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Abstract. We prove that for all fixed \( p > 2 \), the translative packing density of unit \( \ell_p \)-balls in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) is at most \( 2^{(\gamma_p + o(1))n} \) with \( \gamma_p < -1/p \). This is the first exponential improvement in high dimensions since van der Corput and Schaake (1936).

1. Introduction

The sphere packing problem asks for the densest packing of non-overlapping unit balls in \( \mathbb{R}^n \). This is an old and difficult problem whose exact solution is only known in dimensions 1, 2, 3, 8, and 24. The problem is already non-trivial in two dimensions (see [6] for a short proof). The three-dimensional sphere packing problem is known as Kepler’s conjecture, and it was solved by Hales [7] via a monumental computer-assisted proof. The problem in eight-dimensions was recently resolved by Viazovska [20] in a stunning breakthrough, and the method was then quickly extended to solve the problem in twenty-four dimensions [3]. Dimensions 8 and 24 are special due to the existence of highly dense and symmetric lattices known as the \( E_8 \) lattice (dimension 8) and the Leech lattice (dimension 24). See the survey [2] and its references for background and recent developments.

In this paper, we study translative packings of \( \ell_p \)-balls in high dimensions. Denote the \( \ell_p \)-balls with radius \( R \) in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) by \( B_n^p(R) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x\|_p \leq R\} \) and the unit \( \ell_p \)-ball by \( B_n^p := B_n^p(1) \). Here \( \|x_1, \ldots, x_n\|_p := (|x_1|^p + \cdots + |x_n|^p)^{1/p} \) is the \( \ell_p \) norm. The name superball refers to \( \ell_p \)-balls with \( p > 2 \) [17]. Superballs are more cube-like compared to the familiar \( \ell_2 \)-balls. See [8, 9] for studies of superball packings in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \). Although \( \ell_p \) balls do not possess rotational symmetry, in this paper we only consider translations of identical \( \ell_p \)-balls, not allowing rotations. The best known lower bounds on high dimensional superball packing densities do not use rotations [5] (see Section 3.2).

Let \( \Delta_p(n) \) denote the maximum translative packing density of copies of \( B_n^p \) in \( \mathbb{R}^n \). Here density is the fraction of space occupied by these balls. For fixed \( p \in [1, \infty) \), let

\[
\gamma_p := \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log_2 \Delta_p(n)
\]

be the exponential rate of optimal packing densities in high dimensions. The precise value of \( \gamma_p \) is unknown for any \( p \in [1, \infty) \), and the current best upper and lower bounds are quite far apart. For Euclidean balls, \( p = 2 \), the best high dimensional upper bound is due to Kabatiansky and Levenshtein [10]:

\[
\Delta_2(n) \leq 2^{(\kappa_{KL} + o(1))n}, \quad \text{where } \kappa_{KL} := -0.5990 \ldots
\]

See Cohn and Zhao [4] for a small improvement. For lower bounds, we have \( \Delta_p(n) \geq 2^{-n} \) for all \( n \) and \( p \geq 1 \) since every maximal packing has density at least \( 2^{-n} \). For \( p = 2 \), there have only been subexponential improvements, with the current best lower bound due to Venkatesh [19]. In summary, the best bounds on \( \gamma_2 \) are \(-1 \leq \gamma_2 \leq \kappa_{KL} = -0.5990 \ldots \).

For \( p > 2 \), the current best upper bound on the exponential rate of superball packing densities was first proved by van der Corput and Schaake [18] via Blichfeldt’s method [1] (e.g., see [21, Section...)
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Figure 1. Upper bounds on the exponential rate $\gamma_p$ of translatively packing densities of identical $\ell_p$-balls in high dimensions. For $p > 2$, the dashed blue curve is the previous upper bound $-1/p$ and the solid red curve is our new upper bound. For $1 \leq p < 2$, the dashed blue curve is (3.1) due to Rankin [13] and the solid red curve is (3.3) derived from the Kabatiansky–Levenshtein [10] sphere packing bound.

6.3]), giving

$$\gamma_p \leq -1/p \quad \text{for} \quad p > 2.$$  

There have been subsequent subexponential upper bound improvements on $\Delta_p(n)$ for $p > 2$, e.g., Rankin [14, 15]. We defer to Section 3 for a discussion of known bounds on $\gamma_p$ in other regimes.

In this paper, we prove a new upper bound on $\gamma_p$ for all $p > 2$, giving the first exponential improvement since 1936 on the upper bound of superball packing densities in high dimensions.

**Theorem 1.1.** For all $p \geq 2$,

$$\gamma_p \leq \inf_{0 < \theta < \pi/2} \left( \frac{1 + \sin \theta}{2 \sin \theta} \log_2 \frac{1 + \sin \theta}{2 \sin \theta} - \frac{1 - \sin \theta}{2 \sin \theta} \log_2 \frac{1 - \sin \theta}{2 \sin \theta} + \frac{2}{p} \log_2 \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \right).$$

In particular, $\gamma_p < -1/p$ for all $p \geq 2$.

See Figure 1 for a plot of the bounds.

**Remark.** Theorem 1.1 with $p = 2$ recovers $\gamma_p \leq \kappa_{KL}$. Our upper bound on $\gamma_p$ is continuous with $p$, whereas the previous best bounds were not continuous\(^1\) at $p = 2$. The fact that our bound at $p = 2$ recovers the Kabatiansky–Levenshtein bound is not a coincidence, as our proof relies on the Kabatiansky–Levenshtein bound for spherical codes.

### 2. Proof of main theorem

#### 2.1. Kabatiansky–Levenshtein spherical code bound.**

Denote the $\ell_p$-sphere in $\mathbb{R}^n$ of radius $R$ by $S_p^{n-1}(R) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x\|_p = R\}$ and the unit $\ell_p$-sphere by $S_p^{n-1} := S_p^{n-1}(1)$. Let $A_p(n,d)$ to be the maximum number of points on $S_p^{n-1}$ with pairwise $\ell_p$ distance at least $2d$, i.e, an $\ell_p$ spherical code. Note that $A_p(n,d) = 0$ unless $d \in [0,1]$. Note that $A_2(n,\sin(\theta/2))$ is the maximum size of a spherical code in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with pairwise angle at least $\theta$. Kabatiansky and Levenshtein [10] proved that for all\(^2\) $0 < \theta < \pi/2$,

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log_2 A_2(n, \sin(\theta/2)) \leq a(\theta) \quad (2.1)$$

\(^1\)It is unknown whether $\gamma_p$ is continuous. Lemma 3.1 implies that $\gamma_p$ is continuous at all but at most countably many points.

\(^2\)A simple geometric argument (see [11, (17)]) shows that the upper bound (2.1) can be improved for $\theta < \theta_{KL} := 1.0995\ldots$ to $a(\theta_{KL}) + \log_2 \sin(\theta_{KL}/2) - \log_2 \sin(\theta/2)$, but this improvement does not benefit our bounds.
where
\[ a(\theta) := \frac{1 + \sin \theta}{2 \sin \theta} \log_2 \frac{1 + \sin \theta}{2 \sin \theta} - \frac{1 - \sin \theta}{2 \sin \theta} \log_2 \frac{1 - \sin \theta}{2 \sin \theta}. \]

A projection argument (see [4, Section 2]) shows that
\[ \Delta_2(n) \leq \sin^n(\theta/2)A_2(n + 1, \sin(\theta/2)), \]
so (2.1) gives
\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log_2 \Delta_2(n) \leq a(\theta) + \log_2 \frac{\theta}{2}. \]

The bound \( \gamma_2 \leq \kappa_{KL} = -0.5990 \ldots \) is obtained by choosing \( \theta = \theta_{KL} = 1.0995 \ldots \) to minimize the upper bound above.

2.2. \( \ell_p \) twist. Fix \( p \geq 2 \). Define
\[ x^* := \text{sgn}(x)|x|^{p/2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \]

For \( x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \), write \( x^* := (x_1^*, \ldots, x_n^*) \), and for \( X \subset \mathbb{R}^n \), write \( X^* := \{ x^* : x \in X \} \).

Observe that for all \( x, y \in \mathbb{R} \),
\[ |x^* - y^*| \geq 2^{1-p/2}|x - y|^{p/2}. \quad (2.2) \]

Indeed, without loss of generality it suffices to consider two cases: \( x \geq 0 \geq y \) and \( x \geq y \geq 0 \). The former case is an immediate consequence of Hölder’s inequality (or the convexity of \( x \mapsto x^{p/2} \)). In the latter case, we have
\[ x^{p/2} - y^{p/2} \geq (x - y)^{p/2} \geq 2^{1-p/2}(x - y)^{p/2} \]
Here we use \( (w + z)^{p/2} \geq w^{p/2} + z^{p/2} \) for \( w, z > 0 \), which can be proved by first normalizing to \( w + z = 1 \) and noting that \( w^{p/2} + z^{p/2} \leq w + z \leq 1 \).

**Lemma 2.1.** For all \( p \geq 2 \) and \( d \in (0,1) \), we have \( A_p(n, d) \leq A_2(n, d^{p/2}) \).

**Proof.** Let \( X \subseteq S_p^{n-1} \) with \( |X| = A_p(n, d) \) and \( \|x - y\|_p \geq 2d \) for all distinct \( x, y \in X \). Since \( \|x^*\|_2 = 1 \) whenever \( \|x\|_p = 1 \), for distinct \( x, y \in X^* \), we have
\[ \|x^* - y^*\|_2^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i^* - y_i^*|^2 \geq 2^{2-p} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i - y_i|^p \geq 2^p d^p, \]
by (2.2). Thus \( |X| = |X^*| \leq A_2(n, d^{p/2}) \).

**Remark.** The same argument shows that \( A_p(n, d) \leq A_q(n, d^{p/q}) \) for all \( 1 \leq q \leq p \) and \( d \in (0,1) \).

**Lemma 2.2.** For every \( p \geq 1 \), \( d \in (0,1) \), and \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), we have \( \Delta_p(n) \leq d^n A_p(n + 1, d) \).

**Proof.** Consider a translatable packing \( \{ x + B^n_p(d) : x \in X \} \) in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) with density \( \rho \). where \( X \subset \mathbb{R}^n \) is the set of centers of the \( \ell_p \)-balls. By an averaging argument, there exists some translate of a unit \( \ell_p \)-ball that contains at least \( d^{-n}\rho \) points of \( X \). Translating \( X \) if necessary, we may assume that \( |X \cap B_1^n| \geq d^{-n}\rho \). Add an \((n+1)\)-st coordinate to each point in \( X \cap B_1^n \) to obtain a set \( X' \) of points on the unit \( \ell_p \)-sphere in \( \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \). In other words, \( X' \) is obtained by projecting the points of \( X \) contained in the unit ball “upward” to the hemisphere one dimension higher. Since the points in \( X \) are pairwise at least \( 2d \) apart in \( \ell_p \) distance, the same holds for \( X' \). So \( X' \) is an \( \ell_p \)-spherical code whose points are pairwise separated by \( \ell_p \) distance at least \( 2d \), and hence \( d^{-n}\rho \leq |X \cap B_1^n| = |X'| \leq A_p(n + 1, d) \).

**Remark.** As in [4], the above argument can be modified so that we do not need to add a new dimension when \( d \in [1/2, 1] \), resulting in a slightly better bound \( \Delta_p(n) \leq d^{-n} A_p(n, d) \). We omit the details of this modification since this improvement does not affect the exponential asymptotics.
Proof of Theorem 1.1. Applying Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, we have, for every $0 < \theta < \pi/2$,

\[
\Delta_p(n) \leq \sin(\theta/2)^{2n/p} A_p(n + 1, \sin(\theta/2)^{2/p}) \leq \sin(\theta/2)^{2n/p} A_2(n + 1, \sin(\theta/2)).
\]

Applying (2.1), we obtain

\[
\gamma_p = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \Delta_p(n) \leq a(\theta) + \frac{2}{p} \log_2 \sin(\theta/2).
\]

The main result follows by taking the infimum of the bound over $\theta \in (0, \pi/2)$.

Setting $\theta = \pi/2 - \eta$, we have, with $p \geq 2$ fixed and $\eta \to 0^+$,

\[
\gamma_p \leq -\frac{1}{p} - \frac{\eta}{p \ln 2} + o(\eta).
\]

So choosing $\eta > 0$ sufficiently small gives $\gamma_p < -1/p$ for all $p \geq 2$. □

3. Remarks

3.1. Asymptotics. Setting $\theta = \pi/2 - (p \ln p)^{-1}$, we obtain

\[
\gamma_p \leq -\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\log 4} \cdot \frac{1}{p^2 \log p} + O\left(\frac{1}{p^2 \log^2 p}\right), \quad \text{as } p \to \infty.
\]

Taking $\theta = \theta_{\text{KL}}$ gives

\[
\gamma_p \leq \kappa_{\text{KL}} + \frac{2 - p}{p} \log_2 \sin \frac{\theta_{\text{KL}}}{2}, \quad \text{for all } p \geq 2.
\]

Thus, as $\epsilon \to 0^+$,

\[
\gamma_{2+\epsilon} \leq \kappa_{\text{KL}} - \left(\frac{1}{2} \log_2 \sin \frac{\theta_{\text{KL}}}{2}\right) \epsilon + O(\epsilon^2) = (-0.5990\ldots) + (0.4650\ldots)\epsilon + O(\epsilon^2).
\]

3.2. Review of other bounds on $\gamma_p$. Here we survey other existing bounds on $\gamma_p$.

For $p = 2$, the best known bounds are $-1 \leq \gamma_2 \leq \kappa_{\text{KL}} = -0.5990\ldots$ as discussed earlier.

For $p > 2$, the best known upper bounds are the ones given in this paper. For lower bounds, extending on methods developed by Rush [16] and Rush–Sloane [17], Elkies, Odlyzko, and Rush [5] proved $\gamma_p > -1$ for all $p > 2$, thereby exponentially beating the Minkowski–Hlawka lower bound. See [5] for the precise bound. Their bounds have the following asymptotics:

\[
\gamma_p \geq -(1 + o(1)) \frac{\ln \ln p}{p \ln 2}, \quad \text{as } p \to \infty,
\]

and

\[
\gamma_{2+\epsilon} \geq -1 + \left(\sqrt{\pi} \zeta(3) \frac{3}{2 \ln 2} + o(1)\right) \frac{\epsilon}{\ln^{3/2}(1/\epsilon)}, \quad \text{as } \epsilon \to 0^+.
\]

See [12] for some later improvements using algebraic geometric codes for some specific integers $p$.

For $1 \leq p < 2$, no improvement over the Minkowski–Hlawka lower bound $\gamma_p \geq -1$ is known. The best upper bound on $\gamma_p$ is due to Rankin [13], based on Blichfeldt’s method [1]:

\[
\gamma_p \leq \inf_{1/2 \leq q \leq p} \left\{ b(p) - b(q) - 1 + 1/p + (1/q - 1/p) \log_2 \left(\frac{2 - 1/q}{1 - 1/q}\right) \right\} \quad (3.1)
\]

where

\[
b(p) := \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log_2 \text{vol} B_p^n(1/n) = \log_2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right) + \frac{1}{p} \log_2(pe). \quad (3.2)
\]

Recall that $\text{vol} B_p^n = \Gamma(1 + 1/p)^n / \Gamma(1 + n/p)$.

We note that the above bound (3.1) can be improved on the region $p \in [1.494\ldots, 2)$ using the Kabatiansky–Levenshtein bound via the following folklore observation.
Lemma 3.1. For $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$, $\gamma_p - b(p) \leq \gamma_q - b(q)$.

Proof. By monotonicity of norms, we have $n^{-1/p}\|x\|_p \leq n^{-1/q}\|x\|_q$, so $B_p^n(n^{1/p}) \supseteq B_q^n(n^{1/q})$. Any packing of $B_p^n(n^{1/p})$ can be shrunk into a packing of $B_q^n(n^{1/q})$. Hence

$$\frac{\Delta_p(n)}{\text{vol } B_p^n(n^{1/p})} \leq \frac{\Delta_q(n)}{\text{vol } B_q^n(n^{1/q})}.$$ 

Taking log, dividing by $n$, and letting $n \to \infty$ yields the lemma. \hfill \Box

Using $\gamma_2 \leq \kappa_{KL}$, we find that

$$\gamma_p \leq \kappa_{KL} - b(2) + b(p) = (\ldots - 0.5990) - b(2) + b(p) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq p < 2. \quad (3.3)$$

Thus

$$\gamma_p \leq \min\{\text{RHS of (3.1)}, \text{RHS of (3.3)}\}$$

See Figure 1 for an illustration of the above bounds.
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