Relative \(\mathbb{A}^1\)-homology and its applications

Yuri Shimizu *

April 30, 2019

Abstract

In this paper, we prove an \(\mathbb{A}^1\)-homology version of the Whitehead theorem with dimension bound. We also prove an excision theorem for \(\mathbb{A}^1\)-homology, Suslin homology, and \(\mathbb{A}^1\)-homotopy sheaves. In order to prove these results, we develop a general theory of relative \(\mathbb{A}^1\)-homology and \(\mathbb{A}^1\)-homotopy sheaves and establish a weak comparison result between \(\mathbb{A}^1\)- and Suslin homology. As an example of relative \(\mathbb{A}^1\)-homology and as an application of the results above, we compute the relative \(\mathbb{A}^1\)-homology of the hyperplane embeddings \(\mathbb{P}^{n-1} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n\).
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1 Introduction

In this paper, we study a relative version of the \(\mathbb{A}^1\)-homology sheaves of smooth schemes over a field and give applications for motives and \(\mathbb{A}^1\)-homotopy theory. Let \(k\) be a field. In [Vo], Voevodsky constructed a triangulated category of motives \(\text{DM}^{eff}(k)\) over \(k\) as a triangulated subcategory of the derived category
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of Nisnevich sheaves with transfers, with a functor $M$ from the category of smooth $k$-schemes $Sm_k$ to $\text{DM}^{eff}_{cis}(k)$. For $X \in Sm_k$, $M(X)$ is called the motive of $X$. Its homology sheaf with transfers $H^*_Y(X) = H_*(M(X))$ is called the Suslin homology sheaf (cf. [As, Section 2]), whose sections over Spec $k$ gives the Suslin homology group introduced by Suslin-Voevodsky [SV] when $k$ is perfect.

In [MV], Morel-Voevodsky established the $\mathbb{A}^1$-homotopy theory and defined an $\mathbb{A}^1$-version of homotopy groups, called $\mathbb{A}^1$-homotopy sheaves, as Nisnevich sheaves on $Sm_k$. Morel [Mo2] introduced an $\mathbb{A}^1$-version of the singular homology, called $\mathbb{A}^1$-homology sheaves, as an analogue of Suslin homology by instead using Nisnevich sheaves without transfers. As with motives, there is a functor $C^{A^1}_*$ from $Sm_k$ to a triangulated subcategory of the derived category of Nisnevich sheaves on $Sm_k$. The $\mathbb{A}^1$-homology sheaf $H^{A^1}_*(X)$ of $X \in Sm_k$ is defined as the homology sheaf $H_*(C^{A^1}_*(X))$.

The purpose of this paper is threefold. Firstly, we prove an $\mathbb{A}^1$-homology version of the Whitehead theorem with a degree bound and the excision theorem. This generalizes our previous results in [Sh]. Secondly, as a tool for proving them, we develop a general theory of $\mathbb{A}^1$-homotopy sheaves for morphisms $f : X \to Y$. As an example, we compute the relative $\mathbb{A}^1$-homology of the hyperplane embedding $\mathbb{P}^{n-1} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$. Thirdly, we derive a weak comparison theorem between $\mathbb{A}^1$- and Suslin homology. This enables us to deduce an excision result for Suslin homology.

Our $\mathbb{A}^1$-Whitehead theorem detects whether a morphism $X \to Y$ is an $\mathbb{A}^1$-weak equivalence in terms of the $\mathbb{A}^1$-fundamental groups and the $\mathbb{A}^1$-homology up to degree $\max\{\dim X + 1, \dim Y\}$.

**Theorem 1.1** (see Theorem 5.6). Assume $k$ perfect. Let $f : (X, *) \to (Y, *)$ be a morphism of $\mathbb{A}^1$-simply connected pointed smooth $k$-schemes and $d = \max\{\dim X + 1, \dim Y\}$. If $f$ induces an isomorphism $H^{A^1}_i(X) \cong H^{A^1}_i(Y)$ for all $2 \leq i < d$ and an epimorphism $H^{A^1}_d(X) \to H^{A^1}_d(Y)$, then $f$ is an $\mathbb{A}^1$-weak equivalence.

This leads to a generalization of our previous result in [Sh] (see Corollary 5.7). The Whitehead theorem for $\mathbb{A}^1$-homotopy sheaves is established by Morel-Voevodsky [MV], and the novelty here is the detection by $\mathbb{A}^1$-homology sheaves and the degree bound $d = \max\{\dim X + 1, \dim Y\}$. Theorem 1.1 is deduced from the following general result (assertion (1)), which holds without assuming simply connectedness of $X$ and $Y$, and which also has an analogue for Suslin homology (assertion (2)).

**Proposition 1.2** (see Proposition 5.4). Let $f : X \to Y$ be a morphism in $Sm_k$. We write $d = \max\{\dim X + 1, \dim Y\}$.

1. If $f$ induces an isomorphism $H^{A^1}_i(X) \cong H^{A^1}_i(Y)$ for all $i < d$ and an epimorphism $H^{A^1}_d(X) \to H^{A^1}_d(Y; R)$, then $f$ induces a quasi-isomorphism $C^{A^1}_*(X) \cong C^{A^1}_*(Y)$. 
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If $f$ induces an isomorphism $H^S_i(X) \cong H^S_i(Y)$ for all $i < d$ and an epimorphism $H^S_d(X) \twoheadrightarrow H^S_d(Y)$, then $f$ induces an isomorphism of motives $M(X) \cong M(Y)$.

Next our excision theorem for $A^1$-homology sheaves is stated as follows.

**Theorem 1.3** (see Theorem 5.10). Let $X$ be a smooth $k$-scheme and $U$ a Zariski open set of $X$ whose complement has codimension $r$. Then the morphisms

$$H^A_i(U) \to H^A_i(X) \quad \text{and} \quad H^S_i(U) \to H^S_i(X)$$

are isomorphisms for every $i < r - 1$ and epimorphisms for $i = r - 1$.

Asok [As] proved a similar result in degree 0. We also obtain the $A^1$-homotopy version of the excision theorem.

**Corollary 1.4** (see Corollary 5.11). Assume $k$ perfect. Let $(X, *)$ be a pointed smooth $k$-scheme and $(U, *)$ a pointed Zariski open set of $(X, *)$ whose complement has codimension $r$. If $(X, *)$ and $(U, *)$ are $A^1$-simply connected, then the morphism

$$\pi^A_i(U, *) \to \pi^A_i(X, *)$$

is an isomorphism for every $i < r - 1$ and an epimorphism for $i = r - 1$.

This is a generalization of the $A^1$-excision theorem of Asok-Doran [AD] which assumes that $\pi^A_i(X, *) = 0$ for all $i < r - 1$ and $k$ infinite.

In order to prove these results, we develop a general theory of relative $A^1$-homotopy and $A^1$-homology sheaves. If $f : X \to Y$ is a morphism of smooth $k$-schemes, we define its relative $A^1$-homology sheaves $\pi^A_i(f)$ and relative $A^1$-homology sheaves $H^A_i(f)$. We prove a weak relative version of Morel’s $A^1$-Hurewicz theorem [Mo2] relating $\pi^A_i(f)$ and $H^A_i(f)$ (Proposition 3.2), and a relative Whitehead theorem for $A^1$-homology (Corollary 3.4). Similarly, we also define relative Suslin homology $H^S_i(f)$, and establish a weak comparison result between $H^A_i(f)$ and $H^S_i(f)$ (Theorem 4.3). After this introduction of relative setting, Theorem 1.3 and Corollary 1.4 reduce to

$$H^A_i(X, U) = 0, \quad H^S_i(X, U) = 0, \quad \pi^A_i(X, U) = 0$$

in $i < r$, where we write $H^A_i(X, U) = H^A_i(U \hookrightarrow X)$ and so on. The degree bound in Theorem 1.1 comes from a vanishing theorem for Nisnevich cohomology (cf. Lemma 5.2).

Finally, as an example of relative $A^1$-homology and as an application of the results above, we compute the relative $A^1$-homology sheaves of the pair $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathbb{P}^{n-1})$. This implies stabilization of $H^A_i(\mathbb{P}^n)$ in $i < n$. Let $K_n^{MW}$ be the unramified Milnor-Witt $K$-theory defined by Morel [Mo2].
Theorem 1.5 (see Theorem 7.1). Assume $k$ perfect. For $0 \leq i \leq n$, $n > 0$, we have
\[
H_{A^1}^i (\mathbb{P}^n, \mathbb{P}^{n-1}) \cong \begin{cases} 
K_{MW}^i & (i = n) \\
0 & (i < n). 
\end{cases}
\]
In particular, we have
\[
H_{A^1}^i (\mathbb{P}^n) \cong H_{A^1}^i (\mathbb{P}^{i+1})
\]
if $i < n$.

Similar stabilization $H_{A^1}^i (\mathbb{P}^n) \cong H_{A^1}^i (\mathbb{P}^{i+1})$ in $i < n$ also holds for the Suslin homology (Corollary 7.3). The $A^1$-homology $H_{A^1}^i (\mathbb{P}^{i+1})$ can be described in terms of $K_{MW}^i$ (Corollary 7.2). In the course of the proof, we establish the Zariski excision property for $A^1$-homology sheaves (Proposition 6.4).

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall $A^1$-homotopy, $A^1$-homology, and Suslin homology, and introduce their relative version. Let $Sm_k$ be the category of $k$-spaces, i.e., simplicial Nisnevich sheaves on $Sm_k$. By using the Yoneda embedding $Sm_k \to Spc_k$, we regard smooth $k$-schemes as $k$-spaces. Then the final object of $Spc_k$ is Spec $k$. We refer to [GJ] for the simplicial homotopy theory.

Notation. In this paper, we fix a field $k$ and a commutative unital ring $R$. We denote $Sm_k$ for the category of smooth $k$-schemes. Every sheaf is considered on the big Nisnevich topology on $Sm_k$. Objects of an abelian category are regarded as complexes concentrated in degree zero. For a functor $F : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$, the morphism $f : A \to A'$ of $\mathcal{D}$ is called universal with respect to $F$, if for every object $B \in \mathcal{C}$ every morphism $A \to F(B)$ of $\mathcal{D}$ factors uniquely through $f$. In other words, $f$ induces a bijection $\text{Hom}_\mathcal{D}(A', F(B)) \cong \text{Hom}_\mathcal{D}(A', F(B))$ for all $B \in \mathcal{C}$.
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2 Basic definitions

In this section, we recall basic facts of $A^1$-homotopy, $A^1$-homology, and Suslin homology, and introduce their relative version. Let $Spc_k$ be the category of $k$-spaces, i.e., simplicial Nisnevich sheaves on $Sm_k$. By using the Yoneda embedding $Sm_k \to Spc_k$, we regard smooth $k$-schemes as $k$-spaces. Then the final object of $Spc_k$ is Spec $k$. We refer to [GJ] for the simplicial homotopy theory.

2.1 $A^1$-homotopy theory

In [MV], Morel-Voevodsky constructed two model structures on $Spc_k$, called the simplicial model structure and the $A^1$-model structure. The simplicial model structure is defined by cofibrations as monomorphisms and weak equivalences.
as morphisms that induce weak equivalences of simplicial sets at all stalks (see [MV, Thm. 1.4]). We denote $\mathcal{H}_s(k)$ for the homotopy category of this model structure.

The $\mathbb{A}^1$-model structure is defined as a Bousfield localization of the simplicial model structure. A k-space $\mathcal{X}$ is called $\mathbb{A}^1$-local, if for every k-space $\mathcal{Y}$ the map $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_s(k)}(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X}) \to \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_s(k)}(\mathcal{Y} \times \mathbb{A}^1, \mathcal{X})$ induced by the projection is bijective. A morphism of k-spaces $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ is called an $\mathbb{A}^1$-weak equivalence, if for every $\mathbb{A}^1$-local k-space $\mathcal{Z}$ the induced map $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_s(k)}(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z}) \to \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_s(k)}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Z})$ is bijective. The $\mathbb{A}^1$-model structure is defined by cofibrations as monomorphisms and weak equivalences as $\mathbb{A}^1$-weak equivalences (see [MV, Thm. 3.2]). We denote $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}^1}(k)$ for the homotopy category of the $\mathbb{A}^1$-model structure. The $\mathbb{A}^1$-connected components $\pi_{n}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})$ of a k-spaces $\mathcal{X}$ is defined as the Nisnevich sheafification of the presheaf $U \mapsto \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}^1}(k)}(U, \mathcal{X})$. Then $\mathcal{X}$ is called $\mathbb{A}^1$-connected if $\pi_{n}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})$ is isomorphic to Spec $k$ as a sheaf of sets.

Morel-Voevodsky also defined an $\mathbb{A}^1$-version of homotopy groups, called $\mathbb{A}^1$-homotopy sheaves. By [MV] Lem. 3.20 and 3.21, there exist an endofunctor $\text{Ex}_{\mathbb{A}^1}$ of $\text{Spec}k$ and a natural transformation $\theta : \text{Id} \to \text{Ex}_{\mathbb{A}^1}$ such that $\text{Ex}_{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})$ is $\mathbb{A}^1$-local and $\mathcal{X} \to \text{Ex}_{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})$ is an $\mathbb{A}^1$-weak equivalence for every k-space $\mathcal{X}$. Let $(\mathcal{X}, *)$ be a pointed k-space, i.e., a pair of a k-space $\mathcal{X}$ and a subspace Spec $k \cong * \subseteq \mathcal{X}$. Then $\text{Ex}_{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})$ is also pointed by the image of Spec $k \to \mathcal{X} \to \text{Ex}_{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})$. The sheaf $\pi_{n}(\mathcal{X}, *)$ is defined as the Nisnevich sheafification of the presheaf $U \mapsto \pi_{n}(\mathcal{X}(U), *)$. Then the sheaf $\pi_{n}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}, *) = \pi_{n}(\text{Ex}_{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}), *)$ is called the $\mathbb{A}^1$-homotopy sheaf of $(\mathcal{X}, *)$. A pointed k-space is called $\mathbb{A}^1$-n-connected if its $\mathbb{A}^1$-homotopy sheaves in degree $\leq n$ are isomorphic to Spec $k$ as a sheaf of sets. Especially, a pointed k-space is called $\mathbb{A}^1$-simply connected, if it is $\mathbb{A}^1$-1-connected. We generalize these definitions to morphisms of pointed k-spaces.

**Definition 2.1.** For a morphism of pointed k-spaces $f : (\mathcal{X}, *) \to (\mathcal{Y}, *)$, the $\mathbb{A}^1$-homotopy sheaf of $f$ is defined by

\[
\pi_{i}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(f) = \begin{cases} 
\pi_{i-1}(F_{\mathbb{A}^1}(f)) & (i > 0) \\
\text{Spec } k & (i = 0),
\end{cases}
\]

where $F_{\mathbb{A}^1}(f)$ is the homotopy fiber with respect to the $\mathbb{A}^1$-model structure. When $f$ is an inclusion, we write $\pi_{i}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X}) = \pi_{i}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(f)$, a morphism (or a pair) is called $\mathbb{A}^1$-n-connected if its $\mathbb{A}^1$-homotopy sheaves in degree $\leq n$ are isomorphic to Spec $k$.

Since $F_{\mathbb{A}^1}(f) \to \mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{Y}$ is a homotopy fiber sequence under the $\mathbb{A}^1$-model structure, we obtain the long exact sequence

\[
\cdots \to \pi_{i}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}, *) \to \pi_{i}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{Y}, *) \to \pi_{i}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(f) \to \pi_{i-1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}, *) \to \cdots
\]

\[
\cdots \to \pi_{0}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}, *) \to \pi_{0}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{Y}, *) \to 0,
\]
called $\mathbb{A}^1$-homotopy long exact sequence (see, e.g., [AE] Prop. 4.2.1)). This implies that $\pi_i^A(X, *) \cong \pi_i^{A^1}((*, *) \to (X, *))$ for all $i \geq 2$. A presheaf $S$ on $\mathcal{S}m_k$ is called $\mathbb{A}^1$-invariant, if the canonical morphism $S(U) \to S(U \times \mathbb{A}^1)$ is an isomorphism for every $U \in \mathcal{S}m_k$. Following Morel [Mo2], a Nisnevich sheaf of groups $\mathcal{G}$ is called strongly $\mathbb{A}^1$-invariant, if the presheaf $H^i_{Nis}(-, \mathcal{G})$ is $\mathbb{A}^1$-invariant for each $i = 0, 1$. By [Mo2] Rmk. 5.4, $\mathbb{A}^1$-homotopy sheaves are strongly $\mathbb{A}^1$-invariant. We denote $\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{A}^1}$ for the category of strongly $\mathbb{A}^1$-invariant sheaves.

### 2.2 $\mathbb{A}^1$-homology sheaves

We recall the $\mathbb{A}^1$-homology theory founded by Morel [Mo2]. For a commutative unital ring $R$, let $\mathbf{Mod}_R(R)$ be the category of Nisnevich sheaves of $R$-modules and $\mathbf{D}(k, R)$ its unbounded derived category of chain complexes. Especially, we write $\mathcal{A}^1b_k = \mathbf{Mod}_k(Z)$. A chain complex $C_*$ of $\mathbf{Mod}_k(R)$ is called $\mathbb{A}^1$-local, if the Nisnevich hypercohomology $\mathbb{H}^i_{Nis}(-, C_*)$ is $\mathbb{A}^1$-invariant for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. We denote $\mathbf{D}(k, R)^{\mathbb{A}^1-loc}$ for the full subcategory of $\mathbf{D}(k, R)$ consisting of $\mathbb{A}^1$-local complexes. Let $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{A}^1}(k, R)$ be the Verdier quotient of $\mathbf{D}(k, R)$ by the thick subcategory generated by complexes of the form $R(X) \to R(X \times \mathbb{A}^1)$ for each $X \in \mathcal{S}m_k$. The result of Cisinski-Déglise [CD1] 4.2 and Prop. 4.3] says that the canonical functor $\mathbf{D}(k, R)^{\mathbb{A}^1-loc} \to \mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{A}^1}(k, R)$ is an equivalence of triangulated categories. This was first proved by Morel [Mo2].

We write $L_{\mathbb{A}^1}$, called $\mathbb{A}^1$-localization functor, for the composite functor $\mathbf{D}(k, R) \to \mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{A}^1}(k, R) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{D}(k, R)^{\mathbb{A}^1-loc}$. Then $L_{\mathbb{A}^1}$ is left adjoint to the inclusion $\mathbf{D}(k, R)^{\mathbb{A}^1-loc} \to \mathbf{D}(k, R)$.

For $X \in \mathbf{Sp}_k$, we denote $R(X)$ for the Nisnevich sheafification of the presheaf of the simplicial free $R$-modules generated by $X$. Let $C(X; R)$ be the normalized chain complex of $R(X)$. We write $C^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X; R) = L_{\mathbb{A}^1} C(X; R)$. Then the $\mathbb{A}^1$-homology sheaf $\mathbf{H}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X; R)$ is defined as the homology $H_*(C^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X; R))$. We generalize the $\mathbb{A}^1$-homology sheaves to morphisms by using the mapping cone.

**Definition 2.2.** Let $f : X \to Y$ be a morphism of $k$-spaces. We denote $C(f; R)$ for the mapping cone of $C(X; R) \to C(Y; R)$. We write $C^{\mathbb{A}^1}(f; R) = L_{\mathbb{A}^1}(C(f; R))$. We define the $\mathbb{A}^1$-homology sheaf $\mathbf{H}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(f; R)$ as the homology of $C^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X; R)$. When $f$ is an inclusion, we write $C^{\mathbb{A}^1}(Y, X; R) = C^{\mathbb{A}^1}(f; R)$ and $\mathbf{H}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(Y, X; R) = H^{\mathbb{A}^1}(f; R)$.

A Nisnevich sheaf of abelian groups is called strictly $\mathbb{A}^1$-invariant, if it is $\mathbb{A}^1$-local as a complex. Since $C_k^{\mathbb{A}^1}(f; R)$ is $\mathbb{A}^1$-local, the $\mathbb{A}^1$-homology sheaves of a morphism $f$ are strictly $\mathbb{A}^1$-invariant by [Mo2] Cor. 5.23]. Furthermore, $\mathbf{H}^{\mathbb{A}^1}_i(f; R) = 0$ for all $i < 0$ by [Mo2] Thm. 5.22. We denote $\mathbf{Mod}_{k}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(R)$ for the category of strictly $\mathbb{A}^1$-invariant sheaves. Especially, we write $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{A}^1}_{k} = \mathbf{Mod}_{k}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(R)$. Morel [Mo2] proved the $\mathbb{A}^1$-Hurewicz theorem relating $\mathbb{A}^1$-homotopy and $\mathbb{A}^1$-homology.
Theorem 2.3 (see [Mo2] Thm. 5.35 and 5.37). Let \((X, \ast)\) be a pointed \(k\)-space. Then there exists a natural morphism

\[
h : \pi_{n}^{A}(X, \ast) \rightarrow H_{n}^{A}(X; \mathbb{Z})
\]

such that if \((X, \ast)\) is \(A^{1}\)-(\(n - 1\))-connected for \(n \geq 1\), then \(h\) is universal with respect to the inclusion \(\mathbb{A}^{1}_{k} \hookrightarrow G_{r}A^{1}_{k}\).

Morel’s \(A^{1}\)-Hurewicz theorem is only proved over perfect fields, but his proof without using [Mo2, Cor. 5.2] also proves this weak version over arbitrary fields. For a pointed \(k\)-space \((X, \ast)\), we write \(\tilde{C}^{A^{1}}(X; R) \equiv C^{A^{1}}(X, \ast; R)\) and \(\tilde{H}^{A^{1}}_{n}(X; R) = H^{A^{1}}_{n}(X, \ast; R)\). Since \(C^{A^{1}}(\text{Spec} k; R) \cong R\) by [As] Example 2.6 and the morphism \(C^{A^{1}}(X; R) \rightarrow C^{A^{1}}(\text{Spec} k; R)\) is split, we have \(C^{A^{1}}(X; R) = \tilde{C}^{A^{1}}(X; R) \oplus R\).

### 2.3 Suslin homology sheaves

In this subsection, we recall from [CD1] the unbounded analogue of the construction of Voevodsky’s triangulated category of motives in [V]. Let \(\mathcal{C} \mathcal{O}_{k,R}\) be the category of finite correspondences with coefficients \(R\), i.e., objects are same to \(\mathcal{S} \mathcal{M}_{k}\) and \(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C} \mathcal{O}_{k,R}}(X, Y)\) for \(X, Y \in \mathcal{S} \mathcal{M}_{k}\) is the free \(R\)-module generated by closed integral subschemes of \(X \times Y\) which are surjective and finite over an irreducible component of \(X\). Then there exists a functor \(\Gamma : \mathcal{S} \mathcal{M}_{k} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \mathcal{O}_{k,R}\) which sends a morphism \(f : X \rightarrow Y\) to the cycle corresponding to its graph \(\Gamma f \subseteq X \times Y\). A contravariant \(R\)-linear functor \(A\) from \(\mathcal{C} \mathcal{O}_{k,R}\) to the category of \(R\)-modules is called Nisnevich sheaf with transfers, if the presheaf \(A \circ \Gamma\) on \(\mathcal{S} \mathcal{M}_{k}\) is a Nisnevich sheaf. We denote \(\mathcal{N} \mathcal{S} \mathcal{T}_{k}(R)\) for the category of Nisnevich sheaves with transfers of \(R\)-modules. By [MVW] Thm. 13.1, \(\mathcal{N} \mathcal{S} \mathcal{T}_{k}(R)\) is regarded as an abelian tensor subcategory of \(\mathcal{M} \mathcal{O}_{k}(R)\). We write \(\mathcal{N} \mathcal{S} \mathcal{T}_{k}^{A^{1}}(R)\) for the full subcategory of \(\mathcal{N} \mathcal{S} \mathcal{T}_{k}(R)\) consisting of strictly \(A^{1}\)-invariant sheaves. Note that when \(k\) is perfect, a Nisnevich sheaf with transfers is strictly \(A^{1}\)-invariant if and only if this is \(A^{1}\)-invariant (see [MVW] Thm. 13.8).

Let \(\mathcal{D} \mathcal{T}_{r}(k, R)\) be the unbounded derived category of chain complexes of \(\mathcal{N} \mathcal{S} \mathcal{T}_{k}(R)\) and \(\mathcal{D} \mathcal{T}_{r}(k, R)^{A^{1} - \text{loc}}\) its subcategory consisting of \(A^{1}\)-local complexes. Following Voevodsky’s notation, we denote \(\mathcal{D} \mathcal{M}^{eff}(k, R)\) for the Verdier quotient of \(\mathcal{D} \mathcal{T}_{r}(k, R)\) by the thick subcategory generated by complexes of the form \(R_{tr}(X) \rightarrow R_{tr}(X \times A^{1})\) for each \(X \in \mathcal{S} \mathcal{M}_{k}\). By [CD1] 4.2 and Prop. 4.3, the canonical functor \(\mathcal{D} \mathcal{T}_{r}(k, R)^{A^{1} - \text{loc}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \mathcal{M}^{eff}(k, R)\) is an equivalence of triangulated categories\(^1\). Then the composite functor

\[
L_{A^{1}}^{fr} : \mathcal{D} \mathcal{T}_{r}(k, R) \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \mathcal{M}^{eff}(k, R) \cong \mathcal{D} \mathcal{T}_{r}(k, R)^{A^{1} - \text{loc}}
\]

\(^1\)The notation \(\mathcal{D} \mathcal{M}^{eff}(k, R)\) is not the same as in the introduction, but this equivalence gives a canonical identification.
is left adjoint to the inclusion $D_{tr}(k, R)^{A^1-loc} \hookrightarrow D_{tr}(k, R)$. Since the diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
D_{tr}(k, R) & \longrightarrow & DM^{eff}(k, R) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
D(k, R) & \longrightarrow & D_{\Delta^1}(k, R)
\end{array}
\xleftarrow{\cong}
\begin{array}{ccc}
D_{tr}(k, R)^{A^1-loc} & \leftarrow & D(k, R)^{A^1-loc}.
\end{array}
$$

commutes up to natural equivalences, so is the diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
D_{tr}(k, R) & \xrightarrow{L_{\Delta^1}} & D_{tr}(k, R)^{A^1-loc} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
D(k, R) & \xrightarrow{L_{\Delta^1}} & D(k, R)^{A^1-loc}
\end{array}
\quad (2.1)
$$

For $X \in Sm_k$, we denote $R_{tr}(X)$ for the presheaf of $R$-modules $\text{Hom}_{\text{Cor}_{k, k}}(-, X)$ on $Sm_k$. Then $R_{tr}(X)$ is a Nisnevich sheaf with transfers (see [MVW] Lem. 6.2). In [Vo], the Suslin complex $C(X)$ is defined as the normalized chain complex of the simplicial sheaf $R_{tr}(X)(\Delta^* \times -)$ where $\Delta^*$ is the cosimplicial scheme

$$\Delta^n = \text{Spec } k[T_1, \ldots, T_n]/(T_1 + \cdots + T_n - 1).$$

The homology sheaf $H_i(C(X))$ is called the Suslin homology sheaf, whose sections over $\text{Spec } k$ coincides with the Suslin homology group introduced by Suslin-Voevodsky [SV]. On the other hand, Aso [As] defined the Suslin homology sheaf as $H_i(L^m_{\Delta^1}(R_{tr}(X)))$. The two definitions coincide when $k$ is perfect (see [Vo] Prop. 3.2.3). We generalize Asok’s definition for morphisms.

**Definition 2.4.** Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism in $Sm_k$. Then we denote $R_{tr}(f)$ for the mapping cone of the morphism $R_{tr}(X) \rightarrow R_{tr}(Y)$. We write $L^m_{\Delta^1}(R_{tr}(f)) = C^S(f; R)$. We define the Suslin homology sheaf $H^S_{tr}(f; R)$ as the homology of $C^S(f; R)$. When $f$ is an embedding, we write $R_{tr}(Y, X) = R_{tr}(f)$ and $H^S_{tr}(Y, X; R) = H^S_{tr}(f; R)$.

Since $C^S(f; R)$ is $A^1$-local, the Suslin homology sheaves of a morphism $f$ are strictly $A^1$-invariant by [Mo2] Cor. 5.23. Furthermore, $H^S_{tr}(f; R) = 0$ for all $i < 0$ by [Mo2] Thm. 5.22.

When $k$ is perfect, Voevodsky’s motive $M(X; R)$ is the image of $R_{tr}(X)$ by the canonical functor $D_{tr}(k, R) \rightarrow DM^{eff}(k, R)$. Following this, we define the motive $M(f; R)$ of a morphism $f$ as the image of $R_{tr}(f)$ by the functor $D_{tr}(k, R) \rightarrow DM^{eff}(k, R)$. In other words, $M(f; R)$ corresponds to $C^S(f; R)$ under the equivalence $D_{tr}(k, R)^{A^1-loc} \cong DM^{eff}(k, R)$.

### 3 Relative $A^1$-Hurewicz and $A^1$-Whitehead theorems

In this section, we prove a weak version of the relative $A^1$-Hurewicz theorem. By using this, we also prove an $A^1$-version of the Whitehead theorem on $A^1$-
homology sheaves. We use the following well-known lemma on derived categories.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian category and $\mathcal{D}$ its derived category of chain complexes. Let $C \in \mathcal{D}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $H_i(C) = 0$ for all $i < n$, then there exists a natural isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_\mathcal{D}(C, A[n]) \cong \text{Hom}_\mathcal{A}(H_n(C), A)$$

for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

**Proof.** See, e.g., [As] proof of Lem. 3.3.

We prove a weak version of the relative $A^1$-Hurewicz theorem.

**Proposition 3.2.** Let $f : (X, *) \to (Y, *)$ be a morphism from an $A^1$-simply connected pointed $k$-space to an $A^1$-connected $k$-space. Suppose that $f$ is $A^1$-$(n - 1)$-connected for $n \geq 2$. Then there exists an universal morphism

$$h : \pi_{A^1}^n(f) \to H_{A^1}^n(f; \mathbb{Z})$$

with respect to the inclusion $A^1_k \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G}_r A^1_k$.

**Proof.** We write $H_n(-) = H_n(C(-; \mathbb{Z}))$. By applying the relative Hurewicz theorem of simplicial sets for all stalks, we have a natural isomorphism $\pi_{A^1}^n(f) \cong H_i(\text{Ex}_{A^1}(f))$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $H_0(\text{Ex}_{A^1}(f)) = 0$. Thus we obtain $H_i(\text{Ex}_{A^1}(f)) = 0$ for every $i \leq n - 1$. By the isomorphism $\pi_{A^1}^n(f) \cong H_n(\text{Ex}_{A^1}(f))$, there exists an isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{A^1_k}(\pi_{A^1}^n(f), A) \cong \text{Hom}_{A^1_k}(H_n(\text{Ex}_{A^1}(f)), A)$$

(3.1)

for every $A \in A^1_k$. Since $H_i(\text{Ex}_{A^1}(f)) = 0$ for all $i \leq n - 1$, Lemma 3.1 and the adjunction on $L_{A^1}$ show that

$$\text{Hom}_{A^1_k}(H_n(\text{Ex}_{A^1}(f)), A) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(k, \mathbb{Z})}(C(\text{Ex}_{A^1}(f); \mathbb{Z}), A[n])$$

$$\cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(k, \mathbb{Z})}(C^{A^1}(\text{Ex}_{A^1}(f); \mathbb{Z}), A[n]).$$

Then $H_i(C^{A^1}(\text{Ex}_{A^1}(f); \mathbb{Z})) = 0$ for all $i \leq n - 1$ by [Mc2] Thm. 5.22. Thus Lemma 3.1 also shows that

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(k, \mathbb{Z})}(C^{A^1}(\text{Ex}_{A^1}(f); \mathbb{Z}), A[n]) \cong \text{Hom}_{A^1_k}(H_n^{A^1}(\text{Ex}_{A^1}(f)); \mathbb{Z}), A).$$

(3.3)

The morphism of distinguished triangles

$$C^{A^1}(X; \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow C^{A^1}(Y; \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow C^{A^1}(f; \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow$$

$$\cong \downarrow \quad \cong \downarrow \quad \cong \downarrow$$

$$C^{A^1}(\text{Ex}_{A^1}(X); \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow C^{A^1}(\text{Ex}_{A^1}(Y); \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow C^{A^1}(\text{Ex}_{A^1}(f); \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow$$
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in $D(k, \mathbb{Z})$ induced by the natural transformation $\text{Id} \to \text{Ex}_{\mathbb{A}^1}$ gives an quasi-isomorphism $C_{\mathbb{A}^1}^\bullet(f; \mathbb{Z}) \to C_{\mathbb{A}^1}^\bullet(\text{Ex}_{\mathbb{A}^1}(f); \mathbb{Z})$. Therefore we obtain an isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Ab}_{\mathbb{A}^1}}(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^\bullet(\text{Ex}_{\mathbb{A}^1}(f); \mathbb{Z}), A) \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{Ab}_{\mathbb{A}^1}}(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^\bullet(f; \mathbb{Z}), A).$$

(3.4)

By the isomorphisms (3.1)-(3.4), we have

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Ab}_{\mathbb{A}^1}}(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^\bullet(f), A) \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{Ab}_{\mathbb{A}^1}}(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^\bullet(f; \mathbb{Z}), A) = \text{Hom}_{\text{Ab}_{\mathbb{A}^1}}(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^\bullet(f; \mathbb{Z}), A)$$

(3.5)

for all $A \in \text{Ab}_{\mathbb{A}^1}$. On the other hand, there exists an universal morphism

$$h_\bullet : \pi_{\mathbb{A}^1}^\bullet(f) \to H_0(L_{\mathbb{A}^1}(\pi_{\mathbb{A}^1}^\bullet(f)))$$

with respect to the inclusion $\text{Ab}_{\mathbb{A}^1} \hookrightarrow \text{Ab}_{\mathbb{A}^1}$. Since $\pi_{\mathbb{A}^1}^\bullet(f)$ and $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^\bullet(f; \mathbb{Z})$ are strongly $\mathbb{A}^1$-invariant, the morphism $h_\bullet$ is universal with respect to the inclusion $\text{Ab}_{\mathbb{A}^1} \hookrightarrow \text{Ab}_{\mathbb{A}^1}$. □

When $k$ is perfect, Proposition 3.2 gives an isomorphism between the $\mathbb{A}^1$-homotopy and the $\mathbb{A}^1$-homology.

**Corollary 3.3.** Let $f$ be as in Proposition 3.2. If $k$ is perfect, then there exists a natural isomorphism $\pi_{\mathbb{A}^1}^\bullet(f) \cong \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^\bullet(f; \mathbb{Z})$.

Proof. Since $\pi_{\mathbb{A}^1}^\bullet(f) \in \text{Ab}_{\mathbb{A}^1}$ by [Mo2 Cor. 5.2], Yoneda’s lemma in $\text{Ab}_{\mathbb{A}^1}$ gives a natural isomorphism $\pi_{\mathbb{A}^1}^\bullet(f) \cong \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^\bullet(f; \mathbb{Z})$. □

By using Corollary 3.3, we obtain the $\mathbb{A}^1$-Whitehead theorem on $\mathbb{A}^1$-homology sheaves.

**Corollary 3.4.** Assume $k$ perfect. Let $f : (X, \ast) \to (Y, \ast)$ be a morphism of $\mathbb{A}^1$-simply connected pointed $k$-spaces and $n \geq 2$ an integer. If $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^i(f; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ for all $2 \leq i \leq n$, then $f$ is $\mathbb{A}^1$-n-connected.

Proof. We use induction on $i$. The assertion is clear for $i = 0$. We next consider the case $i = 1$. There exists an exact sequence

$$\pi_{\mathbb{A}^1}^1(Y, \ast) \to \pi_{\mathbb{A}^1}^1(f) \to \pi_{\mathbb{A}^1}^0(X, \ast).$$

Since $\pi_{\mathbb{A}^1}^1(Y, \ast) = \pi_{\mathbb{A}^1}^1(X, \ast) = 0$, we have $\pi_{\mathbb{A}^1}^1(f) = 0$. Finally, let $i \geq 2$ and $\pi_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{i-1}(f) = 0$. Then Corollary 3.3 shows that $\pi_{\mathbb{A}^1}^i(f) \cong \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^i(f; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$. □
4 Comparing $\mathbb{A}^1$-homology and Suslin homology

In this section, we give a relationship between $\mathbb{A}^1$-homology and Suslin homology. For this, we study the natural transformation $R(-) \to R_{tr}(-)$ defined as follows. For $X \in Sm_k$, we have a morphism of sheaves $X \to R_{tr}(X)$ induced by the canonical functor $\Gamma : Sm_k \to Cor_k$. Since $R_{tr}(X)$ is a Nisnevich sheaf, this morphism is extended to $\gamma_X : R(X) \to R_{tr}(X)$. Let $f : X \to Y$ be a morphism in $Sm_k$ and we simply write $R(f) = C(f; R)$. Then $\gamma_X$ and $\gamma_Y$ induce a morphism of chain complexes $\gamma_f : R(f) \to R_{tr}(f)$. The next lemma gives a relationship between $R(f)$ and $R_{tr}(f)$.

Lemma 4.1. Let $f : X \to Y$ be a morphism in $Sm_k$. For each $A \in \text{NST}_k(R)$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the composite morphism

$$\text{Hom}_{D_{tr}(k,R)}(R_{tr}(f), A[n]) \to \text{Hom}_{D(k,R)}(R_{tr}(f), A[n]) \to \text{Hom}_{D(k,R)}(R(f), A[n])$$

is an isomorphism, where the left morphism is induced by the canonical functor $D_{tr}(k,R) \to D(k,R)$ and the right morphism is induced by $\gamma_f$.

Proof. By the five lemma, it suffices to show the similar assertion by replacing $f$ with a smooth $k$-scheme. This follows from [CD2, Cor. 10.3.11] and its proof.

The next lemma is a direct consequence of Lemma 3.1.

Lemma 4.2. Let $n$ be an integer.

1. Let $f$ be a morphism in $\text{Spc}_k$. If $H^{\mathbb{A}^1}_i(f; R) = 0$ for all $i < n$, then there exists an isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^1}(\text{H}^{\mathbb{A}^1}_n(f; R), A) \cong \text{Hom}_{D(k,R)}(C(f; R), A[n])$$

for every $A \in \text{Mod}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^1$.

2. Let $f$ be a morphism in $Sm_k$. If $H^S_i(f; R) = 0$ for all $i < n$, then there exists an isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{NST}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^1}(\text{H}^S_n(f; R), A) \cong \text{Hom}_{D_{tr}(k,R)}(R_{tr}(f), A[n])$$

for every $A \in \text{NST}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^1(R)$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.1 and the adjunction on $L_{\mathbb{A}^1}$, there exists an isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^1}(\text{H}^{\mathbb{A}^1}_n(f; R), A) = \text{Hom}_{D(k,R)}(\text{H}^{\mathbb{A}^1}_n(f; R), A)$$

$$\cong \text{Hom}_{D(k,R)}(C^{\mathbb{A}^1}_n(f; R), A[n])$$

$$\cong \text{Hom}_{D(k,R)}(C(f; R), A[n]).$$

Similarly, we have

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{NST}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^1}(\text{H}^S_n(f; R), A) \cong \text{Hom}_{D_{tr}(k,R)}(R_{tr}(f), A[n]).$$
For a morphism $f$ in $\mathcal{S}m_k$, we define a natural morphism $H^A_i(f;R) \to H^S_i(f;R)$. Since the functor $\text{NST}_k(R) \to \mathcal{M}od_k(R)$ is exact, by the diagram (2.1), there exists a natural isomorphism of sheaves

$$H^S_i(f;R) \cong H_*(L_{A^1}(R_{tr}(f)))$$

where the homology of the right hand side is taken in $\mathcal{M}od_k(R)$. On the other hand, the morphism $\gamma_f : R(f) \to R_{tr}$ induces a morphism $H^A_i(f;R) \to H_*(L_{A^1}(R_{tr}(f)))$ by taking the $A^1$-localizations and the homology. Thus we have natural morphism

$$H^A_i(f;R) \to H_*(L_{A^1}(R_{tr}(f))) \cong H^S_i(f;R).$$

Via this morphism, we obtain a weak comparison theorem of $A^1$-homology and Suslin homology. The following theorem is a generalization of a result of Asok [AS, Cor. 3.4] to higher degree.

**Theorem 4.3.** Let $f : X \to Y$ be a morphism in $\mathcal{S}m_k$ and $n \geq 0$. If $H^A_i(f;R) = 0$ for all $i < n$, then the natural morphism $H^A_i(f;R) \to H^S_i(f;R)$ is universal with respect to the canonical functor $\text{NST}_k^{A^1}(R) \to \mathcal{M}od_k^{A^1}(R)$.

**Proof.** By induction on $n$ and Yoneda’s lemma in $\text{NST}_k^{A^1}(R)$, we may assume $H^S_i(f;R) = 0$ for all $i < n$. Then we have isomorphisms

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}od_k^{A^1}(R)}(H^A_n(f;R), A) \cong \text{Hom}_{D(k,R)}(R(f), A[n]),$$

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{NST}_k^{A^1}(R)}(H^S_n(f;R), A) \cong \text{Hom}_{D_{tr}(k,R)}(R_{tr}(f), A[n])$$

by Lemma 4.2. Therefore Lemma 4.2 gives a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Hom}_{\text{NST}_k^{A^1}(R)}(H^S_n(f;R), A) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \text{Hom}_{D_{tr}(k,R)}(R_{tr}(f), A[n]) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\
\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}od_k^{A^1}(R)}(H^A_n(f;R), A) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \text{Hom}_{D(k,R)}(R(f), A[n])
\end{array}$$

where the left morphism is induced by the canonical morphism. 

By Theorem 4.3 and Yoneda’s lemma in $\text{NST}_k^{A^1}(R)$, if $H^A_i(f;R) = 0$ for all $i < n$, then $H^S_i(f;R) = 0$ for all $i < n$. By using the $A^1$-Hurewicz theorem, we obtain an analogue of Hurewicz theorem relating $A^1$-homotopy and Suslin homology.

**Corollary 4.4.** (1) Let $(X, *)$ be a pointed smooth $k$-scheme which is $A^1$-$(n-1)$-connected for $n \geq 1$. Then there exists an universal morphism $\pi_n^{A^1}(X, *) \to H^S_n(X;\mathbb{Z})$ with respect to the composite functor $\text{NST}_k^{A^1}(\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathcal{M}od_k^{A^1}(R) \to \mathcal{G}r_k^{A^1}$. 
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(2) Let $f$ be an $\mathbb{A}^1$-$(n-1)$-connected morphism of $\mathbb{A}^1$-simply connected pointed smooth $k$-schemes for $n \geq 2$. Then there exists an universal morphism $\pi_{n}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(f) : H_n^{\mathbb{A}^1}(f; \mathbb{Z})$ with respect to the composite functor $\NST_{k}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{Z}) \to \Mod_{k}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{R}) \to \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{A}^1}$.

Proof. (1) follows from Theorems 4.3 and 2.3, and (2) follows from Theorem 4.3 and Proposition 3.2.

5 $\mathbb{A}^1$-Whitehead theorem with degree bound and $\mathbb{A}^1$-excision

5.1 Nisnevich cohomological dimensions of morphisms

In this section we prove Theorems 1.1-1.3. We first consider the Nisnevich cohomology of $k$-spaces and morphisms.

Definition 5.1. We define the Nisnevich cohomology of $k$-spaces (or morphisms of $k$-spaces) by $H_{Nis}^{i}(-; A) = \Hom_{D(k, \mathbb{Z})}(C(-; \mathbb{Z}), A[[i]])$ for $A \in \mathbb{A}_{k}$. We write $H_{Nis}^{i}(X, \mathbb{Z}; A)$ for the Nisnevich cohomology of the inclusion $\mathbb{Z} \subseteq X$. A $k$-space $\mathcal{X}$ (resp. a morphism $f$) is said to have Nisnevich cohomological dimension $\leq n$, if for every $A \in \mathbb{A}_{k}$ the Nisnevich cohomology $H_{Nis}^{i}(\mathcal{X}; A)$ (resp. $H_{Nis}^{i}(f; A)$) vanishes for all $i > n$. We denote $\text{cd}_{Nis}(\mathcal{X})$ (resp. $\text{cd}_{Nis}(f)$) for the minimal number of such $n$.

By [MV, Prop. 1.26] and [As, (2.1)], this definition is a generalization of the ordinary Nisnevich cohomology of schemes. First we generalize the vanishing theorem of Nisnevich cohomology [Ni, Thm. 1.32] to morphisms of smooth $k$-schemes.

Lemma 5.2. Let $f : X \to Y$ be a morphism in $\Sm_{k}$. Then

$$\text{cd}_{Nis}(f) \leq \max\{\dim X + 1, \dim Y\}.$$ 

Proof. For each $A \in \mathbb{A}_{k}$, there exists a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \to H_{Nis}^{i-1}(X; A) \to H_{Nis}^{i}(f; A) \to H_{Nis}^{i}(Y; A) \to \cdots.$$ 

Since $H_{Nis}^{i}(X; A) = H_{Nis}^{i}(Y; A) = 0$ for all $i > \max\{\dim X + 1, \dim Y\}$ by [Ni, Thm. 1.32], we have $H_{Nis}^{i}(f; A) = 0$.

Example 5.3. For a pointed $k$-space $(\mathcal{X}, *)$ and $m \geq 1$, the suspension

$$S^{m} \wedge \mathcal{X} = (S^{m} \times \mathcal{X})/(S^{m} \times \{*\} \cup \{*\} \times \mathcal{X})$$

has the Nisnevich cohomological dimension $\text{cd}_{Nis}(\mathcal{X}) + m$ (where $S^{1}$ is the constant sheaf of the simplicial circle and $S^{m+1} = S^{m} \wedge S^{1}$). This follows from the suspension isomorphism $\tilde{C}(S^{m} \wedge \mathcal{X}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \tilde{C}(\mathcal{X}; \mathbb{Z})[m]$. 


5.2 \(A^1\)-Whitehead theorem with degree bound

The bound on \(cd_{Nis}(f)\) in Lemma 5.2 enables us to deduce the following result, which is a key point in the proof of Theorem 5.6.

**Proposition 5.4.** Let \(f: X \to Y\) be a morphism in \(Sm_k\). We write \(d = \max\{\dim X + 1, \dim Y\}\):

1. If \(H^i_{\mathbb{A}^1}(f; R) = 0\) for all \(i \leq d\), then \(f\) induces an isomorphism \(C^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X; R) \cong C^{\mathbb{A}^1}(Y; R)\) in \(\mathbf{D}(k, R)\).

2. If \(H^i_S(f; R) = 0\) for all \(i \leq d\), then \(f\) induces an isomorphism of motives \(M(X; R) \cong M(Y; R)\) in \(\mathbf{DM}^{eff}(k, R)\).

**Proof.**

1. For each \(m > d\), we only need to show that if \(H^i_{\mathbb{A}^1}(f; R) = 0\) for all \(i \leq m\), then \(H^i_{m+1}(f; R) = 0\). By Lemma 5.2 there exists a natural isomorphism

\[H^i_{\mathbb{A}^1}(X; A) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}od_{\mathbb{A}^1}^k(R)}(H^i_{m+1}(f; R), A)\]

for every \(A \in \mathcal{M}od_{\mathbb{A}^1}^k(R)\). The left hand side vanishes by Lemma 5.2. Therefore Yoneda’s lemma in \(\mathcal{M}od_{\mathbb{A}^1}^k(R)\) shows that \(H^i_{m+1}(f; R) = 0\).

2. For each \(m > d\), we only need to show that if \(H^i_S(f; R) = 0\) for all \(i \leq m\), then \(H^i_{m+1}(f; R) = 0\). By Lemma 4.2 there exists a natural isomorphism

\[\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{D}_{tr}(k, R)}(R_{tr}(f), A[m + 1]) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{NST}^k_{\mathbb{A}^1}(R)}(H^i_{m+1}(f; R), A)\]

for every \(A \in \mathbb{NST}^k_{\mathbb{A}^1}(R)\). Then the left hand side coincides with \(H^i_{m+1}(X; A)\) by Lemma 5.1. Then \(H^i_{m+1}(X; A)\) vanishes by Lemma 5.2. Therefore Yoneda’s lemma in \(\mathbb{NST}^k_{\mathbb{A}^1}(R)\) shows that \(H^i_{m+1}(f; R) = 0\). Since \(C^S(f; R) = 0\), we obtain \(M(f; R) = 0\) by the equivalence \(\mathbb{D}_{tr}(k, R)^{\mathbb{A}^1-loc} \cong \mathbb{DM}^{eff}(k, R)\).

The next lemma will be used in the proof of Theorem 5.6 and in Section 7.

**Lemma 5.5.** A morphism of \(\mathbb{A}^1\)-connected \(k\)-spaces \(f: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}\) induces an isomorphism \(H^0_{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}; R) \cong H^0_{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{Y}; R)\).

**Proof.** By [As, Prop. 3.5], the morphism \(H^0_{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}; R) \to H^0_{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{Y}; R)\) comes from the morphism \(f_*: \pi^0_{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}) \to \pi^0_{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{Y})\). Since \(\pi^0_{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})\) and \(\pi^0_{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{Y})\) are isomorphic to \(Spec k\), the morphism \(f_*\) is an isomorphism.

We can now prove the \(\mathbb{A}^1\)-Whitehead theorem with dimension bound.

**Theorem 5.6.** Assume \(k\) perfect. Let \(f: (X, *) \to (Y, *)\) be a morphism of \(\mathbb{A}^1\)-simply connected pointed smooth \(k\)-schemes. If \(H^i_{\mathbb{A}^1}(f; R) = 0\) for all \(2 \leq i \leq \max\{\dim X + 1, \dim Y\}\), then \(f\) is an \(\mathbb{A}^1\)-weak equivalence.
Proof. The morphism $H^A_0(X; Z) \to H^A_0(Y; Z)$ is an isomorphism by Lemma 5.3. Moreover, $H^A_1(X; Z) = H^A_1(Y; Z) = 0$ by [Mo2 Thm. 5.35]. Thus we have $H^A_0(f; Z) = H^A_0(f; Z) = 0$. Therefore our assumption and Proposition 5.4 show that $H^A_i(f; Z) = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus we have $\pi_1^{A_i}(f) = 0$ for every $i \geq 0$ by Corollary 3.4. Since $f$ induces $\pi_1^{A_i}(X, \ast) \cong \pi_1^{A_i}(Y, \ast)$ for all $i \geq 0$, the morphism $f$ is an $\mathbb{A}^1$-weak equivalence by [MV Prop. 2.14].

Theorem 5.6 gives the following.

**Corollary 5.7.** Assume $k$ perfect. Let $f : (X, \ast) \to (Y, \ast)$ be a morphism of pointed smooth $k$-schemes and $d = \max\{\dim X + 1, \dim Y\}$. Suppose that $(X, \ast)$ is $\mathbb{A}^1$-simply connected and $(Y, \ast)$ is $\mathbb{A}^1$-connected. If $f$ is $\mathbb{A}^1$-$d$-connected, then $f$ is an $\mathbb{A}^1$-weak equivalence.

**Proof.** By $d \geq 1$, the exact sequence

$$0 = \pi_{1}^{A^1}(X, \ast) \to \pi_{1}^{A^1}(Y, \ast) \to \pi_{1}^{A^1}(f) = 0$$

shows that $(Y, \ast)$ is $\mathbb{A}^1$-simply connected. On the other hand, by Proposition 3.2, $H^1_0(f; R) = 0$ for all $i \leq d$. Thus $f$ is an $\mathbb{A}^1$-weak equivalence by Theorem 5.6.

This generalizes our previous result [Sh Thm. 3.2] where the case that $X \cong \text{Spec} k$ is considered. Proposition 5.4 also has the following application.

**Proposition 5.8.** Assume $k$ perfect. Let $f : (X, \ast) \to (Y, \ast)$ be a morphism of pointed smooth $k$-schemes. We assume that $H^A_0(f; R) = 0$ for all $i \leq \max\{\dim X + 1, \dim Y\}$. Then the morphism $S^2 \wedge f : S^2 \wedge X \to S^2 \wedge Y$ is an $\mathbb{A}^1$-weak equivalence. Moreover, if $X$ and $Y$ are $\mathbb{A}^1$-connected, then the morphism $S^1 \wedge f : S^1 \wedge X \to S^1 \wedge Y$ is an $\mathbb{A}^1$-weak equivalence.

**Proof.** For a $k$-space $X$, the suspension $S^1 \wedge X$ is $\mathbb{A}^1$-connected by [Mo2 Thm. 18]. Similarly, if $X$ is $\mathbb{A}^1$-connected, then $S^1 \wedge X$ is $\mathbb{A}^1$-simply connected. Since $f$ induces isomorphisms for all $\mathbb{A}^1$-homology sheaves by Proposition 5.4, so is $S^1 \wedge f$. Therefore Corollary 3.4 shows that $S^2 \wedge f$ is an $\mathbb{A}^1$-weak equivalence. Similarly, $S^1 \wedge f$ is an $\mathbb{A}^1$-weak equivalence when $X$ and $Y$ are $\mathbb{A}^1$-connected.

**Remark 5.9.** The results in this subsection holds generally for morphisms $X \to Y$ of $k$-spaces where $\dim X$, $\dim Y$ are replaced by $\text{cd}_{N_i}(X)$, $\text{cd}_{N_i}(Y)$, respectively.

### 5.3 $\mathbb{A}^1$-excision theorem

We next prove an excision theorem for $\mathbb{A}^1$- and Suslin homology. This is a generalization of [AS Prop. 3.8] and [Sh Lem. 4.2].
Theorem 5.10. Let $X$ be a smooth $k$-scheme and $U$ a Zariski open set of $X$ whose complement has codimension $r$. Then the morphisms

$$H^A_i(U; R) \to H^A_i(X; R) \quad \text{and} \quad H^S_i(U; R) \to H^S_i(X; R)$$

are isomorphisms for every $i < r - 1$ and epimorphisms for $i = r - 1$. In other words, we have

$$H^A_i(X, U; R) = H^S_i(X, U; R) = 0$$

for all $i < r$.

Proof. By Theorem 4.3, we only need to prove assertion for the $A^1$-homology sheaves. We use the induction on $i$. The case of $i < 0$ is in this section. We assume $i \geq 0$ and $H^A_j(X, U; R) = 0$ for all $j < i$. By Lemma 4.2, there exists a natural isomorphism

$$H^i_{Nis}(X, U; A) \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{Mod}_k^1(R)}(H^A_i(X, U; R), A)$$

for every $A \in \text{Mod}_k^1(R)$. Then the left hand side vanishes by [Mo2, Lem. 2.4.4]. Therefore we have $H^A_i(X, U; R) = 0$ by Yoneda's lemma in $\text{Mod}_k^1(R)$. \[\square\]

Theorem 5.10 gives the excision theorem for $A^1$-homotopy.

Corollary 5.11. Assume $k$ perfect. Let $(X, *)$ be a pointed smooth $k$-scheme and $(U, *)$ a pointed Zariski open set of $(X, *)$ whose complement has codimension $r$. If $(X, *)$ and $(U, *)$ are $A^1$-simply connected, then the morphism

$$\pi^A_i(U) \to \pi^A_i(X)$$

is an isomorphism for every $i < r - 1$ and an epimorphism for $i = r - 1$. In other words, the pair $(X, U)$ is $A^1$-$(r - 1)$-connected.

Proof. Since $H^A_i(X, U; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ for all $i < r$ by Theorem 5.10, the pair $(X, U)$ is $A^1$-$(r - 1)$-connected by Corollary 3.4. \[\square\]

Remark 5.12. Assume $k$ perfect. Let $(X, *)$ be an $A^1$-simply connected pointed smooth $k$-scheme and $(U, *)$ an $A^1$-connected pointed Zariski open set of $X$ whose complement has cosdimension $r \geq 2$.

(1) When $k$ is infinite, $U$ is also $A^1$-simply connected by [AD, Thm. 4.1]. Therefore Corollary 5.11 is a generalization of the $A^1$-excision theorem of Asok-Doran [AD, Thm. 4.1] which assumes that $X$ is $A^1$-$(r - 2)$-connected and $k$ infinite.

(2) If $\pi^A_i(U, *)$ is abelian, then $U$ is $A^1$-simply connected. Indeed, $A^1$-Hurewicz theorem [Mo2, Thm. 5.35] and Theorem 5.10 show that

$$\pi^A_i(U) \cong H^A_i(U; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^A_i(X; \mathbb{Z}) = 0.$$
6 Zariski excision of $\mathbb{A}^1$-homology sheaves

In this section, we prove the Zariski excision property of $\mathbb{A}^1$-homology sheaves, i.e., a canonical isomorphism $C^{h^1}(U, U \cap V; R) \cong C^{h^1}(X, V; R)$ for a Zariski covering $U, V$ of $X \in Sm_k$. This is used for the computation of the $\mathbb{A}^1$-homology of the pair $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathbb{P}^{n-1})$ in degree $\leq n$ in the next section.

Let $A$ and $B$ be subspaces of a $k$-space $X$. We define the subspaces $A \cup^* B \subseteq X$ as the sheafification of the simplicial presheaf $U \mapsto A(U) \cup B(U)$ for each $U \in Sm_k$. We also define the simplicial subpresheaf $A \cap^* B \subseteq X$ by $U \mapsto A(U) \cap B(U)$ for each $U \in Sm_k$. Note that $A \cap^* B$ is also a $k$-space. Indeed, since each terms $A_i$ and $B_i$ are Nisnevich sheaves, the gluing of sections of $(A \cap^* B)_i$ is contained in $A_i$ and $B_i$. Moreover, since $A \cap^* B$ is a subpresheaf of a sheaf, their gluing is uniquely determined. The next lemma is a homology excision theorem for pairs of $k$-spaces.

**Lemma 6.1.** For subspaces $A$ and $B$ of a $k$-space $X$, the morphism $(A, A \cap^* B) \rightarrow (A \cup^* B, B)$ induces a quasi-isomorphism

$$C(A, A \cap^* B; R) \cong C(A \cup^* B, B; R).$$

**Proof.** For a pair of $k$-spaces $(X, Y)$, since the $k$-space $R(Y)$ is a subspace of $R(X)$, there exists a natural quasi-isomorphism $N(R(X)/R(Y)) \cong C(X, Y; R)$ where the left hand side is the normalized chain complex of the Nisnevich quotient $R(X)/R(Y)$. Therefore we only need to show that the inclusion $(A, A \cap^* B) \rightarrow (A \cup^* B, B)$ induces an isomorphism of $k$-spaces

$$R(A)/R(A \cap^* B) \cong R(A \cup^* B)/R(B). \quad (6.1)$$

For each $i \geq 0$ and $U \in Sm_k$, it is clear that the inclusion of the pairs of sets

$$(A_i(U), A_i(U) \cap B_i(U)) \rightarrow (A_i(U) \cup B_i(U), B_i(U))$$

induces an isomorphism of $R$-modules

$$R(A_i(U))/R(A_i(U) \cap B_i(U)) \cong R(A_i(U) \cup B_i(U))/R(B_i(U)).$$

Therefore taking the sheafification, we obtain the isomorphism $(6.1)$. □

Next we prove that the operations $\cup^*$ and $\cap^*$ are compatible with the union and the intersection of Zariski open sets.

**Lemma 6.2.** Let $U$ and $V$ be open subschemes of $X \in Sm_k$. Then the following equations holds as a subsheaf of $X$:

$$\ldots$$
\( (1) \ U \cup V = U \cup^* V, \)

\( (2) \ U \cap V = U \cap^* V, \)

where the left hand sides mean the union and the intersection as Zariski open sets of \( X \), respectively.

**Proof.** Let \( W \in S_{mk} \).

1. We first prove \( U \cup V \subseteq U \cup^* V \). For each section \( f \in (U \cup V)(W) \), we show that \( f \in (U \cup^* V)(W) \). We write \( f_U = f|_{f^{-1}(U)} \) and \( f_V = f|_{f^{-1}(V)} \). Then we have \( f_U \in (U \cup^* V)(f^{-1}(U)) \), \( f_V \in (U \cup^* V)(f^{-1}(V)) \), and

\[
 f_U \big|_{f^{-1}(U) \cap f^{-1}(V)} = f_{f^{-1}(U) \cap f^{-1}(V)} = f_V \big|_{f^{-1}(U) \cap f^{-1}(V)}. 
\]

Therefore we obtain the gluing \( f' \in (U \cup^* V)(W) \) of \( f_U \) and \( f_V \). Since \( f \) is a section of \( X \), this is the gluing of \( f_U \) and \( f_V \) as a section of \( X \). Thus we have \( f = f' \in (U \cup^* V)(W) \).

Next we prove \( U \cup V \supseteq U \cup^* V \). For each section \( g \in (U \cup^* V)(W) \), we show that \( g \in (U \cup V)(W) \). Then there exists a Nisnevich covering \( \{W_i \to W\}_i \) such that \( g|_{W_i} \in U(W_i) \cup V(W_i) \). We write \( g_i = g|_{W_i} \). Then each \( g_i \) as a morphism \( W_i \to X \) holds \( g_i(W_i) \subseteq U \) or \( g_i(W_i) \subseteq V \). Thus for \( W' = \coprod_i W_i \) and \( g' = \coprod_i g_i \), we have \( g'(W') \subseteq U \cup V \). Since \( g' \) is the composition of \( g \) and the surjection \( W' \to W \), we obtain \( g(W) \subseteq U \cup V \). Therefore \( g \in (U \cup^* V)(W) \).

2. This follows from the following:

\[
(U \cap V)(W) = \{ f \in X(W) | f(W) \subseteq U \cap V \} \\
= \{ f \in X(W) | f(W) \subseteq U \} \cap \{ f \in X(W) | f(W) \subseteq U \} \\
= U(W) \cap V(W) \\
= (U \cap^* V)(W).
\]

\( \square \)

**Remark 6.3.** By the same argument, we can prove Lemma \( 6.2 \) for simplicial sheaves on some other Grothendieck topologies, e.g., étale and Zariski.

We now obtain the Zariski excision property of \( \mathbb{A}^1 \)-homology sheaves.

**Proposition 6.4.** Let \( \{U, V\} \) be a Zariski covering of a smooth \( k \)-scheme \( X \). Then the morphism \( (U, U \cap V) \to (X, V) \) induces an isomorphism

\[
C^\mathbb{A}^1(U, U \cap V; R) \cong C^\mathbb{A}^1(X, V; R)
\]

in \( D(k, R) \).

**Proof.** This is a consequence of Lemmas \( 6.1 \) and \( 6.2 \) \( \square \)
7 $\mathbb{A}^1$-homology of hyperplane embeddings

In this section, as an example of relative $\mathbb{A}^1$-homology, we compute the $\mathbb{A}^1$-homology of the pair $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathbb{P}^{n-1})$ in degree $\leq n$. Here we regard $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ as the hyperplane of $\mathbb{P}^n$ defined by $x_n = 0$, where $(x_0: \ldots : x_n)$ is the homogeneous coordinates of $\mathbb{P}^n$. Let $K_{MW}^n$ be the unramified Milnor-Witt $K$-theory defined by Morel [Mo2]. For $A \in \text{Ab}_k$, we write $A \otimes \mathbb{A}^1 R = H_0(L^{\mathbb{A}^1}(A \otimes R))$ which is called $\mathbb{A}^1$-tensor product by Morel [Mo1]. Our main result is the following.

**Theorem 7.1.** For $0 \leq i \leq n$, we have

$$H_{i}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathbb{P}^{n-1}; R) \cong \begin{cases} K_{MW}^n \otimes \mathbb{A}^1 R & (i = n) \\ 0 & (i < n). \end{cases}$$

In particular, we have

$$H_{i}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{P}^n; R) \cong H_{i}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{P}^{i+1}; R)$$

if $i < n$.

Theorem 7.1 also gives a description of $H_{n}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{P}^{n+1}; R)$.

**Corollary 7.2.** There exists a morphism $K_{MW}^{n+1} \otimes \mathbb{A}^1 R \to H_{n}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{P}^n; R)$ such that

$$H_{n}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{P}^{n+1}; R) \cong \text{Coker}(K_{MW}^{n+1} \otimes \mathbb{A}^1 R \to H_{n}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{P}^n; R)).$$

**Proof.** By Theorem 7.1 the homology exact sequence

$$H_{n+1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{P}^{n+1}; R) \to H_{n}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{P}^n; R) \to H_{n}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{P}^{n+1}; R) \to H_{n}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{P}^{n+1}, \mathbb{P}^n; R) = 0$$

gives an isomorphism

$$H_{n}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{P}^{n+1}; R) \cong \text{Coker}(H_{n+1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{P}^{n+1}, \mathbb{P}^n; R) \to H_{n}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{P}^n; R))$$

$$\cong \text{Coker}(K_{MW}^{n+1} \otimes \mathbb{A}^1 R \to H_{n}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{P}^n; R)).$$

\[\square\]

This theorem leads to the vanishing of the Suslin homology sheaves of $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathbb{P}^{n-1})$ in degree $< n$.

**Corollary 7.3.** For all $i < n$, we have $H_{i}^{S}(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathbb{P}^{n-1}; R) = 0$. There exists an universal morphism $K_{MW}^n \otimes \mathbb{A}^1 R \to H_{n}^{S}(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathbb{P}^{n-1}; R)$ with respect to the canonical functor $\text{NST}_{k}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(R) \to \text{Mod}_{k}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(R)$. In particular, we have

$$H_{i}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{P}^n; R) \cong H_{i}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{P}^{i+1}; R)$$

if $i < n$.

**Proof.** This follows from Theorems 7.1 and 4.3. \[\square\]
Example 7.4. The vanishing $H^i_{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathbb{P}^{n-1}; R) = 0$ for $i < n$ is an example where the Lefschetz hyperplane theorem holds. However, it is not true in general that $H^i_{\mathbb{A}^1}(X, H; R) = 0$ for $i < \dim X$ and $H \subset X$ a very ample divisor. Indeed, let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be a smooth plane curve of degree $\geq 3$. Since $C$ is not rational, it is not $\mathbb{A}^1$-connected by [AM, Prop. 2.1.12]. Therefore the canonical morphism $H^0_{\mathbb{A}^1}(C; R) \to R$ is not isomorphism by [As, Thm. 4.14]. Thus the morphism $H^0_{\mathbb{A}^1}(C; R) \to H^0_{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{P}^2; R) \cong R$ is not isomorphism.

7.1 Basic distinguished triangle on projective spaces

We compute the mapping cone of $C^A_{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{A}^n - \{0\}; R) \to C^A_{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}; R)$. First we prove the following.

Lemma 7.5. Let $n \geq 1$ and $U = \mathbb{P}^n - \{(0 : \ldots : 0 : 1)\}$. The morphism

$$\rho : U \to \mathbb{P}^{n-1}; (x_0 : \ldots : x_n) \mapsto (x_0 : \ldots : x_{n-1})$$

is an $\mathbb{A}^1$-weak equivalence whose inverse is the embedding $\mathbb{P}^{n-1} \hookrightarrow U$.

Proof. Since $\rho$ is a vector bundle, it is an $\mathbb{A}^1$-weak equivalence by [MV, Example 2.2]. Moreover, the embedding $\mathbb{P}^{n-1} \hookrightarrow U$ is a section of $\rho$. Thus the embedding gives the inverse of $\rho$ in $H_{\mathbb{A}^1}(k)$. \qed

Remark 7.6. Lemma 7.5 implies that the embedding $\mathbb{P}^{n-1} \hookrightarrow U$ induces an isomorphism $C^A_{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}; R) \cong C^A_{\mathbb{A}^1}(U; R)$. This can also be proved by using Proposition 6.4 and the $\mathbb{A}^1$-invariance of $C^A_{\mathbb{A}^1}(\cdot; R)$.

By using Lemma 7.5, we obtain the following distinguished triangle in $D(k, R)$.

Proposition 7.7. For $n \geq 1$, we have a distinguished triangle

$$C^A_{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{A}^n - \{0\}; R) \to C^A_{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}; R) \to \tilde{C}^A_{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{P}^n; R) \to$$

in $D(k, R)$, where the first morphism is induced by the $G_m$-quotient and the second morphism is induced by $(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, 0) \to (\mathbb{P}^n, \ast)$.

Proof. Let $U$ and $\rho$ are same as in Lemma 7.5. We denote $V$ for the Zariski open set of $\mathbb{P}^n$ defined by $x_n \neq 0$. Then we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{A}^n - \{0\} & \xrightarrow{G_m} & \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \\
\cong \uparrow & & \rho \uparrow \\
U \cap V & \xrightarrow{\subseteq} & U
\end{array}$$

where the left isomorphism is given by

$$(x_0 : \ldots : x_{n-1} : 1) \mapsto (x_0, \ldots, x_{n-1}).$$
Therefore Lemma 6.5 gives the commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{c}
C^A(P^n - \{0\}; R) \longrightarrow C^A(P^{n-1}; R) \\
\cong \downarrow \quad \cong \downarrow \\
C^A(U \cap V; R) \longrightarrow C^A(U; R).
\end{array}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (7.1)

On the other hand, since the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{c}
(P^{n-1}, \emptyset) \longrightarrow (P^n, V) \\
\downarrow \quad \uparrow \\
(U, \emptyset) \longrightarrow (U, U \cap V)
\end{array}
\]

commutes, Proposition 6.4 gives the commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{c}
C^A(P^{n-1}, R) \longrightarrow C^A(P^n, V; R) \\
\cong \downarrow \quad \cong \downarrow \\
C^A(U; R) \longrightarrow C^A(U, U \cap V; R).
\end{array}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (7.2)

By the diagrams (7.1) and (7.2), we obtain an isomorphism of triangles

\[
\begin{array}{c}
C^A(P^n - \{0\}; R) \longrightarrow C^A(P^{n-1}; R) \longrightarrow C^A(P^{n-1}, V; R) \longrightarrow \\
\cong \downarrow \quad \cong \downarrow \quad \cong \downarrow \\
C^A(U \cap V; R) \longrightarrow C^A(U; R) \longrightarrow C^A(U, U \cap V; R) \longrightarrow .
\end{array}
\]

Since the lower triangle is distinguished, so is the upper triangle. Therefore we only need to show that for a \(k\)-rational point \(* \in V\), the morphism \((P^{n-1}, *) \rightarrow (P^{n-1}, V)\) induces an isomorphism \(\tilde{C}^A(P^n; R) \cong C^A(P^{n-1}, V; R)\). Since \(V \cong \mathbb{A}^n\), the exact sequence

\[0 = H^A_1(V; R) \rightarrow H^A_1(P^n; R) \rightarrow H^A_1(P^n, V; R) \rightarrow H^A_{i-1}(V; R) = 0\]

shows that \(H^A_0(P^n; R) \cong H^A_1(P^n, V; R)\) for all \(i \geq 2\). For \(i = 0, 1\), there exists an exact sequence

\[0 = H^A_1(V; R) \rightarrow H^A_1(P^n; R) \rightarrow H^A_1(P^n, V; R) \rightarrow H^A_0(V; R) \rightarrow H^A_0(P^n; R) \rightarrow H^A_0(P^n, V; R) \rightarrow 0.\]

Then the morphism \(H^A_0(P^n; R) \rightarrow H^A_0(P^n, V; R)\) is an isomorphism by Lemma 5.3. Therefore we have \(H^A_0(P^n, V; R) = H^A_0(P^n, V; R) = 0\) and \(H^A_0(P^n; R) \cong H^A_1(P^n, V; R)\). Thus \(\tilde{C}^A(P^n; R) \rightarrow C^A(P^{n-1}, V; R)\) is a quasi-isomorphism. \(\square\)
7.2 $\mathbb{A}^1$-scalar extensions

For computing $\mathbb{A}^1$-homology sheaves with general coefficients, we prove two lemmas on the functor $- \oplus \mathbb{A}^1 R$. The following lemma says that the functor $- \oplus \mathbb{A}^1 R$ has an adjunction as with scalar extensions.

**Lemma 7.8.** The functor $- \oplus \mathbb{A}^1 R: \mathcal{A}b_k \to \text{Mod}^k_1(R)$ is left adjoint to the canonical functor $\text{Mod}^k_1(R) \to \mathcal{A}b_k$.

**Proof.** The functor $- \otimes R: \mathcal{A}b_k \to \text{Mod}_k(R)$ is left adjoint to $\text{Mod}_k(R) \to \mathcal{A}b_k$. Moreover, the functor $\text{Mod}_k(R) \to \text{Mod}^k_1(R); A \mapsto H_0(L_k(A))$ is left adjoint to the inclusion $\text{Mod}^k_1(R) \to \text{Mod}_k(R)$ by [Sh, Lem. 5.1]. Therefore taking the composition, the functor $- \oplus \mathbb{A}^1 R$ is left adjoint to $\text{Mod}^k_1(R) \to \text{Mod}_k(R) \to \mathcal{A}b_k$. \hfill $\square$

The next lemma is a special case of the universal coefficient theorem of $\mathbb{A}^1$-homology sheaves.

**Lemma 7.9.** For a pointed $k$-space $(X, *)$, if $\tilde{H}_n^k(X; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ for all $i < n$, then $\tilde{H}_n^k(X; R) \cong \tilde{H}_n^k(X; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{A}^1 R$ as a sheaf of $R$-modules.

**Proof.** By Lemmas 7.8 and 4.12 there exist isomorphisms

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Mod}^k_1}(\tilde{H}_n^k(X; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{A}^1 R, A) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}b_k}(\tilde{H}_n^k(X; \mathbb{Z}), A)$$

$$\cong H^n_{Nis}(X, *; A)$$

for all $A \in \text{Mod}^k_1(R)$. On the other hand, the induction on $i$ shows that $\tilde{H}_n^k(X; R) = 0$ for all $i < n$. Therefore Lemma 4.12 also gives

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Mod}^k_1}(\tilde{H}_n^k(X; R), A) \cong H^n_{Nis}(X, *; A)$$

Thus we have

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Mod}^k_1}(\tilde{H}_n^k(X; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{A}^1 R, A) \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{Mod}^k_1}(\tilde{H}_n^k(X; R), A)$$

Finally, Yoneda’s lemma in $\text{Mod}^k_1(R)$ proves $\tilde{H}_n^k(X; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{A}^1 R \cong \tilde{H}_n^k(X; R)$.

\hfill $\square$

7.3 Proof of Theorem 7.1

We can now prove Theorem 7.1.

(Proof of Theorem 7.1). We first prove $H_i^k(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathbb{P}^{n-1}; R) = 0$ for all $i < n$. By Lemma 7.3 the morphism $H_i^k(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}; R) \to H_{i-1}^k(\mathbb{P}^n - \{0\}; R)$ is an isomorphism. On the other hand, $H_i^k(\mathbb{P}^n - \{0\}; R) \to H_{i}^k(\mathbb{P}^n; R)$ is an isomorphism for all $i < n - 1$ and an epimorphism for $i = n - 1$ by Theorem 6.10. Thus we have $H_i^k(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathbb{P}^{n-1}; R) = 0$. 22
Next we prove $H^A_1(P^n, P^{n-1}; R) \cong \mathds{K}^{MW} \otimes A^1 R$ for all $n \geq 2$. By Proposition 7.7, there exists a morphism of distinguished triangles

\[ \begin{array}{cccccc}
C^A_1(P^{n-1}; R) & \longrightarrow & C^A_1(P^n; R) & \longrightarrow & C^A_1(P^n, P^{n-1}; R) & \longrightarrow \\
\| & \downarrow \alpha & \downarrow & \downarrow \beta & \downarrow & \\
C^A_1(P^{n-1}; R) & \longrightarrow & C^A_1(P^n; R) & \longrightarrow & C^A_1(A^n - \{0\}; R) & \longrightarrow
\end{array} \]

where $\alpha$ is the projection. Taking the homology exact sequence, we obtain $H^A_1(P^n, P^{n-1}; R) \cong H^A_1(A^n - \{0\}; R)$ for all $n \geq 2$ by the five lemma. Now, we have

\[ \text{Hom}_{\text{Mod}_k(R)}(H^A_1(A^n - \{0\}; R), A) \]

\[ \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{Mod}_k(R)}(H^A_1(A^n - \{0\}; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes A^1 R, A) \quad (7.3) \]

\[ \cong \text{Hom}_{A^1_k}(H^A_1(A^n - \{0\}; \mathbb{Z}), A) \quad (7.4) \]

\[ \cong \text{Hom}_{A^1_k}(\pi^A_1(A^n - \{0\}), A) \quad (7.5) \]

\[ \cong \text{Hom}_{A^1_k}(\mathds{K}^{MW}_n, A) \quad (7.6) \]

\[ \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{Mod}_k^A(R)}(\mathds{K}^{MW}_n \otimes A^1 R, A) \quad (7.7) \]

where (7.3) follows from Lemma 7.9, (7.4) from Lemma 7.8, (7.5) from Theorem 2.3, (7.6) from [Mo2, Thm. 5.40], and (7.7) from Lemma 7.8. Thus Yoneda’s lemma in $\text{Mod}_k^A(R)$ shows that

$H^A_1(P^n, P^{n-1}; R) \cong H^A_1(A^n - \{0\}; R) \cong \mathds{K}^{MW} \otimes A^1 R$.

Finally, we prove $H^A_1(P^1; R) \cong \mathds{K}^{MW} \otimes A^1 R$. For $R = \mathbb{Z}$, this is a direct consequence of [MV, Lem. 2.15 and Cor. 2.18] and [Mo2 Thm. 2.37]. The general cases follow from Lemma 7.9.

**Remark 7.10.** By the proof of Theorem 7.1, the morphism $\mathds{K}^{MW}_{n+1} \otimes A^1 R \rightarrow H^A_1(P^1; R)$ in Corollary 7.2 is induced by the $\mathbb{G}_m$-quotient $A^{i+1} - \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^i$.
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