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Abstract

Let $M^n$ be a closed manifold of almost nonnegative sectional curvature and nonzero first de Rham cohomology group. Using a topological argument, we show that the Morse-Novikov cohomology group $H^p(M^n, \theta)$ vanishes for any $p$ and $[\theta] \in H^1_{dR}(M^n), [\theta] \neq 0$. Based on a new integral formula, we also show that a similar result holds for a closed manifold of almost nonnegative Ricci curvature under the additional assumption that its curvature operator is uniformly bounded from below.

1 Introduction

Let $M^n$ be a smooth manifold and $\theta$ a real valued closed one form on $M^n$. Set $\Omega^p(M^n)$ the space of real smooth $p$-forms and define $d_\theta : \Omega^p(M^n) \to \Omega^{p+1}(M^n)$ as $d_\theta \alpha = d\alpha + \theta \wedge \alpha$ for $\alpha \in \Omega^p(M^n)$. Then we have a complex

$$\cdots \to \Omega^{p-1}(M^n) \xrightarrow{d_\theta} \Omega^p(M^n) \xrightarrow{d_\theta} \Omega^{p+1}(M^n) \to \cdots$$

whose cohomology $H^p(M, \theta) = H^p(\Omega^*(M^n), d_\theta)$ is called the $p$-th Morse-Novikov cohomology group of $M^n$ with respect to $\theta$. If $\theta_1, \theta_2$ are two representatives in the cohomology class $[\theta]$, then $H^p(M, \theta_1) \simeq H^p(M, \theta_2)$. Hence $H^p(M, \theta)$ only depends on the de Rham cohomology class of $\theta$. This cohomology shares many properties with the ordinary de Rham cohomology. See [10, 16, 17] and section 2 for details.

If $[\theta] = 0$, the Novikov cohomology group $H^p(M, \theta)$ is isomorphic to the de Rham cohomology group $H^p_{dR}(M^n)$. There are lots of work relating de Rham cohomology to curvature properties of Riemannian manifolds. See for example [18]. In particular, a celebrated theorem of Gromov says that the Betti number of a closed manifold with almost nonnegative sectional curvature is bounded above by a constant depending only on the dimension of the manifold [9]. Here we say that a Riemannian manifold $M^n$ has almost nonnegative sectional curvature if it admits a sequence of Riemannian metrics $g_i$ such that

$$\sec(g_i) \geq -\frac{1}{i}$$

$$D(g_i) \leq 1,$$

where $\sec(g_i)$ is the sectional curvature of $g_i$ and $D(g_i)$ is the diameter of $g_i$.
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However, there are quite few work discussing the relationship between Morse-Novikov cohomology $H^p(M, \theta)$ and curvature when $[\theta] \neq 0$. This paper is trying to make an attempt towards this direction. Our first result is the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let $M^n$ be a closed Riemannian manifold of almost nonnegative sectional curvature and nonzero first de Rham cohomology group, then the Morse-Novikov cohomology $H^p(M, \theta) = 0$ for any $p$ (including $p = 0$) and any $[\theta] \in H^1_{dR}(M^n), [\theta] \neq 0$.

From the work in [7, 13], we know that a closed Riemannian manifold $M^n$ of almost non-negative sectional curvature is an almost nilpotent space. Namely, there is a finite cover of $M^n$, denoted by $\hat{M}^n$, such that $\pi_1(\hat{M}^n)$ is a nilpotent group that operates nilpotently on $\pi_k(\hat{M}^n)$ for every $k \geq 2$. Recall that an action by automorphisms of a group $G$ on an abelian group $V$ is called nilpotent if $V$ admits a finite sequence of $G$-invariant subgroups

$$V = V_0 \supset V_1 \supset V_2 \supset \cdots \supset V_k = 0$$

such that the induced action of $G$ on $V_j/V_{j+1}$ is trivial for any $j$. Now Theorem 1.1 is a consequence of the following topological result.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let $M^n$ be a smooth manifold with nonzero first de Rham cohomology group. If $M^n$ is an almost nilpotent space, then the Morse-Novikov cohomology $H^p(M, \theta) = 0$ for any $p$ and any $[\theta] \in H^1_{dR}(M^n), [\theta] \neq 0$.

For a smooth manifold which is not an almost nilpotent space, its Morse-Novikov cohomology does not necessarily vanish as the following example shows.

**Example 1.** [13] Let $h : S^3 \times S^3 \to S^3 \times S^3$ be defined by

$$h : (x, y) \to (xy, yxy).$$

This map is a diffeomorphism with inverse given by

$$h^{-1} : (u, v) \to (u^2v^{-1}, vu^{-1}).$$

Let $M$ be the mapping torus of $h$. Then $M$ has the structure of a fiber bundle:

$$S^3 \times S^3 \to M \to S^1.$$

The induced map $h^* : H^3_{dR}(S^3 \times S^3)$ is given by the matrix

$$A_h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (1.1)$$

Notice that the eigenvalues of $A_h$ are different from 1 in absolute value. Hence $M^n$ is not an almost nilpotent space. Let $\lambda$ be a eigenvalue of $A_h$ with $\lambda = e^{-t}, t \neq 0, t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\theta$ a generator of $H^1_{dR}(M)$. We claim that $H^3(M, t\theta) \neq 0$. To see this, observe that $t\theta$ defines a linear representation of the fundamental group of $M$:

$$\rho_t : \pi_1(M) \to GL(1, \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^*, [\gamma] \mapsto e^{t\gamma, \theta}. $$
The representation $\rho_t$ defines a complex rank one local system $\mathbb{C}_{\rho_t}$ over $M^n$ \cite{5}. We denote by $H^p(M^n, \mathbb{C}_{\rho_t})$ the $p$-th cohomology group of $M^n$ with coefficients in this local system. By Theorem 2.2 in section 2, for any $p$, we have

$$H^p(M, t\theta) \simeq H^p(M^n, \mathbb{C}_{\rho_t}).$$

On the other hand, by Wang’s exact sequence in Proposition 6.4.8 in \cite{5} page 212, we have

$$\dim \mathbb{C} H^p(M^n, \mathbb{C}_{\rho_t}) = \dim \mathbb{C} \ker(h^{*p} - e^{-t}Id) + \dim \mathbb{C} \text{coker}(h^{*p-1} - e^{-t}Id),$$

where $h^{*p} : H^p(S^3 \times S^3, \mathbb{C}) \to H^p(S^3 \times S^3, \mathbb{C})$ is the linear map induced by $h$. As $e^{-t}$ is an eigenvalue of $h^{*3}$, we see that $\dim \mathbb{C} \ker(h^{*3} - e^{-t}Id) > 0$ and $H^3(M, t\theta) \not= 0$.

By Theorem 2.1 in section 2, we see that $\sum_{p=0}^{n} (-1)^p \dim H^p(M^n, \theta)$ is equal to the Euler characteristic number of $M^n$. Hence we get the following

**Corollary 1.3.** Let $M^n$ be a smooth manifold with nonzero first de Rham cohomology group. If $M^n$ is an almost nilpotent space, then its Euler characteristic number vanishes.

Theorem 1.1 fails for closed manifolds of almost nonnegative Ricci curvature. Recall that a Riemannian manifold has almost nonnegative Ricci curvature if it admits a sequence of Riemannian metrics $g_i$ such that

$$\text{Ric}(g_i) \geq -\frac{n-1}{i},$$

$$D(g_i) \leq 1,$$

where $\text{Ric}(g_i)$ is the Ricci curvature of $g_i$ and $D(g_i)$ is the diameter of $g_i$. Let $M^4$ be the manifold performing surgery along a meridian curve in $T^4$, i.e, removing a tubular neighborhood of the curve and attaching a copy of $D^2 \times S^2$. In \cite{1}, Anderson showed that $M^4$ admits a sequence of Riemannian metrics $g_i$ such that

$$|\text{Ric}(g_i)| \leq \frac{n-1}{i},$$

$$D(g_i) \leq 1.$$

Moreover, its fundamental group is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^3$ and its Euler characteristic number is nonzero. For any $[\theta] \in H^1_{dR}(M^4)$, $[\theta] \not= 0$, by Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.3 in section 2, we get $H^p(M^4, \theta) = 0$ for $p \not= 2$ and $H^2(M^4, \theta) \not= 0$. However, the sectional curvature of $g_i$ constructed by Anderson can not have a uniform lower bound. Otherwise, there will be also an upper bound of the sectional curvature and by Theorem 1 in \cite{20}, $M^4$ will fiber over $S^1$ which is impossible by the construction. In particular, the curvature operator of $g_i$ can not have a uniform lower bound. By the following Theorem 1.4 and its Corollary 1.5, $M^4$ in fact can not admit a sequence of Riemannian metrics $g_i$ of almost nonnegative Ricci curvature with curvature operator uniformly bounded from below.

**Theorem 1.4.** Let $M^n$ be a closed Riemannian manifold with nonzero first de Rham cohomology group and admits a sequence of Riemannian metrics $g_i$ such that

$$\text{Ric}(g_i) \geq -\frac{n-1}{i},$$

$$D(g_i) \leq 1.$$
If the curvature operator of $g_i$ is uniformly bounded from below by $-\text{Id}$, then for any $[\theta] \in H^1_{dR}(M^n)$, $[\theta] \neq 0$, there exists some $t \in \mathbb{R}, t \neq 0$ such that $H^p(M, t\theta) = 0$ for any $p$, where $H^p(M, t\theta)$ is the Morse-Novikov cohomology group with respect to $t\theta$.

**Corollary 1.5.** Let $M^n$ be a closed Riemannian manifold with nonzero first de Rham cohomology group. If $M^n$ admits a sequence of Riemannian metrics of almost nonnegative Ricci curvature with curvature operator uniformly bounded from below, then the Euler characteristic number of $M^n$ vanishes.

It has been known that the fundamental group of a closed manifold $M^n$ of almost nonnegative Ricci curvature is almost nilpotent [14]. By Theorem 2.3, $H^1(M, \theta) = 0$ for any $[\theta] \neq 0$ without any additional assumption. See [12] for related work on noncollapsed almost Ricci flat manifolds.

Finally, we point out that for a closed Riemannian manifold $M^n$ of nonnegative Ricci curvature and nonzero first de Rham cohomology group, then the Morse-Novikov cohomology $H^p(M, \theta) = 0$ for any $p$ and $[\theta] \in H^1_{dR}(M^n)$, $[\theta] \neq 0$. This follows from the Cheeger-Gromoll splitting theorem [3] and Theorem 2.1.

The proof of Theorem 1.2 is based on Cartan-Leray spectral sequence on equivalent homology [3]. By passing to a finite cover, we can assume that $M^n$ is a nilpotent space. The closed one form $\theta$ on $M^n$ defines a linear representation of the fundamental group of $M^n$:

$$\rho : \pi_1(M^n) \to GL(1, \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^*, [\gamma] \mapsto e^{f_{\gamma} \theta}.$$  

The representation $\rho$ defines a complex rank one local system $\mathbb{C}_\rho$ over $M^n$ [5]. We denote by $H^p(M^n, \mathbb{C}_\rho)$ the $p$-th cohomology group of $M^n$ with coefficients in this local system. By Theorem 2.2 in section 2, for any $p$, we have

$$H^p(M^n, \theta) \simeq H^p(M^n, \mathbb{C}_\rho).$$

By duality, it suffices to show that $H_p(M^n, \mathbb{C}_\rho) = 0$, where $H_p(M^n, \mathbb{C}_\rho)$ is the $p$-th homology group of $M^n$ with coefficients in this local system. Let $\pi : \tilde{M}^n \to M^n$ be the universal cover of $M^n$. By the Cartan-Leray spectral sequence [3], we have

$$E^2_{ki} = H_k(\pi_1(M^n), H_i(\tilde{M}^n, \mathbb{C})) \Rightarrow H_{k+i}(M^n, \mathbb{C}_\rho),$$

where $H_k(\pi_1(M^n), H_i(\tilde{M}^n, \mathbb{C}))$ is the $k$-th homology group of $\pi_1(M^n)$ with coefficients in the $\pi_1(M^n)$-module $H_i(\tilde{M}^n, \mathbb{C})$. Then we prove by induction to get the vanishing of $H_p(M^n, \mathbb{C}_\rho)$.

The proof of Theorem 1.4 is based on Hodge theory of Morse-Novikov cohomology. Let $d^*$ be the formal $L^2$ adjoint of $d$ with respect to the Riemannian metric $g_i$. We can also define an operator $d^\sharp$ as the formal $L^2$ adjoint of $d_\theta$ with respect to $g_i$. Further, $\Delta_\theta = d_\theta d^\sharp_\theta + d^\sharp_\theta d_\theta$ is the corresponding Laplacian. These operators are lower-order perturbations of the corresponding operators in the usual Hodge-de Rham theory and therefore have much the same analytic properties. For example, the usual proof of the Hodge decomposition theorem goes through, and one obtains an orthogonal decomposition

$$\Omega^p(M^n) = \mathcal{H}^p(M^n) \oplus d_\theta(\Omega^{p-1}(M^n)) \oplus d^\sharp_\theta(\Omega^{p+1}(M^n)),$$

where $\mathcal{H}^p(M^n)$ is the space of $\Delta_\theta$ harmonic forms, which is isomorphic to $H^p(M^n, \theta)$.

By Hodge theory, for each $i$ we can choose a harmonic form $\theta_i$ in the cohomology class $[\theta]$. Let $V(g_i)$ be the volume of $(M^n, g_i)$, $dV_i$ the volume form of $g_i$ and $X_i$ the dual vector field of
\(\theta_i\) defined by \(g_i(X_i,Y) = \theta(Y)\). Set \(t_i = (\frac{\nabla V(g_i)}{\int M^n |X_i|^2dV_i})^{1/2} > 0\). Choose a \(\Delta_i,\theta_i\) harmonic form \(\alpha_i\) in \(H^p(M^n, t_i \theta_i)\). The idea is to show that \(\alpha_i \equiv 0\) for sufficiently large \(i\), which relies on the following crucial integral inequality proved in Corollary 4.3:

\[
\int_{M^n} t_i^2 |X_i|^2 |\alpha_i|^2 dV_i \leq C_n \int_{M^n} (t_i |\nabla X_i| + t_i |\text{div}(X_i)|)|\alpha_i|^2 dV_i
\]

for some constant \(C_n\) depending only on \(n\).

As \(\text{Ric}(g_i) \geq -\frac{n-1}{t} \), applying Bochner formula to \(X_i\), we get

\[
\int_{M^n} |\nabla X_i|^2 dV_i \leq \frac{n-1}{t} \int_{M^n} |X_i|^2 dV_i.
\]

Combing (1.3) and (1.4) for sufficiently large \(i\) we will show

\[
\int_{M^n} |\alpha_i|^2 dV_i \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{M^n} |\alpha_i|^2 dV_i.
\]

Hence \(\alpha_i \equiv 0\). See section 5 for details.
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## 2 Basic properties of Morse-Novikov cohomology

In this section we collect some basic properties of Morse-Novikov cohomology.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let \(M^n\) be a compact \(n\)-dimensional manifold and \(\theta\) a closed one form on \(M^n\). Then:

1. If \(\theta' = \theta + df, f \in C^\infty(M^n, \mathbb{R})\), then for any \(p\), we have \(H^p(M^n, \theta') \simeq H^p(M^n, \theta)\) and the isomorphism is given by the map \([\alpha] \mapsto [e^f \alpha]\);

2. If \([\theta] \neq 0\) and \(M^n\) is connected and orientable, then \(H^0(M^n, \theta)\) and \(H^n(M^n, \theta)\) vanish. Moreover, the integration \(\int : H^p(M^n, \theta) \times H^{n-p}(M^n, -\theta), (\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \int_{M^n} \alpha \wedge \beta\) induces an isomorphism \(H^p(M^n, \theta) \simeq (H^{n-p}(M^n, -\theta))^*\).

3. \(\sum_{p=0}^n (-1)^p \dim H^p(M^n, \theta)\) is equal to the Euler characteristic number of \(M^n\);

4. If \(N^d\) be a \(d\)-dimensional manifold and \(\gamma\) be a closed one form on \(N^d\), then we have \(H^k(M^n \times N^d, \pi_1^* \theta + \pi_2^* \gamma) \simeq \bigoplus_{p+q=k} H^p(M^n, \theta) \otimes H^q(N^d, \gamma)\), where \(\pi_1 : M^n \times N^d \to M^n, \pi_2 : M^n \times N^d \to N^d\) are the projection maps.

5. If \(\pi : \widetilde{M}^n \to M^n\) is a covering space with finite sheet, then \(\pi^* : H^p(M^n, \theta) \to H^p(\widetilde{M}^n, \pi^* \theta)\) is injective for any \(p\).
Proof. See page 476-480 in [10] and Proposition 1.2 in [16] for the proof of parts 1-4. For part 5, by Theorem 2.2 we have
\[ H^p(M^n, \theta) \simeq H^p(M^n, \mathbb{C}_\rho), \]
where \( \mathbb{C}_\rho \) is the complex rank one local system defined by the linear representation
\[ \rho: \pi_1(M^n) \to GL(1, \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^*, [\gamma] \mapsto e^{f_\gamma} \]
and \( H^p(M^n, \mathbb{C}_\rho) \) is the \( p \)-th cohomology group of \( M^n \) with coefficients in this local system.

As \( \pi: \tilde{M}^n \to M^n \) is a covering space with finite sheet, one can construct a transfer map (see e.g. [8]) \( h: H^p(\tilde{M}^n, \pi^*\mathbb{C}_\rho) \to H^p(M^n, \mathbb{C}_\rho) \) such that \( h\pi^* = kId \), where \( k \) is the degree of \( \pi \). It follows that \( \pi^*: H^p(M^n, \theta) \simeq H^p(M^n, \mathbb{C}_\rho) \to H^p(\tilde{M}^n, \pi^*\mathbb{C}_\rho) \simeq H^p(\tilde{M}^n, \pi^*\theta) \) is injective.

As a corollary of Theorem 2.1, we get

**Example 2.** Let \( M^n \) be \( n \)-dimensional torus, then \( H^p(M^n, \theta) = 0 \) for any \( p \) and \([\theta] \neq 0 \) by Theorem 2.1.

Let \( \theta \) be a closed one form on \( M^n \). Consider the following linear representation of the fundamental group of \( M^n \):
\[ \rho: \pi_1(M^n) \to GL(1, \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^*, [\gamma] \mapsto e^{f_\gamma} \theta. \]
The representation \( \rho \) defines a complex rank one local system \( \mathbb{C}_\rho \) over \( M^n \) [5]. We denote by \( H^p(M^n, \mathbb{C}_\rho) \) the \( p \)-th cohomology group of \( M^n \) with coefficients in this local system.

**Theorem 2.2.** \( H^p(M^n, \theta) \simeq H^p(M^n, \mathbb{C}_\rho) \) for any \( p \).

**Proof.** The proof is contained in [17]. For the convenience of the reader, we provide the details here. Let \( \pi: \tilde{M}^n \to M^n \) be the universal cover of \( M^n \). The cohomology groups \( H^p(M^n, \mathbb{C}_\rho) \) are isomorphic to \( H^p(\tilde{M}^n) \), the cohomology groups of the complex \( \Omega(\tilde{M}^n, \rho) \), consisting of the \( \rho \)-equivariant differential forms on \( \tilde{M}^n \) relative to the usual differential (the proof is analogous to the sheaf-theoretic proof of de Rham’s theorem). Let \( h \) be a function on \( M^n \) such that \( dh = \pi^*\theta \).

We give a mapping \( F: \Omega^*(M^n) \to \Omega^*(\tilde{M}^n, \rho) \) by the formula \( F(w) = e^h\pi^*w \). It is easy to see that \( F \) is one-to-one and commutes with the differentials. Hence
\[ H^p(M^n, \theta) \simeq H^p(\tilde{M}^n) \simeq H^p(M^n, \mathbb{C}_\rho). \]

**Theorem 2.3.** Let \( M^n \) be a \( n \)-dimensional manifold and \( \theta \) a closed one form on \( M^n \). If the fundamental group of \( M^n \) has a finitely generated nilpotent subgroup of finite index, then \( H^1(M^n, \theta) = H^{n-1}(M^n, \theta) = 0 \) for any \([\theta] \neq 0 \).

**Proof.** Let \( G \subset \pi_1(M^n) \) be a finitely generated nilpotent subgroup of finite index and \( \pi: \tilde{M}^n \to M^n \) the covering space of \( M^n \) with \( \pi_1(\tilde{M}^n) \simeq G \). The closed one form \( \pi^*\theta \) defines a linear representation of \( G \):
\[ \rho: G = \pi_1(\tilde{M}^n) \to GL(1, \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^*, [\gamma] \mapsto e^{f_\gamma} \pi^*\theta. \]
The representation \( \rho \) defines a complex rank one local system \( C^\rho \) over \( \tilde{M}^n \). We denote by \( H^p(\tilde{M}^n, C^\rho) \) the \( p \)-th cohomology group of \( \tilde{M}^n \) with coefficients in the local system \( C^\rho \). Let \( K(G,1) \) be the topological space such that \( \pi_1(K(G,1)) = G, \pi_i(K(G,1)) = 0, i \geq 2 \) and \( \mathbb{L}_\rho \) the complex rank one local system over \( K(G,1) \) defined by \( \rho \). Since the classifying map \( \tilde{M}^n \to K(G,1) \) induces over \( \mathbb{Q} \) a cohomology isomorphism in degree one, we get

\[
H^1(\tilde{M}^n, C^\rho) \simeq H^1(K(G,1), \mathbb{L}_\rho).
\]

As \( \pi : \tilde{M}^n \to M^n \) is a finite cover, \( [\theta] \neq 0 \) implies that \( [\pi^* \theta] \neq 0 \). Then \( \mathbb{L}_\rho \) is a nontrivial local system over \( K(G,1) \). As \( G \) is a finitely generated nilpotent group, by Theorem 2.2 in [15], for any \( p \), we have

\[
H^p(K(G,1), \mathbb{L}_\rho) = 0.
\]

In particular,

\[
H^1(\tilde{M}^n, C^\rho) \simeq H^1(K(G,1), \mathbb{L}_\rho) = 0.
\]

By Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2, we have

\[
H^1(\tilde{M}^n, \pi^* \theta) = 0
\]

\[
H^1(M^n, \theta) = 0
\]

\[
H^{n-1}(M^n, \theta) \simeq H^1(M^n, -\theta) = 0.
\]

\[\square\]

3 Cartan-Leray spectral sequence

In this section we apply Cartan-Leray spectral sequence to prove Theorem 1.2. By passing to a finite cover, we can assume that \( M^n \) is a nilpotent space. The closed one form \( \theta \) induces a linear representation of \( G = \pi_1(M^n) \):

\[
\rho : \pi_1(M^n) \to GL(1, \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^*, [\gamma] \mapsto e^{\int \gamma \theta}.
\]

By Theorem 2.2 for any \( p \), we have

\[
H^p(M^n, \theta) \simeq H^p(M^n, \mathbb{C}^\rho),
\]

where \( \mathbb{C}^\rho \) is the complex rank one local system over \( M^n \) defined by \( \rho \). By duality, it suffices to prove the vanishing of \( H_p(M^n, \mathbb{C}^\rho) \), which is the homology group of \( M^n \) with coefficients in the local system \( \mathbb{C}^\rho \). Let \( \tilde{M}^n \) be the universal cover of \( M^n \). The representation \( \rho \) together with the \( G \) action on \( \tilde{M}^n \) by deck transformation induces the diagonal action on \( H_i(\tilde{M}^n, \mathbb{C}) \simeq H_i(\tilde{M}^n, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{C} \). By the Cartan-Leray spectral sequence (Theorem 7.9, page 173 in [3]), we have

\[
E^2_{kl} = H_k(G, H_l(\tilde{M}^n, \mathbb{C})) \Rightarrow H_{k+l}(M^n, \mathbb{C}^\rho),
\]

where \( H_k(G, H_l(\tilde{M}^n, \mathbb{C})) \) is the \( k \)-th homology group of \( G \) with coefficients in the \( G \)-module \( H_l(\tilde{M}^n, \mathbb{C}) \). See [3] for more details of homology of groups. For us, we only need the following long exact sequence (Proposition 6.1, page 71 in [3]).
Lemma 3.1. For any short exact sequence $0 \to M' \to M \to M'' \to 0$ of $G$-modules, there is the following long exact sequence:

$$
\cdots \to H_i(G, M') \to H_i(G, M) \to H_i(G, M'') \to H_{i-1}(G, M') \to H_{i-1}(G, M) \to \cdots
$$

$$
\to H_1(G, M') \to H_1(G, M) \to H_1(G, M'') \to H_0(G, M') \to H_0(G, M) \to H_0(G, M'') \to 0.
$$

As $M^n$ is a nilpotent space, then $G = \pi_1(M^n)$ is a nilpotent group that operates nilpotently on $\pi_m(M^n)$ for every $m \geq 2$. By Lemma 2.18 in [11], $G$ operates nilpotently on $H_l(M^n, \mathbb{Z})$ for every $l$, that is $V = H_l(M^n, \mathbb{Z})$ admits a finite sequence of $G$-invariant subgroups

$$
V = V_0 \supseteq V_1 \supseteq \ldots \supseteq V_k = 0
$$
such that the induced action of $G$ on $V_j/V_{j+1}$ is trivial for any $j$. The representation $\rho$ of $G$ induces a diagonal action on $V_j \otimes \mathbb{C}$ and we have the following short exact sequence of $G$ modules:

$$
0 \to V_{j+1} \otimes \mathbb{C} \to V_j \otimes \mathbb{C} \to V_j/V_{j+1} \otimes \mathbb{C} \to 0.
$$

We now prove $H_k(G, V_j \otimes \mathbb{C}) = 0$ for any $j$ by induction. It is clear that $H_k(G, V_k \otimes \mathbb{C}) = H_k(G, 0) = 0$. As $[\theta] \neq 0$, we see that $\rho$ is a nontrivial representation of $G$. By assumption, the induced action of $G$ on $V_j/V_{j+1}$ is trivial for any $j$. Then the diagonal action of $G$ on $V_j/V_{j+1} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is nontrivial. As $G$ is a finitely generated nilpotent group, by Theorem 2.2 in [15], we get

$$
H_k(G, V_j/V_{j+1} \otimes \mathbb{C}) = 0.
$$

By Lemma 3.1 and induction, for any $j$, we get

$$
H_k(G, V_j \otimes \mathbb{C}) = 0.
$$

In particular,

$$
H_k(G, H_l(\tilde{M}^n, \mathbb{C})) = H_k(G, V_0 \otimes \mathbb{C}) = 0.
$$

By the Cartan-Leray spectral sequence [3], we have

$$
E^0_{kl} = H_k(G, H_l(\tilde{M}^n, \mathbb{C})) \Rightarrow H_{k+l}(M^n, \mathbb{C}_\rho).
$$

Hence for any $k, l \geq 0$, we have

$$
H_{k+l}(M^n, \mathbb{C}_\rho) = 0.
$$

Then we get $H^p(M^n, \theta) = 0$ for any $p$ and $[\theta] \neq 0$.

4 An integral formula of $\Delta_\theta$ harmonic forms

In section we derive an integral formula of $\Delta_\theta$ harmonic forms which will be crucial in the proof of Theorem 1.4.

Let $(M^n, g)$ be a closed Riemannian manifold and $\theta$ a closed real one form on $M^n$. Define $d_\theta : \Omega^p(M^n) \to \Omega^{p+1}(M^n)$ as $d_\theta \alpha = d\alpha + \theta \wedge \alpha$ for $\alpha \in \Omega^p(M^n)$. Let $d^*$ be the formal $L^2$ adjoint of $d$ with respect to $g$. We can also define an operator $d_\theta^*$ as the formal $L^2$ adjoint of $d_\theta$ with respect to $g$. Further, $\Delta_\theta = d_\theta d_\theta^* + d_\theta^* d_\theta$ is the corresponding Laplacian. These operators are lower-order perturbations of the corresponding operators in the usual Hodge-de Rham theory.
and therefore have much the same analytic properties. For example, the usual proof of the Hodge decomposition theorem goes through, and one obtains an orthogonal decomposition
\[ \Omega^p(M^n) = \mathcal{H}^p(M^n) \oplus d_\theta(\Omega^{p-1}(M^n)) \oplus d^*_\theta(\Omega^{p+1}(M^n)), \]
where \( \mathcal{H}^p(M^n) \) is the space of \( \Delta_\theta \) harmonic forms, which is isomorphic to \( H^p(M^n, \theta) \).

Let \( dV \) be the volume form of \( g \) and \( X \) the dual vector field of \( \theta \) defined by \( g(X, Y) = \theta(Y) \). Choose a \( \Delta_\theta \) harmonic form \( \alpha \) in \( H^p(M^n, \theta) \). Then
\[ d_\theta \alpha = d\alpha + \theta \wedge \alpha = 0 \]
\[ d^*_\theta \alpha = d^* \alpha + i_X \alpha = 0. \]

The following integral formula and its corollary 4.3 will be crucial in the proof of Theorem 4.4.

**Theorem 4.1.**
\[ \int_{M^n} |X|^2 |\alpha|^2 dV = \frac{1}{2} \int_{M^n} \alpha \wedge [L_X, *] \alpha, \]
where \( [L_X, *] \alpha = L_X \alpha - *L_X \alpha \) and \( L_X \alpha \) is the Lie derivative of \( \alpha \) in the direction \( X \).

**Remark 4.2.** When \( \theta \) is exact and \( X = \nabla f \) for some smooth function \( f \) on \( M^n \), we believe that the integral formula in Theorem 4.1 is the same as [6]. It is also possible to adapt the method in [6] to prove Theorem 4.1. However, we present a different proof here.

**Corollary 4.3.**
\[ \int_{M^n} |X|^2 |\alpha|^2 dV \leq C_n \int_{M^n} (|\nabla X| + |\text{div}(X)|) |\alpha|^2 dV \]
for some constant \( C_n \) depending only on \( n \).

**Proof.** The Riemannian metric \( g \) on \( M^n \) induces a linear map between \( TM^n \) and \( T^* M^n \) defined by
\[ g : TM^n \rightarrow T^* M^n \]
\[ < g(X), Y > = g(X, Y), \forall X, Y \in TM^n. \]
Let \( g^{-1} \) be the inverse of the above map \( g \) and \( h \) the endomorphism of the bundle \( T^* M^n \rightarrow M^n \) by
\[ h = L_X g \circ g^{-1}. \]
The derivation of the Grassmann algebra \( \Lambda T^* M^n \) induced by \( h \) is denoted by \( i(h) \). This is a linear map such that, if \( \gamma \in T^* M^n \), then \( i(h)(\gamma) = h(\gamma) \), and
\[ i(h)(\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2) = (i(h)\omega_1) \wedge \omega_2 + \omega_1 \wedge (i(h)\omega_2) \quad (4.1) \]
for any \( \omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Lambda T^* M^n \). The following formula is proved in [19].
\[ [L_X, *] \omega = (i(h) - \frac{1}{2} Tr h) * \omega \quad (4.2) \]
for any \( \omega \in \Lambda T^* M^n \).
Let $\text{div}(X)$ be the divergence of $X$ with respect to $g$. As

$$(L_X g)(Y, Z) = g(\nabla_Y X, Z) + g(Y, \nabla_Z X)$$

for all $Y, Z \in TM^n$, we see that $Trh = 2\text{div}(X)$. Then by Theorem 4.1 we get

$$\int_{M^n} |X|^2 |\alpha|^2 dV \leq C_n \int_{M^n} (|\nabla X| + |\text{div} X|) |\alpha|^2 dV$$

for some constant $C_n$ depending only on $n$.  

Now we prove Theorem 4.1. We firstly need the following lemmas.

**Lemma 4.4.** For any $p$ form $\omega$, we have

$$*i_X \omega = (-1)^{p-1} \theta \wedge *\omega,$$

where $*$ is the Hodge star operator with respect to $g$.

**Proof.** For any $p - 1$ form $\xi$, we have

$$\int_{M^n} \xi \wedge *i_X \omega = \int_{M^n} g(\xi, i_X \omega) dV$$

$$= \int_{M^n} g(\theta \wedge \xi, \omega) dV = \int_{M^n} \theta \wedge \xi \wedge *\omega$$

$$= (-1)^{p-1} \int_{M^n} \xi \wedge \theta \wedge *\omega.$$ 

Hence

$$*i_X \omega = (-1)^{p-1} \theta \wedge *\omega.$$  

**Lemma 4.5.** Let $\beta = *\alpha$, then

$$d\beta - \theta \wedge \beta = 0.$$ 

**Proof.** As $d^*\alpha = (-1)^{n(p+1)+1} * d * \alpha$ and $d^*\alpha + i_X \alpha = 0$, we get

$$(-1)^{n(p+1)+1} * d * \alpha + i_X \alpha = 0.$$ 

Hence

$$(-1)^{n(p+1)+1} * d * \alpha + *i_X \alpha = 0.$$ 

By Lemma 4.4 we have

$$*i_X \alpha = (-1)^{p-1} \theta \wedge *\alpha.$$ 

It follows that

$$(-1)^p d * \alpha + (-1)^{p-1} \theta \wedge *\alpha = 0$$

So

$$d\beta - \theta \wedge \beta = 0.$$
Now we proceed to prove Theorem 4.1. As $d\alpha + \theta \wedge \alpha = 0$, we get
\[
i_X d\alpha + i_X (\theta \wedge \alpha) = 0.
\]
So
\[
i_X d\alpha \wedge \beta + |X|^2 \alpha \wedge \beta - \theta \wedge i_X \alpha \wedge \beta = 0. \tag{4.4}
\]
On the other hand, as $d\beta - \theta \wedge \beta = 0$, we get
\[
i_X d\beta - i_X (\theta \wedge \beta) = 0.
\]
So
\[
i_X d\beta \wedge \alpha - |X|^2 \beta \wedge \alpha + \theta \wedge i_X \beta = 0.
\]
Then
\[
\alpha \wedge i_X d\beta = |X|^2 \alpha \wedge \beta + (-1)^p \theta \wedge \alpha \wedge i_X \beta = 0. \tag{4.5}
\]
By 4.4, 4.5 we get
\[
-i_X d\alpha \wedge \beta + \alpha \wedge i_X d\beta - 2|X|^2 \alpha \wedge \beta + \theta \wedge i_X \alpha \wedge \beta + (-1)^p \theta \wedge \alpha \wedge i_X \beta = 0. \tag{4.6}
\]
Combined with
\[
\theta \wedge i_X \alpha \wedge \beta + (-1)^p \theta \wedge \alpha \wedge i_X \beta = \theta \wedge i_X (\alpha \wedge \beta)
\]
\[
= |X|^2 \alpha \wedge \beta - i_X (\theta \wedge \alpha \wedge \beta) = |X|^2 \alpha \wedge \beta,
\]
we get
\[
-i_X d\alpha \wedge \beta + \alpha \wedge i_X d\beta = |X|^2 \alpha \wedge \beta. \tag{4.7}
\]
Since
\[
d(i_X \alpha \wedge \beta) = di_X \alpha \wedge \beta + (-1)^p i_X \alpha \wedge d\beta,
\]
we get
\[
\int_{M^n} i_X \alpha \wedge d\beta = (-1)^p \int_{M^n} di_X \alpha \wedge \beta. \tag{4.8}
\]
On the other hand, we have
\[
0 = i_X (\alpha \wedge d\beta) = i_X \alpha \wedge d\beta + (-1)^p \alpha \wedge i_X d\beta. \tag{4.9}
\]
Combining 4.8, 4.9 we get
\[
\int_{M^n} \alpha \wedge i_X d\beta = - \int_{M^n} di_X \alpha \wedge \beta. \tag{4.10}
\]
From 4.7, 4.10 we get
\[
\int_{M^n} |X|^2 \alpha \wedge \beta = - \int_{M^n} i_X d\alpha \wedge \beta - \int_{M^n} di_X \alpha \wedge \beta = - \int_{M^n} L_X \alpha \wedge \beta
\]
\[
= - \int_{M^n} L_X (\alpha \wedge \beta) + \int_{M^n} \alpha \wedge L_X \beta = \int_{M^n} \alpha \wedge L_X \beta. \tag{4.11}
\]
As $\beta = \ast \alpha$, we get
\[
\int_{M^n} \alpha \wedge L_X \beta = \int_{M^n} \alpha \wedge L_X \ast \alpha = \int_{M^n} \alpha \wedge \ast L_X \alpha + \int_{M^n} \alpha \wedge [L_X, \ast] \alpha. \tag{4.12}
\]
Moreover,
\[ \int_{M^n} \alpha \wedge *L_X \alpha = \int_{M^n} L_X \alpha \wedge *\alpha \]
\[ = \int_{M^n} L_X(\alpha \wedge *\alpha) - \int_{M^n} \alpha \wedge L_X * \alpha = -\int_{M^n} \alpha \wedge L_X * \alpha \]
\[ = -\int_{M^n} \alpha \wedge L_X * \alpha - \int_{M^n} \alpha \wedge [L_X, *] \alpha. \]

Hence
\[ \int_{M^n} \alpha \wedge *L_X \alpha = \frac{1}{2} \int_{M^n} \alpha \wedge [L_X, *] \alpha. \]  (4.13)

By 4.11, 4.12, 4.13 we get
\[ \int_{M^n} |X|^2 |\alpha|^2 dV = \frac{1}{2} \int_{M^n} \alpha \wedge [L_X, *] \alpha. \]

5 Proof of Theorem 1.4

In this section we give a proof of Theorem 1.4. The proof is based on Corollary 4.3. Another crucial tool is the following Poincaré-Sobolev inequality ([2], page 397).

**Theorem 5.1.** Let \((M^n, g)\) be a closed smooth Riemannian manifold such that for some constant \(b > 0\),
\[ r_{\min}(g) D^2(g) \geq -(n - 1)b^2, \]
where \(D(g)\) is the diameter of \(g\), \(Ric(g)\) is the Ricci curvature of \(g\) and
\[ r_{\min}(g) = \inf \{Ric(g)(u, u) : u \in TM, g(u, u) = 1\}. \]

Let \(R = \frac{D(g)}{bC(b)}\), where \(C(b)\) is the unique positive root of the equation
\[ x \int_0^b (cht + xsht)^{n-1} dt = \int_0^\pi \sin^{n-1} t dt. \]

Then for each \(1 \leq p \leq \frac{nq}{n-q}, p < \infty\) and \(f \in W^{1,q}(M^n)\), we have
\[ \|f - \frac{1}{V(g)} \int_{M^n} f dV\|_p \leq S_{p,q} \|df\|_q, \]
\[ \|f\|_p \leq S_{p,q} \|df\|_q + V(g)^{1/p-1/q} \|f\|_q, \]
where \(V(g)\) is the volume of \((M^n, g)\), \(S(p,q) = (V(g)/\text{vol}(S^n(1)))^{1/p-1/q} R\Sigma(n,p,q)\) and \(\Sigma(n,p,q)\) is the Sobolev constant of the canonical unit sphere \(S^n\) defined by
\[ \Sigma(n,p,q) = \sup \{\|f\|_p/\|df\|_q : f \in W^{1,q}(S^n), f \neq 0, \int_{S^n} f = 0\}. \]

Let \(p = \frac{2n}{n-2}, q = 2\) in Theorem 5.1 and apply Theorem 3 and Proposition 6 in [2] pages 395-396, then we get the following mean value inequality.
Theorem 5.2. Let $n \geq 3$ and $(M^n, g)$ be a closed $n$-dimensional smooth Riemannian manifold such that for some constant $b > 0$,

$$r_{\min}(g)D^2(g) \geq -(n - 1)b^2.$$ 

If $f \in W^{1,2}(M^n)$ is a nonnegative continuous function such that $f \Delta f \geq -cf^2$ (here $\Delta$ is a negative operator) in the sense of distribution for some positive number $c$, then

$$\max_{x \in M^n}\{f(x)\} \leq B_n(\sigma_n, R_{1/2}) \frac{\int_{M^n} f^2dV}{V(g)},$$

where $\sigma_n = \text{vol}(S^n)^{1/n} \Sigma(n, \frac{2n}{n-2}, 2)$ and $B_n : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is a function defined by

$$B_n(x) = \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} (x^{2\nu} - 1)^{1/2} + 1^{2\nu-i}, \nu = \frac{n}{n-2}.$$

The function $B_n$ satisfies the inequalities

$$B_n(x) \leq \exp(2x\sqrt{\nu}/(\sqrt{\nu} - 1)), 0 \leq x \leq 1$$

$$B_n(x) \leq B_n(1)x^{2\nu/(\nu - 1)}, x \geq 1.$$

In particular, $\lim_{x \to 0_+} B_n(x) = 1$ and $B_n(x) \leq B_n(1)x^n$ for $x \geq 1$.

Let $M^n$ be a closed Riemannian manifold with nonzero first de Rham cohomology group and admits a sequence of Riemannian metrics $g_i$ such that

$$\text{Ric}(g_i) \geq -\frac{n-1}{\nu}$$

$$D(g_i) \leq 1.$$ 

Moreover, the curvature operator of $g_i$ is uniformly bounded from below by $-Id$. For any $[\theta] \in H^1_{dR}(M^n), [\theta] \neq 0$, we are going to prove that there exists some $t \in \mathbb{R}, t \neq 0$ such that $H^p(M^n, t\theta) = 0$ for any $p$. If $n = 2$, since the first Betti number of $M^2$ is bounded by 2 (see e.g. [2]), the genus of $M^2$ is at most 1 and $H^p(M^2, t\theta) = 0$ by Example 2. Now we assume that $n \geq 3$. Let $d^*$ be the formal $L^2$ adjoint of $d$ with respect to $g_i$. By Hodge theory, we can choose a harmonic one form $\theta_i$ in the cohomology class $[\theta]$. Then

$$d\theta_i = 0$$

$$d^*\theta_i = 0$$

$$\theta_i \neq 0.$$

Let $t_i = \frac{\text{Vol}(g_i)}{\int_{M^n} |X_i|^2dV_i}$, where $V(g_i)$ is the volume of $(M^n, g_i)$, $dV_i$ is the volume form of $g_i$, $|X_i|^2 = g_i(X_i, X_i)$ and $X_i$ is the dual vector field of $\theta_i$ defined by $g_i(X_i, Y) = \theta(Y)$. We claim that for sufficiently large $i$, $H^p(M^n, t_i\theta_i) = 0$ for any $p$. Choose a $\Delta_i \theta_i$ harmonic form $\alpha_i$ in $H^p(M^n, t_i\theta_i)$. Then

$$d\alpha_i + t_i\theta_i \wedge \alpha_i = 0$$

$$d^*\alpha_i + i_{X_i}\alpha_i = 0.$$ 
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The goal is to prove that $\alpha_i \equiv 0$. As $\text{Ric}(g_i) \geq -\frac{n-1}{i}$, applying Bochner formula to $X_i$ [18], we get
\[
\frac{1}{2} \Delta |X_i|^2 = |\nabla X_i|^2 + \text{Ric}(g_i)(X_i, X_i) \geq |\nabla X_i|^2 - \frac{n-1}{i} |X_i|^2,
\] (5.1)
where $\Delta$ is the Laplacian acting on functions which is a negative operator. Then
\[
\int_{M^n} |\nabla X_i|^2 dV_i \leq \frac{n-1}{i} \int_{M^n} |X_i|^2 dV_i.
\] (5.2)

Let $\text{div}(X_i)$ be the divergence of $X_i$ with respect to $g_i$. As $\theta_i$ is a harmonic one form, we see $\text{div}(X_i) = 0$ (see e.g. Proposition 31 in [18] page 206). By Corollary 4.3, we have
\[
\int_{M^n} t_i^2 |X_i||\alpha_i|^2 dV_i \leq C_n \int_{M^n} t_i |\nabla X_i||\alpha_i|^2 dV_i.
\] (5.3)
for some constant $C_n$ depending only on $n$. Applying Hölder’s inequality on 5.3 and using 5.2, we get
\[
\int_{M^n} t_i^2 |X_i||\alpha_i|^2 dV_i \leq C_n \int_{M^n} t_i |\nabla X_i||\alpha_i|^2 dV_i \leq C_n \left( \int_{M^n} t_i^2 |\nabla X_i|^2 dV_i \right) \left( \int_{M^n} |\alpha_i|^4 dV_i \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \leq C_n \left( \int_{M^n} t_i^2 |X_i|^2 dV_i \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{M^n} |\alpha_i|^2 dV_i \right)^{\frac{3}{4}},
\] (5.4)
where $|\alpha_i|_\infty = \max_{x \in M^n} |\alpha_i|(x)$.

**Lemma 5.3.**
\[
|X_i|^2_\infty =: \max_{x \in M^n} |X_i|^2(x) \leq B_n(\sigma_n R_i \sqrt{\frac{n-1}{i}} \int_{M^n} |X_i|^2 dV_i / V(g_i)),
\] (5.5)
\[
|\alpha_i|^2_\infty =: \max_{x \in M^n} |\alpha_i|^2(x) \leq B_n(\sigma_n R_i (t_i^2 |X_i|^2_\infty + C_n)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{M^n} |\alpha_i|^2 dV_i / V(g_i)),
\] (5.6)
where $R_i = \frac{D(g_i)}{V(g_i)}$, $C(\frac{1}{\sqrt{V}})$, $\sigma_n, B_n(x)$ are defined in Theorem 5.1 and Theorem 5.2, and $C_n$ is a positive constant depending only on $n$.

**Proof.** Since $\theta_i$ is a harmonic one form, $\text{div} X_i = 0$. As $\text{Ric}(g_i) \geq -\frac{n-1}{i}$, applying Bochner formula to $X_i$, we get
\[
\frac{1}{2} \Delta |X_i|^2 = |\nabla X_i|^2 + \text{Ric}(g_i)(X_i, X_i) \geq |\nabla X_i|^2 - \frac{n-1}{i} |X_i|^2,
\] (5.7)
where $\Delta$ is the Laplacian acting on functions which is a negative operator. On the other hand, by Kato’s inequality [2], we have $|\nabla X_i| \geq |\nabla |X_i||$. It follows that
\[
|X_i| \Delta |X_i| \geq -\frac{n-1}{i} |X_i|^2.
\] (5.8)
Since $\text{Ric}(g_i) \geq -\frac{n-1}{i}$, $D(g_i) \leq 1$, we have
\[
\tau_{\min}(g_i) D^2(g_i) \geq -\frac{n-1}{i}.
\]
Apply Theorem 5.2 to $|X_i|$, we get
\[
|X_i|_\infty^2 =: \max_{x \in M^n} |X_i|^2(x) \leq B_n(\sigma_n R_i \sqrt{\frac{n-1}{i}}) \int_{M^n} |X_i|^2 dV_i \frac{1}{V(g_i)},
\]
where $R_i = \frac{D(g_i)}{V(g_i)^{\frac{1}{n}}}$, Since the curvature operator of $g_i$ is bounded from below by $-Id$, applying Bochner formula to $\alpha_i$ \cite{18}, we get
\[
\frac{1}{2} \Delta |\alpha_i|^2 \geq |\nabla \alpha_i|^2 - |d \alpha_i|^2 - |d^* \alpha_i|^2 - C_n |\alpha_i|^2
\]
for some positive constant $C_n$ depending only on $n$.

**Lemma 5.4.**
\[
t^2_i |X_i|^2 |\alpha_i|^2 = |d \alpha_i|^2 + |d^* \alpha_i|^2.
\]

**Proof.** Firstly, we have
\[
t^2_i |X_i|^2 |\alpha_i|^2 dV_i = t_i \theta_i \wedge i_{\nu_i} \alpha_i \wedge \ast \alpha_i
\]
\[
= t^2_i \theta_i \wedge i_{\nu_i} \alpha_i \wedge \ast \alpha_i + (-1)^p t^2_i \theta_i \wedge \alpha_i \wedge i_{\nu_i} \ast \alpha_i
\]
\[
= (-1)^{p-1} t^2_i i_{\nu_i} \alpha_i \wedge \theta_i \wedge \ast \alpha_i + (-1)^p t^2_i \theta_i \wedge \alpha_i \wedge i_{\nu_i} \ast \alpha_i.
\]
By Lemma 4.4 we get
\[
\ast i_{\nu_i} \alpha_i = (-1)^{p-1} \theta_i \wedge \ast \alpha_i
\]
\[
\ast i_{\nu_i} \ast \alpha_i = (-1)^{n-p-1} \theta_i \wedge \ast \ast \alpha_i = (-1)^{n-p-1} (-1)^{np} \theta_i \wedge \alpha_i.
\]
Hence
\[
\theta_i \wedge \ast \alpha_i = (-1)^{p-1} \ast i_{\nu_i} \alpha_i
\]
\[
\ast i_{\nu_i} \ast \alpha_i = (-1)^{n(n-p-1)+n-p-1} \ast \ast \alpha_i = (-1)^p \ast (\theta_i \wedge \ast \alpha_i).
\]
By 5.11 5.14 5.15 we get
\[
t^2_i |X_i|^2 |\alpha_i|^2 dV_i = t^2_i i_{\nu_i} \alpha_i \wedge (i_{\nu_i} \alpha_i) + t^2_i \theta_i \wedge \alpha_i \wedge (\theta_i \wedge \alpha_i) = \left( t^2_i |i_{\nu_i} \alpha_i|^2 + t^2_i |\theta_i \wedge \alpha_i|^2 \right) dV_i.
\]
Since $d \alpha_i + t_i \theta_i \wedge \alpha_i = 0, d^* \alpha_i + i_{\nu_i} \alpha_i = 0$, we get
\[
t^2_i |X_i|^2 |\alpha_i|^2 = |d \alpha_i|^2 + |d^* \alpha_i|^2.
\]
\]

Given Lemma 5.4, we have
\[
\frac{1}{2} \Delta |\alpha_i|^2 \geq |\nabla \alpha_i|^2 - t^2_i |X_i|^2 |\alpha_i|^2 - C_n |\alpha_i|^2.
\]
By Kato's inequality, we have $|\nabla \alpha_i| \geq |\nabla |\alpha_i||$. It follows that
\[
|\alpha_i| \Delta |\alpha_i| \geq -(t^2_i |X_i|^2 + C_n) |\alpha_i|^2 \geq -(t^2_i |X_i|^2 + C_n) |\alpha_i|^2.
\]
Apply Theorem 5.2 to $|\alpha_i|$, we get
\[
|\alpha_i|^2 =: \max_{x \in M^n} |\alpha_i|^2(x) \leq B_n(\sigma_n R_i (t^2_i |X_i|^2 + C_n) \frac{1}{i}) \int_{M^n} |\alpha_i|^2 dV_i \frac{1}{V(g_i)}.
\]
\]

\]

\]

\]
Lemma 5.5.

\[
\frac{\int_{M^n} |X_i|^2 dV_i}{V(g_i)} \leq \int_{M^n} |X_i|^2 |\alpha_i|^2 dV_i + \frac{2C_n |\alpha_i|^2}{\sqrt{i}} R_i \left( B_n(\sigma_n R_i) \right) \left( \frac{n-1}{i} \right) \int_{M^n} |X_i|^2 dV_i
\]

for some constant \(C_n\) depending only on \(n\).

Proof. Let \(h_i = |X_i|^2\) and \(\overline{h_i} = \frac{\int_{M^n} |X_i|^2 dV_i}{V(g_i)}\). By Theorem 5.1 in the case \(p = q = 2\), we get

\[
\int_{M^n} |h_i - \overline{h_i}| |\alpha_i|^2 dV_i \leq |\alpha_i|^2 \left( \int_{M^n} |h_i - \overline{h_i}|^2 dV_i \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (V(g_i))^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]

\[
\leq C_n |\alpha_i|^2 R_i \left( \int_{M^n} |\nabla h_i|^2 dV_i \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (V(g_i))^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]

\[
= 2C_n |\alpha_i|^2 R_i \left( \int_{M^n} |X_i|^2 |\nabla| |X_i|^2 dV_i \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (V(g_i))^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]

\[
\leq 2C_n |\alpha_i|^2 R_i \left( \int_{M^n} |\nabla X_i|^2 dV_i \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (V(g_i))^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]

\[
\leq 2C_n |\alpha_i|^2 R_i |X_i| (V(g_i))^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{M^n} |\nabla X_i|^2 dV_i \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]

\[
\leq 2C_n |\alpha_i|^2 R_i \left( B_n(\sigma_n R_i) \right) \left( \frac{n-1}{i} \right) \left( \int_{M^n} |X_i|^2 dV_i \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (V(g_i))^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]

It follows that

\[
\int_{M^n} |X_i|^2 dV_i \int_{M^n} |\alpha_i|^2 dV_i \leq \int_{M^n} |X_i|^2 |\alpha_i|^2 dV_i + \frac{2C_n |\alpha_i|^2}{\sqrt{i}} R_i \left( B_n(\sigma_n R_i) \right) \left( \frac{n-1}{i} \right) \int_{M^n} |X_i|^2 dV_i.
\]

Lemma 5.6. Let \(C(b)\) be the function defined in Theorem 5.1. Namely, \(C(b)\) is the unique positive root of the equation

\[
x \int_0^b (cht + xsh)^{-1} dt = \int_0^\pi \sin^{n-1} dt.
\]

Then

\[
\lim_{b \to 0} bC(b) \geq a_n > 0
\]

for some constant \(a_n\) depending only on \(n\).
Proof. Let \( \omega_n = \int_0^\pi \sin^{n-1} t \, dt \). Then
\[
\omega_n = C(b) \int_0^b (cht + C(b) sht)^{n-1} \, dt = C(b) \int_0^b \left( \frac{e^t + e^{-t}}{2} + C(b) \frac{e^t - e^{-t}}{2} \right)^{n-1} \, dt \geq C(b) b.
\]

On the other hand, for any sequence \( b_i \to 0 \), we have
\[
\omega_n = C(b_i) \int_0^{b_i} \left( \frac{e^t + e^{-t}}{2} + C(b_i) \frac{e^t - e^{-t}}{2} \right)^{n-1} \, dt \\
\leq C(b_i) \int_0^{b_i} \left( \frac{e + e^{-1}}{2} + C(b_i) \frac{e^t - e^{-t}}{2} \right)^{n-1} \, dt \\
\leq C(b_i) b_i \left( \frac{e + e^{-1}}{2} + 2b_i C(b_i) \right)^{n-1} \\
\leq C(b_i) b_i \left( \frac{e + e^{-1}}{2} + 2\alpha_n \right)^{n-1}
\]

Hence for some constant \( a_n \) depending only on \( n \), we have
\[
\liminf_{b \to 0} b C(b) \geq a_n > 0
\]

By \([5.4], [5.5], [5.6]\) and \([5.19]\) we get
\[
\frac{\int_M t_i^2 |X_i|^2 dV_i}{V(g_i)} \int_M |\alpha_i|^2 dV_i \leq \int_M t_i^2 |X_i|^2 |\alpha_i|^2 dV_i + \frac{2C_n|\alpha_i|_\infty^2}{\sqrt{i}} R_i \sqrt{B_n(\sigma_n R_i \sqrt{\frac{n-1}{i}})} \int_M t_i^2 |X_i|^2 dV_i, \\
\leq \frac{C_n}{\sqrt{i}} |\alpha_i|_\infty (\int_M t_i^2 |X_i|^2 dV_i)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_M |\alpha_i|^2 dV_i \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} R_i \sqrt{B_n(\sigma_n R_i \sqrt{\frac{n-1}{i}})} \int_M t_i^2 |X_i|^2 dV_i \\
+ \frac{2C_n B_n(\sigma_n R_i (t_i^2 |X_i|_\infty^2 + C_n)^{\frac{1}{2}})}{\sqrt{i}} R_i \sqrt{B_n(\sigma_n R_i \sqrt{\frac{n-1}{i}})} \int_M t_i^2 |X_i|^2 dV_i / V(g_i) \int_M |\alpha_i|^2 dV_i, \tag{5.21}
\]

where
\[
|X_i|_\infty^2 =: \max_{x \in M^n} |X_i|^2(x) \leq B_n(\sigma_n R_i \sqrt{\frac{n-1}{i}}) \int_M |X_i|^2 dV_i / V(g_i).
\]

As \( t_i = (\int_M V(g_i) / |X_i|^2 dV_i)^{1/2} \), we see
\[
\frac{\int_M t_i^2 |X_i|^2 dV_i}{V(g_i)} = 1. \tag{5.22}
\]

Recall that \( R_i = \frac{D(g_i)}{\sqrt{C_i^1}} \) and \( D(g_i) \leq 1 \). By \([5.20], [5.21], [5.22]\) using the properties of \( B_n(x) \) in Theorem \( 5.2 \) we see that for sufficiently large \( i \),
\[
\int_M |\alpha_i|^2 dV_i \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_M |\alpha_i|^2 dV_i.
\]

Hence \( \alpha_i \equiv 0 \) and \( HP(M^n, t_i \theta_i) = 0 \) when \( n \geq 3 \).
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