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#### Abstract

We make sharp estimates to obtain a Schwarz type lemma for the symmetrized polydisc $\mathbb{G}_{n}$ and for the extended symmetrized polydisc $\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n}$. We explicitly construct an interpolating function under certain condition. To do so, we followed the methods described in 35. Also we find a few geometric interplay between the members of the family $\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n}$ and its closure $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{n}$.


## 1. Introduction

This article is a sequel of [28]. Being motivated by the inspiring works due to Bharali, Costara, Edigarian, Kosinski, Nikolov, Zwonek [8, 14, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 24, 33] and few others (see references there in), we descend one more step into the depth of studying complex geometry and function theory of the symmetrized $n$-disk $\mathbb{G}_{n}$ for $n \geq 3$. The symmetrized $n$-disk $\mathbb{G}_{n}$ or simply the symmetrized polydisc, which consists of symmetric polynomials, is defined by

$$
\mathbb{G}_{n}=\left\{\left(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} z_{i}, \sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n} z_{i} z_{j}, \ldots, \prod_{i=1}^{n} z_{i}\right):\left|z_{i}\right|<1, i=1, \ldots, n\right\} .
$$

This domain arises in the famous $\mu$-synthesis problem, which is a part of the theory of robust control of systems comprising of interconnected electronic devices whose outputs are linearly dependent on the inputs. Given a structure $E$, which is a linear subspace of $\mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{C})$, the space of all $m \times n$ matrices, the functional

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{E}(B) \\
& :=(\inf \{\|X\|: X \in E \text { and }(I-B X) \text { is singular }\})^{-1}, B \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{C}),
\end{aligned}
$$

[^0]is called a a structured singular value. If $m=n$ and if $E$ is the space of all scalar multiples of the identity matrix $I$, then $\mu_{E}(B)$ is equal to the spectral radius $r(B)$. Also if $E=\mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{C})$, then $\mu_{E}(B)$ is precisely the operator norm $\|B\|$. Naturally if $E$ is any linear subspace of $\mathcal{M}_{n}(\mathbb{C})$ that contains the identity matrix, then $r(B) \leq \mu_{E}(A) \leq$ $\|B\|$. For the control-theory motivations behind $\mu_{E}$, we refer to the pioneering work of Doyle [15]. The $\mu$-synthesis problem aims to find an analytic function $f$ from the open unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ of the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ to $\mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{C})$ subject to a finite number of interpolation conditions such that $\mu_{E}(f(\lambda))<1$, for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$. If $E=\{\lambda I: \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{n}(\mathbb{C})$, then $\mu_{E}(B)=r(B)<1$ if and only if $\pi_{n}\left(\nu_{1}, \ldots, \nu_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{G}_{n}$ (see [14]); here $\nu_{1}, \ldots, \nu_{n}$ are eigenvalues of $B$ and $\pi_{n}$ is the symmetrization map defined on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ by
$$
\pi_{n}(z)=\left(s_{1}(z), \ldots, s_{n-1}(z), p(z)\right), z=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right),
$$
where
$$
s_{i}(z)=\sum_{1 \leq k_{1} \leq k_{2} \cdots \leq k_{i} \leq n} z_{k_{1}} \cdots z_{k_{i}} \quad \text { and } p(z)=\prod_{i=1}^{n} z_{i} .
$$

It is merely mentioned that $\pi_{n}$ is a proper holomorphic map and $\pi_{n}\left(\mathbb{D}^{n}\right)=\mathbb{G}_{n}$, where $\mathbb{D}^{n}$ is the open polydisc defined by

$$
\mathbb{D}^{n}=\left\{\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right):\left|z_{i}\right|<1, i=1, \ldots, n\right\} .
$$

The closed symmetrized polydisc $\Gamma_{n}$, which is the closure of $\mathbb{G}_{n}$, is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{n}: & =\left\{\left(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} z_{i}, \sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n} z_{i} z_{j}, \ldots, \prod_{i=1}^{n} z_{i}\right):\left|z_{i}\right| \leq 1, i=1, \ldots, n\right\} \\
& =\pi_{n}\left(\overline{\mathbb{D}^{n}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The set $\Gamma_{n}$ is polynomially convex but not convex (see [18]). It is evident from the definition that $\mathbb{G}_{1}=\mathbb{D}$ and below we provide an explicit form of $\mathbb{G}_{2}$ and $\mathbb{G}_{3}$ for the convenience of the readers.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbb{G}_{2}=\left\{\left(z_{1}+z_{2}, z_{1} z_{2}\right): z_{1}, z_{2} \in \mathbb{D}\right\}, \\
\mathbb{G}_{3}=\left\{\left(z_{1}+z_{2}+z_{3}, z_{1} z_{2}+z_{2} z_{3}+z_{3} z_{1}, z_{1} z_{2} z_{3}\right): z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3} \in \mathbb{D}\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

The symmetrized polydisc has attracted considerable attentions in past two decades because of its rich function theory [2, 3, 7, 14, 19, 23, 32], complex geometry [13, 18, 20, 21, 22], associated operator theory [4, 9, 10, 12, 25, 26, 29]. An interested reader can also see the articles referred there.

The classical Schwarz lemma in one variable is stated in the following way.

Theorem 1.1. Let $f$ be an analytic function on $\mathbb{D}$ such that $|f(z)| \leq 1$, for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$ and $f(0)=0$. Then
(a) $|f(z)| \leq|z|$, for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$,
(b) $\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right| \leq 1$.

Moreover, if $\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|=1$ or if $\left|f\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=\left|z_{0}\right|$ for some $z_{0} \neq 0$, then there is a constant $c$ such that $|c|=1$ and $f(w)=c w$ for all $w \in \mathbb{D}$.

In [28], we obtained an analogue of the first part of Theorem 1.1 for the symmetrized polydisc. The main aim of this article is to continue the same program to find an analogous part-(b) for $\mathbb{G}_{n}$ of the classical Schwarz lemma.

To study the complex geometry of $\mathbb{G}_{n}$ (and $\Gamma_{n}$ ) more deeply and for proving a Schwarz lemma for $\mathbb{G}_{n}$, we introduced a new family of domains in [28], which we named extended symmetrized polydisc and defined as

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n}:=\left\{\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-1}, q\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n}: q \in \mathbb{D}, y_{j}=\beta_{j}+\bar{\beta}_{n-j} q, \beta_{j} \in \mathbb{C}\right. \text { and } \\
\left.\left|\beta_{j}\right|+\left|\beta_{n-j}\right|<\binom{n}{j}, \quad j=1, \ldots, n-1\right\} .
\end{array}
$$

We called the closure of $\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n}$, the closed extended symmetrized polydisc and denoted it by $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{n}$. We proved in [28] that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\widetilde{\Gamma}_{n}:=\left\{\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-1}, q\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n}: q \in \mathbb{D}, y_{j}=\beta_{j}+\bar{\beta}_{n-j} q, \beta_{j} \in \mathbb{C}\right. \text { and } \\
\left.\left|\beta_{j}\right|+\left|\beta_{n-j}\right| \leq\binom{ n}{j}, \quad j=1, \ldots, n-1\right\} .
\end{array}
$$

The purpose of introducing the family $\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n}$ was to make a few sharp estimates which provides a Schwarz lemma for $\mathbb{G}_{n},[28]$. Also we obtained a variety of characterizations for the points in $\mathbb{G}_{n}$ and $\Gamma_{n}$ via a similar set of characterizations for $\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n}$ and $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{n}$ respectively, [28].

In [14], Costara showed that

$$
\mathbb{G}_{n}=\left\{\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n-1}, p\right): p \in \mathbb{D}, s_{j}=\beta_{j}+\bar{\beta}_{n-j} p \&\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{n-1}\right) \in \mathbb{G}_{n-1}\right\}
$$

and
$\Gamma_{n}=\left\{\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n-1}, p\right): p \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}, s_{j}=\beta_{j}+\bar{\beta}_{n-j} p \&\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{n-1}\right) \in \Gamma_{n-1}\right\}$.
It is obvious that if $\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{n-1}\right) \in \mathbb{G}_{n-1}$, then $\left|\beta_{j}\right|+\left|\beta_{n-j}\right|<\binom{n}{j}$. Therefore, it follows that $\mathbb{G}_{n} \subseteq \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n}$. In fact, $\mathbb{G}_{2}=\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{2}$ but $\mathbb{G}_{n} \subsetneq \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n}$ for $n \geq 3$ (see [28], Lemma 3.0.2).

We introduced $n-1$ fractional linear transformations $\Phi_{1}, \ldots, \Phi_{n-1}$ and with their help we made some sharp estimates to find necessary conditions for the existence of an interpolating function from $\mathbb{D}$ to $\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n}$ and since $\mathbb{G}_{n} \subseteq \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n}$, the estimates became necessary for a Schwarz lemma for $\mathbb{G}_{n}$ (see [28). Since the maximum modulus of each coordinate of a point in $\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n}$ does not exceed that of a point in $\mathbb{G}_{n}$, these estimates are sharp for $\mathbb{G}_{n}$ too. Moreover, the functions $\Phi_{1}, \ldots, \Phi_{n-1}$ are specially designed for $\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n}$ and they characterize the points in $\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n}$ and $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{n}$.

In this article, we first prove an analogue of part-(b) of Theorem 1.1 for $\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n}$, which is Theorem 3.1 and it is one of the main results of this paper. As a consequence the desired Schwarz lemma for $\mathbb{G}_{n}$ (Theorem 3.2) follows. We also show in Theorem 3.1 that under certain condition, the achieved estimates are sufficient for the existence of an interpolating function from $\mathbb{D}$ to $\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n}$. In Section 4 , we explicitly construct such an interpolating function. Section 5 deals with some geometric interplay between the members of $\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n}$ and $\widetilde{\Gamma_{n}}$. In Section 2, we accumulate few results from the literature which are used in the subsequent sections.

Note. The main idea and applied techniques to the results of Sections 3 and 4 of this article are borrowed from the paper [35], where analogous results for the tetrablock $\mathbb{E}$ are achieved. The primary reason for which the techniques of [35] are applicable here is that $\widetilde{\mathbb{G}_{3}}$ is linearly isomorphic to $\mathbb{E}$.

## 2. Background materials and preparatory results

We begin with a set of ( $n-1$ ) fractional linear transformations $\Phi_{1}, \ldots, \Phi_{n-1}$ which we introduced in [28] to characterize the points in the extended symmetrized polydisc $\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n}$.

Definition 2.1. For $z \in \mathbb{C}, y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-1}, q\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$ and for any $j \in\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$, let us define

$$
\Phi_{j}(z, y)= \begin{cases}\frac{\binom{n}{j} q z-y_{j}}{y_{n-j} z-\binom{n}{j}} & \text { if } y_{n-j} z \neq\binom{ n}{j} \text { and } y_{j} y_{n-j} \neq\binom{ n}{j}^{2} q  \tag{1}\\ \frac{y_{j}}{\binom{n}{j}} & \text { if } y_{j} y_{n-j}=\binom{n}{j}^{2} q .\end{cases}
$$

It was shown in [28] that if $\left|y_{n-j}\right|<\binom{n}{j}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\Phi_{j}(., y)\right\|_{H^{\infty}}=\frac{\binom{n}{j}\left|y_{j}-\bar{y}_{n-j} q\right|+\left|y_{j} y_{n-j}-\binom{n}{j}^{2} q\right|}{\binom{n}{j}^{2}-\left|y_{n-j}\right|^{2}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly $\left|y_{n-j}\right|<\binom{n}{j}$, for any point $y \in \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n}$. Thus equation (22) holds for any $y \in \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n}$.

For $n \geq 3$, we introduced in [28] the following subset $\mathcal{J}_{n}$ of $\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n}$ as follows:

$$
\mathcal{J}_{n}= \begin{cases}\mathcal{J}_{n}^{\text {odd }} & \text { if } n \text { is odd }  \tag{3}\\ \mathcal{J}_{n}^{\text {even }} & \text { if } n \text { is even }\end{cases}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_{n}^{\text {odd }}=\left\{\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-1}, y_{n}\right) \in \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n}: y_{j}=\frac{\binom{n}{j}}{n} y_{1}, y_{n-j}\right. & =\frac{\binom{n}{j}}{n} y_{n-1} \\
\text { for } j & \left.=2, \ldots,\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathcal{J}_{n}^{\text {even }}=\left\{\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-1}, y_{n}\right) \in \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n}: y_{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]}=\binom{n}{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]} \frac{y_{1}+y_{n-1}}{2 n}, y_{j}=\frac{\binom{n}{j} y_{1}}{n},\right. \\
\left.y_{n-j}=\frac{\binom{n}{j} y_{n-1}}{n}, \text { for } j=2, \ldots,\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]-1\right\} .
\end{array}
$$

The following theorem provides a few characterizations for the points in $\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n}$.

Theorem 2.2 ([28], Theorem 3.1.4). For a point $y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-1}, q\right) \in$ $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, the following are equivalent:
(1) $y \in \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n}$;
(2) $\binom{n}{j}-y_{j} z-y_{n-j} w+\binom{n}{j} q z w \neq 0$, for all $z, w \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and for all $j=1, \ldots,\left[\frac{n}{2}\right] ;$
(3) for all $j=1, \ldots,\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]$ either

$$
\binom{n}{j}\left|y_{j}-\bar{y}_{n-j} q\right|+\left|y_{j} y_{n-j}-\binom{n}{j}^{2} q\right|<\binom{n}{j}^{2}-\left|y_{n-j}\right|^{2}
$$

or

$$
\binom{n}{j}\left|y_{n-j}-\bar{y}_{j} q\right|+\left|y_{j} y_{n-j}-\binom{n}{j}^{2} q\right|<\binom{n}{j}^{2}-\left|y_{j}\right|^{2}
$$

(4) $\left|y_{n-j}-\bar{y}_{j} q\right|+\left|y_{j}-\bar{y}_{n-j} q\right|<\binom{n}{j}\left(1-|q|^{2}\right)$ for all $j=1, \ldots,\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]$;
(5) there exist $\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]$ number of $2 \times 2$ matrices $B_{1}, \ldots, B_{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]}$ such that $\left\|B_{j}\right\|<$ $1, y_{j}=\binom{n}{j}\left[B_{j}\right]_{11}, y_{n-j}=\binom{n}{j}\left[B_{j}\right]_{22}$ for all $j=1, \ldots,\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]$ and

$$
\operatorname{det} B_{1}=\operatorname{det} B_{2}=\cdots=\operatorname{det} B_{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]}=q
$$

In [28], we obtained several equivalent necessary conditions which established a Schwarz type lemma for $\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n}$. Here we mention a few of them.

Theorem 2.3 ([28], Theorem 5.2.1). Let $\lambda_{0} \in \mathbb{D} \backslash\{0\}$ and let $y^{0}=$ $\left(y_{1}^{0}, \ldots, y_{n-1}^{0}, q^{0}\right) \in \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n}$. Then in the set of following conditions, (1) implies (2) and (3).
(1) There exists an analytic function $\psi: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n}$ such that $\psi(0)=$ $(0, \ldots, 0)$ and $\psi\left(\lambda_{0}\right)=y^{0}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{1 \leq j \leq n-1}\left\{\left\|\Phi_{j}\left(., y^{0}\right)\right\|_{H^{\infty}}\right\} \leq\left|\lambda_{0}\right| \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(3) There exist $\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]$ number of functions $F_{1}, F_{2}, \ldots F_{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]}$ in the Schur class such that $F_{j}(0)=\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & * \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$, and $F_{j}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)=B_{j}$, for $j=1, \ldots,\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]$, where $\operatorname{det} B_{1}=\cdots=\operatorname{det} B_{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]}=q^{0}, y_{j}^{0}=\binom{n}{j}\left[B_{j}\right]_{11}$ and $y_{n-j}^{0}=$ $\binom{n}{j}\left[B_{j}\right]_{22}$.

Furthermore, if $y^{0} \in \mathcal{J}_{n}$ then all the conditions (1) - (3) are equivalent.

The following result is known as Parrott's Theorem and it will be used in sequel. One can see Theorem 12.22 in [34] for a proof to this result.

Theorem 2.4 ([30], Theorem 1). Let $H_{i}, K_{i}$ are Hilbert spaces for $i=1,2$, and let

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
Q \\
S
\end{array}\right]: H_{2} \longrightarrow K_{1} \oplus K_{2}, \quad\left[\begin{array}{ll}
R & S
\end{array}\right]: H_{1} \oplus H_{2} \longrightarrow K_{2}
$$

be contractions. Then there exists $P \in \mathcal{L}\left(H_{1}, K_{1}\right)$ such that

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
P & Q \\
R & S
\end{array}\right]: H_{1} \oplus H_{2} \longrightarrow K_{1} \oplus K_{2}
$$

is a contraction.

## 3. A SChwarz LEMMA FOR $\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n}$ AND $\mathbb{G}_{n}$

Let $B_{1}, \ldots, B_{k}$ be $2 \times 2$ contractive matrices such that $\operatorname{det} B_{1}=\operatorname{det} B_{2}=$ $\cdots=\operatorname{det} B_{k}$. We define two functions $\pi_{2 k+1}$ and $\pi_{2 k}$ in the following way:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi_{2 k+1}\left(B_{1}, \ldots, B_{k}\right) \\
& =\left(\binom{n}{1}\left[B_{1}\right]_{11}, \ldots,\binom{n}{k}\left[B_{k}\right]_{11},\binom{n}{k}\left[B_{k}\right]_{22}, \ldots,\binom{n}{1}\left[B_{1}\right]_{22}, \operatorname{det} B_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi_{2 k}\left(B_{1}, \ldots, B_{k}\right) \\
& =\left(\binom{n}{1}\left[B_{1}\right]_{11}, \ldots,\binom{n}{k-1}\left[B_{k-1}\right]_{11},\binom{n}{k} \frac{\left(\left[B_{k}\right]_{11}+\left[B_{k}\right]_{22}\right)}{2},\right. \\
& \\
& \left.\binom{n}{k-1}\left[B_{k-1}\right]_{22}, \ldots,\binom{n}{1}\left[B_{1}\right]_{22}, \operatorname{det} B_{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then by Theorem 2.2, we have

$$
\pi_{2 k}\left(B_{1}, \ldots, B_{k}\right) \in \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{2 k} \quad \text { and } \quad \pi_{2 k+1}\left(B_{1}, \ldots, B_{k}\right) \in \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{2 k+1}
$$

For $n \geq 3$, let $\mathcal{K}_{n}$ be the following subset of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ :

$$
\mathcal{K}_{n}= \begin{cases}\mathcal{K}_{n}^{\text {odd }} & \text { if } n \text { is odd } \\ \mathcal{K}_{n}^{\text {even }} & \text { if } n \text { is even }\end{cases}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathcal{K}_{n}^{\text {odd }}=\left\{\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-1}, y_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n}: y_{j}=\frac{\binom{n}{j}}{n} y_{1}, y_{n-j}=\frac{\binom{n}{j}}{n} y_{n-1}\right. \\
\left.\quad \text { for } j=2, \ldots,\left[\frac{n}{2}\right] \& \max \left\{\frac{\left|y_{1}\right|}{n}, \frac{\left|y_{n-1}\right|}{n}\right\}+\left|y_{n}\right| \leq 1\right\}
\end{array}
$$

and
$\mathcal{K}_{n}^{\text {even }}=\left\{\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-1}, y_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n}: y_{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]}=\binom{n}{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]} \frac{y_{1}+y_{n-1}}{2 n}, y_{j}=\frac{\binom{n}{j} y_{1}}{n}\right.$,
$y_{n-j}=\frac{\binom{n}{j} y_{n-1}}{n}$, for $\left.j=2, \ldots,\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]-1 \& \max \left\{\frac{\left|y_{1}\right|}{n}, \frac{\left|y_{n-1}\right|}{n}\right\}+\left|y_{n}\right| \leq 1\right\}$.
For any $Z \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ with $\|Z\|<1$, let $D_{Z}=\left(1-Z^{*} Z\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. We denote the unit ball of $\mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ by $R_{I}(2,2)$. Consider the following function:

$$
\mathcal{M}_{Z}(X)=-Z+D_{Z^{*}} X\left(1-Z^{*} X\right)^{-1} D_{Z} \quad \text { for } X \in R_{I}(2,2) .
$$

The function $\mathcal{M}_{Z}$ is a matrix Möbius transformation that maps $Z$ to 0 . The transformation $\mathcal{M}_{Z}$ is an automorphism of $R_{I}(2,2)$, and $\left(\mathcal{M}_{Z}\right)^{-1}=\mathcal{M}_{-Z}$.

We now present a Schwarz type lemma for $\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n}$.
Theorem 3.1. Let $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$ and there exists an analytic map $\psi: \mathbb{D} \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n}$ such that $\psi(0)=(0, \ldots, 0)$ and $\psi^{\prime}(0)=x$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{1 \leq j \leq n-1}\left\{\frac{\left|x_{j}\right|}{\binom{n}{j}}\right\}+\left|x_{n}\right| \leq 1 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The converse holds if $x \in \mathcal{K}_{n}$.
Proof. We already know that $\widetilde{\mathbb{G}_{2}}=\mathbb{G}_{2}$ and this theorem was proved by Agler and Young for $\mathbb{G}_{2}$ (see Theorem 1.1 in [5]). For this reason we shall consider $n \geq 3$ when proving the converse part of this theorem.

Let $\psi: \mathbb{D} \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n}$ is an analytic map such that $\psi(0)=(0, \ldots, 0)$ and $\psi^{\prime}(0)=x$. Write $\psi=\left(\psi_{1}, \ldots, \psi_{n}\right)$. Then, by Theorem 2.3, for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{D} \backslash\{0\}$ we have

$$
\max _{1 \leq j \leq n-1}\left\{\left\|\Phi_{j}(., \psi(\lambda))\right\|_{H^{\infty}}\right\} \leq|\lambda|
$$

which is same as saying

$$
\begin{align*}
& \max _{1 \leq j \leq n-1}\left\{\frac{\binom{n}{j}\left|\psi_{j}(\lambda)-\bar{\psi}_{n-j}(\lambda) \psi_{n}(\lambda)\right|+\left|\psi_{j}(\lambda) \psi_{n-j}(\lambda)-\binom{n}{j}^{2} \psi_{n}(\lambda)\right|}{\binom{n}{j}^{2}-\left|\psi_{n-j}(\lambda)\right|^{2}}\right\} \\
& \leq|\lambda| \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{D} \backslash\{0\}$. Note that, using L-Hospital's rule and the fact that $\psi(0)=\left(\psi_{1}(0), \ldots, \psi_{n}(0)\right)=(0, \ldots, 0)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{\binom{n}{j}\left|\psi_{j}(\lambda)-\bar{\psi}_{n-j}(\lambda) \psi_{n}(\lambda)\right|}{|\lambda|\left(\binom{n}{j}^{2}-\left|\psi_{n-j}(\lambda)\right|^{2}\right)} \\
= & \binom{n}{j}\left|\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{\psi_{j}(\lambda)-\bar{\psi}_{n-j}(\lambda) \psi_{n}(\lambda)}{\lambda}\right| \lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\left(\binom{n}{j}^{2}-\left|\psi_{n-j}(\lambda)\right|^{2}\right)}=\frac{\left|\psi_{j}^{\prime}(0)\right|}{\binom{n}{j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{\left|\psi_{j}(\lambda) \psi_{n-j}(\lambda)-\binom{n}{j}^{2} \psi_{n}(\lambda)\right|}{|\lambda|\left(\binom{n}{j}^{2}-\left|\psi_{n-j}(\lambda)\right|^{2}\right)}=\frac{1}{\binom{n}{j}^{2}}\left|-\binom{n}{j}^{2} \psi_{n}^{\prime}(0)\right|=\left|\psi_{n}^{\prime}(0)\right| .
$$

So
$\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{\binom{n}{j}\left|\left(\psi_{j}-\bar{\psi}_{n-j} \psi_{n}\right)(\lambda)\right|+\left|\left(\psi_{j} \psi_{n-j}-\binom{n}{j}^{2} \psi_{n}\right)(\lambda)\right|}{|\lambda|\left(\binom{n}{j}^{2}-\left|\psi_{n-j}(\lambda)\right|^{2}\right)}=\frac{\left|\psi_{j}^{\prime}(0)\right|}{\binom{n}{j}}+\left|\psi_{n}^{\prime}(0)\right|$.
Since inequality (5) is true for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{D} \backslash\{0\}$, by dividing both side of (5) by $|\lambda|$ and letting $\lambda \longrightarrow 0$, we have

$$
\max _{1 \leq j \leq n-1}\left\{\frac{\left|\psi_{j}^{\prime}(0)\right|}{\binom{n}{j}}+\left|\psi_{n}^{\prime}(0)\right|\right\} \leq 1
$$

Since $\psi^{\prime}(0)=x$, that is, $\left(\psi_{1}^{\prime}(0), \ldots, \psi_{n}^{\prime}(0)\right)=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$, we have

$$
\max _{1 \leq j \leq n-1}\left\{\frac{\left|x_{j}\right|}{\binom{n}{j}}\right\}+\left|x_{n}\right| \leq 1
$$

We divide the converse part into two cases, $n=3$ and $n>3$.
Case-I. Suppose $n=3$ and condition (4) holds for $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in$ $\mathcal{K}_{3}$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left\{\frac{\left|x_{1}\right|}{3}, \frac{\left|x_{2}\right|}{3}\right\}+\left|x_{3}\right| \leq 1 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We show that there exists an analytic map $\psi: \mathbb{D} \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{3}$ such that $\psi(0)=(0,0,0)$ and $\psi^{\prime}(0)=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$. We shall follow similar technique as in Theorem 2.1 of [35] to construct such a function. We first assume that $\left|x_{2}\right| \leq\left|x_{1}\right|$. So, if $x_{1}=0$, then we have $x_{2}=0$ and hence from the inequality (6), we obtain $\left|x_{3}\right| \leq 1$. Now consider the function $\psi(\lambda)=\left(0,0, \lambda x_{3}\right)$. Clearly $\psi$ is analytic and satisfies

$$
\psi(0)=(0,0,0) \quad \text { and } \quad \psi^{\prime}(0)=\left.\psi^{\prime}(\lambda)\right|_{\lambda=0}=\left(0,0, x_{3}\right)=x .
$$

Next, assume $x_{1} \neq 0$. According to Theorem [2.2, for any $W \in \mathcal{S}_{2 \times 2}$ the function $\psi=\pi_{3} \circ W=\left(3 W_{11}, 3 W_{22}, W_{11} W_{22}-W_{12} W_{21}\right)$ is an analytic map from $\mathbb{D}$ to $\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{3}$. Hence it is enough to show the existence of a function $W=\left[W_{i j}\right] \in \mathcal{S}_{2 \times 2}$ such that $\left(\pi_{3} \circ W\right)(0)=(0,0,0)$ and $\left(\pi_{3} \circ W\right)^{\prime}(0)=x$. Suppose $W$ is a $2 \times 2$ matrix valued function such that

$$
W(0)=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & \sigma  \tag{7}\\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right],
$$

where $\sigma \in \mathbb{D}$ will be chosen later. Then, $\psi(0)=\left(\pi_{3} \circ W\right)(0)=(0,0,0)$ and
$\psi^{\prime}(0)=\left(3 W_{11}, 3 W_{22}, W_{11} W_{22}-W_{12} W_{21}\right)^{\prime}(0)=\left(3 W_{11}^{\prime}, 3 W_{22}^{\prime},-\sigma W_{21}^{\prime}\right)(0)$.
Accordingly, $\psi^{\prime}(0)=x$ if and only if

$$
W^{\prime}(0)=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
x_{1} / 3 & *  \tag{8}\\
-x_{3} / \sigma & x_{2} / 3
\end{array}\right] .
$$

We shall find a function $W \in \mathcal{S}_{2 \times 2}$ that satisfies equations (7) and (8).
For any $W \in \mathcal{S}_{2 \times 2}$, we have

$$
\left(\mathcal{M}_{Z} \circ W\right)(\lambda)=-Z+D_{Z^{*}} W(\lambda)\left(1-Z^{*} W(\lambda)\right)^{-1} D_{Z} \quad \text { for } \lambda \in \mathbb{D}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\mathcal{M}_{Z} \circ W\right)^{\prime} & =D_{Z^{*}}\left[1+W\left(1-Z^{*} W\right)^{-1} Z^{*}\right] W^{\prime}\left(1-Z^{*} W\right)^{-1} D_{Z} \\
& =D_{Z^{*}}\left(1-W Z^{*}\right)^{-1} W^{\prime}\left(1-Z^{*} W\right)^{-1} D_{Z} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

For a fixed $\sigma \in \mathbb{D}$, let

$$
Z=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \sigma  \tag{10}\\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Then $\|Z\|<1$,

$$
D_{Z}=\left(1-Z^{*} Z\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & \left(1-|\sigma|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{array}\right] \text { and } D_{Z^{*}}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\left(1-|\sigma|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Now if $W \in \mathcal{S}_{2 \times 2}$ satisfies (77) and (8), then $W(0)=Z$ and hence we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\mathcal{M}_{Z} \circ W\right)^{\prime}(0) & =D_{Z^{*}}\left(1-Z Z^{*}\right)^{-1} W^{\prime}(0)\left(1-Z^{*} Z\right)^{-1} D_{Z} \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{x_{1}}{3\left(1-|\sigma|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} & \frac{W_{12}^{\prime}(0)}{1-|\sigma|^{2}} \\
\frac{-x_{3}}{\sigma} & \frac{x_{2}}{3\left(1-|\sigma|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}
\end{array}\right] \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that if $W \in \mathcal{S}_{2 \times 2}$ then by (77) and (10), the map $\mathcal{M}_{Z} \circ W: \mathbb{D} \longrightarrow$ $R_{I}(2,2)$ satisfies the following condition

$$
\left(\mathcal{M}_{Z} \circ W\right)(0)=\mathcal{M}_{Z}(W(0))=\mathcal{M}_{Z}(Z)=0
$$

Therefore, by Schwarz lemma for $R_{I}(2,2)$, we have $\left\|\left(\mathcal{M}_{Z} \circ W\right)^{\prime}(0)\right\|<1$. Thus, if there exists a function $W$ in $\mathcal{S}_{2 \times 2}$ which satisfies (7) and (8), then the matrix in the right hand side of the equation (11) must be a strict contraction.
Now choose $\sigma=\sqrt{1-\frac{\left|x_{1}\right|}{3}}$. By (6), $\left|x_{3}\right|<1$ hence $\sigma \in \mathbb{D}$. For a $\rho \in \mathbb{C}$, define a matrix $B_{\rho}$ by

$$
B_{\rho}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{x_{1}}{\sqrt{3\left|x_{1}\right|}} & \rho  \tag{12}\\
\frac{-x_{3}}{\sqrt{1-\frac{\left|x_{1}\right|}{3}}} & \frac{x_{2}}{\sqrt{3\left|x_{1}\right|}}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

For a fixed $\rho$ (which is to be determined), define a function

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\rho}(\lambda)=\lambda B_{\rho}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{D} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, $V_{\rho}(0)=\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$ and $V_{\rho}^{\prime}(0)=B_{\rho}$. We define $B_{\rho}$ in such a fashion because, for a suitable choice of $\rho$, the matrix $V_{\rho}^{\prime}(0)$ is analogous to the matrix in equation (11) with above choice of $\sigma$. Since we have assumed $\left|x_{2}\right| \leq\left|x_{1}\right|$ and since condition (6) holds, we have that $\left|x_{1}\right| / 3+\left|x_{3}\right| \leq 1$. Thus, the norm of first column of $B_{\rho}$ is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{\left|x_{1}\right|}{3}+\frac{\left|x_{3}\right|^{2}}{\left(1-\left|x_{1}\right| / 3\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq\left(\frac{\left|x_{1}\right|}{3}+\frac{\left|x_{3}\right|\left(1-\left|x_{1}\right| / 3\right)}{\left(1-\left|x_{1}\right| / 3\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} & =\left(\frac{\left|x_{1}\right|}{3}+\left|x_{3}\right|\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

and also the norm of the second row of $B_{\rho}$ is
$\left(\frac{\left|x_{3}\right|^{2}}{\left(1-\left|x_{1}\right| / 3\right)}+\frac{\left|x_{2}\right|^{2}}{3\left|x_{1}\right|}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{\left|x_{3}\right|^{2}}{\left(1-\left|x_{1}\right| / 3\right)}+\frac{\left|x_{1}\right|^{2}}{3\left|x_{1}\right|} \leq 1\left(\right.$ since $\left.\left|x_{2}\right| \leq\left|x_{1}\right|\right)$.
Then, by Theorem 2.4, there exists a $\rho \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\left\|B_{\rho}\right\| \leq 1$. Consequently, there exists a $\rho \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $V_{\rho} \in \mathcal{S}_{2 \times 2}$. A choice of such $\rho$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=\frac{x_{1} x_{2} \bar{x}_{3} \sqrt{3-\left|x_{1}\right|}}{\sqrt{3}\left|x_{1}\right|\left(3-\left|x_{1}\right|-3\left|x_{3}\right|^{2}\right)} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we define a function $W=\mathcal{M}_{-Z} \circ V_{\rho}$, where $Z$ is as in (10). Then $W \in \mathcal{S}_{2 \times 2}$ and $W(0)=\mathcal{M}_{-Z}(0)=Z$. So $W$ satisfies equation (7). Since $V_{\rho}(0)$ is the zero matrix and $V_{\rho}^{\prime}(0)=B_{\rho}$, by equation (9) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
W^{\prime}(0) & =D_{Z^{*}}\left(1+V_{\rho}(0) Z^{*}\right)^{-1} V_{\rho}^{\prime}(0)\left(1+Z^{*} V_{\rho}(0)\right)^{-1} D_{Z} \\
& =D_{Z^{*}} V_{\rho}^{\prime}(0) D_{Z}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
x_{1} / 3 & \rho\left|x_{1}\right| / 3 \\
-x_{3} / \sigma & x_{2} / 3
\end{array}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the function $W$ also satisfies equation (8). Thus, there exists a function $W \in \mathcal{S}_{2 \times 2}$ that satisfies (77) and (8). The case $\left|x_{1}\right| \leq\left|x_{2}\right|$ can be dealt in similar way. Hence condition (6) is sufficient for the existence of an analytic map $\psi: \mathbb{D} \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{3}$ such that $\psi(0)=(0, \ldots, 0)$ and $\psi^{\prime}(0)=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$.

Case-II. Let $n>3$. First assume that $n$ is odd. Suppose $x=$ $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathcal{K}_{n}$. Then for all $j=2, \ldots,\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]$, we have $x_{j}=\frac{\binom{n}{j} x_{1}}{n}$ and $x_{n-j}=\frac{\binom{n}{j} x_{n-1}}{n}$. Therefore, condition (4) reduces to

$$
\max \left\{\frac{\left|x_{1}\right|}{n}, \frac{\left|x_{n-1}\right|}{n}\right\}+\left|x_{n}\right| \leq 1 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \max \left\{\frac{\left|\frac{3 x_{1}}{n}\right|}{3}, \frac{\left|\frac{3 x_{n-1}}{n}\right|}{3}\right\}+\left|x_{n}\right| \leq 1 .
$$

Hence by Case-I, there exists a function $\widehat{W} \in \mathcal{S}_{2 \times 2}$ such that

$$
\widehat{W}(0)=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \sigma  \tag{15}\\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] \text { and } \widehat{W}^{\prime}(0)=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
x_{1} / n & * \\
-x_{n} / \sigma & x_{n-1} / n
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Now consider $\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]$ number of $2 \times 2$ matrix valued functions $W_{1}, \ldots, W_{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]}$, where $W_{j}(\lambda)=\widehat{W}(\lambda)$ for each $j=1, \ldots,\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]$. Since $n$ is odd, $2\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]+1=$ $n$. So, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\pi_{2\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]+1} \circ\left(W_{1}, \ldots, W_{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]}\right)\right)^{\prime}(0) \\
= & \left(x_{1}, \ldots, \frac{\binom{n}{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]}}{n} x_{1}, \frac{\binom{n}{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]}}{n} x_{n-1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)=x
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\left(\pi_{n} \circ\left(W_{1}, \ldots, W_{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]}\right)\right)(0)=\pi_{2\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]+1}(\widehat{W}(0), \ldots, \widehat{W}(0))=(0, \ldots, 0)
$$

Note that, each $W_{j} \in \mathcal{S}_{2 \times 2}$ and $\operatorname{det} W_{i}=\operatorname{det} W_{j}$ for each $i, j$. Clearly, the function $\psi=\pi_{n} \circ\left(W_{1}, \ldots, W_{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]}\right)$ is analytic which maps $\mathbb{D}$ into $\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n}$.

Now suppose $n$ is even. In this case, $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathcal{K}_{n}^{\text {even }}$. Then, $x_{j}=\frac{\binom{n}{j} x_{1}}{n}, x_{n-j}=\frac{\binom{n}{j} x_{n-1}}{n}$ for all $j=2, \ldots,\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]-1$ and $x_{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]}=\binom{n}{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]} \frac{x_{1}+x_{n-1}}{2 n}$. Hence condition (4) is reduced to

$$
\max \left\{\frac{\left|x_{1}\right|}{n}, \frac{\left|x_{n-1}\right|}{n}, \frac{\left|x_{1}\right|+\left|x_{n-1}\right|}{2 n}\right\}+\left|x_{n}\right| \leq 1
$$

which is same as

$$
\max \left\{\frac{\left|x_{1}\right|}{n}, \frac{\left|x_{n-1}\right|}{n}\right\}+\left|x_{n}\right| \leq 1
$$

So in a similar fashion as if $n$ is odd, there exists a function $\widehat{W} \in$ $\mathcal{S}_{2 \times 2}$ which satisfies condition (15). Again consider $\frac{n}{2}$ number of $2 \times 2$ matrix valued functions $W_{1}, \ldots, W_{\frac{n}{2}}$, where $W_{j}(\lambda)=\widehat{W}(\lambda)$ for each $j=1, \ldots, \frac{n}{2}$. So, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\pi_{2\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]} \circ\left(W_{1}, \ldots, W_{\frac{n}{2}}\right)\right)^{\prime}(0) \\
& =\left(x_{1}, \ldots, \frac{\binom{n}{\frac{n}{2}-1}}{n} x_{1},\binom{n}{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{x_{1}+x_{n-1}}{2}, \frac{\binom{n}{2}}{n} x_{n-1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)=x
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\left(\pi_{n} \circ\left(W_{1}, \ldots, W_{\frac{n}{2}}\right)\right)(0)=(0, \ldots, 0) .
$$

The function $\psi=\pi_{n} \circ\left(W_{1}, \ldots, W_{\frac{n}{2}}\right)$ is analytic and it maps $\mathbb{D}$ into $\mathcal{K}_{n}^{\text {even }} \cap \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n}$. Thus, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ if condition (4) holds for $x \in \mathcal{K}_{n}$ then there exists an analytic map $\psi: \mathbb{D} \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n}$ such that $\psi(0)=(0, \ldots, 0)$ and $\psi^{\prime}(0)=x$. The proof is now complete.

Remark. In particular if $n=3$, then the condition (4) is necessary and sufficient for the existence of such an interpolating function $\psi$ for any $x \in \mathbb{C}^{3}$.

The following is a Schwarz type lemma for the symmetrized polydisc.
Theorem 3.2. Let $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$. If there exists an analytic map $\psi: \mathbb{D} \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}_{n}$ such that $\psi(0)=(0, \ldots, 0)$ and $\psi^{\prime}(0)=x$, then

$$
\max _{1 \leq j \leq n-1}\left\{\frac{\left|x_{j}\right|}{\binom{n}{j}}\right\}+\left|x_{n}\right| \leq 1
$$

Proof. Follows from Theorem 3.1 as $\mathbb{G}_{n} \subset \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n}$.

## 4. An explicit interpolating function

In Theorem 3.1, we proved the existence of an analytic function $\psi$ mapping origin to origin and satisfying $\psi^{\prime}(0)=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathcal{K}_{n}$. In this section, we show an explicit construction of such a function $\psi$.

Theorem 4.1. Let $x \in \mathcal{K}_{n}$. If a function $\psi=\left(\psi_{1}, \ldots, \psi_{n}\right)$ is given by

$$
\psi(\lambda)=\frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda \bar{x}_{n} r_{x}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots,\binom{n}{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]} \frac{x_{1}}{n},\binom{n}{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]} \frac{x_{n-1}}{n}, \ldots, x_{n-1}, x_{n}+\lambda r_{x}\right)
$$

when $n$ is odd, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi(\lambda)= & \frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda \bar{x}_{n} r_{x}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots,\binom{n}{\frac{n-2}{2}} \frac{x_{1}}{n},\binom{n}{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{x_{1}+x_{n-1}}{2 n},\right. \\
& \left.\binom{n}{\frac{n-2}{2}} \frac{x_{n-1}}{n}, \ldots, x_{n-1}, x_{n}+\lambda r_{x}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

when $n$ is even, where

$$
r_{x}=\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
0 & \text { if } x_{1}=0=x_{n-1} \\
\frac{x_{1} x_{n-1}\left(n-\left|x_{1}\right|\right)}{n\left|x_{1}\right|\left(n-\left|x_{1}\right|-n\left|x_{n}\right|^{2}\right)} & \text { if }\left|x_{n-1}\right| \leq\left|x_{1}\right| \neq 0 \\
\frac{x_{1} x_{n-1}\left(n-\left|x_{n-1}\right|\right)}{n\left|x_{n-1}\right|\left(n-\left|x_{n-1}\right|-n\left|x_{n}\right|^{2}\right)} & \text { if }\left|x_{1}\right| \leq\left|x_{n-1}\right| \neq 0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then $\psi$ is an analytic map from $\mathbb{D}$ into $\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n}$ with $\psi(0)=(0, \ldots, 0)$ and $\psi^{\prime}(0)=x$.

Proof. We divide the proof into two cases, $n=3$ and $n>3$ as in Theorem 3.1. The idea and technique that are used in constructing an interpolating function $\psi$ when $n=3$ are borrowed from Theorem 2.2 in (35].

Case-I: Suppose $n=3$. Then $\mathcal{K}_{3}=\mathbb{C}^{3}$. Let $x \in \mathbb{C}^{3}$ be such that $\max \left\{\frac{\left|x_{1}\right|}{3}, \frac{\left|x_{2}\right|}{3}\right\}+\left|x_{3}\right| \leq 1$. For this particular case, we denote $r_{x}$ by $l_{x}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(\lambda)=\frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda \bar{x}_{3} l_{x}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, l_{x} \lambda+x_{3}\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
l_{x}=\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
0 & \text { if } x_{1}=0=x_{2}  \tag{17}\\
\frac{x_{1} x_{2}\left(3-\left|x_{1}\right|\right)}{3\left|x_{1}\right|\left(3-\left|x_{1}\right|-3\left|x_{3}\right|^{2}\right)} & \text { if }\left|x_{2}\right| \leq\left|x_{1}\right| \neq 0 \\
\frac{x_{1} x_{2}\left(3-\left|x_{2}\right|\right)}{3\left|x_{2}\right|\left(3-\left|x_{2}\right|-3\left|x_{3}\right|^{2}\right)} & \text { if }\left|x_{1}\right| \leq\left|x_{2}\right| \neq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

We shall show that the function $\psi$ given by (16) and (17) is analytic, $\psi(\mathbb{D}) \subset \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{3}, \psi(0)=(0,0,0)$ and $\psi^{\prime}(0)=x$. Suppose $x_{1}=0=x_{2}$, then $\left|x_{3}\right| \leq 1$ and $l_{x}=0$. Consider the function $\psi(\lambda)=\left(0,0, \lambda x_{3}\right), \lambda \in \mathbb{D}$. Clearly $\psi$ is analytic, $\psi(0)=(0,0,0)$ and $\psi^{\prime}(0)=\left(0,0, x_{3}\right)=x$. Now suppose $\left|x_{2}\right| \leq\left|x_{1}\right| \neq 0$. The function $\psi$, given by (16) and (17), clearly satisfies $\psi(0)=0$. Note that

$$
\psi^{\prime}(\lambda)=\left(\frac{x_{1}}{\left(1+\lambda \bar{x}_{3} l_{x}\right)^{2}}, \frac{x_{2}}{\left(1+\lambda \bar{x}_{3} l_{x}\right)^{2}}, \frac{x_{3}+\lambda l_{x}\left(2+\lambda \bar{x}_{3} l_{x}\right)}{\left(1+\lambda \bar{x}_{3} l_{x}\right)^{2}}\right) .
$$

Hence $\psi^{\prime}(0)=x$. We shall show that $\psi$ is analytic and $\psi(\mathbb{D}) \subset \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{3}$.
Consider $Z$ as in equation (10) with $\sigma=\sqrt{1-\frac{\left|x_{1}\right|}{3}}$. Then

$$
D_{Z}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & \sqrt{\frac{\left|x_{1}\right|}{3}}
\end{array}\right] \text { and } D_{Z^{*}}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\sqrt{\frac{\left|x_{1}\right|}{3}} & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Also consider $B_{\rho}$ as in equation (12), where $\rho$ is given by (14). Then $\left\|B_{\rho}\right\| \leq 1$ (as we observe in the proof of Theorem 3.1). Consider the function $W(\lambda)=\mathcal{M}_{-Z}\left(\lambda B_{\rho}\right), \lambda \in \mathbb{D}$. Then $W \in \mathcal{S}_{2 \times 2}$. For a contraction $Z$, we know that $Z^{*} D_{Z^{*}}=D_{Z} Z^{*}$ and hence $D_{Z}^{-1} Z^{*}=Z^{*} D_{Z^{*}}^{-1}$ when $\|Z\|<1$. Here $D_{Z}=\left(1-Z^{*} Z\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
W(\lambda) & =Z+D_{z^{*}} \lambda B_{\rho}\left(1+Z^{*} \lambda B_{\rho}\right)^{-1} D_{Z} \\
& =Z+\lambda\left(D_{Z^{*}} B_{\rho} D_{Z}\right)\left(1+\lambda Z^{*} D_{Z^{*}}^{-1} B_{\rho} D_{Z}\right)^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that,

$$
D_{Z^{*}} B_{\rho} D_{Z}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{x_{1}}{3} & \frac{\rho\left|x_{1}\right|}{3} \\
\frac{-x_{3}}{\sigma} & \frac{x_{2}}{3}
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad D_{Z^{*}}^{-1} B_{\rho} D_{Z}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{x_{1}}{\left|x_{1}\right|} & \rho \\
\frac{-x_{3}}{\sigma} & \frac{x_{2}}{3}
\end{array}\right]
$$

So,

$$
\left(1+\lambda Z^{*} D_{Z^{*}}^{-1} B_{\rho} D_{Z}\right)^{-1}=\frac{1}{1+\lambda \rho \sigma}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1+\lambda \rho \sigma & 0 \\
\frac{-x_{1} \lambda \sigma}{\left|x_{1}\right|} & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Thus

$$
W(\lambda)=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & \sigma  \tag{18}\\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]+\frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda \rho \sigma}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{x_{1}}{3} & \frac{\rho\left|x_{1}\right|}{3} \\
v & \frac{x_{2}}{3}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=v(\lambda)=-\frac{x_{3}}{\sigma}(1+\lambda \rho \sigma)-\frac{\lambda \sigma x_{1} x_{2}}{3\left|x_{1}\right|}=-\frac{x_{3}}{\sigma}-\lambda \sigma l_{x} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (18) we have

$$
\operatorname{det} W(\lambda)=\frac{\lambda^{2}\left(x_{1} x_{2}-3 v \rho\left|x_{1}\right|\right)}{9(1+\lambda \rho \sigma)^{2}}-\frac{\lambda \sigma v}{(1+\lambda \rho \sigma)}
$$

Again

$$
\rho \sigma=\frac{x_{1} x_{2} \bar{x}_{3}\left(3-\left|x_{1}\right|\right)}{3\left|x_{1}\right|\left(3-\left|x_{1}\right|-3\left|x_{3}\right|^{2}\right)}=\bar{x}_{3} l_{x}, \quad \frac{\rho}{\sigma}=\frac{x_{1} x_{2} \bar{x}_{3}}{\left|x_{1}\right|\left(3-\left|x_{1}\right|-3\left|x_{3}\right|^{2}\right)}
$$

and thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{1} x_{2}-3 v \rho\left|x_{1}\right| & =x_{1} x_{2}+3 x_{3} \frac{x_{1} x_{2} \bar{x}_{3}\left|x_{1}\right|}{\left|x_{1}\right|\left(3-\left|x_{1}\right|-3\left|x_{3}\right|^{2}\right)}+3 \lambda \bar{x}_{3}\left(l_{x}\right)^{2}\left|x_{1}\right| \\
& =3\left|x_{1}\right| l_{x}\left(1+\lambda \bar{x}_{3} l_{x}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then
$\operatorname{det} W(\lambda)=\frac{3 \lambda^{2}\left|x_{1}\right| l_{x}\left(1+\lambda \bar{x}_{3} l_{x}\right)}{9\left(1+\lambda \bar{x}_{3} l_{x}\right)^{2}}-\frac{\lambda \sigma v}{\left(1+\lambda \bar{x}_{3} l_{x}\right)}=\frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda \bar{x}_{3} l_{x}}\left(x_{3}+\lambda l_{x}\right)$.
From the equation (18), we have

$$
[W(\lambda)]_{11}=\frac{\lambda x_{1}}{3\left(1+\lambda \bar{x}_{3} l_{x}\right)} \quad \text { and } \quad[W(\lambda)]_{22}=\frac{\lambda x_{2}}{3\left(1+\lambda \bar{x}_{3} l_{x}\right)} .
$$

Consider the function

$$
\psi(\lambda)=\pi \circ W(\lambda)=\left([3 W(\lambda)]_{11}, 3[W(\lambda)]_{22}, \operatorname{det} W(\lambda)\right), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{D}
$$

Then

$$
\psi(\lambda)=\left(\frac{\lambda x_{1}}{1+\lambda \bar{x}_{3} l_{x}}, \frac{\lambda x_{2}}{1+\lambda \bar{x}_{3} l_{x}}, \frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda \bar{x}_{3} l_{x}}\left(x_{3}+\lambda l_{x}\right)\right),
$$

which is of the form given in (16). Since $W \in \mathcal{S}_{2 \times 2}$, by Theorem 2.2 the map $\psi$ is an analytic and $\psi(\mathbb{D}) \subset \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{3}$. The case when $\left|x_{1}\right| \leq\left|x_{2}\right| \neq 0$, can be dealt in a similar way. Hence we are done for $n=3$.

Case-II: Suppose $n>3$. Let $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathcal{K}_{n}$. First suppose $n$ is odd. Then, $x_{j}=\frac{\binom{n}{j}}{n} x_{1}$ and $x_{n-j}=\frac{\binom{n}{j}}{n} x_{n-1}$ for all $j=2, \ldots,\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]$. Hence,

$$
\max _{1 \leq j \leq n-1}\left\{\frac{\left|x_{j}\right|}{\binom{n}{j}}\right\}+\left|x_{n}\right| \leq 1 \Longleftrightarrow \max \left\{\frac{\left|x_{1}\right|}{n}, \frac{\left|x_{n-1}\right|}{n}\right\}+\left|x_{n}\right| \leq 1
$$

Consider $y=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right)=\left(\frac{3 x_{1}}{n}, \frac{3 x_{n-1}}{n}, x_{n}\right)$. Therefore, by hypothesis

$$
\max \left\{\frac{\left|y_{1}\right|}{3}, \frac{\left|y_{2}\right|}{3}\right\}+\left|y_{3}\right| \leq 1
$$

So, by Case-I, there exists $\widehat{W} \in \mathcal{S}_{2 \times 2}$ such that

$$
[\widehat{W}(\lambda)]_{11}=\frac{\lambda y_{1}}{3\left(1+\lambda \bar{y}_{3} l_{y}\right)}, \quad[\widehat{W}(\lambda)]_{22}=\frac{\lambda y_{2}}{3\left(1+\lambda \bar{y}_{3} l_{y}\right)}
$$

and $\quad \operatorname{det} \widehat{W}(\lambda)=\frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda \bar{y}_{3} l_{y}}\left(y_{3}+\lambda l_{y}\right), \quad$ where

$$
l_{y}=\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
0 & \text { if } y_{1}=0=y_{2} \\
\frac{y_{1} y_{2}\left(3-\left|y_{1}\right|\right)}{3\left|y_{1}\right|\left(3-\left|y_{1}\right|-3\left|y_{3}\right|^{2}\right)} & \text { if }\left|y_{2}\right| \leq\left|y_{1}\right| \neq 0 \\
\frac{y_{1} y_{2}\left(3-\left|y_{2}\right|\right)}{3\left|y_{2}\right|\left(3-\left|y_{2}\right|-3\left|y_{3}\right|^{2}\right)} & \text { if }\left|y_{1}\right| \leq\left|y_{2}\right| \neq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Substituting the values of $y$, we get the following:
(i) $\quad l_{y}=r_{x}$
(ii) $\quad \operatorname{det} \widehat{W}(\lambda)=\frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda \bar{x}_{n} r_{x}}\left(x_{n}+\lambda r_{x}\right)$
(iii) $[\widehat{W}(\lambda)]_{11}=\frac{\lambda x_{1}}{n\left(1+\lambda \bar{x}_{n} r_{x}\right)}, \quad[\widehat{W}(\lambda)]_{22}=\frac{\lambda x_{n-1}}{n\left(1+\lambda \bar{x}_{n} r_{x}\right)}$.

Consider the $2 \times 2$ matrix valued functions $W_{1}, \ldots, W_{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]}$, where $W_{j}(\lambda)=$ $\widehat{W}(\lambda)$ for each $j=1, \ldots,\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]$. Then each $W_{j} \in \mathcal{S}_{2 \times 2}$. Since $n$ is odd,
$2\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]+1=n$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\pi_{2\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]+1} \circ\left(W_{1}, \ldots, W_{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]}\right)\right)(\lambda) \\
& =\frac{\lambda}{\left(1+\lambda \bar{x}_{n} r_{x}\right)}\left(x_{1}, \ldots,\binom{n}{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]} \frac{x_{1}}{n},\binom{n}{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]} \frac{x_{n-1}}{n}, \ldots, x_{n-1},\left(x_{n}+\lambda r_{x}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now suppose $n$ is even. So, $\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]=\frac{n}{2}$. In this case, $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in$ $\mathcal{K}_{n}^{\text {even }}$. Thus $x_{j}=\frac{\binom{n}{j}}{n} x_{1}, x_{n-j}=\frac{\binom{n}{j}}{n} x_{n-1}$ for all $j=2, \ldots, \frac{n}{2}-1$ and $x_{\frac{n}{2}}=\binom{n}{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{x_{1}+x_{n-1}}{2 n}$. Again,

$$
\max _{1 \leq j \leq n-1}\left\{\frac{\left|x_{j}\right|}{\binom{n}{j}}\right\}+\left|x_{n}\right| \leq 1 \Leftrightarrow \max \left\{\frac{\left|x_{1}\right|}{n}, \frac{\left|x_{n-1}\right|}{n}\right\}+\left|x_{n}\right| \leq 1
$$

Therefore, as in the case when $n$ is odd, there exists $\widehat{W} \in \mathcal{S}_{2 \times 2}$ such that (20) and (21) hold. Now consider the $2 \times 2$ matrix valued functions $W_{1}, \ldots, W_{\frac{n}{2}}$, where $W_{j}(\lambda)=\widehat{W}(\lambda)$ for each $j=1, \ldots, \frac{n}{2}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\pi_{2\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]} \circ\left(W_{1}, \ldots, W_{\frac{n}{2}}\right)\right)(\lambda) \\
& =\frac{\lambda}{\left(1+\lambda \bar{x}_{n} r_{x}\right)}\left(x_{1}, \ldots,\binom{n}{\frac{n-2}{2}} \frac{x_{1}}{n},\binom{n}{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{x_{1}+x_{n-1}}{2 n},\right. \\
& \\
& \left.\qquad\binom{n}{\frac{n-2}{2}} \frac{x_{n-1}}{n}, \ldots, x_{n-1}, x_{n}+\lambda r_{x}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In both cases $\psi=\pi_{n} \circ\left({\underset{\sim}{1}}^{W_{n}}, \ldots, W_{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]}\right)$. Clearly, the function $\psi$ is an analytic map from $\mathbb{D}$ into $\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n}$ and $\psi(0)=(0, \ldots, 0)$. If $n$ is odd, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi^{\prime}(\lambda)=\frac{1}{\left(1+\lambda \bar{x}_{n} r_{x}\right)^{2}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots,\right. & \binom{n}{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]} \frac{x_{1}}{n},\binom{n}{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]} \frac{x_{n-1}}{n}, \\
& \left.\ldots, x_{n-1}, x_{n}+\lambda r_{x}\left(2+\lambda \bar{x}_{n} r_{x}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $n$ is even, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi^{\prime}(\lambda)= & \frac{1}{\left(1+\lambda \bar{x}_{n} r_{x}\right)^{2}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots,\binom{n}{\frac{n-2}{2}} \frac{x_{1}}{n},\binom{n}{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{\left(x_{1}+x_{n-1}\right)}{2 n},\right. \\
& \left.\binom{n}{\frac{n-2}{2}} \frac{x_{n-1}}{n}, \ldots, x_{n-1}, x_{n}+\lambda r_{x}\left(2+\lambda \bar{x}_{n} r_{x}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is evident that in either cases $\psi^{\prime}(0)=x$ and the proof is complete.
5. GEOMETRIC INTERPLAY BETWEEN THE MEMBERS OF $\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n}$ AND $\widetilde{\Gamma_{n}}$ In [28], we have witnessed several important geometric properties of $\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n}$ and $\widetilde{\Gamma_{n}}$, e.g., $\widetilde{\Gamma_{n}}$ is polynomially convex but not convex, $\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n}$ is starlike but not circled etc. In this section, we shall see some interplay between $\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n}\left(\right.$ or $\left.\widetilde{\Gamma_{n}}\right)$ and $\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n+1}\left(\right.$ or, $\left.\widetilde{\Gamma_{n+1}}\right)$.

Theorem 5.1. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose $y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-1}, q\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$
(1) If $n$ is an even number, then the point $y \in \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n}\left(\right.$ or, $\left.\in \widetilde{\Gamma}_{n}\right)$ if and only if $\hat{y} \in \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n+1}\left(\right.$ or, $\left.\in \widetilde{\Gamma}_{n+1}\right)$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{y}=\left(\frac{n+1}{n} y_{1}, \ldots, \frac{n+1}{n+1-j} y_{j}, \ldots, \frac{n+1}{\frac{n}{2}+1} y_{\frac{n}{2}}, \frac{n+1}{\frac{n}{2}+1} y_{\frac{n}{2}},\right. \\
& \underbrace{\frac{n+1}{\frac{n}{2}+2^{2}} y_{\frac{n}{2}+1}}_{\left(\frac{n}{2}+2\right) \text {-th position }}, \ldots, \underbrace{\frac{n+1}{n+1-j^{2}} y_{n-j}}_{n+1-j \text {-th position }}, \ldots, \frac{n+1}{n} y_{n-1}, q) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(2) If $n$ is an odd number, then the point $y \in \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n}\left(\right.$ or, $\left.\in \widetilde{\Gamma}_{n}\right)$ if and only if $y^{*} \in \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n+1}\left(\right.$ or,$\left.\in \widetilde{\Gamma}_{n+1}\right)$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y^{*}=\left(\frac{n+1}{n} y_{1}, \ldots, \frac{n+1}{n+1-j} y_{j}, \ldots, \frac{2(n+1)}{n+3} y_{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]},\left(y_{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]}+y_{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]+1}\right),\right. \\
&\underbrace{\frac{2(n+1)}{n+3} y_{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]+1}}_{\left(\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]+2\right) \text {-th position }}, \ldots, \underbrace{\frac{n+1}{n+1-j} y_{n-j}}_{n+1-j-\text { th position }}, \ldots, \frac{n+1}{n} y_{n-1}, q) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. (1). First note that $\binom{n+1}{j}=\frac{n+1}{n+1-j}\binom{n}{j}$. As $n$ is even, $\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]=$ $\frac{n}{2}=\left[\frac{n+1}{2}\right]$. Suppose $y \in \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n}$. Then, by Theorem [2.2, we have

$$
\binom{n}{j}\left|y_{j}-\bar{y}_{n-j} q\right|+\left|y_{j} y_{n-j}-\binom{n}{j}^{2} q\right|<\binom{n}{j}^{2}-\left|y_{n-j}\right|^{2} \text { for } j=1, \ldots, \frac{n}{2}
$$

Consider the point $\hat{y}=\left(\hat{y}_{1}, \ldots, \hat{y}_{n}, \hat{q}\right)$, where $\hat{q}=q$ and

$$
\hat{y}_{j}=\frac{n+1}{n+1-j} y_{j}, \quad \hat{y}_{n+1-j}=\frac{n+1}{n+1-j} y_{n-j} \quad \text { for } j=1, \ldots, \frac{n}{2} .
$$

Then, the following holds for each $j=1, \ldots, \frac{n}{2}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \binom{n+1}{j}\left|\hat{y}_{j}-\overline{\hat{y}}_{n+1-j} \hat{q}\right|+\left|\hat{y}_{j} \hat{y}_{n+1-j}-\binom{n+1}{j}^{2} \hat{q}\right| \\
& =\binom{n+1}{j} \frac{n+1}{n+1-j}\left|y_{j}-\bar{y}_{n-j} q\right|+\left(\frac{n+1}{n+1-j}\right)^{2}\left|y_{j} y_{n-j}-\binom{n}{j}^{2} q\right| \\
= & \left(\frac{n+1}{n+1-j}\right)^{2}\left[\binom{n}{j}\left|y_{j}-\bar{y}_{n-j} q\right|+\left|y_{j} y_{n-j}-\binom{n}{j}^{2} q\right|\right] \\
< & \left(\frac{n+1}{n+1-j}\right)^{2}\left[\binom{n}{j}^{2}-\left|y_{n-j}\right|^{2}\right] \\
= & \binom{n+1}{j}^{2}-\left|\hat{y}_{n+1-j}\right|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, by Theorem [2.2, $\hat{y} \in \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n+1}$.
Conversely, suppose $\hat{y} \in \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n+1}$. Then for each $j=1, \ldots,\left[\frac{n+1}{2}\right]$, we have

$$
\binom{n+1}{j}\left|\hat{y}_{j}-\overline{\hat{y}}_{n+1-j} \hat{q}\right|+\left|\hat{y}_{j} \hat{y}_{n+1-j}-\binom{n+1}{j}^{2} \hat{q}\right|<\binom{n+1}{j}^{2}-\left|\hat{y}_{n+1-j}\right| .
$$

Similarly, we have

$$
\binom{n}{j}\left|y_{j}-\bar{y}_{n-j} q\right|+\left|y_{j} y_{n-j}-\binom{n}{j}^{2} q\right|<\binom{n}{j}^{2}-\left|y_{n-j}\right|^{2}
$$

for any $j=1, \ldots, \frac{n}{2}$. Consequently, by Theorem 2.2, $y \in \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n}$. In a similar fashion one can prove that $y \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_{n}$ if and only if $\hat{y} \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_{n+1}$.
(2). Suppose $n$ is odd and suppose $y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-1}, q\right) \in \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n}$. Then $|q|<1$ and there exists $\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{n-1}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ such that

$$
y_{j}=\beta_{j}+\bar{\beta}_{n-j} q, \quad y_{n-j}=\beta_{n-j}+\bar{\beta}_{j} q \quad \text { and } \quad\left|\beta_{j}\right|+\left|\beta_{n-j}\right|<\binom{n}{j},
$$

for each $j=1, \ldots,\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]$. Since $n$ is odd, $\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]=\frac{n-1}{2}$ and $\left[\frac{n+1}{2}\right]=$ $\frac{n+1}{2}$. Consider $\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$, where
$\gamma_{\frac{n+1}{2}}=\beta_{\frac{n-1}{2}}+\beta_{\frac{n+1}{2}}, \quad \gamma_{j}=\frac{n+1}{n+1-j} \beta_{j} \quad$ and $\quad \gamma_{n+1-j}=\frac{n+1}{n+1-j} \beta_{n-j}$,
for $j=1, \ldots,\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]$. Then, we have

$$
\left.2\left|\gamma_{\frac{n+1}{2}}\right| \leq 2\left(\left|\beta_{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]}\right|+\left\lvert\, \beta_{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]}\right.\right]\right)<\frac{n+1}{n+1-\left[\frac{n+1}{2}\right]}\binom{n}{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]}=\binom{n+1}{\left[\frac{n+1}{2}\right]}
$$

and
$\left|\gamma_{j}\right|+\left|\gamma_{n+1-j}\right|=\frac{n+1}{n+1-j}\left(\left|\beta_{j}\right|+\left|\beta_{n-j}\right|\right)<\frac{n+1}{n+1-j}\binom{n}{j}=\binom{n+1}{j}$,
for all $j=1, \ldots,\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]$. Therefore,

$$
(\gamma_{1}+\bar{\gamma}_{n} q, \ldots, \underbrace{\gamma_{j}+\bar{\gamma}_{n+1-j} q}_{j \text {-th position }}, \ldots, \gamma_{n}+\bar{\gamma}_{1} q, q) \in \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n+1}
$$

Also

$$
\gamma_{\frac{n+1}{2}}+\bar{\gamma}_{\frac{n+1}{2}} q=\beta_{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]}+\bar{\beta}_{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]+1} q+\beta_{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]+1}+\bar{\beta}_{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]} q=y_{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]}+y_{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]+1}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{j}+\bar{\gamma}_{n+1-j} q & =\frac{n+1}{n+1-j}\left(\beta_{j}+\bar{\beta}_{n-j} q\right)=\frac{n+1}{n+1-j} y_{j} \\
\gamma_{n+1-j}+\bar{\gamma}_{j} q & =\frac{n+1}{n+1-j}\left(\beta_{n-j}+\bar{\beta}_{j} q\right)=\frac{n+1}{n+1-j} y_{n-j}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $j=1, \ldots,\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]$. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{n+1}{n} y_{1}, \ldots, \frac{n+1}{n+1-j} y_{j}, \ldots, \frac{2(n+1)}{n+3} y_{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]},\left(y_{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]}+y_{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]+1}\right),\right. \\
& \quad \underbrace{\frac{2(n+1)}{n+3} y_{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]+1}}_{\left(\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]+2\right) \text {-th position }}, \ldots, \frac{n+1}{n+1-j} y_{n-j}, \ldots, \frac{n+1}{n} y_{n-1}, q) \in \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Conversely, suppose $y^{*}=\left(y_{1}^{*}, \ldots, y_{n}^{*}, q\right) \in \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n+1}$. Then
$y_{\frac{n+1}{2}}^{*}=y_{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]}+y_{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]+1}, \quad y_{j}^{*}=\frac{n+1}{n+1-j} y_{j}, \quad$ and $y_{n+1-j}^{*}=\frac{n+1}{n+1-j} y_{n-j}$,
for all $j=1, \ldots, \frac{n-1}{2}$. By definition, there exists $\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$ such that
$y_{j}^{*}=\gamma_{j}+\bar{\gamma}_{n+1-j} q, \quad y_{n+1-j}^{*}=\gamma_{n+1-j}+\bar{\gamma}_{j} q$ and $\left|\gamma_{j}\right|+\left|\gamma_{n+1-j}\right|<\binom{n+1}{j}$,
for each $j=1, \ldots,\left[\frac{n+1}{2}\right]$. Consider $\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{n-1}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$, where

$$
\beta_{j}=\frac{n+1-j}{n+1} \gamma_{j}, \beta_{n-j}=\frac{n+1}{n+1-j} \gamma_{n+1-j} \quad \text { for } j=1, \ldots, \frac{n-1}{2} .
$$

Then

$$
\left|\beta_{j}\right|+\left|\beta_{n-j}\right|=\frac{n+1-j}{n+1}\left(\left|\gamma_{j}\right|+\left|\gamma_{n+1-j}\right|\right)<\frac{n+1-j}{n+1}\binom{n+1}{j}=\binom{n}{j},
$$

for each $j=1, \ldots,\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]$. Therefore,

$$
\left(\beta_{1}+\bar{\beta}_{n-1} q, \ldots, \beta_{j}+\bar{\beta}_{n-j} q, \ldots, \beta_{n-1}+\bar{\beta}_{1} q, q\right) \in \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n}
$$

Note that,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta_{j}+\bar{\beta}_{n-j} q=\frac{n+1-j}{n+1}\left(\gamma_{j}+\bar{\gamma}_{n+1-j} q\right)=\frac{n+1-j}{n+1} y_{j}^{*}=y_{j}, \\
& \beta_{n-j}+\bar{\beta}_{j} q=\frac{n+1-j}{n+1}\left(\gamma_{n+1-j}+\bar{\gamma}_{j} q\right)=\frac{n+1-j}{n+1} y_{n+1-j}^{*}=y_{n-j}
\end{aligned}
$$

for each $j=1, \ldots,\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]$. Therefore, $y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-1}, q\right) \in \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n}$. The proof of $y \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_{n}$ if and only if $\hat{y} \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_{n+1}$ is similar.

Theorem 5.2. Let $y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-1}, q\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$.
(1) If $n$ is even and $y \in \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n}\left(\right.$ or, $\left.\in \widetilde{\Gamma}_{n}\right)$, then $\check{y} \in \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n-1}\left(\right.$ or, $\left.\in \widetilde{\Gamma}_{n-1}\right)$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\check{y}=\left(\frac{n-1}{n} y_{1}, \ldots, \frac{n-j}{n} y_{j}, \ldots, \frac{\frac{n}{2}+1}{n} y_{\frac{n}{2}-1},\right. & \underbrace{\frac{n}{2}+1}_{(n-1-j) \text {-th position }} \frac{n}{n} y_{\frac{n}{2}+1}
\end{aligned},
$$

(2) If $n$ is odd and $y \in \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n}\left(\right.$ or, $\left.\in \widetilde{\Gamma}_{n}\right)$, then $\tilde{y} \in \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n-1}$ (or, $\in \widetilde{\Gamma}_{n-1}$ ), where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{y}=\left(\frac{n-1}{n} y_{1}, \ldots,\right. & \frac{n-j}{n} y_{j}, \ldots, \frac{n+3}{2 n} y_{\frac{n-3}{2}}, \underbrace{\frac{n+1}{2 n}\left(\frac{y_{\frac{n-1}{2}}+y_{\frac{n+1}{2}}}{2}\right)}_{\frac{n-1}{2}-\text { th position }}, \\
& \frac{n+3}{2 n} y_{\frac{n+3}{2}}, \ldots, \underbrace{\frac{n-j}{n} y_{n-j}}_{(n-j-1) \text {-th position }}, \ldots, \frac{n-1}{n} y_{n-1}, q) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. (1). Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be even. Then, $\left[\frac{n-1}{2}\right]=\frac{n}{2}-1$. Also it is merely mentioned that $\binom{n}{j}=\frac{n}{n-j}\binom{n-1}{j}$. Now suppose $y \in \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n}$. Then by Theorem [2.2, we have for each $j \in\left\{1, \ldots,\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]\right\}$

$$
\binom{n}{j}\left|y_{j}-\bar{y}_{n-j} q\right|+\left|y_{j} y_{n-j}-\binom{n}{j}^{2} q\right|<\binom{n}{j}^{2}-\left|y_{n-j}\right|^{2} .
$$

Consider the point

$$
\begin{aligned}
\check{y} & =\left(\check{y}_{1}, \ldots, \check{y}_{n-2}, \check{q}\right) \\
& =(\frac{n-1}{n} y_{1}, \ldots, \frac{\frac{n}{2}+1}{n} y_{\frac{n}{2}-1}, \underbrace{\frac{\frac{n}{2}+1}{n} y_{\frac{n}{2}+1}}_{\frac{n}{2} \text {-th position }}, \frac{\frac{n}{2}+2}{n} y_{\frac{n}{2}+2}, \ldots, \frac{n-1}{n} y_{n-1}, q) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\check{q}=q$ and

$$
\check{y}_{j}=\frac{n-j}{n} y_{j}, \quad \hat{y}_{n-1-j}=\frac{n-j}{n} y_{n-j} \quad \text { for } j=1, \ldots, \frac{n}{2}-1 .
$$

Note that for each $j=1, \ldots, \frac{n}{2}-1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \qquad\left|\check{y}_{j}-\bar{y}_{n-1-j} \check{q}\right|=\frac{n-j}{n}\left|y_{j}-\bar{y}_{n-j} q\right| \\
& \text { and } \quad\left|\check{y}_{j} \check{y}_{n-1-j}-\binom{n-1}{j}^{2} \check{q}\right|=\left(\frac{n-j}{n}\right)^{2}\left|y_{j} y_{n-j}-\binom{n}{j}^{2} q\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, for any $j=1, \ldots, \frac{n}{2}-1\left(=\left[\frac{n-1}{2}\right]\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \binom{n-1}{j}\left|\check{y}_{j}-\bar{y}_{n-1-j} \check{q}\right|+\left|\check{y}_{j} \check{y}_{n-1-j}-\binom{n-1}{j}^{2} \check{q}\right| \\
= & \left(\frac{n-j}{n}\right)^{2}\left[\binom{n}{j}\left|y_{j}-\bar{y}_{n-j} q\right|+\left|y_{j} y_{n-j}-\binom{n}{j}^{2} q\right|\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& <\left(\frac{n-j}{n}\right)^{2}\left[\binom{n}{j}^{2}-\left|y_{n-j}\right|^{2}\right] \\
& =\binom{n-1}{j}^{2}-\left|\check{y}_{n-1-j}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, by Theorem [2.2, we conclude that $\check{y} \in \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n-1}$. Similarly if $y \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_{n}$, then $\check{y} \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_{n-1}$.
(2). Suppose $n$ is odd and let $y \in \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n}$. Then $|q|<1$ and there exists $\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{n-1}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ such that

$$
y_{j}=\beta_{j}+\bar{\beta}_{n-j} q, \quad y_{n-j}=\beta_{n-j}+\bar{\beta}_{j} q \quad \text { and } \quad\left|\beta_{j}\right|+\left|\beta_{n-j}\right|<\binom{n}{j},
$$

for each $j \in\left\{1, \ldots,\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]\right\}$. Consider the given point $\tilde{y}=\left(\tilde{y}_{1}, \ldots \tilde{y}_{n-2}, \tilde{q}\right) \in$ $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$. Then $\tilde{q}=q$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tilde{y}_{\frac{n-1}{2}}=\frac{n+1}{2 n}\left(\frac{y_{\frac{n-1}{2}}+y_{\frac{n+1}{2}}}{2}\right), \\
\tilde{y}_{j}=\frac{n-j}{n} y_{j} \quad \text { and } \quad \tilde{y}_{n-j-1}=\frac{n-j}{n} y_{n-j}, \quad \text { for } j=1, \ldots, \frac{n-3}{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Consider $\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{n-2}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-2}$, where $\gamma_{\frac{n-1}{2}}=\frac{n+1}{2 n}\left(\frac{\beta_{\frac{n-1}{2}}+\beta_{\frac{n+1}{2}}}{2}\right)$,

$$
\gamma_{j}=\frac{n-j}{n} \beta_{j} \quad \text { and } \quad \gamma_{n-1-j}=\frac{n-j}{n} \beta_{n-j}, \quad \text { for } j=1, \ldots, \frac{n-3}{2} .
$$

Then, we have

$$
2\left|\gamma_{\frac{n-1}{2}}\right|=\frac{n+1}{2 n}\left|\beta_{\frac{n-1}{2}}+\beta_{\frac{n+1}{2}}\right|<\frac{n-\frac{n-1}{2}}{n}\binom{n}{\frac{n-1}{2}}=\binom{n-1}{\frac{n-1}{2}}
$$

and $\quad\left|\gamma_{j}\right|+\left|\gamma_{n-1-j}\right|=\frac{n-j}{n}\left(\left|\beta_{j}\right|+\left|\beta_{n-j}\right|\right)<\frac{n-j}{n}\binom{n}{j}=\binom{n-1}{j}$, for $j=1, \ldots, \frac{n-3}{2}$. Therefore,

$$
(\gamma_{1}+\bar{\gamma}_{n-2} q, \ldots, \underbrace{\gamma_{j}+\bar{\gamma}_{n+1-j} q}_{j \text {-th position }}, \ldots, \gamma_{n-2}+\bar{\gamma}_{1} q, q) \in \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n-1}
$$

Also we have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{\frac{n-1}{2}}+\bar{\gamma}_{\frac{n-1}{2}} q & =\frac{n+1}{2 n}\left(\frac{\beta_{\frac{n-1}{2}}+\bar{\beta}_{\frac{n+1}{2}} q+\beta_{\frac{n+1}{2}}+\bar{\beta}_{\frac{n-1}{2}} q}{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{n+1}{2 n} \frac{\left(y_{\frac{n-1}{2}}+y_{\frac{n+1}{2}}\right)}{2}=\tilde{y}_{\frac{n-1}{2}}, \\
\gamma_{j}+\bar{\gamma}_{n-1-j} q & =\frac{n-j}{n}\left(\beta_{j}+\bar{\beta}_{n-j} q\right)=\frac{n-j}{n} y_{j}=\tilde{y}_{j} \\
\text { and } \quad \gamma_{n-1-j}+\bar{\gamma}_{j} q & =\frac{n-j}{n}\left(\beta_{n-j}+\bar{\beta}_{j} q\right)=\frac{n-j}{n} y_{n-j}=\tilde{y}_{n-j-1},
\end{aligned}
$$

for $j=1, \ldots, \frac{n-3}{2}$. Hence, $|\tilde{q}|<1$ and there exists $\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{n-2}\right) \in$ $\mathbb{C}^{n-2}$ such that
$\tilde{y}_{j}=\gamma_{j}+\bar{\gamma}_{n-1-j} \tilde{q}, \quad \tilde{y}_{n-j-1}=\gamma_{n-1-j}+\bar{\gamma}_{j} \tilde{q} \quad$ and $\quad\left|\gamma_{j}\right|+\left|\gamma_{n-1-j}\right|<\binom{n-1}{j}$,
for each $j=1, \ldots, \frac{n-1}{2}$. Consequently $\tilde{y}=\left(\tilde{y}_{1}, \ldots \tilde{y}_{n-2}, \tilde{q}\right) \in \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n-1}$. The proof of $y \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_{n}$ implies $\tilde{y} \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_{n-1}$ is similar.

Theorem 5.3. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be even.
(1) Let the point $y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-1}, q\right) \in \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n}\left(\right.$ or, $\left.\in \widetilde{\Gamma}_{n}\right)$. Then the point

$$
\underline{y}=(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{\frac{n}{2}}, y_{\frac{n}{2}}, \underbrace{y_{2}^{n}+1}_{\left(\frac{n}{2}+2\right)-t h}, \ldots, y_{n-1}, q) \in \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n+1}\left(o r, \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_{n+1}\right)
$$

and the $\operatorname{map} f: \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n+1}$ that maps $y$ to $\underline{y}$ is an analytic embedding.
(2) Let $y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}, q\right) \in \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n+1}\left(\right.$ or, $\left.\in \widetilde{\Gamma}_{n+1}\right)$. Then the point $\tilde{y} \in$ $\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n}\left(\right.$ or,$\left.\in \widetilde{\Gamma}_{n}\right)$, where

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\tilde{y}=\left(\frac{n}{n+1} y_{1}, \ldots, \frac{n+1-j}{n+1} y_{j}, \ldots, \frac{\frac{n}{2}+2}{n+1} y_{\frac{n}{2}-1}, \frac{\frac{n}{2}+1}{2(n+1)}\left(y_{\frac{n}{2}}+y_{\frac{n}{2}+1}\right),\right. \\
\\
\underbrace{\frac{\frac{n}{2}+2}{n+1} y_{\frac{n}{2}+2}}_{\left(\frac{n}{2}+1\right)-t h}, \ldots, \frac{n+1-j}{n+1} y_{n+1-j}, \ldots, \frac{n}{n+1} y_{n}, q) .
\end{array}
$$

The map $g: \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n+1} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n}$ that maps $y$ to $\tilde{y}$ is analytic.
(3) Let $y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}, q\right) \in \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n+1}\left(\right.$ or, $\left.\in \widetilde{\Gamma}_{n+1}\right)$. Then the point

$$
\hat{y}=\left(\frac{y_{1}}{\binom{n+1}{1}}, \ldots, \frac{y_{j}}{\binom{n+1}{j}}, \ldots, \frac{y_{n}}{\binom{n+1}{1}}, q\right) \in \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n+1}\left(o r, \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_{n+1}\right)
$$

and the point

$$
\hat{y}_{\sharp}=(\hat{y}_{1}, \ldots, \hat{y}_{\frac{n}{2}-1}, \underbrace{\frac{\hat{y}_{\frac{n}{2}}+\hat{y}_{\frac{n}{2}+1}}{2}}_{\frac{n}{2}-t h}, \hat{y}_{\frac{n}{2}+2}, \ldots, \hat{y}_{n}, q) \in \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n}\left(\text { or }, \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_{n}\right),
$$

where $\hat{y}_{j}=\frac{y_{j}}{\binom{n+1}{j}}$ for each $j$. Also the function $h: \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n+1} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n}$ that maps $y$ to $\hat{y}_{\sharp}$ is analytic.

Proof.
(1). Since $y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-1}, q\right) \in \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n}$, there exists a unique $\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{n-1}\right) \in$ $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ such that

$$
y_{j}=\beta_{j}+\bar{\beta}_{n-j} q, \quad y_{n-j}=\beta_{n-j}+\bar{\beta}_{j} q \quad \text { and } \quad\left|\beta_{j}\right|+\left|\beta_{n-j}\right|<\binom{n}{j},
$$

for each $j=1, \ldots, \frac{n}{2}$. Note that $y_{\frac{n}{2}}=\beta_{\frac{n}{2}}+\bar{\beta}_{\frac{n}{2}} q$. Consider the given point $\underline{y}=\left(\underline{y}_{1}, \ldots, \underline{y}_{n}, \underline{q}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$. Then $\underline{q}=q$,

$$
\underline{y}_{j}=y_{j} \quad \text { and } \quad \underline{y}_{n+1-j}=y_{n-j} \quad \text { for } j=1, \ldots, \frac{n}{2} .
$$

Define $\gamma_{j}=\beta_{j}$ and $\gamma_{n+1-j}=\beta_{n-j}$ for $j=1, \ldots, \frac{n}{2}$. Then,

$$
\gamma_{1}=\beta_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{\frac{n}{2}}=\beta_{\frac{n}{2}}, \gamma_{\frac{n}{2}+1}=\beta_{\frac{n}{2}}, \gamma_{\frac{n}{2}+2}=\beta_{\frac{n}{2}+1}, \ldots, \gamma_{n}=\beta_{n-1} .
$$

Evidently,

$$
\left|\gamma_{j}\right|+\left|\gamma_{n+1-j}\right|=\left|\beta_{j}\right|+\left|\beta_{n-j}\right|<\binom{n}{j} \leq\binom{ n+1}{j}, \quad \text { for } j=1, \ldots, \frac{n}{2}
$$

For $j=1, \ldots, \frac{n}{2}$, we have

$$
\gamma_{j}+\bar{\gamma}_{n+1-j} \underline{q}=y_{j}=\underline{y}_{j} \quad \text { and } \quad \gamma_{n+1-j}+\bar{\gamma}_{j} \underline{q}=y_{n-j}=\underline{y}_{n+1-j} .
$$

Hence, there exists $\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$ such that $\underline{y}_{j}=\gamma_{j}+\bar{\gamma}_{n+1-j} q, \underline{y}_{n+1-j}=\gamma_{n+1-j}+\bar{\gamma}_{j} q$ and $\left|\gamma_{j}\right|+\left|\gamma_{n+1-j}\right|<\binom{n+1}{j}$, for each $j=1, \ldots,\left[\frac{n+1}{2}\right]$. Hence $y \in \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n+1}$. The map $f$ is clearly an analytic embedding of $\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n}$ into $\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n+1}$.
(2) Let $y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}, q\right) \in \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n+1}$. Then, there exists $\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$ such that
$y_{j}=\beta_{j}+\bar{\beta}_{n+1-j} q, \quad y_{n+1-j}=\beta_{n+1-j}+\bar{\beta}_{j} q$ and $\left|\beta_{j}\right|+\left|\beta_{n+1-j}\right|<\binom{n+1}{j}$,
for $j=1, \ldots, n$. Consider the point $\tilde{y}=\left(\tilde{y}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{y}_{n-1}, \tilde{q}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$, where $\tilde{q}=q, \tilde{y}_{\frac{n}{2}}=\frac{\frac{n}{2}+1}{2(n+1)}\left(y_{\frac{n}{2}}+y_{\frac{n}{2}+1}\right)$ and

$$
\tilde{y}_{j}=\frac{n+1-j}{n+1} y_{j}, \quad \tilde{y}_{n-j}=\frac{n+1-j}{n+1} y_{n+1-j} \quad \text { for } j=1, \ldots, \frac{n}{2}-1 \text {. }
$$

Define $\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{n-1}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ as follows

$$
\begin{gathered}
\gamma_{j}=\frac{n+1-j}{n+1} \beta_{j}, \quad \gamma_{n-j}=\frac{n+1-j}{n+1} \beta_{n+1-j} \quad \text { for } j=1, \ldots, \frac{n}{2}-1 \\
\quad \text { and } \quad \gamma_{\frac{n}{2}}=\frac{n+1-\frac{n}{2}}{2(n+1)}\left(\beta_{\frac{n}{2}}+\beta_{\frac{n}{2}+1}\right)=\frac{n+2}{4(n+1)}\left(\beta_{\frac{n}{2}}+\beta_{\frac{n}{2}+1}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then for $j=1, \ldots, \frac{n}{2}-1$, we have
$\left|\gamma_{j}\right|+\left|\gamma_{n-j}\right|=\frac{n+1-j}{n+1}\left(\left|\beta_{j}\right|+\left|\beta_{n+1-j}\right|\right)<\frac{n+1-j}{n+1}\binom{n+1}{j}=\binom{n}{j}$
and $\quad 2\left|\gamma_{\frac{n}{2}}\right| \leq \frac{n+2}{2(n+1)}\left(\left|\beta_{\frac{n}{2}}\right|+\left|\beta_{\frac{n}{2}+1}\right|\right)<\frac{n+1-\frac{n}{2}}{(n+1)}\binom{n+1}{\frac{n}{2}}=\binom{n}{\frac{n}{2}}$.
Therefore, $\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{n-1}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ where $\left|\gamma_{j}\right|+\left|\gamma_{n-j}\right|<\binom{n}{j}$, for all $j=1, \ldots, \frac{n}{2}$. Also

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{y}_{j} & =\frac{n+1-j}{n+1} y_{j}=\frac{n+1-j}{n+1}\left(\beta_{j}+\bar{\beta}_{n+1-j} q\right)=\gamma_{j}+\bar{\gamma}_{n-j} \tilde{q}, \\
\tilde{y}_{n-j} & =\frac{n+1-j}{n+1} y_{n+1-j}=\frac{n+1-j}{n+1}\left(\beta_{n+1-j}+\bar{\beta}_{j} q\right)=\gamma_{n-j}+\bar{\gamma}_{j} \tilde{q},
\end{aligned}
$$

for $j=1, \ldots, \frac{n}{2}-1$. Since $y_{\frac{n}{2}}=\beta_{\frac{n}{2}}+\bar{\beta}_{\frac{n}{2}+1} q$ and $y_{\frac{n}{2}+1}=\beta_{\frac{n}{2}+1}+\bar{\beta}_{\frac{n}{2}} q$, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{y}_{\frac{n}{2}} & =\frac{n+2}{4(n+1)}\left(y_{\frac{n}{2}}+y_{\frac{n}{2}+1}\right) \\
& =\frac{n+2}{4(n+1)}\left[\left(\beta_{\frac{n}{2}}+\beta_{\frac{n}{2}+1}\right)+\left(\bar{\beta}_{\frac{n}{2}}+\bar{\beta}_{\frac{n}{2}+1}\right) q\right] \\
& =\gamma_{\frac{n}{2}}+\bar{\gamma}_{\frac{n}{2}} \tilde{q} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, there exists $\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{n-1}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ such that

$$
\tilde{y}_{j}=\gamma_{j}+\bar{\gamma}_{n-j} \tilde{q}, \quad \tilde{y}_{n-j}=\gamma_{n-j}+\bar{\gamma}_{j} \tilde{q} \quad \text { and } \quad\left|\gamma_{j}\right|+\left|\gamma_{n-j}\right|<\binom{n}{j},
$$

for all $j=1, \ldots, \frac{n}{2}$. Hence $\tilde{y} \in \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n}$. The map $g$ is obviously analytic.
(3) Let $y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}, q\right) \in \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n+1}$. Then there exists $\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$ such that
$y_{j}=\beta_{j}+\bar{\beta}_{n+1-j} q, \quad y_{n+1-j}=\beta_{n+1-j}+\bar{\beta}_{j} q$ and $\left|\beta_{j}\right|+\left|\beta_{n+1-j}\right|<\binom{n+1}{j}$,
for $j=1, \ldots, n$. Consider the point $\hat{y}=\left(\hat{y}_{1}, \ldots, \hat{y}_{n}, \hat{q}\right)$, where $\hat{q}=q$, and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\hat{y}_{j}=\frac{y_{j}}{\binom{n+1}{j}} \text { for all } j=1, \ldots, n \text {. Let } \alpha_{j}=\frac{\beta_{j}}{\binom{n+1}{j}} \text { for } j=1, \ldots, n \text {. Then } \\
\qquad\left|\alpha_{j}\right|+\left|\alpha_{n+1-j}\right|=\frac{\left|\beta_{j}\right|+\left|\beta_{n+1-j}\right|}{\binom{n+1}{j}}<1 \leq\binom{ n+1}{j} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Also we have

$$
\hat{y}_{j}=\frac{y_{j}}{\binom{n+1}{j}}=\alpha_{j}+\bar{\alpha}_{n+1-j} q, \quad \hat{y}_{n+1-j}=\frac{y_{n+1-j}}{\binom{n+1}{j}}=\alpha_{n+1-j}+\bar{\alpha}_{j} q,
$$

for $j=1, \ldots, n$. Thus, $\hat{y} \in \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n+1}$. Next define $\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{n-1}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ in the following way:

$$
\gamma_{\frac{n}{2}}=\frac{\left(\alpha_{\frac{n}{2}}+\alpha_{\frac{n}{2}+1}\right)}{2}, \quad \gamma_{j}=\alpha_{j} \quad \text { and } \quad \gamma_{n-j}=\alpha_{n+1-j}
$$

for $j=1, \ldots, \frac{n}{2}-1$. Then, we have

$$
2\left|\gamma_{\frac{n}{2}}\right| \leq\left|\beta_{\frac{n}{2}}\right|+\left|\beta_{\frac{n}{2}+1}\right|<1 \leq\binom{ n}{\frac{n}{2}}
$$

and $\quad\left|\gamma_{j}\right|+\left|\gamma_{n-j}\right|=\left|\alpha_{j}\right|+\left|\alpha_{n+1-j}\right|<1 \leq\binom{ n}{j} \quad$ for $j=1, \ldots, \frac{n}{2}-1$.
Therefore, $\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{n-1}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ where $\left|\gamma_{j}\right|+\left|\gamma_{n-j}\right|<\binom{n}{j}$, for all $j=1, \ldots, \frac{n}{2}$. Note that,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{y}_{j} & =\gamma_{j}+\bar{\gamma}_{n-j} q \\
\hat{y}_{n-j} & =\gamma_{n-j}+\bar{\gamma}_{j} q \quad \text { for } j=1, \ldots, \frac{n}{2}-1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\hat{y}_{\frac{n}{2}}=\alpha_{\frac{n}{2}}+\bar{\alpha}_{\frac{n}{2}+1} q$ and $\hat{y}_{\frac{n}{2}+1}=\alpha_{\frac{n}{2}+1}+\bar{\alpha}_{\frac{n}{2}} q$, we also have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\hat{y}_{\frac{n}{2}}+\hat{y}_{\frac{n}{2}+1}}{2} & =\frac{\left(\alpha_{\frac{n}{2}}+\alpha_{\frac{n}{2}+1}\right)}{2}+\frac{\left(\bar{\alpha}_{\frac{n}{2}}+\bar{\alpha}_{\frac{n}{2}+1}\right)}{2} q \\
& =\gamma_{\frac{n}{2}}+\bar{\gamma}_{\frac{n}{2}} q .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, the point

$$
\hat{y}_{\sharp}=\left(\hat{y}_{1}, \ldots, \hat{y}_{\frac{n}{2}-1}, \frac{\hat{y}_{\frac{n}{2}}+\hat{y}_{\frac{n}{2}+1}}{2}, \hat{y}_{\frac{n}{2}+2}, \ldots, \hat{y}_{n}, q\right) \in \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n} .
$$

Clearly, the map $h$ is analytic.
Theorem 5.4. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be odd.
(1) Let the point $y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-1}, q\right) \in \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n}\left(\right.$ or, $\left.\in \widetilde{\Gamma}_{n}\right)$. Then the point

$$
\underline{y}=(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]}, \frac{y_{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]}+y_{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]+1}}{2}, \underbrace{y_{2}^{\frac{n}{2}+1}}_{\left(\frac{n}{2}+2\right)-t h}, \ldots, y_{n-1}, q) \in \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n+1}\left(o r, \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_{n+1}\right)
$$

and the map $f: \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n+1}$ that maps $y$ to $\underline{y}$ is analytic.
(2) Let $y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}, q\right) \in \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n+1}$ (or, $\left.\in \widetilde{\Gamma}_{n+1}\right)$. Then the point $\tilde{y} \in$ $\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n}\left(\right.$ or,$\left.\in \widetilde{\Gamma}_{n}\right)$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{y}=\left(\frac{n}{n+1} y_{1}, \ldots, \frac{n+1-j}{n+1} y_{j}, \ldots, \frac{n+3}{2(n+1)} y_{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]},\right. \\
&\underbrace{\frac{n+3}{2(n+1)} y_{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]+2}}_{\left(\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]+1\right)-t h}, \ldots, \frac{n+1-j}{n+1} y_{n+1-j}, \ldots, \frac{n}{n+1} y_{n}, q) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The map $g: \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n+1} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n}$ that maps $y$ to $\tilde{y}$ is an analytic embedding.
(3) Let $y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}, q\right) \in \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n+1}\left(\right.$ or, $\left.\in \widetilde{\Gamma}_{n+1}\right)$. Then the point

$$
\hat{y}=\left(\frac{y_{1}}{\binom{n+1}{1}}, \ldots, \frac{y_{j}}{\binom{n+1}{j}}, \ldots, \frac{y_{n}}{\binom{n+1}{1}}, q\right) \in \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n+1}\left(o r, \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_{n+1}\right)
$$

and the point

$$
\hat{y}_{\sharp}=(\hat{y}_{1}, \ldots, \hat{y}_{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]-1}, \underbrace{\hat{y}_{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]}}_{\frac{n}{2}-t h}, \hat{y}_{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]+2}, \ldots, \hat{y}_{n}, q) \in \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n}\left(o r, \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_{n}\right),
$$

where $\hat{y}_{j}=\frac{y_{j}}{\binom{n+1}{j}}$. The map $h: \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n+1} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_{n}$ that maps $y$ to $\hat{y}_{\sharp}$ is an analytic embedding.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 5.3.
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