Erratum to “On Operations and Linear Extensions of Well Partially Ordered Sets”

Laurent Lyaudet

1 https://lyaudet.eu/laurent/

In this article, we give a counter-example to Lemma 12 of the article “On Operations and Linear Extensions of Well Partially Ordered Sets” by Maciej Malicki and Aleksander Rutkowski.
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1 Introduction

In this article, we give a counter-example to Lemma 12 of the article “On Operations and Linear Extensions of Well Partially Ordered Sets” by Maciej Malicki and Aleksander Rutkowski (Malicki and Rutkowski (2004)).

2 Definitions and notations

Definition 2.1 (Rank function). Each well-founded poset $P$ admits an ordinal valued rank function $\text{rank}_P$ defined inductively on its elements: $\text{rank}_P(a) = \sup_{x < a}(\text{rank}_P(x) + 1)$

Let $\mathcal{P} = \{P_t : t \in T\}$ be an ordered family of ordered sets, i.e. both $P_t$‘s and $T$ are partially ordered (by $\leq_t$ and $\leq_T$ respectively). With no loss of generality, elements of $\mathcal{P}$ can be assumed to be pairwise disjoint. Let, for $a \in \bigcup_{t \in T} P_t$, $f(a)$ be that unique $t$ such that $a \in P_t$.

Now, assume all elements of $\mathcal{P}$ to be well-founded and call, for $a \in \bigcup_{t \in T} P_t$, the primitive rank of $a$ an ordinal $g(a) = \text{rank}_{P_{f(a)}}(a)$. Define the following ranked order $<_R$ on $\bigcup_{t \in T} P_t$: $a <_R b$ if

- either $f(a) = f(b)$ and $a <_{f(a)} b$,
- or $f(a) < f(b)$ and $g(a) \leq g(b)$.

Call the union with that order the ranked sum and denote it $\mathcal{R}\mathcal{P}$. Observe that $a \leq_T b$ implies $f(a) \leq_T f(b)$. 
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3 A counter example to Lemma 12

False lemma 3.1 (Lemma 12). Let both $T$ and all the components $P_i$ of $\mathcal{RP}$ be well-founded (hence $P = \mathcal{RP}$ is well-founded too). Then for each $a \in \bigcup_{i \in T} P_i$, $\text{rank}_P(a) \leq \text{rank}_T(f(a)) + \text{rank}_{f(a)}(a)$.

Counter-example:

It is easy to construct an order $\mathcal{RP}$ with an element $a$ such that $\text{rank}_P(a) = \text{rank}_T(f(a)) + \text{rank}_{f(a)}(a) + 1$. Indeed consider $T = \{0, 1\}$, and $P_0 = P_1 = \omega + 1$ ($\omega$ is the first infinite ordinal). Let $a$ be the maximum of $P_1$, and $b$ be the maximum of $P_0$. Then $\text{rank}_{\mathcal{RP}}(b) = \text{rank}_{P_0}(b)$, hence $\text{rank}_{\mathcal{RP}}(a) = \text{rank}_{\mathcal{RP}}(b) + 1 = \omega + 1 > \text{rank}_T(f(a)) + \text{rank}_{f(a)}(a) = \text{rank}_T(P_1) + \text{rank}_{P_1}(a) = 1 + \omega = \omega$ (ordinal sum is not commutative and $1 + \omega \neq \omega + 1$).

The problem in the proof is in the line $\text{rank}_T(f(b)) + \text{rank}_{f(b)}(b) + 1 \leq \text{rank}_T(f(a)) + \text{rank}_{f(a)}(a)$. It should be corrected to $\text{rank}_T(f(b)) + 1 + \text{rank}_{f(b)}(b) \leq \text{rank}_T(f(a)) + \text{rank}_{f(a)}(a)$, but then the proof by transfinite induction fails.

You cannot correct the lemma by switching both ranks, i.e. $\text{rank}_P(a) \leq \text{rank}_{f(a)}(a) + \text{rank}_T(f(a))$. Indeed then $T = \omega + 1$, and $P_0 = P_1 = \ldots = P_\omega = \{0, 1\}$ is a counter-example.

Lemma 3.2. For any ordinal $\alpha$, there is an order $\mathcal{RP}$ with an element $a$ such that $\text{rank}_P(a) = \text{rank}_T(f(a)) + \text{rank}_{f(a)}(a) + \alpha$.

Proof: Consider $T = \alpha + 1$, and $P_0 = P_1 = \ldots = P_\alpha = \beta + 1$, where $\beta$ is the first ordinal such that $\alpha + \beta = \beta$. Let $a$ be the maximum of $P_\alpha$, and $b$ be the maximum of $P_0$. Then $\text{rank}_{\mathcal{RP}}(b) = \text{rank}_{P_0}(b)$, hence $\text{rank}_{\mathcal{RP}}(a) = \text{rank}_{\mathcal{RP}}(b) + \alpha = \beta + \alpha > \text{rank}_T(f(a)) + \text{rank}_{f(a)}(a) = \text{rank}_T(P_\alpha) + \text{rank}_{P_\alpha}(a) = \alpha + \beta = \beta$.

Lemma 3.3. For any ordinal $\alpha$, there is an order $\mathcal{RP}$ with an element $a$ such that $\text{rank}_P(a) = \text{rank}_{f(a)}(a) + \text{rank}_T(f(a)) + \alpha$.

4 Conclusion

We sent an email to one of the authors on 2019/02/24 but, unfortunately, we never had an answer. We hope this erratum may be useful to the scientific community.
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