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Abstract. A conceptual proof of the result of Bożejko on extension of positive definite
kernels is given.

1. Introduction

Let \( \mathbb{C} \) be the field of complex numbers. In this note, by positive definite, we mean
non-negative definite. Recall that a map \( K : \Sigma \times \Sigma \to \mathbb{C} \) is called a positive definite
kernel, if for each \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), each choice of elements \( \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_k \in \Sigma \), the square-matrix
\[
[K(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)]_{1 \leq i, j \leq k}
\]
is positive definite.

A beautiful result of Bożejko [1] on the extension of positive definite kernels on union
of two sets is as follows. Let \( K_i : \Sigma_i \times \Sigma_i \to \mathbb{C} \) be a kernel on a set \( \Sigma_i \) \((i = 1, 2)\). Assume
that the intersection \( \Sigma_1 \cap \Sigma_2 = \{x_0\} \) is a singleton and \( K_1(x_0, x_0) = K_2(x_0, x_0) = 1 \). The
Markov product of \( K_1 \) and \( K_2 \), denoted by \( K_1 \ast_{x_0} K_2 \), is a kernel on the union \( \Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2 \),
defined by

- \( [K_1 \ast_{x_0} K_2]_{\Sigma_i \times \Sigma_i} = K_i(i = 1, 2) \);
- (Markov property) For \( \sigma_i \in \Sigma_i (i = 1, 2) \),
  \[
  [K_1 \ast_{x_0} K_2](\sigma_1, \sigma_2) = K_1(\sigma_1, x_0)K_2(x_0, \sigma_2)
  \]

and

\[
[K_1 \ast_{x_0} K_2](\sigma_2, \sigma_1) = [K_1 \ast_{x_0} K_2](\sigma_1, \sigma_2).
\]

Theorem 1.1 (Bożejko [1, Theorem 4.1]). Let \( \Sigma_1, \Sigma_2 \) be two sets such that the intersection
\( \Sigma_1 \cap \Sigma_2 = \{x_0\} \) is a singleton. Let \( K_1, K_2 \) be two positive definite kernels on \( \Sigma_1, \Sigma_2 \)
respectively. Assume that

\[ K_i(\sigma_i, \sigma_i) = 1 \quad \text{for all } \sigma_i \in \Sigma_i (i = 1, 2). \]

Then the Markov product \( K_1 \ast_{x_0} K_2 \) of \( K_1 \) and \( K_2 \) is also a positive definite kernel.

A slight improvement of Theorem 1.1 is the following

Theorem 1.2. Let \( \Sigma_1, \Sigma_2 \) be two sets such that the intersection \( \Sigma_1 \cap \Sigma_2 = \{x_0\} \) is a
singleton. Let \( K_1, K_2 \) be two positive definite kernels on \( \Sigma_1, \Sigma_2 \) respectively. Assume that

\[ K_1(x_0, x_0) = K_2(x_0, x_0) = 1. \]

Then the Markov product \( K_1 \ast_{x_0} K_2 \) of \( K_1 \) and \( K_2 \) is also a positive definite kernel.
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The main purpose of this note is to give a conceptual proof of Theorem 1.2. More precisely, using basic results on Gaussian processes, under the assumptions of Theorem 1.2, we will construct a family of random variables \((Y_\sigma)_{\sigma \in \Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2}\), not necessarily Gaussian, such that

\[
[K_1 * x_0, K_2](\sigma, \tau) = \mathbb{E}(Y_\sigma Y_{\tau}), \quad \forall \sigma, \tau \in \Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2.
\]

The above representation of the kernel \(K_1 * x_0 K_2\) clearly implies that it is positive definite.

2. Conceptual proof of Theorem 1.2

The following elementary lemmas will be our main ingredients.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let \(A\) be a positive definite \(n \times n\) matrix. Then for a row vector \(\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^n\), the matrix

\[
T(\alpha) = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & \alpha \\
\alpha^* & A
\end{bmatrix}
\]

is positive definite if and only if \(A - \alpha^* \alpha\) is positive definite.

**Proof.** Let \(I_n\) denote the \(n \times n\) identity matrix. Clearly, \(T(\alpha)\) is positive definite if and only if

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 \\
-\alpha^* & I_n
\end{bmatrix} \cdot T(\alpha) \cdot \begin{bmatrix}
1 & -\alpha \\
0 & I_n
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 \\
0 & A - \alpha^* \alpha
\end{bmatrix}
\]

is positive definite. Therefore, \(T(\alpha)\) is positive definite if and only if \(A - \alpha^* \alpha\) is positive definite. \(\square\)

**Lemma 2.2.** Let \(K\) be a positive definite kernel on a finite set \(S\). Assume that there exists \(s_0 \in S\) such that \(K(s_0, s_0) = 1\). Then there exists a Gaussian process \((X_s)_{s \in S \setminus \{s_0\}}\) such that, by setting \(X_{s_0} \equiv 1\), we have

\[
(2.1) \quad K(s, t) = \mathbb{E}(X_s \overline{X_t}), \quad \forall s, t \in S.
\]

**Proof.** Let \(\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^{S \setminus \{s_0\}}\) be the row vector defined by \(\alpha(t) = K(s_0, t)\) for all \(t \in S \setminus \{s_0\}\) and let \(A \in \mathbb{C}^{(S \setminus \{s_0\}) \times (S \setminus \{s_0\})}\) be the square matrix defined by \(A(s, t) = K(s, t)\) for all \(s, t \in S \setminus \{s_0\}\). By Lemma 2.1 and the assumption that \(K\) is positive definite (and thus \(K(s_0, s)^* = K(s, s_0)\)), the following matrix

\[
A - \alpha^* \alpha = \begin{bmatrix}
K(s, t) - K(s, s_0)K(s_0, t) \\
\end{bmatrix}_{s, t \in S \setminus \{s_0\}}
\]

is positive definite. As a consequence, there exists a Gaussian process \((X_s)_{s \in S \setminus \{s_0\}}\) such that

\[
(2.2) \quad \begin{cases}
\text{Cov}(X_s, X_t) = K(s, t) - K(s, s_0)K(s_0, t), & \forall s, t \in S \setminus \{s_0\} \\
\mathbb{E}(X_s) = K(s, s_0), & \forall s \in S \setminus \{s_0\}
\end{cases}
\]

Set \(X_{s_0} \equiv 1\), then (2.1) is equivalent to (2.2). This completes the proof of the lemma. \(\square\)

**Conclusion of the proof of Theorem 1.2.** Without loss of generality, we may assume that both \(\Sigma_1\) and \(\Sigma_2\) are finite sets. By Lemma 2.2, we can construct two Gaussian processes \((X^{(i)}_{x_0})_{\sigma_i \in \Sigma_i \setminus \{x_0\}}\) for \(i = 1, 2\) such that, by setting \(X^{(1)}_{x_0} = X^{(2)}_{x_0} \equiv 1\), for any \(i = 1, 2\), we have

\[
K_i(\sigma_i, \sigma'_i) = \mathbb{E}(X^{(i)}_{\sigma_i} X^{(i)}_{\sigma'_i}), \quad \forall \sigma_i, \sigma'_i \in \Sigma_i \setminus \{x_0\}.
\]
Clearly, we may assume that the two Gaussian processes \((X_{\sigma_1}^{(1)})_{\sigma_1 \in \Sigma_1 \setminus \{x_0\}}\) and \((X_{\sigma_2}^{(2)})_{\sigma_2 \in \Sigma_2 \setminus \{x_0\}}\) are independent. Therefore, since \(X_{x_0}^{(1)} = X_{x_0}^{(2)} \equiv 1\), the two families of random variables \((X_{\sigma_1}^{(1)})_{\sigma_1 \in \Sigma_1}\) and \((X_{\sigma_2}^{(2)})_{\sigma_2 \in \Sigma_2}\) are independent. Let \((Y_{\sigma})_{\sigma \in \Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2}\) be the family of random variables defined by
\[
Y_{\sigma} = \begin{cases} 
X_{\sigma_1}^{(1)} & \text{if } \sigma \in \Sigma_1 \\
X_{\sigma_2}^{(2)} & \text{if } \sigma \in \Sigma_2 \end{cases}.
\]

Note that this family \((Y_{\sigma})_{\sigma \in \Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2}\) is indeed well-defined since we set \(X_{\sigma}^{(1)} = X_{\sigma}^{(2)} \equiv 1\) for \(\sigma \in \Sigma_1 \cap \Sigma_2 = \{x_0\}\).

Now by the definition of \((Y_{\sigma})_{\sigma \in \Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2}\), it is immediate to check directly that

- If \(\sigma, \sigma' \in \Sigma_i\), then \(\mathbb{E}(Y_{\sigma}Y_{\sigma'}) = K_i(\sigma, \sigma')\).
- If \(\sigma_1 \in \Sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \Sigma_2\), then by the independence between \(X_{\sigma_1}^{(1)}\) and \(X_{\sigma_2}^{(2)}\) and by the second equality in (2.2) (applied to \(\Sigma_1\) and \(\Sigma_2\) respectively), we have
  \[
  \mathbb{E}(Y_{\sigma_1}Y_{\sigma_2}) = \mathbb{E}(X_{\sigma_1}^{(1)}X_{\sigma_2}^{(2)}) = \mathbb{E}(X_{\sigma_1}^{(1)})\mathbb{E}(X_{\sigma_2}^{(2)})
  = K_1(\sigma_1, x_0)K_2(\sigma_2, x_0) = K_1(\sigma_1, x_0)K_2(x_0, \sigma_2).
  \]

Moreover, \(\mathbb{E}(Y_{\sigma_2}Y_{\sigma_1}) = \mathbb{E}(Y_{\sigma_1}Y_{\sigma_2})\).

That is, recalling the definition of the Markov product \(K_1 *_{x_0} K_2\) of the kernel \(K_1\) and \(K_2\), we obtain
\[
[K_1 *_{x_0} K_2](\sigma, \tau) = \mathbb{E}(Y_{\sigma}Y_{\tau}), \quad \forall \sigma, \tau \in \Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2.
\]

The equality (2.3) implies clearly that the Markov product \(K_1 *_{x_0} K_2\) is positive definite and this completes the whole proof of Theorem 1.2. \(\square\)
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