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Abstract

Motivated by the problem of integer sparse recovery we study the following question. Let $A$ be an $m \times d$ integer matrix whose entries are in absolute value at most $k$. How large can be $d = d(m, k)$ if all $m \times m$ submatrices of $A$ are non-degenerate? We obtain new upper and lower bounds on $d$ and answer a special case of the problem by Brass, Moser and Pach on covering $m$-dimensional $k \times \cdots \times k$ grid by linear subspaces.

1 Introduction

Compressed sensing is a relatively new mathematical paradigm that shows a small number of linear measurements are enough to efficiently reconstruct a large dimensional signal under the assumption that the signal is sparse (see, e.g., [4] and its references). That is, given a signal $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the goal is to accurately reconstruct $x$ from its noisy measurements $b = Ax + e$. Here, $A$ is an underdetermined matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}$, where $m$ is much smaller than $d$, and $e \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is a vector modeling noise in the system. Since the system is highly underdetermined, it is ill-posed until one imposes additional constraints, such as the signal $x$ obeying a sparsity constraint. We say $x$ is $s$-sparse when it has at most $s$ nonzero entries. Clearly, any matrix $A$ that is one-to-one on $s$-sparse signals will allow reconstruction in the noiseless case when $e = 0$. However, compressed sensing seeks the ability to reconstruct efficiently and robustly even when one allows presence of noise. Motivated by this problem Fukshansky, Nadel and Sudakov [5] considered the following extremal problem, which is of independent interest.

Problem 1.1. Given integers $k, m$, what is the maximum integer $d$ such that there exists $m \times d$ matrix $A$ with integer entries satisfying $|a_{ij}| \leq k$ such that all $m \times m$ submatrices of $A$ are non-degenerate?

To see the connection of this question with integer sparse recovery let $s \leq m/2$ and consider $s$-sparse signal $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. We denote by $\|b\|$ the Euclidean norm of a vector $b = (b_1, \ldots, b_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and by $\|b\|_\infty$ its $l_\infty$-norm: $\|b\|_\infty = \max_{i=1, \ldots, m} |b_i|$. Suppose we wish to decode $x$ from the noisy measurements $b = Ax + e$ where $\|e\|_\infty < \frac{1}{2}$ (in particular, this holds if $\|e\| < \frac{1}{2}$). Note that by definition of matrix $A$ we have for any $m$-sparse integer non-zero vector $z$ that $Az \neq 0$ and therefore, being an integer vector, $Az$ has $l_\infty$-norm at least one. So to decode $x$ we can select the
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s-sparse signal $y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ minimizing $\|b - Ay\|_\infty$. Then, since $x$ satisfies $\|b - Ax\|_\infty = \|e\|_\infty < \frac{1}{2}$, it must be that the decoded vector $y$ satisfies this inequality as well. Therefore, $\|Ay - Ax\|_\infty \leq \|b - Ay\|_\infty + \|b - Ax\|_\infty < 1$ is an $m$-sparse vector, which guarantees that $y = x$ so our decoding was successful. Note that if instead of error $< 1/2$ we want to allow error $< C$ we can simply multiply all entries of $A$ by a factor of $2C$.

Fukshansky, Nadel and Sudakov [5] showed that a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}$ with integer entries $|a_{ij}| \leq k$ and all $m \times m$ submatrices having full rank must satisfy $d = O(k^2m)$. They also proved that such matrices exists when $d = \Omega(\sqrt{km})$. Their upper bound was improved by Konyagin [6] who showed that $d$ must have order at most $O(k(\log k)m)$ (all logarithms here and later in the paper are in base $e$) for $m \geq \log k$ and at most $O(k^{m/(m-1)}m^2)$ for $2 \leq m < \log k$. Improving these results further, in this paper we obtain the following new upper bound.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let $A$ be an $m \times d$ integer matrix such that $|a_{ij}| \leq k$, and all $m \times m$ submatrices of $A$ have full rank. If $k$ is sufficiently large, then $d \leq 100k\sqrt{\log km}$ for $m \geq \log k$ and $d \leq 400k^{m/(m-1)}m^{3/2}$ for $2 \leq m < \log k$.

The lower bound construction for Problem 1.1 uses random matrices and is based on a deep result of Bourgain, Vu and Wood [3] which estimates the probability that a random $m \times m$ matrix with integer entries from $[-k,k]$ is singular. It is expected that their result is not tight and the probability of singularity for such matrix has order $k^{-(1-o(1))m}$ as $k \to \infty$. If this is the case then $m \times d$ matrices, satisfying Problem 1.1, exist for $d$ close to $km$. This suggests that our new bound for $m \geq \log k$ is not far from being optimal.

On the other hand, we get the following result.

**Theorem 1.3.** Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \geq 2$, and

$$m < d \leq \max(k + 1, k^{m/(m-1)}/2).$$

Then there is an $m \times d$ integer matrix $A$ such that $|a_{ij}| \leq k$ and all $m \times m$ submatrices of $A$ have full rank.

We observe that this theorem improves the lower bound from [5] for $m = o(\sqrt{k})$. Moreover, the existence of required matrices in [5] was proven by using probabilistic arguments, but the matrices in Theorem 1.3 are explicit and easily computable. Also we notice that upper and lower estimate for $d$ in Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 differ by a factor $O(m^{3/2})$ depending on $m$ only.

Our result can be also used to solve a special case of a problem by Brass, Moser and Pach. In [2] (Chapter 10.2, Problem 6) they asked: what is the minimum number $M$ of $s$-dimensional linear subspaces necessary to cover $m$-dimensional $k \times \cdots \times k$ grid $K = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}^m : \|x\|_\infty \leq k\}$?

Balko, Cibulko and Valtr [1] studied this problem and obtain upper and lower bounds for $M$. In particular in the case when $s = m - 1$ they proved that

$$k^{m/(m-1)-o(1)} \leq M \leq C_m k^{m/(m-1)}.$$

Using Theorem 1.3 we obtain a new lower bound which is tight up to a constant factor.

**Corollary 1.4.** For $k \geq m \geq 2$ we have

$$M \geq k^{m/(m-1))/(2m - 2).$$
Indeed, suppose that we cover $K$ by $M$ hypersubspaces $P_1, \ldots, P_M$. We consider the columns of the matrix $A$ constructed in Theorem 1.3. Since any $m$ of them are linearly independent, every subspace $P_i$ contains at most $m - 1$ of these columns. Thus, $d \leq M(m - 1)$, and the corollary follows.

For matrices $A$ constructed in Theorem 1.3, Ryutin [7] suggested an effective algorithm for recovery of a sparse vector $x$ via $Ax$. See also [8].

2 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Let $t = \lfloor \log k \rfloor$ for $m \geq \log k$ and $t = m$ for $2 \leq m < \log k$. In the first case we suppose that $d > 100k\sqrt{tm}$ and in the second case we suppose that $d > 400m^{m/(m-1)}\sqrt{tm}$. Let $v_1, \ldots, v_t$ be the first $t$ rows of the matrix $A$. Take $\Lambda = 9$ if $m \geq \log k$ and $\Lambda = \lceil 25k^{1/(m-1)} \rceil$ otherwise. Given a vector of integer coefficients $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_t)$ such that $0 \leq \lambda_i \leq \Lambda$ denote by $v_{\lambda}$ a linear combination $\sum_i \lambda_i v_i$. Our goal is to find two combinations $\lambda \neq \lambda'$ such that corresponding vectors $v_{\lambda}$ and $v'_{\lambda}$ agree on at least $m$ coordinates. This will show that a linear combination of first $t$ rows of matrix $A$ with coefficients $\lambda - \lambda' \neq 0$ has at least $m$ zeros and therefore the $m \times m$ submatrix of $A$ whose columns correspond to these zeros is degenerate, since its first $t$ rows are linearly dependent.

Consider $\lambda$ chosen uniformly at random out of $(\Lambda + 1)^t$ possible vectors and look at a value of a fixed coordinate $j$ of the vector $v_{\lambda}$. This value is a random variable $X$ which is a sum of the $t$ independent random variables $X_i$, where $X_i$ is a value of the $j$-th coordinate of $\lambda_i v_i$. Since $|a_{ij}| \leq k$, we have that $|X_i| \leq \Lambda k$ and therefore its variance $\text{Var}(X_i) \leq E(X_i^2) \leq \Lambda^2 k^2 t$. This implies that $\text{Var}(X) = \sum_i \text{Var}(X_i) \leq \Lambda^2 k^2 t$. Thus, by Chebyshev’s inequality, with probability at least $3/4$, the value of $X$ belongs to an interval $I$ of length $4\sqrt{\text{Var}(X)} \leq 4\Lambda k\sqrt{t}$. Hence there are at least $0.75 \cdot (\Lambda + 1)^t$ values of $\lambda$ giving a linear combination $v_{\lambda}$ whose $j$-th coordinate belongs to $I$. For every integer $s$ let $h_j(s)$ be the number of values for $\lambda$ giving linear combinations $v_{\lambda}$ whose $j$-th coordinate is $s$, and let $h_j$ be the number of ordered pairs $\lambda \neq \lambda'$ such that $v_{\lambda}$ and $v'_{\lambda}$ agree on $j$-th coordinate. By definition $0.75 \cdot (\Lambda + 1)^t \leq \sum_{s \in I} h_j(s) \leq (\Lambda + 1)^t$, and $h_j = \sum_s h_j(s)(h_j(s) - 1)$.

If $m \geq \log k$, in which case $\Lambda = 9$ and $t = \lfloor \log k \rfloor$, then using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, together with the facts that $10^t > k^2 \geq k\sqrt{\log k} = k\sqrt{t}$ for sufficiently large $k$ and the interval $I$ contains at most $4\Lambda k\sqrt{t} + 1$ integer points, we have

\[
\begin{align*}
 h_j &= \sum_s h_j(s)(h_j(s) - 1) = \sum_s h_j^2(s) - \sum_s h_j(s) \geq \sum_{s \in I} h_j^2(s) - 10^t \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{4\Lambda k\sqrt{t} + 1} \left( \sum_{s \in I} h_j(s) \right)^2 - 10^t \geq \frac{1}{40k\sqrt{t}} (0.75 \cdot 10^t)^2 - 10^t \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{80k\sqrt{t}} 10^{2t} - 10^t \geq \frac{1}{100k\sqrt{t}} 10^{2t}.
\end{align*}
\]

Since the number of ordered pairs $\lambda \neq \lambda'$ is at most $10^{2t}$ and the number of coordinates $j$ is $d$, by averaging we obtain that there is a pair $\lambda \neq \lambda'$ which agrees on at least

\[
\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{d} h_j}{10^{2t}} \geq \frac{d}{100k\sqrt{t}}.
\]
coordinates. If the pair \( \lambda \neq \lambda' \) agrees on \( \frac{d}{100k\sqrt{t}} \geq m \) coordinates, then as we explain above, this implies that \( A \) has an \( m \times m \) degenerate submatrix. Thus, we must have

\[
d \leq 100km\sqrt{t} = 100km\sqrt{\log k}
\]

when \( m \geq \log k \).

Now we consider the case \( 2 \leq m < \log k \). Then, due to the inequality

\[
\frac{(\Lambda + 1)^m}{8\Lambda k\sqrt{m}} \geq \frac{25^m k^{m/(m-1)}}{200k^{m/(m-1)}\sqrt{m}} > 2,
\]

we have

\[
h_j = \sum_s h_j(s)(h_j(s) - 1) = \sum_s h_j^2(s) - \sum_s h_j(s) \geq \sum_s h_j^2(s) - (\Lambda + 1)^m
\]

\[
\geq \frac{1}{4\Lambda k\sqrt{m} + 1} \left( \sum_{s \in I} h_j(s) \right)^2 - (\Lambda + 1)^m
\]

\[
\geq \frac{1}{4\Lambda k\sqrt{m} + 1} \left( 0.75 \cdot (\Lambda + 1)^m \right)^2 - (\Lambda + 1)^m
\]

\[
\geq (\Lambda + 1)^m \left( \frac{(\Lambda + 1)^m}{8\Lambda k\sqrt{m}} - 1 \right) \geq (\Lambda + 1)^m \frac{(\Lambda + 1)^m}{16\Lambda k\sqrt{m}}.
\]

Since the number of ordered pairs \( \lambda \neq \lambda' \) is at most \( (\Lambda + 1)^{2m} \) and the number of coordinates \( j \) is \( d \), by averaging we obtain that there is a pair \( \lambda \neq \lambda' \) which agrees on at least

\[
\frac{\sum_{j=1}^d h_j}{(\Lambda + 1)^{2m}} \geq \frac{d}{16\Lambda k\sqrt{m}}
\]

coordinates. If the pair \( \lambda \neq \lambda' \) agrees on \( \frac{d}{16\Lambda k\sqrt{m}} \geq m \) coordinates, then as we explain above, this implies that \( A \) has an \( m \times m \) degenerate submatrix. Thus, we must have

\[
d \leq 16\Lambda km\sqrt{m} \leq 400k^{m/(m-1)} m^{3/2}
\]

when \( 2 \leq m < \log k \). This completes the proof of the theorem. \( \square \)

3 Proof of Theorem 1.3

We have to construct the required \( m \times d \) matrices with \( d \geq k + 1 \), provided that \( k \geq m \), and with \( d \geq k^{m/(m-1)}/2 \), provided that \( k^{m/(m-1)}/2 > m \).

First we will construct an \( m \times d \) matrix with \( d \geq k + 1 \). By Bertrand’s postulate, there exists an odd prime \( d \) with \( k + 1 \leq d \leq 2k + 1 \). We define the matrix \( A \) by taking \( a_{i,j} \equiv j^{-1} (\mod d) \) with \( |a_{i,j}| \leq (d - 1)/2 \leq k \). Considering the matrix \( A \) modulo \( d \) we find that any submatrix of \( A \) of size \( m \times m \) is a Vandermonde matrix modulo \( d \). Hence, its determinant is not zero modulo \( d \). This implies that this submatrix has full rank.

Next we will construct an \( m \times d \) matrix with \( d \geq k^{m/(m-1)}/2 \). We can assume that \( k^{m/(m-1)}/2 > k + 1 \) and, in particular, \( k \geq 3 \). By Bertrand’s postulate, there exists a prime \( d \) with \( k^{m/(m-1)}/2 \leq \)
\[ d < k^{m/(m-1)}. \]

For \( u \in \mathbb{R} \) we denote by \( \|u\| \) the distance from \( u \) to the nearest integer. Note that if we can multiply \( u \) by some integer \( \ell \) such that \( \ell u \) is also an integer then the distance of \( \ell u \) to the closest multiple of \( \ell \) is exactly \( \ell \|u\| \). Therefore we can find and integer \( z \) such that \( z \equiv \ell u \pmod{\ell} \) and \( |z| = \ell \|u\| \).

We first consider the \( m \times d \) matrix \( A' \) with entries \( a'_{i,j} = j^{i-1} \). Again, the determinant of any \( m \times m \) submatrix of \( A' \) is not zero modulo \( d \). The idea is to multiply the columns of \( A' \) by appropriate integers not divisible by \( d \) such that when we replace all entries by their residues modulo \( d \), the absolute values of this residues will be bounded by \( k \). Clearly, the operation of multiplying by integers not divisible by a prime \( d \) and taking residues mod \( d \) preserve the property that determinants of all \( m \times m \) submatrices are not divisible by \( d \).

Using Dirichlet’s theorem on simultaneous approximations (see, e.g., [9], Chapter 2, Theorem 1A), we find that for every \( j = 1, \ldots, d \) there is a positive integer \( l_j < d \) such that \( \|l_j j^{i-1}/d\| \leq d^{-1/m} \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, m \). Hence, for any \( i \) there is an integer \( a_{i,j} \) such that \( a_{i,j} \equiv l_j j^{i-1} (\text{mod}d) \) and \( |a_{i,j}| \leq d^{1-1/m} \leq k \) as required. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3. \( \square \)
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