and let \( F' \) be the residue field of \( K' \). Then we have:

(i) The map \( \Omega^1_K(\log) \to \Omega^1_{K'}(\log) \) is injective.
(ii) The image of this map is contained in \( \Omega^1_{F'}(\log) \).

Proof. Straightforward. \( \square \)

Proposition 3.3. Let \( K \) be a complete discrete valuation field whose residue field \( F \) is of characteristic \( p > 0 \). Then there is an extension \( K \to K' \) of complete discrete valuation fields satisfying the following conditions (i)–(iii). Let \( F' \) be the residue field of \( K' \).
(i) $e(K'/K) = 1$.
(ii) $[F' : (F')^p] = p$.
(iii) The map $\Omega^1_F \to \Omega^1_{F'}$ is injective.

If $F$ is finitely generated over a perfect field $k$, we can replace (ii) by the following stronger condition (ii)'.

(ii)' There is a perfect subfield $k'$ of $F'$ such that $F'$ is finitely generated and of transcendence degree 1 over $k'$.

Proof. Let $(b_i)_{i \in I}$ be a lifting of a $p$-base of $F$ to $\mathcal{O}_K$. Let $A_0 = \mathcal{O}_K[T_i, U ; i \in I]$ be the polynomial ring and set $S_i = b_i - UT_i \in A_0$. The residue field $F_0$ of $A_0$ at the prime ideal $\mathfrak{m}_K$ is $F_0 = F(T_i, U ; i \in I)$ and $(S_i, T_i, U ; i \in I)$ is a $p$-base. For integers $n \geq 0$, writing $T_i = T_{i,0}$ and $S_i = S_{i,0}$, define $A_{n+1} = A_n[T_{i,n+1}, S_{i,n+1} ; i \in I]/(T_{i,n+1}^p - T_{i,n}, S_{i,n+1}^p - S_{i,n} ; i \in I)$ inductively and $A = \lim A_n$. Then $A$ is an integral domain, the ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of $A$ generated by $\mathfrak{m}_K$ is a prime ideal and the local ring $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a discrete valuation ring. Hence, the residue field $F'$ of $A$ at $\mathfrak{p}$ is the extension of $F_0$ obtained by adding $T_{i,1/p^n}$ and $S_{i,1/p^n}$ for all $i, n$. Let $\mathcal{O}_{K'}$ be the completion of the discrete valuation ring $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and let $K'$ be the field of fractions of $\mathcal{O}_{K'}$.

Then $K'$ satisfies the conditions (i)–(iii). For (i) and (ii), it is clear. We prove that (iii) is satisfied. The $F'$-vector space $\Omega^1_{F'}$ is one-dimensional with base $dU$. The $F'$-vector space $\Omega^1_F$ is with base $db_i (i \in I)$. In $\Omega^1_F$, we have $db_i = T_i dU$. Since $T_i (i \in I)$ are linearly independent over $F$, we have the injectivity.

Assume that $F$ is finitely generated over a perfect field $k$. Then $I$ is finite and $F$ is a finite extension of $k(b_i ; i \in I)$. Let $k' \subset F'$ be the extension $\bigcup_{n \geq 0} k(S_{i,1/p^n}, T_{i,1/p^n})$ of the rational function field $k(S_i, T_i ; i \in I) \subset F_0 = F(T_i, U ; i \in I)$. Then $k'$ is perfect and $F'$ is a finite extension of $k'(U)$.

3.4. We prove Theorem 3.1.

Let $K_1/K$ be the extension in Proposition 3.2. By taking $K_1$ as $K$ in Proposition 3.3, let $K_2/K_1$ be the extension $K'/K$ of Proposition 3.3. Let $K_3/K_2$ be maximal unramified subextension of $LK_2/K_2$. Then the extension $K_3/K$ satisfies (ii) and (iii) of 3.1 and (ii) of Proposition 3.2.

By applying Theorem 2.1 to $LK_3/K_3$, we obtain a finite extension $K'/K_3$ such that $e(LK'/K') = 1$ and the residue field of $K'$ is a separable extension of that of $K_3$. The extension $K'/K$ has the desired properties. If $F$ is finitely generated over a prime field $k$, the condition (ii)' is satisfied.

4 Review and complements on ramification groups

We briefly recall the definition and basic properties of ramification groups. For more detail, we refer to [1, 2, 3, 4]. We introduce the refined logarithmic conductor for a finite Galois extension of a henselian valuation field in (3.15), as a generalization of
the refined Swan conductor of an abelian character in the case where the extension is cyclic. We recall the definition of the Swan conductor of an abelian character at the end of Section 4.3. In the case where the residue field is a function field of one variable over a perfect field and the ramification index of the extension is one, we compute explicitly the refined logarithmic conductor in Proposition 4.11 using Lemma 4.6.

4.1. Let $K$ be a henselian discrete valuation field and $F$ be the residue field of the valuation ring $\mathcal{O}_K$. Let $\tilde{K}$ be a separable closure of $K$ and $G_K = \text{Gal}(\tilde{K}/K)$ be the absolute Galois group. The residue field $\tilde{F}$ of $\tilde{K}$ is an algebraic closure of $F$.

Let $L$ be a finite étale $K$-algebra and $r > 0$ be a rational number. Let $\text{Spec} \mathcal{O}_L \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ be a closed immersion to a smooth scheme $Q$ over $\mathcal{O}_K$. Let $K' \subset \tilde{K}$ be a finite extension of $K$ of ramification index $e$ such that $er$ is an integer. Then, we define a dilatation $Q_{\mathcal{O}_{K'}}^{[er]} \to Q_{\mathcal{O}_{K'}} = Q \times_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{K'}$ by blowing up the closed subscheme Spec $\mathcal{O}_L \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{K'/\mathfrak{m}_{K'}^r}$, and by removing the proper transform of the closed fiber. After replacing $K'$ by a separable extension if necessary, the normalization $Q_{\mathcal{O}_{K'}}^{[er]}$ of $Q_{\mathcal{O}_{K'}}^{[er]}$ has geometrically reduced closed fiber and the geometric closed fiber $Q_F^{[r]} = Q_{\mathcal{O}_K}^{[er]} \times_{\mathcal{O}_K} \tilde{F}$ is independent of such $K'$.

The finite set $F^r(L) = \pi_0(Q_F^{[r]})$ of connected components is independent of $Q$. The mapping $F(L) = \text{Mor}_K(L, \tilde{K}) \to F^r(L)$ induced by the canonical morphism $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_L \times_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{K'}) \to Q_{\mathcal{O}_{K'}}^{[er]}$ from the normalization is also independent of the choice and is a surjection. We say that the ramification of $L$ over $K$ is bounded by $r$ if the surjection $F(L) \to F^r(L)$ is a bijection. The ramification group $G_K' \subset \hat{G}_K = \text{Gal}(\tilde{K}/K)$ is defined to be the unique closed normal subgroup such that the surjection $F(L) \to F^r(L)$ induces a bijection $F(L)/G_K' \to F^r(L)$.

4.2. A logarithmic variant is defined as follows. Let $L$ be a finite separable extension of $K$. Let $m$ be an integer divisible by the ramification index $e_{L/K}$ and $\pi$ be a prime element of $K$. We define an extension $K_m$ to be the tamely ramified extension $K'[t]/(t^m - \pi)$ if $m$ is invertible in $F$ and to be the fraction field of the henselization of $\mathcal{O}_K'[u^{\pm 1}, t]/(ut^m - \pi)$ at the prime ideal $(t)$. Then, the finite set $F_{m}^r(L \otimes_K K_m)$ is independent of such $m$ and we define $F_{\log}^r(L)$ to be $F_{m}^r(L \otimes_K K_m)$. We say that the log ramification of $L$ over $K$ is bounded by $r$ if the surjection $F(L) \to F_{\log}^r(L)$ is a bijection. The ramification group $G_{\log,K}' \subset G_K$ is defined to be the unique closed normal subgroup such that the surjection $F(L) \to F_{\log}^r(L)$ induces a bijection $F(L)/G_{\log,K}' \to F_{\log}^r(L)$.

Define closed normal subgroups $G_{K}^r \subset G_K$ and $G_{\log,K}^r \subset G_{\log,K}$ to be the closures of the unions $\bigcup_{s \gg r} G_K^s$ and $\bigcup_{s \gg r} G_{\log,K}^s$ and set $F_{r}^+(L) = F(L)/G_{K}^{r+}$ and $F_{\log}^+(L) = F(L)/G_{\log,K}^{r+}$. We say that the ramification (resp. the log ramification) of $L$ over $K$ is bounded by $r+$ if the surjection $F(L) \to F_{r}^+(L)$ (resp. $F(L) \to F_{\log}^+(L)$) is a bijection.
4.3. We call the largest rational number $r$ such that the ramification (resp. the log ramification) of $L$ over $K$ is not bounded by $r$ the conductor (resp. the logarithmic conductor) of $L$ over $K$. The conductor (resp. the logarithmic conductor) of $L$ over $K$ is the smallest rational number $r$ such that the ramification (resp. the log ramification) of $L$ over $K$ is bounded by $r^+$. The conductor $c$ and the logarithmic conductor $c_{\log}$ satisfies the inequality $c_{\log} \leq c$. For an extension $K'$ of henselian discrete valuation field $K$ of ramification index $e$, the conductor $c'$ and the logarithmic conductor $c'_{\log}$ of a composition field $L' = LK'$ over $K'$ satisfy $c'_{\log} \leq e \cdot c_{\log}$ and $c' \leq e \cdot c$. If $L$ is the cyclic extension defined by an abelian character $\chi$ of $G_K$, the Swan conductor $\text{Sw}(\chi)$ is defined as the logarithmic conductor of $L$ over $K$.

Lemma 4.4. Assume that the ramification index $e_{L/K}$ is 1. Then, for every rational number $r > 0$, the canonical surjections $F^r_{\log}(L) \to F^r(L)$ and $F^{r+}_{\log}(L) \to F^{r+}(L)$ are bijections.

Proof. Since we may take $m = 1$, the assertion follows.

4.5. Let $Q \to P$ be a quasi-finite and flat morphism of smooth schemes over $\mathcal{O}_K$ and let

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
Q & \rightarrow & \text{Spec} \mathcal{O}_L \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
P & \leftarrow & \text{Spec} \mathcal{O}_K
\end{array}
\]

be a cartesian diagram. Then, by the functoriality of the construction of dilatations, we obtain a finite morphism $Q^{(r)}_F \to p^{(r)}_F$ of geometric closed fibers. Define ideals $m^{(r)}_{K} \subset m^{(r)}_{L} \subset \mathcal{O}_K$ by $m^{(r)}_{K} = \{x \in K \mid v(x) > r\} \subset m^{(r)}_{L} = \{x \in L \mid v(x) \geq r\}$. For a $k$-vector space $V$, let $V(V)$ denote the associated covariant scheme $\text{Spec} S^* V^\vee$. Then, since $\text{Spec} \mathcal{O}_K \to P$ is a section of a smooth morphism, the conormal sheaf $N_{\text{Spec} \mathcal{O}_K/P}$ is canonically isomorphic to the restriction of $\Omega^1_{\mathcal{O}_K/P}$ and hence the geometric closed fiber $P^{(r)}_F$ is canonically identified with $V(\text{Hom}_F(\Omega^1_{\mathcal{O}_K/P} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_P} F, m^{(r)}_{K}/m^{(r)}_{L}))$.

The fiber $Q^{(r)}_F \times_{p^{(r)}_F} 0$ of the origin is canonically identified with the quotient $F^{r+}(L) = F(L)/G^{r+}_{K}$. The ramification of $L$ over $K$ is bounded by $r^+$ if and only if the finite morphism $Q^{(r)}_F \to P^{(r)}_F$ is étale. Assume that $L$ is a Galois extension of $K$ of Galois group $G$ and fix a morphism $L \to \bar{K}$. Let $r = c$ be the conductor of $L$ over $K$. Then, the connected component $Q^{(r)}_F$ of $Q^{(r)}_F$ containing the point corresponding to $L \to \bar{K}$ is a $G$-torsor over $P^{(r)}_F$. The conductor $r = c$ of $L$ over $K$ is characterized by the condition that the morphism $Q^{(r)}_F \to P^{(r)}_F$ is finite étale but is not an isomorphism.

Lemma 4.6. Assume that $\mathcal{O}_L$ is generated by one element $v \in \mathcal{O}_L$ over $\mathcal{O}_K$ and let $\text{ord}_L$ be the normalized valuation. Let $v' \neq v$ be a conjugate of $v$ such that the valuation $s = \text{ord}_L(v' - v)$ is the largest. Set $d = \text{length}_{\mathcal{O}_L} \Omega^1_{\mathcal{O}_L/\mathcal{O}_K}$. 
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1. The rational number \( r = d/[L : K] + s/e_{L/K} \) equals the conductor of \( L \) over \( K \).

2. Let \( \varphi \in \mathcal{O}_K[X] \) be the minimal polynomial of \( v \) and define the left vertical arrow of the cartesian diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathcal{O}_K} & \to & \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_K[V] = Q \\
\downarrow \varphi & & \downarrow \\
\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathcal{O}_K} & \to & \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_L
\end{array}
\]

by \( \varphi \) and the bottom horizontal arrow by \( U \mapsto U \). Assume that \( L \) is a Galois extension of Galois group \( G \) and that \( G^r = \langle \sigma \rangle \) is cyclic of order \( p \). Define isomorphisms \( F_p \to G^r \) by \( \sigma \) and \( A^1_F \to P^{(r)}_F = \mathfrak{m}_L^r/\mathfrak{m}_K^r \) by \( \varphi'(v)(v - \sigma(v)) \). Then, there is an isomorphism

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & F_p & \longrightarrow & A^1_F \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & G^r & \longrightarrow & Q^{(r)}_F \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
& & P^{(r)}_F & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}
\]

of extensions of smooth group schemes by étale group schemes.

The proof is similar to the computation in [12, Example 3.3.3].

**Proof.** The left vertical arrow \( Q \to P \) in (4.2) is finite flat. Let \( v_1, \ldots, v_n \in L \) be the conjugates of \( v \). We fix a numbering so that \( v_n = v, v_{n-1} = \sigma(v) \) and \( \text{ord}_L(v_i - v_n) \) is increasing. Setting \( X - v_n = (v_{n-1} - v_n)T \), we have \( \varphi(X) = \prod_{i=1}^n (X - v_i) = \prod_{i=1}^n (v_n - v_i) + (v_{n-1} - v_n)T \). By the assumption that \( G^r \) is cyclic of order \( p \), we have \( \text{ord}_L(v_i - v_n) < \text{ord}_L(v_{n-1} - v_n) \) for \( i \leq n - p \) and we may assume that \( (v_{n-i} - v_n)/(v_{n-1} - v_n) \equiv i \mod m_L \) for \( i = 0, \ldots, p - 1 \). Hence, we have

\[
\varphi(X) \equiv \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (v_n - v_i) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} (1 + iT) \cdot (v_{n-1} - v_n)T = \varphi'(v)(v - \sigma(v))(T^p - T)
\]

mod \( m_L^{r+1} \). Thus the assertion 1 follows from the characterization of conductor at the end of 4.5. The assertion 2 also follows from (1.4).

**Lemma 4.7.** Let \( P_1, P_2, Q_1, Q_2 \) be smooth schemes over \( \mathcal{O}_K \) and

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
Q_i & \to & \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_L \\
\downarrow f_i & & \downarrow \\
P_i & \to & \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_K
\end{array}
\]
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for $i = 1, 2$ be cartesian diagrams of schemes over $\mathcal{O}_K$ such that the vertical arrows are quasi-finite and flat. Let

$$Q_1 \times_{\mathcal{O}_K} F \leftarrow Q_2 \times_{\mathcal{O}_K} F \leftarrow \text{Spec} \mathcal{O}_L \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} F$$

be a commutative diagram where the right square is induced by (4.5). Then for a rational number $r > 0$, the diagram (4.6) induces a commutative diagram

$$Q^{(r)}_{1,F} \leftarrow Q^{(r)}_{2,F},$$

Proof. For $i = 1, 2$, we consider the unions $Q_i = (Q_i \times_{\mathcal{O}_K} F) \cup \text{Spec} \mathcal{O}_L \subset Q_i$ as reduced closed subschemes. Then by the commutative diagram (4.6), the morphism $Q_1 \times_{\mathcal{O}_K} F \to Q_2 \times_{\mathcal{O}_K} F$ and the identity of $\text{Spec} \mathcal{O}_L$ define a morphism $Q'_1 \to Q'_2$. Since $Q_1$ is smooth over $\mathcal{O}_K$, after replacing $Q_2$ by an étale neighborhood of $\text{Spec} \mathcal{O}_L$ if necessary, we may lift $Q'_1 \to Q'_2$ to a morphism $Q_1 \to Q_2$ over $\mathcal{O}_K$.

The morphism $Q_1 \to Q_2$ induces a morphism of conormal modules $N_{\text{Spec} \mathcal{O}_L/Q_1} \to N_{\text{Spec} \mathcal{O}_L/Q_2}$ and defines a commutative diagram

$$Q^{(r)}_{1,F} \leftarrow Q^{(r)}_{2,F}.$$

By the cartesian diagram (4.5), the conormal modules $N_{\text{Spec} \mathcal{O}_L/Q_i}$ are the tensor products $N_{\text{Spec} \mathcal{O}_K/P_i} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_L$ for $i = 1, 2$. Hence by the commutative diagram (4.6), we may replace $\text{V}(\text{Hom}_F(N_{\text{Spec} \mathcal{O}_L/Q_1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_L} \bar{F}, m^r_K/m^{r+} K))$ by $P^{(r)}_{1,F}$ to get (4.7).

By slightly enlarging the terminology, we say that a henselization of a local ring of a scheme of finite type is essentially of finite type.

Lemma 4.8. (cf. [2] Lemma 4.4, 4.5) Let $\mathcal{O}_K$ be a henselian discrete valuation ring essentially of finite type and flat over $W = W(k)$ for a perfect field $k$ of characteristic $p > 0$.

1. There exist a smooth scheme $P_0$ over $W$, a divisor $D_0 \subset P_0$ smooth over $W$, a divisor $X_0 \subset P_0$ flat over $W$ meeting $D_0$ transversely and an isomorphism $\mathcal{O}_{X_0,\xi}^h \to \mathcal{O}_K$ over $W$ from the henselization of the local ring at a generic point $\xi$ of the intersection $X_0 \cap D_0$.

2. Let $L$ be a finite separable extension of $K$ of ramification index $e$. Let $P_0, D_0, X_0$ be as in 1. Further let $Q_0$ be a smooth scheme over $W$, $E_0 \subset Q_0$ a
smooth divisor over \(W, Y_0 \subset Q_0\) a divisor flat over meeting \(E_0\) transversely and \(\mathcal{O}_{Y_0,n}^q \to \mathcal{O}_K\) an isomorphism over \(W\). Then, after replacing \(X_0\) and \(Y_0\) by \(\acute{e}tale\) neighborhoods of \(\text{Spec} \mathcal{O}_K\) and \(\text{Spec} \mathcal{O}_L\), there exists a cartesian diagram

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
e \cdot E_0 & \longrightarrow & Q_0 & \longleftarrow & Y_0 & \longleftarrow & \text{Spec} \mathcal{O}_L \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow f & & \square & & \square & & \downarrow \\
D_0 & \longrightarrow & P_0 & \longleftarrow & X_0 & \longleftarrow & \text{Spec} \mathcal{O}_K
\end{array}
\tag{4.9}
\]

such that the vertical arrows are finite flat.

\textbf{Proof.} 1. Let \(u_1, \ldots, u_n \in \mathcal{O}_K\) be liftings of a transcendental basis \(\bar{u}_1, \ldots, \bar{u}_n \in F\) over \(k\) such that \(F\) is a finite separable extension of \(k(\bar{u}_1, \ldots, \bar{u}_n)\) and \(\pi\) be a prime element of \(K\). The morphism \(\mathcal{O}_K \to \mathbf{A}_W^{n+1} = \text{Spec} W[u_1, \ldots, u_n, t]\) defined by \(u_1, \ldots, u_n, \pi \in \mathcal{O}_K\) is formally unramified. Hence, there exists an \(\acute{e}tale\) neighborhood \(P_0 \to \mathbf{A}_W^{n+1}\) of the image \(\xi\) of the closed point of \(\text{Spec} \mathcal{O}_K\), a regular divisor \(X_0 \subset P_0\) and an isomorphism \(\mathcal{O}_{X_0,\xi}^q \to \mathcal{O}_K\). It suffices to define \(D_0\) by \(t\).

2. Take a function on \(P_0\) defining \(E_0\) and take an \(\acute{e}tale\) morphism \(P_0 \to \mathbf{A}_W^{n+1} = \text{Spec} W[u_1, \ldots, u_n, t]\) such that \(D_0 \subset P_0\) is defined by \(t\). Let \(\pi \in \mathcal{O}_K\) be the image of \(t\).

Let \(s\) be a function on \(Q_0\) defining \(E_0\) and let \(\pi' \in \mathcal{O}_L\) be the image of \(s\). Define \(v \in \mathcal{O}_L^q\) by \(\pi' = v\pi'^c\) and lift it to a unit \(\tilde{v}\) on \(Q_0\). We define a morphism \(Q_0 \to \mathbf{A}_W^{n+1}\) lifting the composition \(\text{Spec} \mathcal{O}_L \to \text{Spec} \mathcal{O}_K \to \mathbf{A}_W^{n+1}\) and satisfying \(t \mapsto \tilde{v}s^c\). By replacing \(Q_0\) by an \(\acute{e}tale\) neighborhood, we may lift \(Q_0 \to \mathbf{A}_W^{n+1}\) to \(f : Q_0 \to P_0\) satisfying \(f^*D_0 = e \cdot E_0\).

We show that the middle and the right squares are cartesian after replacing \(Q_0\) and \(P_0\) by \(\acute{e}tale\) neighborhoods. Since the residue fields \(F\) and \(E_0\) of \(K\) and \(L\) are the function field of the closed fibers \(D_{0,k}\) and \(E_{0,k}\), we may assume \(E_0 \to D_0\) and hence \(Q_0 \to P_0\) are quasi-finite and hence flat. Further, we may assume that \(Q_0 \to P_0\) is finite flat and the right square is cartesian. Then, it is of degree \([L : K]\) and hence the middle square is cartesian.

\textbf{4.9.} Assume that \(\mathcal{O}_K\) is essentially of finite type and flat over \(W = W(k)\) for a perfect field \(k\) of characteristic \(p > 0\) and let the notation be as in Lemma \textbf{4.8.2}. We define a dilatation \(P^\sim = \left(P_0 \times_W \mathcal{O}_K\right)\sim \to P = P_0 \times_W \mathcal{O}_K\) by blowing-up \(D_0 \times_W F = (D_0 \times_W \mathcal{O}_K) \cap (P_0 \times_W F) \subset P_0 \times_W \mathcal{O}_K\) and by removing the proper transforms of \(D_0 \times_W \mathcal{O}_K\) and of \(P_0 \times_W F\). We consider a cartesian diagram

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
Q_0 & \longleftarrow & Q & \longleftarrow & Q^\sim & \longleftarrow & \text{Spec} \mathcal{O}_L \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \square & & \downarrow \square & & \downarrow \\
P_0 & \longleftarrow & P & \longleftarrow & P^\sim & \longleftarrow & \text{Spec} \mathcal{O}_K.
\end{array}
\tag{4.10}
\]

We consider \(\mathcal{O}_K\) as a log scheme with the log structure defined by the closed point. With respect to the log structure of \(Q^\sim\) defined by the pull-back of \(E_0\), the log scheme \(Q^\sim\) is log smooth over \(\mathcal{O}_K\). Let \(K'\) be a finite separable extension such
that the ramification index $e_{K'/K}$ is divisible by $e = e_{L/K}$. Then, the log product $Q^\sim_{O_{K'}} = Q^\sim \times_{O_K}^\log O_{K'}$ is classically smooth over $O_{K'}$ and we have a cartesian diagram

$$Q^\sim_{O_{K'}} \leftarrow \text{Spec}(O_L \times_{O_K}^\log O_{K'})$$

$$\downarrow \quad \downarrow$$

$$P^\sim_{O_{K'}} \leftarrow \text{Spec} O_{K'}.$$

(4.11)

By the cartesian diagram (4.11), the conormal modules $N_{\text{Spec} O_L/Q}$ and $N_{\text{Spec} O_L/Q^\sim}$ are the pull-backs of $N_{\text{Spec} O_K/P} = \Omega^1_{\bar{P}_0/W} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{P_0}} \mathcal{O}_K$ and $N_{\text{Spec} O_K/P^\sim} = \Omega^1_{\bar{P}_0/W}(\log \bar{D}_0) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{P_0}} \mathcal{O}_K$. We have an exact sequence $0 \to N_{D_0/P_0} \to \Omega^1_{\bar{P}_0/W} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{P_0}} \mathcal{O}_{D_0} \to \Omega^1_{\bar{D}_0/W} \to 0$ and a commutative diagram

$$\Omega^1_{\bar{D}_0/W} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{D_0}} F \quad \longrightarrow \quad \Omega^1_F$$

$$\downarrow \quad \downarrow$$

$$\Omega^1_{\bar{P}_0/W}(\log \bar{D}_0) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{D_0}} F \longrightarrow \Omega^1_F(\log)$$

where the horizontal arrows are canonical isomorphisms. Hence the diagram (4.10) and (4.11) define a commutative diagram

$$Q^{(r)}_F \leftarrow Q^{\sim(r)}_F$$

$$\downarrow \quad \downarrow$$

$$P^{(r)}_F \leftarrow P^{\sim(r)}_F.$$

(4.12)

of the reduced closed fibers of dilatations. The bottom arrow is the linear mapping

$$P^{(r)}_F = \mathbf{V}(\text{Hom}_F(\Omega^1_{\bar{P}_0/W} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{D_0}} F, m^r_K/m^{r+}_K))$$

$$\leftarrow P^{\sim(r)}_F = \mathbf{V}(\text{Hom}_F(\Omega^1_F(\log), m^r_K/m^{r+}_K))$$

(4.13)

of $\bar{F}$-vector spaces and its image is $\mathbf{V}(\text{Hom}_F(\Omega^1_F, m^r_K/m^{r+}_K))$.

Assume that $L$ is a Galois extension of $K$ of Galois group $G$ and let $r = c_{\log} > 0$ be the logarithmic conductor of $L$ over $K$. We fix a morphism $L \to \bar{K}$ over $K$. By [10 Theorem 2], the right vertical arrow of (4.12) restricted to the connected component $Q^{(r)}_F \subset Q^{\sim(r)}_F$ containing the point corresponding to $L \to \bar{K}$ defines an extension

$$0 \longrightarrow C^{r}_{\text{log}} \longrightarrow Q^{\sim(r)}_F \quad P^{\sim(r)}_F = \mathbf{V}(\text{Hom}_F(\Omega^1_F(\log), m^r_K/m^{r+}_K)) \longrightarrow 0$$

(4.14)

of an $\bar{F}$-vector space by an $F_p$-vector space. By [11 Proposition 1.20], the class of the extension (4.14) defines an element

$$\omega \in \text{C}^{r}_{\text{log}} \otimes_{F_p} \Omega^1_F(\log) \otimes_F m^{-r}_K/m^{r+}_K.$$
This is independent of the choice of the diagram (4.10) and is called the refined logarithmic conductor of $L$ over $K$. If $G$ is cyclic and $\chi: G \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ is an injective abelian character of $G$ and an injection $\mathbb{Z}/p \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ is fixed, its image in $\Omega^1_F(\log) \otimes_F m_K^{-r}/m_K^{r+}$ is the refined Swan conductor

$$\text{rsw}(\chi) \in \Omega^1_F(\log) \otimes_F m_K^{-r}/m_K^{r+}.$$  

(4.16)

**Lemma 4.10.** Let $L$ be a finite Galois extension of $K$ of Galois group $G$. Let $r$ be the logarithmic conductor of $L$ over $K$ and $\omega \in G^r_\log \otimes_F \Omega^1_F(\log) \otimes_F m_K^{-r}/m_K^{r+}$ be the refined logarithmic conductor.

1. If the conductor of $L$ over $K$ is the same as the logarithmic conductor $r$ of $L$ over $K$, then the refined logarithmic conductor $\omega$ is in the image of $G^r_\log \otimes_F \Omega^1_F \otimes_F m_K^{-r}/m_K^{r+}$.

2. Let $K'$ be an extension of henselian valuation field of $K$ of ramification index $e$ and of residue field $F'$. Assume that the image $\omega' \in G^r_{\log} \otimes_{F'} \Omega^1_{F'}(\log) \otimes_{F'} m_{K'}^{-r}/m_{K'}^{r+}$ of the refined logarithmic conductor $\omega$ of $L$ over $K$ is non-trivial.

Then, the logarithmic conductor $r'$ of a composition field $L'$ over $K'$ equals $er$ and $\omega'$ is the image of the refined logarithmic conductor of $L'$ over $K'$ by the morphism induced by the injection $\text{Gal}(L'/K')_{\log} \to G^r_{\log}$.

**Proof.**

1. We may assume that the residue field $F$ of $K$ is of finite type over a perfect subfield $k$. Then, it follows from the commutative diagram (4.12).

2. By the functoriality of construction, the logarithmic ramification of $L'$ over $K'$ is bounded by $er$. We may assume that the residue fields $F$ and $F'$ of $K$ and $K'$ are of finite type over perfect subfields $k \subset k'$. Then, further by the functoriality of construction, we obtain a morphism $Q^{(er)}_{\log} \to Q_{\log}$ compatible with the injection $G' = \text{Gal}(L'/K') \to G$ and a commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & G^r_{\log} & \longrightarrow & Q^{(er)\circ}_{\log} & \longrightarrow & V(\text{Hom}_{F'}(\Omega^1_{F'}(\log), m_{K'}^{-r}/m_{K'}^{r+})) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & G^r_{\log} & \longrightarrow & Q^{r\circ}_{\log} & \longrightarrow & V(\text{Hom}_{F}(\Omega^1_{F}(\log), m_{K}^{-r}/m_{K}^{r+})) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\end{array}
$$

(4.17)
of extensions. Since $\omega'$ is the extension class of the pull-back of the lower line by the right vertical arrow, the assumption $\omega' \neq 0$ means that the pull-back is non-trivial and $G^r_{\log} \neq 0$. Hence $er$ is the logarithmic conductor of $L'$ over $K'$. The last assertion also follows from the diagram (4.17).

**Proposition 4.11.** Assume that the residue field $F$ of $K$ is a function field of one variable over a perfect subfield $k$ of characteristic $p > 0$ and that the characteristic of $K$ is 0. Let $u \in O_K$ be a lifting of an element $\tilde{u} \in F$ such that $F$ is a finite separable extension of $k(\tilde{u})$.

Let $L$ be a finite Galois extension of $K$ of Galois group $G$. Assume that the ramification index is 1 and that the residue field $E$ is a purely inseparable extension
of $F$. Let $v \in \mathcal{O}_L$ be a lifting of a generator $\bar{v} \in E = F(\bar{v})$ and let $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}_K[T]$ be the minimal polynomial of $v$. Assume that $\varphi \equiv T^n - \bar{u} \mod \mathfrak{m}_K$.

Let $r$ be the logarithmic conductor of $L$ over $K$. Assume that $G^\sigma = (\sigma)$ with $F_p$ by fixing a generator $\sigma$. Then, $r = \text{ord}_L \varphi'(v)(v - \sigma(v))$ and the refined logarithmic conductor of $L$ over $K$ is

$$\frac{d\bar{u}}{\varphi'(v)(v - \sigma(v))} \in \Omega_F^1 \otimes_F \mathfrak{m}_K^{-r}/\mathfrak{m}_K^{-r+}.$$  

Almost the same result as Proposition 4.11 is proved in [5, Theorem 5.7] in a similar way. Although we assume that $K$ is of mixed characteristic in Proposition 4.11, it is proved more easily in the equal characteristic case.

**Proof.** By Lemma 4.4, the logarithmic conductor equals the conductor. Hence the equality $r = \text{ord}_L \varphi'(v)(v - \sigma(v))$ follows from Lemma 4.6. We use the notation in Lemma 4.8.2. Since $D_0 \subset P_0$ is smooth over $W$, there exists a smooth morphism $P_0 \to \mathbb{A}_W^1$ such that $D_0$ is the pull-back of the 0-section $\text{Spec} W \to \mathbb{A}_W^1$. By the assumption that $e = 1$, the divisor $E_0 \subset Q_0$ is also the pull-back of the 0-section $\text{Spec} W \to \mathbb{A}_W^1$.

Let $P_1 = P_0 \times_{\mathbb{A}_W^1} \mathcal{O}_K$ and $Q_1 = Q_0 \times_{\mathbb{A}_W^1} \mathcal{O}_K$ be the fiber products with respect to the composition $\mathcal{O}_K \to P_0 \to \mathbb{A}_W^1$. Then $P_1$ and $Q_1$ are also smooth over $\mathcal{O}_K$ and we have a cartesian diagram

$$Q \leftarrow Q_1 \leftarrow \text{Spec} \mathcal{O}_L \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow$$

$$P \leftarrow P_1 \leftarrow \text{Spec} \mathcal{O}_K.$$

By this and Lemma 4.10, the refined logarithmic Swan conductor is the image of the class of the extension

$$0 \to G^\sigma \to Q_{1,F}^{(r)} \to P_{1,F}^{(r)} = \mathbb{V}(\Omega_F^1 \otimes_F \mathfrak{m}_K^{-r}/\mathfrak{m}_K^{-r+}) \to 0 \quad (4.18)$$

defined as the restriction of the $G^\sigma$-torsor $Q_{1,F}^{(r)}$ over $P_{1,F}^{(r)}$.

We compute the extension (4.18) by comparing it using Lemma 4.7 with that defined by the cartesian diagram (4.2) in Lemma 4.6. Since the diagram

$$Q_0 \times_{\mathbb{A}_W^1} k \leftarrow \text{Spec} E \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow$$

$$P_0 \times_{\mathbb{A}_W^1} k \leftarrow \text{Spec} F$$

is commutative and the horizontal arrows are the canonical morphisms of the generic points, we have a commutative diagram

$$\text{Spec} k[V] = \mathbb{A}_k^1 \leftarrow Q_0 \times_{\mathbb{A}_W^1} k \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow$$

$$\text{Spec} k[U] = \mathbb{A}_k^1 \leftarrow P_0 \times_{\mathbb{A}_W^1} k.$$
where the horizontal arrows are étale. This induces a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Spec } F[V] = & \mathbb{A}^1_F \leftarrow Q_1 \times_{\mathcal{O}_K} F \leftarrow \text{Spec } E \\
\downarrow_{u \mapsto V^q} & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
\text{Spec } F[U] = & \mathbb{A}^1_F \leftarrow P_1 \times_{\mathcal{O}_K} F \leftarrow \text{Spec } F.
\end{align*}
\]

By comparing this with the cartesian diagram

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Spec } F[V] = & \mathbb{A}^1_F \rightarrow A_1 \mathcal{O}_K \leftarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_L \\
\downarrow_{u \mapsto v(V)} & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
\text{Spec } F[U] = & \mathbb{A}^1_F \rightarrow A_1 \mathcal{O}_K \leftarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_K.
\end{align*}
\]

obtained by (4.2), we obtain a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{A}^1_F & \rightarrow Q^{(r)}_1, F \leftarrow \mathbb{A}^1_F \\
\downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
\mathbb{A}^1_F & \rightarrow P^{(r)}_1, F \\
\end{align*}
\]

by Lemma 4.7. Since the left vertical arrow is as in Lemma 4.6.2 and the bottom isomorphism is defined by \( U - u \), the assertion follows.

## 5 Coincidence of Swan conductors and of refined Swan conductors

We prove properties of \( \text{Sw}^{ab} \) and \( \text{rsw}^{ab} \) similar to Lemma 4.10.2 and Proposition 4.11.

**Proposition 5.1.** Let \( K \) be a complete discrete valuation field and let \( \chi : \text{Gal}(L/K) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times \) be a character for a finite Abelian extension \( L \) of \( K \). Let \( K' \) over \( K \) be an extension of complete discrete valuation fields of ramification index \( e = e(K'/K) \) and let \( \chi' : \text{Gal}(LK'/K') \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times \) be the composition of \( \chi \) with the canonical morphism \( \text{Gal}(LK'/K') \rightarrow \text{Gal}(L/K) \).

1. We have \( \text{Sw}^{ab}_{K',\chi'} \leq e \cdot \text{Sw}^{ab}_K \chi \).
2. Assume that \( r = \text{Sw}^{ab}_K \chi \geq 1 \). Then, the following conditions are equivalent:
   (1) We have \( \text{Sw}^{ab}_{K',\chi'} = e \cdot \text{Sw}^{ab}_K \chi \).
   (2) The image of \( \text{rsw}^{ab}_K \chi \) by the canonical morphism \( \mathfrak{m}_K^{-r} / \mathfrak{m}_K^{-r+1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \Omega^1_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\log) \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_{K'}^{-r} / \mathfrak{m}_{K'}^{-r+1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K'}} \Omega^1_{\mathcal{O}_{K'}}(\log) \) is non-zero.

If the equivalent conditions hold, \( \text{rsw}^{ab}_K \chi \) equals the image of \( \text{rsw}^{ab}_K \chi \).

**Proof.** 1. Let \( r = \text{Sw}^{ab}_K \chi \) and \( \pi \) be a prime element of \( K \). By [7, Proposition (6.3)], we have \( \{ \chi', 1 + \pi^r \mathfrak{m}_{K'} \} = 0 \) and the assertion follows.
2. The condition (2) is equivalent to that \( \{ \chi', 1 + \pi^r T \} \neq 0 \) in \( \text{Br}(L') \) where \( L' \) is the field of fraction of the henselization of \( \mathcal{O}_K[T]/(\pi^r) \) for a prime element \( \pi' \) of \( K' \). Hence, this is equivalent to (1). Further, since the equality \( \{ \chi, 1 + \pi'^r T \} = \lambda_\pi(T\alpha, T\beta) \) is compatible with base change, \( rsw^{ab}_\chi' \) equals the image of \( rsw^{ab}_\chi \). \( \square \)

**Proposition 5.2.** Let \( K \) be a complete discrete valuation field such that the residue field \( F \) is of characteristic \( p > 0 \) and \( [F : F^p] = p \). Let \( \chi: \text{Gal}(L/K) \to \mathbb{C}^\times \) be a faithful character for a cyclic extension \( L \) of \( K \) of degree \( q = p^r \) such that \( e(L/K) = 1 \) and that the residue field \( E \) of \( L \) is a pure inseparable extension of \( F \).

Let \( v \in \mathcal{O}_L \) be a lifting of a generator \( \bar{v} \in E = F(\bar{v}) \) and let \( \varphi \in \mathcal{O}_K[T] \) be the minimal polynomial of \( v \). Let \( \sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K) \) be an element of order \( p \) and set \( \bar{u} = \bar{v}^q \in F \) and \( r = \text{ord}_L \varphi'(v)(v - \sigma(v)) \).

Then, we have \( Sw^{ab}_\chi = r \) and

\[
\begin{align*}
  rsw^{ab}_\chi &= \frac{d\bar{u}}{\varphi'(v)(v - \sigma(v))} \in m_K^r / m_K^{r+1} \otimes_F \Omega^1_F \subset m_K^r / m_K^{r+1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \Omega^1_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\log).
\end{align*}
\]

**Proof.** It follows from [7, Proposition (6.3)] and [6, Theorem (3.6)]. \( \square \)

**5.3.** We prove Theorems 4.15 and 4.16.

Let \( K \) be a complete discrete valuation field with residue field of characteristic \( p > 0 \). We may assume that \( K \) is of characteristic \( 0 \). Let \( L \) be a finite cyclic extension of \( K \) and \( \chi: \text{Gal}(L/K) \to \mathbb{C}^\times \) be a faithful character. We may assume that \( L \) is not tamely ramified. We may further assume that the residue field \( F \) of \( K \) is of finite type over a perfect subfield \( k \), by a standard limit argument.

By Theorem 3.1 there exists an extension \( K' \) over \( K \) of complete discrete valuation fields satisfying the conditions (i), (ii)’ and (iii) in Theorem 3.1. Let \( e = e(K'/K) \) be the ramification index and \( \chi': \text{Gal}(LK'/K') \to \mathbb{C}^\times \) be the character induced by \( \chi \). Then, by the condition (iii), the images of \( rsw(\chi) \) and \( rsw^{ab}(\chi) \) are non-zero.

Hence by Proposition 5.1 and Lemma 4.10, we have \( Sw(\chi') = e \cdot Sw(\chi) \) and \( Sw^{ab}(\chi') = e \cdot Sw^{ab}(\chi) \). Further \( rsw(\chi') \) and \( rsw^{ab}(\chi') \) are the images of \( rsw(\chi) \) and \( rsw^{ab}(\chi) \) respectively. Thus the equality \( Sw(\chi) = Sw^{ab}(\chi) \) is equivalent to \( Sw(\chi') = Sw^{ab}(\chi') \). Further by the condition (iii) in Theorem 3.1, the equality \( rsw(\chi') = rsw^{ab}(\chi') \) is equivalent to \( rsw(\chi) = rsw^{ab}(\chi) \). Thus, we may assume that the conditions (i) and (ii)’ in Theorem 3.1 are satisfied. In this case, it follows from Propositions 5.2 and 4.11.
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