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Abstract. For a finite group $G$, let $K(G)$ denote the field generated over $\mathbb{Q}$ by its character values. For $n > 24$, G. R. Robinson and J. G. Thompson [6] proved that

$$K(A_n) = \mathbb{Q} \left( \left\{ \sqrt{p^*} : p \leq n \text{ an odd prime with } p \not= n - 2 \right\} \right),$$

where $p^* := (-1)^{1-p} p$. Confirming a speculation of Thompson [7], we show that arbitrary suitable multiquadratic fields are similarly generated by the values of $A_n$-characters restricted to elements whose orders are only divisible by ramified primes. To be more precise, we say that a $\pi$-number is a positive integer whose prime factors belong to a set of odd primes $\pi := \{p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_t\}$. Let $K_\pi(A_n)$ be the field generated by the values of $A_n$-characters for even permutations whose orders are $\pi$-numbers. If $t \geq 2$, then we determine a constant $N_\pi$ with the property that for all $n > N_\pi$, we have

$$K_\pi(A_n) = \mathbb{Q} \left( \sqrt{p_1^*}, \sqrt{p_2^*}, \ldots, \sqrt{p_t^*} \right).$$

1. Introduction and Statement of Results

If $G$ is a finite group, then let $K(G)$ denote the field generated over $\mathbb{Q}$ by all of the $G$-character values. In stark contrast to the case of symmetric groups, where $K(S_n) = \mathbb{Q}$, G. R. Robinson and J. G. Thompson [6] proved for alternating groups that the $K(A_n)$ are generally large multiquadratic extensions. In particular, for $n > 24$, they proved that

$$K(A_n) = \mathbb{Q} \left( \left\{ \sqrt{m^*} : p \leq n \text{ an odd prime with } p \not= n - 2 \right\} \right),$$

where $m^* := (-1)^{1-m} m$ for any odd integer $m$.

In a letter [7] to the second author in 1994, Thompson asked for a refinement of this result that mirrors the Kronecker-Weber Theorem and the theory of complex multiplication, where abelian extensions are generated by the values of $e^{2t\pi i x}$ and modular functions respectively, at arguments that determine the ramified primes. Instead of employing special analytic functions at designated arguments, which is the gist of Hilbert’s 12th Problem [4], Thompson offered the characters of $A_n$ evaluated at elements whose orders are only divisible by ramified primes.

To formulate this problem, we let $\pi := \{p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_t\}$ denote a set of $t \geq 2$ distinct odd primes listed in increasing order. A $\pi$-number is a positive integer whose prime factors belong to $\pi$. The speculation is that $K_\pi(A_n)$, the field generated by the values of $A_n$-characters restricted to elements $\sigma \in A_n$ with $\pi$-number order, generally generates $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p_1^*}, \sqrt{p_2^*}, \ldots, \sqrt{p_t^*})$. However, an inspection of the character tables for the first few $A_n$ casts doubt on this speculation. For example, one easily finds that

$$\mathbb{Q} = K_{\{3,5\}}(A_{22}) = K_{\{5,7\}}(A_{1738}) = K_{\{7,11\}}(A_{159557}).$$
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1The phenomenon cannot hold when $t = 1$ for any $n \not= 0, 1 \ (\text{mod } p)$. 
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by checking that the $A_n$-character values for even permutations with $\pi$-number order are in $\mathbb{Z}$.

Despite these discouraging examples, Thompson’s speculation is indeed true for sufficiently large $A_n$. We let $\Omega_\pi := p_t^{(p_t - 1)^2}$, and in turn we define

$$N^+_\pi := p_t + 2^{22\Omega_\pi}.$$  \hfill (1.1)

The formula for $N^+_\pi$ provides a bound for this phenomenon. It can generally be improved when $t \geq 3$. To make this precise, we let $\Omega_\pi := p_t^{4(t^2 - 1)}$ and in turn we define

$$N^+ \pi := p_t + \frac{2^5}{\log_2 n}.$$  \hfill (1.2)

We obtain the following confirmation of Thompson’s speculation in terms of the bound $N_\pi := \min\{N^+_\pi, N^-\}$. 

**Theorem 1.1.** Assuming the notation above, if $n > N_\pi$, then 

$$K_\pi(A_n) = \mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{p_1}, \sqrt{p_2}, \ldots, \sqrt{p_t}\right).$$

**Remark.** The proof of Theorem 1.1 uses the fact that $n - p_i$, for each $1 \leq i \leq t$, is the sum of distinct squares of $\pi$-numbers provided $n > N_\pi$. These Diophantine conditions guarantee that $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p_i}) \subset K_\pi(A_n)$. However, these conditions are not necessary for these inclusions.

**Remark.** Although Theorem 1.1 requires that $t \geq 2$, it is simple to generate $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})$ for an odd prime $p$ using permutations with cycle lengths that are $\pi$-numbers with $\pi = \{p, q\}$, where $q \neq p$ is an odd prime. As mentioned above, if $n > N_\pi$, then $n - p$ is a sum of distinct squares of $\pi$-numbers. Then $K_\pi(A_n)$, the field generated by the values of the $A_n$-characters restricted to permutations with a single cycle of length $p$ and other cycle lengths of such odd squares, satisfies $K_\pi(A_n) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})$.

To prove Theorem 1.1 we follow the straightforward approach of Robinson and Thompson in [6]. In Section 2.1, we recall standard facts about the characters of $A_n$. Theorem 2.1 is the key device for relating cycle types to the surds $\sqrt{p}$. Then, in Section 2.2, we recall a classical result of J. W. Cassels [2] on partitions. We also recall recent work by J.-H. Fang and Y.-G. Chen [3] on a problem of Erdős which implies that every large positive integer is the sum of distinct squares of $\pi$-numbers when $\pi = \{p_1, p_2\}$. Theorem 1.1 follows immediately from these results.
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2. Nuts and Bolts and the proof of Theorem

2.1. Character theory for $A_n$. It is well known that the representation theory of $S_n$ and $A_n$ can be completely described using the partitions of $n$. In particular, a permutation $\sigma \in S_n$ has a cycle type that can be viewed as a partition of $n$, say $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_k)$. The cycle type determines the conjugacy class of the permutation, and so the irreducible representations of $S_n$ can be indexed by (and constructed from) the partitions of $n$. It is also well known that the only conjugacy classes which split in $A_n$ are those corresponding to partitions into distinct odd parts. There is a bijection between the set of partitions $\lambda$ of $n$ into distinct odd parts and the set of self-conjugate partitions $\gamma$ of $n$ which is realized by identifying the parts of each $\gamma$ with the main hook lengths of some $\gamma$. Theorem 2.5.13 of [5] characterizes those $A_n$-character values that are not $\mathbb{Z}$-integral.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let $\sigma \in A_n$ be a permutation with cycle type given by a partition $\lambda := (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_k)$ of $n$, and let $d_\lambda := \prod_{i=1}^k \lambda_i$.

1. If $\lambda$ does not have distinct odd parts, then the $A_n$-character values of $\sigma$ are all in $\mathbb{Z}$.
2. If $\lambda$ has distinct odd parts, then let $\gamma$ be the self-conjugate partition of $n$ with main hook lengths $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_k$, and let $\chi_\gamma$ be the $A_n$-character associated to $\gamma$. We have that
   \[ \chi_\gamma(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2} \left( (-1)^{d_\lambda^{-1}} \pm \sqrt{d_\lambda^*} \right), \]
   where $d_\lambda^* := (-1)^{d_\lambda^{-1}} \prod_{i=1}^k \lambda_i$. Moreover, every $A_n$-character $\chi$ which is not algebraically conjugate to $\chi_\gamma$ has $\chi(\sigma) \in \mathbb{Z}$.

2.2. Some facts about partitions into distinct parts. In 1959, Birch [1] proved a conjecture of Erdős on representations of sufficiently large integers by sums of distinct numbers of the form $p^a q^b$, where $p, q$ are coprime and $a, b$ are positive integers. In 2017, this result was quantified by Fang and Chen (see Theorem 1.1 of [3]).

**Theorem 2.2** (Fang-Chen). For any coprime integers $p, q > 1$, there exist positive integers $K$ and $B$ with
   \[ K < 2^{2p^2}, \quad B < 2^{2p^2} \]
such that every integer $n \geq B$ can be expressed as the sum of distinct terms taken from
   \[ \{ p^a q^b \mid a \geq 0, \quad 0 \leq b \leq K, \quad a + b > 0, \quad a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \}. \]

Motivated by Birch’s earlier work, Cassels (see Theorem 1 of [2]) studied the problem of representing sufficiently large integers as sums of distinct elements from suitable integer sequences. A careful inspection of his paper gives the following theorem, which was proved using the “circle method”.

**Theorem 2.3** (Cassels). Suppose that $\mathbb{N}_T = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots\}$ is a subset of positive integers in increasing order. Let $S_T(n)$ denote the number of integers in $\mathbb{N}_T$ not exceeding $n$. Choose $B_T \geq 2^{240}$ to be a positive real number for which
   \[ S_T(2n) - S_T(n) > 2^{20} \log_2 \log_2 n \]
for all $n \geq B_T$, and choose $C_T \geq B_T$ to be a positive real number for which
   \[ \sum_{s=1}^{S_T(C_T)} \|a_s \theta\|^2 > 2 \log_2 B_T + 50 \]
for all \( \frac{1}{4B_T} \leq \theta \leq 1 - \frac{1}{4B_T} \). If such \( B_T \) and \( C_T \) exist, then every positive integer \( n > \max \left\{ C_T^{10}, 2^{2x^{600}} \right\} \) is expressible as a sum of distinct elements of \( \mathbb{N}_T \).

2.3. Proof of Theorem 1.1 Let \( \pi := \{p_1, \ldots, p_t\} \) be a set of distinct odd primes in increasing order. For \( n > N_\pi \), we will establish, for each \( 1 \leq i \leq t \), that \( n - p_i \) is a sum of distinct squares of \( \pi \)-numbers. These representations imply that \( n = p_i + M_i \), where \( M_i \) is a sum of distinct squares of \( \pi \)-numbers. Theorem 2.1 then implies the theorem.

By Theorem 2.2 for each pair \( 1 \leq i < j \leq t \), we have that every integer \( n \geq N_{i,j} := 2^{4p_i^2} \), where \( \Omega_\pi(i, j) := p_i^{4p_i^2} \), is a sum of distinct terms taken from the set \( \left\{ p_i^{2a} p_j^{2b} : a, b \geq 0, a + b > 0, a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \right\} \).

Obviously, the maximum bound occurs with \( p_{t-1} \) and \( p_t \), and so the theorem follows for \( n > N_\pi^+ \).

Finally, Cassels’ result, where \( \mathbb{N}_T \) denotes the set of squares of \( \pi \)-numbers, guarantees that Theorem 1.1 holds for all \( n > N_\pi^- \).
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