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Abstract

We study the moduli space of logarithmic connections of rank 2 on \(\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{t_1, \ldots, t_5\}\) with fixed spectral data. The aim of this paper is to compute the cohomology of such space, and this computation will be used to extend the results of Geometric Langlands Correspondence due to D. Arinkin to the case where this type of connections have five simple poles on \(\mathbb{P}^1\).

1 Introduction

In this paper, we study the moduli space of logarithmic connections of rank 2 on \(\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{t_1, \ldots, t_n\}\) with fixed spectral data. Such moduli space has been studied from various point of views. For example, these moduli spaces occur as spaces of initial conditions for Garnier systems ([5]). Our interest in this subject comes from its relation with Geometric Langlands Correspondence. In [1], D. Arinkin proved this correspondence in special case by using the geometry of the moduli space of such connections on \(\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{t_1, \ldots, t_4\}\). If \(n \geq 5\), this moduli space is not studied in detail, for its dimension is \(2(n - 3)\) which is larger than 4. In this work, by using a canonical coordinates introduced by apparent singularities, we reduce the problems to that of the geometry of surfaces (see [2,4]).

Logarithmic connection.

Fix points \(t_1, \ldots, t_n \in \mathbb{P}^1 (t_i \neq t_j)\), and set \(D = t_1 + \cdots + t_n\). We consider pairs \((E, \nabla)\) where \(E\) is a rank 2 vector bundle on \(\mathbb{P}^1\) and \(\nabla : E \to E \otimes \Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}^1}(D)\) a connection having simple poles supported by \(D\). At each pole, we have two residual eigenvalues \(\{\nu_i^+, \nu_i^-\}\) of \(\nabla\) for each \(i = 1, \ldots, n\); they satisfy Fuchs relation \(d + \sum_i (\nu_i^+ + \nu_i^-) = 0\), where \(d := \deg(E)\). Moreover, we can naturally introduce parabolic structures \(l = \{l_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}\) such that \(l_i\) is a one-dimensional
subspace of $E_{t_i}$ which corresponds to an eigenspace of the residue of $\nabla$ at $t_i$ with the eigenvalue $\nu_i^\pm$. Note that, when $\nu_i^+ \neq \nu_i^-$, the parabolic structure $l$ is determined by the connection $(E, \nabla)$. Fixing spectral data $\nu = (\nu_i^\pm)$ with integral sum $-d$, by introducing the weight $w$ for stability, one can construct the moduli space $M^w(t, \nu, d)$ of $w$-stable $\nu$-parabolic connections $(E, \nabla, l)$ of degree $d$ by Geometric Invariant Theory, and the moduli space $M^w(t, \nu, d)$ turns to be a smooth irreducible quasi-projective variety of dimension $2(n-3)$ (see [5] for detail).

We note that, when $\sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i^\epsilon_i \notin \mathbb{Z}$, for any choice $(\epsilon_i) \in \{+, -\}^n$, every parabolic connection $(E, \nabla, l)$ is irreducible, and thus stable for any weight $w$; the moduli space $M^w(t, \nu, d)$ does not depend on the choice of weights $w$ in that case.

These moduli spaces occur as spaces of initial conditions for Garnier systems, the case $n = 4$ corresponding to the Painlevé VI equation. Such differential equations are nothing but isomonodromic deformations for linear connections. By suitable transformations, we may normalize $\nu$ as

$$\begin{cases} 
\nu_i^+ = \pm \nu_i & (i = 1, \ldots, n-1) \\
\nu_n^+ = d - \nu_n \\
\nu_n^- = \nu_n,
\end{cases}$$

for some $(\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Denote by $\mathcal{M}(d)$ the moduli stack of $\nu$-$sl_2$-parabolic connections of degree $d$ and by $\bar{M}(d)$ its coarse moduli space. By the above normalization, we have a natural isomorphism $M(d) \simeq M^w(t, \nu, d)$ (see [3]). Moreover, $M(d)$ has a natural compactification $\bar{M}(d)$ which is the moduli space of $\lambda$-$\nu$-parabolic connections $(E, \nabla, \lambda, \lambda \in \mathbb{C})$ over $\mathbb{P}^1$. (Note that the moduli space $M(d)$ is noting but the moduli space of $(\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_n)$-bundles on $\mathbb{P}^1$ treated in [2] and [9], and $\bar{M}(d)$ is the moduli space of $\epsilon$-bundles on $\mathbb{P}^1$ in [1]).

**Main Results.**

**Theorem 1.1.** Let $\mathcal{M}(d)$ be the moduli stack of $\nu$-$sl_2$-parabolic connections of degree $d$. Then we have

$$H^i(\mathcal{M}(d), \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}(d)}) = \begin{cases} 
\mathbb{C}, & i = 0, \\
0, & i > 0.
\end{cases}$$
2 Preliminaries

2.1 \( sl_2 \)-connections.

We introduce \( sl_2 \)-connections.

Fix complex numbers \( \nu_1, \ldots, \nu_n \in \mathbb{C} \). Suppose that \( \nu_1 \cdots \nu_n \neq 0 \) and

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \epsilon_i \nu_i \notin \mathbb{Z}
\]

for any \((\epsilon_i) \in \{+, -\}^n \).

**Definition 2.1.** A \( \nu \)-\( sl_2 \)-parabolic connection on \( \mathbb{P}^1 \) is a triplet \((E, \nabla, \varphi)\) such that

1. \( E \) is a rank 2 vector bundle of degree \( d \) on \( \mathbb{P}^1 \),
2. \( \nabla : E \to E \otimes \Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}^1}(D) \) is a connection, where \( D := t_1 + \cdots + t_n \),
3. \( \varphi : \wedge^2 E \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d) \) is a horizontal isomorphism,
4. the residue \( \text{res}_{t_i}(\nabla) \) of the connection \( \nabla \) at \( t_i \) has eigenvalues \( \nu_i^\pm \) for each \( i \) \((1 \leq i \leq n)\).

We call \( \nu = (\nu_i^\pm)_{1 \leq i \leq n} \) local exponents.

There exists a one dimensional subspace \( l_i \subset E_{t_i} \) on which \( \text{res}_{t_i}(\nabla) \) acts by multiplication by \( \nu_i^+ \). For generic \( \nu \), the parabolic direction \( l_i \) is nothing but the eigenspace for \( \text{res}_{t_i}(\nabla) \) with respect to \( \nu_i^+ \) so that the parabolic data \( l = \{l_i\} \) is uniquely determined by the connection \((E, \nabla, \varphi)\) itself.

In this paper, it is enough to consider the case where \( d = -1 \). By suitable transformations, we may put

\[
\nu_i^+ := \pm \nu_i \ (i = 1, \ldots, n - 1), \quad \nu_n^+ := 1 - \nu_n, \quad \nu_n^- := \nu_n.
\]

Denote by \( \mathcal{M}(d) \) the moduli stack of \( \nu \)-\( sl_2 \)-parabolic connections on \( \mathbb{P}^1 \), and by \( M(d) \) its coarse moduli space. This moduli space is a smooth, irreducible quasi-projective algebraic variety of dimension \( 2(n - 3) \) (\cite[Theorem 2.1]{Arinkin1}). Recall that \( \mathcal{M}(d) \) has a natural compactification \( \overline{\mathcal{M}(d)} \) which is the moduli stack of \( \lambda \)-\( \nu \)-parabolic connections \((E, \nabla_\lambda, \varphi, \lambda \in \mathbb{C})\) over \( \mathbb{P}^1 \). (Note that in \cite{Arinkin1}, \( \lambda \)-\( \nu \)-parabolic connections are called as an \( \epsilon \)-bundles.) Then under the conditions that \((E, \nabla, \varphi)\) is irreducible, Arinkin showed that the moduli stack \( \overline{\mathcal{M}(d)} \) is a complete smooth Deligne-Mumford stack \cite[Theorem 1]{Arinkin1}. Moreover, he also showed that the \( \lambda = 0 \) locus \( \mathcal{M}(d)_H \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}(d)} \), which is
the moduli stack of parabolic Higgs bundles, is also a smooth algebraic stack. On the other hands, as remarked in the proof of [11 Proposition 7], the coarse moduli space \( \overline{M(d)} \) corresponding to \( \overline{M(d)} \) is not smooth: it has quotient singularities. As for the possible smooth compactification by \( \phi \)-parabolic connections, one may refer [5].

2.2 Lower and upper modifications.

In this subsection, following [9, §2], we describe the lower and the upper modifications. Let \( E \) be an algebraic vector bundle on \( \mathbb{P}^1 \) of rank 2 and of degree \( d \). Fix a point \( t \in \mathbb{P}^1 \). Let \( l \subset E_t \) be a one-dimensional subspace.

**Definition 2.2.** We call \((t,l)_{\text{low}}(E) := \{ s \in E \mid s(t) \in l \}\) the lower and \((t,l)_{\text{up}}(E) := (t,l)_{\text{low}}(E) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(t)\) the upper modifications of \( E \), respectively.

The lower and the upper modifications provide the following exact sequences

\[
0 \to (t,l)_{\text{low}}(E) \to E \to E_t/l \to 0,
\]

\[
0 \to E \to (t,l)_{\text{up}}(E) \to l \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(t) \to 0,
\]

respectively. In other words, we change our bundle rescaling the basis of sections in the neighborhood of a point \( t \) as follows: given a local decomposition \( V = l \oplus l' \) of \( E \simeq V \otimes \mathcal{O} \), we put the local basis \( \{ s_1(z), s_2(z) \} \) with \( l \otimes \mathcal{O} \simeq \langle s_1(z) \rangle \) and \( l' \otimes \mathcal{O} \simeq \langle s_2(z) \rangle \). Then the basis of the lower modification \((t,l)_{\text{low}}\) of the bundle is generated by the sections \( \{ s_1(z), (z-t)s_2(z) \} \), and of the upper one \((t,l)_{\text{up}}\) by \( \{(z-t)^{-1}s_1(z), s_2(z)\} \). Consequently, in the punctured neighborhood, we may represent the action of the modifications by the following gluing matrices

\[
(t,l)_{\text{low}} = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 \\
0 & (z-t)
\end{pmatrix}, \quad (t,l)_{\text{up}} = \begin{pmatrix}
(z-t)^{-1} & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

For the parabolic bundle \((E,l)\), we recall the geometrical properties of these modifications. Denote by \( \mathbb{P}(E,l) \) the projectivization of the parabolic bundle \((E,l)\). It consists of the projective bundle \( \mathbb{P}E \) together with a parabolic point \( l_i \) in the fiber \( F \) of each \( t_i \). In this situation, the lower and the upper modifications of \( E \) are birational transformations of the total space \( \text{tot}(\mathbb{P}E) \): these are the blowing-ups of the point \( l_i \in \mathbb{P}E \) followed by the contraction of the total transform \( \tilde{F} \) of the fiber \( F \). The point resulting from this contraction gives the new parabolic direction \( l_i' \). We recall their properties in the following proposition:
Proposition 2.3. Let \((E, \mathcal{L})\) be a parabolic bundle over \((\mathbb{P}^1, t = \{t_i\})\). Then the parabolic bundle \((E', \mathcal{L}') = (t_i, l_i)^{\text{low}}(E)\) satisfies the following properties:

1. \(\det(E', \mathcal{L}') = \det(E, \mathcal{L}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-t_i).\)

2. If \(L \subset E\) is a line subbundle passing by \(l_i\), its image by \((t_i, l_i)^{\text{low}}\) is a subbundle \(L' \simeq L \otimes (t_i, l_i)^{\text{low}}(E)\) not passing by \(l'_i\).

3. If \(L \subset E\) is a line subbundle not passing by \(l_i\), its image by \((t_i, l_i)^{\text{low}}\) is a subbundle \(L' \simeq L \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-t_i) \otimes (t_i, l_i)^{\text{low}}(E)\) passing by \(l'_i\).

For the upper modification, the parabolic bundle \((E'', \mathcal{L}'') = (t_i, l_i)^{\text{up}}(E)\) satisfies:

4. \(\det(E'', \mathcal{L}'') = \det(E, \mathcal{L}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(t_i).\)

5. If \(L \subset E\) is a line subbundle passing by \(l_i\), its image by \((t_i, l_i)^{\text{up}}\) is a subbundle \(L' \simeq L \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(t_i) \otimes (t_i, l_i)^{\text{up}}(E)\) not passing by \(l''_i\).

6. If \(L \subset E\) is a line subbundle not passing by \(l_i\), its image by \((t_i, l_i)^{\text{up}}\) is a subbundle \(L' \simeq L \otimes (t_i, l_i)^{\text{up}}(E)\) passing by \(l''_i\).

For a \(\nu \cdot \mathfrak{sl}_2\)-parabolic connection \((E, \nabla, \varphi)\), the lower modification of \(E\) gives the new connection \(\nabla'\) which is deduced from the action of \(\nabla\) on the subsheaf \((t_i, l_i)^{\text{low}}(E) \subset E\), and, over \(t_i\), local exponents are changed by

\[(\nu^+_i, \nu^-_i)' = (\nu^-_i + 1, \nu^+_i)\] (and other \(\nu^+_j\) are left unchanged for \(j \neq i\)).

The lower modification gives us a morphism of moduli spaces \(M(d) \to M(d-1)\). The upper modification defines the inverse map, and therefore, we have \(M(d) \simeq M(d-1)\).

2.3 Hirzebruch surfaces and the blowing-ups.

For describing the moduli space \(M(-1)\), we introduce some blowing-ups of the Hirzebruch surface \(\mathbb{F}_{n-2}\). Put \(L := \Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}^1}(D)\). We consider the surface \(\mathbb{F}_{n-2}\) as the total space of \(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus L)\). Denote by \(s_\infty\) the section defined by \(L\). \(\mathbb{F}_{n-2} \setminus s_\infty\) is naturally identified with the total space of \(L\). In particular, the affine part of the fiber \(F_i\) over \(t_i\) has the natural chart \(\text{res}_{t_i} : F_i \setminus s_\infty \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}\) given by the residue of sections of \(L\). We define two points \(\hat{\nu}^\pm_i \in F_i\) by \(\text{res}_{t_i}(\hat{\nu}^\pm_i) = \nu^\pm_i\).

Denote by \(\widetilde{\mathbb{F}}_{n-2} := \text{Bl}_{\hat{\nu}^\pm_i} \mathbb{F}_{n-2}\) the blowing-up of \(\mathbb{F}_{n-2}\) at \(\hat{\nu}^\pm_i\) for each \(i = 1, \ldots, n\) and denote by \(\tilde{s}_\infty, \tilde{F}_i\) the strict transforms. Set

\[\mathcal{K}'_n := \widetilde{\mathbb{F}}_{n-2} \setminus (\tilde{s}_\infty \cup \tilde{F}_1 \cup \cdots \cup \tilde{F}_n).\]

We denote by \(\mathcal{K}_n\) the image of \(\mathcal{K}'_n\) under the projection \(\mathcal{K}'_n \to \mathbb{F}_{n-2} \setminus s_\infty\).
2.4 Apparent singularities and the dual parameters.

Let \((E, \nabla, \varphi) \in M(-1)\). We can define the apparent singularities of \((E, \nabla, \varphi) \in M(-1)\) as follows: we fix a section \(s \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, E)\). For the section \(s\), we define the following composition

\[
\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \xrightarrow{s} E \xrightarrow{\nabla} E \otimes L \rightarrow (E/\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}) \otimes L.
\]

The composition \(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \rightarrow (E/\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}) \otimes L\) is an \(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}\)-morphism, which is injective. Then we can define a subsheaf \(F^0 \subset E\) such that \(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \rightarrow (F^0/\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}) \otimes L\) is an isomorphism. By the isomorphism \(F^0/\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \simeq L^{-1}\), we have \(F^0 \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus L^{-1}\).

Therefore, we have the following exact sequence

\[
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus L^{-1} \rightarrow E \rightarrow T_A \rightarrow 0
\]

where \(T_A\) is a torsion sheaf. By the Riemann-Roch theorem, we have that the torsion sheaf \(T_A\) is length \(n-3\).

**Definition 2.4.** For \((E, \nabla, \varphi) \in M(-1)\) and a nonzero section \(s \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, E)\), we call the support of \(T_A\) apparent singularities of a \(\mathfrak{g}\)-\(\mathfrak{l}_2\)-parabolic connection with a cyclic vector \((E, \nabla, \varphi, [s])\).

Now, we consider the following stratification of \(M(-1)\). By the irreducibility of \((E, \nabla, \varphi) \in M(-1)\), we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.5.** For \((E, \nabla, \varphi) \in M(-1)\), we have

\[
E \simeq \mathcal{O}(k) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-k-1) \text{ where } 0 \leq k \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n-3}{2} \right\rfloor.
\]

Denote by \(M(-1)^k\) the subvariety of \(M(-1)\) where \(E \simeq \mathcal{O}(k) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-k-1)\). Then

\[
M(-1) = M(-1)^0 \cup \cdots \cup M(-1)^{(n-3)/2}.
\]

Note that the stratum \(M(-1)^0\) is a Zariski open dense of \(M(-1)\).

For \((E, \nabla, \varphi) \in M(-1)^0\), we define dual parameters as follows: put \(U_0 := \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{\infty\}, U_\infty := \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0\}\). Let \(z\) and \(w\) be the coordinates on \(U_0\) and \(U_\infty\), respectively. Put

\[
\omega_z := \frac{dz}{\prod_{i=1}^n (z - t_i)} \quad \text{and} \quad R_0 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{z} \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Since \(E \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)\), we can denote the connection \(\nabla\) by

\[
\nabla = \begin{cases} d + A^0_z \otimes \omega_z & \text{on } U_0 \\
abla + R^{-1}_0 \cdot (A^0_z \otimes \omega_z) R_0 & \text{on } U_\infty \end{cases}
\]

where \(A^0_z := \begin{pmatrix} f^{(n-2)}_{11}(z) & f^{(n-1)}_{12}(z) \\ f^{(n-3)}_{21}(z) & -f^{(n-2)}_{11}(z) \end{pmatrix}\).
Note that the zeros of the polynomial \( f^{(n-3)}_2(z) \) are the apparent singularities of \((E, \nabla, \varphi)\). We denote by \( \{ q_1, \ldots, q_{n-3} \} \) the apparent singularities. We put \( p_i := f^{(n-2)}_1(q_i) \in L_{q_i} \). We call \( \{ p_1, \ldots, p_{n-3} \} \) the dual parameters of \((E, \nabla, \varphi) \in M(-1)^0\).

### 3 Geometric description of \( M(-1)^0 \)

Let \( \mathcal{K}_n' \) be the Zariski open set of the blowing-up of Hirzebruch surface of degree \( n-2 \) defined in the subsection 2.3, and \( \mathcal{K}_n \) be the contraction \( \mathcal{K}_n' \to \mathcal{K}_n \). Then we can define the following map

\[
M(-1)^0 \to \text{Sym}^{n-3}(\mathcal{K}_n)
\]

\[
(E, \nabla, \varphi) \mapsto \{(q_1, p_1), \ldots, (q_{n-3}, p_{n-3})\},
\]

which was constructed in \([8, \S 3]\). We consider the composite of the Hilbert-Chow morphism and the blowing-up

\[
\text{Hilb}^{n-3}(\mathcal{K}_n') \to \text{Sym}^{n-3}(\mathcal{K}_n') \to \text{Sym}^{n-3}(\mathcal{K}_n),
\]

where \( \mathcal{K}_n' \to \mathcal{K}_n \) is the blowing-up defined in the subsection 2.3. We have the next proposition.

**Proposition 3.1** (\([8\] Theorem 5.2). We can extend the map (1) to

\[
M(-1)^0 \to \text{Hilb}^{n-3}(\mathcal{K}_n')
\]

and this map is injective.

Suppose \( n = 5 \). We denote by \( Z \subset \text{Sym}^2(\mathcal{K}_5') \) the proper pre-image of \( \{(q_1, p_1), (q_1, -p_1)\} \subset \text{Sym}^2(\mathcal{K}_5') \) under the blowing-up \( \text{Sym}^2(\mathcal{K}_5') \to \text{Sym}^2(\mathcal{K}_5) \), and by \( \tilde{Z} \subset \text{Hilb}^2(\mathcal{K}_5') \) the proper pre-image of \( Z \) under the Hilbert-Chow morphism \( \text{Hilb}^2(\mathcal{K}_5') \to \text{Sym}^2(\mathcal{K}_5') \). Denote by

\[
\text{Hilb}^2(\mathcal{K}_5') \to \text{Hilb}^2(\mathcal{K}_5')
\]

the blowing-up along \( \tilde{Z} \) and by \( \hat{Z} \) the strict transform of \( \tilde{Z} \). We also denote by \( (\mathcal{K}_5' \times \mathcal{K}_5')^\sim \) the blowing-up of \( \mathcal{K}_5' \times \mathcal{K}_5' \) along the ideal \( (q_1 - q_2, p_1 - p_2) \), and by \( (\mathcal{K}_5' \times \mathcal{K}_5')^\approx \) the blowing-up of \( \mathcal{K}_5' \times \mathcal{K}_5' \) along the ideal \( (q_1 - q_2, p_1 + p_2) \). Then \( \text{Hilb}^2(\mathcal{K}_5') = (\mathcal{K}_5' \times \mathcal{K}_5')^\sim / \mathcal{G}_2 \), and \( \text{Hilb}^2(\mathcal{K}_5') = (\mathcal{K}_5' \times \mathcal{K}_5')^\approx / \mathcal{G}_2 \).

Now, using above description, we define another important blowing-up of Hirzebruch surface \( \mathbb{F}_3 \). Fix \( q_1 \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{ t_1, \ldots, t_5 \} \) and define the fiber \( F_6 \) over
We denote by \((\mathbb{F}_3)^\approx\) the blowing-up of \(\tilde{\mathbb{F}}_3\) at two points \(\{(q_1, p_1), (q_1, -p_1)\}\) (when \(p_1 = p_2 = 0\), blow up twice at \((q_1, 0)\)). Set

\[
K'_{5,q_1} := (\mathbb{F}_3)^\approx \setminus (\tilde{s}_\infty \cup \tilde{F}_1 \cup \cdots \cup \tilde{F}_6)
\]

where \(\tilde{F}_6\) is the strict transform of \(F_6\). We denote by \(K_{5,q_1}\) the image of \(K'_{5,q_1}\) under the projection \(K'_{5,q_1} \to \mathbb{F}_3 \setminus s_\infty\).

## 4 Geometric description of \(K'_{5,q}\)

In this section, for the sake of simplicity, we write \(K'_{5,q}\) for \(K'_{5,q_1}\).

**Proposition 4.1.** Let \(\mathcal{F}\) be any quasi-coherent sheaf on \(K'_{5,q}\). Then \(H^i(\mathcal{K}_{5,q}, \mathcal{F}) = 0\) for \(i \geq 2\).

**Proof.** Let \(Q\) be a projective line doubled at the six points \(\{t_1, \ldots, t_5, q\}\). We can define a natural projection \(K'_{5,q} \to Q\). Moreover, this map is an affine bundle, thus it is an affine morphism. \(\square\)

Set \(D_q := 2\tilde{s}_\infty + \tilde{F}_1 + \cdots + \tilde{F}_6\). Then

\[
(D_q, D_q) = (D_q, \tilde{s}_\infty) = (D_q, \tilde{F}_1) = 0.
\] (3)

We also have \(K := K_{(\mathbb{F}_3)^\approx} = -2\tilde{s}_\infty - 5\tilde{F}_1\). By the Riemann-Roch theorem, we have

\[
\chi(\mathcal{O}_{D_q}) = -\frac{D_q(D_q + K)}{2} = 0.
\]

This implies the following statement.

**Proposition 4.2.** Let \(\mathcal{E}\) be a locally free sheaf on \(D_q\). Then

\[
\chi(\mathcal{E}) = 2 \deg(\mathcal{E}|_{\tilde{s}_\infty}) + \sum_{i=1}^{6} \deg(\mathcal{E}|_{\tilde{F}_i}).
\]

**Proof.** It follows from the Riemann-Roch theorem for an embedded curve (cf. \[3\] Chapter 2, Theorem 3.1). \(\square\)

**Lemma 4.3.** Let \(\mathcal{E}\) be a nontrivial invertible sheaf on \(D_q\) such that \(\deg(\mathcal{E}|_{\tilde{s}_\infty}) = 0\), and either \(\deg(\mathcal{E}|_{\tilde{F}_i}) = 0\) for all \(i\), or one of the numbers \(\deg(\mathcal{E}|_{\tilde{F}_i}) = -1\), another one is 1, and the remaining three equal zero. Then \(H^k(D_q, \mathcal{E}) = 0\) for all \(k\).
Proof. By Proposition 4.2, we have $\chi(E) = 0$. Therefore, it is enough to prove that $H^0(D_q, E) = 0$.

Assume the converse. Let $f \in H^0(D_q, E)$, $f \neq 0$. Now $\chi(E) = \chi(O_{D_q})$, and $E \not\cong O_{D_q}$, so $f$ is zero on one of the irreducible components of $D_q$. We take $\tilde{F}_i$ for this component.

We may assume that $\deg(E|_{\tilde{F}_i}) \leq 0$ for $i \neq 1$. The closed subscheme $D'_q : = \tilde{s}_\infty + \sum_{i \neq 1} \tilde{F}_i \subset D_q$ is reduced and connected. Besides, $E|_{D'_q}$ has nonpositive degree on any irreducible component of $D'_q$. Therefore, either $f|_{D'_q} = 0$, or $f|_{D'_q}$ has no zero.

In the second case, $f|_C \neq 0$, where $C \subset D_q$ is any irreducible component. Therefore, $f \in \ker(H^0(D_q, E) \to H^0(D_q, E))$. In other words, $f \in H^0(D_q, E \otimes I_{D_q})$, where $I_{D_q} := \{ \tilde{f} \in O_{D_q} \mid \tilde{f}|_{D'_q} = 0 \}$ is the sheaf of ideals of $D'_q$.

We have $I_{D_q} = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{F}_i) = (-D_q)/\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{F}_i)$, and $\text{supp} I_{D_q} = \tilde{s}_\infty + \tilde{F}_1$. Hence, $\deg(I_{D_q}|_{\tilde{F}_i}) = \deg(O(\mathbb{F}_i)) = 1$. Therefore, $\deg(E \otimes I_{D_q}) = \deg(E|_{\tilde{F}_i}) - 1 \leq 0$. In the same way, $\deg(E \otimes I_{D_q}) = \deg(E|_{\tilde{F}_i}) = -1$. Since $E \otimes I_{D_q}$ is an invertible sheaf on the connected reduced scheme $\tilde{s}_\infty + \tilde{F}_1$, this implies $f \in H^0(D_q, E \otimes I_{D_q}) = 0$.

Set $\text{Pic}^0(D_q) := \{ E \in \text{Pic}(D_q) \mid \deg(E|_{\tilde{s}_\infty}) = 0, \ \deg(E|_{\tilde{F}_i}) = 0 \ \text{for all } i \}$.

**Proposition 4.4.**

$\text{Pic}^0(D_q) \simeq \mathbb{A}^2$.

**Proof.** Set $D^\text{red}_q := \tilde{s}_\infty + \sum_{i=1}^{6} \tilde{F}_i \subset D_q$. Then $\text{Pic}^0(D_q) = \ker(\text{Pic}(D_q) \to \text{Pic}(D^\text{red}_q))$. Set $\mathcal{O}' := \ker(\mathcal{O}_{D_q} \to \mathcal{O}_{D_q}^*)$. Then the exact sequence $0 \to \mathcal{O}' \to \mathcal{O}_{D_q} \to \mathcal{O}_{D_q}^* \to 1$ defines an isomorphism $H^1(D_q, \mathcal{O}') \simeq \text{Pic}^0(D_q)$.

However, $\mathcal{O}'$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{s}_\infty}$-module which satisfies $\deg(\mathcal{O}') = -(\tilde{s}_\infty, D^\text{red}_q) = -3$. Hence $\text{Pic}^0(D_q)$ is a 2-dimensional $\mathbb{C}$-space.

**Proposition 4.5.**

$$H^i(D_q^\text{red}, \mathcal{O}_{D_q^\text{red}}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}, & i = 0, \\ 0, & i > 0. \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** By the Riemann-Roch theorem, we have

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_{D_q^\text{red}}) = -\frac{D^\text{red}_q(D^\text{red}_q + K)}{2} = 1.$$ 

Since $D^\text{red}_q$ is a complex projective curve, the statement is proved.

**Lemma 4.6.** $K'_{s,q}$ contains no projective curve.
Proof. Assume that $K_{5,q}'$ contains a projective curve $C$. Denote by $C'$ the image of $C$ under the blowing-down $K_{5,q}' \to K_{5,q}$. Then $C' \sim ds_0 + kF$ where $d,k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $s_0$ a 0-section of $F_3$ and $F$ a generic fiber. Since $C' \cap s_{\infty} = \emptyset$, we have $0 = C'.s_{\infty} = (ds_0 + kF).s_{\infty} = k$.

Now let $f(x,y)$ be a local equation for $C'$ on some local chart. Then we can write $f(x,y) = y^d + a_1(x)y^{d-1} + \cdots + a_d(x)$, where deg $a_i(x) = 3i$. Suppose $C'$ passes through $(t_i, \hat{v}_i^\pm)$ (resp. $(q, p_1)$) with multiplicity $d_i$ (resp. $d_q$). Since we put $\hat{v}_i^\pm = \Pi_{i \neq t_i} (t_i - t_j) \nu_i^\pm$, where

$$\nu_i^\pm := \pm \nu_i \ (i = 1, \ldots, 4), \ \nu_5^+ := 1 - \nu_5, \ \nu_5^- := \nu_5,$$

by Vieta’s formula, $a_1(x)$ satisfies $a_1(t_i) = (d - 2d_i)\nu_i^\pm$ for $i = 1, \ldots, 4$ and $a_1(q) = (d - 2d_q)p$. This implies $a_1(x) \equiv 0$. However, then $0 = d_5(1 - \nu_5) + (d - d_5)\nu_5$ and we can choose $\nu_5 \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $d \notin \mathbb{Z}$. This contradicts that $d$ is integer. \qed

**Lemma 4.7.** The sheaf $N_{D_q} := O_{(F_3)^e}(D_q)|_{D_q}$ is not trivial.

**Proof.** Assume the converse. Let $\sigma \in H^0(D_q, N_{D_q})$ be a global section of $N_{D_q}$ with no zeros. Since $(F_3)^e$ is a smooth rational projective variety, $H^1((F_3)^e, O_{(F_3)^e}) = 0$, and therefore $\sigma \in H^0(D_q, N_{D_q}) = H^0((F_3)^e, O_{(F_3)^e}(D_q)/O_{(F_3)^e})$ can be lifted to $s \in H^0((F_3)^e, O_{(F_3)^e}(D_q))$. Then $(s)$ is an effective divisor equivalent to $D_q$, and supp$(s) \subset K_{5,q}'$. This contradicts Lemma 4.6. \qed

**Proposition 4.8.** $H^i(D_q, (N_{D_q})^{\otimes k}) = 0$ for $k \neq 0$.

**Proof.** By (3), we have $N_{D_q} \in \text{Pic}^0(D_q)$. Lemma 4.7 and Proposition 4.4 imply $(N_{D_q})^{\otimes k} \not\cong O_{D_q}$ for $k \neq 0$. Lemma 4.3 completes the proof. \qed

**Corollary 4.9.**

$$H^i(K_{5,q}', O_{K_{5,q}'}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}, & i = 0, \\ 0, & i > 0. \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** By Proposition 4.8, we have $H^i((F_3)^e, O_{(F_3)^e}(kD_q)/O_{(F_3)^e}) = 0$ for $k \neq 0$. Hence $H^i(K_{5,q}', O_{K_{5,q}'}) = H^i((F_3)^e, O_{(F_3)^e})$. The statement follows from the rationality of $(F_3)^e$. \qed

**Special case :** $q_1 \in \{t_1, \ldots, t_3\}$

For the sake of simplicity, we may assume that $q_1 = t_1$. Then, $(q_1, p_1)$ lies on one of the two exceptional curves $E_1^\pm$ at $(t_1, \hat{v}_1^\pm)$. Suppose that $(q_1, p_1)$ is on $E_1^+$. We consider the blowing-up of $F_3$ at two points $\{(q_1, p_1), (q_1, -p_1)\}$. We denote by $\tilde{E}_1^+$ the strict transform of $E_1^+$. 
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In this situation, set
\[ K_{5,q_1} := \text{Bl}_{\{(q_1,p_1),(q_1,-p_1)\}} \tilde{F}_3 \setminus (\tilde{s}_\infty \cup \tilde{F}_1 \cup \cdots \cup \tilde{F}_5 \cup \tilde{E}_1^+) \].

From now on, we will show that the same result as Corollary 4.9. Instead of considering \( K_{5,q_1} \), we will consider the following surface:
\[ L := \tilde{F}_3 \setminus (\tilde{s}_\infty \cup \tilde{F}_1 \cup \cdots \cup \tilde{F}_5 \cup E_1^+) \].

**Proposition 4.10.**
\[ H^i(L, \mathcal{O}_L) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}, & i = 0, \\ 0, & i > 0. \end{cases} \]

**Proof.** In \( \tilde{F}_3 \), we have that \( E_1^+ \) is \((-1)\)-curve, and hence we contract this curve. Then \( \tilde{F}_1 \) becomes \((-1)\)-curve, and we also contract this curve. As a result, we have the blowing-ups of \( F_2 \) at 8 points, and we have to compute the cohomology of the surface
\[ L' := \text{Bl}_{\text{8 pts}} F_2 \setminus (\tilde{s}_\infty \cup \tilde{F}_2 \cup \cdots \cup \tilde{F}_5). \]
This is the same situation as [2, Theorem 2 (iii)], and the statement is proved. \( \square \)

The difference between \( K_{5,q_1} \) and \( L \) is that, adding points \( \{(q_1,p_1),(q_1,-p_1)\} \), blowing-up these points, and removing the corresponding points. These operations do not change the cohomology \( H^*(\mathcal{O}) \).

**5 Proof of Theorem 1.1**

Since \( D_{\text{red}} := \tilde{s}_\infty + \tilde{F}_1 + \cdots + \tilde{F}_5 \subset \tilde{F}_3 \) is contractible, we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.1.** \( H^i(K_5, \mathcal{O}_{K_5}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}, & i = 0, \\ H^2_m(A) \neq 0, & i = 1, \\ 0, & i \geq 2, \end{cases} \)
where \((A,m)\) is a local ring such that \( \dim(A_m) = 2 \).

**Proof.** Let \( \pi : \tilde{F}_3 \rightarrow S \) be a map onto a rational surface \( S \) which contracts the divisor \( D_{\text{red}} \subset \tilde{F}_3 \) to the rational singular point \( \{p\} \subset S \). Set \( U := S \setminus \{p\} \).
Then we have the long exact sequence

\[
\begin{align*}
0 \to H^0_p(S, \mathcal{O}_S) &\to H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S) \to H^0(U, \mathcal{O}_U) \\
&\to H^1_p(S, \mathcal{O}_S) \to H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S) \to H^1(U, \mathcal{O}_U) \\
&\to H^2_p(S, \mathcal{O}_S) \to H^2(S, \mathcal{O}_S) \to H^2(U, \mathcal{O}_U) \\
&\to H^3_p(S, \mathcal{O}_S) \to 0.
\end{align*}
\]

By excision isomorphism, we have \( H^i_p(S, \mathcal{O}_S) = H^i_p(V, \mathcal{O}_V) \) where \( V = \text{Spec}(A) \) and \( \{p\} \) corresponds to the maximal ideal \( \mathfrak{m} \) of \( A \). Since \( V \) is affine, this cohomology equals to \( H^i_m(A) \). Now it is easy to see that \( \dim(A_{\mathfrak{m}}) = \text{depth}_{\mathfrak{m}}(A) = 2 \). Therefore we have \( H^i_m(A) = 0 \) for \( i \neq 2 \), and \( H^2(U, \mathcal{O}_U) \cong H^2_m(A) \neq 0 \) (see for example [4] p.217 exercise 3.4(b)).

**Lemma 5.2.** \( H^i((\mathbb{F}_3)\hat{\pi}, \mathcal{O}_{(\mathbb{F}_3)\hat{\pi}}(-D_{q_1}^{red})) = 0, \ i \geq 0. \)

**Proof.** Consider the exact sequence

\[
0 \to \mathcal{O}_{(\mathbb{F}_3)\hat{\pi}}(-D_{q_1}^{red}) \to \mathcal{O}_{(\mathbb{F}_3)\hat{\pi}} \to \mathcal{O}_{(\mathbb{F}_3)\hat{\pi}}/\mathcal{O}_{(\mathbb{F}_3)\hat{\pi}}(-D_{q_1}^{red}) \to 0.
\]

By Proposition 4.5 and the fact that \((\mathbb{F}_3)\hat{\pi}\) is a smooth rational projective surface, the natural map

\[
H^i((\mathbb{F}_3)\hat{\pi}, \mathcal{O}_{(\mathbb{F}_3)\hat{\pi}}) \to H^i((\mathbb{F}_3)\hat{\pi}, \mathcal{O}_{(\mathbb{F}_3)\hat{\pi}}/\mathcal{O}_{(\mathbb{F}_3)\hat{\pi}}(-D_{q_1}^{red})) = H^i(D_{q_1}^{red}, \mathcal{O}_{D_{q_1}^{red}})
\]

is bijective. Therefore, the statement is obvious. \( \square \)

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** We may assume that \( d = -1 \). Set \( \hat{M}(-1)_{Z} := M(-1)^{0} \cup \hat{Z} \). By Proposition 3.1, we have injective maps \( \iota : M(-1)^{0} \to \text{Hilb}^2(K_{5}') \) and \( \hat{i} : \hat{M}(-1)_{Z} \to \text{Hilb}^2(K_{5}') \). We define the blowing-up parameter \( \lambda_{-} \) as \( p_1 + p_2 = \lambda_{-}(q_1 - q_2) \).

Set \( T := \text{Hilb}^2(K_{5}') \setminus \hat{M}(-1)_{Z} \). For a vector bundle \( \mathcal{F} \) on \( \text{Hilb}^2(K_{5}') \),

\[
H^i(\hat{M}(-1)_{Z}, \mathcal{F}|_{\hat{M}(-1)_{Z}}) = H^i(\text{Hilb}^2(K_{5}'), \hat{i}_{*}i^{*}\mathcal{F}) = \lim H^i(\text{Hilb}^2(K_{5}'), \mathcal{F}(kT)).
\]

To compute \( H^i(\text{Hilb}^2(K_{5}'), \mathcal{F}(kT)) \), consider \( H^i((K_{5}' \times K_{5}')\hat{\pi}, \mathcal{F}(kT')) \), where \( T' \) is defined by \( \lambda_{-} = \infty \). We can define a map

\[
f : (K_{5}' \times K_{5}')\hat{\pi} \setminus T' \to K_{5}'
\]

\[
(q_1, p_1, q_2, p_2) \mapsto (q_1, p_1),
\]
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and the fiber \( f^{-1}(\{(q_1, p_1)\}) \simeq \mathcal{K}'_{5,q_1} \). By Leray’s spectral sequence, we have

\[
H^i((\mathcal{K}'_5 \times \mathcal{K}'_5)^\sim \setminus T', \mathcal{F}) \simeq \bigoplus_{p+q=i} H^p(\mathcal{K}'_5, R^q f_* \mathcal{F}).
\]

Using the Base change theorem, we have \((R^q f_* \mathcal{F})(q_1, p_1) \simeq H^q(\mathcal{K}'_{5,q_1}, \mathcal{F}(q_1, p_1))\).

Hence, the Theorem 1.1 (1) follows from Corollary 4.9 and Lemma 5.1 as follows: we have

\[
H^i((\mathcal{K}'_5 \times \mathcal{K}'_5)^\sim \setminus T', \mathcal{O}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}, & i = 0, \\ H^2_m(A) \neq 0, & i = 1, \\ 0, & i > 1. \end{cases}
\]

Moreover, the action of \( \mathfrak{S}_2 \) on \( H^1((\mathcal{K}'_5 \times \mathcal{K}'_5)^\sim \setminus T', \mathcal{O}) \) is nontrivial. Therefore,

\[
H^i(\widetilde{M}(-1)_Z, \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{M}(-1)_Z}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}, & i = 0, \\ 0, & i > 0. \end{cases}
\]

Since \( \text{codim}_{\text{Hilb}^2(\mathcal{K}'_5)}(\widetilde{Z}) = 2 \), and \( M(-1)^i = M(-1) \setminus M(-1)^0 \simeq \mathbb{A}^2 \) (see [9]), we have

\[
H^i(\widetilde{M}(-1)_Z, \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{M}(-1)_Z}) = H^i(M(-1)^0 \cup \widetilde{Z}, \mathcal{O})
= H^i(M(-1)^0, \mathcal{O}_{M(-1)^0})
= H^i(M(-1), \mathcal{O}_{M(-1)}).
\]

\[\square\]
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