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1. INTRODUCTION

Let \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d \) be a bounded domain with boundary \( \partial \Omega \). We consider the eigenvalue problem for the biharmonic operator with various boundary conditions:

\[
\begin{aligned}
\Delta^2 u &= \omega u, & \text{on } \Omega, \\
A_1(u) &= A_2(u) = 0, & \text{on } \partial \Omega.
\end{aligned}
\]
The biharmonic operator $\Delta^2 = \Delta \Delta$ is the first iteration of the Laplace operator $-\Delta$, and $A_1(u), A_2(u)$ represent two linear operators which we shall specify for each problem. These operators are generated from self-adjoint representations of various quadratic forms defined on a suitable dense closed subspace of the Sobolev space $H^2(\Omega)$, see Section 2.

The interest of studying problem (1.1) is motivated by several applications as the modelling of vibrations of a thin elastic plate subject to different constraints or the static loading of a slender beam, and models for suspension bridges. We refer the reader to [6, 7, 14, 15, 22, 32, 35] for more details on the applications related with problem (1.1).

We always suppose that the spectrum of (1.1) consists of an ordered sequence of eigenvalues $\omega_j$ tending to infinity,

$$0 \leq \omega_1 \leq \omega_2 \leq \omega_3 \leq \cdots$$

This assumption holds, for example, when $\Omega$ is bounded and the boundary conditions in (1.1) are given by the so-called Dirichlet boundary conditions

$$A_1(u) = u, \quad A_2(u) = |\nabla u|,$$

(where $\nabla$ denotes the gradient operator) emerging from the study of the oscillations of a clamped plate. For other boundary conditions and precise definitions we refer to Section 2.

An important issue in the spectral theory of partial differential operators is the asymptotic expansion of the eigenvalues $\omega_j$ as $j \to \infty$ and eigenvalue bounds in terms of the asymptotic expansion, called semiclassical estimates, which is the main subject of the present paper for the eigenvalue problem (1.1). To this end, it is convenient to consider the counting function

$$N(z) = \text{Card}\{\omega_j : \omega_j < z, \ \omega_j \text{ is an eigenvalue}\},$$

and, in a tradition due to Berezin [3], Riesz means,

$$R_\sigma(z) = \sum_j (z - \omega_j)^\sigma_+,$$

with $\sigma > 0$ (here $x_+$ denotes the positive part of $x$). $N(z)$ can be interpreted as the limit of $R_\sigma(z)$ when $\sigma \to 0$. The Riesz means $R_\sigma(z)$ are related to $N(z)$ via the integral transform

$$R_\sigma(z) = \sigma \int_0^\infty (z - t)^{\sigma - 1} N(t) dt,$$

and in particular the behavior of $\omega_j$ as $j \to \infty$ is given by the asymptotic expansion of the counting function $N(z)$ as $z \to \infty$. There is a large literature dealing with the asymptotic expansion of the counting function or other spectral quantities, we refer to the books by Ivrii [20] and Safarov and Vassiliev [34], that present the state of the art as well as the key references.

The leading term in the asymptotic expansion is known as the Weyl limit, going back to the fundamental work of H. Weyl [37] on the asymptotic behavior of Dirichlet Laplacian eigenvalues

$$\left\{ -\Delta u = \omega u, \ \text{on } \Omega, \quad u = 0, \ \text{on } \partial \Omega. \right\}$$

It is now known that the Weyl limit depends on the principal symbol of the partial differential operator which is connected to the Fourier transform and equals $|p|^2$ for the Laplace operator, and $|p|^{2m}$ for biharmonic operator.

We may summarize the Weyl law for an operator with principal symbol $|p|^{2m}$ as

$$\lim_{z \to \infty} \frac{N(z)}{z^{d/2}} = (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(1 - |p|^{2m}\right)_+ dpdx = (2\pi)^{-d} B_d |\Omega|,$$

where the right hand side corresponds to the normalized phase space volume of the operator. Here $m = 1, 2$, but (1.3) remains true for higher iterations of the Laplacian on a bounded domain.
Ω under suitable boundary conditions (see e.g., [32]). Here \( B_d = \pi^{d/2} / \Gamma(1+d/2) \) is the volume of the \( d \)-dimensional unit ball. The equivalent statement for the eigenvalues \( \omega_j \) is

\[
\lim_{j \to \infty} \frac{\omega_j}{j^{d/2}} = C_d^m |\Omega|^{\frac{2m}{d}},
\]

where \( C_d = (2\pi)^2 B_d^{\frac{2}{d}} \) is the so-called classical constant.

The Weyl law (1.3) or (1.4) is of striking simplicity. The limit depends only on the volume of the domain and a universal dimensional constant and is independent of the boundary conditions. In particular, we infer from the Weyl law that at least asymptotically the eigenvalues of the biharmonic problem (1.1) equal the squares of Laplacian eigenvalues.

One may then ask whether the counting function is bounded by its Weyl law, that is whether it is possible to establish sharp semiclassical bounds of the type

\[
N(z)z^{-\frac{m}{d}} \leq (2\pi)^{-d} B_d |\Omega|, \quad \text{or} \quad N(z)z^{-\frac{m}{d}} \geq (2\pi)^{-d} B_d |\Omega|,
\]

for all \( z \geq 0 \). Even in the simpler case of Laplacian eigenvalues \( (m = 1) \) this is, apart from special domains, still an open problem known as Polya’s conjecture where it is conjectured that the first inequality should hold for Dirichlet boundary conditions. However, for Riesz means \( R_{1}(z) = \int_{0}^{z} N(t) dt \), sharp bounds have been obtained both for Laplace and biharmonic operators since there are plenty of variational techniques which can be applied, see e.g., Berezin [3], Li-Yau [30], Kr"oger [21], Laptev [25, 29], and a recent generalization unifying these techniques by Harrell and Stubbe [19].

Combining the Weyl law (1.3) for \( N(z) \) and the integral relation (1.2), one obtains

\[
\lim_{z \to \infty} \frac{R_{1}(z)}{z^{\frac{1}{d} + \frac{m}{d}}} = (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 - |p|^{2m})_{d} \, dp \, dx = \frac{2m}{2m + d} (2\pi)^{-d} B_d |\Omega|,
\]

and the corresponding sharp semiclassical bounds are of the form

\[
R_{1}(z) \leq \frac{2m}{2m + d} (2\pi)^{-d} B_d |\Omega| z^{\frac{1}{d} + \frac{m}{d}}, \quad \text{or} \quad R_{1}(z) \geq \frac{2m}{2m + d} (2\pi)^{-d} B_d |\Omega| z^{\frac{1}{d} + \frac{m}{d}}.
\]

When \( m = 1 \) (Dirichlet Laplacian eigenvalues) the first inequality is the celebrated Berezin-Li-Yau bound and when \( m = 2 \) it has been shown for biharmonic Dirichlet eigenvalues by Levine and Protter [25]. For the reversed bounds and other boundary conditions we refer to Section 2 below.

The effect of boundary conditions on the spectrum is already seen in the second term of the asymptotic expansion, i.e., that following the Weyl law. As shown in [20, [31], at least for smooth domains \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d \) there is a two terms asymptotic expansion of the form

\[
N(z) = (2\pi)^{-d} B_d |\Omega| z^{\frac{m}{d}} + a_{d,m} |\partial \Omega| z^{\frac{d-m}{d}} + \Theta \left( z^{\frac{d-m}{d}} \right),
\]

where \( a_{d,m} \) is a real constant depending on the dimension \( d \), the order \( m \) of the differential operator (where as before \( m = 1 \) corresponds to Laplacian eigenvalues and \( m = 2 \) to biharmonic eigenvalues), and on the boundary conditions. Applying these techniques we compute (1.5) for various boundary conditions of the biharmonic eigenvalue problem (1.1).

We remark that all the classical strategies to get two-term expansions for eigenvalues of elliptic operator, as shown in [20, [31], involve the extensive use of microlocal analysis, that requires a number of regularity conditions on the domain \( \Omega \) that are not yet well understood in simple geometrical terms. However, recently Frank and Larson [11] (see also [12, 13]) have proved a two-term expansion for Riesz means of Laplacian eigenvalues without using microlocal analysis and with low regularity assumptions on \( \Omega \).

The asymptotic expansion (1.5) suggests to look for bounds of \( N(z) \) (or \( R_{1}(z) \)) in terms of (1.5). Generally though, bounds containing only the volume and the surface area are not
achievable since low lying eigenvalues “do not see” these geometric properties, unless one pre-supposes geometric restrictions on the domain (e.g., convexity). This has been shown for Riesz means $R_1(z)$ of Laplacian eigenvalues by Harrell et al. [17], see also Larson [27].

Harrell and Stubbe [19] have shown that, for Riesz means of Laplacian eigenvalues, there are always two-term bounds of the form (1.5) when $|\partial \Omega|$ is replaced by $|\Omega|/\delta$ where $\delta$ denotes the diameter of $\Omega$. In the present paper we apply this method based on an averaged variational principle introduced in [18] to the biharmonic eigenvalue problem (1.1) to get two terms bounds of this form, that is with the sharp Weyl constant and the right power for the second term.

Since the techniques for the two term asymptotic expansion do not apply to the eigenvalue problem (1.1) on an interval (that is, $d = 1$) we study separately the one dimensional problems and exhibit a remarkable common similarity of the different spectra, see Section 7.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce the biharmonic eigenvalue problems we study and we present the main results of the paper. Unless differently specified, we assume $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ to be a bounded domain with Lipschitz boundary $\partial \Omega$.

In the following we will denote by $1_A$ the characteristic function of $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$. For a function $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we will denote by $\hat{f}(\xi)$ its Fourier transform defined by $\hat{f}(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-d/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) e^{i\xi \cdot x} dx$, and with abuse of notation, for a function $f \in H^2_0(\Omega)$ we will still denote by $\hat{f}(\xi)$ the Fourier transform of its extension by zero to $\mathbb{R}^d$. We will also denote by $B(x, R)$ the $d$-dimensional ball (in $\mathbb{R}^d$) of radius $R$ centered at the point $x$.

The Dirichlet Laplacian eigenvalue problem is

\[
\begin{aligned}
-\Delta u_j &= \lambda_j u_j, & \text{in } \Omega, \\
u_j &= 0, & \text{on } \partial \Omega,
\end{aligned}
\]

and the eigenvalues are variationally characterized by

$$\lambda_j = \min_{V \subset H^1_0(\Omega)} \max_{\dim V = j} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2}{\int_{\Omega} u^2}.$$  

The Neumann Laplacian eigenvalue problem is

\[
\begin{aligned}
-\Delta v_j &= \mu_j v_j, & \text{in } \Omega, \\
\frac{\partial v_j}{\partial \nu} &= 0, & \text{on } \partial \Omega,
\end{aligned}
\]

and the eigenvalues are variationally characterized by

$$\mu_j = \min_{V \subset H^1_0(\Omega)} \max_{\dim V = j} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2}{\int_{\Omega} u^2}.$$  

The biharmonic eigenvalue equation we will consider is

$$\Delta^2 u = \omega u, \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

complemented with three different sets of boundary conditions:

2. Biharmonic eigenvalue problems and main results

In this section we introduce the eigenvalue problems of the form (1.1) that we will study in the sequel and present the main results of the paper. Unless differently specified, we assume $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ to be a bounded domain with Lipschitz boundary $\partial \Omega$.

In the following we will denote by $1_A$ the characteristic function of $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$. For a function $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we will denote by $\hat{f}(\xi)$ its Fourier transform defined by $\hat{f}(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-d/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) e^{i\xi \cdot x} dx$, and with abuse of notation, for a function $f \in H^2_0(\Omega)$ we will still denote by $\hat{f}(\xi)$ the Fourier transform of its extension by zero to $\mathbb{R}^d$. We will also denote by $B(x, R)$ the $d$-dimensional ball (in $\mathbb{R}^d$) of radius $R$ centered at the point $x$.

The Dirichlet Laplacian eigenvalue problem is

\[
\begin{aligned}
-\Delta u_j &= \lambda_j u_j, & \text{in } \Omega, \\
u_j &= 0, & \text{on } \partial \Omega,
\end{aligned}
\]

and the eigenvalues are variationally characterized by

$$\lambda_j = \min_{V \subset H^1_0(\Omega)} \max_{\dim V = j} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2}{\int_{\Omega} u^2}.$$  

The Neumann Laplacian eigenvalue problem is

\[
\begin{aligned}
-\Delta v_j &= \mu_j v_j, & \text{in } \Omega, \\
\frac{\partial v_j}{\partial \nu} &= 0, & \text{on } \partial \Omega,
\end{aligned}
\]

and the eigenvalues are variationally characterized by

$$\mu_j = \min_{V \subset H^1_0(\Omega)} \max_{\dim V = j} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2}{\int_{\Omega} u^2}.$$  

The biharmonic eigenvalue equation we will consider is

$$\Delta^2 u = \omega u, \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$
• Dirichlet boundary conditions:

\[
(2.2) \quad u = \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0;
\]

• Navier (or Intermediate) boundary conditions:

\[
(2.3) \quad u = (1 - a)\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \nu^2} + a \Delta u = 0;
\]

• Neumann boundary conditions:

\[
(2.4) \quad (1 - a)\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \nu^2} + a \Delta u = \frac{\partial \Delta u}{\partial \nu} + (1 - a)\text{div}_{\partial \Omega} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \nabla_{\partial \Omega} u \right) = 0.
\]

Here \( \nu \) is the outer unit normal vector defined on \( \partial \Omega \), \( \text{div}_{\partial \Omega} \) and \( \nabla_{\partial \Omega} \) are the tangential divergence and the tangential gradient on \( \partial \Omega \), respectively, and \( a \) is the Poisson ratio, \( a \in (-d^{-1} - 1, 1) \). Note that the quadratic form associated with all these problems is

\[
(2.5) \quad Q(u, v) = \int_\Omega (1 - a)D^2 u : D^2 v + a \Delta u \Delta v,
\]

but this form is set in \( H^2_0(\Omega) \) for Dirichlet boundary conditions, in \( H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega) \) for Navier boundary conditions, and in \( H^2(\Omega) \) for Neumann boundary conditions. In particular, the Dirichlet problem does not see the Poisson ratio, as

\[
\int_\Omega D^2 u : D^2 v = \int_\Omega \Delta u \Delta v
\]

for any \( u, v, \in H^2_0(\Omega) \). Here and in the sequel, the Frobenius product is defined as

\[
D^2 u : D^2 v = \sum_{\alpha, \beta = 1}^d \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_\alpha \partial x_\beta} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x_\alpha \partial x_\beta}.
\]

Furthermore, we will denote by \( U_k, \Lambda_k \) the eigenfunctions and the eigenvalues of the Dirichlet problem \((2.1), (2.2)\). Similarly, we will use \( \tilde{U}_k, \tilde{\Lambda}_k(a) \) for the Navier (Intermediate) problem \((2.1), (2.3)\), and \( V_k, M_k(a) \) for the Neumann Problem \((2.1), (2.4)\). We will not write explicitly the dependence on the Poisson ratio \( a \) for eigenfunctions, but we will for eigenvalues (with the exception of Dirichlet eigenvalues that do not depend on \( a \)). When we consider these problems in general without specifying the boundary conditions, we will use instead \( u, \omega \) as a generic eigenfunction with its associated eigenvalue.

Note that the eigenvalues can be characterized via the minimax formulation as

\[
\Lambda_j = \min_{V \subset H^2_0(\Omega)} \max_{V = j} \frac{\int_\Omega (\Delta u)^2}{\int_\Omega u^2},
\]

\[
\tilde{\Lambda}_j(a) = \min_{V \subset H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega)} \max_{V = j} \frac{\int_\Omega (1 - a)|D^2 u|^2 + a(\Delta u)^2}{\int_\Omega u^2},
\]

and

\[
M_j(a) = \min_{V \subset H^2(\Omega)} \max_{V = j} \frac{\int_\Omega (1 - a)|D^2 u|^2 + a(\Delta u)^2}{\int_\Omega u^2}.
\]

It is worth observing that, when \( a = 1 \), the Navier problem \((2.1), (2.3)\) becomes

\[
(2.6) \quad \begin{cases} 
\Delta^2 \tilde{U} = \tilde{\Lambda}(1) \tilde{U}, \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\
\tilde{U} = \Delta \tilde{U} = 0, \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega.
\end{cases}
\]
If the domain $\Omega$ is only Lipschitz, in principle the quadratic form (2.5) is not coercive in $H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega)$ and the spectrum of problem (2.6) may be not variationally characterizable. However, the form is coercive as soon as $\Omega$ also satisfies the so-called uniform outer ball condition (see [1]; see also [16, Section 2.7]). In particular, in this case the domain of the Dirichlet Laplacian is precisely $H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega)$ and the following identification becomes immediate

$$\tilde{U}_j = u_j, \quad \tilde{\Lambda}_j(1) = \lambda_j^2,$$

for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that, in the literature, problem (2.6) is known to be the classical Navier problem, whereas problem (2.1), (2.3) is a more recent generalization (see also [4, 16] and the references therein for a discussion on the physical meaning of the problem). The Neumann problem (2.1), (2.4) with $a = 1$ becomes instead

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 V = M(1) V, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \Delta V = \frac{\partial \Delta V}{\partial \nu} = 0, & \text{on } \partial \Omega, \end{cases}$$

so that the boundary conditions do not satisfy the complementing conditions (see e.g., [16]), and in particular it has a kernel consisting of the harmonic functions in $H^2(\Omega)$, which is infinite dimensional when $d \geq 2$. It was shown in [33] that the remaining part of the spectrum consists of the eigenvalues of the biharmonic Dirichlet problem (2.1), (2.2).

The main results of the present paper are inequalities related to the eigenvalues of problem (1.1), both for the eigenvalues and for Riesz means $R_1(z)$. To this end, we first provide inequalities between the eigenvalues of the different problems (see Theorem 3.1).

**Theorem A.** The following inequalities hold.

- For any $j \in \mathbb{N}$, and for any $a \in (- (d - 1)^{-1}, 1)$,
  $$M_j \leq \tilde{\Lambda}_j \leq \Lambda_j.$$

- For any $j \in \mathbb{N}$,
  $$\lambda_j^2 \leq \Lambda_j.$$

- If in addition $\Omega$ is convex, then for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$, and for any $a \in (- (d - 1)^{-1}, 1)$,
  $$M_j(a) \leq \mu_j^2.$$

In order to understand when our bounds are sharp with respect to the semiclassical asymptotic expansion, we first compute it for all the boundary conditions (see Theorem 3.4). We remark that, while our assumptions are enough to ensure the validity of the first term in expansions (2.7) and (2.8) (see e.g., [16] and the references therein), the derivation of the second term requires additional regularity on the domain $\Omega$. In particular, the domain has to be at least piecewise $C^\infty$ and the so-called nonperiodicity and nonblocking conditions have to be satisfied. We refer to [34, Chapter 1] for the description of all the necessary smoothness conditions.

**Theorem B.** Let $\Omega$ be smooth, and let $d \geq 2$. We have

$$N(z) = (2\pi)^{-d} B_d |\Omega|^d z^{\frac{d}{4}} + c_1 z^{\frac{d-1}{4}} + o(z^{\frac{d-1}{4}}),$$

where the constant $c_1$ is given by (3.13) – (3.15). In particular,

$$R_1(z) = \frac{4}{d+4} (2\pi)^{-d} B_d |\Omega|^d z^{\frac{d-1}{4}} + \frac{4c_1}{d+3} z^{\frac{d-1}{4}} + o(z^{\frac{d-1}{4}}).$$

Our third main result concerns two terms bounds for the Riesz means of Neumann and Dirichlet boundary conditions. According to the comparison result of Theorem A, it will be sufficient to obtain two terms estimates for these two extreme cases (namely, Neumann and Dirichlet), though the same technique can be applied to each operator individually (see Theorems 4.3, 5.4).
Theorem C. Let $d \geq 2$. Then for all $a \in (- (d - 1)^{-1}, 1)$, for each unit vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$, for all $L \geq 28 \delta_1(\Omega)$, and for all $z \geq 0$,

$$\sum (z - M_j(a))_+ \geq \frac{4}{d + 4} (2\pi)^d B_d |\Omega| z^{1 + \frac{d}{4}} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{4}{d + 3} (2\pi)^{1 - d} B_{d - 1} |\Omega| L^{-1} z^{\frac{3}{4} + \frac{d}{4}}$$

$$- \frac{\pi (25 + 8d)}{48d(d + 2)} (2\pi)^{2 - d} B_{d - 2} |\Omega| L^{-2} z^{\frac{5}{4} + \frac{d}{4}},$$

and

$$\sum (z - \Lambda_j)_+ \leq \frac{4}{d + 4} (2\pi)^d B_d |\Omega| z^{1 + \frac{d}{4}} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{4}{d + 3} (2\pi)^{1 - d} B_{d - 1} |\Omega| L^{-1} z^{\frac{3}{4} + \frac{d}{4}}$$

$$+ \frac{3\pi}{32(d + 2)} (2\pi)^{2 - d} B_{d - 2} |\Omega| L^{-2} z^{\frac{5}{4} + \frac{d}{4}},$$

where $\delta_1(\Omega)$ is defined in (1.1).

Moreover, following the structure of [17], we can also prove lower bounds for Riesz means of Dirichlet eigenvalues (see Theorem 5.4).

Theorem D. Then for any $\phi \in H_0^2(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ and $z > 0$ the following inequality holds

$$\sum_j (z - \Lambda_j)_+ \|\phi U_j\|^2_2 \geq \frac{4}{d + 4} (2\pi)^d B_d \|\phi\|^2_2 \left( z - \frac{\|\Delta\phi\|^2_2}{\|\phi\|^2_2} \right)^{\frac{d}{4} + 1}$$

$$- 2 (2\pi)^d B_d \|\nabla\phi\|^2_2 \left( z - \frac{\|\Delta\phi\|^2_2}{\|\phi\|^2_2} \right)^{\frac{d}{4} + \frac{3}{4}}.$$

Moreover, for all positive integers $k$

$$\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j = 1}^k \Lambda_j \leq \frac{d}{d + 4} C_d^2 \left( \frac{k}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho(\phi)^{-\frac{d}{4}} + 2 \frac{\|\nabla\phi\|^2_2}{\|\phi\|^2_2} C_d \left( \frac{k}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho(\phi)^{-\frac{d}{4}} + \frac{\|\Delta\phi\|^2_2}{\|\phi\|^2_2},$$

for $\rho(\phi) < 1$, where $\rho(\phi)$ is defined in (5.9).

These results are obtained by an extensive application of the averaged variational principle (AVP), that we recall here in the formulation available in [9].

Lemma 2.1. Consider a self-adjoint operator $H$ on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, the spectrum of which is discrete at least in its lower portion, so that $-\infty < \omega_0 \leq \omega_1 \leq \ldots$. The corresponding orthonormalized eigenvectors are denoted $\{\psi^{(j)}\}$. The closed quadratic form corresponding to $H$ is denoted $Q(\cdot, \phi)$ for vectors $\phi$ in the quadratic-form domain $Q(\mathcal{H}) \subset \mathcal{H}$. Let $f_\zeta \in Q(\mathcal{H})$ be a family of vectors indexed by a variable $\zeta$ ranging over a measure space $(\mathcal{M}, \Sigma, \sigma)$. Suppose that $\mathcal{M}_0$ is a subset of $\mathcal{M}$. Then for any $z \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\sum_j (z - \omega_j)_+ \int_{\mathcal{M}_0} |\langle \psi^{(j)}, f_\zeta \rangle|^2 \, d\sigma \geq \int_{\mathcal{M}_0} (z \|f_\zeta\|^2 - Q(f_\zeta, f_\zeta)) \, d\sigma,$$

provided that the integrals converge.
3. Comparison of eigenvalues and eigenvalue asymptotics

In this section we provide some new results concerning the eigenvalues of problems (2.1)–(2.4). First, we provide inequalities between eigenvalues of the problems we introduced in the previous section. Then, we complete the section by computing their asymptotics up to the second term.

3.1. Comparison of eigenvalues. We start with the following

**Theorem 3.1.** The following inequalities hold.

- For any \( j \in \mathbb{N} \), and for any \( a \in (- (d - 1)^{-1}, 1) \),
  \[
  M_j \leq \tilde{\Lambda}_j \leq \Lambda_j.
  \]

- For any \( j \in \mathbb{N} \),
  \[
  \lambda_{2j} \leq \Lambda_j.
  \]

- If in addition \( \Omega \) is convex, then for any \( j \in \mathbb{N} \), and for any \( a \in (- (d - 1)^{-1}, 1) \),
  \[
  M_j(a) \leq \mu_{2j}.
  \]

We observe that all the quantities in (3.1)–(3.3) have the same Weyl limit, while the respective second terms already agree with these inequalities, see Theorem 3.4 below.

We also remark that inequality (3.2) holds under the milder assumption that \( \Omega \) is an open set of finite measure. On the other hand, if the boundary \( \partial \Omega \) is assumed to be at least \( C^2 \), then it becomes a strict inequality. For a proof of this fact we refer to [31, Theorem 1.1], where the author also provides a good survey on this type of inequalities.

**Proof.** Inequality (3.1) follows directly from the respective minimax characterizations. As for inequality (3.2), we start with the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality

\[
\left( \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 \right)^2 \leq \left( \int_\Omega u^2 \right) \left( \int_\Omega (\Delta u)^2 \right),
\]

which is valid for all \( u \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega) \). From this, we get

\[
\left( \frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2}{\int_\Omega u^2} \right)^2 \leq \frac{\int_\Omega (\Delta u)^2}{\int_\Omega u^2}
\]

for all \( u \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega) \), in particular for \( u \in H^2(\Omega) \). From this inequality, if we choose a linear, finite dimensional subspace \( V \subset H^2_0(\Omega) \), we get

\[
\max_{u \in V \setminus \{0\}} \left( \frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2}{\int_\Omega u^2} \right)^2 \leq \max_{u \in V \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_\Omega (\Delta u)^2}{\int_\Omega u^2},
\]

irrespective of the choice of \( V \). At this point, we may think of this as an inequality between two functions of \( V \):

\[
F(V) = \max_{u \in V \setminus \{0\}} \left( \frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2}{\int_\Omega u^2} \right)^2, \quad G(V) = \max_{u \in V \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_\Omega (\Delta u)^2}{\int_\Omega u^2},
\]

and

\[
F(V) \leq G(V),
\]
where $V$ varies among all the finite dimensional subspaces of $H^2_0(\Omega)$. We may as well fix a natural $j$ and restrict our attention to subspaces of dimension $j$, which is a subset of all the finite dimensional subspaces. So, it makes sense to consider the infimum, namely
\[
\inf_{V \subset H^2_0(\Omega)} F(V) \leq \inf_{V \subset H^2_0(\Omega)} G(V),
\]
the inequality holding since it holds pointwise. If we now analyze both sides of the inequality, we recover that
\[
\inf_{V \subset H^2_0(\Omega)} G(V) = \min_{V \subset H^2_0(\Omega)} \max_{u \in V \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_\Omega (\Delta u)^2}{\int_\Omega u^2} = \Lambda_k,
\]
since the min-max is always achieved by the corresponding eigenfunctions (i.e., the infimum is achieved choosing $V$ as the space generated by the first $j$ eigenfunctions), while
\[
\inf_{V \subset H^2_0(\Omega)} F(V) = \inf_{V \subset H^2_0(\Omega)} \max_{u \in V \setminus \{0\}} \left( \frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2}{\int_\Omega u^2} \right)^2.
\]
Now note that, if we consider sets $A \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ (meaning that if $\alpha \in A$ then $\alpha \geq 0$), then
\[
\inf_{A} \alpha^2 = (\inf_{A} \alpha)^2, \quad \min_{A} \alpha^2 = (\min_{A} \alpha)^2, \quad \sup_{A} \alpha^2 = (\sup_{A} \alpha)^2, \quad \max_{A} \alpha^2 = (\max_{A} \alpha)^2,
\]
since $f(x) = x^2$ is an increasing continuous function of the positive real numbers onto themselves. Hence
\[
\inf_{V \subset H^2_0(\Omega)} \max_{u \in V \setminus \{0\}} \left( \frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2}{\int_\Omega u^2} \right)^2 = \left( \inf_{V \subset H^2_0(\Omega)} \max_{u \in V \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2}{\int_\Omega u^2} \right)^2.
\]
The final step is increasing the space on which the infimum is taken:
\[
\inf_{V \subset H^2_0(\Omega)} \max_{u \in V \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2}{\int_\Omega u^2} \geq \inf_{V \subset H^2_0(\Omega)} \max_{u \in V \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2}{\int_\Omega u^2} = \lambda_k.
\]
This proves (3.2).

Regarding (3.3), we note that for any $u \in H^2(\Omega)$ real-valued we have
\[
\int_\Omega |D^2 u|^2 \, dx = \int_\Omega (\Delta u)^2 \, dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu}(|\nabla u|^2) \, ds - \int_{\partial \Omega} \Delta u \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \, ds,
\]
where $ds$ the measure element of $\partial \Omega$. Equality (3.4) follows from the pointwise identity $|D^2 u|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \Delta (|\nabla u|^2) - \nabla \Delta u \cdot \nabla u$ which holds for smooth real-valued functions $u$, hence for $u \in H^2(\Omega)$ by approximation. Now we note that, on $\partial \Omega$,
\[
\int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} |\nabla u|^2 = \nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \cdot \nabla u - \nabla u^T \cdot D\nu \cdot \nabla u = \nabla_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \cdot \nabla_{\partial \Omega} u + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \nu^2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} - II(\nabla_{\partial \Omega} u, \nabla_{\partial \Omega} u).
\]
Here $II(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the second fundamental form on $\partial \Omega$ (in fact $II = D\nu$). The quadratic form $II(\cdot, \cdot)$ defined on the tangent space to $\partial \Omega$ is symmetric and its eigenvalues are the principal curvatures of $\partial \Omega$.

Assume now that $u \in H^2(\Omega)$ is such that $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0$ on $\partial \Omega$ (in the sense of $L^2(\partial \Omega)$) and that $II \geq 0$ in the sense of quadratic forms (this holds e.g., for convex domains). Then $\nabla u = \nabla_{\partial \Omega} u$ on $\partial \Omega$ (the gradient of $u$ restricted on the boundary belongs to the tangent space to the boundary). This fact combined with (3.4) and (3.3) implies that for such $u$ and $\Omega$
\[
\int_\Omega |D^2 u|^2 \, dx \leq \int_\Omega (\Delta u)^2 \, dx.
\]
Moreover, if \( \Omega \) is a convex domain, then all eigenfunctions of the Neumann Laplacian belong to \( H^2(\Omega) \) by standard elliptic regularity, and their normal derivatives vanish at the boundary (in \( L^2(\partial \Omega) \)). Hence, taking the space generated by the first \( j \) eigenfunctions of the Neumann Laplacian as \( j \)-dimensional subspace of \( H^2(\Omega) \) of test functions into the min-max formula for \( M_j(u) \), we obtain (5.3).

3.2. Semiclassical asymptotics. In this section, the domain \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d \) will always be a bounded domain, smooth enough in order to apply the arguments in \([34, 36]\) (see Theorem B). In particular, smooth convex sets and piecewise smooth domains with non positive conormal curvature (such as polyhedra) are admissible. Moreover, the dimension \( d \) will always be such that \( d \geq 2 \).

We parametrize \( \Omega \) locally in such a way that \( \Omega = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_d) : x_d > 0\} \) and \( \partial \Omega = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_{d-1}, 0)\} \). We also denote by \((x, \xi)\) the elements of the cotangent bundle \( T^*\Omega \), \( \xi = (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_d) \) being the coordinates on the fiber \( T_x^*\Omega \). Setting \( x' = (x_1, \ldots, x_{d-1}) \) and \( \xi' = (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{d-1}) \), we have that \((x', \xi')\) are coordinates for the cotangent bundle \( T^*\partial \Omega \).

The operator \( \Delta^2 \) is represented by the symbol

\[
A(\xi) = |\xi|^4 = \left( \sum_{k=1}^{d} \xi_k^2 \right)^2,
\]

and the operator can be recovered from the symbol by substituting \( \xi_k \) with \( D_k = -i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \). Note that this operator coincides with its principal part, i.e., the symbol only contains monomials of the same degree.

Regarding the boundary operators, we first recall that, because of the parametrization we have chosen, in this case the normal derivative is

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \text{ (on } \partial \Omega \text{)}.
\]

Let us now discuss the various boundary conditions one by one.

- \( B_0(D)u = u \). Its symbol is \( B_0(\xi) = 1 \).
- \( B_1(D)u = \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \). Its symbol is \( B_1(\xi) = -i \xi_d \).
- \( B_2(D)u = (1 - a \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu}) + a \Delta u \). Its symbol is \( B_2(\xi) = -\xi_d^2 - i a K \xi_d - a |\xi'|^2 \) (where \( K \) is the sum of the principal curvatures). Note that its principal part is \( B_2(\xi) = -\xi_d^2 - a |\xi'|^2 \).
- \( B_3(D)u = \frac{\Delta D}{\partial \nu} + (1 - a) \text{div}_{\partial \Omega} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \nabla_{\partial \Omega} u \right) \). Writing the symbol for this operator is quite complicated, but using the equality

\[
\text{div}_{\partial \Omega} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \nabla_{\partial \Omega} u \right) = \Delta_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} - \text{div}_{\partial \Omega} (\nabla_{\partial \Omega} u \cdot D_{\nu})
\]

we can easily write the principal part \( \hat{B}_3(\xi) = i \xi_d^2 + i (2 - a) \xi_d |\xi'|^2 \).

Now we introduce an auxiliary problem related with problems (2.1)–(2.4):

\[
\begin{cases}
  A(\xi', D_d) v(x_d) = \eta v(x_d), & x_d \in (0, +\infty), \\
  B_j(\xi', D_d) v|_{x_d=0} = 0, & j = 0, 1 \text{ for the } \text{Dirichlet case}, \\
  v(0) = v'(0) = 0, & j = 0, 2 \text{ for the } \text{Navier case}, \\
  v(0) = v''(0) = 0, & j = 2, 3 \text{ for the } \text{Neumann case},
\end{cases}
\]

where the boundary conditions will be: \( j = 0, 1 \) for the Dirichlet case,

\[
v(0) = v'(0) = 0,
\]

\( j = 0, 2 \) for the Navier case,

\[
v(0) = v''(0) = 0,
\]

or \( j = 2, 3 \) for the Neumann case,

\[
v''(0) - a |\xi'|^2 v(0) = v''(0) - (2 - a) |\xi'|^2 v'(0) = 0.
\]
Note that problem (3.3) depends on $\xi' \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$.

We are interested in the spectrum of problem (3.6). We start by observing that there are no eigenvalues, with the sole exception of the Neumann case with $a \neq 0$, where there is a simple eigenvalue

$$\eta = \eta(\xi') = f(a)|\xi'|^4,$$

where

$$f(a) = 4a - 1 - 3a^2 + 2(1 - a)\sqrt{2a^2 - 2a + 1}.$$ 

Notice that $0 < f(a) \leq 1$ for $a \in (-d^{-1}, 1)$, with $f(a) = 1$ only for $a = 0$. We remark that the case $a = 0$ does not have eigenvalues, hence neither is $|\xi'|^4$ (differently from the case $a \neq 0$).

In addition, problem (3.6) is known to have as essential spectrum the strip $[|\xi'|^4, +\infty[$ (see e.g., [34, Appendix A]). Moreover, the essential spectrum has only one threshold with one double root. A threshold $\eta^{st}$ is a point in the essential spectrum for which the equation

$$A(\xi', \zeta) = \eta^{st}$$

has a multiple real root. It is clear that, in our case, the only threshold is $\eta^{st} = |\xi'|^4$. At this point we search for generalized eigenfunctions in the strip $[\eta^{st}, +\infty[$. To do so, we have first to solve the equation

$$A(\xi', \zeta) = \eta,$$

for any $\eta \in [\eta^{st}, +\infty[$. Equation (3.8) has always four roots:

$$\zeta_1^- = -\sqrt[4]{\eta - |\xi'|^2}, \quad \zeta_1^+ = \sqrt[4]{\eta - |\xi'|^2}, \quad \zeta_2^- = -i\sqrt[4]{\eta + |\xi'|^2}, \quad \zeta_2^+ = i\sqrt[4]{\eta + |\xi'|^2}.$$ 

We then search for generalized eigenfunctions (associated with $\eta$) of the form

$$v(x_d) = a_1^- e^{i\zeta_1^- x_d} + a_1^+ e^{i\zeta_1^+ x_d} + a_2^- e^{i\zeta_2^- x_d} + a_2^+ e^{i\zeta_2^+ x_d}.$$ 

Note that these generalized eigenfunctions are not proper eigenfunctions (because they are not $L^2$-functions), nevertheless they are bounded solutions. We search for generalized eigenfunctions because we need to compute the quantity $\arg \left( \frac{\zeta_2^+}{\zeta_1^-} \right)$, where $\arg$ is the standard complex argument of a number.

- **Dirichlet problem.** Through the boundary conditions we get

$$\begin{cases} a_1^- + a_1^+ + a_2^+ = 0, \\ a_1^- a_1^+ + \zeta_1^- a_1^+ + \zeta_2^+ a_2^+ = 0, \end{cases}$$

hence

$$\frac{a_1^+}{a_1^-} = \frac{\zeta_1^+ - \zeta_2^+}{\zeta_1^- - \zeta_2^+} = -\frac{|\xi'|^2}{|\eta|^2} + \frac{i}{\sqrt{\eta + |\xi'|^2}},$$

from which we obtain

$$\arg \left( \frac{a_1^+}{a_1^-} \right) = \arctan \left( \frac{|\xi'|^2}{\sqrt{\eta + |\xi'|^2}} \right) - \pi + 2k\pi = \arcsin \frac{|\xi'|^2}{\sqrt{\eta}} - \pi + 2k\pi,$$

for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

- **Navier problem.** Through the boundary conditions we get

$$\begin{cases} a_1^- + a_1^+ + a_2^+ = 0, \\ (\zeta_1^-)^2 a_1^- + (\zeta_1^+)^2 a_1^+ + (\zeta_2^+)^2 a_2^+ = 0, \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} a_1^- + a_1^+ + a_2^+ = 0, \\ (\zeta_1^-)^2 a_1^- + (\zeta_1^+)^2 a_1^+ + (\zeta_2^+)^2 a_2^+ = 0, \end{cases}$$

respectively.
that yields \( a_1^+ / a_1^- = -1 \), hence
\[
\begin{align*}
\text{(3.11)} \quad \arg \left( i \frac{a_1^+}{a_1^-} \right) &= -\frac{\pi}{2} + 2k\pi,
\end{align*}
\]
for some \( k \in \mathbb{Z} \).

**Neumann problem.** Through the boundary conditions we get
\[
\begin{aligned}
&\{-\left(\zeta_1^+\right)^2 a_1^- - \left(\zeta_2^+\right)^2 a_1^+ - a|\xi|^2(a_1^- + a_1^+ + a_2^+) = 0, \\
&\quad -i\left(\zeta_1^+\right)^3 a_1^- - i\left(\zeta_1^+\right)^3 a_1^+ - \left(\zeta_2^+\right)^3 a_1^+ - i(2-a)|\xi|^2(\zeta_1^- a_1^- + \zeta_1^+ a_1^+ + \zeta_2^+ a_2^+) = 0,
\end{aligned}
\]
that yields
\[
\begin{align*}
\zeta_2^+ \left(\left(\zeta_1^+\right)^2 + a|\xi|^2\right) \left(\left(\zeta_2^+\right)^2 + (2-a)|\xi|^2\right) \left(\frac{a_1^+}{a_1^-} + 1\right)
&= \zeta_1^+ \left(\left(\zeta_2^+\right)^2 + a|\xi|^2\right) \left(\left(\zeta_1^+\right)^2 + (2-a)|\xi|^2\right) \left(\frac{a_1^+}{a_1^-} - 1\right).
\end{align*}
\]
Therefore
\[
\begin{align*}
a_1^+ / a_1^- &= \frac{A + iB}{A - iB} = \frac{(A + iB)^2}{A^2 + B^2},
\end{align*}
\]
where
\[
A = \sqrt{\eta - |\xi|^2} \left(\sqrt{\eta} + (1-a)|\xi|^2\right)^2, \quad B = \sqrt{\eta + |\xi|^2} \left(\sqrt{\eta} - (1-a)|\xi|^2\right)^2.
\]
In particular
\[
\begin{align*}
\text{(3.12)} \quad \arg \left( i \frac{a_1^+}{a_1^-} \right) &= \arg (i) + 2\arg (A + iB) = -\frac{\pi}{2} - 2\arctan \frac{A}{B} + 2k\pi,
\end{align*}
\]
for some \( k \in \mathbb{Z} \).

We now recall the following theorem from [33].

**Theorem 3.2.** Let \( \Omega \) be smooth (in the sense of Theorem [23]). Let \( N(z) \) be the counting function associated with the biharmonic operator with either Dirichlet, Navier, or Neumann boundary conditions, i.e., the problem given by equation (2.1) coupled with (2.2), (2.3), or (2.4), respectively. Then, for \( z \to +\infty \) we have
\[
N(z) = c_0 z^{-\frac{d}{2}} + c_1 z^{-\frac{d-1}{2}} + o \left(z^{-\frac{d-1}{2}}\right),
\]
where
\[
c_0 = (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{|\xi|^2 \leq 1} dx d\xi, \quad c_1 = (2\pi)^{1-d} \int_{T^*\partial \Omega} \text{shift}^+ (1, \xi') dx' d\xi'.
\]
Here \( \text{shift}^+ \) is the shift function associated with problem (3.6), and there exists an analytic branch \( \arg_0 \) of the argument arg such that we have
\[
\text{shift}^+ (\eta, \xi') = N^+ (\eta, \xi') + \frac{\arg_0 \text{det}(iR(\eta, \xi'))}{2\pi},
\]
where \( N^+ \) is the counting function of problem (3.6), and \( R \) is the reflection matrix associated with problem (3.6), in particular
\[
\text{det}(iR(\eta, \xi')) = \begin{cases} 
0, & \text{if } \eta \leq \eta^*, \\
\left(\frac{a_1^+}{a_1^-}\right), & \text{otherwise},
\end{cases}
\]
with \( a_1^\pm \) defined in (3.9).
In addition, the function \( \arg_0 \) is a suitable branch of the complex argument satisfying the following condition

\[
\lim_{\eta \to |\xi'|^4} \arg_0 \left( \frac{a_1^+}{a_1} \right) = \frac{\pi}{2}.
\]

We stress the fact that the function \( \arg_0 \) depends on the particular problem that is considered, and not a function chosen once and for all.

**Corollary 3.3.** Let \( \Omega \) be smooth. If \( \omega_k \) is the \( k \)-th eigenvalue of the biharmonic operator with either Dirichlet, Navier, or Neumann boundary conditions, then we have

\[
\omega_k = \left( \frac{k}{c_0} \right)^{\frac{4}{d}} - \frac{4c_1}{x d c_0} k^{\frac{2}{d}} + o \left( k^{\frac{2}{d}} \right),
\]

or equivalently

\[
\omega_k^{\frac{4}{3}} = \left( \frac{k}{c_0} \right)^{\frac{4}{3}} - \frac{c_1}{x d c_0} + o(1),
\]

as \( k \to +\infty \).

Now we compute the coefficients \( c_0, c_1 \). As for \( c_0 \), it depends only on the equation and therefore will be the same for both Dirichlet, Navier, and Neumann boundary conditions, and it is

\[
c_0 = (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{|\xi'| \leq 1} dxd\xi = (2\pi)^{-d} B_d |\Omega|.
\]

As for \( c_1 \), its definition sensitively depends on the boundary conditions, so we split the discussion.

**Dirichlet boundary conditions.** We have seen that problem (3.6) has no eigenvalue, and it is easy to check that the function \( \arg_0 \) is given by formula (3.11) with \( k = 0 \). Hence

\[
c_1 = (2\pi)^{-d} |\partial \Omega| \left( \int_{|\xi'| < 1} \arcsin |\xi'|^2 d\xi' - \pi B_{d-1} \right)
\]

\[
= - \frac{B_{d-1} |\partial \Omega|}{4(2\pi)^d} \left( 1 + \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{d+1}{2} \right)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma \left( \frac{d-1}{2} \right)} \right).
\]

**Navier boundary conditions.** We have seen that problem (6.6) has no eigenvalue, and it is easy to check that the function \( \arg_0 \) is given by formula (3.11) with \( k = 0 \). Hence

\[
c_1 = (2\pi)^{1-d} |\partial \Omega| \int_{|\xi'| < 1} \left( -\frac{1}{4} \right) d\xi' = - \frac{B_{d-1} |\partial \Omega|}{4(2\pi)^{d-1}}.
\]

**Neumann boundary conditions.** Let us start with the case \( a \neq 0 \). Here we have seen that problem (3.10) has a simple eigenvalue

\[
\eta = f(a)|\xi'|^4,
\]

so that

\[
N^+(\lambda, \xi') = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |\xi'| < f(a)^{-\frac{4}{3}}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}
\]

It is also easily checked that the function \( \arg_0 \) is given by formula (3.12) with \( k = 0 \), therefore

\[
c_1 = \frac{B_{d-1} |\partial \Omega|}{4(2\pi)^{d-1}} \left( 4f(a)^{\frac{4}{3}} - 1 - \frac{d-1}{\pi} \int_0^1 t^{d-2} \arctan g(t, a) dt \right),
\]
where

\[(3.16) \quad g(t, a) = \frac{\sqrt{1-t^2} (1 + (1-a)t^2)^2}{\sqrt{1+t^2} (1 - (1-a)t^2)^2}.\]

If instead we consider the case \(a = 0\), we recall that there are no eigenvalues, however now the function \(\text{arg} g = 0\), so that here

\[c_1 = B_{d-1} |\partial \Omega| \left(3 - \frac{d-1}{\pi} \int_0^1 t^{d-2} \arctan g(t, 0) dt\right),\]

and in particular, as \(f(0) = 1\), we have that formula (3.15) still holds.

We observe that, by using the equality

\[\arctan x + \arctan \frac{1}{x} = \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \forall x > 0,\]

as \(g(t, a) > 0\) for all \(t \in (0, 1)\) and for all \(a\), we obtain the equivalent formula

\[c_1 = B_{d-1} |\partial \Omega| \left(4f(a) \left(\frac{1}{d+3}\right) - 3 + \frac{d-1}{\pi} \int_0^1 t^{d-2} \arctan(g(t,a)^{-1}) dt\right).\]

Summing up, we have the following

**Theorem 3.4.** Let \(C_d = (2\pi)^{d/2} B_d^{1/2}\). For any \(a \in (-d^{-1}, 1)\), the following expansions hold:

\[(3.17) \quad \Lambda_k = C_d^2 \left(\frac{k}{|\Omega|}\right) \frac{d}{2} + C_d^2 B_{d-1} \left(1 + \frac{\Gamma \left(\frac{d+1}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma \left(\frac{d+3}{4}\right)} \right) \frac{|\partial \Omega|}{|\Omega|} \left(\frac{k}{|\Omega|}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}} + o \left(k^{\frac{d}{2}}\right),\]

\[(3.18) \quad \tilde{\Lambda}_k(a) = C_d^2 \left(\frac{k}{|\Omega|}\right) \frac{d}{2} + C_d^2 B_{d-1} \left(1 + \frac{\Gamma \left(\frac{d+1}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma \left(\frac{d+3}{4}\right)} \right) \frac{|\partial \Omega|}{|\Omega|} \left(\frac{k}{|\Omega|}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}} + o \left(k^{\frac{d}{2}}\right),\]

and

\[(3.19) \quad M_k(a) = C_d^2 \left(\frac{k}{|\Omega|}\right) \frac{d}{2} - \frac{C_d^2 B_{d-1} \left(1 + \frac{\Gamma \left(\frac{d+1}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma \left(\frac{d+3}{4}\right)} \right) \frac{|\partial \Omega|}{|\Omega|} \left(\frac{k}{|\Omega|}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}} + o \left(k^{\frac{d}{2}}\right),\]

as \(k \to \infty\), for any \(a \in (-d^{-1}, 1)\), where \(f\) is defined in (3.7) and \(g\) is defined in (3.16).

We conclude this discussion with a few remarks.

**Remark 3.5.** It is interesting to see that, contrary to what happens with the Laplacian, in the case of the biharmonic operator the quantity \(|c_1|\) is not the same for Dirichlet and Neumann eigenvalues. In fact, this is the case even for \(a = 0\). In addition, the dependence on the dimension is even stronger, and it is actually worth noticing that, as the dimension grows, the asymptotics of (the square root) of the eigenvalues of the Dirichlet Bilaplacian converge to that of the eigenvalues of the Dirichlet Laplacian, because

\[\lim_{d \to \infty} 1 + \frac{\Gamma \left(\frac{d+1}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma \left(\frac{d+3}{4}\right)} = 1,\]

and hence the inequality

\[\lambda_k \leq \sqrt{\Lambda_k}\]
is, in a sense, “squeezing” towards an equality, asymptotically in $k$ and in $d$. On the other hand, the Dominated Convergence Theorem tells us that

$$
\lim_{d \to \infty} 4 \frac{d - 1}{\pi} \int_0^1 t^{d-2} \arctan(g(t,a)^{-1}) dt = 0
$$

for all $a \in ((d - 1)^{-1}, 1)$. However,

$$
\lim_{d \to \infty} f(a)^{\frac{1}{1-d}} = \begin{cases} 1, & a = 0, \\ +\infty, & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}
$$

telling us that the asymptotics of (the square root) of the Neumann Bilaplacian eigenvalues converge to that of the Neumann Laplacian eigenvalues only for $a = 0$, while in the other cases the asymptotic expansions blow up. This can be interpreted as the fact that, when the dimension increases, the control of the Hessian matrix on the Laplacian (expressed by the Poisson ratio in the quadratic form (2.5)) weakens significantly, making the asymptotics blow up.

**Remark 3.6.** We observe that, if $\Omega$ satisfies the uniform outer ball condition (see [11, 16]), then the expansion (3.18) holds also for $a = 1$. On the other hand, even though the Neumann problem (2.1), (2.4) does not satisfy the complementing condition (see [16]) when $a = 1$ and the operator does not have compact resolvent, and therefore all the discussion in this section does not apply, it is nevertheless interesting to see what happens to $c_1$ as $a \to 1^-$. We observe that

$$
-1 - 4 \frac{d - 1}{\pi} \int_0^1 t^{d-2} \arctan g(t,a) dt \bigg|_{a=1} = -1 - \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{d+1}{4} \right)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma \left( \frac{d+3}{4} \right)},
$$

while

$$
\lim_{a \to 1^-} f(a)^{\frac{1}{1-d}} = +\infty.
$$

This is coherent with what we know about the spectrum of this operator: apart from an infinite dimensional kernel, the remaining part of the spectrum consists of the eigenvalues of the Dirichlet Bilaplacian, see [33].

4. **The biharmonic Neumann operator**

In this section we focus our attention to the biharmonic Neumann problem (2.4), (2.4). In particular, the quadratic form (2.5) will be set into $H^2(\Omega)$, for $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ a bounded set with continuous boundary.

4.1. **A refinement of Kröger’s inequality.** Our first result is an improvement of the Kröger-Laptev bound using a refinement of Young’s inequality for real numbers, which not only improves the estimates for Riesz means and sums, but also provides a bound on individual eigenvalues. It will be useful to introduce the following notation:

$$
m_k := C_d^2 \left( \frac{k}{|\Omega|} \right)^{4/d}, \quad S_k(a) := \frac{\frac{d+1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^k M_j(a)}{m_k}.
$$

Note that $m_k$ is the Weyl expression, and the Kröger-Laptev inequality is expressed as $S_k \leq 1$. We prove the following refinement of this inequality.

**Theorem 4.1.** For all $k \geq 0$, and for all $a \in (- (d-1)^{-1}, 1)$, the Neumann eigenvalue $M_{k+1}(a)$ satisfies

$$
m_k (1 - S_k(a)) \geq (\sqrt{M_{k+1}(a)} - \sqrt{m_k})^2,
$$
or equivalently
\[ m_k \left( 1 - \sqrt{1 - S_k(a)} \right)^2 \leq M_{k+1}(a) \leq m_k \left( 1 + \sqrt{1 - S_k(a)} \right)^2. \]

**Proof.** The trial functions \( f(x) = e^{ip \cdot x} \) are admissible, so choosing them in (2.9) (see also [21, 25]) leads after a calculation to the following bound for the eigenvalues of the Neumann biharmonic operator, where the set \( \mathcal{M} \) is chosen as \( \{ p \in \mathbb{R}^d \} \) with Lebesgue measure, and \( \mathcal{M}_s \) is the ball of radius \( R \) in \( \mathbb{R}^d \) (see [19, 21] for details of the calculation):
\[
\mu_{k+1} R^d = \frac{d}{d+4} R^{d+4} \leq m_k \left( \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} M_i(a) \right),
\]
for all \( R > 0 \). Putting \( R^d = m_k^{d/4} x_k^{d/4} \) with \( x_k = \frac{M_{k+1}}{M_k} \) we get the bound
\[
\frac{d + 4}{d} \sum_{i=1}^{k} M_i(a) - m_k \leq m_k \left( \frac{d + 4}{4} x_k - \frac{d}{4} - \frac{d+4}{k} \right).
\]
Applying the refinement of Young’s inequality given by Lemma 4.2 with \( p = d/4 \), we obtain
\[
\frac{d + 4}{d} \sum_{i=1}^{k} M_i(a) - m_k \leq -m_k (\sqrt{x_k} - 1)^2,
\]
which strengthens the Kröger-Laptew estimate
\[
\frac{d + 4}{d} \sum_{i=1}^{k} M_i(a) \leq m_k = C_d^2 \frac{k^{4/d}}{\Omega^{4/d}}
\]
and yields the desired bound on \( M_{k+1}(a) \). \( \square \)

**Lemma 4.2.** For any \( p, x \geq 0 \), let \( y_p(x) = (p + 1)x - p - x^{p+1} \). Then
\[
y_p(x) \leq -p(1 - \sqrt{x})^2.
\]

**Proof.** From Young’s inequality we know that \( y_p(x) \leq 0 \) (see [19]). The assertion follows from the identity
\[
y_p(x) = -p(1 - \sqrt{x})^2 + \sqrt{xy_{2p}}(\sqrt{x}).
\]
\( \square \)

### 4.2. Two-term spectral bounds

Here we present a two-term bound for the Riesz mean \( R_1(z) \), obtained using additional geometrical information on \( \Omega \). To this end, for any unit vector \( v \in \mathbb{R}^d \) let \( \delta_v \) be the width of \( \Omega \) in the \( v \)-direction, i.e.,
\[
\delta_v(\Omega) = \max \{ v \cdot (x - y) : x, y \in \partial \Omega \}.
\]

We note that \( \delta_v(\Omega) \) always lies between twice the inradius and the diameter of \( \Omega \).

Let now \( v \in \mathbb{R}^d \) be a unit vector. After a translation we may suppose that \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d \) is a bounded domain such that \( \Omega \subset \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d : 0 \leq v \cdot x \leq L \} \), that is, all \( x \in \Omega \) are contained in an interval of length \( L \) in the \( v \)-direction. We shall choose \( L \) later as \( L = 2\delta_v(\Omega) \). Once we have fixed \( v \), we may choose a coordinate system such that \( v \) is a standard unit vector of the canonical basis of \( \mathbb{R}^d \). We apply the averaged variational principle (2.9) with test functions of the form
\[
f(x) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d+1}{2}} e^{ip \cdot x} \phi_n(v \cdot x),
\]
where \( p_\perp = p - (p \cdot v)v \) and \( \phi_n \) is an eigenfunction of the Neumann Laplacian on an interval of length \( L \), that is,
eigenfunctions are given by $\phi_L^z$, for any $z \in \mathbb{N}$ and the (normalized) eigenfunctions are given by $\phi_0(y) = L^{-1/2}$ and $\phi_n(y) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{z} \cos \left( \frac{n\pi y}{z} \right)$, where $n$ ranges over the positive integers.

We recall now that the eigenvalues $\kappa_n$ are given by $\kappa_n = \frac{(\pi n)^2}{L^2}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and the (normalized) eigenfunctions are given by $\phi_0(y) = L^{-1/2}$ and $\phi_n(y) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{z} \cos \left( \frac{n\pi y}{z} \right)$, where $n$ ranges over the positive integers.

Without loss of generality, taking $v \cdot x = x_1$ and using such test functions, the variational principle implies that

\begin{equation}
\sum_{j=1}^{k} (z - M_j(a)) | \langle f, V_j \rangle |^2 \geq (2\pi)^{1-d} \left( \int_\Omega \phi_n(x_1)^2 - \int_\Omega \phi_n''(x_1)^2 \right) - 2(1 - a) | p_\perp |^2 \int_\Omega \phi_n'(x_1)^2 + 2a | p_\perp |^2 \int_\Omega \phi_n(x_1) \phi_n''(x_1)
\end{equation}

for any $z \in [M_k(a), M_{k+1}(a)]$. We have the following

**Theorem 4.3.** Let $d \geq 2$ and $a \in (-(d - 1)^{-1}, 1)$. For each unit vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$, for all $L \geq 2\delta_v(\Omega)$, and for all $z \geq 0$,

\begin{equation}
\sum_{j=1}^{k} (z - M_j(a)) \geq \frac{4}{d+4} (2\pi)^{-d} B_d(\Omega) z^{1+\frac{d}{2}} + \frac{4}{2d+3} (2\pi)^{1-d} B_{d-1}(\Omega) L^{-1} z^{2+\frac{d}{2}} - \frac{\pi(25 + 8d)}{48d(d+2)} (2\pi)^{2-d} B_{d-2}(\Omega) L^{-2} z^{d+\frac{d}{2}}.
\end{equation}

**Proof.** When $n > 0$ we apply the trigonometric identities $\cos^2 t = \frac{1+\cos 2t}{2}$ and $\sin^2 t = \frac{1-\cos 2t}{2}$ to $\phi_n(v \cdot x)^2$ and $\phi_n'(v \cdot x)^2$, respectively. Then, for all $n \geq 0$, inequality (4.2) becomes

\begin{equation}
\sum_{j=1}^{k} (z - M_j(a)) | \langle f, V_j \rangle |^2 \geq (2\pi)^{1-d} L^{-1} \left( z - \left( | p_\perp |^2 + \frac{\pi^2 n^2}{L^2} \right)^2 \right) + (2\pi)^{1-d} L^{-1} \left( z - (1 - a) \left( | p_\perp |^2 - \frac{\pi^2 n^2}{L^2} \right)^2 - a \left( | p_\perp |^2 + \frac{\pi^2 n^2}{L^2} \right)^2 \right) \int_\Omega \cos \left( \frac{2\pi n x_1}{L} \right) (1 - \delta_{0,n}),
\end{equation}

where $\delta_{0,n}$ denotes the Kronecker delta. The term in the last line of (4.4) can be dropped out thanks to the translation averaging procedure introduced in [19] (and which leads to the choice $L = 2\delta_v(\Omega)$). On the right side we integrate over the set $\Phi_k = \{ (p_\perp, n) \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{N} : | p_\perp |^2 + \frac{\pi^2 n^2}{L^2} \leq \sqrt{2} \}$ while on the left side over the larger set $\mathbb{R}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{N}$, using Parseval’s identity. We get

\begin{equation}
\sum_{j=1}^{k} (z - M_j(a)) \geq (2\pi)^{1-d} L^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} dp_\perp \sum_{n \geq 0} \left( z - \left( | p_\perp |^2 + \frac{\pi^2 n^2}{L^2} \right)^2 \right) + \left( \sqrt{z - | p_\perp |^2 - \frac{\pi^2 n^2}{L^2}} \right)^2
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\sum_{j=1}^{k} (z - M_j(a)) \geq (2\pi)^{1-d} L^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} dp_\perp \sum_{n \geq 0} \left( z - \left( | p_\perp |^2 - \frac{\pi^2 n^2}{L^2} \right)^2 \right) + \left( \sqrt{z - | p_\perp |^2 - \frac{\pi^2 n^2}{L^2}} \right)^2
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\sum_{j=1}^{k} (z - M_j(a)) \geq (2\pi)^{1-d} L^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} dp_\perp \sum_{n \geq 0} \left( z - \left( | p_\perp |^2 - \frac{\pi^2 n^2}{L^2} \right)^2 \right) + \left( \sqrt{z - | p_\perp |^2 - \frac{\pi^2 n^2}{L^2}} \right)^2
\end{equation}
From [19] Lemma 3.2 we have that

\[
\sum_{k \geq 0} (R^2 - k^2)_+ \geq \frac{2R^3}{3} + \frac{R^2}{2} - \frac{R}{6},
\]

and

\[
\sum_{k \geq 0} (R^2 - k^2)_+^2 \leq \frac{8R^5}{15} + \frac{R^4}{2} + \frac{R^3}{8},
\]

for all \( R > 0 \). Applying the bounds (4.6) and (4.7) to the sum over \( n \) in the right hand side of (4.5) and then integrating over \( p_\perp \) we obtain an explicit lower bound for the integral

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} \sum_{n \geq 0} \left( z - \left( |p_\perp|^2 + \frac{n^2 \pi^2}{L^2} \right) \right) dp_\perp.
\]

Since

\[
(2\pi)^{1-d}L^{-1}|\Omega| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} dp_\perp \sum_{n \geq 0} 2\sqrt{z} \left( \sqrt{z} - |p_\perp|^2 - \frac{n^2 \pi^2}{L^2} \right)_+, \]

\[
\geq (2\pi)^{1-d}L^{-1}|\Omega|\sqrt{z} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} dp_\perp \frac{4\pi^2}{3L^2} \left( \frac{L^2}{\pi^2} (\sqrt{z} - |p_\perp|^2) \right)_+^{\frac{2}{3}} + \frac{\pi^2}{L^2} \left( \frac{L^2}{\pi^2} (\sqrt{z} - |p_\perp|^2) \right)_+^{\frac{2}{3}}
\]

\[
- (2\pi)^{1-d}L^{-1}|\Omega|\sqrt{z} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} dp_\perp \frac{1\pi^2}{3L^2} \left( \frac{L^2}{\pi^2} (\sqrt{z} - |p_\perp|^2) \right)_+^{\frac{2}{3}}
\]

\[
= \frac{8}{3} (2\pi)^{-d}|\Omega|\sqrt{z} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} dp_\perp \left( \sqrt{z} - |p_\perp|^2 \right)_+^{\frac{2}{3}} + (2\pi)^{1-d}L^{-1}|\Omega|\sqrt{z} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} dp_\perp \left( \sqrt{z} - |p_\perp|^2 \right)_+^{\frac{2}{3}},
\]

and also

\[
(2\pi)^{1-d}L^{-1}|\Omega| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} dp_\perp \sum_{n \geq 0} \left( \sqrt{z} - |p_\perp|^2 - \frac{n^2 \pi^2}{L^2} \right)_+^2
\]

\[
\leq (2\pi)^{1-d}L^{-1}|\Omega| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} dp_\perp \frac{8\pi^4}{15L^2} \left( \frac{L^2}{\pi^2} (\sqrt{z} - |p_\perp|^2) \right)_+^{\frac{2}{3}} + \frac{1\pi^4}{2L^2} \left( \frac{L^2}{\pi^2} (\sqrt{z} - |p_\perp|^2) \right)_+^2
\]

\[
+ (2\pi)^{1-d}L^{-1}|\Omega| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} dp_\perp \frac{1\pi^4}{8L^2} \left( \frac{L^2}{\pi^2} (\sqrt{z} - |p_\perp|^2) \right)_+^{\frac{2}{3}}
\]

\[
= \frac{16}{15} (2\pi)^{-d}|\Omega| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} dp_\perp \left( \sqrt{z} - |p_\perp|^2 \right)_+^{\frac{2}{3}} + \frac{1}{2} (2\pi)^{1-d}L^{-1}|\Omega| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} dp_\perp \left( \sqrt{z} - |p_\perp|^2 \right)_+^2
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{16} (2\pi)^{2-d}L^{-2}|\Omega| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} dp_\perp \left( \sqrt{z} - |p_\perp|^2 \right)_+^{\frac{2}{3}},
\]

we obtain inequality (4.3).

Starting from the bound (4.6) using the explicit values for the Riesz-means if \( R \leq 1 \) it is straightforward to derive the simpler expressions

\[
\sum_{k \geq 0} (R^2 - k^2)_+ \geq \frac{2R^3}{3} + \frac{R^2}{3}, \quad \sum_{k \geq 0} (R^2 - k^2)_+^2 \leq \frac{8R^5}{15} + R^4,
\]

containing only two terms. This yields the following spectral bound.
Corollary 4.4. Let $d \geq 2$ and $a \in ((d-1)^{-1}, 1)$. For each unit vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$, for all $L \geq 2\delta_v(\Omega)$, and for all $z \geq 0$,

$$
(4.8) \quad \sum_{j \geq 1} (z - M_j(a))_+ \geq \frac{4}{d+4} (2\pi)^{-d} B_d |\Omega| z^{1+\frac{d}{4}} + \frac{8}{3(d+3)(d+1)} (2\pi)^{1-d} B_{d-1} |\Omega| L^{-1} z^{\frac{d}{2}+\frac{4}{3}}.
$$

In particular, through the combination of (4.3) and (4.8) we have

$$
(4.9) \quad \sum_{j \geq 1} (z - M_j(a))_+ \geq \frac{4}{d+4} (2\pi)^{-d} B_d |\Omega| z^{1+\frac{d}{4}} + \frac{8}{3(d+3)(d+1)} (2\pi)^{1-d} B_{d-1} |\Omega| L^{-1} z^{\frac{d}{2}+\frac{4}{3}}
+ \left( \frac{2(3d-1)}{3(d+3)(d+1)} (2\pi)^{1-d} B_{d-1} |\Omega| L^{-1} z^{\frac{d}{2}+\frac{4}{3}} - \frac{\pi(25+8d)}{48d(d+2)} (2\pi)^{2-d} B_{d-2} |\Omega| L^{-2} z^{\frac{d}{2}+\frac{4}{3}} \right)_+.
$$

The term containing the width $\delta_v$ can be estimated via geometric properties of the convex hull of $\Omega$, since $\delta_v(\Omega) = \delta_v(\text{hull}(\Omega))$. For example, for $d = 2$,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \delta_v = 2|\partial \text{hull}(\Omega)|.
$$

We refer to [19] for a discussion on how to rewrite inequalities of the type (4.8) or (4.9) using more geometrical information on the domain $\Omega$.

4.3. Biharmonic Neumann problem in one dimension. For the biharmonic Neumann operator on a bounded interval of length $\delta$ the positive eigenvalues are given by the roots of a transcendental equation (see Section 7 for details and further considerations). Note also that the Poisson ratio $a$ does not appear in this case as the Hessian coincides with the Laplacian (cf. (2.5)). However, for lower bounds on Riesz-means it is more convenient to use the fact that biharmonic Neumann eigenvalues are upper bounded by the squares of Neumann Laplacian eigenvalues. From the averaged variational principle we get the following

Theorem 4.5. Let $M_j$, $j \geq 1$, be the eigenvalues of the biharmonic Neumann problem on $[0, \delta]$. Then for all $z \geq 0$

$$
(4.10) \quad \sum_{j \geq 1} (z - M_j)_+ \geq \frac{4\delta}{5\pi} z^{\frac{d}{4}} + \frac{1}{2} (z - \frac{\pi}{3\delta} z^{\frac{d}{4}}).
$$

Proof. Taking the (normalized) eigenfunctions of the Neumann Laplacian on $[0, \delta]$ as test functions (since they are also in the Sobolev space $H^2(0, \delta)$), the averaged variational principle yields

$$
\sum_{j \geq 1} (z - M_j)_+ \geq \sum_{j \geq 1} (z - \mu_j^2)_+,
$$

where $\mu_j = \pi^2 \delta^{-2} (j-1)^2$, $j \geq 1$. Therefore

$$
\sum_{j \geq 1} (z - M_j)_+ \geq \pi^4 \delta^{-4} \sum_{n \geq 0} (R^4 - n^4)_+.
$$

We claim that

$$
(4.11) \quad \sum_{n \geq 0} (R^4 - n^4)_+ \geq \frac{4}{5} R^5 + \frac{1}{2} R^4 - \frac{1}{3} R^3,
$$
from which the bound (4.10) follows. To prove (4.11) let $N = [R]$ be the integer part of $R$. The sum is explicitly known and we write it in a convenient way as follows:

\begin{equation}
(4.12) \quad \sum_{n \geq 0} (R^4 - n^4)_+ - \left( \frac{4}{5} R^5 + \frac{1}{2} R^4 - \frac{1}{3} R^3 \right) = \left( R^4 N - \frac{1}{5} N^5 - \frac{4}{5} R^5 \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left( R^4 - N^4 \right) + \frac{1}{3} \left( R^3 - N^3 \right) + \frac{1}{30} N.
\end{equation}

Now

\[- \left( R^4 N - \frac{1}{5} N^5 - \frac{4}{5} R^5 \right) = - \frac{1}{5} (R - N)^2 (4 R^3 + 3 R^2 N + 2 R N^2 + N^3),\]

and

\[\frac{1}{2} \left( R^4 - N^4 \right) = \frac{1}{2} (R - N)(R + N)(R^2 + N^2),\]

and using the fact that $-(R - N)^2 \geq -(R - N)$, the sum of both expressions is lower bounded by $-\frac{1}{10} (R - N)(3 R^2 + 4 R N + 3 N^2)$. Combining this with (4.12) we finally get

\[\sum_{n \geq 0} (R^4 - n^4)_+ - \left( \frac{4}{5} R^5 + \frac{1}{2} R^4 - \frac{1}{3} R^3 \right) \geq \frac{1}{30} \left( (R - N)^3 + N \right),\]

proving the claim. \qed

**Remark 4.6.** The coefficients of the first two terms in the bound (4.10) are optimal in view of the two term asymptotic expansion $R_1(z) = \frac{4k}{\pi d} z^{\frac{d}{2}} + \frac{2}{d} + o(z)$ (see Theorem 7.2).

## 5. The biharmonic Dirichlet operator

In this section we focus our attention to the biharmonic Dirichlet problem (2.1), (2.2). In particular, the quadratic form (2.5) will be set into $H^2_0(\Omega)$, for $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ a bounded set. Note that the quadratic form (2.5) is now equal to

\[Q(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} D^2 u : D^2 v = \int_{\Omega} \Delta u \Delta v,\]

so the dependence upon the Poisson ratio disappears. We remark that, unless otherwise specified, the proofs presented in this section hold true for any domain $\Omega$ of finite Lebesgue measure.

We also observe here that, directly from (3.17), we have the following asymptotic law for averages of eigenvalues

\begin{equation}
(5.1) \quad \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \Lambda_j = \frac{d}{d+4} C_d \left( \frac{k}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{d}{2}} + \frac{d}{d+3} \frac{C_d B_d^{-1}}{d B_d^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \left( 1 + \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{d+1}{2} \right)}{\sqrt{\pi \Gamma \left( \frac{d+1}{2} \right)}} \right) \frac{|\partial \Omega|}{|\Omega|} \left( \frac{k}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{d}{2}} + o \left( \frac{k}{|\Omega|} \right)
\end{equation}

as $k \to +\infty$, where $C_d = (2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} B_d^{-\frac{d}{2}}$.

### 5.1. Upper bounds for Riesz means.

The Berezin-Li-Yau method yields the following well-known inequality (see [29]):

\[\sum_{j \geq 1} (z - \Lambda_j)_+ \leq \frac{4}{d+4} (2\pi)^{-d} B_d |\Omega| z^{1+\frac{d}{2}}.\]

Inserting the functions $U_j$ as test functions in the AVP (2.9) for the Dirichlet Laplacian, or using inequality (3.2) we obtain the inequality

\begin{equation}
(5.2) \quad \sum_{j \geq 1} (z - \Lambda_j^\frac{1}{2})_+ \leq \sum_{j \geq 1} (z - \Lambda_j)_+,
\end{equation}
and applying known phase space bounds for Laplacian eigenvalues from [19] we can get analogous two-terms bounds for the eigenvalues of the Bilaplacian. However, inequality (5.2) provide bounds that are weaker than a bound on \( \sum (z - \Lambda_j)_+ \). Adapting to the biharmonic Dirichlet problem the ideas proposed in [19] for the Dirichlet Laplacian, we obtain the following

**Theorem 5.1.** Let \( d \geq 2 \). Then for each unit vector \( v \in \mathbb{R}^d \), for all \( L \geq 2 \delta_v(\Omega) \), and for all \( z \geq 0 \),

\[
(5.3) \quad \sum_{j \geq 1} (z - \Lambda_j)_+ \leq \frac{4}{d + 4} (2\pi)^{-d} B_d |\Omega| z^{1 + \frac{4}{d}} - \frac{1}{2d + 3} (2\pi)^{1-d} B_{d-1} |\Omega| L^{-1} z^{\frac{4}{d} + \frac{4}{d+3}} + \frac{3\pi}{32(d + 2)} (2\pi)^{2-d} B_{d-2} |\Omega| L^{-2} z^{\frac{4}{d} + \frac{4}{d+3}}.
\]

**Proof.** Without loss of generality, we suppose \( \Omega \subset [0, L] \times \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \). For \( n \) a positive integer and \( p_\perp \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \) we define

\[
(5.4) \quad f_{n, p_\perp} (x) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d-1}{2}} e^{ip_\perp \cdot x} \sqrt{\frac{2}{L}} \sin \left( \frac{\pi nx_1}{L} \right).
\]

For \( u \in H^2_0(\Omega) \), let \( \hat{u}(n, p_\perp) \) be defined by

\[
\hat{u}(n, p_\perp) = \int_{\Omega} u(x)f_{n, p_\perp} (x)dx = \int_{[0,L] \times \mathbb{R}^{d-1}} u(x)f_{n, p_\perp} (x)dx,
\]

where in the last integral we extend \( u \) by zero outside \( \Omega \). Then

\[
(5.5) \quad \widehat{\Delta}u(n, p_\perp) = \int_{\Omega} \Delta u(x)f_{n, p_\perp} (x)dx = \int_{\Omega} u(x)\Delta f_{n, p_\perp} (x)dx = -\left( \frac{\pi^2 n^2}{L^2} + |p_\perp|^2 \right) \hat{u}(n, p_\perp),
\]

and by the completeness relation

\[
(5.6) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} dp_\perp |\hat{u}(n, p_\perp)|^2 = \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^2 dx.
\]

Let \( U_j \) be the (normalized) eigenfunction of the biharmonic Dirichlet operator associated with the eigenvalue \( \Lambda_j \). In particular, \( U_j \in H^2_0(\Omega) \) and \( \Lambda_j = \int_{\Omega} (\Delta U_j)^2 dx \). Then for any \( R > 0 \), equations (5.1), (5.3), and (5.6) yield

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{k} \Lambda_j = kR^4 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} dp_\perp \left( \frac{\pi^2 n^2}{L^2} + |p_\perp|^2 \right)^2 - R^4 \right) \sum_{j=1}^{k} |U_j(n, p_\perp)|^2,
\]

from which we get the lower bound

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{k} \Lambda_j \geq kR^4 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} dp_\perp \left( R^4 - \frac{\pi^2 n^2}{L^2} + |p_\perp|^2 \right)^2 \right) \sum_{j=1}^{k} |U_j(n, p_\perp)|^2.
\]

Now

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{k} |U_j(n, p_\perp)|^2 \leq \int_{\Omega} |f_{n, p_\perp} (x)|^2 dx,
\]

and therefore we get the lower bound

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{k} \Lambda_j \geq kR^4 - (2\pi)^{1-d} \frac{2}{L} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} dp_\perp \left( R^4 - \frac{\pi^2 n^2}{L^2} + |p_\perp|^2 \right)^2 \right) + \int_{\Omega} \sin^2 \frac{\pi nx_1}{L} dx.
\]
which is equivalent to
\[ \sum_{j \geq 1} (z - \Lambda_j)_+ \leq (2\pi)^{1-d} \frac{2}{L} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} dp_\perp \left( z - \left( \frac{n^2 \pi^2}{L^2} + |p_\perp|^2 \right)_+ \right) + \int_\Omega \sin^2 \frac{\pi n x_1}{L} dx. \]

Since \( L > 2\delta_\nu(\Omega) \), we may assume \( \Omega \subset [0, L/2] \times \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \) and use the translation argument of [19] (see also Theorem 4.3) which implies that we may replace the term \( \int_\Omega \sin^2 \frac{\pi n x_1}{L} dx \) by its average \( |\Omega|/2 \). Hence
\[ \sum_{j \geq 1} (z - \Lambda_j)_+ \leq (2\pi)^{1-d} \frac{|\Omega|}{L} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} dp_\perp \left( z - \left( \frac{n^2 \pi^2}{L^2} + |p_\perp|^2 \right)_+ \right). \]

In order to estimate the sum we decompose the square as follows
\[ \left( z - \left( \frac{n^2 \pi^2}{L^2} + |p_\perp|^2 \right)_+ \right) = 2\sqrt{z} \frac{\pi^2}{L^2} (R^2 - n^2)_+ - \frac{\pi^4}{L^4} (R^2 - n^2)_+, \]

with \( R^2 = \frac{L^2}{\pi^2} (\sqrt{z} - |p_\perp|^2)_+ \). From [19] Lemma 3.2 we have that
\[ \sum_{k \geq 1} (R^2 - k^2)_+ \leq \frac{2R^3}{3} - \frac{R^2}{2} + \frac{3R}{32}, \]

and from [117]
\[ \sum_{k \geq 0} (R^2 - k^2)_+ \leq \frac{8R^5}{15} - \frac{R^4}{2} + \frac{R^3}{8}, \]

for all \( R > 0 \). Performing a \( p_\perp \)-integration for all terms we get the bound (5.3).

When \( \Omega = [0, L] \times \Omega' \), \( \Omega' \) bounded in \( \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \), then
\[ |\Omega| L^{-1} = |\Omega'| = \frac{1}{2} (|\partial\Omega| - L|\partial\Omega'|), \]

and therefore we get

**Corollary 5.2.** \( \Omega = [0, L] \times \Omega' \), \( \Omega \) bounded in \( \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \). Then
\[ \sum_{j \geq 1} (z - \Lambda_j)_+ \leq \frac{4}{d+4} (2\pi)^{-d} B_d |\Omega| z^{1+\frac{d}{4}} - \frac{4}{d+3} (2\pi)^{-d} B_{d-1} (|\partial\Omega| - L|\partial\Omega'|) z^{\frac{d}{4}+\frac{4}{d}} + \frac{3\pi}{32(d+2)} (2\pi)^{2-d} B_{d-2} |\Omega'| L^{-1} z^{\frac{d}{4}+\frac{4}{d}}. \]

The translation argument also yields the following

**Theorem 5.3.** Let \( P = [0, L_1] \times \ldots \times [0, L_d] \) and \( \Omega \subset [0, L_1/2] \times \ldots \times [0, L_d/2] \). Let also \( \lambda^P_j \) denote the Dirichlet Laplacian eigenvalues on \( P \) and \( \Lambda_j \) the biharmonic Dirichlet eigenvalues on \( \Omega \). Then
\[ \sum_{j \geq 1} (z^2 - \Lambda_j)_+ \leq \frac{|\Omega|}{|P|} \sum_{j \geq 1} (z^2 - (\lambda^P_j)^2)_+. \]

**Proof.** One could extend the proof of Theorem 4.1 to all components replacing \( f_{n, p_\perp} \) by the Dirichlet Laplacian eigenfunctions \( u^P_j \) on \( P \). Here we present an alternative proof relying on the AVP choosing suitable test functions for the Dirichlet Laplacian on \( P \).
It is clear that $U_j$ and its partial derivatives are suitable test functions for the Dirichlet Laplacian on $P$. Inserting them in the AVP we get

$$
\sum_{j \geq 1} (z - \lambda_j^p)^+ \int_{\Omega} |u_j|^2 dx \geq \sum_{l \in I} \left( z - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla U_l|^2 dx \right).$

On the other hand, choosing $\partial_\alpha U_l$, $\alpha = 1, \ldots, d$ as test functions in the AVP (5.4), after integrating by parts $\int_{\Omega} \partial_\alpha U_l u_j$ and summing over $\alpha$ we obtain

$$
\sum_{j \geq 1} (z - \lambda_j^p)^+ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_j|^2 dx \geq \sum_{l \in I} \left( z \int_{\Omega} |\nabla U_l|^2 dx - \Lambda_l \right).
$$

Here $I$ is a set of indices to be chosen. Multiplying the first inequality by $z > 0$ and taking the sum we get

$$
\sum_{j \geq 1} (z - \lambda_j^p)^+ \left( z \int_{\Omega} |u_j|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_j|^2 dx \right) \geq \sum_{l \in I} (z^2 - \Lambda_l)^+.
$$

The translation argument proves the claim. \hfill \Box

5.2. **Lower bounds for Riesz means.** In this section we will apply the averaged variational principle to obtain lower bounds for Riesz means (respectively, upper bounds for averages) of eigenvalues of $\Delta_0^2$ on $\Omega$.

Applying the AVP (5.4) with test functions of the form $f_p(x) = (2\pi)^{-d/2}e^{ip \cdot x} \phi(x)$, with $\phi(x) \in H_0^2(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$, we obtain the following

**Theorem 5.4.** For any $\phi \in H_0^2(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ and $z > 0$ the following inequality holds

$$
\sum_{j \geq 1} (z - \Lambda_j)^+ \|\phi U_j\|_2^2 \geq \frac{4}{d+4} (2\pi)^{-d} B_d \|\phi\|_2^2 \left( z - \frac{\|\Delta \phi\|_2^2}{\|\phi\|_2^2} \right)^{\frac{\Delta}{2}} + 2(2\pi)^{-d} B_d \|\nabla \phi\|_2^2 \left( z - \frac{\|\Delta \phi\|_2^2}{\|\phi\|_2^2} \right)^{\frac{\Delta}{2}}.
$$

Moreover, for all positive integers $k$

$$
\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k \Lambda_j \leq \frac{d}{d+4} C_d \left( \frac{k}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{\Delta}{2}} \rho(\phi)^{-\frac{\Delta}{2}} + 2 \frac{\|\nabla \phi\|_2^2}{\|\phi\|_2^2} C_d \left( \frac{k}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{\Delta}{2}} \rho(\phi)^{-\frac{\Delta}{2}} + \frac{\|\Delta \phi\|_2^2}{\|\phi\|_2^2},
$$

for $\rho(\phi) < 1$, where

$$\rho(\phi) = \frac{\|\phi\|_2^2}{|\Omega| \cdot \|\phi\|_\infty^2}.$$

**Proof.** We take in (2.9) trial functions of the form $f_p = (2\pi)^{-d/2}e^{ip \cdot x} \phi(x)$ with $\phi \in H_0^2(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ real valued. After averaging over $p \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and using the unitarity of the Fourier transform we get, for any $R > 0$,

$$
\sum_{j \geq 1} (z - \Lambda_j)^+ \int_{\Omega} \phi^2(x)U_j^2(x) dx \geq (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{|p| \leq R} \left( z \|\phi\|_2^2 - \int_{\Omega} |\Delta(\phi e^{ip \cdot x})|^2 dx \right) dp.
$$
Now we note that
\[ \int_{\Omega} |\Delta(\phi e^{ipx})|^2 = \int_{\Omega} |\Delta \phi - |p|^2 \phi + 2ip \cdot \nabla \phi|^2 \, dx \]
\[ = \int_{\Omega} (\Delta \phi - |p|^2 \phi)^2 + 4|p \cdot \nabla \phi|^2 \, dx \]
\[ = \int_{\Omega} (\Delta \phi)^2 + |p|^4 \phi^2 - 2|p|^2 \phi \Delta \phi + 4|p \cdot \nabla \phi|^2 \, dx \]
\[ = \int_{\Omega} (\Delta \phi)^2 + |p|^4 \phi^2 + 2|p|^2 |\nabla \phi|^2 + 4|p \cdot \nabla \phi|^2 \, dx, \]
which implies
\[ (5.11) \quad \sum_{j \geq 1} (z - \Lambda_j) + \int_{\Omega} \phi^2(x)U_j^2(x) \, dx \]
\[ \geq (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{|p| \leq R} \left( (z - |p|^4)||\phi||_2^2 - 2|p|^2||\nabla \phi||_2^2 - ||\Delta \phi||_2^2 \right) \, dp \]
\[ = (2\pi)^{-d} B_d ||\phi||_2^2 \left( \left( z - \frac{||\Delta \phi||_2^2}{||\phi||_2^2} \right) R^d - \frac{d}{d+4} R^{d+4} - 2 \frac{||\nabla \phi||_2^2}{||\phi||_2^2} R^{d+2} \right). \]
Choosing
\[ R^4 = \left( z - \frac{||\Delta \phi||_2^2}{||\phi||_2^2} \right)_+, \]
we obtain (5.7).

Now we can consider (5.10) with the evaluation \( z = \Lambda_{k+1} \), so that the sum at the left-hand side is taken over the first \( k \) positive integers. Hence, as in (5.11), we get
\[ (5.12) \quad ||\phi||_\infty^2 \sum_{j=1}^{k} (\Lambda_{k+1} - \Lambda_j) \geq \sum_{j=1}^{k} (\Lambda_{k+1} - \Lambda_j) \int_{\Omega} \phi^2(x)U_j^2(x) \, dx \]
\[ \geq (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{|p| \leq R} \left( (\Lambda_{k+1} - |p|^4)||\phi||_2^2 - 2|p|^2||\nabla \phi||_2^2 - ||\Delta \phi||_2^2 \right) \, dp \]
\[ = (2\pi)^{-d} B_d ||\phi||_2^2 \left( \left( \Lambda_{k+1} - \frac{||\Delta \phi||_2^2}{||\phi||_2^2} \right) R^d - \frac{d}{d+4} R^{d+4} - 2 \frac{||\nabla \phi||_2^2}{||\phi||_2^2} R^{d+2} \right), \]
for any \( R > 0 \), where the first inequality follows from \( \int_{\Omega} \phi^2(x)U_j^2(x) \, dx \leq ||\phi||_\infty^2 \). We choose now
\[ R^4 = C_d^2 \left( \frac{k}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{d}{4}} \rho(\phi)^{-\frac{d}{4}}. \]
Standard computations show that with this choice inequality (5.12) implies (5.8). \( \square \)

Remark 5.5. The right side of inequality (5.8) provides a good relation between the upper bound and the semiclassical behaviour of the average of the first \( k \) eigenvalues, which is known to be a lower bound for the average, see [25] (see also [3] [30]).

As a corollary, we have a lower bound for the partition function (the trace of the heat kernel).
Corollary 5.6. For any $\phi \in H^2_0(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ and $t > 0$,

\begin{equation}
(5.13) \quad \sum_{j=1}^\infty e^{-\Lambda_j t} \| \phi U_j \|_2^2 \geq \frac{4}{d+4} (2\pi)^{-d} B_d \Gamma \left( 2 + \frac{d}{4} \right) \| \phi \|_2^2 e^{-\frac{t}{8} \| \Delta \phi \|_2^2} t^{\frac{d}{4}} - 2 (2\pi)^{-d} B_d \Gamma \left( \frac{3}{2} + \frac{d}{4} \right) \| \nabla \phi \|_2^2 e^{-\frac{t}{16} \| \phi \|_2^2} t^{\frac{d}{4}}.
\end{equation}

Moreover,

\begin{equation}
(5.14) \quad \sum_{j=1}^\infty e^{-\Lambda_j t} \geq \frac{4}{d+4} (2\pi)^{-d} B_d \Gamma \left( 2 + \frac{d}{4} \right) t^{-\frac{d}{4}} |\Omega| - 2 (2\pi)^{-d} B_d \Gamma \left( \frac{3}{2} + \frac{d}{4} \right) \| \nabla \phi \|_2^2 t^{\frac{d}{4}}.
\end{equation}

Proof. Laplace transforming (5.4) yields inequality (5.13) for all $t > 0$. In view of the semiclassical expansion, we are interested in bounds for small $t$ and therefore we apply the inequality $1 - x \leq e^{-x} \leq 1$ for all $x \geq 0$ to (5.13) and the inequality $\| \phi U_j \|_2^2 \leq \| \phi \|_\infty^2$ and we get (5.14). \hfill \Box

We prove now more explicit bounds presenting a first term which is sharp and a second term of the correct order in $k$ with respect to (5.1). As we shall see, the more regular the domain $\Omega$ is, the more information is contained in the bounds. We note that formula (5.8) with $\phi = 1_\Omega$ is a “reverse Berezin-Li-Yau inequality” for the biharmonic operator. Clearly, such an inequality does not hold and in fact we cannot use $\phi \equiv 1$ in (5.8). However, the form of inequality (5.8) suggests that a suitable choice of $\phi$ is a function in $H^2_0(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ which approximates the constant function 1.

We construct now functions $\phi_h \in H^2_0(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ depending on $h > 0$ which approximate $1_\Omega$ as $h \to 0^+$ and with controlled $L^2(\Omega)$-norm of their gradients and Laplacians. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we denote by $\delta(x)$ the function $\delta(x) := \text{dist}(x, \partial \Omega)$. Let $h > 0$ and let $\omega_h \subset \Omega$ be defined by

$$
\omega_h := \{ x \in \Omega : \delta(x) \leq h \}.
$$

We note that $\omega_h = \Omega$ whenever $h \geq r_\Omega$, where $r_\Omega$ denotes the inradius of $\Omega$.

We define a function $\phi_h \in H^2_0(\Omega)$ such that $\phi_h \equiv 1$ in $\Omega \setminus \overline{\omega_h}$ and $0 \leq \phi_h \leq 1$ on $\Omega$ as follows. Let $f : [0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$
f(r) = \begin{cases}
\frac{d^2 + 6d + 8}{8B_d} (r^2 - 1), & \text{if } r \in [0, 1], \\
0, & \text{if } r \in [1, +\infty].
\end{cases}
$$

By construction $f \in C^{1,1}([0, +\infty))$, $f'(0) = 0$, and $f(r) > 0$ on $[0, 1]$.

Let now $\eta_h : \mathbb{R}^d \to [0, +\infty]$ be defined by

$$
\eta_h(x) := \frac{1}{h^d} f \left( \frac{|x|}{h} \right).
$$

By construction

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \eta_h(x) dx = 1,
$$

for all $h > 0$ and $\eta_h$ is supported on $B(0, h)$. 


Let $h < r_\Omega$ and consider $1_h := 1_{\Omega \setminus \overline{\omega}_h}$. We set
\begin{equation}
(5.15) \quad \phi_h := \left( \frac{1}{h^d} * \eta_{\frac{h}{2}} \right) |_{\Omega}.
\end{equation}
By construction, $\phi_h \in C^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Moreover, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \Omega$,
\[ \phi_h(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{h^d}(y)\eta_{\frac{h}{2}}(x-y)dy = \int_{B(x, \frac{h}{2})} \frac{1}{h^d}(y)\eta_{\frac{h}{2}}(x-y)dy = 0. \]
In the same way one has that, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \Omega$,
\[ \nabla \phi_h(x) = 0. \]
This means that $\phi_h$ is a continuously differentiable function on $\overline{\Omega}$ with $\phi_h|_{\partial \Omega} = |\nabla \phi_h|_{\partial \Omega} = 0$ and with Lipschitz continuous first partial derivatives, in other words, $\phi_h \in H^2_0(\Omega)$.
Moreover, for any $x \in \Omega \setminus \overline{\omega}_h$, we have
\[ \phi_h(x) = \int_{B(x, \frac{h}{2})} \frac{1}{h^d}(y)\eta_{\frac{h}{2}}(x-y)dy = \int_{B(x, \frac{h}{2})} \eta_{\frac{h}{2}}(x-y)dy = 1, \]
and, for any $x \in \omega_h$,
\[ 0 \leq \phi_h(x) = \int_{B(x, \frac{h}{2})} \frac{1}{h^d}(y)\eta_{\frac{h}{2}}(x-y)dy \leq \int_{B(x, \frac{h}{2})} \eta_{\frac{h}{2}}(x-y)dy = 1. \]
We estimate now the $L^\infty(\Omega)$-norm of $\nabla \phi_h$ and $\Delta \phi_h$ (note that, since $\phi_h \in C^{1,1}(\Omega)$, then $\Delta \phi_h \in L^\infty(\Omega)$). We have
\[ |\nabla \phi_h|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^d \left| \frac{1}{h^d} \star \partial_i \eta_{\frac{h}{2}} \right|^2 \leq \| \frac{1}{h^d} \|_\infty^2 \sum_{i=1}^d \left( \int_{B(0, \frac{h}{2})} |\partial_i \eta_{\frac{h}{2}}| dx \right)^2 \]
\[ \leq \| \nabla \eta_{\frac{h}{2}} \|_2^2 \left| B \left( 0, \frac{h}{2} \right) \right| = \frac{8d(d+2)(d+4)}{d^2+6} \cdot \frac{1}{h^2}, \]
hence
\[ \| \nabla \phi_h \|_\infty^2 \leq \frac{8d(d+2)(d+4)}{d^2+6} \cdot \frac{1}{h^2}. \]
Moreover
\[ |\Delta \phi_h|^2 = \left| \frac{1}{h^d} \star \Delta \eta_{\frac{h}{2}} \right|^2 \leq \| \frac{1}{h^d} \|_\infty^2 \left( \int_{B(0, \frac{h}{2})} |\Delta \eta_{\frac{h}{2}}| dx \right)^2 \]
\[ = 64d^2(d+4)^2 \left( \frac{d}{d+2} \right)^d \cdot \frac{1}{h^4}, \]
hence
\[ \| \Delta \phi_h \|_\infty^2 \leq 64d^2(d+4)^2 \left( \frac{d}{d+2} \right)^d \cdot \frac{1}{h^4}. \]
We set
\begin{equation}
(5.16) \quad A^2_d := \frac{8d(d+2)(d+4)}{d^2+6}, \quad \tilde{A}^2_d := 64d^2(d+4)^2 \left( \frac{d}{d+2} \right)^d. \quad \end{equation}
We have proved the following

\textbf{Lemma 5.7.} Let $\Omega$ be a domain in $\mathbb{R}^d$ of finite measure. Let $r_\Omega > 0$ denote the inradius of $\Omega$. Then, for all $h \in [0, r_\Omega]$ there exists a function $\phi_h \in H^2_0(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ such that
\begin{enumerate}[i)]
\item $0 \leq \phi_h(x) \leq 1$ for all $x \in \overline{\Omega}$;
\item $\phi_h \equiv 1$ on $\Omega \setminus \overline{\omega}_h$;
\item $\| \nabla \phi_h \|_\infty \leq A_d h^{-1}$, with $A_d$ depending only on $d$;
\item $\| \Delta \phi_h \|_\infty \leq \tilde{A}_d h^{-2}$, with $\tilde{A}_d$ depending only on $d$.
\end{enumerate}
We note that, once we are able to estimate the size of $\omega_h$, by choosing a suitable $h$ into $\mathbb{R}$, then inequalities (5.18) and (5.13) become asymptotically sharp. A suitable choice will be $h \sim k^{-1/d}$ in the case of sufficiently smooth domains. This is made clear in the next theorem. The next results are stated only for averages of eigenvalues, however estimates for Riesz means and the partition function follow from analogous computations.

**Theorem 5.8.** Let $\Omega$ be a domain in $\mathbb{R}^d$ of finite measure.

i) For all positive integers $k$

\[
\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} A_j \leq \frac{1}{r_{\Omega}^d} \left( \frac{d}{d+4} C_d^2 (a_d|\Omega|)^{\frac{4}{d}} \left( \frac{k}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{4}{d}} + 2C_d (b_d|\Omega|)^{\frac{4}{d}} \left( \frac{k}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{4}{d}} + c_d \right),
\]

where $a_d, b_d, c_d$ are constants which depend only on the dimension and are given by (5.20).

ii) Let $\Omega$ be such that $\lim_{h \to 0^+} \frac{|\partial \Omega|}{h} = |\partial \Omega| < \infty$. Then, for any $k \geq |\Omega| \left( \frac{\sqrt{dA_d}}{2C_d r_{\Omega}} \right)^{\frac{d}{3}}$, we have

\[
\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} A_j \leq \frac{d}{d+4} C_d^2 \left( \frac{k}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{4}{d}} + M_d \frac{|\partial \Omega|}{|\Omega|} \frac{r_{\Omega}^d}{c_d} \left( \frac{k}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{4}{d}} + R(k),
\]

where $M_d$ depends only on $d$ and is given by (5.24). Here $R(k) = o(k^{3/d})$ as $k \to +\infty$, and it depends explicitly on $k, d, |\Omega|, |\partial \Omega|$ and $|\omega_h|$, with $R(k)$ given by (5.26).

iii) If $\Omega$ is convex or if $\Omega$ is of class $C^2$ and bounded, then ii) holds. Moreover there exists $C = C(d, \Omega) > 0$ such that (5.18) holds with

\[
R(k) \leq C \left( \frac{k}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{4}{d}}.
\]

Finally, there exists $k_0$ which depends only on $d$ and $\Omega$ such that, for all $k \geq k_0$, $R(k)$ depends explicitly on $k, d, |\Omega|, |\partial \Omega|$ if $\Omega$ is convex and on $k, d, |\Omega|, |\partial \Omega|$ and integrals of the mean curvature $H$ of $\partial \Omega$ if $\Omega$ is of class $C^2$.

**Proof.** We start by proving i). We construct a test function in $H^2_0(\Omega)$ supported in a ball $B_{r_{\Omega}}$ of radius $r_{\Omega}$ contained in $\Omega$ (by definition of $r_{\Omega}$ such a ball exists). Let then

\[
\psi_{r_{\Omega}}(x) := \left( \frac{|x|^2}{r_{\Omega}^2} - 1 \right)^2.
\]

Explicit computations show that

\[
\|\psi_{r_{\Omega}}\|_2 = \frac{384 r_{\Omega}^d B_d}{(d+2)(d+4)(d+6)(d+8)},
\]

\[
\|\psi_{r_{\Omega}}\|_\infty = 1,
\]

\[
\|\nabla \psi_{r_{\Omega}}\|_2 = \frac{d(d+8)}{3r_{\Omega}^2},
\]

\[
\|\Delta \psi_{r_{\Omega}}\|_2 = \frac{(8 + d(d-2))(d+6)(d+8)}{6r_{\Omega}^2}.
\]
We set
\[
a_d = \frac{(d+2)(d+4)(d+6)(d+8)}{384 B_d},
\]
(5.20)
\[
b_d = a_d \left( \frac{d(d+8)}{3} \right)^{\frac{2}{d}},
\]
\[
c_d = \frac{(8 + d(d-2))(d+6)(d+8)}{6}.
\]
Formula (5.17) now follows from (5.8) with \( \phi = \psi_{r_0} \) and standard computations. This proves point \( i \).

We prove now \( ii \). From (5.8) it follows that, for \( d \geq 4 \),
\[
\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \Lambda_j \leq \frac{d}{d + 4} C_d \left( \frac{k}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{2}{d}} \rho(\phi)^{-\frac{2}{d}}
\]
\[+ 2 \frac{\|\nabla \phi\|_2^2}{\|\phi\|_2^2} C_d \left( \frac{k}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{2}{d}} \rho(\phi)^{-\frac{2}{d}} + \|\Delta \phi\|_2^2 \]
\[= \frac{d}{d + 4} C_d \left( \frac{k}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{2}{d}} + \frac{d}{d + 4} C_d \left( \frac{k}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{2}{d}} \left( \rho(\phi)^{-\frac{2}{d}} - 1 \right)
\]
\[+ 2 \frac{\|\nabla \phi\|_2^2}{\|\phi\|_2^2} C_d \left( \frac{k}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{2}{d}} \rho(\phi)^{-\frac{2}{d}} + \|\Delta \phi\|_2^2
\]
(5.21)
\[\leq \frac{d}{d + 4} C_d \left( \frac{k}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{2}{d}} + \frac{4}{d + 4} C_d \left( \frac{k}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{2}{d}} \left( \rho(\phi)^{-1} - 1 \right)
\]
\[+ 2 \frac{\|\nabla \phi\|_2^2}{\|\phi\|_2^2} C_d \left( \frac{k}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{2}{d}} \rho(\phi)^{-\frac{2}{d}} + \|\Delta \phi\|_2^2
\]
\[= \frac{d}{d + 4} C_d \left( \frac{k}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{2}{d}} + \frac{4}{d + 4} C_d \left( \frac{k}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{2}{d}} \left( \frac{|\Omega|\|\phi\|_\infty^2 - \|\phi\|_2^2}{\|\phi\|_2^2} \right)
\]
\[+ 2 \frac{\|\nabla \phi\|_2^2}{\|\phi\|_2^2} C_d \left( \frac{k}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{2}{d}} \left( \frac{|\Omega|\|\phi\|_\infty^2}{\|\phi\|_2^2} \right)^{\frac{2}{d}} + \|\Delta \phi\|_2^2,
\]
where \( \rho(\phi) \) is defined in (5.9). We have used Bernoulli’s inequality in the fifth line of (5.21). If \( d = 2, 3 \), we use the following fact
\[
\rho(\phi)^{-4/d} - 1 = (\rho(\phi)^2 - 1)^{-2/d} - 1 \leq \frac{2}{d} (\rho(\phi)^{-2} - 1) = \frac{2}{d} (\rho(\phi)^{-1} + 1)(\rho(\phi)^{-1} - 1),
\]
so that, for \( d = 2, 3 \) we have
\[
(5.22)
\]
\[
\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \Lambda_j \leq \frac{d}{d + 4} C_d \left( \frac{k}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{2}{d}} + \frac{2}{d + 4} C_d \left( \frac{k}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{2}{d}} \left( \frac{|\Omega|\|\phi\|_\infty^2 + \|\phi\|_2^2}{\|\phi\|_2^2} \right) \left( \frac{|\Omega|\|\phi\|_\infty^2 - \|\phi\|_2^2}{\|\phi\|_2^2} \right)
\]
\[+ 2 \frac{\|\nabla \phi\|_2^2}{\|\phi\|_2^2} C_d \left( \frac{k}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{2}{d}} \left( \frac{|\Omega|\|\phi\|_\infty^2}{\|\phi\|_2^2} \right)^{\frac{2}{d}} + \|\Delta \phi\|_2^2.
\]
For each positive integer $k$, we choose $\phi = \phi_h$ defined by (5.15) into (5.21) and (5.22). To Lemma 5.7 and to the fact that $|\Omega| - |\omega_h| \leq \|\phi_h\|_2^2 \leq |\Omega|$, we have

$$
\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \Lambda_j \leq \frac{d}{d+4} C_d^2 \left( \frac{k}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{4}{d}} + \frac{4}{d+4} C_d^2 \left( \frac{k}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{4}{d}} \left( \frac{|\omega_h|}{|\Omega| - |\omega_h|} \right) + 2 \frac{A_d^2|\omega_h|}{h^2(|\Omega| - |\omega_h|)} C_d \left( \frac{k}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{4}{d}} \left( \frac{|\Omega|}{|\Omega| - |\omega_h|} \right)^{\frac{2}{d}} + \frac{A_d^2|\omega_h|}{h^4(|\Omega| - |\omega_h|)}
$$

if $d \geq 4$, and

$$
\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \Lambda_j \leq \frac{d}{d+4} C_d^2 \left( \frac{k}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{4}{d}} + \frac{2}{d+4} C_d^2 \left( \frac{k}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{4}{d}} \left( \frac{2|\Omega|}{|\Omega| - |\omega_h|} \right) \left( \frac{|\omega_h|}{|\Omega| - |\omega_h|} \right) + 2 \frac{A_d^2|\omega_h|}{h^2(|\Omega| - |\omega_h|)} C_d \left( \frac{k}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{4}{d}} \left( \frac{|\Omega|}{|\Omega| - |\omega_h|} \right)^{\frac{2}{d}} + \frac{A_d^2|\omega_h|}{h^4(|\Omega| - |\omega_h|)}
$$

if $d = 2, 3$. In both cases, since $\lim_{h \to 0^+} \frac{|\omega_h|}{h} = |\partial \Omega|$, we can write

$$
\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \Lambda_j \leq \frac{d}{d+4} C_d^2 \left( \frac{k}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{4}{d}} + \frac{4}{d+4} C_d^2 \left( \frac{k}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{4}{d}} \left( \frac{h|\partial \Omega|}{|\Omega|} \right) + 2 \frac{A_d^2|\partial \Omega|}{h^2|\Omega|} C_d \left( \frac{k}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{4}{d}} \left( \frac{|\Omega|}{|\Omega| - |\omega_h|} \right)^{\frac{2}{d}} + \frac{A_d^2|\partial \Omega|}{h^4|\Omega|} + R(k,h),
$$

where $R(k,h)$ is defined in (5.28) and the constants $A_d, \hat{A}_d$ are as in (5.10). Here we could optimize with respect to $h$ and find the optimal $h$ which is given by an explicit dimensional constant times $C_d^{-\frac{d}{2}} \left( \frac{k}{|\Omega|} \right)^{-\frac{d}{2}}$. We set

$$
h = h(k) = \sqrt{\frac{d+4}{4} A_d C_d^{-\frac{d}{2}} \left( \frac{k}{|\Omega|} \right)^{-\frac{d}{2}}} \varepsilon,
$$

so that inequality (5.25) becomes

$$
\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \Lambda_j \leq \frac{d}{d+4} C_d^2 \left( \frac{k}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{4}{d}} + \sqrt{\frac{4}{d+4} A_d C_d^2 \left( \frac{k}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{d}{2}} \left( \frac{|\partial \Omega|}{|\Omega|} \right) \left( \varepsilon + \frac{2}{\varepsilon} + \frac{4}{d+4} A_d^{-\frac{d}{2}} \varepsilon^{-3} \right)} + R(k,h(k)).
$$

For simplicity we choose $\varepsilon = \sqrt{2}$ which optimizes the first two terms depending on $\varepsilon$ since the goal is not to get best constants here (already the constants $A_d, \hat{A}_d$ are not optimal). It follows that, for any $k \geq |\Omega| \frac{d+4}{2k_d d^2}$ (we need $h \leq r_\Omega$), we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \Lambda_j \leq \frac{d}{d+4} C_d^2 \left( \frac{k}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{4}{d}} + M_d \frac{|\partial \Omega|}{|\Omega|} C_d^2 \left( \frac{k}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{4}{d}} + R(k,h(k)).
$$

where

$$
M_d = 8 \left( \frac{d(d+2)}{d+6} \right)^{\frac{d}{2}} \left( 2 + \frac{(d+6)^2}{(d+2)^2(d+4)} \right)^{\frac{d}{2}}.
$$
We also note that the remainder function $R(k, h(k))$ in (5.25) with $h = h(k)$ given by (5.20) is $o(k^{-3/d})$ as $k \to +\infty$. This concludes the proof of (ii).

Now, let us pass to (iii). It is known that $\lim_{h \to 0^+} \frac{\omega_h}{h} = |\partial \Omega|$ if $\Omega$ is Lipschitz (see e.g., [2]). In particular this is true for convex sets (which are Lipschitz) and for sets with $C^2$ boundaries. Hence (ii) holds for these classes of domains. Let us now write explicitly the remainder $R(k, h)$ in (5.25). For simplicity we consider the case $d \geq 4$, the case $d = 2, 3$ being similar. We have that

\begin{equation}
R(k, h) = \frac{d}{d + 4} C_d \left( k \frac{\omega_h}{|\Omega|} \right) \frac{1}{h^2 |\partial \Omega|^2 |\Omega| - |\partial \Omega|} + 2 \frac{A^2}{h^2} C_d \left( k \frac{\omega_h}{|\Omega|} \right) \frac{1}{h |\partial \Omega|} + \frac{A^2}{h^2} \frac{h^2 |\partial \Omega|^2}{|\Omega| (|\Omega| - h |\partial \Omega|)}
\end{equation}

Consider $\Omega$ convex first. We note that for convex domains and for all $h \leq r_\Omega$, then $|\omega_h| \leq h |\partial \Omega|$. This follows from the co-area formula and from the fact that the measure of the sets $\partial \Omega_h := \{ x \in \Omega : \text{dist}(x, \partial \Omega) = h \}$ is a non-increasing function of $h$, for $h \in [0, r_\Omega]$. Hence, from (5.25) we deduce that

\begin{equation}
R(k, h) \leq \frac{d}{d + 4} C_d \left( k \frac{\omega_h}{|\Omega|} \right) \frac{1}{h^2 |\partial \Omega|^2 |\Omega| - |\partial \Omega|} + 2 \frac{A^2}{h^2} C_d \left( k \frac{\omega_h}{|\Omega|} \right) \frac{1}{h |\partial \Omega|} + \frac{A^2}{h^2} \frac{h^2 |\partial \Omega|^2}{|\Omega| (|\Omega| - h |\partial \Omega|)}
\end{equation}

where the second inequality follows from Bernoulli's inequality. Choosing $h = h(k)$ as in point (ii) (see (5.20)) we immediately deduce the validity of (iii) in the case that $\Omega$ is convex.

Let now $\Omega$ be of class $C^2$ and bounded. In this case, we note that there exists $\bar{h} \in [0, r_\Omega]$ such that any point in $\omega_h$ has a unique nearest point on $\partial \Omega$, for all $h \in [0, \bar{h}]$. Let us take the supremum of such $\bar{h}$ (still denoted $\bar{h}$). It is standard to see that for all $h \in [0, \bar{h}]$

\begin{equation}
|\omega_h| \leq h |\partial \Omega| + \frac{h^2}{d} \sum_{j=2}^{d} \frac{1}{j} \int_{\partial \Omega} H(s)^{j-1} d\sigma(s),
\end{equation}

where $H(s)$ denotes the mean curvature of $\partial \Omega$ at $s \in \partial \Omega$. We refer to [17, Theorem 2.19] for a proof of (5.29). We choose again $h = h(k)$ as in (5.20) and insert it into (5.25). Therefore, we are allowed to implement the upper bound (5.29) into (5.28). This confirms the claim of (iii) for bounded domains of class $C^2$.

We conclude this discussion with a few remarks.

Remark 5.9. Point i) of Theorem 5.8 provides a bound which is not asymptotically sharp in $k$ and which shows a dependence on $r_\Omega$. The presence of the term $r_\Omega^{-1/d}$ is somehow natural for
lower eigenvalues. For example, for \( d = 2 \) it is known that
\[
\lambda_1 \geq \frac{1}{2 r_{\Omega}^2},
\]
if \( \gamma \geq 2 \), where \( \gamma \) denotes the number of connected components of \( \partial \Omega \) (see [8]), while
\[
\lambda_1 \geq \frac{1}{4 r_{\Omega}^2},
\]
if \( \gamma = 1 \). Since \( \Lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \), the exponent 4 on \( r_{\Omega} \) in (5.17) is sharp. However, for larger eigenvalues
the bound (5.17) is not good, and in fact asymptotically sharp bounds hold starting from a given positive integer \( k_0 \) depending on \( d \) and \( \Omega \), as in (5.18) (cf. (5.31)).

**Remark 5.10.** Point ii) of Theorem 5.8 holds if \( \Omega \) is such that \( M_{\Omega}(\partial \Omega) = |\partial \Omega| \), where
\[
(5.30)
M_{\Omega}(\partial \Omega) = \lim_{h \to 0^+} \frac{\omega_h}{h}.
\]
The limit (5.30) is usually called the Minkowski content of \( \partial \Omega \) relative to \( \Omega \) (see e.g., [23, 24]). There are some sufficient conditions which assure that \( M_{\Omega}(\partial \Omega) = |\partial \Omega| \), for example if \( \Omega \) has a Lipschitz boundary (see [2] for the proof and for a more detailed discussion on Minkowski content and conditions ensuring \( M_{\Omega}(\partial \Omega) = |\partial \Omega| \)).

**Remark 5.11.** The estimate (5.19) of point iii) can be proved also for Lipschitz domains with piecewise \( C^2 \) boundaries. In addition, more refined estimates for the remainder in the case of smooth, mean convex or convex sets can be obtained by means of a deeper (though long and technical) analysis (see e.g., [17]). In dimension \( d = 2 \) we can find explicit dependence of the remainder \( R(k) \) in terms of the number of connected components of the boundary (for \( C^2 \) domains) or in terms of the angles (in the case of polygons), see [17]. We don’t enter here into the details of more refined estimates, which require more careful but standard computations. However, we remark that Theorem 5.4 gives a general recipe to obtain asymptotically sharp upper bounds for averages with explicit dependence on the geometry of \( \Omega \) (via a suitable choice of test functions \( \phi \)).

We also remark that asymptotically sharp estimates with a well-behaved second term can be obtained for Riesz means and for the partition function by plugging into (5.7) and (5.8) the same test functions \( \phi_h \) used in the proof of Theorem 5.8.

**Remark 5.12.** We note that the second term in the upper bound (5.18) coincides with the second term of the semiclassical asymptotic expansion of the average of biharmonic Dirichlet eigenvalues (5.1), up to a multiplicative dimensional constant.

**Remark 5.13.** We observe that formula (5.18) holds for any \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d \) of finite measure (it need not be bounded), hence upper bounds depend on information of \( |\omega_h| \). In a general situation we can only say that \( |\omega_h| \to 0 \) as \( h \to 0^+ \). We deduce that \( |\omega_h| = \omega(h) \) where \( \omega : [0, +\infty[ \to \mathbb{R} \) is such that \( \lim_{h \to 0^+} \omega(h) = 0 \). As in the proof of point ii) of Theorem 5.8 we can prove that, for any \( \Omega \) of finite measure (we take for simplicity \( h = h(k) = C_d^{-1/2} \left( \frac{k}{|\Omega|} \right)^{-1/d} \))

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{k} \Lambda_j \leq \frac{d}{d + 4} C_{d}^{2} \left( \frac{k}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{4}{d}} + \frac{M_{\Omega}'}{\Omega} C_{d}^{2} \left( \frac{k}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{4}{d}} \omega \left( C_{d}^{-1/2} \left( \frac{k}{|\Omega|} \right)^{-1/d} \right)
+ o \left( \left( \frac{k}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{4}{d}} \omega \left( C_{d}^{-1/2} \left( \frac{k}{|\Omega|} \right)^{-1/d} \right) \right),
\]

(5.31)
as $k \to +\infty$, for all $k \geq |\Omega| C_d^{-d/2} r_\Omega^{-d}$. Here $M_d'$ is a constant which depends only on the dimension and which can be computed explicitly as in the proof of point ii) of Theorem 5.8.

Now, let us denote by $D$ the Minkowski dimension of $\partial \Omega$ relative to $\Omega$, which is defined by

$$D := \inf \left\{ \beta \in [d-1, d) : \lim_{h \to 0^+} \frac{|\omega_h|}{h^{d-\beta}} < +\infty \right\}.$$

Let the $D$-dimensional Minkowski content of $\partial \Omega$ relative to $\Omega$ be defined by

$$\mathcal{M}_D(\partial \Omega) := \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \frac{|\omega_{\varepsilon}|}{\varepsilon^{d-D}}.$$

Assume now that $\Omega$ is such that the Minkowski dimension of $\partial \Omega$ relative to $\Omega$ is $D \in [d-1, d[$ (for example, if $\Omega$ is a fractal set) and let $M_D(\partial \Omega)$ be the Minkowski content of $\partial \Omega$ relative to $\Omega$. We immediately see that

$$\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k \Lambda_j \leq \frac{d}{d+4} C_d^2 \frac{k}{|\Omega|} \frac{\dot{\beta}}{\beta} + M_d' M_D(\partial \Omega) C_d \frac{d+\beta}{d} \left( \frac{k}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{d-\beta}{d}} + o \left( \left( \frac{k}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{d-\beta}{d}} \right),$$

as $k \to +\infty$, for all $k \geq |\Omega| C_d^{-d/2} r_\Omega^{-d}$. Hence the second term of the upper bound for the average depends only on $k, d, D, |\Omega|$ and $M_D(\partial \Omega)$. Analogous inequalities have been proved for the eigenvalues of the Dirichlet Laplacian (see [17]), and are related to the so-called Weyl-Berry conjecture (see [5, 24]).

5.3. **Asymptotically Weyl-sharp bounds on eigenvalues.** Assume that $\Omega$ is such that

$$\begin{equation}
\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k \Lambda_j \geq \frac{d}{d+4} C_d^2 \left( \frac{k}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{\dot{\beta}}{\beta}}
\end{equation}$$

and

$$\begin{equation}
\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k \Lambda_j \leq \frac{d}{d+4} C_d^2 \left( \frac{k}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{\dot{\beta}}{\beta}} + A \left( \frac{k}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{\dot{\beta}}{\beta}}
\end{equation}$$

for some constant $A$ independent of $k$, for all $k \geq k_0$ (this is for example the case of point ii) of Theorem 5.8). Then

$$\begin{equation}
\Lambda_k \geq C_d^2 \left( \frac{k}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{\dot{\beta}}{\beta}} - \frac{6(d+1)}{d(d+4)} C_d^2 \left( \frac{k}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{\dot{\beta}}{\beta}} + 2 A \left( \frac{k}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{\dot{\beta}}{\beta}} k^{\frac{\dot{\beta}}{\beta}}
+ \left( \frac{C_d^2}{d(d+4)|\Omega|^\beta} + \frac{d+3}{d} \frac{A}{|\Omega|^\beta} \right) k^{\frac{\dot{\beta}}{\beta}} - \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{9 + 12d}{4d^2} \right) \left( \frac{k^{\frac{\dot{\beta}}{\beta}}}{|\Omega|^\beta} + \frac{9A}{16d^2 |\Omega|^\beta} \right)
\end{equation}$$

and

$$\begin{equation}
\Lambda_{k+1} \leq C_d^2 \left( \frac{k}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{\dot{\beta}}{\beta}} + \frac{6(d+1)}{d(d+4)} C_d^2 \left( \frac{k}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{\dot{\beta}}{\beta}} + 2 A \left( \frac{k}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{\dot{\beta}}{\beta}} k^{\frac{\dot{\beta}}{\beta}}
+ \left( \frac{9C_d^2}{d(d+4)|\Omega|^\beta} + \frac{d+3}{d} \frac{A}{|\Omega|^\beta} \right) k^{\frac{\dot{\beta}}{\beta}} + \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{9 + 12d}{4d^2} \right) \left( \frac{k^{\frac{\dot{\beta}}{\beta}}}{|\Omega|^\beta} + \frac{81A}{16d^2 |\Omega|^\beta} \right).
\end{equation}$$

In particular, for all $k \geq k_0$ there exists a constant $C(d, |\Omega|, A)$ such that

$$\begin{equation}
\left| \Lambda_k - C_d^2 \left( \frac{k}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{\dot{\beta}}{\beta}} \right| \leq C(d, |\Omega|, A) k^{\frac{\dot{\beta}}{\beta}}.
\end{equation}$$
Inequalities (5.34) and (5.35) follow from (5.32) and (5.33) by observing that
\[ \Lambda_k \geq \frac{1}{l} \sum_{j=k-l+1}^{k} \Lambda_j \]
and
\[ \Lambda_{k+1} \leq \frac{1}{l} \sum_{j=k+1}^{k+l} \Lambda_j, \]
and by choosing \( l \in \mathbb{N} \) such that
\[ l = \frac{k}{1 - \frac{1}{2} d} + b \]
with \( b \in \left[ -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right] \). In particular, with this choice,
\[(5.37) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{k - 1}{2d} \leq l \leq \frac{3}{2} \frac{k - 1}{2d}, \]
and \( k - 1 \leq l \leq k + 1 \). For example, we see that
\[(5.38) \quad \frac{d}{d + 4} \frac{C^2_d}{|\Omega|^2} \frac{1}{l} \left( k^{1+\frac{d}{2}} - (k - l)^{1+\frac{d}{2}} \right) - \frac{A}{|\Omega|^2} \frac{(k - l)^{1+\frac{d}{2}}}{l} = \frac{d}{d + 4} C^2_d \left( \frac{k}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{d}{2}} k \frac{1}{l} \left( 1 - \left( 1 - \frac{l}{k} \right)^{1+\frac{d}{2}} \right) - A \left( \frac{k}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{d}{2}} k \frac{1}{l} \left( 1 - \left( 1 - \frac{l}{k} \right)^{1+\frac{d}{2}} \right). \]
We also have
\[(5.39) \quad \frac{k}{l} \left( 1 - \left( 1 - \frac{l}{k} \right)^{1+\frac{d}{2}} \right) = \frac{k}{l} \left( 1 - \left( 1 - \frac{l}{k} \right) \left( \left( 1 - \frac{l}{k} \right)^{1+\frac{d}{2}} \right) \right)^2 \geq \frac{k}{l} \left( 1 - \left( 1 - \frac{l}{k} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{2l}{dk} \right)^2 \right) = \frac{d + 4}{d} - \frac{4(d + 1)l}{d^2 k} + \frac{4l^2}{d^2 k^2}, \]
and similarly
\[(5.40) \quad \frac{k}{l} \left( 1 - \left( 1 - \frac{l}{k} \right)^{1+\frac{d}{2}} \right) \leq \frac{k}{l} \left( 1 - \left( 1 - \frac{l}{k} \right)^{1+\frac{d}{2}} \right) - \frac{3 + d}{d} + \frac{(9 + 12d)l}{4d^2 k} - \frac{9l^2}{4d^2 k^2}. \]
Bound (5.34) follows by plugging (5.39) and (5.40) into (5.38) and by (5.37). The upper bound (5.33) is proven similarly.

6. The biharmonic Navier operator

In this section we focus our attention to the Navier problem (2.1), (2.3). In particular, the quadratic form (2.5) will be set into \( H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega) \), for \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d \) a bounded open set. We observe that for the eigenvalues of the biharmonic operator with Navier boundary conditions, the very same lower bounds on Riesz means (upper bounds on averages) of the Dirichlet case hold. In particular we have the following result, which is valid for any domain \( \Omega \) with finite Lebesgue measure.

**Theorem 6.1.** Let \( a \in (-d, -1)^{-1}, 1) \).

i) **Theorem 5.4, Corollary 5.6 and Theorem 5.8 hold with \( \Lambda_j, U_j \) replaced by \( \tilde{\Lambda}_j(a), \tilde{U}_j \).**
Consequently, for all positive integers \( j \), those arguments yield the same results when using test functions of Navier conditions can be carried out exactly in the same way as in the Dirichlet case. In particular, the results immediately follow by pointwise comparison of eigenvalues:

\[
\tilde{\Lambda}_j(a) \leq \Lambda_j,
\]

for all positive integers \( j \), see (6.2). Point ii) follows from point i) as in the Dirichlet case. \( \square \)

We also have the following inequalities relating Navier eigenvalues to Laplacian eigenvalues. Note that, if \( \Omega \) satisfies the uniform outer ball condition, the inequalities are valid also for \( a = 1 \).

**Theorem 6.2.** Let \( a \in (- (d - 1)^{-1}, 1) \). For all positive integers \( m, n, N \)

\[
(6.1) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{n} (\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_j) \geq \sum_{k=1}^{N} (\lambda_{n+1} - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \tilde{U}_k|^2 \, dx),
\]

\[
(6.2) \quad \sum_{j=2}^{m} (\mu_{m+1} - \mu_j) \mu_j \geq \sum_{k=1}^{N} (\mu_{m+1} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \tilde{U}_k|^2 \, dx - \int_{\Omega} |D^2 \tilde{U}_k|^2).
\]

Consequently,

\[
\left( \mu_{m+1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_j) + \sum_{j=2}^{m} (\mu_{m+1} - \mu_j) \mu_j \right) (1 - a) \\
\geq \sum_{k=1}^{N} \left( (1 - a) \mu_{m+1} \lambda_{n+1} + a \left( \lambda_{n+1} - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_j) \right)^2 - \tilde{\Lambda}_k(a) \right).
\]

Moreover,

\[
(1 - a) \sum_{j} (z - \lambda_j)_+ + (z - \mu_j)_+ \mu_j \geq \sum_{k=1}^{N} \left( (1 - a) z^2 + a \left( z - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j} (z - \lambda_j)_+ \right)^2 - \tilde{\Lambda}_k \right),
\]

and in particular for \( a = 0 \)

\[
z \sum_{j} ((z - \lambda_j)_+ - (z - \mu_j)_+) + \sum_{j=2}^{m} (z^2 - \mu_j^2) \geq \sum_{j} (z^2 - \tilde{\Lambda}_j)_+.
\]

**Proof.** Inequality (6.1) is obtained from (2.9) with \( \omega_j = \lambda_j, \psi_j = u_j, f_\xi = \tilde{U}_k \), and \( Q(f, f) = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla f|^2 \), while for (6.2) we used \( \omega_j = \mu_j, \psi_j = v_j, f_\xi = \partial_\alpha \tilde{U}_k \), and then we summed over \( \alpha = 1, \ldots, d \). Moreover, inequality (6.1) also yields

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{n} (\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_j) \geq \sum_{k=1}^{N} (\lambda_{n+1} - \frac{t}{2} - \frac{1}{2t} \int_{\Omega} (\Delta \tilde{U}_k)^2 \, dx),
\]

which coupled with (6.2) provides the appearance of \( \tilde{\Lambda}_k \). \( \square \)
7. One dimensional biharmonic eigenvalue problems

On the interval $[0, 1]$ we consider the fourth-order eigenvalue value problems:

$$u^{(4)}_n(x) = \Lambda^{(i,j)}_{n} u_n(x), \quad x \in [0, 1],$$

$$u^{(i)}(0) = u^{(i)}(1) = u^{(j)}(0) = u^{(j)}(1) = 0,$$

where $i, j \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, $i \neq j$ and $u_n^{(i,j)}$ denotes the $j$-th derivative of the function $u_n$. There are six eigenvalue problems beginning with the Dirichlet problem corresponding to $(0, 1)$ up to the Neumann problem corresponding to $(2, 3)$. It is easy to see that problem (7.1) is a Sturm-Liouville problem for any choice of $i, j$, and in particular the spectrum consists of an increasing sequence of simple eigenvalues (with the possible exception of the kernel) diverging to infinity.

In order to further analyze the eigenvalues, we need to study the equation

$$\gamma \cosh \gamma = 1.$$  

Let $\gamma_0 = 0$ and $\gamma_n$ be the positive roots (in increasing order) of (7.2). Then

$$\gamma_n = \pi \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) + (-1)^{n+1} r_n, \quad 0 < r_n < \frac{\pi}{2},$$

where $r_n$ is strictly decreasing in $n$ and satisfies the following bounds.

**Proposition 7.1.** For all odd positive integers

$$\frac{1}{2} \arcsinh \left(\frac{2}{\cosh \pi \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)}\right) \leq r_n \leq \arcsin \left(\frac{1}{\cosh \pi \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)}\right),$$

and for all even positive integers

$$\arcsin \left(\frac{1}{\cosh \pi \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)}\right) \leq r_n \leq \arcsin \left(\frac{2}{\cosh \pi \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)} \cdot \frac{1}{1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{4}{\cosh \pi \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)}}}\right).$$

Therefore, as $n \to \infty,$

$$\gamma_n = \pi \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) + (-1)^{n+1} \frac{1}{\cosh \pi \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)} + O \left(\frac{1}{\cosh^2 \pi \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)}\right).$$

**Proof.** The cosine function is positive between the zeros $(2m - \frac{1}{2})\pi$ and $(2m + \frac{1}{2})\pi$, where equation (7.2) always has two roots by the intermediate value theorem applied to the continuous function $\gamma \to \cos \gamma \cosh \gamma$, since $\cos 2m\pi \cosh 2m\pi = \cosh 2m\pi > 1$. Therefore, we may label the positive roots $\gamma$ as in (7.3), where $r_n$ verifies the condition

$$1 = \sin r_n \cosh \pi \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) + (-1)^{n+1} r_n,$$

from which we easily derive the inequalities (7.4) and (7.5), having the asymptotic expansion (7.6) as a consequence. From the equations $\cosh \gamma_{n+1} \sin r_{n+1} = \cosh \gamma_n \sin r_n$ and $\gamma_{n+1} > \gamma_n$ we see that $r_n$ is strictly decreasing. □

We now present the spectra and the associated (non-normalized) eigenfunctions of the different eigenvalue problems.

- **Biharmonic Dirichlet eigenvalue problem.** The eigenfunctions are of the form

$$u_n(x) = A \left(\cosh(\gamma_n x) - \cos(\gamma_n x)\right) - \sinh(\gamma_n x) + \sin(\gamma_n x),$$

with $A = \frac{\sinh(\gamma_n) - \sin(\gamma_n)}{\cosh(\gamma_n) - \cos(\gamma_n)}$ and

$$\Lambda_n^{(0,1)} = \gamma_n^4.$$
• *Navier eigenvalue problem.* The operator is the square of the Dirichlet Laplacian and therefore
\[ \Lambda_n^{(0,2)} = \pi^4 n^4. \]

• *Dirichlet-Neumann mixed eigenvalue problem.* There is one zero eigenvalue with eigenfunction \( u_1(x) = x(1-x) \). For the positive eigenvalues the eigenfunctions are of the form
\[ u_n(x) = A \left( \cosh(\gamma_n x) - \cos(\gamma_n x) \right) - \sinh(\gamma_n x) - \sin(\gamma_n x), \]
with \( A = \frac{\sinh(\gamma_n) + \sin(\gamma_n)}{\cosh(\gamma_n) - \cos(\gamma_n)} \) and
\[ \Lambda_n^{(0,3)} = \gamma_n^4 n^4 - 1. \]

• *Neumann-Dirichlet mixed eigenvalue problem.* There is one zero eigenvalue with eigenfunction \( u_1(x) = 1 \). For the positive eigenvalues the eigenfunctions are of the form
\[ u_n(x) = A \left( \cosh(\gamma_n x) + \cos(\gamma_n x) \right) - \sinh(\gamma_n x) - \sin(\gamma_n x), \]
with \( A = \frac{\sinh(\gamma_n) - \sin(\gamma_n)}{\cosh(\gamma_n) - \cos(\gamma_n)} \) and
\[ \Lambda_n^{(1,2)} = \gamma_n^4 n^4 - 1. \]

• *Intermediate Neumann eigenvalue problem.* The operator is the square of the Neumann Laplacian and therefore
\[ \Lambda_n^{(1,3)} = \pi^4 (n-1)^4. \]

• *Biharmonic Neumann eigenvalue problem.* The eigenvalue 0 has multiplicity 2 with corresponding eigenfunctions 1, \( x \). For the positive eigenvalues the eigenfunctions are of the form
\[ u_n(x) = A \left( \cosh(\gamma_n x) + \cos(\gamma_n x) \right) - \sinh(\gamma_n x) - \sin(\gamma_n x), \]
with \( A = \frac{\sinh(\gamma_n) - \sin(\gamma_n)}{\cosh(\gamma_n) - \cos(\gamma_n)} \) and
\[ \Lambda_1^{(2,3)}, \Lambda_2^{(2,3)} = 0, \quad \Lambda_n^{(2,3)} = \gamma_n^4 n^4 - 1, \quad n \geq 3. \]

We remark that, even though the Dirichlet-Neumann and the Neumann-Dirichlet mixed eigenvalue problems have discrete spectra, the corresponding eigenvalues \( \Lambda_n^{(0,3)} \) and \( \Lambda_n^{(1,2)} \) lack a variational characterization since the associated operators are not self-adjoint, in contrast with the other cases.

Summarizing, for \( n \geq 1 \) we have
\[ \begin{align*}
\Lambda_n^{(0,1)} &= \gamma_n^4 \\
\Lambda_n^{(0,2)} &= \pi^4 n^4 \\
\Lambda_n^{(0,3)} &= \gamma_n^4 n^4 - 1 \\
\Lambda_n^{(1,2)} &= \gamma_n^4 n^4 - 1 \\
\Lambda_n^{(1,3)} &= \pi^4 (n-1)^4 \\
\Lambda_n^{(2,3)} &= \gamma_n^4 n^4 - 2 \\
\end{align*} \]

with the convention \( \gamma_{-1} = 0 \). Since \( n < \gamma_n < n + 1 \), the spectra are in decreasing order and the eigenvalues of two “neighbored” operators in the table are interlacing with strict inequalities for all positive eigenvalues (with the only exception \( \Lambda_n^{(0,3)} = \Lambda_n^{(1,2)} \)). In particular, for all positive integers \( n \) we have the following identities
\[ \Lambda_n^{(0,1)} = \Lambda_{n+1}^{(0,2)} = \Lambda_{n+1}^{(1,2)} = \Lambda_{n+2}^{(2,3)}. \]
We note that the Neumann eigenvalues satisfy the sharp Weyl-type bound of the form $\Lambda^{(2,3)}_n \leq \pi^4(n-1)^4$ and not $\Lambda^{(2,3)}_n \leq \pi^4(n-2)^4$ where the shift is made by the dimension of the kernel.

With respect to semiclassical limits, while there is no two-term asymptotic expansion of the form (2.7) for the counting function $N(z)$, the expansion (2.8) for the Riesz means $R_1(z)$ is still valid.

**Theorem 7.2.** The following expansion holds

$$R_1(z) = \frac{4}{5\pi}z^\frac{5}{4} + c_1 z + O(z^{\frac{3}{4}}),$$

where $c_1 = -1$ for the Dirichlet problem $(0,1)$, $c_1 = -1/2$ for the Navier problem $(0,2)$, and $c_1 = 1$ for the Neumann problem $(2,3)$.

**Proof.** For the Dirichlet eigenvalues $\Lambda^{(0,1)}_n = \gamma^4_n$ we have

$$R_1(z) = \sum_n \left( z - \left( \pi \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right) + (-1)^{n+1} r_n \right) \right)_+.$$

Since the remainder $r_n$ is exponentially decreasing in $n$ leading to finite values when summing over all $n$, the leading terms of the asymptotic expansion are therefore the same as those of the Riesz means

$$\tilde{R}_1(z) = \sum_n \left( z - \left( \pi \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) \right)_+ = \frac{4}{5\pi} z^\frac{5}{4} - z + O(z^{\frac{3}{4}}).$$

On the other hand, the same analysis for the Neumann problem leads to the Riesz means $\tilde{R}_1(z) + z$, where the additional term is due to the kernel.

The Riesz means for the Navier problem is instead explicitly computable and

$$R_1(z) = \sum_n \left( z - \pi^4 n^4 \right)_+ = \frac{4}{5\pi} z^\frac{5}{4} - \frac{z}{2} + O(z^{\frac{3}{4}}).$$

\[\square\]
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