MULTIPLICATION SEMIMODULES
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Abstract. Let $S$ be a semiring. An $S$-semimodule $M$ is called a multiplication semimodule if for each subsemimodule $N$ of $M$ there exists an ideal $I$ of $S$ such that $N = IM$. In this paper we investigate some properties of multiplication semimodules and generalize some results on multiplication modules to semimodules. We show that every multiplicatively cancellative multiplication semimodule is finitely generated and projective. Moreover, we characterize finitely generated cancellative multiplication $S$-semimodules when $S$ is a yoked semiring such that every maximal ideal of $S$ is subtractive.

1. Introduction

A semiring is a nonempty set $S$ together with two binary operations addition $(+)$ and multiplication $(\cdot)$ such that $(S, +)$ is a commutative monoid with identity element 0; $(S, \cdot)$ is a monoid with identity element 1 $\neq 0$; $0a = 0 = a0$ for all $a \in S$; $a(b + c) = ab + ac$ and $(b + c)a = ba + ca$ for every $a, b, c \in S$. We say that $S$ is a commutative semiring if the monoid $(S, \cdot)$ is commutative. In this paper we assume that all semirings are commutative.

A nonempty subset $I$ of a semiring $S$ is called an ideal of $S$ if $a + b \in I$ and $sa \in I$ for all $a, b \in I$ and $s \in S$. A semiring $S$ is called yoked if for all $a, b \in S$, there exists an element $t$ of $S$ such that $a + t = b$ or $b + t = a$. An ideal $I$ of a semiring $S$ is subtractive if $a + b \in I$ and $b \in I$ imply that $a \in I$ for all $a, b \in S$. A semiring $S$ is local if it has a unique maximal ideal. A semiring is entire if $ab = 0$ implies that $a = 0$ or $b = 0$. An element $s$ of a semiring $S$ is a unit if there exists an element $s'$ of $S$ such that $ss' = 1$. A semiring $S$ is called a semidomain if for any nonzero element $a$ of $S$, $ab = ac$ implies that $b = c$. An element $a$ of a semiring $S$ is called multiplicatively idempotent if $a^2 = a$. The semiring $S$ is multiplicatively idempotent if every element of $S$ is multiplicatively idempotent.

Let $(M, +)$ be an additive abelian monoid with additive identity $0_M$. Then $M$ is called an $S$-semimodule if there exists a scalar multiplication $S \times M \rightarrow M$ denoted by $(s, m) \mapsto sm$, such that $(ss')m = s(s'm)$; $s(m + m') = sm + sm'$; $(s + s')m = sm + s'm$; $1m = m$ and $s0_M = 0_M = 0m$ for all $s, s' \in S$ and all $m, m' \in M$. A subsemimodule $N$ of a semimodule $M$ is a nonempty subset of $M$ such that $m + n \in N$ and $sn \in N$ for all $m, n \in N$ and $s \in S$.

Let $R$ be a ring. An $R$-module $M$ is a multiplication module if for each submodule $N$ of $M$ there exists an ideal $I$ of $R$ such that $N = IM$\textsuperscript{[2]}. Multiplication semimodules are defined similarly. These semimodules have been studied by several authors(e.g. [5], [6], [18], [20]). In this paper, we study multiplication semimodules and extend some results of [7] and [17] to semimodules over semirings. In Section 2 we show that if $M$ is a multiplication $S$-semimodule and $p$ is a maximal ideal of $S$ such that $M \neq pM$, then $M_p$ is cyclic. Moreover we prove that if $M$ is a finitely generated $S$-semimodule and
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for every maximal ideal \( p \) of \( S \), \( M_p \) is cyclic, then \( M \) is a multiplication \( S \)-semimodule. In Section 3, we study multiplicatively cancellative (abbreviated as \( MC \)) multiplication semimodules. We show that \( MC \) multiplication semimodules are finitely generated and projective. In Section 4, we characterize finitely generated cancellative multiplication semimodules over yoked semirings with subtractive maximal ideals.

### 2. Multiplication Semimodule

In this section we give some results of multiplication semimodules which are related to the corresponding results in multiplication modules.

Let \( N \) and \( L \) be subsemimodules of \( M \) and \( (N : L) = \{ s \in S \mid sL \subseteq N \} \). Then it is clear that \( (N : L) \) is an ideal of \( S \).

**Definition 1.** [6] Let \( S \) be a semiring and \( M \) an \( S \)-semimodule. Then \( M \) is called a multiplication semimodule if for each subsemimodule \( N \) of \( M \) there exists an ideal \( I \) of \( S \) such that \( N = IM \). In this case it is easy to prove that \( N = (N : M)M \). For example, every cyclic \( S \)-semimodule is a multiplication \( S \)-semimodule [20, Example 2].

**Example 2.** Let \( S \) be a multiplicatively idempotent semiring. Then every ideal of \( S \) is a multiplication \( S \)-semimodule. Let \( J \) be an ideal of \( S \) and \( I \subseteq J \). If \( x \in I \), then \( x = x^2 \in IJ \). Therefore \( I = IJ \) and hence \( J \) is a multiplication \( S \)-semimodule.

It is well-known that every homomorphic image of a multiplication module is a multiplication module (cf. [7] and [19, Note 1.4]). A similar result holds for multiplication semimodules.

**Theorem 3.** Let \( S \) be a semiring, \( M \) and \( N \) \( S \)-semimodules and \( f : M \to N \) a surjective \( S \)-homomorphism. If \( M \) is a multiplication \( S \)-semimodule, then \( N \) is a multiplication \( S \)-semimodule.

**Proof.** Let \( N' \) be a subsemimodule of \( N \) and \( M' = \{ m \in M \mid f(m) \in N' \} \). Then \( f(M') = N' \). Since \( M \) is a multiplication \( S \)-semimodule, there exists an ideal \( I \) of \( S \) such that \( M' = IM \). Then \( N' = f(M') = f(IM) = IF(M) = IN \). Therefore \( N \) is a multiplication \( S \)-semimodule. \( \Box \)

Fractional and invertible ideals of semirings have been studied in [9]. We recall here some definitions and properties. An element \( s \) of a semiring \( S \) is multiplicatively cancellable (abbreviated as \( MC \)), if \( sb = sc \) implies \( b = c \) for all \( b, c \in S \). We denote the set of all \( MC \) elements of \( S \) by \( MC(S) \). The total quotient semiring of \( S \), denoted by \( Q(S) \), is defined as the localization of \( S \) at \( MC(S) \). Then \( Q(S) \) is an \( S \)-semimodule and \( S \) can be regarded as a subsemimodule of \( Q(S) \). For the concept of the localization in semiring theory, we refer to [11] and [12]. A subset \( I \) of \( Q(S) \) is called a fractional ideal of \( S \) if \( I \) is a subsemimodule of \( Q(S) \) and there exists an \( MC \) element \( d \in S \) such that \( dI \subseteq S \). Note that every ideal of \( S \) is a fractional ideal. The product of two fractional ideals is defined by \( IJ = \{ a_1b_1 + \ldots + a_nb_n \mid a_i, b_i \in I, b_i \in J \} \). A fractional ideal \( I \) of a semiring \( S \) is called an invertible ideal if there exists a fractional ideal \( J \) of \( S \) such that \( JJ = S \).

Now we restate the following property of invertible ideals from [9] (see also [14, Proposition 6.3]).
Corollary 7. Suppose that \((S, m)\) is a local semiring. Let \(M\) be a multiplication \(S\)-semimodule such that \(M \neq mM\). Then \(M\) is a cyclic semimodule.

Proof. Since \(M \neq mM\), \(M\) is \(m\)-cyclic. Thus there exist \(n \in M\), \(t \in S\) and \(q \in m\) such that \(t + q = 1\) and \(tM \subseteq Sn\). Since \(S\) is a local semiring, by [11, Theorem 3.5], \(t\) is unit. Hence \(M = Sn\).

Remark 8. Let \(S\) be a semiring and \(T\) a non-empty multiplicatively closed subset of \(S\), and let \(M\) be an \(S\)-semimodule. Define a relation \(\sim\) on \(M \times T\) as follows: \((m, t) \sim (m', t') \iff \exists s \in T\) such that \(stm' = st'm\). The relation \(\sim\) on \(M \times T\) is an equivalence relation. Denote the set \(M \times T / \sim\) by \(T^{-1}M\) and the equivalence class of each pair \((m, s) \in M \times T\) by \(m/s\). We can define addition on \(T^{-1}M\) by \(m/t + m'/t' = (t'm + tm')/tt'\). Then \((T^{-1}M, +)\) is an abelian monoid. Let \(s/t \in T^{-1}S\) and \(m/u \in T^{-1}M\). We can define the product of \(s/t\) and
Let $S$ be a semiring and $M$ a finitely generated $S$-semimodule. If for

Theorem 10. Moreover, by [11, Theorem 4.5], $S$ is a local semiring. Thus by Corollary [7], $M$ is cyclic.

In the following result, we extend [19, Theorem 4.21] to semirings.

Theorem 9. Let $S$ be a semiring and $M$ a multiplication $S$-semimodule. If $p$ is a maximal ideal of $S$ such that $M \neq pM$, then $M$ is cyclic.

Proof. By (1), $M_p$ is a multiplication $S_p$-semimodule. Since $M \neq pM$, $M_p \neq pM_p$ by (2). Moreover, by [11] Theorem 4.5], $S_p$ is a local semiring. Thus by Corollary [7], $M_p$ is cyclic.

In the following result, we extend [19, Theorem 4.21] to semirings.

Theorem 10. Let $S$ be a semiring and $M$ a finitely generated $S$-semimodule. If for

Proof. Let $x \in M$ and $t \in S \setminus p = T$ such that $M_p = S_p(x/t)$. Let $m \in M$. Then $m/1 \in S_p(x/t)$. Thus there exist $r \in S$ and $t' \in T$ such that $m/1 = (x/t)(r/t')$. Hence there exists $t'' \in T$ such that $t''tm = t''x \in (x)$. Moreover $a = t''t' \in T$ and hence $(a) + p = S$. Thus $r'a + q = 1$ for some $r' \in S$ and $q \in p$. Then $r'amr''t''x \in (x)$. Now Let $M = \sum_{i=1}^n Sm_i$. Then for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, there exist $q_i \in p$ and $t_i \in S$ such that $t_i + q_i = 1$ and $t_im_i \in (x)$. Thus $1 = \prod_{i=1}^n t_i + b$ for some $b \in p$ and $(\prod_{i=1}^n t_i)M \subseteq (x)$. Hence $M$ is a multiplication semimodule by Theorem 5.

3. Multiplcitively cancellative multiplication semimodules

In this section, we study multiplicatively cancellative multiplication semimodules and give some properties of these semimodules.

An $S$-semimodule $M$ is called multiplicatively cancellative (abbreviated as $MC$) if for any $s, s' \in S$ and $0 \neq m \in M$, $sm = s'm$ implies $s = s'$[4]. For example every ideal of a semidomain $S$ is an $MC$ $S$-semimodule.

Note that if $M$ is an $MC$ $S$-semimodule, then $M$ is a faithful semimodule. Let $tM = \{0\}$ for some $t \in S$. If $0 \neq m \in M$, then $tm = 0m = 0$. Thus $t = 0$. Therefore $M$ is faithful.

Moreover, for an $R$-module $M$ over a domain $R$, $M$ is an $MC$ semimodule if and only if it is torsionfree. Also we know that if $R$ is a domain and $M$ a faithful multiplication $R$-module, then $M$ will be a torsionfree $R$-module and so $M$ is an $MC$ semimodule.

An element $m$ of an $S$-semimodule $M$ is called cancellable if $m + m_1 = m + m_2$ implies that $m_1 = m_2$. The semimodule $M$ is cancellative if and only if every element of $M$ is cancellable [10, P. 172].

Lemma 11. Let $S$ be a yoked entire semiring and $M$ a cancellative faithful multiplication $S$-semimodule. Then $M$ is an $MC$ semimodule.
Proof. Let \(0 \neq m \in M\) and \(s, s' \in S\) such that \(sm = s'm\). Since \(S\) is a yoked semiring, there exists \(t \in S\) such that \(s + t = s'\) or \(s' + t = s\). Suppose that \(s + t = s'\). Then \(sm + tm = s'm\). Since \(M\) is a cancellative \(S\)-semimodule, \(tm = 0\). Moreover, there exists an ideal \(I\) of \(S\) such that \(Sm = IM\) since \(M\) is a multiplication \(S\)-semimodule. Then \(tIM = tSm = \{0\}\) and hence \(tI = \{0\}\) since \(M\) is faithful. But \(S\) is an entire semiring, so \(t = 0\). Therefore \(s = s'\). Now suppose that \(s' + t = s\). A similar argument shows that \(s = s'\). Therefore \(M\) is an \(MC\) semimodule. \(\square\)

We now give the following definition similar to [13, P. 127].

**Definition 12.** Let \(S\) be a semidomain. An \(S\)-semimodule \(M\) is called a torsionfree semimodule if for any \(0 \neq a \in S\), multiplication by \(a\) on \(M\) is injective, i.e., if \(ax = ay\) for some \(x, y \in M\), then \(x = y\).

**Theorem 13.** Let \(S\) be a yoked semidomain and \(M\) a cancellative torsionfree \(S\)-semimodule. Then \(M\) is an \(MC\) semimodule.

**Proof.** Let \(0 \neq m \in M\) and \(s, s' \in S\) such that \(sm = s'm\). Since \(S\) is a yoked semiring, there exists \(t \in S\) such that \(s + t = s'\) or \(s' + t = s\). Suppose that \(s + t = s'\). Then \(sm + tm = s'm\). Since \(M\) is a cancellative \(S\)-semimodule, \(tm = 0\). Since \(M\) is a torsionfree \(S\)-semimodule, \(m = 0\) which is a contradiction. Thus \(t = 0\) and hence \(s = s'\). Now suppose that \(s' + t = s\). A similar argument shows that \(s = s'\). Therefore \(M\) is an \(MC\) semimodule. \(\square\)

Now, similar to [7, Lemma 2.10] we give the following theorem (see also [6, Theorem 3.2]).

**Theorem 14.** Let \(p\) be a prime ideal of \(S\) and \(M\) an \(MC\) multiplication semimodule. Let \(a \in S\) and \(x \in M\) such that \(ax \in pM\). Then \(a \in p\) or \(x \in pM\).

**Proof.** Let \(a \notin p\) and put \(K = \{s \in S \mid xs \in pM\}\). If \(K \neq S\), there exists a maximal ideal \(q\) of \(S\) such that \(K \subseteq q\). By Theorem 6, \(M\) is \(q\)-cyclic since \(M\) is an \(MC\) semimodule. Therefore there exist \(m \in M\), \(t \in S\) and \(q \in q\) such that \(t + q = 1\) and \(tM \subseteq Sm\). Thus \(tx = sm\) for some \(s \in S\). Moreover, \(tpM \subseteq pm\). Hence \(tax \in tpM \subseteq pm\). Therefore \(tax = p_1m\) for some \(p_1 \in p\) and hence \(asm = p_1m\). Since \(M\) is an \(MC\) semimodule, \(as = p_1 \in p\) and since \(p\) is a prime ideal, \(s \in p\). Then \(tx = sm \in pM\) and hence \(t \in K \subseteq q\) which is a contradiction. Thus \(K = S\). Therefore \(x \in pM\). \(\square\)

**Lemma 15.** (cf. [11]) Suppose that \(S\) is a semiring. Let \(M\) be an \(S\)-semimodule and \(\theta(M) = \sum_{m \in M} (Sm : M)\). If \(M\) is a multiplication \(S\)-semimodule, then \(M = \theta(M)M\).

**Proof.** Suppose that \(m \in M\). Then \(Sm = (Sm : M)M\). Thus \(m \in (Sm : M)M \subseteq \theta(M)M\). Therefore \(M = \theta(M)M\). \(\square\)

**Theorem 16.** (cf. [7, Theorem 3.1]) Let \(S\) be a semiring and \(M\) an \(MC\) multiplication \(S\)-semimodule. Then the following statements hold:

1. If \(I\) and \(J\) are ideals of \(S\) such that \(IM \subseteq JM\) then \(I \subseteq J\).
2. \(M \neq IM\) for any proper ideal \(I\) of \(S\).
3. \(M\) is finitely generated.
Theorem 19. Similarly, we have the following theorem:

Proof. (1) Let $IM \subseteq JM$ and $a \in I$. Set $K = \{ s \in S \mid sa \in J \}$. If $K \neq S$, there exists a maximal ideal $p$ of $S$ such that $K \subseteq p$. By Theorem 6, $M$ is $p$-cyclic since $M$ is an $MC$ semimodule. Thus there exist $m \in M$, $t \in S$ and $q \in p$ such that $t + q = 1$ and $tM \subseteq Sm$. Then $tam \in tIM \subseteq tJM = JIM \subseteq Jm$. Hence there exists $b \in J$ such that $tam = bm$. Since $M$ is an $MC$ semimodule, $ta = b \in J$. Thus $t \in K \subseteq p$ which is a contradiction. Therefore $K = S$ and hence $I \subseteq J$.

(2) Follows by (1)

(3) By Lemma 15, $M = \theta(M)M$, where $\theta(M) = \sum_{m \in M}(Sm : M)$. Then by 2, $\theta(M) = S$. Thus there exist a positive integer $n$ and elements $m_i \in M$ and $r_i \in (Sm_i : M)$ such that $I = r_1 + \ldots + r_n$. If $m \in M$, then $m = r_1m + \ldots + r_nm$. Therefore $M = Sm_1 + \ldots + Sm_n$. □

By Lemma 11, we have the following result.

Corollary 17. Let $S$ be a yoked entire semiring and $M$ a cancellative faithful multiplication $S$-semimodule. Then the following statements hold:

1. If $I$ and $J$ are ideals of $S$ such that $IM \subseteq JM$ then $I \subseteq J$.
2. $M \neq IM$ for any proper ideal $I$ of $S$.
3. $M$ is finitely generated.

An $S$-semimodule $M$ is called a cancellation semimodule if whenever $IM = JM$ for ideals $I$ and $J$ of $S$, then $I = J$. By Theorem 16, every $MC$ multiplication semimodule is a cancellation semimodule.

In [7, Lemma 4.1] it is shown that faithful multiplication modules are torsion-free. Similarly, we have the following result.

Theorem 18. Suppose that $S$ is a semidomain. If $M$ is an $MC$ multiplication $S$-semimodule, then $M$ is a torsionfree $S$-semimodule.

Proof. Suppose that there exist $0 \neq t \in S$ and $m, m' \in M$ such that $tm = tm'$. Then $Sm = IM$ and $Sm' = JM$ for some ideals $I, J$ of $S$. Thus $tIM = tJM$ since $tm = tm'$. Since $M$ is an $MC$ multiplication $S$-semimodule, it is a cancellation semimodule. Thus $tI = tJ$. Let $x \in I$. Then $tx = tx'$ for some $x' \in J$. Since $S$ is a semidomain, $x = x'$. Therefore $I \subseteq J$. Similarly $J \subseteq I$. Hence $I = J$ and $Sm = Sm'$. Then there exists $s_1 \in S$ such that $m = s_1m'$. Thus $tm' = tm = ts_1m'$. Since $M$ is an $MC$ semimodule, $t = s_1t$. Since $S$ is a semidomain, $s_1 = 1$. Therefore $m = m'$ and hence $M$ is torsionfree. □

If $M$ is a finitely generated faithful multiplication module, then $M$ is a projective module [17, Theorem 11]. Similarly, we have the following theorem:

Theorem 19. Let $M$ be an $MC$ multiplication semimodule. Then $M$ is a projective $S$-semimodule.

Proof. By Theorem 16, $\theta(M) = \sum_{i=1}^{n}(Sm_i : M) = S$. Thus for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, there exist $r_i \in (Sm_i : M)$ and $s_i \in S$ such that $1 = s_1r_1^2 + \ldots + s_n^2$. Define a map $\phi_i : M \to S$ by $\phi_i : m \mapsto s_ir_i$ where $a$ is an element of $S$ such that $r_im = am_i$. Suppose that $am_i = bm_i$ for some $b \in S$. Since $M$ is an $MC$ semimodule, $a = b$ and therefore $\phi_i$ is a well defined $S$-homomorphism. Let $m \in M$. Then $m = 1m = s_1r_1^2m + \ldots + s_n^2m = \phi_1(m)m_1 + \ldots + \phi_n(m)m_n$. By [16, Theorem 3.4.12], $M$ is a projective $S$-semimodule. □
By Lemma [11] we obtain the following result.

**Corollary 20.** Let $S$ be a yoked entire semiring and $M$ a cancellative faithful multiplication $S$-semimodule. Then $M$ is a projective $S$-semimodule.

**Theorem 21.** ([7] Lemma 3.6) Let $S$ be a semidomain and let $M$ be an MC multiplication $S$-semimodule. Then there exists an invertible ideal $I$ of $S$ such that $M \cong I$.

**Proof.** Suppose that $0 \neq m \in M$. Then there exists an ideal $J$ of $S$ such that $Sm = JM$. Let $0 \neq a \in J$. We can define an $S$-homomorphism $\phi : M \to Sm$ by $\phi : x \mapsto ax$. Let $x, x' \in M$ such that $ax = ax'$. By Theorem [18] $M$ is torsionfree and hence $x = x'$. Therefore $\phi$ is injective and so $M \cong f(M)$. Now define an $S$-homomorphism $\phi' : S \to Sm$ by $\phi'(s) = sm$. Let $s, s' \in S$ such that $sm = s'm$. Since $M$ is an $MC$ semimodule, $s = s'$. Therefore $\phi'$ is injective. It is clear that $\phi'$ is surjective. Therefore $S \cong Sm$. Hence $M$ is isomorphic to an ideal $I$ of $S$. Thus $I$ is a multiplication ideal and hence an invertible ideal of $S$. $\square$

4. **CANCELLATIVE MULTIPLICATION SEMIMODULE**

In this section, we investigate cancellative multiplication semimodules over some special semirings and restate some previous results. From now on, let $S$ be a yoked semiring such that every maximal ideal of $S$ is subtractive and let $M$ be a cancellative $S$-semimodule.

**Theorem 22.** (See Theorems [6] and [5]) The $S$-semimodule $M$ is a multiplication $S$-semimodule if and only if for every maximal ideal $p$ of $S$ either $M$ is $p$-cyclic or $M = \{m \in M \mid m = qm$ for some $q \in p\}$.

**Proof.** ($\rightarrow$): Follows by Theorem [6].

($\leftarrow$): Let $N$ be a subsemimodule of $M$ and $I = (N : M)$. Then $IM \subseteq N$. Let $x \in N$ and put $K = \{s \in S \mid sx \in IM\}$. If $K \neq S$, there exists a maximal ideal $p$ of $S$ such that $K \subseteq p$. If $M = \{m \in M \mid m = qm$ for some $q \in p\}$, then there exists $q \in p$ such that $x = qx$. Since $S$ is a yoked semiring, there exists $t \in S$ such that $t + 1 = q$ or $q + t = 1$. Suppose that $q + t = 1$. Then $qx + tx = x$ and hence $tx = 0$. Therefore $t \in K \subseteq p$ which is a contradiction. Now suppose that $t + 1 = q$. Then $tx + x = qx$ and hence $tx = 0$. Therefore $t \in K \subseteq p$. But $p$ is a subtractive ideal of $S$, so $1 \in p$ which is a contradiction. Therefore $M$ is $p$-cyclic. Thus there exist $m \in M$, $t \in S$ and $q \in p$ such that $t + q = 1$ and $tM \subseteq Sm$. Therefore $tN$ is a subsemimodule of $Sm$. Hence $tN = Jm$ where $J$ is the ideal $\{s \in S \mid sm \in IM\}$ of $S$. Then $tJM = tJM \subseteq Jm \subseteq N$ and hence $tJ \subseteq I$. Thus $t^2x \in t^2N = tJM \subseteq IM$. Therefore $t^2 \in K \subseteq p$ which is a contradiction. $\square$

**Lemma 23.** If $p$ is a maximal ideal of $S$, then $N = \{m \in M \mid m = qm$ for some $q \in p\}$ is a subsemimodule of $M$.

**Proof.** Let $m_1, m_2 \in N$. Then there exist $q_1, q_2 \in p$ such that $m_1 = q_1m_1$ and $m_2 = q_2m_2$. Since $S$ is a yoked semiring, there exists an element $r$ such that $q_1 + q_2 + r = q_1q_2$ or $q_1q_2 + r = q_1 + q_2$. Since $p$ is a subtractive ideal, $r \in p$.

Assume that $q_1q_2 + r = q_1 + q_2$. Then $q_1q_2(m_1 + m_2) + r(m_1 + m_2) = (q_1 + q_2)(m_1 + m_2)$. Thus $q_1q_2m_1 + q_1q_2m_2 + r(m_1 + m_2) = q_1m_1 + q_2m_1 + q_1m_2 + q_2m_2$. Hence $q_2m_1 + q_1m_2$
Let $M$ be a cancellative $S$-semimodule, $r(m_1 + m_2) = q_1m_1 + q_2m_1 + q_1m_2 + q_2m_2$. Since $M$ is a cancellative $S$-semimodule, $r(m_1 + m_2) = q_1m_1 + q_2m_2$. Thus $r(m_1 + m_2) = m_1 + m_2$. Therefore $m_1 + m_2 \in N$.

Now assume that $q_1 + q_2 + r = q_1q_2$. Then $(q_1 + q_2 + r)(m_1 + m_2) = q_1q_2(m_1 + m_2)$. Hence $q_1m_1 + q_2m_2 + q_1m_1 + q_2m_1 + r(m_1 + m_2) = q_1q_2m_1 + q_1q_2m_2$. Thus $q_1m_1 + q_1m_2 + q_2m_1 + q_2m_2 + r(m_1 + m_2) = q_2m_1 + q_1m_2$. Since $M$ is a cancellative $S$-semimodule, $q_1m_1 + q_2m_2 + r(m_1 + m_2) = 0$ and hence $m_1 + m_2 + r(m_1 + m_2) = (1 + r)(m_1 + m_2) = 0$.

Since $p$ is a subtractive ideal, $(1 + r) \not\in p$. Therefore $(1 + r) + p = S$ since $p$ is a maximal ideal of $S$. Thus there exist $t \in p$ and $s \in S$ such that $s(1 + r) + t = 1$. Hence $s(1 + r)(m_1 + m_2) + t(m_1 + m_2) = m_1 + m_2$. Therefore $t(m_1 + m_2) = m_1 + m_2$ and so $m_1 + m_2 \in N$.

Let $s \in S$ and $m \in N$. Then there exists $q \in p$ such that $m = qm$. Thus $sm = sqm$. Since $sq \in p$, $sm \in N$. Therefore $N$ is a subsemimodule of $M$.

Similar to [7, Corollary 1.3], we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 24.** Let $M = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} Sm_{\lambda}$. Then $M$ is a multiplication semimodule if and only if there exist ideals $I_{\lambda}(\lambda \in \Lambda)$ of $S$ such that $Sm_{\lambda} = I_{\lambda}M$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

**Proof.** $(\rightarrow)$: Obvious.

$(\leftarrow)$: Assume that there exist ideals $I_{\lambda}(\lambda \in \Lambda)$ of $S$ such that $Sm_{\lambda} = I_{\lambda}M(\lambda \in \Lambda)$. Let $p$ be a maximal ideal of $S$ and $I_{\mu} \not\subseteq p$ for some $\mu \in \Lambda$. Then there exists $t \in I_{\mu}$ such that $t \not\in p$. Thus $p + (t) = S$ and hence there exist $q \in p$ and $s \in S$ such that $1 = q + st$. Then $tsM \subseteq I_{\mu}M = Sm_{\mu}$. Therefore $M$ is $p$-cyclic. Now suppose that $I_{\lambda} \subseteq p$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Then $Sm_{\lambda} \subseteq pM(\lambda \in \Lambda)$. This implies that $M = pM$. But for any $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $Sm_{\lambda} = I_{\lambda}M = I_{\lambda}pM = pm_{\lambda}$. Therefore $m_{\lambda} \in \{m \in M \mid m = qm \text{ for some } q \in p\}$. Since by Lemma [23] $\{m \in M \mid m = qm \text{ for some } q \in p\}$ is an $S$-semimodule, we conclude that $M = \{m \in M \mid m = qm \text{ for some } q \in p\}$. By Theorem [22] $M$ is a multiplication semimodule.

It follows from Theorem [24] that if $S$ is a yoked semiring such that every maximal ideal of $S$ is subtractive, then any additively cancellative ideal $I$ generated by idempotents is a multiplication ideal.

The following is a generalization of [7, Theorem 3.1]

**Theorem 25.** Let $M$ be a faithful multiplication $S$-semimodule. Then the following statements are equivalent:

1. $M$ is finitely generated.
2. $M \neq pM$ for any maximal ideal $p$ of $S$.
3. If $I$ and $J$ are ideals of $S$ such that $IM \subseteq JM$ then $I \subseteq J$.
4. For each subsemimodule $N$ of $M$ there exists a unique ideal $I$ of $S$ such that $N = IM$.
5. $M \neq IM$ for any proper ideal $I$ of $S$.

**Proof.** (1) $\rightarrow$ (2): Let $p$ be a maximal ideal of $S$ such that $M = pM$ and $M = Sm_{1} + \ldots + Sm_{n}$. Since $M$ is a multiplication $S$-semimodule, for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, there exists $K_{i} \subseteq S$ such that $Sm_{i} = K_{i}M = K_{i}pM = pK_{i}M = pm_{i}$. Therefore $m_{i} = p_{i}m_{i}$ for some $p_{i} \in p$. Since $S$ is a yoked semiring, there exists $t_{i} \in S$ such that $t_{i} + p_{i} = 1$ or $1 + t_{i} = p_{i}$. Suppose that $t_{i} + p_{i} = 1$. Then $t_{i}m_{i} + p_{i}m_{i} = m_{i}$. Since $M$ is a cancellative $S$-semimodule, $t_{i}m_{i} = 0$. Therefore $t_{i}m_{i} = 0$.
Now suppose that $1 + t_i = p_i$. Then $m_i + t_im_i = p_im_i$ and hence $t_im_i = 0$. Put $t = t_1 \ldots t_n$. Then for all $i$, $tm_i = 0$. Thus $tM = \{0\}$. Since $M$ is a faithful $S$-semimodule, $t = 0 \in p$. Since $p$ is a prime ideal, $t_i \in p$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n$. If $t_i + p_i = 1$, then $1 \in p$ which is a contradiction. If $1 + t_i = p_i$, then, since $p$ is a subtractive ideal of $S$, $1 \in p$ which is a contradiction. Therefore $M \neq pM$.

(2) $\rightarrow$ (3): Let $I$ and $J$ be ideals of $S$ such that $IM \subseteq JM$. Let $a \in I$ and put $K = \{r \in S \mid ra \in J\}$. If $K \neq S$, then there exists a maximal ideal of $p$ such that $K \subseteq p$. By $\Box$, $M \neq pM$. Thus $M$ is p-cyclic and hence there exist $m \in M, t \in S$ and $q \in p$ such that $t + q = 1$ and $tM \subseteq Sm$. Then $tam \in tJM = JtM \subseteq Jm$. Thus there exists $b \in J$ such that $tam = bm$. Since $S$ is a yoked semiring, there exists $c \in S$ such that $ta + c = b$ or $b + c = ta$. Suppose that $ta + c = b$. Then $t^2a + tc = tb$ and $tam + cm = bm$. Since $M$ is cancellative, $cm = 0$. But $tcM \subseteq c(Sm) = \{0\}$. Since $M$ is a faithful semimodule, $tc = 0$. Hence $t^2a = tb \in J$. Therefore $t^2 \in K \subseteq p$ which is a contradiction. Thus $S = K$ and $a \in J$. Now suppose that $b + c = ta$. Then $tb + tc = t^2a$ and $bm + cm = tam$. Since $M$ is cancellative, $cm = 0$. A similar argument shows that $a \in J$.

(3) $\rightarrow$ (4) $\rightarrow$ (5): Obvious.

(5) $\rightarrow$ (1): It is similar to the proof of Theorem $\Box$ (3).

Theorem $\Box$ (3) can be restated as follows:

**Theorem 26.** (cf. [5 Proposition 3]) Suppose that $p$ is a prime ideal and let $M$ be a faithful multiplication $S$-semimodule. Let $a \in S$ and $x \in M$ such that $ax \in pM$. Then $a \in p$ or $x \in pM$.

**Proof.** Let $a \notin p$ and $K = \{s \in S \mid sx \in pM\}$. Assume that $K \neq S$. Then there exists a maximal ideal of $q$ such that $K \subseteq q$. Let $M = qM$. Then there exists an ideal $I$ of $S$ such that $Sx = IM$. Thus $Sx = IpM = pIM = px$. Hence $x = qx$ for some $q \in p$. Since $S$ is a yoked semiring, there exists $t \in S$ such that $t + 1 = q$ or $q + t = 1$. If $q + t = 1$, then $qx + tx = x$ and hence $tx = 0$. Therefore $t \in K \subseteq p$ which is a contradiction. Now suppose that $t + 1 = q$. Then $tx + x = qx$ and hence $tx = 0$. Thus $t \in K \subseteq p$. But $p$ is a subtractive ideal of $S$, so $1 \in p$ which is a contradiction. Hence $M \neq qM$. Thus by Theorem $\Box$, $M$ is $q$-cyclic. Therefore there exist $m \in M, t \in S$ and $q \in q$ such that $t + q = 1$ and $tM \subseteq Sm$. Thus $tx = sm$ for some $s \in S$. Since $tpM \subseteq pm, tax \in tpM \subseteq pm$. Hence $tax = p_1m$. Then $asm = p_1m$. Since $S$ is a yoked semiring, there exists $c \in S$ such that $as + c = p_1$ or $c + p_1 = as$. Suppose that $as + c = p_1$. Then $asm + cm = p_1m$. Since $M$ is cancellative, $cm = 0$. Then $tcM \subseteq c(Sm) = \{0\}$. Since $M$ is a faithful semimodule, $tc = 0$. Hence $as = p_1t \in p$ and so $s \in p$ since $p$ is a prime ideal. Then $tx = sm \in pM$ and hence $t \in K \subseteq q$ which is a contradiction. Thus $K = S$. Therefore $x \in pM$. Now suppose that $c + p_1 = as$. A similar argument shows that $x \in pM$.
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