ON SETS IN $\mathbb{R}^d$ WITH DC DISTANCE FUNCTION

DUŠAN POKORNÝ AND LUDĚK ZAJÍČEK

Abstract. We study closed sets $F \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ whose distance function $d_F := \text{dist}(\cdot, F)$ is DC (i.e., is the difference of two convex functions on $\mathbb{R}^d$). Our main result asserts that if $F \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is a graph of a DC function $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, then $F$ has the above property. If $d > 1$, the same holds if $g : \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \to \mathbb{R}$ is semiconcave, however the case of a general DC function $g$ remains open.

1. Introduction

Let $F \neq \emptyset$ be a closed subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$ and let $d_F := \text{dist}(\cdot, F)$ be its distance function. Recall that a function on $\mathbb{R}^d$ is called DC, if it is the difference of two convex functions. It is well-known (see, e.g., [1, p. 976]) that $\text{dist}$ is DC but $d_F$ need not be DC. However, the distance function of some interesting special $F \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is DC; it is true for example for $F$ from Federer’s class of sets with positive reach, see Subsection [3].

Our article was motivated by [1] and the following question which naturally arises in the theory of WDC sets (see [6, 10.4.3]).

Question. Is $d_F$ a DC function if $F$ is a graph of a DC function $g : \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \to \mathbb{R}$?

Note that WDC sets form a substantial generalization of sets with positive reach and still admit the definition of curvature measures (see [10] or [6]) and $F$ as in Question is a natural example of a WDC set in $\mathbb{R}^d$.

Our main result (Theorem 3.3) gives the affirmative answer to Question in the case $d = 2$; the case $d > 2$ remains open. However, known results relatively easily imply that the answer is positive if $g$ in Question is semiconcave (Corollary 4.4).

In the article [12] we will show that our main result has some interesting consequences for WDC subsets of $\mathbb{R}^2$, in particular that these sets have DC distance functions.

In Section 2 we recall some notation and needed facts about DC functions. In Section 3 we prove our main result (Theorem 3.3). In last Section 4, we prove further (less difficult) results on the system of sets in $\mathbb{R}^d$ which have DC distance function, including Corollary 4.4 mentioned above.

2. Preliminaries

In any vector space $V$, we use the symbol $0$ for the zero element. We denote by $B(x, r)$ ($U(x, r)$) the closed (open) ball with centre $x$ and radius $r$. The boundary and the interior of a set $M$ are denoted by $\partial M$ and int$M$, respectively. A mapping is called $K$-Lipschitz if it is Lipschitz with a (not necessarily minimal) constant $K \geq 0$.

In the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^d$, the norm is denoted by $|\cdot|$ and the scalar product by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. By $S^{d-1}$ we denote the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^d$. 
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Lemma 2.1. Let $C$ be an open convex subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$. Then the following assertions hold.

(i) If $f : C \to \mathbb{R}$ and $g : C \to \mathbb{R}$ are DC, then (for each $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $b \in \mathbb{R}$) the functions $af + bg$, $\max(f, g)$ and $\min(f, g)$ are DC.

(ii) Each locally DC function $f : C \to \mathbb{R}$ is DC.

(iii) Each DC function $f : C \to \mathbb{R}$ is Lipschitz on each compact convex set $Z \subset C$.

(iv) Let $f_i : C \to \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, \ldots, m$, be DC functions. Let $f : C \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function such that $f(x) \in \{f_1(x), \ldots, f_m(x)\}$ for each $x \in C$. Then $f$ is DC on $C$.

Proof. Property (i) follows easily from definitions, see e.g. [16, p. 84]. Property (ii) was proved in [8]. Property (iii) easily follows from the local Lipschitzness of convex functions. Assertion (iv) is a special case of [17, Lemma 4.8.] (“Mixing lemma”).

By well-known properties of convex and concave functions, we easily obtain that each locally DC function $f$ on an open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ has all one-sided directional derivatives finite and

\[ g'_+(x,v)+g'_-(x,-v) \leq 0, \quad x \in U, v \in \mathbb{R}^d, \text{ if } g \text{ is locally semiconcave on } U. \]

Recall that if $\emptyset \neq A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is closed, then $d_A$ need not be DC; however (see, e.g., [2, Proposition 2.2.2]),

$d_A$ is locally semiconcave (and so locally DC) on $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus A$.

3. Main result

In the proof of Theorem [3,9] below we will use the following simple “concave mixing lemma”.

Lemma 3.1. Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be an open convex set and let $\gamma : U \to \mathbb{R}$ have finite one-sided directional derivatives $\gamma'_+(x,v), \ (x \in U, v \in \mathbb{R}^d)$. Suppose that

\[ \gamma'_+(x,v) + \gamma'_-(x,-v) \leq 0, \quad x \in U, v \in \mathbb{R}^d, \]

and that

the graph of concave functions defined on $U$.

Then $\gamma$ is a concave function.
Proof. Since \( \gamma \) is clearly concave if each function \( t \mapsto \gamma(a + tv), \ (a \in C, v \in S^{d-1}) \) is concave on its domain, it is sufficient to prove the case \( d = 1 \), \( C = (a, b) \). Set \( h(x) := -\gamma(x), \ x \in (a,b) \); we need to prove that \( h \) is convex. Observe that (3.1) easily implies the condition

\[
\tag{3.3}
\quad h'_-(x) \leq h'_+(x), \quad x \in (a,b),
\]

and (3.2) implies that there exists a finite set \( \{h_\alpha: \alpha \in A\} \) of convex functions on \( (a,b) \) such that graph \( h \subset \bigcup \{\text{graph} \ h_\alpha: \alpha \in A\} \). To prove the convexity of \( h \), it is sufficient to show that the function \( h'_- \) is nondecreasing on \( (a,b) \) (see e.g. [15 Chap. 5, Prop. 18, p. 114]); equivalently (it follows e.g. from [9 Chap. IX, par. 7, Lemma 1, p. 266]) to prove that

\[
\tag{3.4}
\quad \forall x_0 \in (a,b) \ \exists \delta > 0 \ \forall x: \quad (x \in (x_0, x_0 + \delta) \Rightarrow h'_+(x) \geq h'_+(x_0)) \quad \land \quad (x \in (x_0 - \delta, x_0) \Rightarrow h'_-(x) \leq h'_+(x_0)).
\]

So suppose, to the contrary, that (3.4) does not hold; then there exists a sequence \( x_n \rightarrow x_0 \) such that either

\[
\tag{3.5}
\quad x_n < x_0 \quad \text{and} \quad h'_+(x_n) > h'_+(x_0) \quad \text{for each} \quad n \in \mathbb{N}
\]
or

\[
\tag{3.6}
\quad x_n > x_0 \quad \text{and} \quad h'_+(x_n) < h'_+(x_0) \quad \text{for each} \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.
\]

Since \( h \) is clearly continuous, each set \( F_\alpha := \{x \in (a,b): h_\alpha(x) = h(x)\}, \ \alpha \in A, \) is closed in \( (a,b) \). Since \( A \) is finite, it is easy to see that for each \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) there exists \( \alpha(n) \in A \) such that \( x_n \in F_{\alpha(n)} \) and \( x_n \) is a right accumulation point of \( F_{\alpha(n)} \). Using finiteness of \( A \) again, we can suppose that there exists \( \alpha \in A \) such that \( \alpha(n) = \alpha, \ n \in \mathbb{N} \) (otherwise we could consider a subsequence of \( (x_n) \)).

Now suppose that (3.5) holds. Since \( x_n \in F_\alpha, \ n = 0, 1, \ldots, \) we obtain that \( h'_+(x_n) = (h_\alpha)'_+(x_n), \ n \in \mathbb{N}, \) and \( h'_+(x_0) = (h_\alpha)'_+(x_0) \). Using also the convexity of \( h_\alpha \) and (3.3), we obtain

\[
(3.5) \quad h'_+(x_n) = (h_\alpha)'_+(x_n) \leq (h_\alpha)'_+(x_0) = h'_+(x_0),
\]

which contradicts (3.5). Since the case when (3.6) holds is quite analogous, neither (3.5) nor (3.6) is possible and so we are done. \( \square \)

We will need also the following easy lemma.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let \( V \) be a closed angle in \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) with vertex \( v \) and measure \( 0 < \alpha < \pi \). Then there exist an affine function \( A \) on \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) and a concave function \( \psi \) on \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) which is Lipschitz with constant \( \sqrt{2} \tan(\alpha/2) \) such that \( |z - v| + \psi(z) = A(z), \ z \in V \).

**Proof.** We can suppose without any loss of generality that \( v = (0,0) \) and

\[
\quad V = \{(x,y): \ x \geq 0, |y| \leq x \tan(\alpha/2)\}.
\]

Then \( |z - v| = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \) for \( z = (x,y) \). Define the convex function

\[
\varphi(x,y) := \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} - x, \ (x,y) \in V.
\]

We will show that

\[
\tag{3.7}
\quad \varphi \text{ is Lipschitz with constant } \sqrt{2} \tan(\alpha/2).
\]

To this end estimate, for \( (x,y) \in \text{int} \ V, \)

\[
\left| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x}(x,y) \right| = \left| \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} - 1 \right| = \frac{|y|^2}{(x + \sqrt{x^2 + y^2})\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} \leq \frac{|y|}{x} \leq \tan(\alpha/2),
\]

\[
\left| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y}(x,y) \right| = \frac{|y|}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} \leq \frac{|y|}{x} \leq \tan(\alpha/2).
\]
Thus $|\nabla\varphi(x,y)| \leq \sqrt{2}\tan(\alpha/2)$ for $(x,y) \in \text{int} V$ and (3.7) follows. So $\varphi$ has a convex extension $\tilde{\varphi}$ to $\mathbb{R}^2$ which is also Lipschitz with constant $\sqrt{2}\tan(\alpha/2)$ (see, e.g., [3, Theorem 1]). Now we can put $\psi := -\tilde{\varphi}$, since $\sqrt{x^2 + y^2} + \psi(x, y) = x := A(x, y)$, $(x, y) \in V$. \hfill \square

**Theorem 3.3.** Let $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a DC function. Then the distance function $d := \text{dist} (\cdot, \text{graph} f)$ is DC on $\mathbb{R}^2$.

Proof. By (2.2), $d$ is locally DC, on $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \text{graph} f$. So, by Lemma 2.1 (iii), it is sufficient to prove that, for each $z \in \text{graph} f$, the distance function $d$ is DC on a convex neighbourhood of $z$. Since we can clearly suppose that $z = (0, f(0))$, it is sufficient to prove that

$$d \text{ is DC on } U := U((0, f(0)), 1/10).$$

Write $f = g - h$, where $g, h$ are convex functions on $\mathbb{R}$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, consider the equidistant partition $D_n = \{x_0^n = -1 < x_1^n < \cdots < x_n^n = 1\}$ of $[-1, 1]$. Let $g_n, h_n$ be the piece-wise linear function on $[-1, 1]$ such that $g_n(x_i^n) = g(x_i^n)$, $h_n(x_i^n) = h(x_i^n)$ $(0 \leq i \leq n)$ and $g_n, h_n$ are affine on each interval $[x_i^n, x_{i+1}^n]$ $(1 \leq i \leq n)$. Put $f_n := g_n - h_n$ and $d_n := \text{dist} (\cdot, \text{graph} f_n)$. Choose $L > 0$ such that both $g$ and $h$ are $(L/2)$-Lipschitz and observe that all $g_n, h_n, f_n$ are $L$-Lipschitz. Since $f_n$ uniformly converge to $f$ on $[-1, 1]$, we easily see that $d_n \to d$ on $\overline{U}$.

Choose an integer $n_0$ such that

$$n_0 \geq 6 \text{ and } |f_n(0) - f(0)| < \frac{1}{10} \text{ for each } n \geq n_0.$$  

We will prove that there exist $L^* > 0$ and concave functions $c_n$ ($n \geq n_0$) on $\overline{U}$ such that

$$\text{each } c_n \text{ is Lipschitz with constant } L^* \text{ and}$$

$$c_n^* := d_n + c_n \text{ is concave on } \overline{U}.\tag{3.11}$$

Then we will done, since (3.10) and (3.11) easily imply (3.8). Indeed, we can suppose that $c_n((0, f(0))) = 0$ and, using Arzela-Ascoli theorem, we obtain that there exists an increasing sequence of indexes $(n_k)$ such that $c_{n_k} \to c$, where $c$ is a continuous concave function on $\overline{U}$. So $d_{n_k} + c_{n_k} \to d + c =: c^*$ on $\overline{U}$. Using (3.11), we obtain that $c^*$ is concave and thus $d = c^* - c$ is DC on $U$.

To prove the existence of $L^*$ and ($c_n$), fix an arbitrary $n \geq n_0$. For brevity denote $\Pi := \Pi_{\text{graph} f_n}$ and put $x_i := x_i^n$, $z_i := (x_i, f_n(x_i))$, $i = 0, \ldots, n$. For $i = 1, \ldots, n - 1$, let $0 \leq \alpha_i < \pi$ be the angle between the vectors $z_i - z_{i-1}$ and $z_{i+1} - z_i$. Denote

$$s_i := \frac{f_n(x_{i+1}) - f_n(x_i)}{x_{i+1} - x_i} \text{ and } \beta_i := \arctan s_i, \quad i = 0, \ldots, n - 1.$$ 

Then clearly $\alpha_i = |\beta_i - \beta_{i-1}|$. One of the main ingredients of the present proof is the easy fact that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |s_i - s_{i-1}| \leq 4L.\tag{3.12}$$

It immediately follows from the well-known estimate of (the “convexity”) $K_n^h(f_n)$ (see [13, p. 24, line 5]). To give, for the completeness, a direct proof, denote

$$\bar{s}_i := \frac{g_n(x_{i+1}) - h_n(x_i)}{x_{i+1} - x_i}, \quad \bar{s}_i^* := \frac{h_n(x_{i+1}) - h_n(x_i)}{x_{i+1} - x_i}, \quad i = 0, \ldots, n - 1,$$
and observe that the finite sequences \((\tilde{s}_i), (s_i^*)\) are nondecreasing. Consequently
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |\tilde{s}_i - \tilde{s}_{i-1}| = \tilde{s}_n - \tilde{s}_1 \leq 2L \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |s_i^* - s_{i-1}^*| = s_n^* - s_1^* \leq 2L.
\]
Since \(s_i = \tilde{s}_i - s_i^*\), (3.12) easily follows.
Since
\[
\alpha_i = |\beta_i - \beta_{i-1}| \leq |\tan(\beta_i) - \tan(\beta_{i-1})| = |s_i - s_{i-1}|,
\]
we obtain
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i \leq 4L.
\]
Thus we obtain by (3.13)
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sqrt{2} \tan \left( \frac{\alpha_i}{2} \right) \leq \frac{2\sqrt{2}L^2}{\arctan L} =: M.
\]
Further observe that each \(d_n\) is DC on \(\mathbb{R}^2\) and consequently
\[
(d_n)'(x, v) \in \mathbb{R} \quad \text{exists for every} \quad x, v \in \mathbb{R}^2.
\]
Indeed, since each segment \([z_{i-1}, z_i]\) is a convex set, the distance functions \(\text{dist} \left( \cdot, [z_{i-1}, z_i] \right)\), \(i = 1, \ldots, n\), are convex and consequently \(d_n\) is DC by (4.6) below.

If \(\alpha_i \neq 0\), set
\[V_i = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \langle z - z_i, z_{i+1} - z_i \rangle \leq 0, \langle z - z_i, z_{i-1} - z_i \rangle \leq 0\},\]
which is clearly a closed angle with vertex \(z_i\) and measure \(\alpha_i\). Let \(\psi_i\) and \(A_i\) be the (concave and affine) functions on \(\mathbb{R}^2\) which corresponds to \(V_i\) by Lemma 3.2. If \(\alpha_i = 0\), put \(\psi_i(z) = 0\), \(z \in \mathbb{R}^2\).

Now set
\[
\eta_n := \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \psi_i.
\]
Then \(\eta_n\) is a concave function on \(\mathbb{R}^2\) and Lemma 3.2 with (3.14) imply that
\[
\|z - z_i\| + \psi_i(z) = A_i(z), \quad z \in V_i.
\]

The concave function \(c_n\) with properties (3.10), (3.11) will be defined as \(c_n(x) := \eta_n(x) + \xi_n(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2\), where the concave function \(\xi_n\) on \(A := (-1, 1) \times \mathbb{R}\) will be defined to “compensate the non-concave behaviour of \(d_n\) at points of graph \(f_n\)” in the sense that, for each point \(z \in A \cap \text{graph } f_n\),
\[
(d_n + \xi_n)'(z, v) + (d_n + \xi_n)'(z, -v) \leq 0 \quad \text{whenever} \quad v \in \mathbb{R}^2.
\]

We set, for \((x, y) \in A\),
\[
\xi_n(x, y) := -\max(2g_n(x) - y, 2h_n(x) + y) \quad \text{and} \quad p_n(x, y) := |f_n(x) - y|.
\]

Obviously,
\[
\xi_n \text{ is concave and Lipschitz with constant } (2L + 1).
\]
Further, for \((x, y) \in A\),
\[
p_n(x, y) = \max(g_n(x) - h_n(x) - y, h_n(x) - g_n(x) + y)
= \max(2g_n(x) - y, 2h_n(x) + y) - h_n(x) - g_n(x),
\]
which shows that \(p_n\) is a DC function and \(p_n + \xi_n\) is concave. Consequently, for each \(z \in A\) and \(v \in \mathbb{R}^2\),
\[
\tag{3.20}
(p_n)'(z, v) + (\xi_n)'(z, v) + (p_n)'(z, -v) + (\xi_n)'(z, -v) \leq 0.
\]
Since, for each point \(z \in \text{graph } f_n \cap A\), we have \(d_n(z) = p_n(z) = 0\) and for each \((x, y) \in A\) clearly \(d_n(x, y) \leq |(x, y) - (x, f_n(x))| = p_n(x, y)\), we easily obtain (for each \(v \in \mathbb{R}^2\))
\[
\tag{3.21}
(p_n)'(z, v) + (p_n)'(z, -v) \geq (d_n)'(z, v) + (d_n)'(z, -v),
\]
which, together with (3.20), implies (3.18).

Now set (for \(n \geq 6\))
\[
c_n(x) := \eta_n(x) + \xi_n(x), \quad x \in U
\]
By (3.16) and (3.19) we obtain that (3.10) holds with \(L^* := M + 2L + 1\).

To prove (3.11), it is clearly sufficient to show that \(\gamma = c_n^* := d_n + c_n\) is concave on \(U\); we will prove it by Lemma 3.1.

First we verify the validity of (3.1) for each \((z, i)\) and \((x, y) \in A\). Clearly \((\cdot, i) \in \Pi(z)\).

If \(z \notin \text{graph } f_n\), then \((\cdot, i) \notin \Pi(z)\). So we easily see that \(d_n(z) = 0, \eta_n(z) = 0, \xi_n(z) = 0, \) and consequently
\[
r_n(z) = (|z| + \psi_i(z)) + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1, j \neq i} \psi_j(z) + \eta_n(z) = \nu_n(z),
\]
where
\[
\nu_n(z) := A_i(z) + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1, j \neq i} \psi_j(z) + \eta_n(z), \quad z \in U,
\]
is concave on \(U\).

So we have proved that the graph of \(\gamma = c_n^*\) is covered by graphs of two affine functions \(\mu_i, 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \alpha_i \neq 0\).

Now consider an arbitrary \(z \in U\) and choose a point \(z^* \in \Pi(z)\). Since \(d_n(z) \leq 1/5\) by (3.9) and \(n \geq n_0 \geq 6\), we obtain \(z^* \in \bigcup_{1}^{n-2}[z_i, z_{i+1}].\)

If \(z^* = z_i\) for some \(1 \leq i \leq n-1\), then \(\mu_i(z) = 0, \) and consequently
\[
\gamma(z) = (|z| + \psi_i(z)) + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1, j \neq i} \psi_j(z) + \eta_n(z) = \nu_n(z),
\]
where
\[
\nu_n(z) := A_i(z) + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1, j \neq i} \psi_j(z) + \eta_n(z), \quad z \in U,
\]
is concave on \(U\).

So we have proved that the graph of \(\gamma = c_n^*\) is covered by graphs of functions \(\mu_i, 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \alpha_i \neq 0\) and functions \(\nu_i, 1 \leq i \leq n-1\). Using (3.22), we obtain (3.22) and Lemma 3.1 implies that \(\gamma = c_n^*\) is concave.

4. Other results

We finish the article with several additional results on the systems
\[
D_n := \{\emptyset\} \cup \{A \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid A \text{ is closed and } d_A \text{ is DC}\}, \quad d = 1, 2, \ldots.
\]
First we observe that the case \(d = 1\) is very easy, since
\[
A \subset \mathbb{R}\text{ belongs to } D_1 \text{ iff the system of all components of } A \text{ is locally finite.}
\]
Indeed, if the system of all components of $\emptyset \neq A \subset \mathbb{R}$ is locally finite, then Lemma 2.1 (ii) easily implies that $d_A$ is DC.

If $A$ doesn’t have this property, then there exists a sequence $(c_n)$ of centres of components of $\mathbb{R} \setminus A$ converging to a point $a \in A$. Then $d_A$ is not one-sidedly strictly differentiable at $a$, since $(d_A)'(c_n) = \mp 1$. Consequently $d_A$ is not DC, since each DC function on $\mathbb{R}$ is one-sidedly strictly differentiable at each point (see [17, Note 3.2] or [18, Proposition 3.4(i)] together with Remark 3.2).

Further recall that our main result (Theorem 3.3) asserts that graph $g \in \mathcal{D}_2$ whenever $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is DC.

Motivated by a natural question, for which non DC functions $g$ [42] holds, we present the following result, whose proof is implicitly contained in the proof of [11, Proposition 6.6]; see Remark 4.2 below.

**Proposition 4.1.** If $g : \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \to \mathbb{R}$ $(d \geq 2)$ is locally Lipschitz and $A := \text{graph } g \in D_d$, then $g$ is DC.

**Remark 4.2.** One implication of [11, Proposition 6.6] gives that if $A$ is as in Proposition 4.1 (or, more generally, $A$ is a Lipschitz manifold of dimension $0 < k < d$; see [11, Definition 2.4] for this notion) and $A$ is WDC, then $g$ is DC (or is a DC manifold of dimension $0 < k < d$, respectively). The proof of this implication works with an aura $f = f_M$ of a set $M$, but under the weaker assumption that $A \in D_d$, the proof clearly also works, if we use the distance function $d_A$ instead of $f$. So we obtain not only Proposition 4.1, but also the following more general result.

If $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a Lipschitz manifold of dimension $0 < k < d$ and $A \in D_d$, then $A$ is a DC manifold of dimension $k$.

Since we will work below with sets of positive reach, we recall its definition.

If $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and $a \in A$, we define

$$
\text{reach}(A, a) := \sup \{ r \geq 0 : \Pi_A(z) \text{ is a singleton for each } z \in U(a, r) \}
$$

and the reach of $A$ as

$$
\text{reach } A := \inf_{a \in A} \text{reach } (A, a).
$$

Note that each set with positive reach is clearly closed.

As mentioned in introduction, it is essentially well-known that

if $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ has positive reach, then $A \in \mathcal{D}_d$

Indeed, for each $a \in A$ [1 Proposition 5.2] implies that $d_A$ is semiconvex on $U(a, \text{reach } A/2)$, which with [22] and Lemma 2.1 (ii) implies that $d_A$ is DC.

As far as we know, the following observation is new.

**Proposition 4.3.** Let $\emptyset \neq A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a set with positive reach and $B := \mathbb{R}^d \setminus A$. Then $d_B := \text{dist } (\cdot, B)$ is DC on $\mathbb{R}^d$.

**Proof.** Since $d_B$ is locally DC on $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B$ (see (2.2)) and on int$B$ (trivially), by Lemma 2.1 (ii) it is sufficient to prove that

for each $a \in \partial B$ there exists $\rho > 0$ such that $d_B$ is DC on $U(a, \rho)$.

To prove (4.4), choose $0 < r < \text{reach } A$ and denote $A_r := \{ x : \text{dist } (x, A) = r \}$. We will first prove that

$$
\text{dist } (x, B) + r = \text{dist } (x, A_r), \text{ whenever } x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus B = \text{int } A.
$$

To this end, choose an arbitrary $x \in \text{int } A$. Obviously, there exists $y \in \partial B \cap \partial A$ such that $\text{dist } (x, B) = |x - y|$. Since $A$ has positive reach and $y \in \partial A$, there exists
Corollary 4.4. \( R(4.7) \)


Remark 4.5. \( R(4.6) \)


Proof. The set \( \text{dist}(x,A_r) \leq |x-z| \leq |x-y| + |y-z| = \text{dist}(x,B) + r \).

To prove the opposite inequality, choose a point \( z^* \in A_r \) such that \( \text{dist}(x,A_r) = |x-z^*| \). Obviously, on the segment \([x, z^*]\) there exists a point \( y^* \in \partial A \subset B \). Then

\[
\text{dist}(x,A_r) = |x-z^*| = |x-y^*| + |y^* - z^*| \geq \text{dist}(x,B) + r,
\]

and (4.5) is proved.

Now let \( a \in \partial B \subset \partial A \) be given. Then \( a \notin A_r \) and so by (2.2) there exists \( \rho > 0 \) such that \( \text{dist}(\cdot, A_r) \) is DC on \( U(a, \rho) \). For \( x \in U(a, \rho) \), \( d_B(x) = \text{dist}(x, A_r) - r \) if \( x \in \text{int}A \) (by (1.5)) and \( d_B(x) = 0 \) if \( x \notin \text{int}A \). Thus Lemma 2.1 (iv) implies that \( d_B \) is DC on \( U(a, \rho) \), which proves (4.4).

Recall that it is an open question, whether \( g \in D_d \) whenever \( g : \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \to \mathbb{R} \) is a DC function. However, using Proposition 4.3, we easily obtain:

Corollary 4.4. \( g \in D_d \), whenever \( g : \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \to \mathbb{R} \) is a semiconcave function.

Remark 4.5. Let \( M \subset \mathbb{R}^d \) be a closed set whose boundary can be locally expressed as a graph of a semiconvex function (i.e., for each \( a \in \partial M \) there exist a a semiconvex function \( g : \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \to \mathbb{R} \), \( \delta > 0 \) and an isometry \( \varphi : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d \), \( \partial M \cap U(a, \delta) = \varphi(\text{graph} g) \cap U(a, \delta) \)). Then \( d_M \) is locally DC (and therefore DC) by the first part of this remark and (2.2) and so \( M \in D_d \) by Lemma 2.1 (iv) since \( d_M(x) \in [0, d_M] \).

Finally, we present several observations concerning stability of classes \( D_d \).

First observe that, for each \( d \in \mathbb{N} \),

\[
D_d \quad \text{is closed with respect to finite unions.}
\]

Indeed, if \( \emptyset \neq A \in D_d \) and \( \emptyset \neq B \in D_d \), then \( d_{A \cup B} = \min(d_A, d_B) \) and so \( d_{A \cup B} \) is DC by Lemma 2.1 (i).

Using (4.1), it is easy to see that, for \( d = 1 \),

\[
D_d \quad \text{is closed with respect to finite intersections.}
\]

However, (4.7) does not hold if \( d \geq 2 \). We will present a counterexample in \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) only, but a similar construction works also in the general case.

Let \( C \subset \mathbb{R} \) be the Cantor ternary set and \( f(x) := (d_C(x))^2 \), \( x \in \mathbb{R} \). Then \( f \) is a DC function by (1.1). Set \( A := \text{graph} f \) and \( B := \text{graph}(-f) \). Then \( A, B \) belong to \( D_2 \) by Theorem 3.3. On the other hand, since \( A \cap B = C \times \{0\} \), we easily obtain \( A \cap B \notin D_2 \) using (4.1).

Finally note that, for \( d = 1 \), the implication

\[
A \in D_d \Rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d \setminus A \in D_d
\]

holds by (4.1), but we do not know whether it holds in all \( \mathbb{R}^d \).
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