TROPICALLY CONSTRUCTED LAGRANGIANS IN MIRROR QUINTIC THREEFOLDS

CHEUK YU MAK AND HELGE RUDDAT

Abstract. We use tropical curves and toric degeneration techniques to construct closed embedded Lagrangian rational homology spheres in a lot of Calabi-Yau threefolds. We apply this construction to the tropical curves obtained from the 2875 lines on the quintic Calabi-Yau threefold. Each admissible tropical curve gives a Lagrangian rational homology sphere in the corresponding mirror quintic threefold and disjoint curves give pairwise homologous but non-Hamiltonian isotopic Lagrangians. We check in an example that > 300 mutually disjoint curves (and hence Lagrangians) arise. We show that the weight of each of these Lagrangians equals to the multiplicity of the corresponding tropical curve.
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1. Introduction

Special Lagrangian submanifolds of Calabi-Yau threefolds have received much attention due to their role in mirror symmetry. Based on Thomas and Yau [47], [48], Dominic Joyce [26] conjectured that a Lagrangian submanifold $L$ admits a special Lagrangian representative (after surgery at a discrete set of times under Lagrangian mean curvature flow) if $L$ is a stable object in the derived Fukaya category with respect to an appropriate Bridgeland stability condition. Therefore, roughly speaking, special Lagrangians correspond to stable objects. In [24], Joyce proposed a counting invariant for rigid special Lagrangians (i.e. special Lagrangian rational homology spheres) so that each of these Lagrangians $L$ is weighted by $w(L) := |H_1(L, \mathbb{Z})|$ when it is counted
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and, under the conjectural correspondence between special Lagrangians and stable objects, Joyce’s counting invariant is conjectured to be mirror to the Donaldson-Thomas invariant.

However, before counting, finding special Lagrangians is a challenging problem ([23], [25] etc). The main source of examples is given by the set of real points. Making a given Lagrangian special is hard. Even without the speciality assumption, there aren’t many explicit methods to construct closed embedded Lagrangian submanifolds in Calabi-Yau threefolds in the literature, especially when the Calabi-Yau is assumed to be compact. In this paper, we provide a new method to address the latter difficulty using toric degeneration techniques and tropical curves, and we also give an interpretation of the weight $w(L)$ from tropical geometry. The idea is motivated by Strominger-Yau-Zaslow’s (SYZ) conjecture [46] and parallel attempts have very recently been achieved independent from us in the situation where the symplectic manifold is noncompact [33], [34], [22] or a toric variety [37].

Roughly speaking, if there is a Lagrangian torus fibration for a Calabi-Yau manifold and a tropical curve $\gamma$ in the base integral affine manifold such that all edges of $\gamma$ have weight one, then it is easy to construct for each edge $e$ of $\gamma$, a Lagrangian torus times interval $L_e$ lying above $e$ and for each trivalent vertex $v$ of $\gamma$, a Lagrangian pairs of pants times torus $L_v$ lying above a small neighborhood of $v$. Moreover, these local pieces can be contructed in a way that can be patched together smoothly, resulting in a Lagrangian submanifold $L_\gamma$. If, furthermore, $\gamma$ hits the discriminant at the end points appropriately, then $L_\gamma$ can be closed up to a closed embedded Lagrangian $L_\gamma$, whose diffeomorphism type is determined by the combinatorical type of $\gamma$ and the local monodromy at points where the discriminant is hit. We will explain this in more details in Section 2.6 and we call $L_\gamma$ a tropical Lagrangian over $\gamma$. The key point is that, this construction is straightforward only when we have given a Lagrangian torus fibration. However, the only compact Kähler Calabi-Yau threefolds that knowingly admit a Lagrangian torus fibration are torus bundles over a torus.

Our actual construction starts with a family of smooth threefold hypersurfaces $M_t \subset \mathbb{P}_\Delta$ in a toric 4-orbifold $\mathbb{P}_\Delta$ degenerating to $M_0 = \partial \mathbb{P}_\Delta$, the toric boundary divisor of $\mathbb{P}_\Delta$ with the reduced scheme structure. Let $(\partial \mathbb{P}_\Delta)_{\text{Sing}}$ be the locus of singular points of $\partial \mathbb{P}_\Delta$, $(\partial \mathbb{P}_\Delta)_{\text{Smooth}} := \partial \mathbb{P}_\Delta \setminus (\partial \mathbb{P}_\Delta)_{\text{Sing}}$, $\text{Disc} := M_t \cap (\partial \mathbb{P}_\Delta)_{\text{Sing}}$ be the discriminant, $\pi_\Delta : \mathbb{P}_\Delta \to \Delta$ be the moment map and $\mathcal{A} := \pi_\Delta(\text{Disc})$. Suppose that $\mathbb{P}_\Delta$ has at worst isolated Gorenstein orbifold singularities. The singularities are necessarily at the preimage of vertices of $\Delta$ under $\pi_\Delta$, and thus $M_t$ is a smooth threefold for $|t| > 0$ small.

Starting with a reflexive polytope $\Delta_X$, [18] exhibited a Minkowski summand $\Delta'$ so that $\Delta = \Delta_X + \Delta'$ has the property that $(\partial \Delta, \mathcal{A})$ is simple ([20], Definition 1.60). We
TROPICALLY CONSTRUCTED LAGRANGIANS IN MIRROR QUINTIC THREEFOLDS

equip \( \partial \Delta \setminus \mathcal{A} \) with an integral affine structure using the integral affine structure on \( \pi_\Delta((\partial \mathcal{P}_\Delta)_{\text{Smooth}}) \) and the fan structure at the vertices, see Definition 3.13 in [18], Example 1.18 in [20]. Therefore, we can define tropical curves \( \gamma \) in \( (\partial \Delta, \mathcal{A}) \), see Definition 2.2 below. We require \( \gamma \cap \mathcal{A} \) to be the set of univalent vertices of \( \gamma \). Let \( \Lambda \) be the local system of integral tangent vectors on \( \partial \Delta \setminus \mathcal{A} \). When \( \gamma \) is rigid and \( \Lambda \) is trivializable over \( \gamma \), we can associate to \( \gamma \) its multiplicity \( \text{mult}(\gamma) \) defined in [30] (cf. [31], [38]), which we recall in Section 2.7. The multiplicity depends on the directions of edges of \( \gamma \) as well as the monodromy action around \( \mathcal{A} \) near the univalent vertices of \( \gamma \). We call a tropical curve \( \gamma \) admissible if for each univalent vertex \( v \), there is a neighborhood \( O_v \) of \( v \) such that \( O_v \cap \mathcal{A} \) is an embedded curve (rather than two-dimensional). Admissibility will be abundant for mirror quintic threefolds as we will see below (Lemma 2.6). Moreover, an admissible tropical curve determines a diffeomorphism type of a 3-manifold in the way that the diffeomorphism type of a tropical Lagrangian over \( \gamma \) is determined by \( \gamma \). By slight abuse of terminology, we call the diffeomorphism type determined by \( \gamma \) a Lagrangian lift of \( \gamma \) (see Section 2.6). We denote the \( \epsilon \)-neighborhood of \( \gamma \) with respect to the Euclidean distance on \( \partial \Delta \) by \( W_\epsilon(\gamma) \). Our main theorem is:

**Theorem 1.1.** Let \( \gamma \) be an admissible tropical curve. For any \( \epsilon > 0 \), there exist a \( \delta > 0 \) such that for all \( 0 < |t| < \delta \), there is a closed embedded Lagrangian \( L \subset M_t \) such that \( \pi_\Delta(L) \subset W_\epsilon(\gamma) \) and \( L \) is diffeomorphic to a Lagrangian lift of \( \gamma \). Moreover, whenever \( \text{mult}(\gamma) \) is well-defined, we have \( w(L) = \text{mult}(\gamma) \).

**Remark 1.2.** While our main examples are mirror quintics, Theorem 1.1 applies to all admissible tropical curves that arise from the setup in [18] explained above. For example, the tropical curves are not necessarily simply connected.

**Remark 1.3.** While \( \text{mult}(\gamma) \) is only defined when \( \Lambda \) is trivializable over \( \gamma \) [30], in view of Theorem 1.1 it is tempting to define \( \text{mult}(\gamma) \) by \( w(L) \) when \( \Lambda \) is not trivializable. We believe that this definition will have application to enumerative problems in algebraic/tropical geometry.

**Remark 1.4.** One can easily generalize \( w(L) = \text{mult}(\gamma) \) to all dimensions (see Remark 2.10). However, it is pointed out to us by Joyce that we do not expect a special Lagrangian counting invariant in dimensions higher than 4.

**Sketch of proof of Theorem 1.1.** The construction is divided into two parts: for the geometry away from the discriminant and near the discriminant. Both constructions rely heavily on the fact we can isotope \( M_t \) symplectically to a nice symplectic hypersurface in local coordinates, as long as the isotopy is away from the discriminant and does not produce new discriminant (see Lemma 4.1).
For the construction away from the discriminant, we isotope $M_t$ to a standard form (Lemma 5.4) in a chart such that $M_t$ admits a local Lagrangian torus fibration and we can construct a local Lagrangian from the torus fibration (Proposition 5.5). We have to deal with compatibility of standard forms (Lemma 5.11), transition of symplectic charts (Corollary 5.16) and the trivalent vertices of $\gamma$ (Lemma 5.20). The outcome will be an embedded Lagrangian with toroidal boundaries such that the $\pi_\Delta$-image lies in a small neighborhood of $\gamma$.

Then we need to close up the Lagrangian with toroidal boundaries by Lagrangian solid tori near the discriminant, which is the essential part of the construction. The basic idea is that we can deform $M_t$ to a particular $M$ such that we have complete control away from the discriminant. We find an appropriate open subset $V$ of $M$ which is an exact symplectic manifold with contact boundary (Proposition 6.26) and we have complete control near the contact boundary of $V$. We show that $V$ is a symplectic bundle over an annulus and we use our control near $\partial V$ to show that the boundaries of the fibers are standard contact $S^3$. By a famous result of Gromov, each fiber is symplectomorphic to an open 4-ball (Theorem 6.6). There is a Legendrian $T^2$ inside $\partial V$, which is an $S^1$-bundle over $S^1$ with respect to the symplectic 4-ball fiber bundle structure on $V$. This Legendrian $T^2$ can be filled by a Lagrangian solid torus in $V$ by a soft symplectic method (Proposition 6.17), which gives the Lagrangian solid torus we need.

Once the Lagrangian is constructed, the statement that $w(L) = \text{mult}(\gamma)$ follows from a simple calculation using Čech cohomology (see Subsection 2.7) and it was obtained independently in [37] by a different argument after a presentation of our result given by the second author in 2017. □

**Application to symplectic topology.** When $L$ is diffeomorphic to a free quotient of a sphere by a finite subgroup of $SO(4)$, we can define Dehn twist along $L$, which is an element in the symplectomorphism group $\text{Symp}(M)$ of $M$. It is easy to deduce from Theorem 1.1 the following:

**Corollary 1.5.** Let $k_{\text{max}}$ be the maximum number of disjoint tropical curves satisfying Theorem 1.1 such that for each $i = 1, \ldots, k_{\text{max}}$, the corresponding $L_i$ is a spherical manifold. Then $\pi_0(\text{Symp}(M))$ contains an abelian subgroup isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^{k_{\text{max}}}$.

Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the set of admissible tropical lines in $(\partial \Delta, \mathcal{A})$ associated to a pencil of mirror quintics. We can show that the Lagrangians constructed by Theorem 1.1 are homologous and non-Hamiltonian isotopic in the following sense:
Theorem 1.6. Let $\gamma \in S$ and $L_\gamma$ be a Lagrangian obtained by Theorem 1.1. For any $\gamma' \in S$, we can get a Lagrangian $L_{\gamma'}$ by Theorem 1.1 such that $[L_\gamma] = [L_{\gamma'}] \in H_3(M, \mathbb{Z})$. Moreover, if $\gamma \cap \gamma' = \emptyset$, then $L_\gamma$ is not Hamiltonian isotopic to $L_{\gamma'}$.

Note that, in generic situations, most tropical curves are disjoint from the others so Theorem 1.6 gives a large number of pairwise homologous but non-Hamiltonian isotopic Lagrangian rational homology spheres, which is a rare application to symplectic topology in the literature.

By a computer-aided search for a particular symplectic mirror quintic, we found 354 pairwise disjoint tropical curves giving 312 Lagrangian $S^3$ and 42 Lagrangian $\mathbb{RP}^3$ in the mirror quintic all of which are pairwise disjoint. The total number of tropical curves in our example is however 2785 out of which 2695 have multiplicity one (corresponding to $S^3$’s) and 90 have multiplicity two (corresponding to $\mathbb{RP}^3$’s), so the weighted sum is indeed 2875. Their adjacency matrix has full rank, which implies that every tropical line intersects some other tropical line. Inspection of the center of Figure 2.3 gives an impression of the meeting of tropical lines, yet tropical lines also meet another across components of the degenerate Calabi-Yau unlike possibly expected. We don’t know whether this is a general phenomenon or due to possibly not having the most general deformation. We chose a random small perturbation of the subdivision given in §2.4.

Structure of the paper. In Section 2 we give some background of SYZ mirror symmetry and the tropical curves in the affine base. We also explain the topology of the Lagrangians and derive some consequences, including Theorem 1.1 by assuming Theorem 1.4, which is proved in the subsequent sections. In Section 3 we review toric geometry from symplectic perspective. In Section 4 we explain how to perform symplectic isotopy away from the discriminant for our pencil of hypersurfaces. After that, we explain the construction of the Lagrangians away from the discriminant and near the discriminant in Section 5 and 6, respectively. We conclude the proof of Theorem 1.1 in Section 6.8.
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The toy model of the SYZ mirror symmetry conjecture is the following. Set $V = \mathbb{R}^n$ and let $TV$ and $T^*V$ denote the tangent and cotangent bundle. Let $T_ZV$ denote the local system on $V$ of integral tangent vectors (using the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^n$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$). The quotient $TV/T_ZV$ is an $(S^1)^n$-bundle over $V$. Similarly, we can define $T^*_ZV$ and another $(S^1)^n$-bundle $T^*V/T^*_ZV$. We arrive at dual torus fibrations over $V$,

$$X := TV/T_ZV \to V \leftarrow T^*V/T^*_ZV =: \tilde{X}$$

where the left one carries a natural complex structure via $z_j = x_j + idx_j$ for $i = \sqrt{-1}$ and $x_j$ the $j$th coordinate on $V$. The right one carries a natural symplectic structure inherited from the canonical one of $T^*V$. Part of the conjecture of SYZ is that mirror symmetry is locally of this form. Unless talking about complex tori, in practice there are also singular torus fibres in these bundles for Euler characteristic reasons and we will get back to this.

Note that this toy model gives insight on how a complex submanifold ought to become a Lagrangian submanifold of the mirror dual. If $W$ is an integrally generated linear subspace of $V$, then $TW/T_ZW$ is naturally a complex submanifold of $X$. On the other hand, $W^\perp/(W^\perp \cap T_ZV)$ as a subbundle of $T^*V/T^*_ZV$ supported over $W$ is a Lagrangian submanifold of $\tilde{X}$. To reach sufficient generality, one needs to run this construction for the situation where $W$ is a tropical variety, i.e. a polyhedral complex. At a general point it still looks just like the above but then pieces are glued non-trivially when polyhedral parts meet another. However, this doesn’t produce differentiable submanifolds, let alone complex or Lagrangian. Improvements on the symplectic side can be made by thickening the tropical $W$ to an amoeba, see [34]. In this article, we are only interested in the situation where $W$ is one-dimensional, so a tropical curve, and the focus will be put on constructing closed Lagrangian submanifolds in Calabi-Yau threefolds using tropical curves. Whenever $\tilde{X}$ compactifies to a projective toric variety and the tropical curve attaches to the codimension two strata in the moment polytope in particular ways, Mikhalkin recently gave a construction of closed Lagrangian submanifolds in the projective toric variety [37]. On the other hand, no Lagrangian torus fibration is known for any simply-connected compact Calabi-Yau threefold. This, however, is the situation we are interested in and that is also the subject of the SYZ conjecture. Luckily, most Calabi-Yau threefolds permit degenerations to a reducible union of toric varieties which introduces toric techniques to their study as we lay out for the quintic and its mirror dual in the next sections.

2.1. The quintic threefold and its symplectic mirror duals. The most famous Calabi-Yau threefold is the quintic $X$ in $\mathbb{CP}^4$. Its mirror dual $\tilde{X}$ is a crepant resolution
of an anti-canonical hypersurface in the weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}_{\Delta_\check{X}}$ associated to the lattice simplex 

$$\Delta_\check{X} = \text{conv}\{e_1, ..., e_4, -\sum_j e_j\}.$$ 

One finds $\mathbb{P}_{\Delta_\check{X}} \cong \mathbb{C}^4/(\mathbb{Z}_5)^3$. As progress towards nailing the SYZ conjecture for the quintic, Mark Gross [32, Theorem 4.4] gave a topological torus fibration on a space that is diffeomorphic to $\check{X}$ and Matessi and Castaño-Bernard [5] showed that this one can be upgraded to a piecewise smooth Lagrangian fibration for some symplectic structure and a similar approach works for $\check{X}$. We don’t work on the diffeomorphic model but on the actual symplectic quintic mirror $\check{X}$, in fact each of the many possibilities resulting from different choices of a crepant resolution works for us ($h^{1,1}(\check{X}) = 101$). What concerns our construction, it suffices to have a Lagrangian torus fibration locally around the Lagrangian $L$ that we wish to construct from a tropical curve $\gamma$. Already for saying where $\gamma$ lives, we make use of the construction of the real affine base space of the torus fibration from [32].

The Newton polytope of the quintic is the polar dual to $\Delta_\check{X}$, that is, the convex hull $\text{conv}\{0,5e_1,...,5e_4\}$ translated by $(-1,-1,-1,-1)$ so that its unique interior lattice point $(1,1,1,1)$ becomes the origin. We call the resulting polytope $\Delta_X$. Choosing $a_m \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ for each $m \in \partial \Delta_X \cap \mathbb{Z}^4$ yields a cone in $\mathbb{R}^4 \oplus \mathbb{R}$ generated by the set of $(m,a_m)$ and its boundary gives the graph of a piecewise linear convex function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^4 \to \mathbb{R}$. We require that every face in the boundary is a simplicial cone and we assume that each $(m,\varphi(m))$ for $m \in \partial \Delta_X \cap \mathbb{Z}^4$ is a ray of this cone, in particular $\varphi(m) = a_m$ for all $m$. There are lots of $\varphi$ satisfying these properties and each one gives a toric projective crepant partial resolution

$$\text{res} : \mathbb{P}_\Delta \to \mathbb{P}_{\Delta_\check{X}}$$

where $\mathbb{P}_\Delta$ is given by the fan in $\mathbb{R}^4$ whose maximal cones are the maximal regions of linearity of $\varphi$. Equivalently, $\mathbb{P}_\Delta$ is given by the polytope 

\begin{equation}
\Delta = \bigcap_{m \in \partial \Delta_X \cap \mathbb{Z}^4} \{n \in \mathbb{R}^4 | \langle n, m \rangle \geq -a_m\} = \{n \in \mathbb{R}^4 | \langle n, m \rangle \leq \varphi(m) \text{ for all } m \in \mathbb{R}^4\}
\end{equation}

**Lemma 2.1.** $\mathbb{P}_\Delta$ has at worst isolated Gorenstein orbifold singularities.

**Proof.** If $\sigma$ is a maximal cone in the fan, i.e. a maximal region of linearity of $\varphi$, then it is simplicial by assumption, hence generated by $m_1, ..., m_4 \in \partial \Delta_X$ say. Moreover, these generators are all contained in a single facet $F$ of $\Delta_X$ because the fan refines the normal fan of $\Delta_\check{X}$. By the assumption that each $(m, \varphi(m))$ for $m \in \partial \Delta_X \cap \mathbb{Z}^4$ is a ray generator, we find $F \cap \sigma \cap \mathbb{Z}^4 = \{m_1, ..., m_4\}$. So $\sigma$ is a cone over the elementary lattice
simplex given by the convex hull of $m_1, ..., m_4$, thus gives a terminal toric Gorenstein orbifold singularity and these have codimension four.

Let $w_j$ be the monomial associated to $e_j$. Consider the (singular) hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}_\mathcal{X}$ given by an anticanonical section written as a Laurent polynomial on $(\mathbb{C}^*)^4$,

$$h = \alpha_1 w_1 + \alpha_2 w_2 + \alpha_3 w_3 + \alpha_4 w_4 + \alpha_0 + \alpha_5 (w_1 w_2 w_3 w_4)^{-1}$$

with $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and $-5\alpha_0^5 \neq \prod_{i=1}^5 \alpha_i$ (so that $h = 0$ gives a submanifold of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^4$, cf. [3, §2]). The monomial exponents of $h$ are precisely the lattice points of $\Delta_\mathcal{X}$. The closure of $h = 0$ in $\mathbb{P}_\Delta$ misses the isolated orbifold points at zero-dimensional strata (Lemma [2.1]) and gives a symplectic 6-manifold $\mathcal{X}$ with symplectic structure induces from $\mathbb{P}_\Delta$. Furthermore, $\mathcal{X}$ is a Calabi-Yau manifold as it agrees with the crepant resolution under res of the anticanonical hypersurface, the closure of $h = 0$ inside $\mathbb{P}_\Delta$. Deforming the $a_m \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, one can study continuous deformations of the symplectic structure. The space of crepant symplectic resolutions acquires an interesting chamber structure with a point on a wall given by a set of $a_m$ that violates the simplicialness of $\varphi$. Just as a remark: the wall geometry is governed by the secondary polytope of $\Delta_\mathcal{X}$.

2.2. The real affine manifold and tropical curves. Following [13], we next explain how to give a real integral affine structure on a large open subset of $\partial \Delta$ where $\Delta$ is a lattice polytope obtained from a $\varphi$ as in [2.1] We split $\Delta$ as a Minkowski sum

$$\Delta = \Delta_\mathcal{X} + \Delta'$$

where $\Delta'$ is the polytope associated to the piecewise linear function $\varphi'$ that takes value $\varphi(m) - 1$ at $m \in \partial \Delta_\mathcal{X} \cap \mathbb{Z}^4$. Indeed, this gives a decomposition as claimed because $\Delta_\mathcal{X}$ is the polytope of the function $\varphi_\mathcal{X}$ taking value 1 on all of $\partial \Delta_\mathcal{X}$, so $\varphi = \varphi_\mathcal{X} + \varphi'$. By the decomposition, every vertex $v$ of $\Delta$ is uniquely expressible as $v = v_\mathcal{X} + v'$ for $v_\mathcal{X}$ a vertex of $\Delta_\mathcal{X}$ and $v'$ a vertex of $\Delta'$. We project a small neighbourhood $W_v \subset \partial \Delta$ of $v$ onto $\mathbb{R}^3 \cong [v + \mathbb{R}^4]/[v + \mathbb{R}v_\mathcal{X}]$ which is injective and thereby gives a real affine chart for $W_v$. There is also an integral structure obtained by complementing $\mathcal{X}$ to a lattice basis of $\mathbb{R}^4$ to find a lattice for the quotient. We do this for each vertex $v$ of $\Delta$. Furthermore, for each facet $F$ of $\Delta$, its interior $\text{Int}(F)$ carries a natural integral affine structure from the tangent space to the facet. Combining the resulting charts $W_v$ with the interiors of facet $\text{Int}(F)$ yields an atlas on $\partial \Delta$ for an integral affine structure, i.e. transitions in $\text{GL}_4(\mathbb{Z}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^4$. By choosing $W_v$ suitably, the complement the union of charts can be made to be

$$\mathcal{A} := \pi_\Delta(\mathcal{X} \cap \mathbb{P}_\Delta^{[2]}) = \pi_\Delta(\mathcal{X}) \cap \partial \Delta^{[2]}$$
where $\mathbb{P}_\Delta^{[2]}$ is the union of complex two-dimensional strata, $\partial \Delta^{[2]}$ the union of two-cells of $\Delta$ and $\pi_\Delta : \mathbb{P}_\Delta \to \Delta$ is the moment map for the Hamiltonian $(S^1)^4$-action on $\mathbb{P}_\Delta$. We don’t need $\Delta$ to be a lattice polytope for this construction. The affine structure is integral affine because $\Delta \check{X}$ is a lattice polytope. Let $\Lambda$ denote the local system of integral tangent vectors on $\partial \Delta \setminus A$ (we also used $T_Z$ before).

**Definition 2.2.** A tropical curve in $(\partial \Delta, A)$ is a graph $\gamma$ (realized as a topological space) together with a continuous injection $h : \gamma \to \partial \Delta$ such that

1. A vertex of $\gamma$ is either univalent or trivalent,
2. $h(v) \in A \iff v$ is a univalent vertex of $\gamma,$
3. the image of the interior of an edge $e$ is a straight line segment in the affine structure of $\partial \Delta \setminus A$ of rational tangent direction,
4. For $v$ with $h(v) \in A$, the primitive tangent vector of the adjacent edge $e$ generates the image of $T_v - \text{id}$ for $T_v$ the monodromy of $\Lambda$ along any non-trivial simple loop $\nu$ around $A$ in a small neighbourhood of $v$.
5. For every trivalent vertex $v$, and $e_1, e_2, e_3 \in \Lambda_v$ the primitive tangent vectors into the outgoing edges, we have $e_1 + e_2 + e_3 = 0$ and $e_1, e_2$ span a saturated sublattice of $\Lambda_v$.

We consider two tropical curves $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2$ the same if there exists a homeomorphism $\Gamma_1 \to \Gamma_2$ that commutes with $h_1, h_2$. By slight abuse of notation, we also use $\gamma$ to refer to the image of $h$. The following lemma guarantees that we can always satisfy (4) above as long as the tropical curve approaches $A$ from the right direction. The lemma directly follows from the aforementioned simplicity of $(\partial \Delta, A)$, c.f. [17].

**Lemma 2.3.** Let $x \in \partial \Delta \setminus A$ be a point contained in a small neighbourhood $V$ so that $\pi_1(V \setminus A, x)$ is homotopic to $S^1$. Let $\nu \in \pi_1(V \setminus A, x) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ be a generator and $T_\nu : \Lambda_x \to \Lambda_x$ the monodromy of $\Lambda$ along $\nu$. In a suitable basis of $\Lambda_x \cong \mathbb{Z}^3$, $T_\nu$ is given by $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, in particular the image of $T_\nu - \text{id}$ is saturated of rank one, i.e. generated by a primitive vector.

2.3. **Katz’s methods for finding lines on a quintic.** The quintic $X$ permits a flat degeneration to the union of coordinate hyperplanes simply by interpolation: if $X$ is given by the homogenous quintic equation $f_5$ in the variables $u_0, ..., u_4$ then we define the family of hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{CP}^3$ varying with $t$ by

$$u_0 \cdot ... \cdot u_4 + tf_5 = 0$$

and denote by $X_0$ the fibre with $t = 0$. Since $X_0$ is the union of five projective spaces, it contains infinitely many lines. However, only a finite number of them deforms to the nearby fibres and Sheldon Katz worked out which ones [45]. Assuming $f_5$ is general, the
intersection of $X$ with each coordinate two-plane is a smooth complex quintic curve. There are ten of these.

**Theorem 2.4** (Katz). A line in $X_0$ deforms into the nearby fibre if and only if it does not meet any coordinate line of $\mathbb{P}^4$ but meets four of the 10 quintic curves.

Note that it follows that a line which deforms needs to be contained in a unique irreducible component $H \cong \mathbb{P}^3$ of $X_0$ and then it needs to meet the 4 quintic curves that are contained in this component, namely the intersections of the four coordinate planes of $H$ with $X$. A general quintic hosts $2875 = 5 \cdot 575$ many lines and in the degeneration, each $H$ contains 575 deformable lines, [45]. On the dense algebraic torus $(\mathbb{C}^*)^3$ of $\mathbb{CP}^3$, we may apply the map $(\mathbb{C}^*)^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ given by $\log | \cdot |$ for each coordinate. Each line maps to an amoeba with four legs going off to infinity in the directions of the rays in the fan of the toric variety $\mathbb{P}^3$. Furthermore, these legs “meet the amoeba of the quintic plane curves at infinity”. We are not going to make this more precise because we only use this idea as inspiration. There is a closely related theorem that was our main motivation combined with Katz’s findings:

**Theorem 2.5** ([30]). The number of tropical lines in $\mathbb{R}^3$ meeting 5 general quintic tropical curves at tropical infinity each in one of the four directions of the rays of the fan of $\mathbb{P}^3$ when counted with their tropical multiplicities agrees with the number of complex lines in $\mathbb{P}^3$ meeting five general quintic plane curves.

So we may almost deduce from Katz’s count of complex lines a count of tropical lines via this theorem. The only issue here is the attribute “general”. Indeed, the quintic curves in Katz’s situation are not in general position. If they were, the count would be $2 \cdot 5^4$ by standard Schubert calculus but this number is way bigger than 575. Indeed, any pair of quintic curves meets each other in 5 points which wouldn’t happen if they were in general position. They meet each other because they arise from the same equation $f_5 = 0$ restricted to each coordinate plane.

We expect that in the more special position where the tropical quintics meet each other, after removing degenerate tropical lines (meaning those that move in positive-dimensional families, meet vertices of the discriminant curve or don’t have the expected combinatorial type $\geq <$), then one actually finds 575 when counting these with multiplicity. We verify this below in a global example. Before going into its details, let us clarify why tropical lines in $\mathbb{R}^3$ that meet tropical quintics at infinity relate to $(\partial \Delta, \mathcal{A})$ in the sense of Definition 2.2. For $s \in [0, 1]$, setting $\Delta_s = \Delta_X + s \Delta'$, we observe $\Delta_0 = \Delta_X$ and $\Delta_1 = \Delta$. In this sense, $\Delta$ is a deformation of $\Delta_X$ and note that $\Delta_s$ has the same combinatorial type for all $s > 0$. Recall the notion of the discrete Legendre transform from [19, 20, 42]. Since $\Delta_X$ and $\Delta_X$ are polar duals, their boundaries
are discrete Legendre dual, [20, Example 1.18]. The subdivided boundary of $\Delta_X$ by means of $\varphi$ is the discrete Legendre dual to $\partial \Delta$. For a 2-cell $\tau$ in $\partial \Delta$, there are three possibilities for what its deformation $\bar{\tau}$ in $\Delta_0 = \Delta_X$ ends up to be, namely 0-, 1- or 2-dimensional. These cases match with whether its dual (one-dimensional) face $\bar{\tau}$ in the subdivision of $\partial \Delta_X$ lies in a 3-, 2- or 1-cell of $\Delta_X$. Most importantly, since the subdivision of $\Delta_X$ by $\varphi$ governs the composition $\mathbb{P}_\Delta \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{P}_{\Delta_X} \rightarrow \Delta_X$, the following holds.

**Lemma 2.6.** Let $\tau \subset \partial \Delta$ be a 2-cell. Recall the monomials $w_1, \ldots, w_4$. We set $w_5 := (w_1w_2w_3w_4)^{-1}$ and $i \in \bar{\tau}$ means that the vertex of $\Delta_X$ corresponding to $w_i$ is contained in $\bar{\tau}$. The amoeba part $A \cap \tau$ is given by

$$g_{\tau,0} := \sum_{i \in \bar{\tau}} \alpha_i w_i$$

as an equation on the torus orbit dense in the stratum of $\mathbb{P}_\Delta$ given by $\tau$.

In particular, for $\tau$ deforming to an edge of $\Delta_X$, $g_{\tau,0}$ is a binomial. Also note that $A \cap \tau = \emptyset$ if $\bar{\tau}$ is a 0-cell.

Note that $g_{\tau,0}$ is a binomial if and only if the corresponding amoeba is one-dimensional and hence tropical curves ending on it will be admissible (see also Remark 6.2).

If $\varphi$ is a unimodular subdivision, e.g. as in Figure 2.2 then most two-cells of $\partial \Delta$ have $A \cap \tau = \emptyset$, there are $5 \cdot 10$ many two-cells of $\partial \Delta$ that deform to triangles in $\Delta_X$ but most interestingly for us, $10 \cdot 30$ two-cells deform to edges, hence their amoeba is given by a binomial. These amoeba pieces arrange as 10 plane quintic curves, e.g. as in Figure 2.1. (One verifies that indeed the number of interior edges is 30 here.) Each quintic curve is dual to the triangulation of a two-face of $\Delta_X$, e.g. consider the front face in the right hand part of Figure 2.2.

Each facet of $\Delta_X$ contains four triangle faces, hence dually, four quintic curves arrange together as the boundary of a space tropical quintic surface in $\mathbb{R}^3$. In particular, we can view them as lying at infinity and since they make up the discriminant in $\partial \Delta$, a tropical line in $\mathbb{R}^3$ with ends on the four quintics thus gives a tropical curve in $\partial \Delta$. There are a lot of these, see Figure 2.3 and most of them are admissible, i.e. they meet
one of the 30 inner edges of each quintic, rather than the 15 outer ones. Also note that this configuration appears five times in the boundary of $\partial \Delta$.

2.4. A very symmetric subdivision and resolution of the quintic mirror. We next give an example for $P_\Delta$ that is even a manifold, see also [32, p. 122: Fig. 4.6]. The subdivision of each facet of $\partial \Delta_X$ is obtained from the affine Weyl chambers of type $A_3$, cf. [27, III, §2]. Concretely, let $\varphi_0 : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be the unique continuous convex function that is linear on each connected component of $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$, changes slope by 1 at each point in $\mathbb{Z}$ and is constantly zero on $[0, 1]$, see Figure 2.2. One finds $\varphi_0(n) = n(n - 1)/2$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ (“discrete parabola”). Now consider the piecewise affine function $\varphi_1 : \mathbb{R}^4 \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\varphi_1(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = \varphi_0(x_1) + \varphi_0(x_2) + \varphi_0(x_3) + \varphi_0(x_4) + \varphi_0(x_1 + x_2) + \varphi_0(x_2 + x_3) + \varphi_0(x_3 + x_4) + \varphi_0(x_1 + x_2 + x_3) + \varphi_0(x_2 + x_3 + x_4) + \varphi_0(x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4).$$

and finally define $\varphi$ as the unique piecewise linear function on $\mathbb{R}^4$ that coincide with $\varphi_1$ on $\partial \Delta_X$. For $m \in \partial \Delta_X \cap \mathbb{Z}^4$, set $a_m = \varphi(m)$ and recall from §2.1 that $\varphi$ is entirely determined from the set of $a_m$.

The induced subdivisions of any two facets are isomorphic and looks like what is on the right in Figure 2.2. One checks that each four-dimensional cone in the fan given by $\varphi$ is lattice-isomorphic to the standard cone $\mathbb{R}^4_{\geq 0} \subset \mathbb{R}^4$, so the resulting $P_\Delta$ is smooth. In
our explicit example below, we will use a slight perturbation replacing $a_m$ by $a_m + \varepsilon_m$ for random $\varepsilon_m$ to increase our chance of being in a generic situation. Plugging the perturbed $a_m$ into (2.1) yields a slightly deformed $\Delta$ and while the complex manifold $\mathbb{P}_\Delta$ doesn’t change, this slightly perturbs the symplectic form in a well-understood manner. The best way to understand what $(\partial \Delta, \mathcal{A})$ looks like, is by considering its discrete Legendre dual, the subdivision of $\Delta_X$ by $\varphi$ which is five copies of the right hand side of Figure 2.2 glued along facets, so that after identification there are ten 2-faces, each carrying a subdivision that is dual to that of a quintic curve in its most symmetric form show in Figure 2.1.

2.5. The findings of a computer search for the tropical lines. As described in the previous section, we obtained a particular $\partial \Delta$ as a small perturbation of $\varphi$ that gave the very symmetric subdivision of $\Delta_X$. From Katz’s work as described in §2.3, we are looking for tropical lines that meet the quadrupel of quintic curves that come from the triangle facets of a facet of $\Delta_X$. We used a computer for this search. It found too many lines but after removing all lines that meet vertices of the quintics, that have only one internal vertex or are non-rigid (that is move in families), we did actually get the expected count - when counting with multipley. That is, maybe surprisingly, the lines weren’t all of multiplicity one. We give the definition of the multiplicity in §2.7. For each of the five facets of $\Delta_X$, the count with multiplicity of the tropical curves gave indeed 575, so in total 2875 as expected. We found 2695 curves of multiplicity one and 90 of multiplicity two. These 90 did not evenly distribute over the 5 facets: $15 + 16 + 18 + 20 + 21$. While 90 is a number that hasn’t appeared yet in the context of the quintic to our knowledge, one may speculate that this says something about the count of real lines: for rational curves on an elliptic surface, the presence of higher multiplicity tropical curves is implied from the Welschinger invariant to differ from the Gromov-Witten invariant, see e.g. [49, §4.2.2].

The goal is to construct Lagrangian threefolds from these tropical curves. The remainder of this article carries this out for admissible curves. Recall that the requirement is that the tropical curve meets the discriminant amoeba $\mathcal{A}$ in points where this amoeba is one-dimensional. By Lemma 2.6 this holds true if the tropical line meets the internal edges of the quintic curves, i.e. no outer edges. A bit more than half the curves feature this: we get 1451 admissible lines out of which 45 have multiplicity two (multiplicity weighted account is 1496). Interestingly, the admissible curves don’t meet curves of other facets (unlike non-admissible ones), though possibly still other curves in their own facet. We found a set of 354 admissible lines that are pairwise disjoint out of which 42 have multiplicity two.
2.6. Lagrangian lift of a tropical curve. In this section, we give the definition of the diffeomorphism type of a Lagrangian lift of a tropical curve $\gamma$ in $(\partial\Delta, \mathcal{A})$ to the Calabi-Yau given by $\partial\Delta$, e.g. the mirror quintic as before. Using the integral affine structure on $\partial\Delta \setminus \mathcal{A}$, we can define a Lagrangian torus bundle by

$$\tilde{X} := T^*(\partial\Delta \setminus \mathcal{A})/T_{\mathbb{Z}}^*(\partial\Delta \setminus \mathcal{A}).$$

Recall the notation $\Lambda = T_{\mathbb{Z}}^*(\partial\Delta \setminus \mathcal{A})$ and note that $\partial\Delta$ is an orientable topological manifold and so $\wedge^3 \Lambda \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Fixing an orientation once and for all, we can talk about oriented bases of stalks of $\Lambda$.

For each edge $e$ of $\gamma$ and a point $x$ in the interior $e^o$ of $e$, we get the 2-dimensional subspace $e^\perp$ of $T_{\mathbb{Z}}^*(\partial\Delta \setminus \mathcal{A})$ consisting of covectors that are perpendicular to the direction of $e$. By Definition 2.2(3), every translation $e^\perp + a$ descends to an embedded 2-torus in $\tilde{X}$. A smooth family of these 2-tori over $x \in e^o$ defines a (trivial) torus bundle $L_{e^o}$ over $e^o$ and the total space $L_{e^o}$ is a Lagrangian submanifold in $\tilde{X}$. It extends over the vertices of $e$ that don’t lie in $\mathcal{A}$, let $L_e$ denote the extension.

Remark 2.7. Let $f : e^o \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function such that it decends to a compactly supported function $f' : e^o \to \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$. Given a smooth family of 2-tori over $x \in e^o$ as above, we can define a new family by fiberwise translating the 2-tori by $f(x)$. 

Figure 2.3. Tropical lines in $\mathbb{R}^3$ meeting 4 tropical quintics at infinity, of total multiplicity 575.
The resulting Lagrangian is a different embedding of a 2-torus times interval to $\tilde{X}^\circ$. The function $f'$ being compactly supported corresponds to that the two embeddings coincides near the ends of $e^\circ$.

For each trivalent vertex $v$ of $\gamma$, by Definition 2.2(5), we can identify the primitive tangent vector of the outgoing edges as $e_1 = (1, 0, 0), e_2 = (0, 1, 0)$ and $e_3 = (-1, -1, 0)$ with respect to a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $\Lambda_v \cong \mathbb{Z}^3$. Let $\tilde{L}_v$ be the subset of $T_v \partial \Delta/(T_v \partial \Delta)_{\mathbb{Z}} = (\mathbb{R}/2\pi \mathbb{Z})^3$ consisting of all the points $(q_1, q_2, q_3)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} q_1, q_2 \geq 0 \text{ and } q_1 + q_2 \leq \pi \\ q_1, q_2 \leq 0 \text{ and } q_1 + q_2 \geq -\pi \end{cases}$$

Equipping $\tilde{L}_v$ with the subspace topology yields a finite CW complex of the same homotopy type as a pairs of pants times a circle. More explicitly, $\tilde{L}_v$ has a trivial circle factor given by the $q_3$-coordinate, and (2.3) defines two triangles in the $q_1, q_2$-coordinates and the vertices of the triangles are glued at $(q_1, q_2) = (0, 0), (0, \pi), (\pi, 0)$ respectively (see Figure 2.4).

If we equip the two triangles in (2.3) with opposite orientations, then the boundary of them is exactly given by the circles $C_1 := \{q_1 = 0\}, C_2 := \{q_2 = 0\}$ and $C_3 := \{q_1 + q_2 = \pi\}$. For $i = 1, 2, 3$, the product of $C_i$ with the circle in $q_3$-coordinate is exactly $e_i^+ + a_i \subset T_v^*(\partial \Delta \setminus A)$ where $a_1 = a_2 = 0$ and $a_3 = \pi$. For an appropriate choice of orientations, one can see that the boundary of $\tilde{L}_v$ cancels the boundary of $\bigcup_{i=1}^3 L_{e_i}$ near lying above $v$, yet $\tilde{L}_v \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^3 L_{e_i}$ is only a Lagrangian cell complex instead of a manifold. In Section 5.2, we explain how to replace the union of the triangles by a pairs of pants and obtain a Lagrangian pair of pants times circle $L_v$ that can be glued with $\bigcup_{i=1}^3 L_{e_i}$ smoothly.

Every univalent vertex $v$ of $\gamma$ lies in $A$ by Definition 2.2(2). Let $\nu$ and $T_v$ be as in Definition 2.2(4), so, by Lemma 2.3 $T_v = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ for a suitable basis. The primitive direction of the edge $e$ adjacent to $v$ is by assumption given by $\pm(0, 1, 0)$ so the 2-tori in $L_e$ lying above $e$ are generated by $\partial_{q_1}, \partial_{q_3}$. We can glue a solid torus $L_v$ to the toroidal boundary component of $L_e$ lying above $v$ to cap off this boundary component. Moreover, we require that the circle generated by $\partial_{q_3}$ is a meridian of $L_v$. It is useful to observe that $\partial_{q_3}$ is characterized by being perpendicular to the invariant plane $\ker(T_v - \text{id})$.

**Definition 2.8.** The diffeomorphism type of a Lagrangian lift of a tropical curve $\gamma$ is the diffeomorphism type of the closed 3-manifold obtained by gluing $L_v$ and $L_e$ as above over all vertices $v$ and edges $e$ of $\gamma$. 

![Figure 2.4. A pair of pants.](image)
2.7. Lagrangian weight versus tropical multiplicity. Following Joyce, we define the weight of a Lagrangian rational homology sphere $L$ to be $w(L) := |H_1(L,\mathbb{Z})|$ and more generally $w(L) := |H_1(L,\mathbb{Z})_{tor}|$. Let $\gamma$ be a tropical curve in $(\partial \Delta, \mathcal{A})$. In this subsection, we explain how $w(L_\gamma)$ of a tropical Lagrangian $L_\gamma$ can be computed by a Čech covering of the corresponding tropical curve $\gamma$. Since our Lagrangian $L_\gamma$ is homotopic to the Lagrangian cell complex $\tilde{L}_\gamma$ that is built by $\tilde{L}_v$ instead of $L_v$ at the trivalent vertices $v$ (see Section 2.6), it suffices to compute the first homology of $\tilde{L}_\gamma$. For simplicity, we denote $\tilde{L}_\gamma$ by $L_\gamma$ in this subsection. The universal coefficient theorem gives $(H_1(L_\gamma,\mathbb{Z}))_{tor} = (H^2(L_\gamma,\mathbb{Z}))_{tor}$, so we may compute $w(L_\gamma)$ via Čech cohomology.

A collection $\{U_j\}_{j=1}^m$ of open sets in $\partial \Delta$ that covers $\gamma$ is called admissible if

1. $U_{j_1} \cap U_{j_2} \cap U_{j_3} = \emptyset$ whenever $j_1, j_2, j_3$ are pairwise distinct,
2. for all $j$, $\gamma \cap U_j$ is connected and it contains exactly one vertex of $\gamma$ which is, by definition, either trivalent or univalent, and
3. for $j_1 \neq j_2$, $\gamma \cap U_{j_1} \cap U_{j_2}$ (which may be empty) contains no vertex.

For $\{U_j\}_{j=1}^m$ admissible, $H_i(U_j \cap \gamma, \mathbb{Z})$ and $H_i(U_{j_1} \cap U_{j_2} \cap \gamma, \mathbb{Z})$ are torsion free for all $i, j, j_1, j_2$ and therefore

$$w(L_\gamma) = \left| \text{coker} \left( \bigoplus_j H^1(\pi^{-1}_\Delta(U_j) \cap L_\gamma, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\Phi_\gamma} \bigoplus_{i<j} H^1(\pi^{-1}_\Delta(U_i \cap U_j) \cap L_\gamma, \mathbb{Z}) \right) \right|_{tor}$$

where the map $\Phi_\gamma$ is the Čech map on $H^1$ (restriction with sign). Recall from [38, 30, 31] the definition of multiplicity $\text{mult}(\gamma)$ of a tropical curve $\gamma$. Applicable for us is [30, Equation (13)] since we need to consider tropical curves with constraints on unbounded edges (i.e. univalent vertices for us). Let $\gamma^\circ$ be the interior of $\gamma$ and assume that we can trivialize $\Lambda$ on $\gamma^\circ$, i.e. set $N := \Gamma(\gamma^\circ, \Lambda)$ and $N \cong \mathbb{Z}^3$. Furthermore, each univalent vertex $v$ of $\gamma$ gives a saturated rank two subspace $A_v$ in $N$ as the kernel of $T_v - \text{id}$ near $v$. We view this as a constraint for the tropical curve $\gamma$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$ in the sense of [30]. Given these constraints, [30, Equation (13)] provides a map of lattices $\Phi$ whose cokernel torsion gives the tropical multiplicity $\text{mult}(\gamma)$ of $\gamma$.

**Proposition 2.9.** The Čech map $\Phi_\gamma$ is isomorphic to the tropical multiplicity computing map $\Phi$ from [30, Equation (13)] and thus $w(L_\gamma) = \text{mult}(\gamma)$.

**Proof.** The assertion follows if one shows that there is a natural isomorphism

$$H^1(\pi^{-1}_\Delta(U_i \cap U_j) \cap L_\gamma, \mathbb{Z}) \cong N/\mathbb{Z}v$$
whenever $U_i \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$ and $v$ is the primitive generator of the edge of $\gamma$ that meets $U_i \cap U_j$ and an isomorphism

$$H^1(\pi_{\Delta}^{-1}(U_i) \cap L_{\gamma}, \mathbb{Z}) \cong N$$

whenever $U_i$ contains a trivalent vertex and an isomorphism

$$H^1(\pi_{\Delta}^{-1}(U_i) \cap L_{\gamma}, \mathbb{Z}) \cong w^\perp / \mathbb{Z}v$$

whenever $U_i$ contains a univalent vertex of $\gamma$, $w^\perp = \ker(T_{\nu} - \text{id})$ and $v$ the primitive generator of the image of $T_{\nu} - \text{id}$. Furthermore the restriction maps $H^1(\pi_{\Delta}^{-1}(U_j) \cap L_{\gamma}, \mathbb{Z}) \to H^1(\pi_{\Delta}^{-1}(U_i \cap U_j) \cap L_{\gamma}, \mathbb{Z})$ are supposed to be the natural maps under these isomorphisms. The isomorphisms and naturality of restriction maps are straightforward to be checked from the local descriptions of $L_v$ and $L_e$ given in §2.6.

**Remark 2.10.** Proposition 2.9 can be generalized to all dimensions for all tropical curves $\gamma$ satisfying exactly the same set of conditions in Definition 2.2. The main reason is that, in higher dimensions, $\pi_{\Delta}^{-1}(U_i \cap U_j) \cap L_{\gamma}$ and $\pi_{\Delta}^{-1}(U_i) \cap L_{\gamma}$ split as a product and there is a trivial factor accounting for the extra dimensions. Moreover, the universal coefficient theorem gives $(H_1(L_{\gamma}, \mathbb{Z}))_{\text{tor}} = (H^2(L_{\gamma}, \mathbb{Z}))_{\text{tor}}$ no matter what the dimension is so the same Čech cohomology calculation applies to conclude that $w(L_{\gamma}) := |(H_1(L_{\gamma}, \mathbb{Z}))_{\text{tor}}| = \text{mult}(\gamma)$.

### 2.8. Homology class of the Lagrangians.

**Lemma 2.11.** There is a tropical 2-cycle $\Gamma \subset \partial \Delta$ whose associated 3-cycle $L_{\Gamma}$ inside $M_t$ has intersection number one with each Lagrangian $L_{\gamma}$ constructed from a tropical line $\gamma$. 

![Figure 2.6. Intersection of a tropical line $\gamma$ and a tropical 2-cycle $\Gamma$ in $\partial \Delta_X$.](image-url)
Proof. The tropical two-cycle is in fact the tropicalization of the hyperplane class of \( \mathbb{P}^4 \) intersected with the toric boundary, though we don’t try to make this precise. Instead, we give a direct definition. Figure 2.6 shows a union \( \Gamma \) of six polyhedral disks contained in one of the five facets of \( \Delta_X \) and it can be described as a compactification of the union of two-dimensional cones in the fan of \( \mathbb{P}^3 \). It is not hard to see how this glues with similar union of disks in the other facets to become one globally defined union of disks \( \Gamma \), so that \( \Gamma \) is actually a union of only 10 disks, one for each edge of \( \partial \Delta_X \) (the unique edge that is met by the disk). The union of disks doesn’t care about subdividing \( \Delta_X \) by \( \phi \) and since the subdivided \( \partial \Delta_X \) is discrete Legendre dual and homeomorphic to \( \partial \Delta \), we can view \( \Gamma \) as living in \( \partial \Delta \). We want to upgrade the union of disks \( \Gamma \) to a cycle for the homology group \( H_2(\partial \Delta, i^* \bigwedge^2 \Lambda) \) where \( i^* \bigwedge^2 \Lambda \) is the extension of \( \bigwedge^2 \Lambda \) from \( \partial \Delta \) to \( \partial \Delta \). This goes as follows: for each of the ten disks \( D \), the perp space in \( \Lambda \) to the unique edge that is met by \( D \) gives an two-plane \( P \) in (a suitable stalk of) \( \Lambda \) which is in fact monodromy-invariant, so gives a subspace of sections of \( \Gamma(D, i^* \Lambda) \) where \( i^* \Lambda \) is the extension of \( \Lambda \) to \( \partial \Delta \). We pick one of the two generators of \( \bigwedge^2 P \sim = \mathbb{Z} \) which we attach to \( D \) as a section of \( \Gamma(D, i^* \bigwedge^2 \Lambda) \) and simultaneously we orient \( D \) with that chosen orientation (which makes sense since \( D \) meets the perp edge of \( P \) transversely and we have an orientation of \( \partial \Delta \)). One verifies that the so constructed 2-chain for the sheaf \( i^* \bigwedge^2 \Lambda \) is actually closed, so defines a class in \( H_2(\partial \Delta, i^* \bigwedge^2 \Lambda) \). Every tropical line \( \gamma \) defines a cycle in \( H_1(\partial \Delta, \mathcal{A}; i^* \Lambda) \) and, by inspection of Figure 2.6, we see that the bilinear pairing \( H_1(\partial \Delta, \mathcal{A}; i^* \Lambda) \otimes H_2(\partial \Delta; i^* \bigwedge^2 \Lambda) \rightarrow H_0(\partial \Delta; i^* \bigwedge^3 \Lambda) \sim = \mathbb{Z} \) maps \( \gamma \otimes \Gamma \) to \( \pm 1 \) and the sign is the same for each line, so choose \( \pm \Gamma \) accordingly to get \( +1 \). We construct a cycle \( L_\Gamma \) in \( \tilde{X}^0 \) similarly to how we produced \( L_\gamma \) and that this \( L_\Gamma \) actually extends to cycle of \( H_3(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Z}) \) follows from [40]. That the intersection number \( L_\gamma \cdot L_\Gamma \) agrees with the tropical intersection is a local check which is found in [41]. □

Proposition 2.12. If \( \gamma, \gamma' \) are two disjoint tropical lines then we can construct Lagrangians \( L_\gamma, L_\gamma' \) by Theorem 1.1 such that they are homologous.

Proof. We use the well-known fact that the vanishing cycle \( \alpha \cong T^3 \) of the quintic mirror degeneration is a primitive non-trivial homology class (it generates \( W_0 \cong \mathbb{Z} \) of the monodromy weight filtration) with \( \alpha \cdot \alpha = 0 \). Using the cycle \( L_\Gamma \) from Lemma 2.11, we find the following intersection numbers

(2.4) \( L_\Gamma \cdot L_\gamma = 1, \quad L_\Gamma \cdot \alpha = 0, \quad L_\gamma \cdot \alpha = 0, \quad L_\gamma \cdot L_\gamma = 0 \)

where the middle ones follow from the fact that \( \alpha \) can be supported in the complement of \( L_\Gamma \) and \( L_\gamma \) and the last one follows since \( \chi(L_\gamma) = 0 \) for \( L_\gamma \) being a rational homology sphere. We have equations (2.4) similarly for \( L_\gamma' \) in place of \( L_\gamma \). Since the middle cohomology of the mirror quintic has rank four, we can complement \( [\alpha], [L_\gamma], [L_\Gamma] \) to...
a basis of $H_3(M_t,\mathbb{Z})$ by adding a fourth cycle $S$. Moreover since the span of $L_\gamma, L_\tau$ forms a hyperbolic plane (intersection paring $(\frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{3})$ for the basis $\{L_\gamma, L_\tau + nL_\gamma\}$ with suitable $n$), we can require $S$ to be in its orthogonal complement. We write $[L_\gamma] = a[\alpha] + b[L_\gamma] + c[L_\tau] + dS$ and want to determine the coefficients $a, b, c, d$. From the analogue of (2.4) for $L_\gamma'$, we find $d = 0$ by pairing $L_\gamma'$ with $\alpha$ since necessarily $\alpha \cdot S \neq 0$ for $[\alpha]$ being non-zero. Since $\gamma, \gamma'$ don’t meet, $L_\gamma, L_\gamma' = 0$ which yields $c = 0$. Consequently, $1 = L_\tau, L_\gamma' = b$ and hence $[L_\gamma'] = a[\alpha] + [L_\gamma]$ for some $a$.

As explained in Remark 2.13 for the construction of the Lagrangian torus bundle over an edge $e$ of $\gamma'$, there is a freedom given by translating the 2-tori fibers by a function on $e$. Note that $[\alpha]$ is exactly the fundamental class of the trace of the $2\pi$ translation by a 2-torus in a 3-torus fiber. By applying the freedom in the construction and wrapping around $-a$ times, we can construct $L_\gamma'$ such that $[L_\gamma'] = [L_\gamma]$. □

Proof of Theorem 1.6. The Lagrangians $L_\gamma$ and $L_\gamma'$ being homologous is the content of Proposition 2.12. Since they are rational homology spheres, they have unobstructed Floer cohomology over characteristic 0 [13] and we have $HF(L_\gamma, L_\gamma)$ of Proposition 2.12. Since they are rational homology spheres, they have unobstructed Floer cohomology over characteristic 0 [13] and we have $HF(L_\gamma, L_\gamma) = HF(L_\gamma', L_\gamma') \neq 0$. Moreover, since $L_\gamma$ and $L_\gamma'$ are disjoint, we have $HF(L_\gamma, L_\gamma') = 0$. By Hamiltonian invariance of Floer cohomology, we conclude that $L_\gamma$ is not Hamiltonian isotopic to $L_\gamma'$.

2.9. Symplectomorphism group.

Proof of Corollary 1.7. Each spherical Lagrangian submanifold $L_i$ gives rise to a symplectomorphism $\tau_{L_i} : M \rightarrow M$, called the Dehn twist along $L_i$, supported inside an arbitrarily small neighborhood of $L_i$, see [13], [29]. Therefore, it is clear that $\{\tau_{L_i}\}_{i=1}^{k_{\max}}$ generates an abelian subgroup in $\text{Symp}(M)$ that descends to an abelian subgroup $G$ of $\pi_0(\text{Aut}(\text{Ham}(M})) = \text{Ham}(M) / \text{Ham}(M)$ (the equality uses the fact that $\pi_1(M)$ is trivial). We recall from [13] that each $\tau_{L_i}$ can be lifted canonically to a $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$-graded symplectomorphism because $c_1(M) = 0$. Moreover, we know that $\tau_{L_i}(L_i) = L_i[-2]$ and $\tau_{L_i}(L_j) = L_j$ as $\mathbb{Z}$-graded Lagrangians, for all $i \neq j$. Therefore, $g \in G$ is completely determined by $(HF(L_i, g(L_j)))_{i,j=1}^{k_{\max}}$ and $G$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^{k_{\max}}$. □

Remark 2.13. If $L_i$ is a spherical Lagrangian with $|\pi_1(L_i)| = m$, then $(\tau_{L_i})_*A = A + m([L_i] \cdot A)[L_i]$ for $A \in H_3(M,\mathbb{Z})$. Since $[L_i] = [L_j]$ for all $i, j$ (Theorem 1.1.2)), the natural map $G \subset \pi_0(\text{Symp}(M)) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(H_3(M,\mathbb{Z}))$ has a large kernel. It is less clear what the kernel of the natural map $G \subset \pi_0(\text{Symp}(M)) \rightarrow \pi_0(\text{Diff}(M))$ is.

3. Toric geometry in symplectic coordinates

We review some material about complex toric orbifolds. The presentation below is extracted from [1] and [2] (see also [21], [28] and [3]). Any projective complex
toric orbifold $X$ is Kähler and can be equipped with a Kähler form $\omega_X$ such that, for $i = \sqrt{-1}$, the action of the real torus
$$T^n := i\mathbb{R}^n/2\pi i\mathbb{Z}^n \subset \mathbb{C}^n/2\pi i\mathbb{Z}^n =: T^*_C$$
is effective and Hamiltonian with respect to $\omega_X$. The effective Hamiltonian action induces a moment map $\pi_\Delta : X \to \mathbb{R}^n$ with image $\Delta := \pi_\Delta(X)$ being a \textbf{simple} and \textbf{rational} convex polytope. It means that $\Delta$ is a convex polytope such that
- there are precisely $n$ edges meeting at each vertex $p$;
- each edge meeting a vertex $p$ is of the form $\{p + tv_j | r \in [0, r_j]\}$ for some $v_j \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $r_j \geq 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$;
- $\{v_j\}_{j=1}^n$ form a $\mathbb{Q}$-basis of the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^n$.
If the last bullet is replaced by that $\{v_j\}_{j=1}^n$ can be chosen to be a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^n$, then $\Delta$ is called a Delzant polytope and $X$ is a smooth manifold.

We call a face of codimension one of $\Delta$ a \textit{facet}.

\textbf{Definition 3.1.} A labelled polytope is a simple rational convex polytope $\Delta$ plus a positive integer $m$ (label) attached to each facet of $\Delta$.

The label $m$ of a facet $F$ is the order of the orbifold structure group of the generic points in $(\pi_\Delta)^{-1}(F)$. If not mentioned, we assume all labels to be 1.

Lerman and Tolman [28] prove that a labelled simple rational convex polytope $\Delta$ determines a unique (up to equivariant symplectomorphism) compact symplectic orbifold $(X, \omega_X)$ with effective Hamiltonian torus action and moment map image $\Delta$, which is a generalization of Delzant’s result on Delzant polytope and compact symplectic manifold $(X, \omega_X)$ with effective Hamiltonian torus action [7]. They also prove that if $J_1$ and $J_2$ are torus invariant complex structures on $X$ that are compatible with $\omega_X$ then $(X, J_1)$ and $(X, J_2)$ are equivariantly biholomorphic ([28, Theorem 9.4], see also [1, Section 2]). However, there can be different torus invariant Kähler structures on $X$ and we need to go into details about the transition between complex and symplectic coordinates.

\textbf{3.1. Complex coordinates.} Let $X^\circ := \{x \in X | T^m \text{ acts freely on } x\}$. There is a biholomorphic identification
$$X^\circ = \mathbb{C}^n/2\pi i\mathbb{Z}^n = \{u + iv | u \in \mathbb{R}^n, v \in \mathbb{R}^n/2\pi \mathbb{Z}^n\}$$
such that $t \in T^m$ acts by
$$t \cdot (u + iv) = u + i(v + t).$$
The Kähler form $\omega_X$ is given by $\omega_X := 2i\partial\bar{\partial}f_\omega$ for a potential $f_\omega(u, v) = f_\omega(u) \in C^\infty(X^\circ)$, depending only on $u$ (see [21] or [2, Exercise 3.5] for the definition of $f_\omega(u)$).
3.2. Symplectic coordinates. Dually, we have the symplectic identification $X^\circ = \Delta^\circ \times T^n$, where $\Delta^\circ$ is the interior of $\Delta$. The torus acts on $(p, q) \in \Delta^\circ \times T^n$ by

$$t \cdot (p, q) = (p, q + t)$$

and the symplectic form is $\omega_X := dp \wedge dq$. The complex structure $J$ is determined by a function $f_J(p, q) = f_J(p) \in C^\infty(X^\circ)$ according to the following procedure. Let $F_J := \text{Hess}_p(f_J)$ be the Hessian of $f_J$ in the $p$ coordinates ($f_J$ and $F_J$ are denoted by $g$ and $G$, respectively, in [1]). The complex structure in $(p, q)$ coordinates is given by

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -F_J^{-1} \\ F_J & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The transition maps between the complex and symplectic coordinates are given by

$$\begin{align*}
  p &= \frac{\partial f_J}{\partial u}, \quad q = v, \\
  u &= \frac{\partial f_J}{\partial p}, \quad v = q.
\end{align*}$$

(3.1)

There are restrictions for $f_\omega$ and $f_J$ to satisfy near infinity so that we have a well-defined Kähler structure on $X$.

A canonical choice of complex structure is given by Guillemin as follows. The simple rational convex polytope $\Delta$ can be described by a set of inequalities of the form

$$\langle p, \mu_r \rangle - \rho_r \geq 0 \text{ for } r = 1, \ldots, d$$

where $d$ is the number of facets, each $\mu_r$ is a primitive element of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ and $\rho_r \in \mathbb{R}$. We define affine linear functions $l_r : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, $r = 1, \ldots, d,$

$$l_r(p) := \langle p, m_r \mu_r \rangle - \lambda_r$$

where $m_r$ is the label of the $r^{th}$ facet and $\lambda_r = m_r \rho_r$, so $p \in \Delta$ if and only if $l_r(p) \geq 0$ for all $r = 1, \ldots, d$.

**Theorem 3.2** ([1], [2], [21]). The ‘canonical’ compatible complex structure $J_\Delta$ on $\Delta^\circ \times T^n$ is given (in $(p, q)$-coordinates) by

$$J_\Delta = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -F_J^{-1} \\ F_J & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

(3.2)

where $F_{J,\text{can}} = \text{Hess}_p(f_{J,\text{can}})$ and

$$f_{J,\text{can}}(p) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=1}^{d} l_r(p) \log(l_r(p)).$$

(3.3)

**Remark 3.3.** Fixing $\omega_X$, all torus invariant complex structures $J$ on $X$ compatible with $\omega_X$ are classified in [1, Theorem 2].
Example 3.4 (Extending charts). We consider the following important non-compact example. Let $X = \mathbb{C}^n$ with moment polytope $\Delta = \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}$ and $l_r(p_1, \ldots, p_n) = p_r$. We have symplectic coordinates $(p_j, q_j) \in X^\circ = (\mathbb{C}^*)^n \subset X$. Define $z_j = x_j + iy_j = \sqrt{2p_j} \exp(iq_j) \in \mathbb{C}^*$, so that we have $\sum_{j=1}^n dx_j \wedge dy_j = \sum_{j=1}^n dp_j \wedge dq_j$. We can extend the domain of $z_j$ from $\mathbb{C}^*$ to $\mathbb{C}$ and thus provide a symplectic chart to $X$ and moment map $X \to \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}$ is given by $(z_1, \ldots, z_n) \mapsto \frac{1}{2}(|z_1|^2, \ldots, |z_n|^2)$.

For the complex coordinates, (3.3) yields $f_{J,\text{can}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \log(p_j)$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} f_{J,\text{can}} = \frac{j}{2} (1 + \log(p_j))$, so the Hessian of $f_{J,\text{can}}$ is given by

$$
F_{J,\text{can}} = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{1}{2p_1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2p_n}
\end{bmatrix}.
$$

We define $J_\Delta$ by Equation (3.2). Then a direct calculation gives

$$
J_\Delta(\partial_x) = \partial_y.
$$

Let $u_j = \frac{\partial f_{J,\text{can}}}{\partial p_j}$, $v_j = q_j$ and $w_j = e^{u_j + iv_j}$ be the holomorphic coordinates on $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ (see (3.1)). Then $u_j = \frac{1}{2} (1 + \log(p_j))$ and $w_j = e^{\frac{j}{2} \sqrt{2p_j}e^{iq_j}} = e^{\frac{j}{2}z_j}$. The holomorphic coordinates $(w_1, \ldots, w_n)$ on $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ naturally extend to holomorphic coordinates on $\mathbb{C}^n$.

Lemma 3.5 (Integral linear transformation). Let $\Delta_1$ be a labelled polytope and $\Delta_2 = A\Delta_1 + v$ where $A \in GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ and $v \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. Let $X_1$ and $X_2$ be the canonical Kähler toric orbifold with moment polytope being $\Delta_1$ and $\Delta_2$, respectively. Then $X_1$ and $X_2$ are Kähler isomorphic.

Proof. This follows from realising that neither the definition of the symplectic nor complex structures needs coordinates, indeed the $\mu_r$ are intrinsic to the integral affine structure and hence are the $l_r$.

Example 3.6 (Transforming hypersurfaces). Let $X$ be a toric manifold with moment image a Delzant polyhedron $\Delta$. By picking a vertex $v$ and replacing $\Delta$ by $A(\Delta - v)$ for some $A \in GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ (see Lemma 3.5), we can assume $l_r(p_1, \ldots, p_n) = p_r$ for $r = 1, \ldots, n$ and the remaining facets of $\Delta$ are contained respectively in $l_r = 0$ for $r = n + 1, \ldots, d$. Let $w_j = \exp(u_j + iv_j) = \exp(\frac{\partial f_{J,\text{can}}}{\partial p_j} + iq_j) \in \mathbb{C}^*$, which gives a $T^n$ equivariant identification between $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ and $X^\circ$. We know that (see (3.3))

$$
f_{J,\text{can}} := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \log(p_j) + R
$$

where $R$ is the contribution from other facets. Assume now we are given a family of hypersurfaces via

$$
w_1 \ldots w_n = t g(w)
$$
for some polynomial $g$ in holomorphic coordinates and $t \in \mathbb{C}$ a family parameter. The logarithm of this hypersurface equation is transformed to

$$
\frac{n}{2} + \log \left( \prod_{j=1}^{n} p_j \right) + i \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} q_j \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial R(p)}{\partial p_j} = \log(t) + \log(g(w(p,q)))
$$

in symplectic coordinates. Notice that $R$ can be smoothly extended to the origin, so by exponentiating and setting $z_j := \sqrt{2} p_j \exp(i q_j)$, we may write this equation as

$$
\prod_{j=1}^{n} z_j = t f(p,q)
$$

where

$$
f(p,q) = g(w(p,q)) h(p)
$$

for $h = \sqrt{2} \exp(-\frac{n}{2} - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial R(p)}{\partial p_j})$. Most importantly later on, $h$ is a non-vanishing $C^\infty$-function depending only on $p$.

With the above example, we know how to transform a complex hypersurface defined by the equation $w_1 \ldots w_n = t g(w)$ into a symplectic hypersurface in symplectic coordinates $(p,q)$ for a toric manifold $X$. To cover a large range of applications, we need an analogue for toric orbifolds.

### 3.3. Isolated Gorenstein toric orbifold singularities

Now consider a cone $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ generated by $v_1, \ldots, v_n \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. The ring $\mathbb{C}[\Delta \cap \mathbb{Z}^n]$ is the coordinate ring of an Abelian quotient singularity $X_\Delta$ as follows. The ring is regular if and only if the $v_i$ form a lattice basis. Let $\sigma$ be the dual cone of $\Delta$. It is also integrally generated, so let $N$ be the sublattice generated by the primitive ray generators of $\sigma$ as a sublattice of $(\mathbb{Z}^n)^*$, the dual lattice $M = \text{Hom}(N, \mathbb{Z})$ contains the original lattice $\mathbb{Z}^n$ and the cone $\Delta$ is a standard cone when viewed with respect to $M$, i.e. $\mathbb{C}[\Delta \cap M] = \mathbb{C}[w_1, \ldots, w_n]$ where $w_j$ is the monomial given by the primitive generator of $(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} v_j) \cap M$. The subring $\mathbb{C}[\Delta \cap \mathbb{Z}^n] \subseteq \mathbb{C}[\Delta \cap M]$ is the ring of invariants of the group action $G = (\mathbb{Z}^n)^*/N$ that acts on a monomial $z^m$ via $g.z^m = \exp(2\pi i \langle g, m \rangle)z^m$, see [13, §2.2, page 34]. We need this a bit more explicit and also want to make further assumptions. We require the singularity to be isolated. Since then $G$ necessarily acts faithfully on the subring $\mathbb{C}[w_1] = \mathbb{C}[(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} v_1) \cap M]$, we conclude that $G$ is cyclic, say $G$ is the group of $k$th roots of unity. Let $\zeta$ be a primitive generator. The action is

$$
\zeta.(w_1, \ldots, w_n) = (\zeta^{a_1} w_1, \ldots, \zeta^{a_n} w_n)
$$

for some integers $a_j$ with $\gcd(a_j, k) = 1$ for all $j$ which is equivalent to the isolatedness of the singularity. One can check the following result.
Lemma 3.7. Under the given assumptions, the cover $\mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n/G$ is unbranched away from the origin.

We want to further assume that the singularity is Gorenstein which is equivalent to the monomial $w_1, ..., w_n$ being invariant under $G$, that is

$$\sum_j a_j \in k\mathbb{Z}.$$  

We are now addressing the symplectic coordinates. Let $T_0 = (S^1)^n$ and consider the standard $T_0$-action on $\mathbb{C}^n$ by $\theta \cdot (w_1, ..., w_n) = (e^{\theta_1}w_1, ..., e^{\theta_n}w_n)$. Recall from Example 3.4 that the standard symplectic coordinates of the toric variety $\mathbb{C}^n$ are $z_j = e^{-\frac{i}{2}w_j}$ and $z_j = \sqrt{2p_j}\exp(iq_j)$ giving the moment map $\mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}$. Note that $G$ is a subgroup of $T_0$, so $T_1 = T_0/G$ acts faithfully on the orbifold singularity $\mathbb{C}^n/G$. We claim that the moment map of $\mathbb{C}^n$ factors through that of $\mathbb{C}^n/G$, that is

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{C}^n & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C}^n/G \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0} & \leftarrow & \Delta
\end{array}$$

where the bottom horizontal map is the real affine isomorphism given by the fact that $\Delta$ becomes a standard cone with respect to $M$. The right vertical map is the moment map of the orbifold singularity. The diagram clearly commutes and since the symplectic structures can be defined using the moment maps, the diagram is compatible with symplectic structures. The only thing to check is that the complex structures used in the diagram coincide with the canonical ones obtained from the complex potential $f_{J,\text{can}}$ in Theorem 3.2. By Example 3.4 this is true for the left vertical map. Since the $\mu_j$ are actually the primitive generators of the rays of $\sigma$, so contained in $N$, we find that the potential $f_{J,\text{can}}$ for $(\Delta, \mathbb{Z}^n)$ is identical with the one for $(\Delta, M)$ which gives the desired compatibility.

We finally want to consider the situation where the Gorenstein singularity appears locally at the vertex of a compact polytope $\Delta$. Let $\mathbb{P}_\Delta$ be the compact Kähler orbifold obtained from $\Delta$ and $\pi_\Delta : \mathbb{P}_\Delta \rightarrow \Delta$ the moment map. Let $v \in \Delta$ be a vertex. Replacing $\Delta$ by $\Delta - v$ and invoking Lemma 3.5 we may assume $v = 0$. Comparing to the local study just before, there is no difference for the complex structure, however, the compact polytope $\Delta$ gives a different symplectic structure on the local model $\mathbb{C}^n$.

Consider a neighbourhood $O_v$ of $v$ in $\Delta$ which is then also a neighbourhood of $v$ in the cone $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\Delta$. The two inverse images under the moments maps $\pi_\Delta^{-1}(O_v)$ and $\pi^{-1}(O_v)$ resulting from this are naturally symplectomorphic. Assume now we have a
family of hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{C}^n/G$ as given by (3.5), i.e.
$$w_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot w_n = \text{tg}(w)$$
where we use the coordinates of $\mathbb{C}^n$ and so $g(w)$ is now a $G$-invariant polynomial. By the Gorenstein assumption, $w_1 \ldots w_n$ is $G$-invariant. The same analysis as in Example 3.6 gives (3.6) as the equation for the family of hypersurfaces in symplectic coordinates with the only difference that now $f$ and $h$ are $G$-invariant.

3.4. Corner charts in four-orbifolds. Let $\mathbb{P}_\Delta$ be a four-dimensional Gorenstein projective toric orbifold with isolated singularities and moment polytope $\Delta$. For each point $z$ of $\mathbb{P}_\Delta$, we can choose a vertex $v$ of $\Delta$ lying in the face containing $\pi_\Delta(z)$. Let
$$\mathcal{D} = \Delta \setminus \bigcup_{v \notin F} F$$
where $F$ are facets of $\Delta$. If $\pi_\Delta^{-1}(v)$ is a smooth point of $\mathbb{P}_\Delta$, then we can, by an integral affine linear transform, assume $v$ is the origin and the primitive edge directions emerging from $v$ coincide with the positive real axes in $\mathbb{R}^4$. We can give a symplectic chart $U \subset \mathbb{R}^8$ to $\pi_\Delta^{-1}(\mathcal{D})$ as in Example 3.4, which is $T^4_\mathbb{C}$-equivariantly biholomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^4$ (see Figure 3.1). More generally, if $\pi_\Delta^{-1}(v)$ is an orbifold point of $\mathbb{P}_\Delta$, then we have just shown in §3.3 that $\pi_\Delta^{-1}(\mathcal{D})$ is equivariantly symplectomorphic to the model $X_v = \mathbb{C}^4/G$ with the symplectic structure induced from $\pi_\Delta$. The smooth case can be viewed like the situation $G = \{\text{id}\}$. In both cases, we call $X_v$ a symplectic corner chart for $\mathbb{P}_\Delta$ associated to the vertex $v$. All mirror quintic threefolds are hosted inside a toric variety $\mathbb{P}_\Delta$ of the type considered here.

4. Geometric setup

Let $\mathbb{P}_\Delta$ be a complex projective toric orbifold of complex dimension four with moment polytope $\Delta$. Recall that $-K_{\mathbb{P}_\Delta} = \partial \mathbb{P}_\Delta$, we assume this is nef or equivalently (for a toric variety) that $\mathcal{O}(-K_{\mathbb{P}_\Delta})$ is generated by global sections ([39], Theorem 2.7). Let $\Delta_K$ denote the corresponding lattice polytope. We have a birational morphism $\mathbb{P}_\Delta \to \mathbb{P}_{\Delta_K}$ that we will use to pull back an anti-canonical hypersurface. We equip $\mathbb{P}_\Delta$ with the
canonical Kähler structure. Set \( \mathcal{L} := \mathcal{O}(-K_{\mathbb{P}^3}) \) and let \( s_0 \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{L}) \) such that \( s_0^{-1}(0) = \partial \mathbb{P}^3 \).

Let \( C^\infty(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{L}) \) denote the vector space of \( C^\infty \)-sections of \( \mathcal{L} \). For every \( s \in C^\infty(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{L}) \) and \( t \in \mathbb{C} \), we define

\[
M_t^s := \{ s_0 = ts \} \subset \mathbb{P}^3.
\]

The total family of \( M_t^s \) is denoted by \( M^s \). Let \( (\partial \mathbb{P}^3)_{\text{Sing}} \) denote the locus of singular points of \( \partial \mathbb{P}^3 \) (we also used \( \mathbb{P}^3_{\Delta} \) before). We define the discriminant of \( s \) via

\[
\text{Disc}(s) := s^{-1}(0) \cap (\partial \mathbb{P}^3)_{\text{Sing}}.
\]

As explained in §3.4, a symplectic corner chart \( U/K \) comes together with the quotient map \( \Pi_{U/K} : U \to U/K \) and the diffeomorphism \( \Phi_U : U \to \mathbb{C}^4 \subset \mathbb{P}^3 \). In a symplectic corner chart, we define

\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{M}_t^s &:= \Pi_{U/K}^{-1}(M_t^s \cap U/K) \\
\text{and the symplectomorphism type is independent of } t &\text{ by Moser’s argument. For smooth but not necessarily holomorphic sections, we have the following sufficient condition to guarantee that } M_t^s &\text{ is symplectic (when } t \text{ is sufficiently close to 0).}
\end{align*}
\]

**Lemma 4.1** (Good deformation). Let \( s_1 \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{L})_{\text{Reg}} \). Suppose we have a smooth family \( \{ s^u \}_{u \in [0,1]} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{L}) \) such that

- \( s^u = s_1 \) near \( \text{Disc}(s_1) \) for all \( u \),
- \( \text{Disc}(s^u) = \text{Disc}(s_1) \) for all \( u \)

then there exist \( \delta > 0 \) such that \( M_t^u := M_t^{s^u} \) is a smooth symplectic hypersurface in \( \mathbb{P}^3 \) for all \( 0 < |t| < \delta \) and all \( u \).

**Proof.** For any regular neighborhood \( N \) of \( \partial \mathbb{P}^3 \), there exists \( \delta' > 0 \) such that \( M_t^u \subset N \) for all \( |t| < \delta' \) for all \( u \). This is because \( M_t^u \) \( C^0 \)-converges to \( \partial \mathbb{P}^3 \) uniformly as \( |t| \) goes to 0. Therefore, if for each point \( x \in \partial \mathbb{P}^3 \), we can find a neighborhood \( O_x \) of \( x \) such
that \( M^u \cap O_x \) is symplectic for all \( |t| > 0 \) small and all \( u \in [0, 1] \), then \( M^u_t \) is symplectic for all \( |t| > 0 \) small and all \( u \in [0, 1] \).

Since \( M^u_t \) is independent of \( u \) in a neighborhood \( O_{\text{Disc}} \) of \( \text{Disc}(s_1) \) (by the first bullet), we can take \( O_x = O_{\text{Disc}} \) if \( x \in O_{\text{Disc}} \). Now we assume that \( x \in \partial^p \Delta \setminus O_{\text{Disc}} \).

First suppose \( \pi_\Delta(x) \) lies in the interior of a 3-cell. There exists a symplectic corner chart \( U/K \) and an open subset \( V \subset U \) such that \( x \in \Pi_{U/K}(V) \), \( \Pi_{U/K}(V) \cap \text{Disc}(s_1) = \emptyset \) and

\[
\widetilde{M}^u_t \cap V = \Phi^{-1}_U(\{w_1 = tf^u(w)\})
\]

for some smooth family of functions \( f^u : \Phi_U(V) \to \mathbb{C} \). It is because we can assume \( w_2, w_3, w_4 \) are invertible in \( \Phi_U(V) \) and absorbed by \( f^u \). Let \( F^u_t = w_1 - tf^u(w) \). The differential is given by

\[
DF^u_t = [1, 0, 0, 0] - tDf^u
\]

Since \( \ker(DF^u_t) = T\widetilde{M}^u_t \) and the first term of \( DF^u_t \) dominates (say, with respect to the Euclidean norm in the chart) when \( |t| \) small, \( \widetilde{M}^u_t \cap V \) is symplectic for all \( |t| > 0 \) small and all \( u \in [0, 1] \). Therefore, we can take \( O_x = \Pi_{U/K}(V) \).

Now suppose \( \pi_\Delta(x) \) lies in the interior of a 2-cell. There exists a symplectic corner chart \( U/K \) and an open subset \( V \subset U \) such that \( x \in \Pi_{U/K}(V) \) and

\[
\widetilde{M}^u_t \cap V = \Phi^{-1}_U(\{w_1w_2 = tf^u(w)\})
\]

for some smooth family of functions \( f^u : \Phi_U(V) \to \mathbb{C} \) such that \( f^u(0, 0, w_3, w_4) \neq 0 \) (by the second bullet and the assumption that \( x \in \partial^p \Delta \setminus O_{\text{Disc}} \)). It is because we can assume \( w_3, w_4 \) are invertible in \( \Phi_U(V) \) and \( \Phi_U(\Pi_{U/K}((\partial^p \Delta)_{\text{Sing}}) \cap V) = \Phi_U(V) \cap \{w_1 = w_2 = 0\} \).

Therefore, there exists \( c > 0 \) such that \( \max\{|w_1|, |w_2|, |f^u(w)|\} > c \) for all points in \( \Phi_U(V) \). Let \( F^u_t = w_1w_2 - tf^u(w) \). The differential is given by

\[
DF^u_t = [w_2, w_1, 0, 0] - tDf^u
\]

Again, we want to show that the first term of \( DF^u_t \) dominates for \( w \in \{F^u_t = 0\} \) for all \( u \) when \( |t| > 0 \) small.

Since \( \|Df^u\| \) is bounded, the norm of the second vector is of order \( |t| \). At points where \( |w_1| > c \text{ or } |w_2| > c \), the first term clearly dominates when \( |t| > 0 \) small. By the assumption, all other points satisfies \( |f^u| > c \). As a result, for \( w \in \{F^u_t = 0\} \), we have \( |w_1|^2 + |w_2|^2 \geq 2|w_1w_2| = 2|tf^u| > 2|t|c \) so the norm of \( [w_2, w_1, 0] \) is of order \( \sqrt{|t|} \) and hence dominates when \( |t| > 0 \) small. It implies that there exist \( \delta > 0 \) such that \( \widetilde{M}^u_t \cap V \) is a symplectic manifold for all \( 0 < |t| < \delta \) and all \( u \).

Similarly, when \( \pi_\Delta(x) \) lies in the interior of a 1-cell, we have

\[
\widetilde{M}^u_t \cap V = \Phi^{-1}_U(\{w_1w_2w_3 = tf^u(w)\})
\]
for some $V$ and $f^u$. There exists $c > 0$ such that $\max\{|w_1w_2|, |w_2w_3|, |w_1w_3|, |f^u(w)|\} > c$. At points where $|w_1w_2| > c$ or $|w_2w_3| > c$ or $|w_1w_3| > c$, the first term of $DF^u_t$, which is given by $[w_2w_3, w_1w_3, w_1w_2, 0]$, dominates when $|t| > 0$ small. At points where $|f^u| > c$, we have $|w_1w_2|^2 + |w_2w_3|^2 + |w_1w_3|^2 \geq 3|w_1w_2w_3|^2 > 3|t|^\frac{4}{3}c$ so the norm of the first term of $DF^u_t$ is of order $|t|^{\frac{2}{3}}$ and the second term of $DF^u_t$ is of order $|t|$ so the first term dominates when $|t| > 0$ small.

One can do the same analysis when $\pi_\Delta(x)$ is a vertex of $\Delta$. In this case, the norm of the first term and second term of $DF^u_t$ is of order $|t|^{\frac{2}{3}}$ and $|t|$, respectively.

We remark that $\text{Disc}(s^u) = \text{Disc}(s_1)$ implies that $s^u$ does not vanish at the orbifold points. In view of Lemma 4.1, it is convenient to have the following definition.

**Definition 4.2.** Let $s_1 \in H^0(\mathbb{P}_\Delta, \mathcal{L})_{\text{Reg}}$. We say that $s \in C^\infty(\mathbb{P}_\Delta, \mathcal{L})$ is \textit{s$_1$-admissible} if $s = s_1$ in a neighbourhood of $\text{Disc}(s_1)$ and $\text{Disc}(s) = \text{Disc}(s_1)$.

We say that $s \in C^\infty(\mathbb{P}_\Delta, \mathcal{L})$ is \textit{admissible} if it is $s_1$-admissible for some $s_1 \in H^0(\mathbb{P}_\Delta, \mathcal{L})_{\text{Reg}}$.

**Corollary 4.3.** For $s_1 \in H^0(\mathbb{P}_\Delta, \mathcal{L})_{\text{Reg}}$ and any regular neighborhood $N$ of $(\partial \mathbb{P}_\Delta)_{\text{Sing}}$, there is a symplectic hypersurface $M \subset \mathbb{P}_\Delta$ such that $M$ is symplectic isotopic to $M^*_t$ for some $|t| > 0$ small, and $(\mathbb{P}_\Delta \setminus N) \subset M \subset (\partial \mathbb{P}_\Delta \cup N)$, see Figure 4.1.

**Proof.** Let $N' \subset N$ be a smaller neighborhood of $(\partial \mathbb{P}_\Delta)_{\text{Sing}}$. Let $\chi : \mathbb{P}_\Delta \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function that has values $1$ in $N'$ and $0$ outside $N$. Then $s := \chi s_1$ is an $s_1$-admissible section. Moreover, $s^u := (1 - u)s_1 + us$ is a smooth family of $s_1$-admissible sections so we can apply Lemma 4.1. Let the resulting family be $M^u_t$. By Moser’s argument, $M^0_t = M^*_t$ is symplectic isotopic to $M := M^1_t$ when $0 < |t| < \delta$.

It follows from the definition of $s$ that for $x \in (\mathbb{P}_\Delta \setminus N)$, we have

$$x \in M \iff s(x) = 0 \iff s_0(x) = 0 \iff x \in \partial \mathbb{P}_\Delta \setminus N.$$ 

This gives the assertion.

An important consequence of Corollary 4.3 is that we can transfer the Lagrangian torus fiber bundle structure of $(\partial \mathbb{P}_\Delta \setminus (\partial \mathbb{P}_\Delta)_{\text{Sing}})$ to a Lagrangian torus fiber bundle structure in a large open subset of $M$, and hence a large open subset of $M^*_t$.
Lemma 4.4. If \((s^u)_{u \in [0,1]}\) is a family of \(s_1\)-admissible sections such that, for some open subset \(V \subset \mathbb{P}_\Delta\), \(s^u\big|_{\mathbb{P}_\Delta \setminus V}\) is independent of \(u\) then there exists \(\delta > 0\) such that for all \(0 < |t| < \delta\), there is a symplectomorphism \(\phi_{V,t} : M^0_t \to M^1_t\) such that \(\phi_{V,t}|_{M^0_t \setminus V}\) is the identity.

Proof. By Lemma 4.1, \(M^u_t\) is a family of symplectic hypersurfaces for \(0 < |t| < \delta\). By assumption, \(M^u_t \cap N\) is independent of \(u\). The existence of \(\phi_{N,t}\) follows from a standard application of Moser’s argument. □

Outlook: recall \(\gamma, W_\epsilon(\gamma)\) and \(M_t\) from Theorem 1.1. In its proof, for all \(\epsilon > 0\), we will construct a family of admissible sections \((s^u)_{u \in [0,1]}\) such that \(M^u_t = M_t\) and \(M^u_t \cap \pi^{-1}_\Delta(W_\epsilon(\gamma))\) contains a closed embedded Lagrangian \(\mathbb{Q}\)-homology sphere \(L\) for all \(|t| > 0\) small. Moreover, \(s^u\) will be independent of \(u\) in \(V := \text{Int}(\mathbb{P}_\Delta \setminus \pi^{-1}_\Delta(W_\epsilon(\gamma)))\).

We can apply Lemma 4.4 to get a symplectomorphism \(\phi_{V,t} : M_t \to M^1_t\) and \(\phi_{V,t}^{-1}(L)\) will be our desired Lagrangian in \(M_t \cap \pi^{-1}_\Delta(W_\epsilon(\gamma))\).

5. Away from discriminant

This section gives the construction of Lagrangians away from the discriminant. In Subsection 5.1, we give a local Lagrangian model and explain how to glue these Lagrangian models away from the discriminant. We will complete our Lagrangian construction away from the discriminant after the discussion in Subsection 5.2, which concerns trivalent vertices of a tropical curve. We conclude the proof of Theorem 1.1 in Subsection 6.8. For simplicity of notation, in the rest of the paper, we only consider \(M^u_t\) for \(t \in \mathbb{R}, t > 0\) instead of \(t \in \mathbb{C}^*\).

5.1. Standard Lagrangian model. There are four tasks to be completed in this subsection, which will be accomplished in the subsequent four subsubsections, respectively. Firstly, points on a tropical curve \(\gamma\) can lie in different strata of \(\partial\Delta\) so we want to enumerate all possibilities and describe the neighborhood of points in different strata. Then, for each point \(x \in \gamma\) and a neighborhood \(O_x \subset \Delta\) of \(x\), we want to isotope \(M^u_t \cap \pi^{-1}_\Delta(O_x)\) to a standard form for constructing a local Lagrangian in \(M^u_t \cap \pi^{-1}_\Delta(O_x)\). After that, we explain how to glue the local Lagrangians in \(M^u_t \cap \pi^{-1}_\Delta(O_{x_1})\) and \(M^u_t \cap \pi^{-1}_\Delta(O_{x_2})\) when \(O_{x_1} \cap O_{x_2} \neq \emptyset\). Finally, since the local Lagrangians are constructed with respect to a symplectic corner chart we will deal with transition of symplectic corner charts so that all the local Lagrangian models in different symplectic corner charts can be glued together.

5.1.1. Neighborhood of a point in a tropical curve. We define a function \(\text{Type} : \partial\Delta \to \{0, 1, 2, 3\}\) such that \(\text{Type}(x) = n\) if \(x\) is in the interior of an \(n\)-cell. In other words, \(\text{Type}\) specifies the stratum that \(x\) lies in.
Let $v$ be a vertex of $\Delta$ and $U$ be a symplectic corner chart at $v$. Let $\Box := \pi_\Delta(U)$ which is the partial compactification of $\Delta^o$ by the facets containing $v$ (see Section 3.4). By an affine integral linear transformation, we identify $\Box$ with an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^4_{\geq 0}$ which contains the origin. For a neighborhood $O_0 \subset \Box \setminus \mathcal{A}$ of the origin such that $O_0 \cap \partial \Box$ is connected, the integral affine structure on $O_0 \cap \partial \Box$ is inherited from the $C^0$-embedding $O_0 \cap \partial \Box \hookrightarrow \Box/\mathbb{R}((1,1,1,1))$.

Let $x \in \partial \Box$ and $c(r) : [-1,1] \to \partial \Box \setminus \mathcal{A}$ be a straight line (regarded as a closed segment in a tropical curve $\gamma$ that contains $x$) in a small neighborhood of $x$ such that $c(0) = x$. We have the following situations using that $\partial \Delta$ is simple.

(A) If Type$(x) = 0$, then $(\text{Type}(c(-1)), \text{Type}(c(0)), \text{Type}(c(1)))$ can only take values (modulo the symmetry $r \mapsto -r$) $(2,0,2)$, $(1,0,3)$, $(2,0,3)$ and $(3,0,3)$.

(B) If Type$(x) = 1$, then $(\text{Type}(c(-1)), \text{Type}(c(0)), \text{Type}(c(1)))$ can only take values (modulo the symmetry $r \mapsto -r$) $(1,1,1)$, $(2,1,3)$ and $(3,1,3)$.

(C) If Type$(x) = 2$, then $(\text{Type}(c(-1)), \text{Type}(c(0)), \text{Type}(c(1)))$ can only take values $(2,2,2)$ and $(3,2,3)$.

(D) If Type$(x) = 3$, then Type$(c(r)) = 3$ for all $r$.

**Remark 5.1.** From the enumeration above, we can see that for any straight line $c : [-1,1] \to \partial \Delta \setminus \mathcal{A}$, if $r = r_0$ is a discontinuity of Type$(c(r))$, then Type$(c(r_0)) <$ Type$(c(r))$ for all $r$ close to but not equal to $r_0$.

### 5.1.2. Local Lagrangian models at points in different strata

For each point $x \in \gamma$ and each open subset $c \subset \gamma$ containing $x$, we want to isotope $M^s_t \cap \pi^{-1}_\Delta(O_x)$ so that we can build a Lagrangian in $M^s_t \cap \pi^{-1}_\Delta(O_x)$ whose $\pi_\Delta$-image is close to $c$. First we describe a class of symplectic manifolds in $\pi^{-1}_\Delta(O_x)$ to which we would like to isotope $M^s_t \cap \pi^{-1}_\Delta(O_x)$.

**Definition 5.2.** For a point $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_4) \in (\partial \Box) \setminus \mathcal{A}$ and an $s_1$-admissible section $s \in C^\infty(\mathbb{P}_\Delta, \mathcal{L})$, we say that $M^s$ is $x$-standard with respect to $U$ if there is a neighborhood $O_x \subset \Box$ of $x$ that does not meet any facet that does not contain $x$, and furthermore such that $M^s_t \cap \pi^{-1}_\Delta(O_x)$ is given by

$$\left( \prod_{j, x_j = 0} \sqrt{p_j} \right) e^{i(q_1+q_2+q_3+q_4)} = tc$$

for some constant $c \in \mathbb{C}$. If Type$(x) = 0, 1, 2$, we require $c \neq 0$.

For a point $x \in \partial \Delta \setminus \mathcal{A}$, we say that $M^s$ is $x$-standard if there is a symplectic corner chart $U$ such that $M^s$ is $x$-standard with respect to $U$.

To see that this is a sensible notion, we at least need to observe the following:
Lemma 5.3. If $M^s$ is $x$-standard with respect to $U$, then $(M^s_{t \not= 0} \cap \pi_\Delta^{-1}(O_x)) \cap (\partial P_\Delta)_{\text{sing}} = \emptyset$. In other words, $M^s_t \cap \pi_\Delta^{-1}(O_x)$ is disjoint from the discriminant for all $t > 0$.

Proof. Notice that, we can rewrite Equation (5.1) as

$$z_1z_2z_3z_4 = \sqrt{16p_1p_2p_3p_4}e^{i(q_1+q_2+q_3+q_4)} = 4tc \prod_{j,x,j \neq 0} \sqrt{p_j}$$

To prove the lemma, it suffices to show that the zero locus of $4tc \prod_{j,x,j \neq 0} \sqrt{p_j}$ does not intersect with $(\partial P_\Delta)_{\text{sing}} \cap \pi_\Delta^{-1}(O_x)$. When Type$(x) = 0, 1, 2$, by Definition 5.2 we have $c \neq 0$. Moreover, inside $\pi_\Delta^{-1}(O_x)$, we have $p_j > 0$ when $x_j \neq 0$. All together implies that $4tc \prod_{j,x,j \neq 0} \sqrt{p_j}$ never vanishes in $\pi_\Delta^{-1}(O_x)$.

Since $(\partial P_\Delta)_{\text{sing}} = \pi_\Delta^{-1}(\text{codim-2-strata})$, when Type$(x) = 3$, $(\partial P_\Delta)_{\text{sing}} \cap \pi_\Delta^{-1}(O_x) = \emptyset$.

The next lemma addresses that we can always isotope $M^s_t \cap \pi_\Delta^{-1}(O_x)$ to an $x$-standard one through admissible sections.

Lemma 5.4. Let $s$ be an $s_1$-admissible section. Let $x \in \partial \Delta \setminus A$ be a point and $N_x$ be a neighborhood of $x$ in $\Delta$. Then there is a family of $s_1$-admissible section $(s^u)_{u \in [0,1]}$ such that $s^0 = s$, for all $u$, $s^u = s$ outside $\pi_\Delta^{-1}(N_x)$, and $M^s_{t \not= 0}$ is $x$-standard.

Proof. If Type$(x) = 0$, then $x$ is a vertex and we take the symplectic corner chart $U$ associated to $x$. Since $x \not\in A$, there exists a neighborhood $O_x \subset N_x$ of $x$ such that $\pi_\Delta^{-1}(O_x)$ is contractible and, by (3.7), $M^s_t \cap \pi_\Delta^{-1}(O_x)$ is given by

$$(5.2) \quad z_1z_2z_3z_4 = \sqrt{16p_1p_2p_3p_4}e^{i(q_1+q_2+q_3+q_4)} = tf$$

for some $f \in C^\infty(\pi_\Delta^{-1}(O_x), \mathbb{C}^*)$. Since $\pi_\Delta^{-1}(O_x)$ is contractible, there exists $O'_x \subset O_x$ a neighborhood of $x$ such that we can deform $f$, through non-vanishing functions inside $\pi_\Delta^{-1}(O_x)$, to a function which is constant in $\pi_\Delta^{-1}(O'_x)$. Moreover, the deformation can be chosen to be compactly supported. There is no new discriminant created during the deformation because it is through non-vanishing functions (cf. Lemma 5.3). The deformation is constant near the discriminant $A$ because $N_x \cap A = \emptyset$. Since the deformation is compactly supported, it can patch with $f$ outside a compact set in $\pi_\Delta^{-1}(O_x)$ to give a family of $s_1$-admissible sections with required properties.

If Type$(x) = 1$, let $\delta$ be the 1-cell in $\Delta$ containing $x$. By simplicity of $(\partial \Delta, A)$ (see introduction and [20], Definition 1.60), there is a vertex $v$ in $\delta$ which can be connected to $x$ by a path $\delta'$ in $\delta$ that does not intersect with $A$. Let $U$ be the symplectic corner chart associated to $v$. Without loss of generality, we assume $x_1 \neq 0$ and $x_j = 0$ for $j = 2, 3, 4$. Notice that $\delta' \cap A = \emptyset$ implies that there exists a neighborhood $N_{\delta'} \subset \partial \Delta$ of $\delta'$ such that $\pi_\Delta^{-1}(N_{\delta'}) \cap \text{Disc}(s_1) = \emptyset$, $\pi_\Delta^{-1}(N_{\delta'})$ is contractible and $\pi_\Delta^{-1}(N_{\delta'}) \cap M^s_{t \not= 0}$ is given
by Equation \( (5.2) \) for some \( f \in C^\infty(\pi_\Delta^{-1}(N_x), \mathbb{C}^*) \). It implies that for a neighborhood \( O_x \subset N_x \cap N_\psi \) of \( x \), \( f|_{\pi_\Delta^{-1}(O_x)} \) is null-homotopic even though \( \pi_\Delta^{-1}(O_x) \) is not contractible. In other words,

\[
(5.3) \quad (f|_{\pi_\Delta^{-1}(O_x)})_* : \pi_1(\pi_\Delta^{-1}(O_x)) \to \pi_1(\mathbb{C}^*) = \mathbb{Z} \text{ is zero}
\]

On the other hand, for \( O_x \) not containing \( v \), \( p_1 > 0 \) in \( \pi_\Delta^{-1}(O_x) \), so it gives a map \( \sqrt{|p_1|_{\pi_\Delta^{-1}(O_x)}} \to \mathbb{R}_{>0} \subset \mathbb{C}^* \). Moreover, \( (\sqrt{|p_1|_*} : \pi_1(\pi_\Delta^{-1}(O_x)) \to \pi_1(\mathbb{C}^*) \) is also zero. Therefore, there is no topological obstruction to deform \( f|_{\pi_\Delta^{-1}(O_x)} \) to \( \sqrt{|p_1|_{\pi_\Delta^{-1}(O_x)}} \) inside \( \pi_\Delta^{-1}(O_x) \) via \( \mathbb{C}^* \)-valued functions. Most notably, \( \mathbb{C}^* \)-valued functions are non-vanishing functions. Similar to the previous case, we can assume the deformation is compactly supported and it gives a family of \( s_1 \)-admissible sections with required properties by patching with \( f \) outside \( \pi_\Delta^{-1}(O_x) \).

If \( \text{Type}(x) = 2 \), we use simplicity of \( (\partial \Delta, \mathcal{A}) \) again to find a vertex \( v \) and a path such that it lies inside a 2-cell of \( \partial \Delta \), connects \( v \) and \( x \), and does not intersect with \( \mathcal{A} \). Let \( U \) be the symplectic corner chart associated to \( v \). The equation of \( M_t^s \) is again locally given by Equation \( (5.2) \) for some \( f \). Moreover, \( f \) is again null-homotopic. If \( x_1, x_2 \neq 0 \) and \( x_3 = x_4 = 0 \), we can deform \( f \) to \( \sqrt{|p_1|_{\pi_\Delta^{-1}(O_x)}} \) inside \( \pi_\Delta^{-1}(O_x) \) for some small neighborhood \( O_x \) of \( x \). It gives our desired family of \( s_1 \)-admissible sections as in the previous case.

If \( \text{Type}(x) = 3 \), then we can take \( O_x \) such that it does not intersect \( 0, 1, 2 \)-cells of \( \Delta \). Therefore, we can do any compactly supported deformation of the corresponding \( f \) without creating/destroying discriminant loci (i.e. we allow deformation of \( f \) via functions that vanish somewhere). It is instructive to compare it with the proof of Lemma \( 5.3 \). The outcome is: the lemma is trivially true when \( \text{Type}(x) = 3 \). \( \square \)

We are now ready to give the local Lagrangians in \( M_t^s \cap \pi_\Delta^{-1}(O_x) \) when \( M_t^s \) is \( x \)-standard.

**Proposition 5.5** (Standard Lagrangian model). Let \( M^s \subset U \) be \( x \)-standard for some \( x \in \partial \mathcal{D} \) and \( O_x \) be a neighborhood of \( x \) such that \( (5.1) \) holds. Let \( W \) be a rationally generated 2-dimensional affine plane in \( \mathbb{R}^4 \) containing \( x \) and \( (1, 1, 1, 1) \in TW \). Let \( c := W \cap O_x \cap \partial \mathcal{D} \) (regarded as a straight line segment in \( \partial \mathcal{D} \)). Then there is a family of proper Lagrangian submanifolds \( L_t \) in \( \pi_\Delta^{-1}(O_x) \cap M_t^s \), for \( t > 0 \), such that

1. \( W \perp T_{(p, q)}L_t \) for all \( (p, q) \in L_t \), and
2. \( \pi_\Delta(L_t) \subset W \).

Moreover, there exists an \( \mathbb{R}^4 \)-valued function \( P(u, t) \) and an \( (\mathbb{R}/2\pi \mathbb{Z})^4 \)-valued function \( Q(r, \theta_1, \theta_2, t) \), for \( (r, \theta_1, \theta_2) \in (0, 1) \times (\mathbb{R}/2\pi \mathbb{Z})^2 \), parametrizing \( L_t \) such that every proper
Lagrangian submanifolds in $\pi^{-1}_\Delta(O_x) \cap M^*_t$ satisfying (I), (II) is given by
\begin{equation}
(p, q) \in \pi^{-1}_\Delta(O_x) \cap M^*_t | p = P(r, t), q = Q(r, \theta_1, \theta_2, t) + H(r) \}
\end{equation}
for some $H(r) \in C^\infty((0, 1), (\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z})^4)$ satisfying $\sum_j H_j(r) = 0$ for all $r \in (0, 1)$, where $H_j$ is the $j$th component of $H$.

Furthermore, $\{P(r, t) | r \in (0, 1)\}$ Hausdorff converges to $c$ when $t$ approaches to 0.

**Definition 5.6.** A proper Lagrangian submanifold $L_t$ in $\pi^{-1}_\Delta(O_x) \cap M^*_t$ satisfying Proposition 5.5 (I), (II) is called $c$-standard.

Before giving the proof, it would be helpful to have an intuitive understanding of what $L_t$ looks like. For fixed $(r, t)$, $\{Q(r, \theta, \theta_2, t) | \theta, \theta_2 \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}\}$ is a 2-torus lying inside $L_t$ with $p$-coordinates being $p = P(r, t)$ so $L_t$ is a 2-torus bundle over the curve $\{p = P(r, t)\}$. Moreover, condition (I) describes the tangent directions of the 2-torus. Condition (II) implies that the curve $\{p = P(r, t)\}$ is a subset of $W \cap O_x$, which Hausdorff converges to $c$ when $t$ approaches to 0.

Also note that the function $H(r)$ in (5.4) plays exactly the same role as $f$ in Remark 2.7.

**Proof.** We have enumerated the possibilities of $c$ in Section 5.1.1 Existence of $L_t$ is a simple case by case calculation.

For cases of type $A$, we have $x = (0, 0, 0, 0)$ and $\pi^{-1}_\Delta(O_x) \cap M^*_t$ is given by
\begin{equation}
(\sqrt{p_1p_2p_3p_4})e^{i(q_1+q_2+q_3+q_4)} = tcpe^{icq}
\end{equation}
for some constants $c_p > 0$ and $c_q \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$. In particular, a point $(p, q) \in \pi^{-1}_\Delta(O_x) \cap M^*_t$ has to satisfies $p_1p_2p_3p_4 = t^2c_p^2$. Notice that, for each fixed $t > 0$, $H_t := \{p_1p_2p_3p_4 = t^2c_p^2\}$ is a hyperbola so $H_t \cap W \cap \Delta$ is a smoothly embedded curve. More rigorously, let $g : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^4 \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be $g(p) = p_1p_2p_3p_4$. For each $p \in W \cap (\partial \Delta)$, the ray $\{p + \lambda(1, 1, 1, 1) | \lambda \geq 0\}$ lies in $W$ and the function $g_p(\lambda) := g(p + \lambda(1, 1, 1, 1))$ is a strictly monotonic increasing function on the ray because, for $\nu := \sum_{j=1}^4 \partial_{p_j}$, we have $\nu(g) > 0$ over $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^4$. Since $g_p(0) = 0$, for each fixed $t > 0$, there is exactly one $\lambda > 0$ such that $g_p(\lambda) = t^2c_p^2$. It means that for each $p \in W \cap \Delta$, there is at most one $p' \in W \cap \Delta$ such that $p' \in H_t$ and $p' = p + \lambda(1, 1, 1, 1)$ for some $\lambda > 0$. Since $W \cap \Delta$ is a continuous curve, $H_t \cap W \cap \Delta$ is a smoothing of it and hence a smoothly embedded curve. We define $P_t := H_t \cap W \cap O_x$, which is smooth because it is an open subset of a smooth curve.

For each $t > 0$ and $p \in P_t$, we can pick 2-tori $Q_{p, t} \subset \pi^{-1}_\Delta(p)$ such that $Q_{p, t}$ varies smoothly with respect to $p$, $Q_{p, t}$ is parallel to $W^\perp$ and $e^{i(q_1+q_2+q_3+q_4)} = e^{icq}$ for all $q \in Q_{p, t}$. This family of 2-tori gives a submanifold $L_t \subset \pi^{-1}_\Delta(O_x) \cap M^*_t$. The fact that $P_t \subset W$ and $TQ_{p, t} = W^\perp$ for all $p \in P_t$ implies that $L_t$ is a Lagrangian submanifold.
It is easy to see that \((5.4)\) gives all proper Lagrangian satisfying \((I), (II)\), and \(P_t\) Hausdorff converges to \(c\) when \(t\) goes to 0.

For cases of type B, we have \(x = (0, 0, 0, a)\) for some \(a > 0\) and we need to consider the set of \(p \in W \cap O_x\) that solves \(\sqrt{p_1p_2p_3} = tc\). This time, we can take \(g(p) = p_1p_2p_3\) for \(p \in \mathbb{R}^3\) and \(g_p(\lambda) = g(p + \lambda(1, 1, 1))\) for \(p \in W \cap \{p_1p_2p_3 = 0\}\), and \(\lambda \geq 0\). Let \(\nu = \partial p_1 + \partial p_2 + \partial p_3 + \partial p_4\) and we have \(\nu(g) > 0\) over \(\mathbb{R}^3\). Similar to the previous case, it means that for each \(p \in W \cap \{p_1p_2p_3 = 0\}\), there is at most one \(p' \in H_t\) and \(p' = p + \lambda(1, 1, 1, 1)\) for some \(\lambda > 0\). The rest of the argument is the same.

For cases of type C or Type(\(x\)) = 3, we need to consider \(p \in W \cap O_x\) that solves \(\sqrt{p_1p_2} = tc\) and \(\sqrt{p_1} = tc\), respectively. The rest of the argument is the same. \(\square\)

5.1.3. **Gluing local Lagrangians.** In the previous subsubsection, we explained how to construct local Lagrangian when \(M^*\) is \(x\)-standard. Now, suppose \(c : [-1, 1] \to \partial\Delta\) (again, \(\text{Im}(c)\) is regarded as a closed segment of a tropical curve \(\gamma\)) has the property that \(\text{Type}(c(r))\) is discontinuous at \(r = 0\) and \(M^*\) is \(c(0)\)-standard with respect to \(U\). Then \(M^*\) is not \(c(r)\) standard with respect to \(U\) for any \(r\) close to but not equal to 0. Therefore, we need to generalize Proposition \(5.5\) and explain how to glue the local Lagrangian models together.

**Definition 5.7.** Let \(U\) be a symplectic corner chart and \(\Box = \pi_\Delta(U)\). Let \(c^0 \subset \partial\Box \setminus \mathcal{A}\) be a straight open line segment. Let \(c : [0, 1] \to \partial\Box \setminus \mathcal{A}\) be a straight line such that \(c((0, 1)) = c^0\) and \(\text{Type}(c(0)) \leq \text{Type}(c(1)) = \text{Type}(c(r))\) for \(r \in (0, 1]\). Given an admissible section \(s \in C^\infty(\mathcal{P}_\Delta, \mathcal{L})\), we say that \(M^*\) is \(c^0\)-transition-standard with respect to \(U\) if \(M^*\) is \(c(0)\)-standard and \(c(1)\)-standard with respect to \(U\), and there is a neighborhood \(O_{c^0} \subset \Box \setminus \mathcal{A}\) of \(c^0\) such that \(c^0\) is proper inside \(O_{c^0}\) and \(\pi^{-1}_\Delta(O_{c^0}) \cap M^*_t\) is given by

\[
(5.5) \quad \left( \prod_{j,c(0)_j=0} \sqrt{p_j} \right) \left( \prod_{j,c(0)_j=0,c(1)_j \neq 0} p_j \right) e^{tf_q(p_j)}
\]

for some function \(f_q \in C^\infty(U, \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z})\) depending only on \(p\), and some \(f_p \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \mathbb{R}_{> 0})\) is such that \(\frac{u}{f_q(u)}\) is a monotonic increasing function and \(f_p\) is an interpolation from \(c_p\) to \(c_p' \sqrt{u}\) for some constants \(c_p, c_p' > 0\). In \((5.5)\), \(c(k)_j\) is the \(p_j\)-coordinate of \(c(k)\) for \(k = 0, 1\), and, whenever \(\text{Type}(c(0)) = \text{Type}(c(1))\), \(\prod_{j,c(0)_j=0,c(1)_j \neq 0} p_j\) (which is a product over the empty set) is interpreted as 1.

We say that \(M^*\) is \(c^0\)-transition-standard if \(M^*\) is \(c^0\)-transition-standard with respect to some symplectic corner chart.
Remark 5.8. Note, for $M^s$ to be $c(0)$-standard and $c(1)$-standard, simultaneously, it is necessary for $f_p$ to be an interpolation from $c_p$ to $c'_p \sqrt{u}$. The monotonicity of $\frac{u}{f_p(u)}$ is imposed to achieve Lemma 5.11 below.

Lemma 5.9. Let $s$ be an $s_1$-admissible section. Let $c : [0,1] \to \partial \mathfrak{C} \setminus \mathfrak{A}$ be a straight line such that Type($c(0)) \leq Type(c(1)) = Type(c(r))$ for all $r \in (0,1]$. Let $c^\circ := c((0,1))$ and $N_c$ be a neighborhood of $\text{Im}(c)$ in $\mathfrak{C} \setminus \mathfrak{A}$. Then there is a family of $s_1$-admissible section $(s^u)_{u \in [0,1]}$ such that $s^0 = s$, for all $u$, $s^u = s$ outside $\pi^{-1}_\Delta(N_c)$, and $M^{s^1}$ is $c^\circ$-transition-standard with respect to $U$.

Proof. The proof is in parallel to Lemma 5.4. We give the details when Type($c(0)) = 1 < Type(c(1))$ and leave the remaining to the readers.

Let $x = c(0)$. We pick a vertex $v$ and the corresponding symplectic corner chart $U$ as in the proof of Lemma 5.4. We can find a neighborhood $O_c$ of $\text{Im}(c)$ such that $M^s_i \cap \pi^{-1}_\Delta(O_c)$ is given by (5.2) for some $f \in C^\infty(\pi^{-1}_\Delta(O_c), \mathbb{C}^*)$ and $(f)_*: \pi_1(\pi^{-1}_\Delta(O_c)) \to \pi_1(\mathbb{C}^*)$ is the zero map (see (5.3)). Say $x_j \neq 0$ exactly when $j = 1$ and $c(1, j) \neq 0$ exactly when $j = 1, \ldots, n_c$ (here $n_c \in \{2,3\}$). Let $g(r) = \prod_{j,c(0)_j=0,c(1)_j \neq 0} r_j = c(r)_2 \ldots c(r)_n$, where $c(r)_j$ is the $j^{th}$-coordinate of $c(r)$ for $r \in [0,1]$. Note that, $g(0) = 0$ and $g(r)$ is strictly increasing.

Inside $\pi^{-1}_\Delta(O_c)$, there is no topological obstruction to deform $f$ through non-vanishing functions, to $\sqrt{u}f_p(p_2 \ldots p_n)e^{iF_p(v)}$ for some $F_p \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \mathbb{R}_{>0})$ such that $\frac{u}{f_p(u)}$ is a monotonic increasing function, and there are constants $c_p, c'_p > 0$ such that $f_p(u) = c_p$ near $u = 0$ and $f_p(u) = c'_p \sqrt{u}$ near $u = g(1)$. The conditions on $f_p$ near $u = 0$ and $u = g(1)$ imply that $M^s$ is $c(0)$-standard and $c(1)$-standard simultaneously. Moreover, there exists $O_{c'} \subset O_c$ such that $c^\circ$ is proper inside $O_{c'}$ and $\pi^{-1}_\Delta(O_{c'}) \cap M^s_i$ satisfies (5.5). Therefore, the result follows.

A simple but crucial observation is that we can extend the ‘standard region’ by a further isotopy without destroying the previously established standard region in the following sense.

Lemma 5.10. Let $c_1, c_2 : [0,1] \to \partial \mathfrak{C} \setminus \mathfrak{A}$ be two straight lines as in Lemma 5.9 such that $c_1(0) = c_2(0)$ or $c_1(0) = c_2(1)$ or $c_1(1) = c_2(1)$. Suppose we have applied Lemma 5.9 to $c_1$ and denote the resulting $s^1$ as $s$. Let $N_2$ be a neighborhood of $\text{Im}(c_2)$ in $\mathfrak{C} \setminus \mathfrak{A}$. Then there is a family of $s_1$-admissible sections $(s^u)_{u \in [0,1]}$ such that $s^0 = s$, for all $u$, $s^u = s$ outside $\pi^{-1}_\Delta(N_2)$, and $M^{s^1}$ is simultaneously $c'_1$-transition-standard and $c'_2$-transition-standard with respect to $U$.

Proof. We want to apply (the proof) of Lemma 5.9 to $c_2$. The key point is that, inside $\pi^{-1}_\Delta(O_{c_2})$, there is no topological obstruction to deform $f$ through non-vanishing
functions to a function as in Lemma 5.9 and in addition to that, we are free to choose the deformation to be trivial inside $\pi^{-1}_s(O_{c_1})$ for some small neighborhood $O_{c_1}$ of $\text{Im}(c_1)$. In this case, the corresponding section $s^1$ will make $M^{s^1}$ simultaneously $c^o_2$-transition-standard and $c^o_2$-transition-standard.

**Lemma 5.11.** Let $M^{s^1}$ be $c^o$-transition-standard with respect to $U$. Let $O_{c^o} \subset \Omega$ be a neighborhood of $c^o$ such that (5.5) holds. Let $W$ be the rationally generated 2-dimensional plane in $\mathbb{R}^4$ that contains $c^o$ and $(1, 1, 1, 1) \in TW$. Then there exists a family of proper Lagrangian submanifold $L_t$ in $\pi^{-1}_s(O_{c^o}) \cap M^{s^1}_t$, for $t > 0$, such that

(I) $W \perp \subset T_{(p, q)} L_t$ for all $(p, q) \in L_t$, and

(II) $\pi\Delta(L_t) \subset W$.

Moreover, $\pi\Delta(L_t)$ Hausdorff converges to $c^o$ when $t$ approaches 0.

**Proof.** Similar to Proposition 5.5 since $f_q$ only depends on $p$, it suffices to show that for each $t > 0$, the set of $p \in W \cap O_{c^o}$ that solves

$$\prod_{j, c(0)_j = 0} \sqrt{p_j} = tf_p \left( \prod_{j, c(0)_j = 0, c(1)_j \neq 0} p_j \right)$$

is an open subset of a smoothly embedded curve.

We only consider case that $\text{Type}(c(0)) = 0$ and $\text{Type}(c(1)) = 3$. The other cases can be dealt similarly. In this case $\pi^{-1}_s(O_{c}) \cap M^{s^1}_t$ is given by

$$\left( \prod_{j} \sqrt{p_j} \right) e^{i(q_1 + q_2 + q_3 + q_4)} = tf_p(p_2p_3p_4)e^{if_q(p)}$$

Notice that $\nu := \sum_{j=1}^4 \partial p_j$ satisfies $\nu^p(p_2p_3p_4) > 0$ for all $p \in \Omega \setminus \partial \Omega$ because we assumed that $\frac{u}{f_q(p)}$ is monotonic increasing and $\partial p_i(\frac{p_2p_3p_4}{f_q(p_2p_3p_4)}) = \frac{p_2p_3p_4}{f_q(p_2p_3p_4)} > 0$ for all $p \in \Omega \setminus \partial \Omega$. The rest of the argument is the same. \hfill \Box

**Definition 5.12.** A proper Lagrangian submanifold $L_t$ in $\pi^{-1}_s(O_{c^o}) \cap M^{s^1}_t$ satisfying Lemma 5.11 (I), (II) is called $c^o$-transition-standard.

We summarize the steps taken so far.

**Proposition 5.13.** Let $c : [0, 1] \to \partial\Omega \setminus \mathcal{A}$ be a straight line and $N_c$ be a neighborhood of $\text{Im}(c)$ in $\Omega \setminus \mathcal{A}$. Let $c^o = c((0, 1))$. Then for any $s_{1}$-admissible section $s$, there is a family of $s_{1}$-admissible section $(s^u)_{u \in [0, 1]}$ such that $s^0 = s$, for all $u$, $s^u = s$ outside $\pi^{-1}_s(N_c)$, and for all $x \in \text{Im}(c)$, $M^{s^u}_t$ is either $x$-standard with respect to $U$ or there exists an open line segment $c^o_x \subset c^o$ such that $M^{s^u}_t$ is $c^o_x$-transition-standard with respect to $U$.

Moreover, there is a neighborhood $O_{c^o} \subset \Omega \setminus \mathcal{A}$ of $c^o$ and a family of proper Lagrangian $L_t$ in $M^{s^1}_t \cap \pi^{-1}_s(O_{c^o})$, for $t > 0$, such that $c^o$ is proper inside $O_{c^o}$, $L_t$ is a 2-torus bundle with respect to $\pi\Delta$ and $\pi\Delta(L_t)$ Hausdorff converges to $c^o$ as $t$ goes to 0.
Proof. The function Type(c(r)) is discontinuous at finitely many points, say at $0 \leq r_1 < \cdots < r_k \leq 1$. By extending c slightly, we assume $r_1 > 0$ and $r_k < 1$. Pick a $d_j \in (r_j, r_{j+1})$ for $j = 1, \ldots, k - 1$. Let $d_0 = 0$ and $d_k = 1$. For $j = 1, \ldots, k$, let

$$c_j^+(r) = c|_{[r_j, d_j]}(r) \quad \text{and} \quad c_j^-(r) = c|_{[d_j, r_{j+1}]}(r).$$

By reparametrizing the domain of $c_j^\pm$, we can assume that they satisfy the assumption of Lemma 5.9. We can apply Lemma 5.10 to the neighborhoods of \(\{(\text{Im}(c_j^\pm))_j\}_{j=1}^{k}\) to find a family of $s_1$-admissible section $(s^u)_{u\in[0,1]}$ such that $s^0 = s$, for all $u$, $s^u = s$ outside $\pi_{\Delta}^{-1}(N_c)$, and $M^{s^1}$ is $(c_j^\pm)^0$-transition-standard with respect to $U$ for all $(c_j^\pm)^0$, where $(c_j^\pm)^0$ is the set of interior points of $\text{Im}(c_j^\pm)$.

For each $c_j^\pm$, we obtain a Lagrangian $(L_t)_{j}^\pm$ by Lemma 5.11 such that (I) and (II) are satisfied. By definition of transition-standard, $M^{s^1}$ is $x$-standard with respect to $U$ for $x = d_0, \ldots, d_k$. Therefore, by Proposition 5.5 there exists neighborhoods $O_{d_j}$ of $d_j$ such that $(L_t)_{c_j^+} \cap \pi_{\Delta}^{-1}(O_x)$ and $(L_t)_{c_j^-} \cap \pi_{\Delta}^{-1}(O_x)$ are given by (5.4) for some appropriate $P, Q, H$. By interpolating the $H$, we can concatenate the Lagrangians $(L_t)_{c_j^+} \cap \pi_{\Delta}^{-1}(O_x)$ and $(L_t)_{c_j^-} \cap \pi_{\Delta}^{-1}(O_x)$ (for all $j = 1, \ldots, k - 1$), so the result follows.

5.1.4. Transition between symplectic corner charts. Since tropical curves considered in Theorem 1.1 are not necessarily contained in a single $\mathcal{O}$, we now want to explain the transition between different symplectic corner charts and then how the Lagrangians from different symplectic corner charts can be glued together. The key conclusion we want to draw is that being $x$-standard is independent of choice of symplectic corner charts when $x$ is suitably far away from $\mathcal{A}$ (see Corollary 5.16).

Let $U_0$, $U_1$ be symplectic corner charts at vertices $v_0$ and $v_1$ of $\Delta$, respectively. We assume that $v_0$ and $v_1$ are connected by a 1-cell $\delta$ in $\Delta$. For $k = 0, 1$, let $(p_k, q_k) = (p_{k,1}, \ldots, p_{k,4}, q_{k,1}, \ldots, q_{k,4})$ be the symplectic coordinates in $U_k$ and $z_{k,j} = \sqrt{2p_{k,j}}e^{iq_{k,j}}$. By possibly permuting the subscripts, we can assume that

$$C := \{(p_0, q_0)|p_{0,2} = p_{0,3} = p_{0,4} = 0, p_{0,1} \neq 0\} = \{(p_1, q_1)|p_{1,2} = p_{1,3} = p_{1,4} = 0, p_{1,1} \neq 0\}$$

is the cylinder $\pi_{\Delta}^{-1}(\delta \setminus \{v_0, v_1\})$. Let $\mathcal{O}_k = \pi_{\Delta}(U_k)$. By identifying $\Delta^o \subset \mathcal{O}_0$ with $\Delta^o \subset \mathcal{O}_1$, we have a matrix $A \in GL(4, \mathbb{Z})$ and a point $v_p \in \mathbb{R}^4$ such that $p_0 = Ap_1 + v_p$ and $q_0 = A^{-T}q_1$ for $p_1 \notin \{p_{1,1}p_{1,2}p_{1,3}p_{1,4} = 0\}$.

Lemma 5.14. If $C \cap \text{Disc}(s_1) = \emptyset$, then $\sum_{j=1}^4 q_{0,j} = \sum_{j=1}^4 q_{1,j}$.

Proof. By $C \cap \text{Disc}(s_1) = \emptyset$, we know that $s_1 \neq 0$ in a neighborhood $O_C$ of $C$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ small such that $s_1 \neq 0$ on $O_k := \cap_{j=2}^4 \{|p_{k,j}| < 2\epsilon\} \subset O_C$. Let $T^k_{\epsilon} := \cap_{j=1}^4 \{|p_{j}^k| = \epsilon\} \subset O_k$ for $k = 0, 1$. 

In the chart $U_k$, the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 5.1 (see (5.2)) shows that

$$M^0_k \cap O_k = \{ z_{k,1} z_{k,2} z_{k,3} z_{k,4} = t f_k(p_k, q_k) \}$$

for some non-vanishing and null-homotopic functions $f_k(p_k, q_k)$. Therefore, $f_k(p_k, q_k)|_{T^0_k}$ is homotopic to a constant (so $\pi_1(T^0_k) \to \pi_1(\mathbb{C}^*)$ is the zero map). We have $\frac{s_k}{s_1}|_{T^0_k} = z_{k,1} z_{k,2} z_{k,3} z_{k,4}/(f_k(p_k, q_k))$ so

$$\frac{s_0}{s_1}|_{T^0_k} = e^{i(S^2 q_{k,j})} h_k(q_k)$$

for some null-homotopic function $h_k(q_k): \mathbb{R}^4/2\pi \mathbb{Z}^4 \to \mathbb{C}^*$ for $k = 0, 1$. Notice that $T^0_k$ and $T^1_k$ are isotropic torus in $O_C \setminus \partial P_\Delta$ for $k = 0, 1$. Let $\{T^r_k\}_{r \in [0, 1]}$ be an isotopy from $T^0_k$ and $T^1_k$ in $O_C \setminus \partial P_\Delta$. The homotopy type of $\frac{s_k}{s_1}|_{T^r_k}: \mathbb{R}^4/2\pi \mathbb{Z}^4 \to \mathbb{C}^*$ is independent of $r$.

It means that we can equip $\mathbb{R}^4/2\pi \mathbb{Z}^4$ with linear coordinates $q_0$ or $q_1$ such that with respect to the coordinates $q_k$, $\frac{s_k}{s_1}$ is homotopic to $e^{i(S^2 q_{k,j})}$. Therefore, $\partial_{q_{k,1}} - \partial_{q_{k,j}}$ represents a class in $\pi_1(\mathbb{R}^4/2\pi \mathbb{Z}^4)$ that lies in $\ker(\frac{s_k}{s_1}|_{T^k} : \pi_1(\mathbb{R}^4/2\pi \mathbb{Z}^4) \to \pi_1(\mathbb{C}^*))$. Since $\frac{s_k}{s_1}$ is independent of coordinates,

$$(5.7) \quad \ker(\frac{s_0}{s_1}|_{T^0_{k}}) = (A^{-T})_* \langle \text{Span}\left\{ \partial_{q_{1,1}} - \partial_{q_{1,j}} \right\}_{j=2,3,4} \rangle = \text{Span}\left\{ \partial_{q_{0,1}} - \partial_{q_{0,j}} \right\}_{j=2,3,4}$$

It means that after applying the coordinate changes $A^{-T}$, the function $\sum_{j=1}^4 q_{1,j}$, which is invariant with respect to $\text{Span}\left\{ \partial_{q_{1,1}} - \partial_{q_{1,j}} \right\}_{j=2,3,4}$, becomes a linear function in $q_0$-coordinates that is invariant with respect to $\text{Span}\left\{ \partial_{q_{0,1}} - \partial_{q_{0,j}} \right\}_{j=2,3,4}$. Such linear function is of the form $c_0(\sum_{j=1}^4 q_{0,j}) + c_1$ for some constants $c_0, c_1$. Since $A \in \text{GL}(4, \mathbb{Z})$, we have $c_0 = 1$ and the result follows.

Lemma 5.15. Let $U_0, U_1$ be symplectic corner charts at vertices $v_0$ and $v_1$ that are connected by a 1-cell $\delta$. We can identify 3 of the 4 coordinate functions in the following sense: By possibly relabelling the axes, we have $p_{0,j} = m_j p_{1,j}$ for some $m_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ for $j = 2, 3, 4$.

Proof. By possibly relabelling the axes, we assume that $\delta$ corresponds to $\{p_{0,2} = p_{0,3} = p_{0,4} = 0\}$ and $\{p_{1,2} = p_{1,3} = p_{1,4} = 0\}$ with respect to coordinates in $U_0$ and $U_1$, respectively. In particular, for both $k = 0, 1$, the 3-cells $\{p_{k,j} = 0\}_{j=2,3,4} \cap \Delta_k$ are the only 3-cells in $\Delta$ containing $\delta$. After applying the affine linear transformation $A$, the 3-cells $\{p_{1,j} = 0\}_{j=2,3,4} \cap \Delta_1$ will be mapped to $\{p_{0,j} = 0\}_{j=2,3,4} \cap \Delta_0$ (because these are the only one that contain $\delta$). Therefore, by possibly relabelling the axes, we have $\{p_{0,j} = 0\} = \{p_{1,j} = 0\}$ for $j = 2, 3, 4$. Since $p_{k,j} \geq 0$ for $k = 0, 1$, it implies that $p_{0,j} = m_j p_{1,j}$ for some $m_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ for $j = 2, 3, 4$. □
Corollary 5.16. Let $x \in \partial \Delta$ and $\Pi$ be the cell of $\partial \Delta$ whose interior contains $x$. Let $v_0, v_1$ be vertices of $\Pi$ such that there exists a union of 1-cells $\{\delta_i\}_i$ in $\Pi$ connecting $v_0$ and $v_1$ and $\delta_i \cap A = \emptyset$ for all $i$. Let $U_0, U_1$ be the symplectic corner charts at $v_0$ and $v_1$, respectively. If $M^s$ is $x$-standard with respect to $U_0$ then $M^s$ is $x$-standard with respect to $U_1$.

Proof. We conclude that $\sum_j q_{0,j} = \sum_j q_{1,j}$ by applying Lemma 5.14 repeatedly, where for $i = 0, 1$, $(p_{i,j}, q_{i,j})$ are the symplectic coordinates in $U_i$.

By repeatedly applying Lemma 5.15, we also know that $\prod_{j, x_{0,j} = 0} p_{0,j} = m \prod_{j, x_{1,j} = 0} p_{1,j}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, where $x_{0,j}$ and $x_{1,j}$ are the $j$th-coordinates of $x$ in $U_0$ and $U_1$ respectively.

Since $M^s$ is $x$-standard with respect to $U_0$, for a small neighborhood $O_x$ of $x$, the equation (5.1) holds for $M^s \cap \pi^{-1}_\Delta(O_x)$ with respect to symplectic coordinates on $U_0$. Therefore, by the discussion above, the equation (5.1) also holds for $M^s \cap \pi^{-1}_\Delta(O_x)$ with respect to symplectic coordinates on $U_1$, with possibly $c_p, c_q$ being replaced by other constants.

When Type($x$) = 3, we can remove the assumption that $v_0$ and $v_1$ are connected by a union of 1-cells, in the following sense:

Lemma 5.17. Let $\Pi$ and $x$ be as in Corollary 5.16 but we assume that Type($x$) = 3 (so $\dim(\Pi) = 3$). Let $v_0, v_1$ be vertices of $\Pi$ and $U_0, U_1$ be the corresponding corner charts. If $M^s$ is $x$-standard such that the equation (5.1) holds for $c = 0$ with respect to $U_0$, then the same is true with respect to $U_1$.

Proof. The equation (5.1) holds for $c = 0$ implies that $M^s \cap \pi^{-1}_\Delta(O_x)$ coincides with $\pi^{-1}_\Delta(O_x \cap \Pi)$, which is independent of coordinates. Therefore, it is true with respect to $U_0$ if and only if it is true with respect to $U_1$. 

5.2. Trivalent vertex. In this subsection, we construct a local Lagrangian modelled on a trivalent vertex of a tropical curve $\gamma$. Near the trivalent vertex, $\gamma$ is contained in a 2-dimensional plane so we start our construction in $T^*T^2$.

Lemma 5.18. In $T^*T^2$, there is a Lagrangian pair of pants $L$ such that outside a compact set, $L$ coincides with the union of the negative conormal bundles of a $(1, 0)$ and $(0, 1)$ curve, and the positive conormal bundle of a $(1, 1)$ curve.

Proof. Let $r_i, \theta_i$ be the polar coordinates of $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ for $i = 1, 2$. For a symplectic form on $(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\})^2$ we use $\omega := \sum_i d(\log(r_i)) \wedge d\theta_i$. Now, $T^*T^2$ is symplectomorphic to $((\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\})^2, \omega)$ by the identification $(p_i, q_i) = (\log(r_i), \theta_i)$, where the $q_i$ are the base
coordinates of $T^*T^2$. In the complex coordinate $z_j = r_j e^{i\theta_j}$, the holomorphic pair of pants $H = \{(z_1, z_2) \mid z_1 + z_2 = 1\}$ is given by
\[ r_1 \cos(\theta_1) + r_2 \cos(\theta_2) = 1, \quad r_1 \sin(\theta_1) + r_2 \sin(\theta_2) = 0. \]

To obtain a Lagrangian pair of pants, we use Hyperkähler rotation. Concretely, by transforming $\theta_1 \mapsto \theta_2, \theta_2 \mapsto -\theta_1$ keeping $r_1, r_2$ fixed, we know that
\[ L := \{(r_1, \theta_1, r_2, \theta_2) \in (\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\})^2 \mid r_1 \cos(\theta_2) + r_2 \cos(\theta_1) = 1, -r_2 \sin(\theta_1) + r_1 \sin(\theta_2) = 0 \} \]
is diffeomorphic to a pair of pants. The three punctures correspond to $r_1 = 0, r_2 = 0$ and $r_1 = r_2 = \infty$ respectively. We next check that $L$ is Lagrangian.

The tangent space of $L$ is spanned by
\begin{align*}
(5.8) \quad & \quad \cos(\theta_1) \partial_{r_1} - \frac{\sin(\theta_1)}{r_1} \partial_{\theta_2} - \cos(\theta_2) \partial_{r_2} + \frac{\sin(\theta_2)}{r_2} \partial_{\theta_1}, \\
(5.9) \quad & \quad \sin(\theta_1) \partial_{r_1} + \frac{\cos(\theta_1)}{r_1} \partial_{\theta_2} + \sin(\theta_2) \partial_{r_2} + \frac{\cos(\theta_2)}{r_2} \partial_{\theta_1},
\end{align*}
as can be checked by applying these to the defining equations of $L$. Computing $\omega((5.8), (5.9))$ gives zero, hence $L$ is Lagrangian.

Let $\pi : T^*T^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be the projection $\pi(p_1, q_1) = (p_1, p_2)$ which is a Lagrangian torus fiber bundle. Note that $\pi(L) = \pi(H)$ which is an amoeba with three legs asymptotic to the negative $p_1$ axis, the negative $p_2$ axis and the line $\{p_1 = p_2 \mid p_1 > 0\}$. More precisely, when $r_1 > 0$ is sufficiently small, $\theta_1$ is close to $0$ and $r_2$ is close to $1$. The situation is similar when $r_2 > 0$ is sufficiently small. When $r_1, r_2$ are sufficiently large, we consider the equation $r_1^2 + r_2^2 + 2r_1r_2 \cos(\theta_1 + \theta_2) = 1$ obtained by sum of squares of two defining equations of $L$. It implies that $1 \geq (r_1 - r_2)^2$ and $\cos(\theta_1 + \theta_2)$ is close to $-1$ when $r_1, r_2$ large, which in turn implies $\frac{\partial_{\theta_1}}{r_1}$ is close to $1$ and $\theta_1 + \theta_2$ is close to $-\pi$. To complete the proof, it suffices to deform $L$ to another Lagrangian $L'$ such that the three legs of $\pi(L')$ completely coincide with the asymptotic lines outside a compact set.

We now explain the deformation procedure. One can check that $\alpha := p_i dq_i$ is exact when restricted to $L$ by showing that $\int_{c_i} \alpha = 0$, where $c_i$ are simple closed loops wrapping around the asymptotes $r_i = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$. Define
\[ E_1 := \{(p_1, q_1, p_2, q_2) \mid q_1 = 0, p_2 = 0\} \]
which is the conormal bundle of $\{q_1 = p_1 = p_2 = 0\} \subset \{p_1 = p_2 = 0\}$ when we identify $\{p_1 = p_2 = 0\}$ with the zero section of $T^*T^2$. In particular $E_1$ is a Lagrangian. The projection $\pi_1 : L \to E_1$ defined by $\pi_1(p_1, q_1, p_2, q_2) = (p_1, 0, 0, q_2)$ is injective and submersive near the end corresponding to $p_1 = -\infty$. By locally identifying a neighborhood of the zero section of $T^*E_1$ with an open subset of $T^*T^2$, $L$ can be identified as a section of $T^*E_1 \to E_1$ near $p_1 = -\infty$. Since we checked that $L$ is exact
for $\alpha$, one can find a Hamiltonian isotopy to move this end of $L$ to $E_1$. For the end of $L$ corresponding to $p_2 = -\infty$ and $p_1 = p_2 = \infty$, we can take $E_2 := \{(p_1, q_1, p_2, q_2) | q_2 = 0, p_1 = 0\}$ and $E_3 := \{(p_1, q_1, p_2, q_2) | p_1 = p_2, q_1 = -\pi - q_2\}$ to substitute $E_1$, and $\pi_2(p_1, q_1, p_2, q_2) = (0, q_1, p_2, 0)$ and $\pi_3(p_1, q_1, p_2, q_2) = (p_1, -\pi - q_2, p_1, q_2)$ to substitute $\pi_1$, respectively. This completes the proof. \qed

By multiplying Lemma 5.18 with a trivial $T^*S^1$ factor, we have the following.

**Corollary 5.19.** In $T^*T^3$, there is a Lagrangian pair of pants times circle $L$ such that outside a compact set, $L$ coincides with the union of the negative conormal bundles of a $(1,0,0)$-curve times a $(0,0,1)$-curve, of a $(0,1,0)$-curve times a $(0,0,1)$-curve, and the positive conormal bundle of a $(1,1,0)$ curve times a $(0,0,1)$-curve.

By applying backward Liouville flow for the standard Liouville structure on $T^*T^3$, we can assume $L$ to be as close to the union of the negative/positive conormal bundles as we want.

**Lemma 5.20.** Let $M^s$ be $x$-standard for some $x \in \partial C \setminus A$ so that $\pi^{-1}_\Delta(O_x^e) \cap M^s_{t^e}$ is given by Equation (5.1) for a small neighborhood $O_x^e$ of $x$. Let $c_j : [0, 1) \to O_x^e \setminus \partial C$ for $j = 1, 2, 3$ be proper straight lines such that $c_j(0) = x$ for all $j$. Assume the directions of $c_j$ is integral linearly equivalent to $\{e_1, e_2, -e_1 - e_2\}$ with respect to the integral affine structure on $\partial C \setminus A$. Then there exists a small neighborhood $O_x \subset O_x^e$ of $x$, small neighborhoods $O_{c_j} \subset O_x^e$ of $\text{Im}(c_j)$ and a family of proper Lagrangian pair of pants times circle $L_t \subset \pi^{-1}_\Delta(O_x^e) \cap M^s_{t^e}$, for $t > 0$, such that $L_t \cap \pi^{-1}_\Delta(O_{c_j})$ is $\text{Im}(c_j)$-standard outside $\pi^{-1}_\Delta(O_x)$ for $j = 1, 2, 3$.

**Proof.** By Proposition 5.5 we can construct $\text{Im}(c_j)$-standard Lagrangian $L_j$ in $\pi^{-1}_\Delta(O_x^e) \cap M^s_{t^e}$. The set of $p$-coordinates of $L_j$ are determined by condition (II) in Proposition 5.5. Let the set of $p$-coordinates of $L_j$ be $P_j$. Notice that $\cap_{j=1,2,3} P_j$ is a singleton given by the unique element such that $p_1 + x_1 = \cdots = p_4 + x_4$. Let $p^*$ be the unique element in $\cap_{j=1,2,3} P_j$ and $T_{p^*} := \pi^{-1}_\Delta(p^*) \cap M^s_{t}$ be the Lagrangian $T^3$ in $M^s_{t}$.

The assumptions of the directions of $c_j$ implies that, for some choice of coordinates in $T_{p^*}$, the intersection pattern of $L_j$ with $T_{p^*}$ is exactly given by $(1,0,0)$-curve times $(0,0,1)$-curve, $(0,1,0)$-curve times $(0,0,1)$-curve and $(1,1,0)$ curve times $(0,0,1)$-curve. We can do a Hamiltonian perturbation of $L_j$ such that, with respect to a choice of Weinstein neighborhood of $T_{p^*}$, $L_j$ coincides with the negative conormal bundles of a $(1,0,0)$-curve times $(0,0,1)$-curve, $(0,1,0)$-curve times $(0,0,1)$-curve, and the positive conormal bundle of a $(1,1,0)$ curve times $(0,0,1)$-curve.

We can also adjust $T_{p^*} \cap L_j$ by parallel translate the $2$-tori using $H(u)$ in Proposition 5.5 if necessary. Therefore, we can apply Corollary 5.19 to glue the $L_j$ together and
obtain a proper Lagrangian pair of pants times circle \( L_t \). It is clear that \( L_t \cap \pi^{-1}(O_{c_j}) \) is \( \text{Im}(c_j) \)-standard outside \( \pi^{-1}(O_x) \) for some small neighborhood \( O_x \) of \( x \).

5.3. Assembling local Lagrangian pieces away from the discriminant. We apply the results in the previous two subsections and conclude the construction of the Lagrangian away from the discriminant.

**Terminology 5.21.** A solid torus is a manifold diffeomorphic to \( S^1 \times \{z \in \mathbb{C} | |z| \leq 1 \} \). An open solid torus is a manifold diffeomorphic to the interior of a solid torus.

Let \( \gamma \) be an admissible tropical curve (see the assumption of Theorem 1.1). Let \( N \) be a neighborhood of \( \gamma \) and \( B' \subset B \subset N \) be small open tubular neighborhoods of the ends of \( \gamma \) such that the closure \( \overline{B} \) of \( B' \) lies inside \( B \). In particular, we can write \( B = \bigcup_e B_e \) and \( B' = \bigcup_e B'_e \) where the union is taken over all the ends \( e \) of \( \gamma \) and \( B_e, B'_e \) are small topological balls containing \( e \).

**Proposition 5.22.** Suppose there exists an \( s_1 \)-admissible section \( s \) and, for all \( t > 0 \) small and for each end \( e \), a Lagrangian open solid torus \( L_e^t \) in \( \pi^{-1}(B) \cap M_e^s \) such that \( L_e^t \) is \( (B_e \setminus \overline{B'_e}) \cap \gamma \)-standard. Then, for all \( t > 0 \) sufficiently small, there is a closed Lagrangian rational homology sphere \( L_t \subset M_e^s \) such that \( \pi_{\Delta}(L_t) \subset N \). Moreover, we have \( w(L_t) = \text{mult}(\gamma) \).

**Proof.** We first explain the construction of \( L_t \) and proof concept is the same as for Proposition 5.13. Let \( D := \{d_i\}_{i=1}^{K} \subset \gamma \setminus B \) be a finite collection of points such that it contains all the trivalent points of \( \gamma \) and all the points in \( \partial B \cap \gamma \). By adding more points to \( D \) if necessary, we can assume that every point \( x \) on \( \gamma \) is contained in the image of a curve \( c : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{D} \setminus \mathcal{A} \), for some \( \mathbb{D} \), such that \( \text{Type}(c(0)) \leq \text{Type}(c(r)) = \text{Type}(c(1)) \) for all \( r \in (0, 1] \). In particular, it implies that the interval between two adjacent points \( d, d' \) of \( D \) (adjacent with respect to the topology on \( \gamma \)) is the image of such a curve \( c \). We denote the open (resp. closed) interval between two adjacent points \( d, d' \) by \( (d, d') \) (resp. \([d, d']\)).

By repeatedly applying Lemma 5.10, we get a new \( s_1 \)-admissible section \( s' \) such that \( M^{s'} \) is \( (d, d') \)-transition-standard for all adjacent points \( d, d' \in D \) and \( s' = s \) outside \( \pi_{\Delta}^{-1}(N) \). Moreover, since \( M^s \) is standard for points in \( (B \setminus \overline{B'}) \cap \gamma \) in a priori, when we apply Lemma 5.10, we can assume that the outcome \( s' \) equals \( s \) inside \( \pi_{\Delta}^{-1}(B) \).

As a consequence of \( M^{s'} \) being \( (d, d') \)-transition-standard, \( M^{s'} \) is \( x \)-standard for all \( x \in D \) (here, we use Corollary 5.16 and Lemma 5.17 to guarantee that being \( x \)-standard is independent of corner charts). In particular, \( M^{s'} \) is \( x \)-standard for all trivalent points \( x \) of \( \gamma \). Let \( x \) be a trivalent point of \( \gamma \) and let \( d_{i_1}, d_{i_2}, d_{i_3} \in D \) be the three adjacent points of \( x \) on the three incident edges of \( x \), respectively. We can apply Lemma 5.20...
at $x$. The result is a point $b_{ik} \in (x, d_{ik})$ for each $k = 1, 2, 3$ such that, for all $t > 0$ small, there exists a Lagrangian pairs of pants times circle $L_t^x \subset M^{s'}$ such that $L_t^x$ is $(x, b_{ik})$-standard outside the preimage of a small neighborhood $O_x$ of $x$ under $\pi_{\Delta}$.

Since $M^{s'}$ is $(x, d_{ik})$-transition standard for all $k = 1, 2, 3$, we can apply Lemma 5.11 to extend $L^x_t$ so that it becomes $(x, d_{ik})$-transition standard outside $\pi_{\Delta}^{-1}(O_x)$.

Now, as in the proof of Proposition 5.13, for all adjacent $d, d' \in D$ such that $d, d'$ are not trivalent points of $\gamma$, we can also construct Lagrangian local pieces in $M^{s'}$ that are $(d, d')$-transition-standard. Moreover, we can glue these local pieces together smoothly to get, for all $t > 0$ small, a closed Lagrangian $L_t$.

Finally, for the diffeomorphism type and topology of $L_t$, it is clear from the construction that the diffeomorphism type of $L_t$ is governed by $\gamma$ and coincides with Definition 2.8. In particular, for a rigid $\gamma$ of genus zero, $L_t$ is a rational homology sphere and $w(L) = \text{mult}(\gamma)$. \hfill $\Box$

6. Near the discriminant

In this section, we explain the construction of a local Lagrangian solid torus that serves as capping off the Lagrangian 3-folds near the discriminant. We first explain the case where $\mathbb{P}_\Delta$ is a toric manifold; subsection 6.8.1 reduces the more general orbifold situation to the manifold case.

Let $U$ be a symplectic corner chart. As explained in Section 3 (see (3.1)), we have an explicit diffeomorphism $\Phi_U : U \to \mathbb{C}^4$ given by

$$w_j = \exp(u_j + iv_j) = \Phi_{U,j}(z) = \exp\left(\frac{\partial f_j(p)}{\partial p_j}\right) \exp(iq_j)$$

where $(w_1, \ldots, w_4) \in \mathbb{C}^4$, $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_4)$, $z_j = \sqrt{2p_j} \exp(iq_j)$ and $\Phi_U = (\Phi_{U,1}, \ldots, \Phi_{U,4})$.

Let $s_1 \in H^0(\mathbb{P}_\Delta, L)_{\text{reg}}$ and $M_t := M_t^{s_1}$ (see (4.5)). For the purpose of capping off the Lagrangian, we will make an assumption on the shape of the discriminant near the ending. This assumption will automatically be satisfied for all mirror quintics. Say the piece of the discriminant that we want to cap off the Lagrangian at is contained in the complex two-dimensional stratum $T = \{w_1 = w_2 = 0, w_3w_4 \neq 0\}$.

**Assumption 6.1.** In this section, we assume

$$M_t \cap U = \Phi_U^{-1}(\{w_1w_2w_3w_4 = t g(w)\})$$
where \( g(w) := c(b - w_3) + w_1h_1(w) + w_2h_2(w) \) for some polynomial functions \( h_1, h_2 : \mathbb{C}^4 \to \mathbb{C} \) and constants \( b, c \in \mathbb{C}^* \). In other words, the restriction of \( g \) to \( T \) is constant in \( w_4 \) and degree one in \( w_3 \).

**Remark 6.2.** As a consequence of Lemma 6.1, the situation of Assumption 6.1 is equivalent to the corner chart \( U \) being based at a vertex with an adjacent two-cell that deforms to a one-cell in \( \Delta_X \). Furthermore, \( g \) being locally of this form is equivalent to its amoeba \( A \) locally being one-dimensional. By the admissibility assumption on the tropical curves that we build Lagrangians for, its univalent vertices permit a nearby deformation to a one-cell in \( \Delta \) that contains a 2-cell so that the associated chart \( \Phi_U \) gives the hypersurface the form of (6.2) for \( T \) the toric two-stratum associated to the two-cell in \( \Delta \) that contains the univalent vertex of \( \gamma \). \( A \) is locally of dimension one if and only if (6.2).

Since \( g(0, 0, w_3, w_4) = c(b - w_3) \), the discriminant \( \text{Disc}(s_1) \) intersected with the stratum \( T \) is

\[
(6.3) \quad \text{Disc}(s_1) \cap T = \{w_3 = b\} \cap T.
\]

Let \( \pi : U \to \square \) be the moment map restricted to \( U \) and \( A := \pi(\text{Disc}(s_1) \cap T) \).

**Lemma 6.3.** \( \pi|_{\text{Disc}(s_1) \cap T} \) is an \( S^1 \) fiber bundle over \( A \) and the tangent space of each \( S^1 \)-fiber is generated by \( \partial_{q_4} = \partial_{p_4} \). Moreover, \( A \) is an open embedded curve inside the two cell \( \{p_1 = p_2 = 0\} \subset \square \) such that \( A \) is transverse to the slices \( \{p_4 = \text{const}\} \).

**Proof.** Since \( \text{Disc}(s_1) \cap T \) is connected, so is its projection \( A \). Inserting \( w_j = e^{u_j + iv_j} \) into \( g_0 \) and taking logarithm yields that \( \text{Disc}(s_1) \cap T \) is given by \( u_3 = \text{const} \) and \( v_3 = \text{const} \), so it is invariant under the subtorus action \( \{(0, 0, \vartheta) \in T^4 | \vartheta \in S^1\} \). This proves the first statement of the lemma.

The curve \( A \) in \( p \)-coordinates is found by inserting \( u_3 = \frac{\partial f_j(p)}{\partial p_3} \) into \( u_3 = \text{const} \) and since \( f_j \) is a smooth function, \( A \) is a smooth connected curve. Let \( p = (0, 0, f_1(r), f_2(r)) \) be a parametrization of \( A \). Note that \( \text{Disc}(s_1) \cap T \) is symplectic with tangent space generated by \( \{f'_1(r)\partial_{p_3} + f'_2(r)\partial_{p_4}, \partial_{q_4}\} \). It means that \( \omega(f'_1(r)\partial_{p_3} + f'_2(r)\partial_{p_4}, \partial_{q_4}) = f'_2(r) \neq 0 \) for all \( r \), so \( A \) is transverse to the slices \( \{p_4 = \text{const}\} \).

We consider a straight line segment \( \gamma(r) = (0, 0, r, \lambda) \in \square \) for some fixed \( \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \) parametrized by \( r \in (r_0, r_1) \), inside the 2-cell \( \{p_1 = p_2 = 0\} = \pi(T) \), such that \( 0 < r_0 < r_1 \) and \( \gamma(r) \in A \iff r = r_1 \). (see Figure 6.1).

The main result we want to prove in this section is:

**Theorem 6.4 (Lagrangian solid tori).** Let \( s \) be an \( s_1 \)-admissible section. For any neighborhood \( N \subset \square \) of \( \gamma(r_1) \), there exist \( r' < r'' < r_1 \) with \( \gamma([r', r_1]) \subset N \), and a
family of \(s_1\)-admissible section \((s^u)_{u \in [0,1]}\) such that \(s^0 = s\), for all \(u\), \(s^u = s\) outside \(\pi^{-1}_\Delta(N)\) and \(M^{s_1}\) is \(x\)-standard with respect to \(U\) for all \(x \in \gamma([r', r''])\).

Moreover there exists a neighborhood \(N' \subset N\) of \(\gamma((r', r_1])\) such that \(\gamma((r', r_1])\) is proper in \(N'\) and there exists a family of proper Lagrangian open solid tori \(L_t \subset (M^{s_1}_t \cap \pi^{-1}(N'))\), for all \(t > 0\) sufficiently small, such that \(L_t\) is \((\gamma((r', r'')))\)-standard (see Definition 5.6 and Terminology 5.21).

Note that, in Theorem 6.4, \(L_t\) being \((\gamma((r', r'')))\)-standard and proper in \((M^{s_1}_t \cap \pi^{-1}(N'))\) implies that the infinite end of \(L_t\) is contained in \(\pi^{-1}(\gamma((r', r'')))\). We will use this property to glue \(L_t\) with the standard Lagrangian models constructed in Section 5 to conclude the proof of Theorem 1.1 eventually.

6.1. Lagrangian construction near the discriminant under assumptions. In this section, we give the construction of a Lagrangian solid torus under two additional assumptions on \(M_t\) near \(\text{Disc}(s_1) \cap T\), and we later show how to reduce the general case to this case. We start with some preliminaries about contact geometry and Legendrian submanifolds.

6.1.1. Digression into contact geometry. Let \((N, \omega)\) be a compact symplectic manifold with boundary. A Liouville structure on \((N, \omega)\) is a choice of \(\alpha \in \Omega^1(N)\) such that \(d\alpha = \omega\) and that the vector field \(Z\), \(\omega\)-dual to \(\alpha\) (i.e. \(\iota_Z \omega = \alpha\)), points outward along \(\partial N\). The triple \((N, \omega, \alpha)\) is called a Liouville domain.

**Example 6.5.** Let \((B^{2n}, \sum r_j dr_j \wedge d\theta_j)\) be the standard symplectic closed ball. We can pick \(\alpha = \sum \frac{r_j}{2} d\theta_j\). In this case, \(Z = \sum \frac{r_j}{2} \partial r_j\) points outward along \(\partial B^{2n}\).

Given a Liouville domain \((N, \omega, \alpha)\), \((\partial N, \ker(\alpha|_\partial N))\) is a contact manifold (see eg. [15], [35]) and we call it the contact boundary of \((N, \omega, \alpha)\). The contact boundary of the Liouville domain in Example 6.5 is called the standard contact sphere \((S^{2n-1}, \xi_{\text{std}})\). In general, there are many contact structures one can put on an odd-dimensional manifold even if one restricts to those that arise as the contact boundary of a Liouville domain. In contrast, there is a unique contact structure on the 3-dimensional sphere.
(up to contactomorphisms) which can be the contact boundary of a Liouville domain, namely, the standard one (see [10]).

**Theorem 6.6** (see [9], and also Theorem 1.7 of [35]). If \((N, \omega, \alpha)\) is a Liouville domain with its contact boundary being the standard contact 3-sphere, then \((N, \omega, \alpha)\) is symplectic deformation equivalent to the standard symplectic closed 4-ball.

A knot \(K\) in \((S^3, \xi_{std})\) is called Legendrian if \(T_pK \subset \xi_{std}\) for every point \(p \in K\). A Legendrian unknot is a Legendrian knot such that its underlying smooth knot type is an unknot.

**Example 6.7.** Let \(K \subset (S^3, \xi_{std}) \subset \mathbb{R}^4\) be the intersection of \((S^3, \xi_{std})\) with a Lagrangian vector subspace of \((\mathbb{R}^4, \omega_{std})\). Then \(K\) is a Legendrian unknot and we call it a standard Legendrian unknot.

The Legendrian isotopy type of a Legendrian unknot is classified by its Thurston-Bennequin number and rotation number (see [11], and also [12, Section 5] for more about these background materials). There is exactly one Legendrian unknot with Thurston-Bennequin number \(-1\) up to Legendrian isotopy and it is realized by the standard Legendrian unknot. By the Thurston-Bennequin inequality, a Legendrian unknot can bound an embedded Lagrangian disk in \((B^4, \omega_{std})\) only if its Thurston-Bennequin number is \(-1\). The converse is also well-known to be true:

**Lemma 6.8** (Bounding a Lagrangian disk). Let \((N, \omega, \alpha)\) be a Liouville domain with contact boundary \((S^3, \xi_{std})\). If \(\Lambda \subset (\partial N, \ker(\alpha))\) is Legendrian isotopic to the standard Legendrian unknot, then there is an embedded Lagrangian disk \(D \subset (N, \omega)\) such that \(\partial D = D \cap \partial N = \Lambda\).

**Proof.** By Theorem 6.6, it suffices to assume that \((N, \omega, \alpha)\) is a star-shaped domain in \((\mathbb{R}^4, \omega_{std})\). By [9, Theorem 1.2], there is a small Darboux ball \(B^4 \subset N\) and an embedded Lagrangian \(L \subset N \setminus \text{Int}(B^4)\) such that \(L \cap \partial N = \Lambda\) and \(L \cap \partial B^4\) is a standard Legendrian unknot. Moreover, we can assume that \(L\) is invariant with respect to radial direction near \(\partial B^4\). Therefore, we can close up \(L\) by a Lagrangian plane in \(B^4\) by Example 6.7. \(\square\)

Let \(M'_t := \{(z_1, z_2, z_3) \in \mathbb{C}^3| z_1 z_2 = t z_3\}\) which is a complex and hence symplectic hypersurface for all \(t \neq 0\). With positive \(\epsilon\), let \(Y_\epsilon := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^3| |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 + |z_3|^2 = \epsilon\}\) be the 5-sphere equipped with the standard contact structure and contact form \(\alpha|_{Y_\epsilon} = \sum \frac{r^2}{2} d\theta_i\) (see Example 6.5).

**Lemma 6.9.** For \(t \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}\), the contact form \(\alpha|_{Y_\epsilon}\) restricts to a contact form on \(Y_{\epsilon, t} := M'_t \cap Y_\epsilon\) such that \((Y_{\epsilon, t}, \ker(\alpha|_{Y_{\epsilon, t}}))\) is contactomorphic to the standard contact 3-sphere.
Proof. This result is well-known (see Remark 6.10) but we still want to give some details. Without loss of generality, we assume $t$ is real positive. Note that $Y_{ε,t}$ is the union of $Y_{ε,t} \setminus \{z_1 = 1\}$ and $Y_{ε,t} \setminus \{z_2 = 0\}$. We parametrize $Y_{ε,t} \setminus \{z_1 = 1\}$ and $Y_{ε,t} \setminus \{z_2 = 0\}$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
\{ (r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4) = (r, \theta_1, \rho(t, r), \theta_2) \mid r < 0, \sqrt{\epsilon}, \theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi \mathbb{Z} \}, \\
\{ (r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4) = (\rho(t, r), \theta_1, r, \rho(t, r), \theta_1 + \theta_2) \mid r < 0, \sqrt{\epsilon}, \theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi \mathbb{Z} \}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\rho(t, r) := \sqrt{r^2 + 2t - 1}$, so when $r = \sqrt{\epsilon}$, we have $\rho(t, r, \sqrt{\epsilon}) = 0$ and the corresponding angular variable (i.e. $\theta_2$ for the first equation and $\theta_1$ for the second equation) collapses. In particular, the parametrizations of $Y_{ε,t} \setminus \{z_1 = 0\}$ and $Y_{ε,t} \setminus \{z_2 = 0\}$ exactly give a Heegaard decomposition of $Y_{ε,t}$ collapses. The collapsing circles at the ends have intersection pairing one in the Heegaard surface (a 2-torus) so $Y_{ε,t} = S^3$.

Let $\Phi(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4) := (s_1 \sqrt{\epsilon} \exp(is_2), s_2 \sqrt{\epsilon} \exp(is_3), s_1 s_2 \exp(i(s_1 + s_2)))$ be a chart for $M_{\epsilon}$ and let $\alpha := \sum r^j d\theta_j |_{M_{\epsilon}}$ and recall that $\omega = \sum r_j dr_j \wedge \theta_j$. Then we have

$$
\Phi^* \alpha = \frac{s_1^2}{2}(t + s_2^2) d\theta_1 + \frac{s_2^2}{2}(t + s_1^2) d\theta_2,
$$

$$
\Phi^* \omega = s_1(t + s_2^2) ds_1 \wedge d\theta_1 + s_1 s_2^2 ds_2 \wedge d\theta_1 + s_1 s_2^2 ds_1 \wedge d\theta_2 + s_2(t + s_1^2) ds_2 \wedge d\theta_2,
$$

so

$$
Z_i = \frac{1}{2(t + s_1^2 + s_2^2)} (s_1(t + s_1^2) ds_1 + s_2(t + s_2^2) ds_2)
$$

is checked to be the dual of $\Phi^* \alpha$ with respect to $\Phi^* \omega |_{M_{\epsilon}}$. In particular, the Liouville vector field $Z_i$ points outward along $\partial(M_{\epsilon} \cap \{ |z| \leq \epsilon \})$. Therefore, $M_{\epsilon} \cap \{ |z| \leq \epsilon \}$ is a Liouville domain with contact boundary $(Y_{ε,t}, \ker(\alpha|_{Y_{ε,t}}))$. Since the standard contact 3-sphere is the only contact 3-sphere that arises as the boundary of a Liouville domain, the result follows.

\[ \square \]

Remark 6.10. $Y_{ε,t}$ is called the link of the ‘singularity’ of $M_{\epsilon}'$ at the origin. Since $M_{\epsilon}'$ is smooth at the origin for $t \neq 0$, the link of the origin is contactomorphic to the standard contact 3-sphere.

By translating the $z_3$ coordinate, we know that $Y_{a,ε,t} := \{ z \in \mathbb{C}^3 | z_1 z_2 = t(z_3 - a) \} \cap \{ z \in \mathbb{C} | |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 + |z_3 - a|^2 = \epsilon \}$ is naturally equipped with a contact structure making it a standard contact 3-sphere for $a \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\epsilon > 0$.

Lemma 6.11. With $t \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, the following is a Lagrangian disk in $M_{a,t}' := \{ z_1 z_2 = t(z_3 - a) \}$,

$$
L := \{ (re^{i\theta}, re^{-i\theta}, \frac{r^2}{t} + a) \mid r \in [0, \infty), \theta \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi \mathbb{Z} \}
$$

Moreover, $\partial L := L \cap Y_{a,ε,t}$ is a Legendrian and has the Legendrian isotopy type of a standard Legendrian unknot in $Y_{a,ε,t}$.
Proof. Being a Lagrangian disk is an easy check. Using the chart $\Phi$ for $M'_t$ from above, shifted by $(0,0,a)$, we have

$$\Phi^{-1}(L) = \left\{ \left( \frac{r}{\sqrt{t}}, \theta, \frac{r}{\sqrt{t}}, -\theta \right) \right\}.$$  

By the proof of Lemma 6.9, $Z_t = \frac{1}{2(t+s_1^2+s_2^2)}(s_1(t+s_1^2)\partial_{s_1} + s_2(t+s_2^2)\partial_{s_2})$, so we have $Z_t|_x \in T_x(\Phi^{-1}(L))$ for all $x \in \Phi^{-1}(L)$. Therefore, $\partial L$ is a Legendrian. The only Legendrian isotopy type that can bound a Lagrangian disk is the standard one so $\partial L$ is Legendrian isotopic to the standard Legendrian unknot. □

Remark 6.12. For every $\phi \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$, there is a symplectomorphism $M'_{a,t} \to M'_{a,t}$ given by $z_1 \mapsto e^{i\phi}z_1$, $z_2 \mapsto e^{i\phi}z_2$, $z_3 \mapsto e^{i(2\phi)}(z_3 - a) + a$. Therefore, if the domain of $r$ in (6.4) is replaced by $e^{i\phi}[0,\infty)$ for some $\phi \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$, Lemma 6.11 still holds.

Having reviewed some basic contact geometry, now we explain the construction of Lagrangian solid tori under the Assumption 6.13 and 6.16 below.

6.1.2. Overview of the construction. In one dimension lower like the situation we just considered, let $z_1, z_2, z_3$ be symplectic coordinates of $\mathbb{C}^3$ and suppose we have a family $M_t$ of hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{C}^3$ with $M_0 = \{z_1z_2 = 0\}$, $M_t$ a symplectic submanifold for $t \neq 0$, for all $t \neq 0$ the discriminant $M_t \cap \text{Sing}(M_0)$ equals $\{(0,0,a)\}$ for fixed $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Say we have two balls $V, U$ centered at $\{(0,0,a)\}$ with $\overline{V} \subset U$ so that

$$M_t \cap (U \setminus V) = \{z_1z_2 = t(z_3 - a)\},$$

$$M_t \cap U$$

is a Liouville domain. By (6.5) and Lemma 6.9, we know that $\partial(M_t \cap U)$ is the standard contact 3-sphere. By Lemma 6.11, we have a Legendrian unknot

$$\Lambda_r := \left\{ \left( re^{i\theta}, re^{-i\theta}, \frac{r^2}{t}, + a \right) \left| \theta \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z} \right. \right\}$$

inside $\partial(M_t \cap U)$ for some appropriate $r$. Furthermore, by (6.6) and Theorem 6.6, we know that $M_t \cap U$ is symplectic deformation equivalent to the standard symplectic ball when $t \neq 0$. Moreover, by Lemma 6.8, we know that we can fill $\Lambda_r$ by a Lagrangian disk in $M_t \cap U$. This Lagrangian disk will generally allow us to construct closed Lagrangian surfaces for a tropical curve ending at the discriminant with such a disk closing up the ending.

In the situation that interests us one dimension higher, the ending needs to be given by a solid 3-torus. This situation is considerably harder for the following reason.
Ideally, we would like to have a product situation locally. It means that there is a symplectic annulus \((A, \omega_A)\) such that the family is simply given by \(M'_t \times A\) where \(M'_t\) is as above, the discriminant is then \(\{(0,0,a)\} \times A\), we obtain a Lagrangian disk \(D\) in the first factor \(M'_t\) as before and then for any circle \(C\) in \(A\) that generates the fundamental group of \(A\), we find \(D \times C\) as the desired solid torus in \(M'_t \times A\). However, it is very hard to understand the symplectic form near the discriminant, not to mention to try to deform it to a product situation, so this easy setup won’t be achievable for us. The next weaker concept from a product is a fibration which is what we will be using instead, as follows.

Let \(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4\) be symplectic coordinates of \(\mathbb{C}^4\), \(M_t\) a family of hypersurfaces in \(\mathbb{C}^4\) with \(M_0 = \{z_1z_2 = 0\}\), \(M_t\) a symplectic submanifold for \(t \neq 0\), and for all \(t \neq 0\) the discriminant \(M_t \cap \text{Sing}(M_0)\) equals \(\{(0,0,a)\} \times A\) for fixed \(a \in \mathbb{C}^*\) and some 0-centered annulus \(A \subset \mathbb{C}\). Again, say we have two balls \(V', U' \subset \mathbb{C}^3\) centered at \(\{(0,0,a)\}\) with \(\tilde{V} \subset U\) so that setting \(U = U' \times A, V = V' \times A\),

\[
\begin{align*}
M_t \cap (U \setminus V) &= \{z_1z_2 = t(z_3-a)\}, \\
M_t \cap U &\text{ is a Liouville domain.}
\end{align*}
\]

We will show below that the restriction of the projection \(U \to A\) to \(M_t\) gives a “nice” exact symplectic fibration \(\pi : M_t \cap U \to A\). Every fiber of \(\pi\) is the lower-dimensional situation as above. After symplectic completion, we get an exact symplectic fibration \(\text{Comp}(\pi) : \text{Comp}(M_t \cap U) \to T^*S^1\) such that fibers are standard symplectic \(\mathbb{R}^4\).

Since the compactly supported symplectomorphism group of standard \(\mathbb{R}^4\) is trivial, we can find a compactly supported exact symplectic deformation from \(\text{Comp}(M_t \cap U)\) to \(\text{Comp}(M_t \cap U)'\) such that \(\text{Comp}(\pi)\) is still an exact symplectic fibration and the symplectic monodromy around a simple loop \(C \subset A\) is the identity. Therefore, we can construct a Lagrangian disk as above in a fiber of a point of \(C\) and apply symplectic parallel transport along \(C\) to get a Lagrangian solid torus in \(\text{Comp}(M_t \cap U)\). Since \(\text{Comp}(M_t \cap U)'\) and \(\text{Comp}(M_t \cap U)\) are related by a compactly supported exact symplectic deformation, we get a corresponding Lagrangian torus in \(\text{Comp}(M_t \cap U)\) and we can apply the backward Liouville flow to obtain a Lagrangian solid torus in \(M_t \cap U\).

In the sections below, we will explain this construction in more details.

### 6.1.3. Main construction

Let \(U\) be a symplectic corner chart such that Assumption 6.1 holds. Let \(s\) be an \(s_1\)-admissible section and let \(T, \mathcal{A}, \gamma\) and \(\pi : U \to \mathcal{D}\) be the ones from the beginning of the chapter. By Lemma 6.3, the \(q_3\)-coordinate of \(\text{Disc}(s) \cap T\) is a constant and we denote it simply by \(q\). We are interested in the circle in the discriminant that lies above the point where \(\gamma\) hits its amoeba image \(\mathcal{A}\). Also by Lemma 6.3 we find \(C := \pi^{-1}(\gamma(r_1)) \cap \text{Disc}(s_1) \cap T\) to be a circle with constant radial
coordinate, say given by \( |p_4| = R \iff |z_4| = \sqrt{2R} \). So in \( z \)-coordinates, by setting \( a = \sqrt{2} \), the circle \( C \) is given by

\[
C = \left\{ z = (0,0,a,z_4) \mid |z_4| = \sqrt{2R} \right\}.
\]

(6.10)

We will construct a Lagrangian solid torus inside \( M_t \cap U_C \) for an appropriate closed neighborhood \( U_C \) of \( C \). From now on, every tubular neighborhood of \( C \) that we choose will be closed and of the form

\[
U_C := B \times B \times D \times A \subset (\mathbb{R}^2)^4
\]

(6.11) for \( B \) a 0-centered disk, \( D \) an \( a \)-centered disk and \( A \) a 0-centered annulus (shrinking and then taking closure of the one we had before) so that the circle of radius \( \sqrt{2R} \) is contained in \( A \). We will make two further assumptions for which we will show in later sections how these can be achieved. The first assumption is that \( \text{Disc}(s) \cap T \) depends only on the \( z_4 \)-coordinate near \( C \) as illustrated on the right in Figure 6.1.

**Assumption 6.13.** There exists a tubular neighborhood \( U_C \) of \( C \) such that

\[
\text{Disc}(s) \cap T \cap U_C = \{(0,0,a,z_4) \in U_C \mid z_4 \in A\}
\]

(6.12) for \( A \) a shrinking of the previous annulus \( A \) still containing the circle of radius \( \sqrt{2R} \). In particular, \( A \cap \pi(U_C) = \{(0,0,r_1)\} \times I \) where \( I \) is a straight line segment in the affine \( p \)-coordinates of \( C \) and \( I \) is given by projecting the radial part of \( A \).

To construct the Lagrangian solid torus, we also need to make an assumption on the restriction of \( \pi \) to \( U_C \cap M_t \) and for that we introduce the following notion.

**Definition 6.14.** Let \((E, \omega_E)\) be a symplectic manifold with corners and \((\Sigma, \omega_\Sigma)\) be a symplectic surface with boundary. Let \( \pi : E \to \Sigma \) be a symplectic fibration. The **vertical boundary** of \( \pi \) is \( \partial^v E := \pi^{-1}(\partial \Sigma) \). The **horizontal boundary** \( \partial^h E \) of \( \pi \) is the closure of \( \partial E \setminus \partial^v E \). The fibration \( \pi \) is called a **smoothly trivial exact symplectic fibration** if

1. \( \pi \) is a smoothly trivial fiber bundle,
2. there is a one form \( \alpha_E \) such that \( d\alpha_E = \omega_E \) and the induced Liouville vector field points outward along \( \partial^v E \) and \( \partial^h E \),
3. there exists a neighborhood \( N \) of \( \partial^h E \) and a symplectic manifold \((F, \omega_F)\) with smooth boundary such that there is a symplectomorphism \( \Psi : (N, \omega_E|_N) \simeq (F \times \Sigma, \omega_F \oplus \omega_\Sigma) \) and \( \pi_\Sigma \circ \Psi = \pi|_N \), where \( \pi_\Sigma : F \times \Sigma \to \Sigma \) is the projection to the second factor.

The last condition is also referred to as \( \pi \) being **symplectically trivial near the horizontal boundary**.
Remark 6.15. A smoothly trivial exact symplectic fibration is a strictly more restrictive notion than that of an exact symplectic fibration as given in [44, Section 15].

Assumption 6.16. There exist tubular neighborhoods
\begin{equation}
U_C := B \times B \times D \times A \quad \text{and} \quad V_C := B' \times B' \times D' \times A
\end{equation}
with \(U_C\) as in (6.11) and \(B' \subset B\) a 0-centered closed disk of smaller radius and \(D' \subset D\) an \(a\)-centered closed disk of smaller radius but \(U_C\) and \(V_C\) have notably the same \(A\)-factors such that
\begin{equation}
\begin{cases}
M_t \cap (U_C \setminus V_C) = \{ z_1 z_2 = t(z_3 - a) \} \\
\pi : M_t \cap U_C \to A
\end{cases}
\end{equation}
where, as usual, \(z_j = \sqrt{2p_j} e^{iq_j} = x_j + iy_j\) for \(j = 1, 2, 3, 4\).

We next carry out the Lagrangian solid torus construction under Assumption 6.13 and 6.16. By Lemma 6.9, the contact boundary of fibers of the projection \(\pi : M_t \cap U_C \to A\) are contactomorphic to the standard contact 3-sphere. It implies that \(\pi\) is actually a symplectic 4-ball bundle over \(A\) by Theorem 6.6. Moreover, by Lemma 6.11, for every \(z_4 \in A\), there is a unique \(r > 0\) such that \(r^2 + a \in \partial D\) for \(D\) the third factor of \(U_C\).

When \(t \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}\) is small,
\begin{equation}
\Lambda_{z_4, r} := \left\{ (\sqrt{t}re^{i\theta}, \sqrt{t}re^{-i\theta}, r^2 + a, z_4) \mid \theta \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z} \right\}
\end{equation}
is a Legendrian unknot in \(\partial(\pi^{-1}(z_4))\). (By Remark 6.12, we can also take \(r \in \mathbb{C}^*\) with non-zero argument.) Recall that \(R\) is the \(p_4\)-coordinate of \(\gamma(r_1)\). Since \(\pi\) is assumed to be symplectically trivial near the horizontal boundary, it is clear that
\begin{equation}
\Lambda_{C, r} := \bigcup_{z_4 : |z_4| = \sqrt{2TR}} \Lambda_{z_4, r}
\end{equation}
is a Legendrian torus in the contact boundary of \(M_t \cap U_C\) (after rounding corners to be able to call \(M_t \cap U_C\) a Liouville domain even though \(\Lambda_{C, r}\) doesn’t meet any corners since it projects to the interior of \(A\)).

Proposition 6.17. The Legendrian torus \(\Lambda_{C, r}\) bounds an embedded Lagrangian solid torus \(L_{C, r}\) in \(M_t \cap U_C\) such that every \(\Lambda_{z_4, r}\) is a meridian.

Proof. We use the notation \(M_t' := M_t \cap U_C\) in this proof. Let \(\text{Comp}(M_t')\) be the symplectic completion of \(M_t'\). In other words,
\begin{equation}
\text{Comp}(M_t') := M_t' \cup_{\partial M_t'} ([1, \infty) \times \partial M_t')
\end{equation}
and the symplectic form on \(([1, \infty) \times \partial M_t')\) is given by \(d(\rho \alpha|_{\partial M_t'})\) for \(\rho\) the linear coordinate on \([1, \infty)\) and \(\alpha\) the one-form on \(M_t'\) defining its Liouville structure. Since
π is trivial near the horizontal boundary (third item of Definition 6.14), \( \text{Comp}(M'_1) \)
can be obtained by first performing symplectic completion along the fibers of π and
then completing along the base direction. Therefore, we have a symplectic \( \mathbb{R}^4 \)-bundle
\( \text{Comp}(\pi) : \text{Comp}(M'_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^* \) extended from π. We also have a Lagrangian submanifold
\([1, \infty) \times \Lambda_{C,r} \subset [1, \infty) \times \partial M'_1 \subset \text{Comp}(M'_1) \) fibering over the circle \( \{|z_4| = \sqrt{2R}\} \)
with respect to Comp(π).

Gromov showed that the compactly supported symplectomorphism group of \((\mathbb{R}^4, \omega_{\text{std}})\)
is contractible [10]. Therefore, there exists an exact symplectic deformation \( \text{Comp}(M'_1)' \)
of \( \text{Comp}(M'_1) \) supported inside a compact set \( K \subset \text{Comp}(M'_1) \) such that after the deformation,
\( \text{Comp}(\pi) : \text{Comp}(M'_1)' \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^* \) is still a symplectic \( \mathbb{R}^4 \)-bundle and the symplectic
monodromy along \( \{|z_4| = \sqrt{2R}\} \) defined by symplectic parallel transport becomes the
identity (see [11] Lemma 15.3).

Pick a point \( z_4 \in A \) such that \( |z_4| = \sqrt{2R} \). There exists \( \rho_0 > 1 \) sufficiently large such
that \([\rho_0, \infty) \times \Lambda_{z_4,r} \subset [1, \infty) \times \partial M'_1 \) is disjoint from \( K \). Since \( \rho_0 \times \Lambda_{z_4,r} \) is a Legendrian
isotopic to the standard Legendrian unknot in the relevant contact hypersurface \( S^3 \)
of \( \text{Comp}(\pi)^{-1}(z_4) = (\mathbb{R}^4, \omega_{\text{std}}) \), the proper annulus \([\rho_0, \infty) \times \Lambda_{z_4,r} \) can be extended to a
proper Lagrangian disk \( L_{z_4,r} \) in \( \text{Comp}(\pi)^{-1}(z_4) \), by Lemma 6.8. We engage \( L_{z_4,r} \) in
symplectic parallel transport along \( \{|z_4| = \sqrt{2R}\} \). The fact that the monodromy is the
identity implies that the trace of \( L_{z_4,r} \) is an embedded proper Lagrangian open solid
torus, denoted by \( L'_{C,r} \), with a cylindrical end \([\rho_0, \infty) \times \Lambda_{C,r} \). Since \( \rho_0 \times \Lambda_{z_4,r} \) bounds
a disk in \( L_{z_4,r} \), it is a meridian of \( L'_{C,r} \).

Finally, since \( \text{Comp}(M'_1)' \) is a compactly supported exact symplectic deformation of
\( \text{Comp}(M'_1) \), there is also an embedded proper Lagrangian solid torus \( L'_{C,r} \subset \text{Comp}(M'_1) \)
with the cylindrical end \([\rho_1, \infty) \times \Lambda_{C,r} \) for some sufficiently large \( \rho_1 \). Therefore, one
can argue using backward Liouville flow as in the proof of Lemma 6.8 to rescale \( L'_{C,r} \)
and make its cylindrical part as long as we like. We consequently obtain a Lagrangian
filling \( L_{C,r} \) of \( \Lambda_{C,r} \) inside \( M'_1 \) with the properties required in the proposition. □

6.1.4. Plan for the remaining part. In the following subsections, we will generalize
Proposition 6.17. In Section 6.2 and 6.3, we explain how to isotope the discriminant of
s so that Assumption 6.13 holds. In Section 6.4, 6.5 and 6.6, we construct a smoothly
trivial exact symplectic fibration such that Assumption 6.16 holds. We conclude the
proof of Theorem 6.4 in Section 6.7. The proof of Theorem 1.1 is given in Section 6.8.

6.2. Integral linear transform. We go back to the general setup in Theorem 6.4.
In particular, we have a parametrized straight line segment \( \gamma \) with \( \gamma(r_1) \in A \). In this
section, we want to apply an integral linear transformation to transform the \((p, q)\)-
coordinates to obtain new \((\hat{p}, \hat{q})\)-coordinates so that \( \sum_{i=1}^4 q_i = \hat{q}_1 + \hat{q}_2 \). This will help
us to get rid of the fourth-coordinate in the defining equation of $M_t \cap U_C$ later on.

Define
\[
\hat{A} := \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{so} \quad \hat{A}^{-T} = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

Consider a change of symplectic coordinates $\Psi^\circ : U^\circ = U \setminus \{p_1 \ldots p_4 = 0\} \to \hat{U}^\circ := \text{Im}(\Psi) \subset \mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{R}^4/2\pi\mathbb{Z}^4$ given by the integral linear transform $\Psi^\circ(p,q) = (\hat{p}, \hat{q}) = (\hat{A}p, \hat{A}^{-T}q)$. Note that, for $j = 1, 2$, we have $\hat{p}_j = p_j$ so we can define $\hat{z}_j := \sqrt{2}p_j e^{iq_j}$ and partially compactify $\hat{U}^\circ$ to $\hat{U}$ by allowing $\hat{z}_j = 0$ for $j = 1, 2$. We can smoothly extend $\Psi^\circ$ to $\Psi : U \setminus \{p_3p_4 = 0\} \to \hat{U} = \text{Im}(\Psi) \subset \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2/2\pi\mathbb{Z}^2$. More explicitly,

\begin{equation}
\Psi(z) = (\sqrt{2}p_1 e^{iq_1}, \sqrt{2}p_2 e^{iq_2+q_3+q_4}, -p_2 + p_3, -p_2 + p_4, q_3, q_4).
\end{equation}

Note that $\Psi|_{z_1 = z_2 = 0}$ is the identity map. Therefore, just like before, by Lemma 6.3 $\text{Disc}(s_1) \cap T$ has the constant $\hat{q}_3$-coordinate $\text{arg}(a)$ and $A$ is transverse to the slices $\{\hat{p}_4 = \text{const}\}$. The straight line $\hat{\gamma}(r) := \Psi(\gamma(r))$ is still given by $(0, 0, r, R)$ for $r_0 < r \leq r_1$, and $C = \{\hat{z} = (0, 0, a, \hat{z}_4)\} \cap \{\hat{z}_4 = \sqrt{2}R\}$.

For use in the next section, we now apply the transformation to the pencil. Observe that we achieved $\sum_{i=1}^4 q_i = \hat{q}_1 + \hat{q}_2$ and have

\begin{equation}
p_3 = \hat{p}_2 + \hat{p}_3, \quad p_4 = \hat{p}_2 + \hat{p}_4.
\end{equation}

Inserting this and more broadly $z_j = \Psi^{-1}(\hat{z}_j)$ into Equation (3.8) in Example 3.6 yields

\begin{equation}
2\hat{z}_2 \hat{z}_3 \sqrt{(\hat{p}_2 + \hat{p}_3)(\hat{p}_2 + \hat{p}_4)} = th(\hat{p}) g(w(\hat{p}, \hat{q})).
\end{equation}

6.3. Straightening the discriminant. We assume from now until 6.7 that we have performed the transformation $\Psi$ given in the previous subsection 6.2. For better readability, we will use the notation $p$ instead of $\hat{p}$, $z$ for $\hat{z}$ and so forth.

Our next step is to apply a compactly supported Hamiltonian diffeomorphism to deform $\text{Disc}(s_1) \cap T$ such that the $p_3$-coordinate of $\text{Disc}(s_1) \cap T$ becomes independent of the $p_4$-coordinate near $C$. In other words, we want that Assumption 6.13 holds after deforming $\text{Disc}(s_1) \cap T$.

Similar to (6.11), we use a tubular neighborhood of $C$ of the form

\begin{equation}
U_C := \{(p_1, q_1), \ldots, (p_4, q_4) \in B \times B \times D \times A \subset U
\end{equation}

and taken small enough so that $p_3$ and $p_4$ take positive values in $U_C$ which works by (6.18). It is then sensible to define

\begin{equation*}
z_j = \sqrt{2}p_j e^{iq_j} = x_j + iy_j \quad \text{in} \quad U_C \quad \text{for} \quad j = 1, 2, 3, 4.
\end{equation*}
Proposition 6.18. For any tubular neighborhood $N$ of $C$, there is a Hamiltonian diffeomorphism $\phi_H : \mathbb{P}_\Delta \to \mathbb{P}_\Delta$ supported inside $N$ and a tubular neighborhood $U_C \subset N$ of $C$ given by (6.21) such that

- $\phi_H$ preserves all the toric strata of $\mathbb{P}_\Delta$ setwise,
- $\phi_H(\text{Disc}(s_1) \cap T) \cap U_C = \{(0, 0, a, z_4) \mid z_4 \in A\}$, and
- $z_j = z_j \circ \phi_H^{-1}$ inside $U_C$ for $j = 1, 2$.

After establishing Proposition 6.18 we push-forward all the data and define

\begin{align*}
\hat{J}_\Delta := (\phi_H)_* J_{\Delta} \\
\hat{s}_i := s_i \circ \phi_H^{-1} \\
\hat{\mathcal{L}} := (\phi_H^{-1})^* \mathcal{L} \\
\text{Disc}(\hat{s}_1) := (\hat{s}_1)^{-1}(0) \cap (\partial \mathbb{P}_\Delta)_{\text{Sing}} = \phi_H(\text{Disc}(s_1)) \\
\hat{M}^s_t := \{\hat{s}_0 = ts\} \text{ for } s \in C^\infty(\mathbb{P}_\Delta, \hat{\mathcal{L}}) \\
\hat{M}_t := \hat{M}^{\hat{s}_1}_t = \phi_H(M_t)
\end{align*}

In particular, $\hat{J}_\Delta$ is a complex structure on $\mathbb{P}_\Delta$, and $\hat{s}_i$ are holomorphic sections of the holomorphic bundle $\mathcal{L}$. Therefore, it makes sense to talk about $\hat{s}_i$-admissible sections (which are the same as $s_i$-admissible sections precomposed by $\phi_H^{-1}$). Most notably, by the second bullet of Proposition 6.18, $\text{Disc}(\hat{s}_1) \cap T \cap U_C$ satisfies Assumption 6.13 in $(p, q)$-coordinates.

Before giving the proof of Proposition 6.18 we first conclude the resulting local model of $\hat{M}_t \cap U_C$.

Lemma 6.19. Let $\phi_H$ and $U_C$ be chosen as in Proposition 6.18. Then we have

$$M_t \cap U_C = \{z_1 z_2 = t g_U(z)\}$$

for some smooth function $g_U : U_C \to \mathbb{C}$ such that

- $g_U = g$ up to a change of coordinates and a multiplication by a non-vanishing function: more precisely, $g_U = \rho(z) g(\Phi_U \circ \Psi^{-1}(\phi_H^{-1}(z)))$ for some $\rho(z) : U_C \to \mathbb{C}^*$,
- the zero locus of $(g_U)_0 := g_U|_{\{z_1 z_2 = 0\}}$ is given by $\text{Disc}(s_1) \cap T \cap U_C$,
- $(g_U)_0$ is submersive (i.e. $D(g_U)_0$ surjective) near $\text{Disc}(s_1) \cap T \cap U_C$,
- $(g_U)_0|_{(D\backslash \{a\}) \times A}$ is homotopic to $(z_3, z_4) \mapsto z_3 - a$ as $\mathbb{C}^*$-valued functions.

Proof. By Assumption 6.1 and Equation (6.20), we have

$$M_t \cap U = \{z_1 z_2 = \tilde{\phi}(p) g(w(p, q))\}.$$
for the non-vanishing positive function \( \tilde{h}(p) = \frac{h(p)}{2\sqrt{(p_2+p_3)(p_2+p_4)}} \) since enumerator and denominator are both positive. Then, by applying \( \varphi \) for the non-vanishing positive function \( \tilde{\pi} \), we have

\[
M_i \cap U_C = \{ \tilde{z}_1 \tilde{z}_2 = \tilde{h}(\varphi^{-1}_H(z)) g(\Phi_U \circ \Psi^{-1}(\varphi^{-1}_H(z))) \}
\]

where \( \tilde{z}_i := z_i \circ \phi^{-1}_H \) and \( \rho(\varphi(z)) := \tilde{h}(p) \). By the third bullet of Proposition 6.18, we get the first bullet of this lemma.

In \( U_C \), from the first bullet of Proposition 6.18 and the discussion above, it is clear that \((g_U)_0 = g|_T\) up to a change of coordinates and a multiplication by a non-vanishing function. Therefore, \((g_U)^{-1}_0(0) = \phi_H \circ \Psi \circ \Phi^{-1}_U((g|_T)^{-1}(0)) \cap U_C = \text{Disc}(s_1) \cap T \cap U_C\) which is exactly the second bullet.

We now consider the third bullet. Since \( \Phi_U, \Psi \) and \( \phi_H \) are diffeomorphisms, it suffices to check that \( D(g|_T) \) is submersive near \( \text{Disc}(s_1) \cap T \). We can check it in the complex chart where \( g|_T = a(b-w_3) \) and \( \text{Disc}(s_1) \cap T = \{ b = w_3, w_1 = w_2 = 0, w_4 \neq 0 \} \). Therefore, the third bullet follows.

Finally, since \( \phi_H \) is isotopic to the identity, in order to understand the homotopy class of \((g_U)_0|_{(D\{a\}) \times A}\), in view of (6.28), it suffices to understand the homotopy class of

\[
\tilde{h}(p)(g(\Phi_U \circ \Psi^{-1}(z))|_{\{0\} \times \{0\} \times (D\{a\}) \times A})
\]

It is clear that \( \tilde{h}(p) \) is null-homotopic because on one hand, it is well-defined and non-vanishing on the whole \( D \) factor, and on the other it is independent of the \( q_4 \)-coordinate. The homotopy class of the remaining term, \( g(\Phi_U \circ \Psi^{-1}(z)) \), can be understood by combining the fact that, away from the zero locus, \( g|_T \) is homotopic to \((w_3, w_4) \mapsto w_3 - b \in \mathbb{C}^* \) and \( q_3 = v_3 \) is preserved under \( \Psi \) (see (6.18)). \( \square \)

6.3.1. Proof of Proposition 6.18. Let \( N \subset U_C \) be a tubular neighborhood of \( C \) of a similar form as \( U_C \). Under abuse of repeating notation, \( N \) is thus given by

\[
N = \{(p_1, q_1, \ldots, p_4, q_4) \in B \times B \times D \times A \}
\]

Let \( a_0, a_1 \) be the radii of \( A \) in the \( p_4 \)-coordinate with \( a_0 < R < a_1 \) for \( R \) the radius of \( C \). By Lemma 6.3, there exists a smooth \( p_{\text{Disc}} : [a_0, a_1] \to \mathbb{R}_{>0} \) and a constant \( q_{\text{Disc}} \) such that

\[
\text{Disc}(s_1) \cap T \cap N = \{ z = (0, 0, \sqrt{2p_{\text{Disc}}(p_4)}e^{iq_{\text{Disc}}}, z_4) | z_4 \in A \}
\]

In particular, by \( C \subset \text{Disc}(s_1) \cap T \cap N \), we have \( \sqrt{2p_{\text{Disc}}(R)} = |a| \).

By ignoring the first two factors, we can view \( \text{Disc}(s_1) \cap T \cap N \) as a symplectic section of the projection \( \pi : D \times A \to A \). In the following lemma, we explain how to deform this symplectic section (denoted by \( Z \) in the lemma) to another symplectic
section that is locally constant near $\pi(C)$. After that, we will explain in Lemma 6.21 how to thicken this Hamiltonian isotopy inside $T$ to be a Hamiltonian isotopy in $U_C$ to achieve Proposition 6.18.

**Lemma 6.20.** Let $Z \subset D \times A$ be the image of the symplectic section

$$A \to D \times A, \quad z_4 \mapsto (\sqrt{2}p_{4\mathbb{D}}(p_4)e^{q_{4\mathbb{D}}}, z_4)$$

of $\pi$. There exists a Hamiltonian $H : D \times A \to \mathbb{R}$, supported inside the interior of the domain $D \times A$, and a neighborhood $W$ of $\pi(C) = \{p_4 = R\}$ in $A$ such that $\pi^{-1}(W) \cap \phi_H(Z) = \{(a, z_4) | z_4 \in W\}$ (see Figure 6.2).

**Proof.** Consider the Hamiltonian

$$H = (p_{4\mathbb{D}}(p_4) - p_{4\mathbb{D}}(R))(q_3 - q_{4\mathbb{D}}) \in C^\infty(D \times A).$$

This is well-defined because the $q_3$-coordinate on $D$ is bounded in an interval for $D$ is $a$-centered with $a \neq 0$ and $D$ doesn’t meet $z_3 = 0$. The corresponding Hamiltonian vector field is (with the sign convention $dH = -\iota_{X_H}\omega$) given by

$$X_H = -(p_{4\mathbb{D}}(p_4) - p_{4\mathbb{D}}(R))\partial_{p_3} + p_{4\mathbb{D}}'(p_4)(q_3 - q_{4\mathbb{D}})\partial_{q_4}.$$ 

In particular, $X_H|_C = 0$ and when the time 1 flow $\phi_H$ is well-defined, we have

$$\phi_H(p_3, q_3, p_4, q_4) = (p_3 + p_{4\mathbb{D}}(R) - p_{4\mathbb{D}}(p_4), q_3, p_4, q_4 + p_{4\mathbb{D}}'(p_4)(q_3 - q_{4\mathbb{D}}))$$

so $\phi_H(C) = C$ and $\phi_H(Z)$ is a section over $A$ with $(p_3, q_3)$-coordinates equal to $(p_{4\mathbb{D}}(R), q_{4\mathbb{D}})$.

Note that $H$ is not compactly supported (and $\phi_H$ is not everywhere well-defined). In order to get a compactly supported Hamiltonian $\tilde{H}$, we need to multiply a cutoff function to $H$ of the form $\rho_1(p_4)\rho_2(p_3, q_3)$ such that $\rho_1(p_4) : A \to \mathbb{R}$ equals to 1 near $R$ and $\rho_2(p_3, q_3) : D \to \mathbb{R}$ equals to 1 near $(p_{4\mathbb{D}}(R), q_{4\mathbb{D}})$. Now, for $\tilde{H} = \rho_1(p_4)\rho_2(p_3, q_3)H$, it follows that for a sufficiently small neighborhood $W \subset A$ of $\{p_4 = R\}$, we will get $\pi^{-1}(W) \cap \phi_{\tilde{H}}(Z) = \{(a, z_4) | z_4 \in W\}$. \hfill $\Box$

We can thicken the constructed Hamiltonian as follows.

**Lemma 6.21.** As before, except with two extra ball factors $B$, let $Z := \mathbb{D}(s_1) \cap N$ be the symplectic section of the fiber bundle $\pi : N \to A$ given by projection and $C = \{(0, 0, a, z_4) ||z_4|| = \sqrt{2R}\}$. There exists a Hamiltonian $H : N \to \mathbb{R}$, supported inside the interior of $N$, and a neighborhood $W$ of $\pi(C)$ in $A$ such that $\pi^{-1}(W) \cap \phi_H(Z) = \{(0, 0, a, z_4) | z_4 \in W\}$. Moreover, $\phi_H$ preserves $\{0\} \times B \times D \times A$, $B \times \{0\} \times D \times A$ and $\{0\} \times \{0\} \times D \times A$ setwise.
Proof. Let $h : B \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function supported inside the interior of $B$ such that $h \equiv 1$ near the origin. Let $H^0 : D \times A \to \mathbb{R}$ be the Hamiltonian obtained via Lemma 6.20. We define $H = h(z_1)h(z_2)H^0(z_3, z_4)$, so $H$ is supported inside the interior of $N$. Moreover, the Hamiltonian vector field satisfies

$$X_{H}|_{\{0\} \times \{0\} \times D \times A} = X_{H^0}$$

$$X_{H}|_{\{0\} \times B \times D \times A} = H^0(z_3, z_4)X_{h_2} + h(z_2)X_{H^0}$$

$$X_{H}|_{B \times \{0\} \times D \times A} = H^0(z_3, z_4)X_{h_1} + h(z_1)X_{H^0}$$

where $X_{h_i}$ denotes the unique vector field that pushes down to $X_h$ in the $i$th $B$-factor and trivial to the other factors. We conclude the assertion. □

Proof of Proposition 6.18. Given a tubular neighborhood $N$ of $C$, we can apply Lemma 6.21 to get a Hamiltonian diffeomorphism $\phi_H : \mathbb{P}_\Delta \to \mathbb{P}_\Delta$ supported inside $N$ such that $\phi_H$ preserves all tori strata setwise (so the first bullet of Proposition 6.18 holds).

If $U_C$ is a small tubular neighborhood of $C$ such that $\pi(U_C) \subset W$, where $W$ is obtained in Lemma 6.21, then the second bullet of Proposition 6.18 holds.

Finally, a simple but crucial observation is that Equation (6.30) is true near $\{0\} \times \{0\} \times D \times A$. Therefore, $\tilde{z}_i = z_i$ near $\{0\} \times \{0\} \times D \times A$ for $i = 1, 2$. By shrinking $U_C$, we obtain the third bullet of Proposition 6.18. □

6.4. A symplectic fibration. In this subsection and the next two, we want to equip $\pi : M_t \cap U_C \to A$ with a smoothly trivial exact symplectic fibration structure for some appropriate $s_1$-admissible section $s$. After that, Assumption 6.16 will be justified and we can apply Proposition 6.17 to get some Lagrangian solid torus. As a first step towards this, we consider $s = s_1$ and equip $M_t \cap U_C$ with a symplectic fiber bundle structure over $A$ (see Proposition 6.24 below). The main tool is the following linear
subsection. and Proposition 6.18. Since the tangent space of $M$ is symplectic implies symplectic”).

**Proposition 6.22** ([8], Proposition 3). Let $\alpha : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ be an $\mathbb{R}$-linear map. Let $\alpha^{1,0}$ and $\alpha^{0,1}$ be the complex linear and the anti-complex linear parts of $\alpha$ respectively. If $|\alpha^{0,1}| < |\alpha^{1,0}|$, then ker($\alpha$) is symplectic of rank $2n - 2$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$.

Let $G^t := z_1z_2 - t g_U(z) : U_C \to \mathbb{C}$ where $g_U$ and $U_C$ are obtained in Lemma 6.19 and Proposition 6.18. Since the tangent space of $M_t \cap U_C$ is given by ker($DG^t$) for $t \neq 0$, analyzing $DG_t$ and how it is related to the projection $\pi$ will be the heart of this subsection.

In $(x, y)$-coordinates (see the paragraph after (6.21)), we have

$$Dg_U = [\partial_{x_1} g_U(x, y), \partial_{y_1} g_U(x, y), \ldots, \partial_{x_4} g_U(x, y), \partial_{y_4} g_U(x, y)]$$

Let $D_3 g_U := [0, \ldots, 0, \partial_{x_3} g_U(z), \partial_{y_3} g_U(z), 0, 0]$ and $D_4 g_U := [0, \ldots, 0, \partial_{x_4} g_U(z), \partial_{y_4} g_U(z)]$, which taken together form a $2 \times 8$ real matrix-valued function on $U_C$. For $t \neq 0$, we know that $M_t \cap U_C$ is symplectic, or equivalently, ker($DG^t$) is symplectic at all points where $G^t = 0$, because $M_t$ is a holomorphic submanifold. If $D_4 g_U \equiv 0$, then $G^t$ is independent of the $z_4$-coordinate, so factors as $U_C \to B \times B \times D (G^t)' \mathbb{C}$. If $N_t$ denotes the fibre of $(G^t)'$ over $0$ then $M_t \cap U_C = N_t \times A$, a symplectic product. While there is no reason to have $D_4 g_U \equiv 0$, we are in fact going to show that if we “remove” the term $tD_4 g_U$ from $DG^t$, then ker($DG^t + tD_4 g_U$) is still symplectic near $C$, and we show this implies that $\pi$ is a symplectic fiber bundle for $U_C$ sufficiently small.

**Lemma 6.23.** There exists a tubular neighborhood $U'_C \subset U_C$ of $C$ such that ker(($DG^t + tD_4 g_U)|_{T_z U'_C}$) is symplectic of rank 6 for all $z \in M_t \cap U'_C$ and all $t > 0$.

**Proof.** With the transformation after Proposition 6.18 implicit, we denote $J_{\Delta}$ just by $J_{\Delta}$ etc. in the following. We have $M_t = \{s_0 = ts_1\}$ and both $s_0$ and $s_1$ are holomorphic section and thus

$$DG^t \circ J_{\Delta}|_{T_z U'_C} = J_C \circ DG^t|_{T_z U'_C}$$

for all $z \in M_t$ and all $t > 0$, where $J_C$ is the standard complex structure of $\mathbb{C}$.

As a result, for $z \in M_t$ and any $\mathbb{R}$-linear matrix $A : T_z U_C \to \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$(DG^t + A)^{1,0} = DG^t + A^{1,0}$$

$$(DG^t + A)^{0,1} = A^{0,1}$$

where superscripts $(1, 0)$ and $(0, 1)$ are the $(J_{\Delta}, J_C)$ complex linear part and anti-complex linear part, respectively, so

(6.33) $2A^{1,0} = A - J_C AJ_{\Delta}$ and $2A^{0,1} = A + J_C AJ_{\Delta}$
Using the fact that $\|J_\Delta\|$ is uniformly bounded, applying triangle inequality to \ref{6.33} gives a $c_0 > 0$ such that $\|A^{1,0}\|, \|A^{0,1}\| < c_0 \|A\|$ for every $\mathbb{R}$-linear matrix $A$. Now assume additionally that $\|A\| < c_1 \|DG^t(z)\|$ for some $c_1 > 0$, then
\[
\|(DG^t + A)^{1,0}\| \geq \|DG^t\| - \|A^{1,0}\| > \|DG^t\| - c_0 \|A\| > \|DG^t\| - c_0 c_1 \|DG^t\| > (1 - c_0 c_1) \|A^{0,1}\| = \frac{(1 - c_0 c_1)}{c_0 c_1} \|(DG^t + A)^{0,1}\|
\]
Hence, given $c_1 > 0$ (independent of $t$), we have for all $A$ satisfying $\|A\| < c_1 \|DG^t(z)\|$ for all $t$ that $\|(DG^t + A)^{1,0}\| > \|(DG^t + A)^{0,1}\|$. In this case, $\ker((DG^t + A)$ is symplectic of rank 6 for all $t$ by Proposition 6.22.

By the second and third bullet of Lemma 6.19, we know that $\partial_z g_U(z) = \partial_{\mu_t} g_U(z) = 0$, and $\partial_z g_U(z), \partial_{\mu_t} g_U(z) \neq 0$ for $z \in \text{Disc}(s_1)$. Therefore, for any $c_1 > 0$, there exist small neighborhood $U_C'$ of $C \subset \text{Disc}(s_1)$ such that
\[
\|D_3 g_U\| < c_1 \|D_3 g_U\|
\]
for all $z \in U_C'$. As a result, we have $c_1 \|DG^t(z)\| \geq c_1 \|tD_3 g_U\| > \|tD_3 g_U\|$ so $\ker((DG^t + tD_3 g_U)$ is symplectic for all $z \in M_t \cap U_C'$ and for all $t > 0$. \hfill \Box

By shrinking the $U_C$ we chose in Proposition 6.18 if necessary, we can assume $U_C$ is small enough such that Lemma 6.23 is satisfied and we will do so in the following.

**Proposition 6.24.** Let $C$ and $U_C$ be as before and let $\pi : M_t \cap U_C \to A$ be the restriction of the projection $\pi_4 : U_C \to A$. We find that $\pi$ is a symplectic fibration without singularities.

**Proof.** Let $H^t(z) = (G^t(z), \pi_4(z)) : U_C \to C \times A$ so that for all $z_4 \in A$ we get $F_{z_4} := (H^t)^{-1}(0, z_4) = \pi^{-1}(z_4)$. Along $F_{z_4}$, we have
\[
\ker(DH^t|_{F_{z_4}}) = \{v \in \ker(DG^t|_{F_{z_4}}) \mid v_7 = v_8 = 0\} \subset \ker((DG^t + tD_3 g_U)|_{F_{z_4}})
\]
where $v_7, v_8$ are the 7th and 8th entries of the vector $v$ respectively. Notice that $\ker((DG^t + tD_3 g_U)|_{F_{z_4}}) = \ker(DH^t|_{F_{z_4}}) \oplus \mathbb{R}(v_7, v_8)$ and the left hand side has rank 6 by Lemma 6.23, hence $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\ker(DH^t|_{F_{z_4}})) = 4$ and therefore $\pi$ has smooth fibres.

Moreover, $\ker((DG^t + tD_3 g_U)|_{F_{z_4}})$ is symplectic by Lemma 6.23. It is clear that $\mathbb{R}(v_7, v_8)$ is symplectic and its symplectic orthogonal complement is $\ker(DH^t|_{F_{z_4}})$ so $\ker(DH^t|_{F_{z_4}})$ is also symplectic. \hfill \Box
6.5. Liouville vector field. We recall that \( U_C = B \times B \times D \times A \). For \( j = 1, 2 \), let \((q_j, \vartheta_j) = (\sqrt{2p_j}, q_j)\) be the polar coordinates of the first two factors respectively. Using \( z_3 = \sqrt{2p_3}e^{i\vartheta_3} \), we can symplectically identify \( D \) with a closed disk in \( \mathbb{C} \) centered at \( a \). Translating by \( a \), the polar coordinates on \( \mathbb{C} \) induces a polar coordinates \((q_3, \vartheta_3)\) on \( D \) with \( \{q_3 = 0\} = \{a\} \). We identify \( A \) with a \( S^1 \)-equivariant neighborhood of the zero section in \( T^*S^1 \) such that \( \{|z_4| = R\} \) is mapped to the zero section. Let \( q_4 \) and \( \vartheta_4 \) be the fiber and base coordinates of \( T^*S^1 \) and hence coordinates on \( A \). With these new notations, the symplectic form on \( U_C \) can be re-written as \( da \), where

\[
\alpha := \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{q_i^2}{2} d\vartheta_i + q_4 d\vartheta_4.
\]

We also have a Liouville vector field (see Subsection 6.1 for some background)

\[
Z_{U_C} := \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{q_i}{2} \partial_{\vartheta_i} + q_4 \partial_{\vartheta_4}
\]

pointing outward along \( \partial U_C \) making \( U_C \) a convex exact symplectic manifold (or equivalently, a Liouville domain). The restriction of \( \alpha \) to \( M_t \cap U_C \) induces a Liouville vector field \( Z_{M_t} \) on it. We want to show that \( Z_{M_t} \) points outward along the vertical boundary \( \pi^{-1}(\partial A) \) of \( M_t \cap U_C \).

**Proposition 6.25.** Given \( U'_C \), as in Proposition 6.24. There exists a shrinking of the \( B \times B \times D \)-factor of \( U'_C \) to obtain an open set \( U'_C \) such that \( Z_{M_t} \) points outward along the vertical boundary of the fibration \( \pi : M_t \cap U_C \to A \).

**Proof.** The Liouville vector field \( Z_{U'_C} \) decomposes with respect to \( TM_t \oplus (TM_t)^\omega \) in say \( Z_1 + Z_2 \). For \( v \in TM_t \), we have

\[
\alpha|_{M_t}(v) = \alpha(v, 0) = \omega_{U'_C}(Z_1 + Z_2, (v, 0)) = \omega_{U'_C}(Z_1, (v, 0)) = \omega_{M_t}(Z_1, v)
\]

since \( \omega_{U'_C}(Z_2, (v, 0)) = 0 \) by \( M_t \) being symplectic in \( U'_C \). This being true for all \( v \), we conclude that \( Z_{M_t} = Z_1 \).

Let \( D := \{q_1 = q_2 = q_3 = 0\} \times A \) which lies inside \( M_t \) for all \( t \). Note that \( Z_{U'_C} = q_4 \partial_{\vartheta_4} \) on \( D \) so it points outward along \( \partial D \). Note also that \( Z_{U'_C}|_D \in TM_t \) so the \((TM_t)^\omega\)-component of \( Z_{U'_C}|_D \) is 0, which in turn implies that \( Z_{M_t}|_D \) points outward along \( \partial D \). Since pointing outward along \( M_t \cap (B \times B \times D \times \partial A) \) is an open condition, by shrinking the \( B \times B \times D \) factor, we can ensure that \( Z_{M_t} \) points outward along \( M_t \cap (B \times B \times D \times \partial A) \). \( \square \)
6.6. **A good deformation.** We are going to construct a smoothly trivial exact symplectic fibration and justify Assumption 6.16 in this subsection. Ideally, we would like the symplectic fibration \( \pi : M_t \cap U_C \rightarrow A \) to be a smoothly trivial exact symplectic fibration but it is not true in general that \( \pi \) is trivial near the horizontal boundary even if we assume \( U_C \) to be very small. However, we can show that it is true after appropriately deforming \( s_1 \) to another \( s_1 \)-admissible section which has been the whole purpose of introducing the notion of admissible sections.

**Proposition 6.26** (Homotoping into Assumption 6.16). For any open neighborhood \( N \) of \( C \), there are tubular neighborhoods \( U_C, V_C \subset N \) as in (6.12) so that \( V_C \subseteq U_C \) is a closed neighbourhood of \( \text{Disc}^0(s_1) \cap U_C \). The neighbourhood \( U_C \) satisfies Proposition 6.18, 6.24 and 6.25. There is also a smooth family \((s^u)_{u \in [0,1]} \) of \( s_1 \)-admissible sections with \( s^0 = s_1 \) outside \( N \) and

\[
M^1_t \cap (U_C \setminus V_C) = \{ z_1 z_2 = t(z_3 - a) \}.
\]

Moreover, the projection to \( A \), \( \pi : M^1_t \cap U_C \rightarrow A \) is a smoothly trivial exact symplectic fibration for all \( t > 0 \) small.

Recall that every \( s_1 \)-admissible section equals \( s_1 \) near \( \text{Disc}(s_1) \) (hence is more messy than (6.34)) and recall that \( \text{Disc}^0(s_1) \cap U_C = \{0\} \times \{0\} \times \{a\} \times A \), so we cannot hope for (6.34) to be true if \( V_C \subset U_C \) is not a neighborhood of \( \text{Disc}^0(s_1) \cap U_C \) which is why the \( A \)-factors of \( V_C \) and \( U_C \) agree in (6.12).

The proof of Proposition 6.26 is divided into two steps. The first step is the construction of \((s^u)_{u \in [0,1]} \) and \( V_C \), and the second step is to justify that \( \pi \) is a smoothly trivial exact symplectic fibration for all \( t > 0 \) small.

**Proof of Proposition 6.26:** Step one. Pick \( U_C' \subset N \) sufficiently small such that Proposition 6.18, 6.24 and 6.25 are satisfied. We shrink the \( A \)-factor of \( U_C' \) to obtain an open set \( U_C'' \) that still satisfies Proposition 6.18 and 6.24. Finally, apply Proposition 6.25 to \( U_C'' \) to shrink its \( B \times B \times D \)-factor and arrive at an open set \( U_C \) that also satisfies all three propositions like \( U_C' \) and furthermore \( U_C \) is contained in the interior of \( U_C' \) which we will need later.

We work on \( U_C \) now. By the last bullet of Lemma 6.19, we know that \((g_U)_{\{D \setminus \{a\}\} \times A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^* \) is homotopic to \( z_3 - a : (D \setminus \{a\}) \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^* \). Therefore, there is no obstruction to constructing a smooth family of functions \((h^u)_{u \in [0,1]} : D \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \) such that

- \( h^0 = (g_U)_0 \),
- \( h^u \) is independent of \( u \) near the discriminant \( \{a\} \times A \),
- \( (h^u)^{-1}(0) = \{a\} \times A \) for all \( u \), and
• there is a neighborhood of $V_0$ of $\{a\} \times A$ inside $D_a \times A$ such that $h^1|_{(D \times A) \setminus V_0} = z_3 - a$.

The second and third bullet above correspond to admissibility of sections, and the last bullet corresponds to (6.34).

After $h^u$ is constructed, there is no obstruction to extend it to $g^u : U_C \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $g^0 = g$, for all $u$, $g^u|_{\{z_1 = z_2 = 0\}} = h^u$, $g^u$ is independent of $u$ near the discriminant $\text{Disc}^0(s_1) \cap U_C$, and there exists a closed neighborhood $V_C \subset U_C$ of $\text{Disc}^0(s_1) \cap U_C$ such that $g^1|_{U_C \setminus V_C} = z_3 - a$. Indeed, note that we permit $g^u$ to take value 0 outside $\{z_1 = z_2 = 0\} \cap U_C$.

With this understood, we can extend the isotopy $(g^u)_{u \in [0,1]}$ from $U_C$ to $U_C'$ so that it equals $g_U$ for all $u$ near the boundary of $U_C'$ as well as near the discriminant. Recall that $M_t \cap U_C' = \{s_0 = ts_1\} \cap U_C' = \{z_1z_2 = tg_U\} \cap U_C'$. We can patch $g^u$ with $g_U$ outside $U_C'$ to obtain a family of $s_1$-admissible sections $(s^u)_{u \in [0,1]}$ such that $s^0 = s_1$, for all $u$, $s^u = s_1$ outside $N$ and (6.34) is satisfied on $U_C$. □

**Proof of Proposition 6.26.** Step two. Now, we want to address why $\pi$ is a smoothly trivial exact symplectic fibration for all $t > 0$ small. Let $\pi_4 : U_C \to A$ denote the projection. We use the notation $M_t^u := M_t^u \cap U_C$ in this proof. We will choose a subset $V_C' \subset V_C$ (for $V_C$ defined in step one), so the vertical boundary of the fibration $\pi_4|_{M_t'}$ is divided into two parts, namely a) $\pi_4|_{M_t' \cap V_C'}$, b) $\pi_4|_{M_t' \cap (U_C \setminus V_C)}$ where we use different arguments. We first choose $V_C'$.

Let $G^{t,u} := z_1z_2 - t g^u(z)$ for $g^u : U_C \to \mathbb{C}$ constructed in step one. In particular, we have $M_t^u = (G^{t,u})^{-1}(0)$. Near $\text{Disc}(s_1) \cap U_C$, $G^{t,u}$ is independent of $u$ so by Proposition 6.24, there exists a neighborhood $V_C' \subset V_C$ of $\text{Disc}(s_1) \cap U_C$ such that $\pi_4|_{M_t' \cap V_C'}$ is a symplectic fibration without singularity for all $0 < |t| < \delta$ and all $u$. Moreover, by Proposition 6.25, $Z_{M_t'}$ points outward along vertical boundary of $\pi_4|_{M_t' \cap V_C'}$ so we are done with a).

For b), as argued in the proof of Lemma 4.1, $D(z_1z_2)$ dominates $tDg^u(z)$ outside $V_C'$ when $t$ is small. Therefore, ker($G^{t,u}$) is an arbitrarily small perturbation of ker($D(z_1z_2)$) outside $V_C'$ for all $u$ when $t$ is small. By the similar argument as in the proof of Lemma 6.23 and Proposition 6.24 6.25 we conclude that there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\pi_4|_{M_t'}$ is a symplectic fibration without singularity, and $Z_{M_t'}$ points outward along vertical boundary of the fibration $\pi_4|_{M_t'}$, for all $0 < |t| < \delta$ and all $u$.

Finally, we need to deal with the outward-pointing along the horizontal boundary. We have $g^1|_{U_C \setminus V_C} = z_3 - a$ so we have $M_t^1 \setminus V_C = \{z_1z_2 = t(z_3 - a)\}$. Since the horizontal boundary of $\pi : M_t^1 \to A$ lies inside $M_t^1 \setminus V_C$ and $M_t^1 \setminus V_C$ is independent of the $z_4$-coordinate so $\pi$ is symplectically trivial near the horizontal boundary. By
Lemma 6.9, we know that $Z_{M^0}$ also points outward along the horizontal boundary of $\pi$. □

As a consequence of Proposition 6.17, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 6.27. Under Proposition 6.26, there exist a family of proper Lagrangian solid tori $L_t \subset M_{s^1} \cap U_C$, for $t > 0$ small, such that $L_t \subset M_{s^1} \cap (U_C \setminus V_C)$ is a cylindrical Lagrangian over the Legendrian (6.16).

6.7. Proof of Theorem 6.4. Recall our convention to write $\hat{p}_j$ as $p_j$, etc. We undo this convention now to distinguish between the two sets of coordinates. The last section used the $\hat{p}_j$-coordinates. Recall the transformation $\Psi$ between the two sets of coordinates from (6.18). In this section, we apply $\Psi^{-1}$ to transform the Lagrangian solid tori obtained in Corollary 6.27 back to $(p, q)$-coordinates. After that, we will conclude the proof of Theorem 6.4.

We start with the situation as in Proposition 6.26 so have $U_C, V_C$ of the form (6.21) and a family $(s^n)_{u \in [0, 1]}$ of $s_1$-admissible sections. Recall that $\Psi$ is merely a change of coordinates and that it preserves the coordinates on \{ $z_1 = z_2 = 0$ \} = \{ $\hat{z}_1 = \hat{z}_2 = 0$ \}. By applying $\Psi^{-1}$ to $M_{s^1}$, that is inserting (6.18), we get the following

$$M_{s^1} \cap (U_C \setminus V_C) = \{ \hat{z}_1\hat{z}_2 = t(\hat{z}_3 - a) \}
= \{ \sqrt{4p_1p_2e^{i(q_1 + q_2 + q_3 + q_4)}} = t(\sqrt{2(p_3 - p_2)}e^{iq_3} - a) \}.$$  

(6.35)

Recall that the third factor of $U_C$ and $V_C$ are disks centered at $a$, say $D_U$ and $D_V$ respectively ($D_V \subseteq D_U$). Recall also that we have a straight line $\gamma(r) = (0, 0, r, R)$ in $p$-coordinates for $r_0 < r \leq r_1$ (see the paragraph before Theorem 6.4) and $a = \sqrt{2r_1}e^{iq}$, so $\gamma$ ends at $a$. We choose $r_0 < r' < r'' < r''' < r_1$ such that if $A_1$ is the 0-centered annulus with radii $r', r''$ and $A_2$ is the 0-centered annulus with radii $r'', r'''$ then

$$A_1 \cap D_U = \emptyset, \quad A_2 \cap D_V = \emptyset, \quad \text{but} \quad A_2 \cap D_U \neq \emptyset,$$

see Figure 6.3. We want to perform an additional symplectic isotopy for $M_{s^1}$ so that the new symplectic hypersurface is $x$-standard for all $x \in \gamma \cap A_1$, so in $x \in \gamma([r', r''])$ (see Definition 5.2), as explained in the following lemma. For $\epsilon > 0$, let $B_\epsilon$ denote the closed 0-centered $\epsilon$-ball in $\mathbb{R}^2$. We set

$$W_{1,\epsilon} = B_\epsilon \times B_\epsilon \times A_1 \times A,$$

$$W_{2,\epsilon} = B_\epsilon \times B_\epsilon \times A_2 \times A.$$
Lemma 6.28. Let $N \subset \mathbb{P}_\Delta$ be an open set such that there exists $\epsilon > 0$ with $U_C, W_{1,\epsilon}, W_{2,\epsilon} \subset N$. Then there exists a smooth family $(s_{\text{tran}}^u)_{u \in [0,1]}$ of $s_1$-admissible sections such that $s^0_{\text{tran}} = s^1$ and, for all $u$, $s_{\text{tran}}^u = s^1$ inside $U_C$ and outside $N$. Furthermore,

$$(6.36) \quad M^s_{t\text{tran}} \cap (W_{1,\epsilon} \cup W_{2,\epsilon}) = \{ \sqrt{p_1p_2} e^{i(q_1+\cdots+q_n)} = t g_{\text{tran}}(p_3 - p_2, q_3) \}$$

for some $g_{\text{tran}}(p_3 - p_2, q_3) \in C^\infty(W_{1,\epsilon} \cup W_{2,\epsilon}, \mathbb{C})$ such that $g_{\text{tran}}|_{W_{1,\epsilon}}$ is a non-zero constant.

Note that, $g_{\text{tran}}|_{W_{1,\epsilon}}$ being a non-zero constant implies that $M^s_{t\text{tran}}$ is $x$-standard for $x \in \gamma([r', r'')$).

Proof. This proof is very similar to the proof of Lemma 5.4 and step one of the proof of Proposition 6.26. We know that $M^s_{t\text{tran}} \cap (W_{1,\epsilon} \cup W_{2,\epsilon})$ is given by

$$(6.37) \quad \sqrt{p_1p_2p_3p_4} e^{i(q_1+\cdots+q_n)} = tf$$

for some $f \in C^\infty(W_{1,\epsilon} \cup W_{2,\epsilon}, \mathbb{C})$ (cf. (5.2)). Let $B_A$ denote the smallest 0-centered ball containing $A$ (i.e. of radius the larger radius of $A$). By the fact that $s^1$ is $s_1$-admissible, we have $\text{Im}(f|_{\{p_1=p_2=0\}}) \subset \mathbb{C}^*$. Moreover, since $(\{0\} \times \{0\} \times B_{r''} \times B_A) \cap \text{Disc}(s_1) = \emptyset$, we have that

$$(6.38) \quad (f|_{\{p_1=p_2=0\}})_*: \pi_1((A_1 \cup A_2) \times A) \to \pi_1(\mathbb{C}^*)$$

is the zero map (cf. (5.3)). Thus, there is no obstruction to constructing a smooth family $f^u_{\text{tran,0}} : (A_1 \cup A_2) \times A \to \mathbb{C}^*$, for $u \in [0,1]$, such that $f^0_{\text{tran,0}} = f|_{\{p_1=p_2=0\}}$. $f^u_{\text{tran,0}}$ is independent of $u$ inside $((A_1 \cup A_2) \cap D_U) \times A$, $f^1_{\text{tran,0}} = \sqrt{p_3p_4} g_{\text{tran,0}}(p_3, q_3)$ for some $g_{\text{tran,0}} : (A_1 \cup A_2) \times A \to \mathbb{C}^*$ and $g_{\text{tran,0}}|_{A_1 \times A}$ is a non-zero constant.

Finally, as in the step one of the proof of Proposition 6.26, we can extend $f^u_{\text{tran,0}}$ and $g_{\text{tran,0}}$ to $f^u_{\text{tran}}$ and $g_{\text{tran}}$ which are defined over the whole $W_{1,\epsilon} \cup W_{2,\epsilon}$ such that, by patching, $f^u_{\text{tran}}$ induces a family $(s^u_{\text{tran}})_{u \in [0,1]}$ of $s_1$-admissible sections with all the properties listed in the proposition satisfied. In particular, $g_{\text{tran}}$ satisfies (6.36). □
Next, we want to describe the family (for \( t > 0 \) small) of proper Lagrangian solid tori \( L_t \subset M^s_{t} \cap U_C \) in Corollary 6.27 in \((p, q)\)-coordinates. Recall that \( a = \sqrt{2\pi}e^{-\varphi} \) and that Remark 6.12 permits us to choose any argument for the Legendrian. For our purpose, we pick \( r \) to be the map \( r \mapsto re^{i \varphi} \) with \( \phi = \frac{\pi + 2}{2} \). This way, \( r^2 + a \) parametrizes a curve that starts at \( a \) and moves straight towards the origin. We find \( L_t \cap (U_C \setminus V_C) \)

in \( \hat{z} \)-coordinates (as in (6.4)) be given by

\[
\hat{z} = \left( re^{i\theta_1}, re^{-i\theta_1}, \frac{r^2}{t} + a, \sqrt{2}Re^{i\theta_2} \right) \in M^s_{t} \cap (U_C \setminus V_C) \quad r \in e^{i\frac{\pi + 2}{2}}(0, \infty), \\
\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi \mathbb{Z}
\]

and in the \((p, q)\)-coordinates (applying (6.19) alias inserting (6.18)) this is described by the following equations

\[
(6.39) \quad p_1 = p_2 = \frac{|r|^2}{2}, \quad p_3 = \left( \frac{\sqrt{2}r_1 - \frac{|r|^2}{2}}{t} \right)^2 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}, \quad p_4 = R + \frac{|r|^2}{2},
\]

\[
(6.40) \quad q_1 = \frac{\pi + q}{2} + \theta_1, \quad q_2 = \frac{\pi + q}{2} - \theta_1 - \theta_2 - q, \quad q_3 = q, \quad q_4 = \theta_2.
\]

The tropical curve \( \gamma \) is contained in the line through \((0, 0, 1, R)\) and \((0, 0, 0, R)\), so in view of Proposition 5.5, we define \( W \) to be the affine 2-plane in \( \mathbb{R}^4 \) containing the points \((1, 1, 1, + R), (0, 0, 1, R)\) and \((0, 0, 0, R)\), so \( \gamma \subset W \cap \partial \mathfrak{Q} \). By inspecting (6.39), we see that \( p \in W \) for all \((p, q) \in L_t \cap (U_C \setminus V_C)\) and, by deriving (6.40), we find \( \hat{\partial}_{\theta_1}, \hat{\partial}_{\theta_2} \in W^{\perp} \).

The following lemma gives a family (for \( t > 0 \) small) of Lagrangian solid tori (with boundary) in \( M^s_{t} \) that are \( \gamma((r', r''))\)-standard (see Definition 5.6).

**Lemma 6.29.** For \( s^1_{\text{tran}} \) in Lemma 6.28, there is a family of Lagrangian solid tori with boundary, for \( t > 0 \) sufficiently small, \( L^1_{t} \subset M^s_{t} \cap (W_{1,\epsilon} \cup W_{2,\epsilon} \cup U_C) \) such that

1. \( p \in W \cup \pi_{\Delta}(V_C) \) for all \((p, q) \in L_t\), and
2. \( W^\perp \subset T_{(p,q)}L_t \) for all \((p, q) \in L_t \) satisfying \( p \in W \setminus \pi_{\Delta}(V_C) \), and
3. the \( p_3 \)-coordinate of all points in the torus boundary \( \partial L^1_{t} \) is \( r' \).

**Proof.** By the construction in Lemma 6.28, \( s^1_{\text{tran}}|_{U_C} = s^1|_{U_C} \) so the \( L_t \) constructed in Corollary 6.27 are Lagrangian inside \( M^s_{t} \cap U_C \). Inspecting (6.16) and (6.15), for a fixed \( t > 0 \) sufficiently small, by Proposition 6.17 and the paragraph before, the \((p_3, q_3)\)-coordinates of all the points in \( \partial L_t \) are the same and they lie in \( \partial D_U \). Therefore, we need to explain how to ‘extend’ \( L_t \) to \( L^1_{t} \subset M^s_{t} \cap (W_{1,\epsilon} \cup W_{2,\epsilon} \cup U_C) \) so that, in particular, the \( p_3 \)-coordinate of all the points in the torus boundary \( \partial L^1_{t} \) equals \( r' \).

The proof strategy is the same as Proposition 5.5 and Lemma 5.11. By Lemma 6.28, \( M^s_{t} \cap (W_{1,\epsilon} \cup W_{2,\epsilon}) \) is given by

\[
(6.41) \quad \sqrt{p_1p_2}e^{i(q_1 + \ldots + q_4)} = t_{\text{tran}}(p_3 - p_2, q_3).
\]
We want to construct a Lagrangian in $M^s_{1,\epsilon} \cap (W_{1,\epsilon} \cup W_{2,\epsilon})$ such that $q_3 = q$ is a constant. We move $\gamma$ inside $W$ from the toric boundary to the nearby fibres as follows:

Consider the function $\rho = \frac{p_3 p_2}{|g_{\text{tran}}(p_3 - p_2, q_3)|^2}$ on $\pi_\Delta(W_{1,\epsilon} \cup W_{2,\epsilon})$, so $\rho = t^2$ is the moment map image of the hypersurface \( (6.41) \). Let $\nu := \sum_{j=1}^t \partial_{p_j}$, so $\nu(g_{\text{tran}}(p_3 - p_2, q_3)) = 0$. This implies $\nu(\rho) > 0$ for all $p \in \pi_\Delta(W_{1,\epsilon} \cup W_{2,\epsilon}) \setminus \{p_1 = p_2 = 0\}$, so $\rho$ is strictly increasing in the direction $(1, 1, 1, 1)$ and zero on the boundary $\{p_1 = 0\} \cup \{p_2 = 0\}$. For small $t > 0$, for all $r \in (r', r'')$, there exists a unique $\lambda$ such that $p = \gamma(r) + \lambda(1, 1, 1, 1)$ satisfies $\rho(p) = t|g_{\text{tran}}(p_3 - p_2, q)|$. Therefore, by the reasoning in Proposition 6.18 and Lemma 5.11, we get a family of Lagrangians in $M^s_{1,\epsilon} \cap (W_{1,\epsilon} \cup W_{2,\epsilon})$ that is $\gamma((r', r''))$-standard. By choosing $q_1, q_2, q_4$-coordinates appropriately (cf. \( (5.4) \)), this family can be smoothly attached to $L_t$ to give $L^s_{1,\epsilon}$ as desired.

Now recall that we applied a Hamiltonian isotopy $\phi$ in \( (6.3) \) to modify $s_1$ so that the discriminant became straight in the sense of Proposition 6.18 at the endpoint of the tropical curve. We will account for this step in the following and conclude the proof of Theorem 6.4 where we carefully distinguish between $s_1$ and $s_1$, etc., see \( (6.22)-(6.27) \).

**Proof of Theorem 6.4** Let $s$ be an $s_1$-admissible section and $N$ be a neighborhood of $\gamma(r_1)$. Let $r' < r'' < r_1$ be such that $\gamma([r', r_1]) \subset N$. Consequently, $W_{1,\epsilon} \subset \pi_\Delta^{-1}(N)$ for $\epsilon > 0$ small (indeed, recall that $W_{1,\epsilon}$ is defined with respect to $(p, q)$-coordinates). Now, after applying the integral linear transformation $\Psi$, we let $N_1 \subset \pi_\Delta^{-1}(N)$ be a tubular neighborhood of $C$ such that $W_{1,\epsilon} \cap N_1 = \emptyset$, where $N_1$ is defined in $(\hat{p}, \hat{q})$-coordinates. We apply Proposition 6.18 to $N_1$ so that we get a Hamiltonian isotopy $\phi_H$ supported inside $N_1$ to straighten the discriminant. By Corollary 6.27 there exist neighborhoods $V_C \subset U_C \subset N_1$ of $C$, $s_1$-admissible sections $(s^u)_{u \in [0,1]}$ and for all $t > 0$ small, Lagrangian solid tori $L_t \subset \hat{M}^{s_1}_{1,\epsilon} \cap U_C$. Let $r'' < r_1$ such that the corresponding $W_{2,\epsilon}$ satisfies $W_{2,\epsilon} \cap U_C = \emptyset$ and $W_{2,\epsilon} \cap \partial V_C = \emptyset$ as before. We can now apply Lemma 6.29 to obtain $L_{1,\epsilon}^{\text{tran}} \subset \hat{M}^{s_1}_{1,\epsilon} \cap (W_{1,\epsilon} \cup W_{2,\epsilon} \cup U_C)$.

Finally, we apply the inverse of the Hamiltonian isotopy $\phi_H$ to get $\phi_H^{-1}(L_{1,\epsilon}^{\text{tran}}) \subset \phi_H^{-1}(\hat{M}^{s_1}_{1,\epsilon}) \cap \phi_H^{-1}(W_{1,\epsilon} \cup W_{2,\epsilon} \cup U_C)$. First note that $\phi_H^{-1}(\hat{M}^{s_1}_{1,\epsilon}) = M^{s_{1\text{tran}}}_{1,\epsilon}$ and $s_{1\text{tran}} \circ \phi_H$ is $s_1$-admissible. By definition, $\phi_H^{-1}$ is the identity outside $N_1$. As a result, $\phi_H^{-1}(\hat{M}^{s_1}_{1,\epsilon}) = M^{s_{1\text{tran}}}_{1,\epsilon}$ remains $x$ standard in $W_{1,\epsilon}$ (because $W_{1,\epsilon} \cap N_1 = \emptyset$). Moreover, $\phi_H^{-1}(L_{1,\epsilon}^{\text{tran}})$ remains $\gamma((r', r''))$-standard for the same reason. This finishes the proof.

**6.8. Concluding the proof of Theorem 1.1**

**Proof of Theorem 1.7** Let $\gamma$ be a tropical curve satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 1.1. Let $N$ be a neighborhood of $\gamma$. We can apply Theorem 6.4 to construct open Lagrangian solid tori for the endings of the tropical curve near the discriminant such
that the non-compact ends of the tori are standard with respect to an open subset of $\gamma$. Therefore, we can apply Proposition 5.22 to obtain, for all $t > 0$ small, a closed Lagrangian $L_t \subset M^s_t$ such that $\pi_{\Delta}(L_t) \subset N$.

Again, as explained in the proof of Proposition 5.22, we can assume the families of $s_1$-admissible sections we have constructed are constant outside $\pi^{-1}_{\Delta}(N)$. Therefore, we can apply Lemma 4.4 to conclude that $L_t \subset M^s_t$ can be brought back, via a symplectic isotopy, to a closed embedded Lagrangian inside $M_t \cap \pi^{-1}_{\Delta}(N)$.

The statement regarding multiplicity is proved in Proposition 2.9. □

6.8.1. *Orbifold case.* When $\mathbb{P}_\Delta$ is a toric orbifold, the proof of Theorem 1.1 goes very similar. First, by Lemma 3.7, the cover $\mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n/G$ is unbranched away from the origin. It means that if $U/G$ is a symplectic corner chart for $\mathbb{P}_\Delta$, then $U\setminus\{0\} \to (U\setminus\{0\})/G$ is an unbranched cyclic covering. If we denote the lift of $\text{Disc}^0 \subset U/G$ to $U$ by $\tilde{\text{Disc}}^0$, then we can apply Theorem 6.4 in $U$ to get a family of solid Lagrangian tori $L_t$ near $\tilde{\text{Disc}}^0$. Since $L_t$ are away from the origin in $U$, it descends to a family of solid Lagrangian tori in $U/G$ near $\text{Disc}^0$.

The same covering and descending method applies for the construction of Lagrangians away from the discriminant. Therefore, the result follows.
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