ON THE MULTIPLE HOLOMORPH OF A FINITE ALMOST SIMPLE GROUP

CINDY (SIN YI) TSANG

Abstract. Let $G$ be a group. Let $\text{Perm}(G)$ denote its symmetric group and write $\text{Hol}(G)$ for the normalizer of the subgroup of left translations in $\text{Perm}(G)$. The multiple holomorph $\text{NHol}(G)$ of $G$ is in turn defined to be the normalizer of $\text{Hol}(G)$ in $\text{Perm}(G)$. In this paper, we shall show that the quotient group $\text{NHol}(G)/\text{Hol}(G)$ has order two when $G$ is finite and almost simple. As an application of our techniques, we shall also develop a method to count the number of Hopf-Galois structures of isomorphic type on a finite almost simple extension in terms of fixed point free endomorphisms.
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1. Introduction

Let $G$ be a group and write $\text{Perm}(G)$ for its symmetric group. Recall that a subgroup $N$ of $\text{Perm}(G)$ is said to be regular if the map

$$\xi_N : N \rightarrow G; \quad \xi_N(\eta) = \eta(1_G)$$

where $1_G$ is the identity element in $G$. The map $\xi_N$ is called the translation map of $N$ in $\text{Perm}(G)$. The normalizer of the subgroup of left translations in $\text{Perm}(G)$ is denoted by $\text{Hol}(G)$. The multiple holomorph $\text{NHol}(G)$ of $G$ is defined to be the normalizer of $\text{Hol}(G)$ in $\text{Perm}(G)$. In this paper, we shall show that the quotient group $\text{NHol}(G)/\text{Hol}(G)$ has order two when $G$ is finite and almost simple. As an application of our techniques, we shall also develop a method to count the number of Hopf-Galois structures of isomorphic type on a finite almost simple extension in terms of fixed point free endomorphisms.
is bijective, or equivalently, if the $N$-action on $G$ is both transitive and free. For example, both $\lambda(G)$ and $\rho(G)$ are regular subgroups of $\text{Perm}(G)$, where

\[
\begin{align*}
\lambda : G &\to \text{Perm}(G); \quad \lambda(\sigma) = (x \mapsto \sigma x) \\
\rho : G &\to \text{Perm}(G); \quad \rho(\sigma) = (x \mapsto x\sigma^{-1})
\end{align*}
\]
denote the left and right regular representations of $G$, respectively. Plainly, we have $\lambda(G)$ are $\rho(G)$ are equal precisely when $G$ is abelian. Recall further that the holomorph of $G$ is defined to be

\[
(1.1) \quad \text{Hol}(G) = \rho(G) \rtimes \text{Aut}(G).
\]

Alternatively, it is not hard to verify that

\[
\text{Norm}_{\text{Perm}(G)}(\lambda(G)) = \text{Hol}(G) = \text{Norm}_{\text{Perm}(G)}(\rho(G)).
\]

Then, it seems natural to ask whether $\text{Perm}(G)$ has other regular subgroups which also have normalizer equal to $\text{Hol}(G)$. Given any regular subgroup $N$ of $\text{Perm}(G)$, observe that the bijection $\xi_N$ induces an isomorphism

\[
(1.2) \quad \Xi_N : \text{Perm}(N) \longrightarrow \text{Perm}(G); \quad \Xi_N(\pi) = \xi_N \circ \pi \circ \xi_N^{-1}
\]
under which $\lambda(N)$ is sent to $N$. Thus, in turn $\Xi_N$ induces an isomorphism

$$
\text{Hol}(N) \simeq \text{Norm}_{\text{Perm}(G)}(N),
$$

and so we have

$$
\text{Norm}_{\text{Perm}(G)}(N) = \text{Hol}(G) \text{ implies } \text{Hol}(N) \simeq \text{Hol}(G).
$$

However, in general, the converse is false, and non-isomorphic groups (of the same order) can have isomorphic holomorphs. Nevertheless, let us restrict to the regular subgroups $N$ which are isomorphic to $G$, and consider

$$
\mathcal{H}_0(G) = \left\{ \text{regular subgroups } N \text{ of } \text{Perm}(G) \text{ isomorphic to } G \text{ and such that } \text{Norm}_{\text{Perm}(G)}(N) = \text{Hol}(G) \right\}.
$$

This set was first studied by G. A. Miller [14]. More specifically, he defined the multiple holomorph of $G$ to be

$$
\text{NHol}(G) = \text{Norm}_{\text{Perm}(G)}(\text{Hol}(G)),
$$
which clearly acts on $\mathcal{H}_0(G)$ via conjugation, and he showed that this action is transitive so the quotient group

$$T(G) = \frac{N\operatorname{Hol}(G)}{\operatorname{Hol}(G)}$$

acts regularly on $\mathcal{H}_0(G)$; or see Section 2 below for a proof. In [14], he also determined the structure of $T(G)$ for finite abelian groups $G$. Later in [13], W. H. Mills extended this to all finitely generated abelian groups $G$, which was also redone in [3] using a different approach. Initially, the study of $T(G)$ did not attract much attention, other than [14] and [13]. But recently in [12], T. Kohl revitalized this line of research by computing $T(G)$ for dihedral and dicyclic groups. His work in turn motivated the calculation of $T(G)$ for some other families of finite groups; see [4] and [5]. The main purpose of this paper is to compute $T(G)$ for a new family of finite groups $G$.

To explain our motivation, first notice that elements of $\mathcal{H}_0(G)$ are normal subgroups of $\operatorname{Hol}(G)$; this is known and also see Section 2 below for a proof. Instead of $\mathcal{H}_0(G)$, let us consider the possibly larger sets

$$\mathcal{H}_1(G) = \{\text{normal and regular subgroups of } \operatorname{Hol}(G)\},$$

$$\mathcal{H}_2(G) = \{\text{regular subgroups of } \operatorname{Hol}(G) \text{ isomorphic to } G\}.$$

Then, we have the inclusions

$$\mathcal{H}_0(G) \subset \mathcal{H}_1(G) \text{ and } \mathcal{H}_0(G) \subset \mathcal{H}_2(G).$$

If $G$ is finite and non-abelian simple, then we know that

$$\mathcal{H}_2(G) = \{\lambda(G), \rho(G)\}$$

by the proof of [6, Theorem 4], and this in turn implies that

(1.3) \quad \mathcal{H}_0(G) = \{\lambda(G), \rho(G)\} \text{ whence } T(G) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}.

Inspired by this observation, it seems natural to ask whether the same or at least a similar phenomenon holds for other finite groups $G$ which are close to being non-abelian simple. More precisely, let us consider the following three generalizations of non-abelian simple groups.
Definition 1.1. A group $G$ is said to be

1. **quasisimple** if $G = [G, G]$ and $G/Z(G)$ is simple, where $[G, G]$ and $Z(G)$ denote the commutator subgroup and the center of $G$, respectively.

2. **characteristically simple** if it has no non-trivial proper characteristic subgroup; let us note that for finite $G$, this is equivalent to $G = T^n$ for some simple group $T$ and natural number $n$.

3. **almost simple** if $\text{Inn}(T) \leq G \leq \text{Aut}(T)$ for some non-abelian simple group $T$, where $\text{Inn}(T)$ denotes the inner automorphism group of $T$; let us note that $\text{Inn}(T)$ is the socle of $G$ in this case, as shown in Lemma 4.2 below, for example.

If $G$ is finite and quasisimple, then we know that

$$\mathcal{H}_2(G) = \{\lambda(G), \rho(G)\}$$

by [16, (1.1) and Theorem 1.3], whence (1.3) holds as above. However, if $G$ is finite and non-abelian characteristically simple or almost simple, then the size of $\mathcal{H}_2(G)$ can be arbitrarily large as the order of $G$ increases, by [17] and [6, Theorem 5]. Nevertheless, if $G$ is finite and non-abelian characteristically simple, then we know that

$$\mathcal{H}_1(G) = \{\lambda(G), \rho(G)\}$$

by a special case of [4, Theorem 7.7], and thus (1.3) holds as well. Our result is that if $G$ is finite and almost simple, then the same phenomenon occurs. More specifically, we shall prove:

**Theorem 1.2.** Let $G$ be a finite almost simple group. Then, we have

$$\mathcal{H}_1(G) = \{\lambda(G), \rho(G)\}.$$

In particular, the statement (1.3) holds.

In order to compute $\mathcal{H}_1(G)$, we shall develop a way to describe the regular subgroups of $\text{Hol}(G)$, and not just the ones which are normal; see Section 3. These regular subgroups are closely related to Hopf-Galois structures on field extensions. In particular, by work of [10] and [1], for any finite Galois extension $L/K$ with Galois group $G$, there is a one-to-one correspondence between
Hopf-Galois structures on $L/K$ of type $G$ and elements of $\mathcal{H}_2(G)$. We shall refer the reader to [7, Chapter 2] for more details. Let us mention in passing that there is also a connection between regular subgroups of $\text{Hol}(G)$ and the non-degenerate set-theoretic solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation; see [11].

Therefore, other than $\mathcal{H}_1(G)$, it is also of interest to compute $\mathcal{H}_2(G)$. If $G$ is finite and non-abelian characteristically simple, then this was already done in [17]. However, if $G$ is finite and almost simple, then as far as the author is aware, the only result is [6, Theorem 5], which says that

$$\#\mathcal{H}_2(S_n) = 2 \cdot (1 + \#\{\sigma \in A_n : \sigma \text{ has order two}\}) \text{ for all } n \geq 5.$$ 

Here $S_n$ and $A_n$, respectively, denote the symmetric and alternating groups on $n$ letters. Using the techniques to be developed in Section 3, which were largely motivated by [6], we shall also generalize the above result as follows. Recall that an endomorphism $f$ on $G$ is said to be fixed point free if $f(\sigma) = \sigma$ holds precisely when $\sigma = 1_G$.

Let $\text{End}_{\text{fpf}}(G)$ denote the set of all such endomorphisms. Also, write $\text{Inn}(G)$ for the inner automorphism group $G$. Then, we shall prove:

**Theorem 1.3.** Let $G$ be a finite almost simple group such that $\text{Inn}(G)$ is the only subgroup of $\text{Aut}(G)$ isomorphic to $G$. Then, we have

$$\#\mathcal{H}_2(G) = 2 \cdot \#\text{End}_{\text{fpf}}(G).$$

Moreover, in the case that $\text{Soc}(G)$ has prime index $p$ in $G$, we have

$$\#\text{End}_{\text{fpf}}(G) = 1 + \#\{\sigma \in \text{Soc}(G) : \sigma \text{ has order } p\}$$

$$+ (p - 2)/(p - 1) \cdot \#\{\sigma \in G \setminus \text{Soc}(G) : \sigma \text{ has order } p\},$$

where $\text{Soc}(G)$ denotes the socle of $G$.

It is well-known, or by Lemma 4.3 below, that for $G = \text{Aut}(T)$ where $T$ is a non-abelian simple group, we have $\text{Aut}(G) \cong G$ and so the first hypothesis of Theorem 1.3 is trivially satisfied. Now, among the 26 sporadic simple groups $T$, exactly 12 of them have non-trivial outer automorphism group $\text{Out}(T)$, in which case $\text{Out}(T)$ has order two. By plugging in $p = 2$ in Theorem 1.3, and
using the element structure of $T$ given in the ATLAS [18], we then obtain the values of $\# \mathcal{H}_2(G)$ as follows. The notation is the same as in the ATLAS [18].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T$</th>
<th>no. of elements of order two in $T$</th>
<th>$# \mathcal{H}_2(G)$ for $G = \text{Aut}(T)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$M_{12}$</td>
<td>891</td>
<td>1,784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_{22}$</td>
<td>1,155</td>
<td>2,312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HS</td>
<td>21,175</td>
<td>42,352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$J_2$</td>
<td>2,835</td>
<td>5,672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McL</td>
<td>22,275</td>
<td>44,552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suz</td>
<td>2,915,055</td>
<td>5,830,112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He</td>
<td>212,415</td>
<td>424,832</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HN</td>
<td>75,603,375</td>
<td>151,206,752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Fi_{22}$</td>
<td>37,706,175</td>
<td>75,412,352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Fi'_{24}$</td>
<td>7,824,165,773,823</td>
<td>15,648,331,547,648</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O’N</td>
<td>2,857,239</td>
<td>5,714,480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$J_3$</td>
<td>26,163</td>
<td>52,328</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Since $\# \mathcal{H}_2(T) = 2$ for all finite non-abelian simple groups $T$, the number $\# \mathcal{H}_2(G)$ is now known for all almost simple groups $G$ of sporadic type.

Finally, let us remark that if $G/\text{Soc}(G)$ is not cyclic (of prime order), then the enumeration of $\text{End}_{\text{fpf}}(G)$ becomes much more complicated. Currently, the author does not have a systematic way of treating the general case.

2. Preliminaries on the multiple holomorph

In this section, we shall give a proof of the fact that the action of $\text{NHol}(G)$ on the set $\mathcal{H}_0(G)$ via conjugation is transitive, and the fact that elements of $\mathcal{H}_0(G)$ are normal subgroups of $\text{Hol}(G)$. Both of them are already known in the literature and are consequences of the next simple observation.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Isomorphic regular subgroups of $\text{Perm}(G)$ are conjugates.*

*Proof.* Let $N_1$ and $N_2$ be any two isomorphic regular subgroups of $\text{Perm}(G)$. Let $\varphi : N_1 \rightarrow N_2$ be an isomorphism and note that the isomorphism

$$\Xi_{\varphi} : \text{Perm}(N_1) \rightarrow \text{Perm}(N_2); \quad \Xi_{\varphi}(\pi) = \varphi \circ \pi \circ \varphi^{-1}$$
sends \( \lambda(N_1) \) to \( \lambda(N_2) \). For \( i = 1, 2 \), recall that the isomorphism \( \Xi_{N_i} \) defined as in (1.2) sends \( \lambda(N_i) \) to \( N_i \). It follows that \( \Xi_{N_2} \circ \Xi_{\varphi} \circ \Xi_{N_1}^{-1} \) maps \( N_1 \) to \( N_2 \). We then deduce that \( N_1 \) and \( N_2 \) are conjugates via \( \xi_{N_2} \circ \varphi \circ \xi_{N_1}^{-1} \). □

Lemma 2.1 implies that regular subgroups of \( \text{Perm}(G) \) isomorphic to \( G \) are precisely the conjugates of \( \lambda(G) \). For any \( \pi \in \text{Perm}(G) \), we have

\[
\text{Norm}_{\text{Perm}(G)}(\pi \lambda(G) \pi^{-1}) = \pi \text{Hol}(G) \pi^{-1},
\]

which is equal to \( \text{Hol}(G) \) if and only if \( \pi \in \text{NHol}(G) \). It follows that

\[
H_0(G) = \{ \pi \lambda(G) \pi^{-1} : \pi \in \text{NHol}(G) \}.
\]

Thus, clearly \( \text{NHol}(G) \) acts transitively on \( H_0(G) \) via conjugation. Since the stabilizer of any element of \( H_0(G) \) under this action is equal to \( \text{Hol}(G) \), the quotient \( T(G) \) acts regularly on \( H_0(G) \). For any \( \pi \in \text{Perm}(G) \), we have

\[
\pi \lambda(G) \pi^{-1} \vartriangleleft \text{Hol}(G) \iff \begin{cases}
\pi \lambda(G) \pi^{-1} \leq \text{Hol}(G), \\
\text{Hol}(G) \leq \text{Norm}_{\text{Perm}(G)}(\pi \lambda(G) \pi^{-1}).
\end{cases}
\]

Since \( \lambda(G) \leq \text{Hol}(G) \), both of the conditions on the right are clearly satisfied for \( \pi \in \text{NHol}(G) \), and so elements of \( H_0(G) \) are normal subgroups of \( \text{Hol}(G) \). In the case that \( G \) is finite, the second condition on the right is satisfied only for \( \pi \in \text{NHol}(G) \), whence we have the alternative description

\[
H_0(G) = \{ N \vartriangleleft \text{Hol}(G) : N \simeq G \text{ and } N \text{ is regular} \}
\]

for \( H_0(G) \) in addition to (2.1), and in particular \( H_0(G) = H_1(G) \cap H_2(G) \).

3. Descriptions of regular subgroups in the holomorph

In this section, let \( \Gamma \) be a group of the same cardinality as \( G \). Plainly, the regular subgroups of \( \text{Hol}(G) \) isomorphic to \( \Gamma \) are the images of the homomorphisms in the set

\[
\text{Reg}(\Gamma, \text{Hol}(G)) = \{ \text{injective } \beta \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma, \text{Hol}(G)) : \beta(\Gamma) \text{ is regular} \}.
\]

Note that for \( G \) and \( \Gamma \) finite, the map \( \beta \) is automatically injective when \( \beta(\Gamma) \) is regular. Below, we shall give two different ways of describing this set, and it shall be helpful to recall the definition of \( \text{Hol}(G) \) given in (1.1).
The first description uses bijective crossed homomorphisms.

**Definition 3.1.** Given \( f \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma, \text{Aut}(G)) \), a map \( g \in \text{Map}(\Gamma, G) \) is said to be a *crossed homomorphism with respect to* \( f \) if
\[
g(\gamma \delta) = g(\gamma) \cdot f(\gamma)(g(\delta)) \quad \text{for all} \quad \gamma, \delta \in \Gamma.
\]
Write \( Z^1_f(\Gamma, G) \) for the set of all such maps. Also, let \( Z^1_f(\Gamma, G)^* \) and \( Z^1_f(\Gamma, G)^\circ \), respectively, denote the subsets consisting of those maps which are bijective and injective. Note that these two subsets coincide when \( G \) and \( \Gamma \) are finite.

**Proposition 3.2.** For \( f \in \text{Map}(\Gamma, \text{Aut}(G)) \) and \( g \in \text{Map}(\Gamma, G) \), define
\[
\beta_{(f,g)} : \Gamma \longrightarrow \text{Hol}(G); \quad \beta_{(f,g)}(\gamma) = \rho(g(\gamma)) \cdot f(\gamma).
\]
Then, we have
\[
\text{Map}(\Gamma, \text{Hol}(G)) = \{\beta_{(f,g)} : f \in \text{Map}(\Gamma, \text{Aut}(G)), g \in \text{Map}(\Gamma, G)\},
\]
\[
\text{Hom}(\Gamma, \text{Hol}(G)) = \{\beta_{(f,g)} : f \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma, \text{Aut}(G)), g \in Z^1_f(\Gamma, G)\},
\]
\[
\text{Reg}(\Gamma, \text{Hol}(G)) = \{\text{injective } \beta_{(f,g)} : f \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma, \text{Aut}(G)), g \in Z^1_f(\Gamma, G)^\circ\}.
\]

*Proof.* This follows easily from (1.1); see [16, Proposition 2.1] for a proof and note that the argument there does not require \( G \) and \( \Gamma \) to be finite. \( \square \)

The second description uses fixed point free pairs of homomorphisms. The use of such pairs already appeared in [2, 6, 8] and our Proposition 3.4 below is largely motivated by the arguments on [6, pp. 83–84].

**Definition 3.3.** For any groups \( \Gamma_1 \) and \( \Gamma_2 \), a pair \((f, h)\) of homomorphisms from \( \Gamma_1 \) to \( \Gamma_2 \) is said to be *fixed point free* if the equality \( f(\gamma) = h(\gamma) \) holds precisely when \( \gamma = 1_{\Gamma_1} \).

Let \( \text{Out}(G) \) denote the outer automorphism group of \( G \) and write
\[
\pi_G : \text{Aut}(G) \longrightarrow \text{Out}(G); \quad \pi_G(\varphi) = \varphi \cdot \text{Inn}(G)
\]
for the natural quotient map. Given \( f \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma, \text{Aut}(G)) \), define
\[
(3.1) \quad \text{Hom}_f(\Gamma, \text{Aut}(G)) = \{h \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma, \text{Aut}(G)) : \pi_G \circ f = \pi_G \circ h\},
\]
\[
\text{Hom}_f(\Gamma, \text{Aut}(G))^\circ = \{h \in \text{Hom}_f(\Gamma, \text{Aut}(G)) : (f, h) \text{ is fixed point free}\}.
\]
In view of Proposition 3.2, it is enough to consider $Z_1^1(\Gamma, G)^*$, which in turn is equal to $Z_1^1(\Gamma, G)^o$ when $G$ and $\Gamma$ are finite. The next proposition gives an alternative description of this latter set in the case that $G$ has trivial center. Let us remark that it may be regarded as a generalization of [16, Proposition 2.4] and is only interesting when $G$ is non-abelian.

**Proposition 3.4.** Let $f \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma, \text{Aut}(G))$. For $g \in Z_1^1(\Gamma, G)$, define

$$h_{(f, g)}: \Gamma \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(G); \quad h_{(f, g)}(\gamma) = \text{conj}(g(\gamma)) \cdot f(\gamma),$$

where $\text{conj}(\cdot) = \lambda(\cdot) \rho(\cdot)$. Then, the map $h_{(f, g)}$ is always a homomorphism. Moreover, in the case that $G$ has trivial center, the maps

$$Z_1^1(\Gamma, G) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_f(\Gamma, \text{Aut}(G)); \quad g \mapsto h_{(f, g)} \tag{3.2}$$

$$Z_1^1(\Gamma, G)^o \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_f(\Gamma, \text{Aut}(G))^o; \quad g \mapsto h_{(f, g)} \tag{3.3}$$

are well-defined bijections.

**Proof.** First, let $g \in Z_1^1(\Gamma, G)$. For any $\gamma, \delta \in \Gamma$, we have

$$h_{(f, g)}(\gamma \delta) = \text{conj}(g(\gamma \delta)) \cdot f(\gamma \delta)$$

$$= \text{conj}(g(\gamma)) f(\gamma) \cdot f(\gamma)^{-1} \text{conj}(f(\gamma)(g(\delta))) f(\gamma) \cdot f(\delta)$$

$$= \text{conj}(g(\gamma)) f(\gamma) \cdot \text{conj}(g(\delta)) f(\delta)$$

$$= h_{(f, g)}(\gamma) h_{(f, g)}(\delta)$$

and so $h_{(f, g)}$ is a homomorphism. This means that (3.2) is well-defined.

Now, suppose that $G$ has trivial center, in which case $\text{conj}: G \longrightarrow \text{Inn}(G)$ is an isomorphism. Given $h \in \text{Hom}_f(\Gamma, \text{Aut}(G))$, define

$$g: \Gamma \longrightarrow G; \quad g(\gamma) = \text{conj}^{-1}(h(\gamma)f(\gamma)^{-1}),$$

where $h(\gamma)f(\gamma)^{-1} \in \text{Inn}(G)$ since $\pi_G \circ h = \pi_G \circ f$. For any $\gamma, \delta \in \Gamma$, we have

$$\text{conj}(g(\gamma \delta)) = h(\gamma \delta)f(\gamma \delta)^{-1}$$

$$= h(\gamma)f(\gamma)^{-1} \cdot f(\gamma)h(\delta)f(\delta)^{-1}f(\gamma)^{-1}$$

$$= \text{conj}(g(\gamma)) \cdot \text{conj}(f(\gamma)(g(\delta)))$$

$$= \text{conj}(g(\gamma) \cdot f(\gamma)(g(\delta)))$$
and so \( g \) is a crossed homomorphism with respect to \( f \). Clearly \( h = h_{(f, g)} \) and so this shows that (3.2) is surjective. Let \( g_1, g_2, g \in Z^1_f(\Gamma, G) \). For any \( \gamma \in \Gamma \), since \( \text{conj} \) is an isomorphism, we have

\[
g_1(\gamma) = g_2(\gamma) \iff \text{conj}(g_1(\gamma)) = \text{conj}(g_2(\gamma)) \iff h_{(f, g_1)}(\gamma) = h_{(f, g_2)}(\gamma)
\]

and so (3.2) is also injective. For any \( \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma \), similarly

\[
g(\gamma_1) = g(\gamma_2) \iff \text{conj}(g(\gamma_1)) = \text{conj}(g(\gamma_2)) \iff h_{(f, g)}(\gamma_1^{-1}\gamma_2) = f(\gamma_1^{-1}\gamma_2)
\]

and this implies that (3.3) is a well-defined bijection as well. \( \square \)

In the case that \( G \) is finite, observe that

\[
\#H_2(G) = \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(G)|} \cdot \#\text{Reg}(G, \text{Hol}(G)).
\]

From Proposition 3.2, we then deduce that

\[
\#H_2(G) = \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(G)|} \cdot \#\{(f, g) : f \in \text{Hom}(G, \text{Aut}(G)), g \in Z^1_f(G, G^*)\}.
\]

By Proposition 3.4, when \( G \) has trivial center, we further have

\[
(3.4) \quad \#H_2(G) = \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(G)|} \cdot \#\left\{(f, h) : f \in \text{Hom}(G, \text{Aut}(G)), h \in \text{Hom}_f(G, \text{Aut}(G))^o\right\}.
\]

This formula shall be useful for the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Finally, we shall give a necessary condition for a subgroup of \( \text{Hol}(G) \) to be normal in terms of the notation of Propositions 3.2 and 3.4.

**Proposition 3.5.** Let \( f \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma, \text{Aut}(G)) \) and \( g \in Z^1_f(\Gamma, G) \). If \( \beta_{(f, g)}(\Gamma) \) is a normal subgroup of \( \text{Hol}(G) \), then both \( f(\Gamma) \) and \( h_{(f, g)}(\Gamma) \) are normal subgroups of \( \text{Aut}(G) \).

**Proof.** Suppose that \( \beta_{(f, g)}(\Gamma) \) is normal in \( \text{Hol}(G) \) and let \( \varphi \in \text{Aut}(G) \). Then, for any \( \gamma \in \Gamma \), there exists \( \gamma_\varphi \in \Gamma \) such that

\[
\varphi \beta_{(f, g)}(\gamma) \varphi^{-1} = \beta_{(f, g)}(\gamma_\varphi).
\]

Rewriting this equation yields

\[
\rho(\varphi(g(\gamma))) \cdot \varphi f(\gamma) \varphi^{-1} = \rho(g(\gamma_\varphi)) \cdot f(\gamma_\varphi).
\]
Since (1.1) is a semi-direct product, this in turn gives
\[ \varphi(g(\gamma)) = g(\gamma \varphi) \text{ and } \varphi f(\gamma) \varphi^{-1} = f(\gamma \varphi). \]
The latter shows that \( f(\Gamma) \) is normal in \( \text{Aut}(G) \). The above also implies that
\[ \varphi h_{(f,g)}(\gamma) \varphi^{-1} = \text{conj}(\varphi(g(\gamma))) \cdot \varphi f(\gamma) \varphi^{-1} = \text{conj}(g(\gamma \varphi)) \cdot f(\gamma \varphi) = h_{(f,g)}(\gamma \varphi), \]
and hence \( h_{(f,g)}(\Gamma) \) is normal in \( \text{Aut}(G) \) as well. □

4. Basic properties of almost simple groups

In this section, let \( S \) be an almost simple group and let \( T \) be a non-abelian simple group such that \( \text{Inn}(T) \leq S \leq \text{Aut}(T) \). Notice that \( \text{Inn}(T) \) is normal in \( \text{Aut}(T) \) and hence is normal in \( S \) as well. Recall the known fact, which is easily verified, that for any \( \varphi \in \text{Aut}(T) \), we have
\[ (4.1) \quad \varphi \circ \psi = \psi \circ \varphi \text{ for all } \psi \in \text{Inn}(T) \text{ implies } \varphi = \text{Id}_T. \]
This implies the next three basic properties of \( S \) which we shall need.

**Lemma 4.1.** The center of \( S \) is trivial.

*Proof.* This follows directly from (4.1). ■

**Lemma 4.2.** Every non-trivial normal subgroup of \( S \) contains \( \text{Inn}(T) \).

*Proof.* Let \( R \) be any normal subgroup of \( S \) such that \( R \not\supset \text{Inn}(T) \), or equivalently \( R \cap \text{Inn}(T) \neq \text{Inn}(T) \). Then, since \( R \cap \text{Inn}(T) \) is normal in \( \text{Inn}(T) \) and \( \text{Inn}(T) \simeq T \) is simple, this means that \( R \cap \text{Inn}(T) = 1 \). For any \( \varphi \in R \), since both \( R \) and \( \text{Inn}(T) \) are normal in \( \text{Aut}(T) \), we have
\[ \psi \circ \varphi \circ \psi^{-1} \circ \varphi^{-1} \in R \cap \text{Inn}(T) \text{ for all } \psi \in \text{Inn}(T). \]
We then deduce from (4.1) that \( \varphi = \text{Id}_T \) and so \( R \) is trivial. ■

**Lemma 4.3.** There is an injective homomorphism
\[ \iota : \text{Aut}(S) \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(T) \]
such that the composition
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
S & \longrightarrow & \text{Inn}(S) & \xrightarrow{\text{inclusion}} & \text{Aut}(S) & \longrightarrow & \text{Aut}(T)
\end{array}
\]
is the inclusion map, where the first arrow is the map \( \varphi \mapsto (x \mapsto \varphi x \varphi^{-1}) \).

**Proof.** Put \( T^\# = \text{Inn}(T) \), which is the socle of \( S \) by Lemma 4.2, and hence is a characteristic subgroup of \( S \). Thus, there is a well-defined homomorphism

(4.2) \[ \text{Aut}(S) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(T^\#); \quad \theta \mapsto \theta|_{T^\#}. \]

Suppose that \( \theta \) is in its kernel. For any \( \varphi \in S \), we then have

\( \theta(\varphi) \circ \psi \circ \theta(\varphi)^{-1} = \theta(\varphi \circ \psi \circ \varphi^{-1}) = \varphi \circ \psi \circ \varphi^{-1} \) for all \( \psi \in T^\# \).

From (4.1), we deduce that \( \theta(\varphi) = \varphi \), and thus \( \theta = \text{Id}_S \). This shows that the homomorphism (4.2) is injective. Let us identify \( T \) with \( T^\# \) via \( \sigma \mapsto \text{conj}(\sigma) \), where \( \text{conj}(\cdot) = \lambda(\cdot)\rho(\cdot) \). We then obtain an injective homomorphism

\[ \iota : \text{Aut}(S) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(T) \]

from (4.2). Since for any \( \varphi \in S \) and \( \sigma \in T \), we have the relation

\( \varphi \circ \text{conj}(\sigma) \circ \varphi^{-1} = \text{conj}(\varphi(\sigma)) \) in \( \text{Aut}(T) \),

the stated composition is indeed the inclusion map. \( \square \)

### 5. Proof of the theorems

In this section, we shall prove Theorems 1.2 and 1.3.

5.1. **Some consequences of the CFSG.** Our proof relies on the following consequences of the classification theorem of finite simple groups.

**Lemma 5.1.** Let \( T \) be a finite non-abelian simple group.

(a) There is no fixed point free automorphism on \( T \).

(b) The outer automorphism group \( \text{Out}(T) \) of \( T \) is solvable.

(c) The inequality \( |T|/|\text{Out}(T)| \geq 30 \) holds.

**Proof.** They are all consequences of the classification theorem of finite simple groups. See [9, Theorems 1.46 and 1.48] for parts (a) and (b). See [15, Lemma 2.2] for part (c). \( \square \)

Lemma 5.1 (c) in particular implies the following corollaries.
Corollary 5.2. Let $T$ be a finite non-abelian simple group. Then, any finite group $S$ of order less than $30|\text{Aut}(T)|$ cannot have subgroups $T_1$ and $T_2$, both of which are isomorphic to $T$, such that $T_1 \cap T_2 = 1$.

Proof. Suppose that $S$ is a finite group having subgroups $T_1$ and $T_2$, both of which are isomorphic to $T$, such that $T_1 \cap T_2 = 1$. Then, we have

$$|T_1T_2| = |T_1||T_2| = |\text{Inn}(T)||T| = |\text{Aut}(T)||T|/|\text{Out}(T)|.$$ 

Since $T_1T_2$ is a subset of $S$, from Lemma 5.1 (c), it follows that $S$ must have order at least $30|\text{Aut}(T)|$. □

Corollary 5.3. Let $T$ be a finite non-abelian simple group. Then, the inner automorphism group $\text{Inn}(T)$ is the only subgroup of $\text{Aut}(T)$ isomorphic to $T$.

Proof. Let $R$ be any subgroup of $\text{Aut}(T)$ isomorphic to $T$. Since $\text{Inn}(T) \cap R$ is normal in $R$, and it cannot be trivial by Corollary 5.2, it must be equal to the entire $R$. It follows that $R \subset \text{Inn}(T)$, and we have equality because these are finite groups of the same order. □

5.2. Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $G$ be any finite almost simple group, say 

$$\text{Inn}(T) \leq G \leq \text{Aut}(T),$$

where $T$ is a finite non-abelian simple group. From Lemma 4.3, we see that the group $\text{Aut}(G)$ is also almost simple, as well as that 

$$\text{Inn}(T^\#) \leq \text{Aut}(G) \leq \text{Aut}(T^\#),$$

where $T^\#$ is a group isomorphic to $T$. Now, consider a regular subgroup $N$ of $\text{Hol}(G)$. By Proposition 3.2, we may write it as

$$N = \{\rho(g(\gamma)) \cdot f(\gamma) : \gamma \in \Gamma\},$$

where 

$$\begin{cases} 
  f \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma, \text{Aut}(G)) \\
  g \in Z_f^1(\Gamma, G)^* 
\end{cases}$$

and $\Gamma$ is a group isomorphic to $N$. By Proposition 3.4, we may define 

$$h \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma, \text{Aut}(G)); \quad h(\gamma) = \text{conj}(g(\gamma)) \cdot f(\gamma),$$

and $(f, h)$ is fixed point free since $G$ has trivial center by Lemma 4.1.
It is clear that

\[
\begin{cases}
N \subset \rho(G) & \text{if } f(\Gamma) \text{ is trivial}, \\
N \subset \lambda(G) & \text{if } h(\Gamma) \text{ is trivial},
\end{cases}
\]

which must be equalities because \( N \) is regular. In what follows, assume that both \( f(\Gamma) \) and \( h(\Gamma) \) are non-trivial. Also, suppose for contradiction that \( N \) is normal in \( \text{Hol}(G) \). Then, by Proposition 3.5, both \( f(\Gamma) \) and \( h(\Gamma) \) are normal subgroups of \( \text{Aut}(G) \), they contain \( \text{Inn}(T^#) \) by Lemma 4.2. Hence, we have

(5.1) \[ \text{Inn}(T^#) \leq f(\Gamma), h(\Gamma) \leq \text{Aut}(G) \leq \text{Aut}(T^#), \]

which means that \( f(\Gamma) \) and \( h(\Gamma) \) are almost simple as well.

(a) **Suppose that both ker(\( f \)) and ker(\( h \)) are non-trivial.**

Note that ker(\( f \)) \( \cap \) ker(\( h \)) = 1 because (\( f, h \)) is fixed point free. This means that \( f \) restricts to an injective homomorphism

\[ \text{res}(f) : \text{ker}(h) \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(G), \text{ and } f(\text{ker}(h)) \text{ is non-trivial.} \]

Since \( f(\text{ker}(h)) \) is normal in \( f(\Gamma) \), from Lemma 4.2 and (5.1), we see that \( \text{Inn}(T^#) \leq f(\text{ker}(h)) \), and so there is a subgroup \( \Delta_h \) of ker(\( h \)) isomorphic to \( T \). Similarly, there is a subgroup \( \Delta_f \) of ker(\( f \)) isomorphic to \( T \). But

\[ \Delta_f \cap \Delta_h \subset \text{ker}(f) \cap \text{ker}(h) \text{ and so } \Delta_f \cap \Delta_h = 1. \]

This contradicts Corollary 5.2 because \( \Gamma \) has the same order as \( G \) and \( G \) is contained in \( \text{Aut}(T) \) by assumption.

(b) **Suppose that ker(\( f \)) is trivial but ker(\( h \)) is non-trivial.**

Note that \( f \) is injective, so \( f \) induces an isomorphism

(5.2) \[ \frac{\Gamma}{\text{ker}(h)} \sim \frac{f(\Gamma)}{f(\text{ker}(h))}, \text{ and } f(\text{ker}(h)) \text{ is non-trivial.} \]

On the one hand, the first quotient group in (5.2) is isomorphic to \( h(\Gamma) \), which is insolvable by (5.1). On the other hand, since \( f(\text{ker}(h)) \) is normal in \( f(\Gamma) \), by Lemma 4.2 and (5.1), we have \( \text{Inn}(T^#) \leq f(\text{ker}(h)) \). There are natural homomorphisms

\[ \frac{f(\Gamma)}{\text{Inn}(T^#)} \text{ surjective } \frac{f(\Gamma)}{f(\text{ker}(h))} \text{ and } \frac{f(\Gamma)}{\text{Inn}(T^#)} \text{ injective } \rightarrow \text{Out}(T^#). \]
Since \( \text{Out}(T^\#) \) is solvable by Lemma 5.1 (b), the second quotient group in (5.2) is also solvable, and this is a contradiction.

(c) **Suppose that** \( \ker(h) \) **is trivial but** \( \ker(f) \) **is non-trivial.**

By symmetry, we obtain a contradiction as in case (b).

(d) **Suppose that both** \( \ker(f) \) **are** \( \ker(h) \) **are trivial.**

Note that \( \text{Inn}(T^\#) \) is the unique subgroup of \( \text{Aut}(G) \) isomorphic to \( T \) by Corollary 5.3. Similarly, since \( \Gamma \cong f(\Gamma) \), from (5.1) we see that \( \Gamma \) contains a unique subgroup \( \Delta \) isomorphic to \( T \). Since both \( f \) and \( h \) are injective, they restrict to isomorphisms

\[
\text{res}(f), \text{res}(h) : \Delta \to \text{Inn}(T^\#), \quad \text{and } \text{res}(f)^{-1} \circ \text{res}(h) \in \text{Aut}(\Delta)
\]

is fixed point free because the pair \( (f, h) \) is fixed point free. This contradicts Lemma 5.1 (a).

We have thus shown that in order for \( N \) to be normal in \( \text{Hol}(G) \), either \( f(\Gamma) \) or \( h(\Gamma) \) must be trivial, and consequently \( N \) is equal to \( \lambda(G) \) or \( \rho(G) \). Hence, indeed \( \lambda(G) \) are \( \rho(G) \) are the only elements of \( \mathcal{H}_1(G) \), as desired.

5.3. **Proof of Theorem 1.3: The first claim.** Let \( G \) be any finite almost simple group. Since \( G \) has trivial center by Lemma 4.1, we have

\[
\#\mathcal{H}_2(G) = \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(G)|} \cdot \# \left\{ (f, h) : \begin{array}{c} f \in \text{Hom}(G, \text{Aut}(G)) \\ h \in \text{Hom}_f(G, \text{Aut}(G)) \end{array} \right\}
\]

as in (3.4). For \( (f, h) \) as above, we have \( \ker(f) \cap \ker(h) = 1 \) since \( (f, h) \) is fixed point free, and so at least one of \( f \) and \( h \) is injective by Lemma 4.2.

Suppose now that \( \text{Inn}(G) \) is the only subgroup isomorphic to \( G \) in \( \text{Aut}(G) \). If \( h \) is injective, then \( h(G) \) must be equal to \( \text{Inn}(G) \), and by definition (3.1), we deduce that \( f(G) \) has to lie in \( \text{Inn}(G) \). Then, since \( \text{Inn}(G) \cong G \), we may identify any pair \( (f, h) \) in (5.3) with \( h \) injective as a pair \( (f, h) \), where

\[
f \in \text{End}(G) \text{ and } h \in \text{Aut}(G) \text{ are such that } (f, h) \text{ is fixed point free.}
\]

It follows that

\[
\#\{(f, h) : f \in \text{Hom}(G, \text{Aut}(G)), h \in \text{Hom}_f(G, \text{Aut}(G))^{\circ}, h \text{ is injective}\}
\]
\begin{align*}
&= \#\{(f, h) : f \in \text{End}(G), h \in \text{Aut}(G), (f, h) \text{ is fixed point free}\} \\
&= \#\{(f, h) : f \in \text{End}(G), h \in \text{Aut}(G), h^{-1} \circ f \in \text{End}_{\text{fpf}}(G)\} \\
&= |\text{Aut}(G)| \cdot \#\text{End}_{\text{fpf}}(G).
\end{align*}

By the symmetry between $f$ and $h$, we similarly have

\begin{align*}
&= \#\{(f, h) : f \in \text{Hom}(G, \text{Aut}(G)), h \in \text{Hom}_{f}(G, \text{Aut}(G)) \circ, f \text{ is injective}\} \\
&= |\text{Aut}(G)| \cdot \#\text{End}_{\text{fpf}}(G).
\end{align*}

We now conclude that

\begin{align*}
\#H_2(G) &= \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(G)|} \cdot 2 \cdot |\text{Aut}(G)| \cdot \#\text{End}_{\text{fpf}}(G) \\
&= 2 \cdot \#\text{End}_{\text{fpf}}(G)
\end{align*}

and this proves the first claim in Theorem 1.3.

5.4. **Proof of Theorem 1.3: The second claim.** Observe that

\[
\text{End}_{\text{fpf}}(G) = \bigsqcup_{H \triangleleft G} \{ f \in \text{End}_{\text{fpf}}(G) : \ker(f) = H \}
\]

and let us begin by proving the following general statement.

**Lemma 5.4.** Let $G$ be any group and let $p$ be a prime. Then, for any normal subgroup $H$ of $G$ of index $p$ and any element $\sigma$ of $G$ of order $p$, we have

\[
\#\{ f \in \text{End}_{\text{fpf}}(G) : \ker(f) = H \text{ and } f(G) = \langle \sigma \rangle \} = \begin{cases} p - 1 & \text{if } \sigma \in H, \\ p - 2 & \text{if } \sigma \not\in H. \end{cases}
\]

**Proof.** Fix $\tau \in G$ such that $\tau H$ generates $G/H$. Then, we have exactly $p - 1$ endomorphisms $f_1, \ldots, f_{p-1}$ on $G$ with kernel equal to $H$ and image equal to $\langle \sigma \rangle$. Explicitly, for each $1 \leq k \leq p - 1$, we may define $f_k$ by setting

\[
\begin{align*}
    f_k(H) &= \{1\}, \\
    f_k(\tau H) &= \{\sigma^k\}, \\
    &\vdots \\
    f_k(\tau^{p-1} H) &= \{\sigma^{k(p-1)}\}.
\end{align*}
\]
Observe that $f_k$ is fixed point free if and only if
\[ \sigma^{ki} \in \tau^i H \text{ for some } i = 1, \ldots, p - 1. \]
Since $\sigma$ and $\tau H$ have order $p$, this in turn is equivalent to $\sigma^k \in \tau H$, and
\[
\begin{cases}
\sigma^k \notin \tau H \text{ for all } k = 1, \ldots, p - 1 & \text{if } \sigma \in H, \\
\sigma^k \in \tau H \text{ for exactly one } k = 1, \ldots, p - 1 & \text{if } \sigma \notin H.
\end{cases}
\]
We then see that the claim holds. \qed

Now, let $G$ be any finite almost simple group such that $\text{Soc}(G)$ has prime index $p$ in $G$, in which case by Lemma 4.2, there are exactly three subgroups in $G$, namely 1, $\text{Soc}(G)$, and $G$. Hence, we have
\[
\#\text{End}_{\text{fpf}}(G) = \sum_{H \in \{1, \text{Soc}(G), G\}} \#\{f \in \text{End}_{\text{fpf}}(G) : \ker(f) = H\}.
\]
Observe that
\[
\begin{align*}
\#\{f \in \text{End}_{\text{fpf}}(G) : \ker(f) = 1\} &= 0, \\
\#\{f \in \text{End}_{\text{fpf}}(G) : \ker(f) = G\} &= 1,
\end{align*}
\]
where the former follows from Lemma 5.1 (a) and the latter is trivial. For the case $H = \text{Soc}(G)$, let us first rewrite
\[
\begin{align*}
\#\{f \in \text{End}_{\text{fpf}}(G) : \ker(f) = \text{Soc}(G)\} &= \sum_{P \leq G, |P| = p} \#\{f \in \text{End}_{\text{fpf}}(G) : \ker(f) = \text{Soc}(G) \text{ and } f(G) = P\} \\
&= \frac{1}{p - 1} \sum_{\sigma \in G, |\sigma| = p} \#\{f \in \text{End}_{\text{fpf}}(G) : \ker(f) = \text{Soc}(G) \text{ and } f(G) = \langle \sigma \rangle\}.
\end{align*}
\]
Applying Lemma 5.4 then yields
\[
\begin{align*}
\#\{f \in \text{End}_{\text{fpf}}(G) : \ker(f) = \text{Soc}(G)\} &= \frac{1}{p - 1} \left( \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Soc}(G), |\sigma| = p} (p - 1) + \sum_{\sigma \notin \text{Soc}(G), |\sigma| = p} (p - 2) \right) \\
&= \#\{\sigma \in \text{Soc}(G) : \sigma \text{ has order } p\}
\end{align*}
\]
+ (p - 2)/(p - 1) \cdot \#\{\sigma \in G \setminus \text{Soc}(G) : \sigma \text{ has order } p\}.

This completes the proof of the second claim in Theorem 1.3.
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