PRIMITIVE DIVISORS OF ELLIPTIC DIVISIBILITY SEQUENCES OVER FUNCTION FIELDS WITH CONSTANT $j$-INVARIANT
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Abstract. We prove an optimal Zsigmondy bound for elliptic divisibility sequences over function fields in case the $j$-invariant of the elliptic curve is constant. In more detail, given an elliptic curve $E$ with a point $P$ of infinite order, the sequence $D_1, D_2, \ldots$ of denominators of multiples $P, 2P, \ldots$ of $P$ is a strong divisibility sequence in the sense that $\gcd(D_m, D_n) = D_{\gcd(m,n)}$. This is the genus-one analogue of the genus-zero Fibonacci, Lucas and Lehmer sequences.

A number $N$ is called a Zsigmondy bound of the sequence if each term $D_n$ with $n > N$ presents a new prime factor. The optimal uniform Zsigmondy bound for the genus-zero sequences over $\mathbb{Q}$ is 30 by Bilu-Hanrot-Voutier, 2000, but finding such a bound remains an open problem in genus one, both over $\mathbb{Q}$ and over function fields.

We prove that the optimal Zsigmondy bound for ordinary elliptic divisibility sequences over function fields is 2 if the $j$-invariant is constant. In the supersingular case, we give a complete classification of which terms can and cannot have a new prime factor.

1. Introduction

An elliptic divisibility sequence (EDS) over $\mathbb{Q}$ is a sequence $D_1, D_2, D_3, \ldots$ of positive integers defined as follows. Given an elliptic curve $E$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ and a point $P \in E(\mathbb{Q})$ of infinite order, choose a globally minimal Weierstrass equation for $E$ and write for every $Q \in E(\mathbb{Q})$:

\[
Q = \left( \frac{A_Q}{D_Q^2}, \frac{C_Q}{D_Q^3} \right),
\]

where the fractions are in lowest terms. Then set $D_n = D_{nP}$.

A result of Silverman [25] shows that all but finitely many terms $D_n$ have a primitive divisor, that is, a prime divisor $p \mid D_n$ such that $p \nmid D_m$ for all $1 \leq m < n$. Equivalently, this says that all but finitely many positive integers $n$ occur as the order of $(P \mod p)$ for some prime $p$. The question whether there is a uniform bound $N$ such that $D_n$ has a primitive divisor for all pairs $(E, P)$ and all $n > N$ remains open, see [7], [12], [13].

The definition of $D_Q$ of (1.1) is equivalent to

\[
v(D_Q) = \max\left\{ -\frac{1}{2} v(x_v(Q)), 0 \right\}
\]
for all non-archimedean valuations \( v \) and \( x_v \), the \( x \)-coordinate function for a \( v \)-minimal Weierstrass equation. If \( E \) and \( P \) are defined over a number field \( F \), then we define the EDS of the pair \( (E, P) \) to be the sequence of ideals \( D_n = D_{n,P} \) of \( \mathcal{O}_F \) defined by (1.2).

Similarly, if \( E \) and \( P \) are defined over the function field \( F = K(C) \) of a smooth, projective, geometrically irreducible curve \( C \) over a field \( K \), then we define the EDS of the pair \( (E, P) \) to be the sequence of divisors \( D_n = D_{n,P} \) on \( C \) defined by (1.2). See Section 1.2 for an equivalent definition in the case of perfect \( K \).

Elliptic divisibility sequences over function fields are studied in [4, 6, 13, 26].

From now on, we will speak of \textit{primitive valuations} instead of primitive divisors, so as not to confuse with the terms themselves, which are divisors in the function field case.

Silverman’s result and proof are also valid in the number field case [14]. In the case of function fields of characteristic zero, the same result is true, as shown by Ingram, Mahé, Silverman, Stange and Streng [13, Theorems 1.7 and 5.5].

This was extended to ordinary elliptic curves \( E \) over function fields of characteristic \( \neq 2,3 \) by Naskręcki [19]. Conditionally Naskręcki makes the result uniform in \( E \). The special case of the results of [19] where \( j(E) \) is constant gives a Zsigmondy bound \( N \) as follows.

- For fields \( K(C) \) of characteristic 0 we have \( N \leq 72 \) (see [10, p. 437] and [19, Lem. 7.1]).
- For fields \( K(C) \) with \( p = \text{char } K(C) \geq 5 \) and field of constants \( K = \mathbb{F}_q \), \( q = p^s \) we have \( N = N(g(C), p) < 10^{100(15 + 20\beta(C))} \cdot p^{84} \) for ‘tame’ elliptic curves (cf. [19, Def. 8.3]) and \( N = N(g(C), p, \chi, s) \) for ‘wild’ ordinary elliptic curves where \( \chi \) is the Euler characteristic of the elliptic surface attached to \( E \) over \( K(C) \).

1.1. Our results. All previous Zsigmondy results exclude the case of supersingular curves. In this paper, we consider the case of function fields \( F = K(C) \) and assume \( j(E) \in K \). A companion paper to this paper will deal with the case \( j(E) \in F \setminus K \), where it extends the results of Naskręcki [19] to arbitrary characteristic and improves the bound \( N \).

In the ordinary case, we prove a bound \( N = 2 \) and show that it is optimal. In the supersingular case in characteristic \( p \), we show that the terms \( D_n \) for \( n > 8p \) have a primitive divisor if and only if \( p \nmid n \), and we give a sharp version for every characteristic.

In more detail, the main results are as follows.

**Theorem 8.1** Let \( F = K(C) \) be the function field of a curve over \( K \).

Let \( E \) be an ordinary elliptic curve over \( F \) and let \( P \in E(F) \) be a point of infinite order such that \( j(E) \) is constant, but the pair \( (E, P) \) is not constant, cf. Definition 2.1. Then for all \( n > 2 \), the term \( D_n \) has a primitive valuation.

Conversely, for all ordinary \( j \)-invariants \( j \in K^* \) there exists a pair \( (E, P) \) as above with \( j(E) = j \) such that the terms \( D_1 \) and \( D_2 \) do not have a primitive valuation and there exists a pair \( (E, P) \) as above with \( j(E) = j \) such that all terms \( D_n \) for \( n \geq 1 \) have a primitive valuation.

**Theorem 8.2** Let \( K \) be a field and \( n \) a positive integer. Let \( p \) be the characteristic of \( K \).
Table 1. Table mentioned in Theorem 8.2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2 (= p)</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4 (≡ 2p)</th>
<th>6 (≡ 3p)</th>
<th>8 (≡ 4p)</th>
<th>\text{odd}</th>
<th>\text{even}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D_{n}</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3(≡ p)</th>
<th>6(≡ 2p)</th>
<th>9(≡ 3p)</th>
<th>\text{odd}</th>
<th>\text{even}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D_{n}</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>2p</th>
<th>3p</th>
<th>\text{odd}</th>
<th>\text{even}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D_{n}</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.2. Alternative definition. We now give a more standard, but more technical, definition of elliptic divisibility sequences over function fields in the case of perfect base fields K. It is proven in [13, Lemma 5.2] that this defines the same sequence \((D_{n,p})_{n}\) in the case of number fields \(K\); and the proof at loc. cit. extends to perfect fields \(K\).

Let \(E\) be an elliptic curve over the function field \(K(C)\) of a smooth projective curve \(C\) over a perfect field \(K\). Let \(S\) be the Kodaira–Néron model of \(E\), i.e., a smooth, projective surface with a relatively minimal elliptic fibration \(\pi: S \to C\) with generic fibre \(E\) and a section \(O: C \to S\), cf. [23, §1], [24, Chap. III, §3]. For example, if the curve \(E\) is constant (that is, defined over \(K\)), then we can take \(S = E \times C\) with the natural projection \(\pi: E \times C \to C\).

Let \(P\) be a point of infinite order in the Mordell–Weil group \(E(K(C))\). We define a family of effective divisors \(D_{n,p} \in \text{Div}(C)\) parameterised by natural numbers \(n\).
For each \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) the divisor \( D_{nP} \) is the pullback of the image \( \overline{O} \) of the section \( O \) through the morphism \( \sigma_{nP} : C \to S \) induced by the point \( nP \), that is,
\[
D_{nP} = \sigma_{nP}^*(\overline{O}).
\]

1.3. Known results about divisibility sequences over function fields. Elliptic divisibility sequences over function fields \( F = K(C) \) and related sequences were discussed in several places. We collect some known results here.

First of all, they satisfy the strong divisibility property
\[
(1.3) \quad \gcd(B_m, B_n) = B_{\gcd(m,n)}
\]
for all positive integers \( m, n \), where \( \gcd(B_m, B_n) := \sum_n \min\{v(B_m), v(B_n)\}[v] \).

Indeed, the proof in e.g. \([28, \text{Lemma } 3.3]\) carries over.

Theorem 1.5 of \([13]\) shows that in case \( E/K \) with \( K \) a number field (and again \( P \in E(F) \setminus E(K) \)) the set of prime numbers \( n \) such that \( D_{nP} - D_{1P} \) is irreducible has positive lower Dirichlet density.

Cornelissen and Reynolds \([4]\) study perfect power terms in the case \( j(E) \in F \setminus F^p \) for global function fields \( F \) of characteristic \( p \geq 5 \). Everest, Ingram, Mahé, and Stevens study primality of terms of elliptic divisibility sequences for \( K(C) = \mathbb{Q}(t) \) in the context of magnified sequences, see \([6, \text{Thm. } 1.5]\). Silverman \([26]\) and Ghioca-Hsia-Tucker \([9]\) study the common subdivisor for two simultaneous divisibility sequences on elliptic curves over \( K(t) \), where \( K \) is a field of characteristic 0.

In a broad context, Flatters and Ward \([8]\) prove an analogue of Theorem 8.1 for divisibility sequences of Lucas type for polynomials and Akbar-Yazdani \([1]\) study the greatest degree of the prime factors of certain Lucas polynomial divisibility sequences.

Hone and Swart \([11]\) study examples of Somos 4 sequences over \( K(t) \), of which elliptic divisibility sequences are special examples. They construct a certain elliptic surface and show that the corresponding sequence is a sequence of polynomials.

1.4. Overview and main ideas of the proof. The main idea behind the proof is to reduce to the case where \( E \) is defined over the base field \( K \) of \( F = K(C) \). In that case \( P \in E(F) \) can be viewed as a dominant morphism \( C \to E \) over \( K \). The primitive valuations of \( D_n \), then are exactly the pullbacks of points of order \( n \) on \( E \), which gives Theorem 2.3. For details, see Section 2.

For elliptic curves over \( F \) where only the \( j \)-invariant is in \( K \), we find an elliptic curve \( \overline{E} \) over \( K \) with the same \( j \)-invariant and an isomorphism \( \phi : E \to \overline{E} \) over \( \overline{F} \). Then Theorem 2.3 applies to the sequence \((D_{nPv})_n\) obtained from \((\overline{E}, \phi(P))\). See Section 3.

At that point, we know exactly which terms of \((D_{nPv})_n\) have primitive valuations, and the goal is to conclude which terms of \((D_{nPv})_n\) have primitive valuations.

For this, we look at the rank of apparition \( m(v) \) of a valuation \( v \) of \( F \) in the sequence \((D_{nPv})_n\), which is the positive integer
\[
m(v) = m(P, v) := \min\{n \geq 1 : \text{ord}_v(D_{nP}) \geq 1\},
\]
or \( \infty \) if the set is empty. A valuation \( v \) is primitive in the term \( D_{nP} \) if and only if \( n = m(v) \).

The key to our proof is to see how much the rank of apparition \( m(v) \) of a valuation \( v \) of \( \overline{F} \) can vary between the sequences \((D_{nPv})_n\) and \((D_{nP'})_n\). Section 4 shows that
this does not vary much, and bounds the variation in terms of the component group $E(F_v)/E_0(F_v)$.

This is already enough to get a weaker version of the main results, which is not sharp, but is already uniform (Theorems 4.7 and 4.9).

In Section 5 we prove two auxiliary results about the order of a point $P$ in the component group at $v$. This is needed in the proof of the main theorems to obtain a sharp result.

In Section 6 we show that the term $D_{3P}$ for sequences in characteristic $\neq 2,3$ always has a primitive valuation if $j(E) = 0$. This is also needed in order to obtain a sharp result.

Section 7 contains examples which we use to show that our main theorems are optimal, that is, to prove the converse statement in Theorem 8.1 and the $*$-entries in Theorem 8.2.

Finally, in Section 8 we combine all of the above into a proof of our main results.

2. Constant curves

Let $C$ be a smooth, geometrically irreducible, projective curve over a field $K$ and let $F = K(C)$ be its function field. Let $E/F$ be an elliptic curve and $P \in E(F)$ a point. For field extensions $M \supset L$ and elliptic curves $E'$ over $L$, let $E'_M$ be the base change of $E'$ to $M$.

Definition 2.1. We say that $E$ is constant if there exists an elliptic curve $\tilde{E}/K$ and an isomorphism $\phi : E \to \tilde{E}_F$ defined over $F$.

We say that the pair $(E, P)$ is constant if there exist such $\tilde{E}$ and $\phi$ that also satisfy $\phi(P) \in \tilde{E}(K)$.

Lemma 2.2. The pair $(E, P)$ is constant if and only if $E$ is constant and for all elliptic curves $\tilde{E}/K$ and isomorphisms $\phi : E \to \tilde{E}_F$ we have $\phi(P) \in \tilde{E}(K)$.

Proof. Implication from the right to left follows from the definition. So it is enough to prove the other implication. Suppose that $(E, P)$ is constant. There exists an elliptic curve $\tilde{E}$ defined over $K$ and an isomorphism $\phi : E \to \tilde{E}_F$ of $F$-curves such that $\phi(P) \in \tilde{E}(K)$. Let $\tilde{E}'$ be an elliptic curve over $K$ and $\phi' : E \to \tilde{E}'_F$ another $F$-isomorphism. Let $\phi \circ \phi'^{-1} : \tilde{E}'_F \to \tilde{E}_F$ denote the corresponding isomorphism of $F$-curves. It follows that the curves $\tilde{E}'_F$ and $\tilde{E}_F$ have equal $j$-invariant and since $\tilde{E}$ and $\tilde{E}'$ are defined over $K$ there exists a $\mathbb{K}$-isomorphism $\psi : \tilde{E}_F \to \tilde{E}'_F$. Let $F'$ denote the function field of the curve $\tilde{C}_F$. We have

$$\eta \circ \psi_{F'} \circ \phi_{F'} = \phi'_{F'},$$

for some $\eta \in \text{Aut}(\tilde{E}'_{F'}) = \text{Aut}(\tilde{E}_F)$. Since $P \in E(F)$, we have $\phi'(P) \in \tilde{E}'(F)$. From our assumption it follows that $\phi(P) \in \tilde{E}(K)$ and hence $\phi'(P) = (\eta \circ \psi_{F'} \circ \phi_{F'})(P) \in \tilde{E}'(K)$. Observe that $\mathbb{K} \cap F = K$ because the curve $C$ is geometrically irreducible and defined over $K$, hence $\phi'(P) \in \tilde{E}'(K)$. 

2.1. Constant $E$. Suppose that $E$ is constant. Then without loss of generality we consider $E = \tilde{E}_F$. Then $P \in E(F)$ can be interpreted as a morphism of curves $P : C \to \tilde{E}$ defined over $K$ as follows. We give two interpretations, both leading to the same morphism.
Consider the constant elliptic surface \((S, \pi, C)\) where \(S = \tilde{E} \times C\) and \(\pi : S \to C\) is the projection on the second factor. Every point \(P\) on the generic fibre \(E\) corresponds to a unique section \(\sigma_P : C \to S\). Composition \(\mu \circ \sigma_P : C \to \tilde{E}\) of \(\sigma_P\) with the projection \(\mu : S \to \tilde{E}\) on the first factor is a morphism defined over \(K\).

By abuse of notation we denote the morphism \(\mu \circ \sigma_P\) by \(P\).

Equivalently, the point \(P \in E(F)\) has coordinates in \(F = K(C)\), hence defines a rational map from \(C\) to \(E\). All such rational maps are morphisms as \(C\) is smooth and \(E\) is projective.

Applying this abuse of notation to \(nP\) too, we get \(nP = [n] \circ P\).

Note that the pair \((E, P)\) is constant if and only if the morphism \(P : C \to \tilde{E}\) is a constant morphism, or equivalently, maps to a single point.

2.1.1. Constant \(P\). If \(P\) maps to a single point, then so does \([n] \circ P\). In particular, for all \(n\) either \(nP = O\) or the images of \([n] \circ P\) and \(O\) are disjoint. If \(P\) has infinite order, then this gives for all \(n\):

\[
D_{nP} = 0.
\]

2.1.2. Non-constant \(P\). Let us assume in this section that \(E\) is constant and the morphism \(P : C \to \tilde{E}\) is non-constant.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let \(F = K(C)\) be as above. Let \(E\) be an elliptic curve over \(K\) and \(P \in E(F) \setminus E(K)\) a point of infinite order. Let \(n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\) be an integer and let \(p \geq 0\) be the characteristic of \(K\).

1. if \(p \nmid n\) or \(E\) is ordinary, then \(D_n\) has a primitive valuation,
2. if \(p \mid n\) and \(E\) is supersingular, then \(D_n = p^2 D_{n/p}\) has no primitive valuation.

**Proof.** We have \(D_{nP} = ([n] \circ P)^\ast(O) = P^\ast([n]^\ast(O))\). Note that

\[
[n]^\ast(O) = \deg_{i}([n]) \sum_{Q \in E(K)[n]} (Q)
\]

where \(\deg_{i}([n])\) denotes the inseparable degree of \([n]\). The formula (2.2) proves (2).

Moreover, if \(E\) is ordinary or \(p \nmid n\), then \(E(K)\) contains a point \(Q_n\) of order \(n\). Since \(P\) is a dominant morphism, there is a point that maps to \(Q_n\) under \(P\), and the valuation associated with such a point is a primitive valuation of \(D_{nP}\).

**Remark 2.4.** The existence of a cover \(P : C \to \tilde{E}\) implies that \(C\) has genus greater than or equal to 1.

In fact, as all such covers factor through the identity map \(id : \tilde{E} \to \tilde{E}\), we see that for every elliptic curve \(\tilde{E}/K\), there is one prototypical example given by \(P = id : \tilde{E} \to \tilde{E}\). In other words, this example has \(C = \tilde{E}\) and \(S = \tilde{E} \times \tilde{E}\). The point \(P \in E(K(E))\) corresponds to the morphism \(\mu \circ \Delta\) where \(\Delta : \tilde{E} \to \tilde{E} \times \tilde{E}\) is the diagonal map.

**Example 2.5.** Let \(C = \tilde{E} : y^2 = x^3 + x\) over \(K = \mathbb{F}_3\) and \(P = (x, y) \in E(K(E))\). Let \(i\) be a square root of \(-1\) in a quadratic extension of \(\mathbb{F}_3\). Then

- \(E(K)[1] = \{O\}\)
- \(E(K)[2] = E(K)[1] \cup \{(0, 0), (\pm i, 0)\}\)
- \(E(K)[3] = E(K)[1]\)
and we present below only the factorisation of the polynomial

\[
E(\mathbb{K})[4] = E(\mathbb{K})[2] \cup \{(1, \pm i), (-1, \pm 1), (\pm i + 1, \pm i), (\pm i - 1, \pm 1)\},
\]

where all the signs are independent. In particular, we obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
D_1p &= O \\
D_2p &= D_1p + (0, 0) + (i, 0) + (-i, 0) \\
D_3p &= 9O \\
D_4p &= D_2p + \sum_{s \in \{\pm 1\}} \left[ (1, si) + (-1, s) + (i + 1, si) + (-i + 1, s) + (i - 1, s) + (-i - 1, s) \right] \\
D_6p &= 9D_2p.
\end{align*}
\]

By symmetry, for \( b \neq 0 \) the points \((a, b)\) and \((a, -b)\) only appear together. Because of that, we introduce the following notation. Let

\[
D'_m = \begin{cases} 
D_m - \text{ord}_O(D_m)O & \text{if } 2 \mid m, \\
D_m - \text{ord}_O(D_m)D_2p & \text{if } 2 \nmid m.
\end{cases}
\]

The divisor \(D'_m\) is the pullback \(x^*E_m\) of an effective divisor \(E_m\) on the affine line \(\mathbb{A}^1\). Let \(p(m)\) denote a monic polynomial with divisor of zeroes equal to \(E_m\).

We get that the divisor \(D_{n,p}\) has the form

\[
D_{n,p} = a(n)(O) + b(n)\text{div}_0(y) + \text{div}_0(p(n)),
\]

where

\[
a(n) = g_{\text{ord}_3(n)}, \\
b(n) = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd}, \\
a(n) & \text{if } n \text{ is even},
\end{cases}
\]

and we present below only the factorisation of the polynomial \(p(n)\).

\[p(1) = 1\]
\[p(2) = 1\]
\[p(3) = 1\]
\[p(4) = (x + 1) \cdot (x + 2) \cdot (x^2 + x + 2) \cdot (x^2 + 2x + 2)\]
\[p(5) = (x^4 + x + 2) \cdot (x^4 + 2x + 2) \cdot (x^4 + x^2 + 2)\]
\[p(6) = 1\]
\[p(7) = (x^3 + x^2 + 2x + 1) \cdot (x^3 + 2x^2 + 2x + 2) \cdot (x^6 + 2x^4 + x^2 + 1)\]
\[\cdot (x^6 + x^5 + 2x^4 + 2x^3 + 2x^2 + 2x + 1) \cdot (x^6 + x^5 + 2x^4 + x^3 + 2x^2 + x + 1)\]
\[p(8) = (x + 1) \cdot (x + 2) \cdot (x^2 + x + 2) \cdot (x^2 + 2x + 2) \cdot (x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1)\]
\[\cdot (x^4 + x^3 + 2x^2 + 2x + 2) \cdot (x^4 + x^3 + 2x^2 + x + 1)\]
\[\cdot (x^4 + 2x^3 + x^2 + 2x + 1) \cdot (x^4 + 4x^3 + x^2 + 2x + 1)\]
\[p(9) = 1\]
\[p(10) = (x^4 + x + 2) \cdot (x^4 + 2x + 2) \cdot (x^4 + x^2 + 2x + 1)\]
\[\cdot (x^4 + x^2 + 2x + 1) \cdot (x^4 + x^2 + 2x + 1) \cdot (x^4 + x^3 + 2x + 1)\]
\[\cdot (x^4 + x^3 + 2x + 1) \cdot (x^4 + 2x^3 + x + 1) \cdot (x^4 + 2x^3 + x^2 + 1)\]
\[p(11) = (x^{10} + x^7 + x^5 + x^4 + 2x^3 + 2x^2 + x + 2)\]

\( \cdot (x^{10} + 2x^7 + 2x^5 + x^4 + x^3 + 2x^2 + 2x + 2) \)

\( \cdot (x^{10} + 2x^8 + x^6 + x^5 + 2x+2) \cdot (x^{10} + 2x^8 + x^6 + 2x^5 + x^2 + x + 2) \)

\( \cdot (x^{10} + 2x^8 + x^7 + x^6 + 2x^5 + 2x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + 2) \)

\( \cdot (x^{10} + 2x^8 + 2x^7 + x^6 + 2x^5 + 2x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + 2) \)

\( p(12) = (x+1)^9 \cdot (x+2)^3 \cdot (x^2 + x + 2)^9 \cdot (x^2 + 2x + 2)^9 \)

This confirms the equality \( D_{12P} = 9D_{4P} \) and the fact that terms \( D_{nP} \) with \( 3 \nmid n \) have primitive valuations.

3. RELATING CONSTANT \( E \) TO CONSTANT \( j \) GLOBALLY

3.1. Definitions and example. Let \( E \) be an elliptic curve over \( F = K(C) \) and let \( P \in E(F) \) be a point of infinite order. Now suppose \( j(E) \in K \). Note that this includes the case where \( E \) is supersingular by [27, V.3.1(a)(iii)].

Let \( \bar{E} \) be an elliptic curve over \( K \) with the same \( j \)-invariant. Then there is a finite extension \( F' \) of \( F \) and an isomorphism \( \phi : E_{F'} \to \bar{E}_{F'} \). Let \( K' = F' \cap \bar{K} \) be the constant field of \( F' \) and let \( C' \) be the smooth projective curve over \( K' \) with \( F' = K'(C') \). We obtain a covering map \( f : C' \to C_K \) induced by the inclusion \( FK' \to F' \). Let \( P' = \phi(P) \in \bar{E}(F') \).

The idea behind the proof of our main results is to relate the EDS \( (D_{nP})_n \) obtained from \( (E, P) \) to the EDS \( (D_{nP'})_n \) obtained from \( (\bar{E}, P') \), and then to apply Theorem 2.3 to \( (D_{nP'})_n \).

Example 3.1. Let \( E \) be the supersingular elliptic curve over \( F = \mathbb{F}_3(t) = \mathbb{F}_3(P^1) \) given by

\( E : y^2 = x^3 + tx - t \)

and let \( P = (1, 1) \in E(F) \). We start by computing \( D_{nP} \) for a few values of \( n \). The discriminant \( \Delta(E) \) is \(-t^3\), hence the given model is minimal for all finite places of \( P^1 \). Therefore, we can compute these valuations of \( D_{nP} \) by computing the square root of the denominator of \( x(nP) \). For the valuation at infinity, we take \((x', y') = (t^{-2}x, t^{-3}y)\), so

\( E : y'^2 = x'^3 + t^{-3}x' - t^{-5}, \quad (x'(P), y'(P)) = (t^{-2}, t^{-3}) \),

which is minimal since the discriminant \( -t^{-9} \) has valuation 9.

Using the shorthand \( p(t) \) for the valuation \( \text{ord}_0(p(t)) \), we compute (in multiplicative notation)

\( D_{1P} = 1 \)
\( D_{2P} = 1 \)
\( D_{3P} = t^2 \)
\( D_{4P} = (t + 2)^3 \)
\( D_{5P} = (t^2 + t + 2)^3 \)
\( D_{6P} = t^2 \cdot \infty^3 \)
\( D_{7P} = (t + 1)^3 \cdot (t^3 + t^2 + t + 2)^3 \)
\( D_{8P} = (t + 2)^3 \cdot (t^4 + 2t^3 + t + 1)^3 \)
\( D_{9P} = t^{20} \)
\[ D_{10P} = (t^2 + t + 2)^3 \cdot (t^2 + 2t + 2)^3 \cdot (t^4 + t^3 + t^2 + 1)^3 \]
\[ D_{11P} = (t^{10} + 2t^9 + 2t^8 + t^7 + t^6 + 2t^5 + 2t^4 + 2t^3 + 2t^2 + 1)^3 \]
\[ D_{22P} = t^2 \cdot (t + 2)^{27} \cdot \infty^3 \]

All terms \( D_nP \) with \( 3 \nmid n \) listed here have a primitive valuation except \( D_{1P} \) and \( D_{2P} \). All terms \( D_nP \) with \( 3 \mid n \) listed here have no primitive valuation except \( D_{3P} \) and \( D_{6P} \).

As \( j(E) = 0 \), we find that \( E \) is isomorphic over \( \mathbb{F} \) to
\[ \tilde{E} : Y^2 = X^3 + X. \]

Next, we look for the isomorphism \( \phi : E_{\mathbb{F}} \to \tilde{E}_{\mathbb{F}} \). All isomorphisms are given in case II of the proof of Proposition A.1.2(b) of Silverman [27] as
\[ X = u^2x + r, \quad Y = u^3y, \quad \text{where} \]
\[ u^4 = 1/t, \quad 0 = r^3 + r + u^2. \]
We use the notation \( v = -r \) and solve for \( u \) and \( v \) in (3.3). Choose a 4th root of unity \( u \in \mathbb{F} \) of \( 1/t \), and take \( v \in \mathbb{F} \) such that \( v^3 + v = u^2 \). Then \( F' = F(u,v) \) is an extension of \( F \) of degree 12 and is the function field of the curve
\[ C' : u^2 = v^3 + v \]
over \( K' = K \). The inclusion \( F \to F' \) corresponds to the projection
\[ u^{-4} : C' \to \mathbb{P}^1 : (v,u) \mapsto u^{-4}, \]
which is a 12-fold covering. The isomorphism \( \phi \) given by \( (3.3) \) is
\[ \phi : E_{F'} \to \tilde{E}_{F'} \]
\[ (x,y) \mapsto (u^2x - v, u^3y). \]

Then
\[ P' = \phi(P) = (u^2 - v, u^3) = (v^3, u^3) = \text{Frob}_3((v,u)) \in \tilde{E}(F'). \]
In other words, if we identify \( C' \) with \( \tilde{E} \) via \( (X,Y) = (v,u) \), then \( P' : C' \to \tilde{E} \) is the (purely inseparable) 3rd power Frobenius endomorphism \( \text{Frob}_3 \) (of degree 3). In particular, the EDS \( (D_{n,P'})_n \) obtained from \( (\tilde{E},P') \) is 3 times the EDS of Example 2.4.

3.2. The point \( P' \) is non-constant. Next we show that if \( (E,P) \) is non-constant (cf. Definition 2.1), then \( P' \) is non-constant.

**Lemma 3.2 (Tate normal form).** Let \( E \) be an elliptic curve over a field \( L \) and let \( P \in E(L) \) be a point of order \( \geq 4 \). Then there are unique \( B, C \in L \) and a change of coordinates over \( L \) such that
\[ E : y^2 + (1 - C)xy - By = x^3 - Bx^2, \quad P = (0,0). \]

*Proof.* Starting with a general Weierstrass equation, first translate to get \( P = (0,0) \) (allowed as \( P \neq O \)). Then
\[ E : y^2 + a_1xy + a_3y = x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x. \]
With \( y \to y - a_4/a_3x \) (allowed as \( 2P \neq O \)), we get \( a_4 = 0 \). With \( (x,y) \mapsto (u^2x, u^3y) \) and \( u = a_2/a_3 \) (allowed as \( 3P \neq O \)), we get \( a_2 = a_3 \). Then let \( B = -a_2 \) and \( C = 1 - a_1 \).
Corollary 3.3. Let $E$ be an elliptic curve over $F$ and suppose $j(E) \in K$. Let $P \in E(F)$ be a point of order $\geq 4$. If the point $P'$ of Section 3.1 is constant (that is, is in $E(\overline{K})$), then the pair $(E, P)$ is constant as in Definition 2.4.

Proof. If $P' \in E(\overline{K})$, then the Tate normal form of $(\tilde{E}, P')$ has $B, C \in \overline{K}$. The Tate normal form of $(E, P)$ has $B, C \in F$. By uniqueness of the Tate normal form over $\overline{F}$, we get $B, C \in \overline{K} \cap F = K$, hence $(E, P)$ is isomorphic over $F$ to a pair defined over $K$.

In particular, in our case where $P$ has order $\infty > 4$, if the pair $(E, P)$ is non-constant, then the point $P'$ is non-constant.

4. RELATING CONSTANT $E$ TO CONSTANT $j$ LOCALLY

4.1. Reduction modulo primes of curves with constant $j$. Elliptic curves with constant $j$-invariant admit only places of good or additive reduction. We show that the valuations $v$ of additive reduction appear early on in the sequence $D_{n^j}$ (Lemma 4.1), while those of good reduction appear in the same place where they appear in the corresponding constant sequence $D_{n^j}$ (Lemma 4.2).

Recall that $m(v) = m(P, v)$ denotes the smallest positive integer $n$ such that $v(D_{n^j}) > 0$ (with $m(v) = \infty$ if it does not exist).

Lemma 4.1. Let $E$ be an elliptic curve over $F = K(C)$ and let $P \in E(F)$.

Let $v$ be a characteristic of $K$. Let $v$ be a valuation of $F$ and let $d = d_v$ be the order of $P$ in the component group $E(F_v)/E_0(F_v)$ of the special fibre of the Néron model of $E$ at $v$.

(1) If $j(E) \in K$, then $E$ has good or additive reduction at $v$.

(2) If $E$ has additive reduction at $v$, then

(a) if $p = 0$, then $m(v) = d$ or $m(v) = \infty$.

(b) if $p > 0$, then $m(v) = d$ or $m(v) = dp$.

(3) If $E$ has additive reduction at $v$, then $d \leq 4$.

(4) If $j(E) \in K$, then

(a) if $p \neq 2$, then $d \leq 3$.

(b) if $p \neq 3$ and $j(E) \neq 0$, then $d \mid 4$.

(c) if $p \not\in \{2, 3\}$ and $j(E) \neq 0$, then $d \leq 2$.

Proof. (1) As $j(E) \in K$, we have $v(j(E)) \geq 0$, hence $E$ does not have multiplicative reduction at $v$ by [24, Proposition VII.5.1(b)] and $j = c_2^2/\Delta$.

(2) Note that $m(v)$ is the order of $P$ in $E(F_v)/E_1(F_v)$. In the additive case, we have $E_0(F_v)/E_1(F_v) \cong F_v$. If $p = 0$, then this group is torsion-free, so that $m(v)$ is $\infty$ or $d$. If $p > 0$, then this group has exponent $p$, so that $m(v)$ is $d$ or $dp$.

Write $c = \#E(F_v)/E_0(F_v)$, so $d \mid c$. For parts (3) and (4), we will use tables of reduction types to find restrictions on $c$, hence on $d$.

If $K$ is perfect, then the reduction types were classified by Kodaira and Néron and can be found in [24, Table 4.1 in §IV.9] (equivalently [27, Table 15.1 in Appendix C]). For general fields $K$, we need the generalization by Szydlo [29, Theorem 3.1 and Proposition 7.1.1]. All types that are in Szydlo’s classification and were not already in the Kodaira-Néron classification have $c \in \{1, 2\}$ by [29] (20) on page 96.

(3) In the additive reduction case, we get $\#E(F_v)/E_0(F_v) = c$ with $c \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ by [24, Table 4.1]. Note that we have $d \mid c$. 

Suppose first that $K$ is perfect. If $c = 4$, then the reduction type is $I^*_n$, hence by the bottom part of [24 Table 4.1], we get $\text{char}(K) = 2$ or $v(j(E)) < 0$. In general Theorem 5.1 and Tables 1 and 4 of [29] give the same result.

In the same way, the case $c = 3$ only happens when $\text{char}(K) = 3$ or $v(j(E)) > 0$. Indeed, the reduction type is $IV$ or $IV^*$, the same reference works in the perfect case, and in the general case one needs Table 5 in [29] instead of Table 4.

Combining (4a) with (4b) gives (4c).

\section{4.2. Relating $(D_{nP})_n$ with $(D_{nP'})_n$.}

To prove our main results, we link the EDS $(D_{nP})_n$ obtained from $(E, P)$ to the EDS $(D_{nP'})_n$ obtained from $(\tilde{E}, P')$. Let $v'$ be a valuation of $F'$ lying over a valuation $v$ of $F$.

\textbf{Lemma 4.2.} Let $E$ be an elliptic curve over $F = K(C)$ with $j(E) \in K$. Let $P \in E(F)$ have infinite order and let $P'$ be as in Section 3.

If $E$ does not have additive reduction at $v$, then we have $v'(D_{mP'}) = v'(D_{mP})$ for all $m$.

\textbf{Proof.} Note that $\tilde{E}_{P'}$ has good reduction at all valuations of $F'$. Suppose that $E$ does not have additive reduction at $v$. As $j(E) \in K$, we find that $E$ also has good reduction at $v$, hence the isomorphism $E_{P'} \rightarrow \tilde{E}_{P'}$ is an isomorphism over the local ring at $v'$, which does not affect the valuation of $x(mP)$.

\textbf{Example 4.3.} We continue Example 3.1 so that $E : y^2 = x^3 + tx - t$ and $P = (1, 1)$. In that example, we saw that the EDS $(D_{nP'})_n$ is 3 times the EDS of Example 2.5 with $X = v, Y = u, u^2 = v^3 + v$ and $t = u^{-4}$.

We compute the difference $D_{nP'} - D_{nP}$ for the first few terms. To help in this computation, note the following identities.

\[
\begin{align*}
div_0(t) &= div_0(u^{-4}) = 12(O), \\
div_\infty(t) &= 4div_0(u), \quad \text{where} \quad div_0(u) = (0, 0) + (i, 0) + (-i, 0),
\end{align*}
\]

and if $p$ is a polynomial with $p(0) \neq 0$ and $p^*$ is its reciprocal, then

\[
\begin{align*}
div_0(p(t)) &= div_0(p^*(u^4)) = div_0(p^*((v^3 + v)^2)).
\end{align*}
\]

We obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
D_{1P'} - D_{1P} &= 3(O) \\
D_{2P'} - D_{2P} &= 3(O) + 3div_0(u) \\
D_{3P'} - D_{3P} &= 27(O) - 2div_0(t) = 3(O) \\
D_{4P'} - D_{4P} &= 3(O) + 3div_0(u) + 3div_0((v + 1)(v + 2)(v^2 + v)(v^2 + 2v + 2)) \\
&\quad + 3div_0(1 + 2u^4) = 3(O) + 3div_0(u) \\
D_{5P'} - D_{5P} &= 3(O) + 3div_0(u) + 3div_0((v^4 + v + 2)(v^4 + 2v + 2)(v^4 + v^2 + 2)) \\
&\quad + 3div_0(1 + 2u^4 + 2u^8) = 3(O) \\
D_{6P'} - D_{6P} &= 27(O) + 27div_0(u) - 2div_0(t) - 3div_\infty(t) = 3(O) + 15div_0(u) \\
D_{7P'} - D_{7P} &= 3(O)
\end{align*}
\]
\[ D_{3P'} - D_{3P} = 243(O) - 20 \text{div}_0(t) = 3(O) \]
\[ D_{12P'} - D_{12P} = \cdots = 3(O) + 15 \text{div}_0(u). \]
The difference is indeed only in the valuations lying over the places \( t = 0 \) and \( t = \infty \) of additive reduction of \( E \).

The following lemma shows how much the primitive valuations of the sequence of \( (D_{nP'})_n \) can be “postponed” to later terms of \( (D_{nP})_n \).

**Lemma 4.4.** Let \( E \) be an elliptic curve over \( F \) and let \( P \in E(F) \) be a point of infinite order. Suppose that \( v \) is a valuation of \( F \) such that \( E \) has additive reduction at \( v \). Let \( d = d_v \) be the order of \( P \) in the component group \( E(F_v) / E_0(F_v) \). Suppose that \( j(E) \) is constant and let \( P' \), \( v' \), and \( (D_{nP'})_n \) be as above.

Let \( m = m(P,v) \) and \( m' = m(P',v') \) be the ranks of apparition of the valuations \( v \) and \( v' \) in the two sequences.

1. We have \( m' \mid d \).
2. If \( K \) has characteristic 0, then \( m = d \) or \( m(v) = \infty \).
3. If \( K \) has characteristic \( p > 0 \), then \( m = d \) or \( m = dp \).

**Proof.** Parts 2 and 3 are exactly part 2 of Lemma 4.1.

It remains to prove (1). After base-changing to \( F_{v'} \), we get a Weierstrass equation \( \bar{E} \) over \( K \). As \( \bar{E} \) is defined over \( K \), it has good reduction at \( v' \). We have an isomorphism \( \phi : E_{v'} \to \bar{E}_{v'} \). Claim: \( \phi(E_0(F_v)) \subset (\bar{E}_{v'})_1(F_{v'}). \) Assuming the claim, we get \( dP' = \phi(dP) \in (\bar{E}_{v'})_1(F_{v'}) \), hence \( v(dP') > 0 \), hence \( m' \mid d \). So in order to prove (1), it suffices to prove the claim.

Proof of the claim. By [27, VII.1.3(d)] there are \( u, r \in O_{v'} \) with \( u \neq 0 \) such that for all \( Q = (x,y) \in E(F_{v'}) \) and \( (x', y') = \phi(Q) \):

\[ x = u^2 x' + r. \]

In fact we have \( v'(u) > 0 \) as otherwise \( E \) has good reduction already with its model over \( F_{v'} \).

It now suffices to show that for points \( Q \) of good reduction (i.e., inside \( E_0(F_{v'}) \)), we have \( x(Q) \equiv r \text{ modulo } v' \).

Without loss of generality (by translation over \( O_v \) as in the beginning of the proof of [27, III.1.4]), the cuspidal singularity of \( (E \text{ mod } v) \) lies at \((0,0)\). Then \((E \text{ mod } v) : y^2 + \frac{a_1}{4} xy = x^3 + \frac{a_2}{4} x^2 + \frac{a_3}{4} + 4r \equiv 0 \). The only point on \((E \text{ mod } v) \) with \( z = 0 \) is the singular point \((0,0)\), so it suffices to prove in this case that \( r = 0 \).

From [27, §III.1], we get \( b_i \equiv 0 \) for \( i = 2, 4, 6, 8 \). Then we use the transformation formulas for \( b_i \) of Table 3.1 in [27, §III.1]. The transformation formula for \( b_6 \) gives \( 0 \equiv 0 + 4r^3 \), hence \( r \equiv 0 \) in characteristic \( \neq 2 \). The transformation formula for \( b_8 \) gives \( 0 \equiv 0 + 3r^4 \), hence \( r \equiv 0 \) in characteristic \( \neq 3 \). This proves the claim. \( \square \)

**Example 4.5.** Indeed, in Example 4.3 the valuations of \( F \) at which \( E \) has additive reduction are \( t = 0 \) and \( t = \infty \), corresponding respectively to \( O \) and \( \text{div}_0(u) \) of \( C' \).

The reduction at \( t = 0 \) is of type II, hence the component group there has order 2. As the point \( P \) does not reduce to the singular point, we have \( d = 1 \). In the sequence, we see \( m = m(P,v) = 3 = p \) and \( m' = m(P',v') = 1 = d \).

The reduction at \( t = \infty \) is of type III*, hence the component group has order 2. As the point \( P \) reduces to the singular point, we have \( d = 2 \). In the sequence, we see \( m = m(P,v) = 6 = dp \) and \( m' = m(P',v') = 2 = d \).

In both cases, we have \( m' = m(P',v') \leq 2 \leq 4 \).
Proposition 4.6. Let $E$ be an elliptic curve over $F$ with $j(E) \in K$. Let $P \in E(F)$ be a point of infinite order. Suppose that $(E, P)$ is non-constant. Let $n$ be a positive integer. If $E$ is supersingular, assume that $p \nmid n$. Then

(1) $D_{nP}$ has a primitive valuation or
(2) there is a valuation $v$ of $F$ such that $n$ divides the order $d_v$ of $P$ in the component group $E(F_v)/E_0(F_v)$.

Proof. Let $v'$ be a primitive valuation of $D_{nP'}$, which exists by Theorem 2.3. Let $v$ be the restriction of $v'$ to $F$. Then $E$ has good or additive reduction at $v$ by Lemma 4.1(1). If $E$ has good reduction, then $n = m(P', v') = n(P, v)$ by Lemma 4.2. If $E$ has additive reduction, then $n = m(P', v') | d_v$ by Lemma 4.4(1).

As we have $d_v \leq 4$ by Lemma 4.1[3], we get the following.

Theorem 4.7. Let $E$ be an ordinary elliptic curve over $F = K(C)$ with $j(E) \in K$. Let $P \in E(F)$ be a point of infinite order. If $(E, P)$ is not constant, then for all $n > 4$, the term $D_{nP}$ has a primitive valuation.

Proposition 4.8. Let $E$ be a supersingular elliptic curve over $F$. Let $P \in E(F)$ be a point of infinite order. Suppose that $(E, P)$ is non-constant. Let $n$ be a positive integer. Then

(1) $D_{nP}$ has no primitive valuation or
(2) there is a valuation $v$ of $F$ such that $n$ divides the order $d_v$ of $P$ in the component group $E(F_v)/E_0(F_v)$.

Proof. If $D_{nP}$ has no primitive valuation, then we are done. If it does, then let $v$ be such a primitive valuation, so $m(P, v) = np$. Let $v'$ be an extension of $v$ to $F'$. Then $E$ has good or additive reduction at $v$ by Lemma 4.1(1). If $E$ has good reduction, then $m(P', v') = m(P, v) = np$ by Lemma 4.2 but that contradicts Theorem 2.3. If $E$ has additive reduction, then Lemma 4.4(3) gives $np = m(P, v) | d_v$, so $n | d_v$.

As we have $d_v \leq 4$ by Lemma 4.1[3], Propositions 4.7 and 4.8 imply the following result.

Theorem 4.9. Let $E$ be a supersingular elliptic curve over $F = K(C)$ and let $P \in E(F)$ be a point of infinite order. If $(E, P)$ is non-constant, then

(1) for all integers $n > 4$ with $p \nmid n$, the term $D_n$ has a primitive valuation, and
(2) for all positive integers $n > 4$, the term $D_{pn}$ has no primitive valuation.

5. Component groups

In order to sharpen Theorems 4.7 and 4.9 further, we need to look at the component group. In this section we derive extra restrictions on the order $d_v$ of a point in the component group.

By a local function field, we mean a completion $K(C)_v$ of the function field $K(C)$ of a geometrically irreducible curve $C$ over a field $K$ at a discrete valuation $v$. 
Proposition 5.1. Let $F$ be a local function field of characteristic 2 with valuation $v$ and constant field $K$. Let $E$ be an elliptic curve over $F$ with $j(E) \in K^*$. Then the component group $E(F)/E_0(F)$ does not have an element of order 4.

Proof. Suppose that the component group $E(F)/E_0(F)$ has an element of order 4. We will show $v(j(E)) \neq 0$, which contradicts our assumption that $j(E)$ is a non-zero constant.

By the tables of reduction types in [24, 29] (see the detailed references in the proof of our Lemma 4.1 above), if $E(F)/E_0(F)$ has an element of order 4, then the elliptic curve $E$ has reduction at $v$ of type $I_n^*$ for some $n = 2m + 1$ with $m \geq 0$. By Szydlo [29, Table 7] (see also Theorems 5.1 and 6.1 of loc. cit.), this implies that $E$ has a $v$-minimal Weierstrass model with

$$v(a_1) \geq 1, \quad v(a_2) = 1, \quad v(a_3) = m + 2, \quad v(a_4) \geq m + 3, \quad v(a_6) \geq 2m + 4.$$  

As an alternative reference: under the assumption that $K$ is perfect, one can also obtain (5.1) from Dokchitser and Dokchitser [13, Proposition 2], using the fact that (in characteristic 2) $b_6 = a_3^2$.

The $j$-invariant equals

$$j(E) = \frac{a_1^2}{a_1(a_2a_3^2 + a_1a_3a_4 + a_4^2 + a_1^2a_6 + a_3^2 + a_4^2 + a_5^2 + a_6^2)}.$$  

Let $r = v(a_1)$, so $r \geq 1$. We find $v(\alpha) = v(a_1^4a_2a_3^2) = 4r + 2m + 5$ as all other terms in $\alpha$ have larger valuation.

Write $m = 2r - 1 + A$ for some $A \in \mathbb{Z}$. It follows that

$$v(\alpha) = 8r + 2A + 3, \quad v(\beta) = 9r + 3A + 3, \quad v(\gamma) = 8r + 4A + 4.$$  

If $A \geq 0$, then

$$v(\alpha) < \min\{v(\beta), v(\gamma)\}$$  

and $v(j(E)) = 4r - 2A - 3$ is odd, hence non-zero. If $A < 0$, then

$$v(\gamma) < \min\{v(\alpha), v(\beta)\}$$  

and $v(j(E)) = 4(r - A - 1) > 0$. \hfill $\square$

Proposition 5.2. Let $F$ be a local function field of characteristic 3 with valuation $v$ and constant field $K$. Let $E$ be an elliptic curve over $F$ with $j(E) \in K \setminus \{0\}$. Then the component group $E(F)/E_0(F)$ does not have an element of order 3.

Proof. Suppose that the component group $E(F)/E_0(F)$ has an element of order 3. Then at the valuation $v$ the elliptic curve $E$ has reduction of type $IV$ or $IV^*$ (same reference as in the proof of Proposition 5.1). Let $n = 1$ for type $IV$ and $n = 2$ for type $IV^*$. By [29, Table 4] (see also Theorems 5.1 and 6.1 of loc. cit.), there exists a minimal model of the form $y^2 = x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6$ with

$$v(a_2) \geq n, \quad v(a_4) \geq n + 1, \quad v(a_6) = 2n, \quad v(\Delta) \geq 4n.$$  

(5.2)
The \( j \)-invariant of \( E \) is

\[
j(E) = \frac{\delta}{2a_3^3a_1^3 + a_1^3a_2^3 + a_3^3a_6}.
\]

We will show \( v(j(E)) \neq 0 \), which contradicts our assumption that \( j(E) \) is a non-zero constant. Let \( m = v(a_2) - n \) and \( l = v(a_4) - 2n \), hence \( m, l \geq 0 \). It follows that

\[
v(\delta) = 6m + 6n, \quad v(\alpha) = 2m + 2l + 4n + 2, \quad v(\beta) = 3l + 3n + 3 \quad \text{and} \quad v(\gamma) = 3m + 5n.
\]

- If \( l \geq m \), then

\[
v(j(E)) = \begin{cases} v(\delta) - v(\beta) = 3m + 3 > 0, & n = 2, l = m \\ v(\delta) - v(\gamma) = 3m + n > 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\]

- If \( l < m \), then

\[
v(j(E)) = v(\delta) - v(\beta) = 6m + 3n - 3l - 3 > 0.
\]

\[
\]

6. THE THIRD TERM WHEN \( j = 0 \)

The local considerations in Section 5 suffice for our proof of the main theorems, except for the terms \( D_{3p} \) and \( D_{3p^2} \) in the case \( j = 0 \). Therefore, we give a separate result, with an elementary proof, for those terms.

We first collect some well-known results about elliptic curves with \( j \)-invariant 0 in the following lemma, of which we give a proof for completeness.

**Lemma 6.1.** Let \( E \) be an elliptic curve with \( j \)-invariant 0 over a field \( L \) of characteristic \( p > 0 \).

- (1) If \( p \equiv 1 \mod 3 \), then \( E \) is ordinary.
- (2) If \( p \not\equiv 1 \mod 3 \), then \( E \) is supersingular.
- (3) If \( p > 3 \), then \( E \) has a Weierstrass model of the form

\[
y^2 = x^3 + A
\]

with \( A \in L^* \).

- (4) If \( p > 3 \) and \( p \equiv 2 \mod 3 \), then any Weierstrass model as in (3) satisfies

\[
[p]_E(x, y) = \left( A^{-\frac{p^2-1}{3}}x^{p^2}, A^{-\frac{p^2-1}{3}}y^{p^2} \right).
\]

Moreover, all elliptic curves with non-zero \( j \)-invariant over fields of characteristic 2 and 3 are ordinary.

**Proof.** Suppose that \( \bar{E} \) is an elliptic curve over \( \mathbb{F}_p \) with \( j \)-invariant 0. Then \( E \) and \( \bar{E} \) are isomorphic over \( L \), and one is supersingular if and only if the other is (see [27] e.g. V.3.1(a)(i))). For \( p = 2 \) (respectively \( p = 3 \)) Example V.4.6 (respectively V.4.5) of loc. cit. gives supersingular \( \bar{E}/\mathbb{F}_p \) with \( j(\bar{E}) = 0 \). If \( p > 3 \), then we take \( \bar{E} : y^2 = x^3 + 1 \), which is ordinary if and only if \( p \equiv 1 \mod 3 \) by Example V.4.4 of [27].

In characteristic \( p > 3 \), there is a short Weierstrass equation \( y^2 = x^3 + Bx + A \) and as \( j(E) = 0 \), we get \( B = 0 \). This proves (3).

Let \( a = \sqrt{A} \in \mathbb{F} \) and \( \phi : E \to \bar{E} : (x, y) \mapsto (x/a^2, y/a^3) \), where again \( \bar{E} : y^2 = x^3 + 1 \). If \( p \equiv 2 \mod 3 \), then \( x \mapsto x^3 \) is a bijection \( \mathbb{F}_p^* \to \mathbb{F}_p^* \), hence \( \#(\mathbb{F}_p) = p + 1 \).
By [27] Theorem 2.3.1(b) in the Second Edition], we then get \( \text{Frob}_p^2 + [p] = 0 \) inside \( \text{End}(E) \), so \( [p]_E : (x, y) \mapsto (x^p, -y^p) \). We conclude:
\[
[p]_E(x, y) = \phi^{-1} \circ [p]_E \circ \phi(x, y) \\
= (a^2(x/a^3)^p, a^3(y/a^3)^p) \\
= (A^{-2x^2-1}x^p, A^{-3x^2-1}y^p),
\]
which proves (4).

For the final remark, it suffices to know that there is exactly one supersingular \( j \)-invariant in each characteristic \( p \in \{2, 3\} \). But this follows from the formula for the number of supersingular \( j \)-invariants in Corollary 12.4.6 of Katz-Mazur [17] (that formula needs the order of the automorphism group of the elliptic curve with \( j \)-invariant zero, which is computed in Proposition A.1.2(c) of [27]). \( \Box \)

**Proposition 6.2.** Let \( K \) be a field of characteristic \( p \geq 0 \) with \( p \neq 2, 3 \). Let \( E \) be an elliptic curve over \( F = K(C) \) with \( j \)-invariant 0 and let \( P \in E(F) \) be a point of infinite order. If the pair \( (E, P) \) is not constant, then the term \( D_{3p} \) has a primitive valuation.

**Proof.** As the characteristic is not 2 or 3 and the \( j \)-invariant is 0, we get a Weierstrass equation \( y^2 = x^3 + A \) with \( A \in F^* \) (cf. Lemma 6.1]). If \( A \in (F^*)^6K^* \), then \( E \) is isomorphic over \( F \) to a curve over \( K \) and the result is a special case of Theorem 2.3. So we restrict to the remaining case: \( A \not\in (F^*)^6K^* \).

Write \( P = (x_1, y_1) \in E(F) \). We claim that \( x_1^3/A \) is non-constant. Indeed, suppose it is \( c \in K \). If \( c = 0 \), then \( P \) is 3-torsion, contradiction. So \( c \in K^* \) and \( y_1^2/A = c + 1 \). If \( c = -1 \), then \( P \) is 2-torsion, contradiction. So we get \( c + 1 \in K^* \). Now compute
\[
\begin{align*}
A &= x_1^3c^{-1} = y_1^2(c + 1)^{-1}, \\
A &= \frac{A^3}{A^2} = \left( \frac{y_1}{x_1} \right)^6 \left( \frac{c^2}{c+1} \right)^3 \in (F^*)^6K^*.
\end{align*}
\]

Contradiction, hence \( x_1^3/A \) is non-constant.

As a consequence, the function \( h = x_1^3/A + 4 \) is also non-constant, so let \( v \) be a valuation of \( F \) with \( v(h) > 0 \). We obtain \( 3v(x_1) - v(A) = v(h - 4) = 0 \) and \( 2v(y_1) - v(A) = v(h - 3) = 0 \), hence \( v(A) \in 3\mathbb{Z} \cap 2\mathbb{Z} = 6\mathbb{Z} \). By the transformation \( A \mapsto u^6A, x \mapsto u^2x, y \mapsto u^3y \), which does not change \( h \), we then get \( v(A) = 0 \), hence \( v(x_1) = 0 \).

Write \( x_3 = x(3P) \), which we compute to be
\[
(6.3) \quad x_3 = \frac{x_1^9 - 96Ax_1^6 + 48A^2x_1^3 + 64A^3}{9x_1^2(x_1^3 + 4A)^2}.
\]

Recall \( v(x_1) = v(A) = 0 \) and \( v(x_1^3 + 4A) > 0 \). In particular, the valuation of the denominator of this expression for \( x_3 \) is positive. The numerator is congruent to \(-12A^3 \) modulo \( x_1^3 + 4A \), hence is \( \not\equiv 0 \) modulo \( v \). We conclude \( v(x_3) < 0 \) and \( v(x_1) = 0 \) for the minimal Weierstrass equation \( y^2 = x^3 + A \), hence \( v(D_{3p}) > 0 \) and \( v(D_P) = 0 \). \( \Box \)

**Lemma 6.3.** Let \( K \) be a field of characteristic \( p > 3 \) with \( p \equiv 2 \mod 3 \). Let \( F \) be a function field over \( K \).
Then there exist a supersingular elliptic curve $E$ over $F$ with $j$-invariant 0 and a point $P \in E(F)$ of infinite order such that $D_{3pP}$ has a primitive valuation and $(E, P)$ is non-constant.

Proof. Take any valuation $v$ and $x_1, y_1 \in F$ with $v(x_1) = v(y_1) = 1$. Let $A = y_1^2 - x_1^3$, let $E : y^2 = x^3 + A$ and let $P = (x_1, y_1) \in E(F)$. Write $3P = (x_3, y_3)$.

Note $v(A) = 2$, hence the model is minimal at $v$. As $v(x_1^3) > v(A)$, the triplication formula (6.3) gives $v(x_3) = 0$, so $v(D_{3pP}) = 0$.

As $v(x_3) = 0$ and $v(A) = 2$, the multiplication-by-$p$ formula of Lemma 6.1 gives $v(x(3pP)) = -\frac{p^2 - 1}{3} \cdot 2 + p^2 \cdot 0 < 0$, so $v(D_{3pP}) > 0$. As $v(x_1) = 1$ and $v(A) = 2$, the same multiplication-by-$p$ formula also gives $v(x(pP)) = -\frac{p^2 - 1}{3} \cdot 2 + p^2 > 0$, so $v(D_{pP}) = 0$. We find that $v$ is a primitive valuation of $D_{3pP}$.

As $v(A) = 2 \notin 6\mathbb{Z}$, we find that $A$ is not a 6th power, hence $E$ is not isomorphic to a curve over $K$, hence the pair $(E, P)$ is non-constant.

Repeated use of the multiplication-by-$p$ formula gives that $v(x(3p^kP))$ is strictly decreasing with $k$, hence $P$ is non-torsion. \qed

Example 6.4. Let $K = F_5$ and $F = K(t)$. As in the proof of Lemma 6.3 take $P = (t, t)$ and $E : y^2 = t^3 + t^2 - t^3$. Then

$D_{1P} = 1$
$D_{2P} = 1$
$D_{3P} = (t + 2)$
$D_{4P} = (t^2 + 2t + 4)$
$D_{5P} = (t + 4)^4$
$D_{6P} = (t + 1) \cdot (t + 2) \cdot (t + 3) \cdot (t^2 + t + 2)$
$D_{7P} = (t^2 + 2t + 3) \cdot (t^3 + t^2 + 2) \cdot (t^4 + 4t^3 + 3t + 4)$
$D_{8P} = (t^2 + t + 4) \cdot (t^4 + 2t^2 + 2t + 1) \cdot (t^4 + 3t^3 + 3t^2 + 2t + 2)$
$D_{9P} = (t + 2) \cdot (t^3 + t^2 + 4) \cdot (t^3 + 3t^2 + 4) \cdot (t^6 + 3t^4 + 3t^3 + t + 3)$
$D_{10P} = (t + 4)^4 \cdot \infty_{12}$
$D_{15P} = (t + 4)^4 \cdot t^8 \cdot (t + 2)^{25}$
$D_{20P} = (t + 4)^4 \cdot (t^2 + 2t + 4)^{25} \cdot \infty_{12}$.

And indeed the term $D_{15P}$ has a primitive valuation $t$.

7. Additional examples

In this section we gather examples that are crucial for the proof of optimality in the main theorems. In our examples, the function field $F$ is always $F = K(t)$ for a field $K$, that is, the examples have $C = \mathbb{P}^1$. First, we will see that this suffices, in the sense that the existence of such examples implies the existence of examples over arbitrary function fields $F$.

We denote by $\text{Br}(f)$ the branch locus of a finite morphism $f : X \to Y$ of normal curves over $K$. This is the image through $f$ of the set of points $x \in X$ for which the map $f$ is not étale at $x$, cf. [IN, Def. 7.4.15].
Theorem 7.1. Let $K$ be a field and let $F = K(C)$ be the function field of a smooth, projective, geometrically irreducible curve over $K$. Let $E$ be an elliptic curve over $K(t)$ and let $P \in E(K(t))$.

If there is a rational place in $\mathbb{P}^1(K)$ of good reduction of $E$, then there exist an elliptic curve $E'$ and a point $P' \in E'(F)$ such that

1. $P'$ and $P$ have the same order,
2. $E'$ and $E$ have the same $j$-invariant, and
3. for every valuation $v'$ of $F$, if $v$ is the restriction to $K$, then $m(P', v') = m(P, v)$.

The main idea for the proof of Theorem 7.1 is to base change via a suitable morphism of base curves. We will use the following results.

Proposition 7.2. Let $K$ be a field. Let $C$ and $C'$ be smooth curves defined over $K$. Let $\phi : C' \to C$ be a dominant morphism of curves over $K$. Let $E$ be an elliptic curve over $K(C)$ and $P$ a point in $E(K(C))$. Let $E'$ denote the elliptic curve obtained from the pullback by the map $\phi$ and $P'$ the corresponding point on $E'$. We assume that the branch locus $\text{Br}(\phi)$ of $\phi$ is disjoint with the set of places of bad reduction for $E$. Then for every valuation $v'$ in $K(C')$ above $v$ in $K(C)$ we have

$$m(P, v) = m(P', v').$$

Proof. If $v$ is a place of good reduction for $E$ and $v'$ any place above $v$ in $K(C')$, then the elliptic curve $E'$ still has good reduction at $v'$ and the order of the point $P'$ locally at $v'$ is the same as the order of the point $P$ locally at $v$.

Let $R$ denote the discrete valuation ring in the completion $K(C)_v$ of $K(C)$ at $v$. Let $R'$ denote the corresponding discrete valuation ring in $K(C')_{v'}$. From our assumptions it follows that the extension $R'/R$ is unramified. We form a Néron model $\mathcal{E}$ over $R$ of $E/K(C)_v$ and $\mathcal{E}'$ over $R'$ of $E'/K(C')_{v'}$. It follows from \cite{3} Thm. 7.2.1 that the base change morphism $\mathcal{E} \otimes_R R' \to \mathcal{E}'$ is an isomorphism and hence the formation of Néron models is compatible with unramified base change, cf. \cite{3} Cor. 7.2.2. Hence we have an injective homomorphism

$$\iota : \mathcal{E}(R) \to \mathcal{E}'(R') = \mathcal{E}'(R').$$

Let $\mathcal{E}^1(R)$ be the group of elements in $\mathcal{E}(R)$ that reduce to zero in the special fibre. By the Néron property $E(K(C)_v) = \mathcal{E}(R)$ and the point $P$ corresponds to element $\bar{P}$ in $\mathcal{E}(R)$. Suppose that $m(P, v) = k$ where $k$ is a positive integer. Then $k\bar{P} \in \mathcal{E}^1(R)$ and for every $l < k$ we have $l\bar{P} \notin \mathcal{E}^1(R)$. Since $\iota$ is a homomorphism, then $\iota(m\bar{P}) = m\iota(\bar{P}) = m\bar{P}'$ and $\iota(\mathcal{E}^1(R)) \subset (\mathcal{E}')^1(R')$, so $m(P', v') = k$.

If $m(P, v) = \infty$, then for every positive integer $l$ we have $l\bar{P} \notin \mathcal{E}^1(R)$, hence $l\bar{P}' \notin (\mathcal{E}')^1(R')$ and $m(P', v') = \infty$. \hfill \Box

In order to use Proposition 7.2 we need to find an appropriate morphism $\phi$ for every function field $F = K(C)$ and suitable examples over $K(t)$ for prime fields $K$. We use the following result to find such maps.

Theorem 7.3 (Wild $p$-Belyi theorem of Katz \cite{10} Lemma 16, \cite{31} Theorem 11). Let $C$ be a geometrically connected, smooth, projective curve defined defined over a perfect field $K$ of positive characteristic. Then there exists a map

$$\phi : C \to \mathbb{P}^1$$

that is unramified above $\mathbb{A}^1$. \hfill \Box
Proposition 7.4. Let \( S \subset \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{K}) \) be a finite set and \( C \) a geometrically connected, smooth, projective curve over \( K \).

If \( S \) does not contain \( \mathbb{P}^1(K) \), then there exists a non-constant morphism \( \phi : C \to \mathbb{P}^1_K \) (over \( K \)) that is unramified above \( S \).

[Note that the hypothesis of \( S \) not containing \( \mathbb{P}^1(K) \) is automatically satisfied if \( K \) is infinite.]

Proof. We give a proof in the case where \( K \) is infinite and a proof in the case where \( K \) is perfect. Together, these two proofs cover all cases.

If \( K \) is infinite. Let \( K(C) \) be the field of functions of \( C \), so \( \overline{K} \cap K(C) = K \). Since the transcendental dimension of \( K(C) \) is one, there exists an element \( f \in K(C) \setminus K \).

We choose \( f \) such that the dominant finite morphism \( f : C \to \mathbb{P}^1 \) defined over \( K \) is separable, cf. [18 Prop. 3.2.15]. The set \( \text{Br}(f) \) is finite by [18 Cor. 4.4.12].

Let \( s \) be an element in \( \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{K}) \setminus S \), which exists since \( K \) is infinite. We define a map \( \eta = (x \mapsto 1/(x-s)) \circ f \). It follows that \( \text{Br}(\eta) \) does not contain \( \infty \). The set \( \{ y-x : x \in \text{Br}(\eta), y \in S \} \) is finite, so there exists an element \( s' \in K \) that does not belong to it. The map \( \phi = (x \mapsto x + s') \circ \eta \) suffices.

If \( K \) is perfect. By Theorem 7.3 there exists a morphism \( f : C \to \mathbb{P}^1 \) over \( K \) with \( \text{Br}(f) = \{ \infty \} \). Take \( s \in \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{K}) \setminus S \). There exists a fractional linear map \( \alpha : \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1 \) over \( K \) which satisfies \( \alpha(\infty) = s \). We define \( \phi = \alpha \circ f \) and check that it satisfies the claim. \( \square \)

Question 7.5. In Prop. 7.4 we have assumed that the set \( S \) is disjoint from \( \mathbb{P}^1(K) \). In our situation this is enough for the applications, but it would be interesting to know in general whether one could drop this assumption. We leave it here as an open question to the reader.

Proof of Theorem 7.1. Let \( S \subset \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{K}) \) be the set of points such that \( E \) has bad reduction at the corresponding place. By assumption, the set \( S \) does not contain \( \mathbb{P}^1(K) \), so by Proposition 7.4 there is a morphism \( \phi : C \to \mathbb{P}^1_K \) that is unramified above \( S \). Let \( E' \) (respectively \( P' \)) be the base change of \( E \) (respectively \( P \)) to \( F = K(C) \) via \( \phi \). Then (1) and (2) are clearly true, and (3) follows from Proposition 7.2. \( \square \)

In Theorem 7.1 and Proposition 7.2 we do a change of base curve \( C \), but we do not allow a change of the base field \( K \) of the base curve. Indeed, the following example shows that the results are false for inseparable changes of base field \( K \).

Example 7.6. Let \( K = \mathbb{F}_3(s) \), \( F = K(t) \), \( E : y^2 = x^3 + t^6x + s^2 \), and \( P = (0,s) \).

The discriminant of \( E \) is \(-t^{18}\), hence \( E \) is minimal and of good reduction at all places except \( t = 0, \infty \). At \( t = 0 \), the model is minimal and of reduction type \( Z_1 \) in Szydlo’s tables [29] Table 4]. At \( t = \infty \), we have the model \( y^2 = x^3 + t^{-2}x + t^{-12}s^2 \), which is minimal because it has discriminant \(-t^{-6}\) of valuation 6.

We get that \( P \) is integral, so \( D_{1,P} = 0 \), which has no primitive valuation.

Now take \( r = -\sqrt{3} \in K \), let \( K' = K(r) = \mathbb{F}_3(r) \), and let \( F' = K'(t) \supset F \). Take \( x' = t^2(x + r^2) \) and \( y' = t^{-3}y \), so \( E' : y'^2 = x'^3 + t^2x' - r^2 \) is a model over \( F' \), hence \( E \) is not minimal at \( t = 0 \) over \( F' \). In fact, the model \( E' \) is minimal and of reduction type \( Z_1 \) over \( F' \).

Over \( F' \), the resulting point \( P' \) satisfies \( x'(P') = r^2/t^2 \), so \( D_{1,P'} = (t) \), hence this term has a primitive valuation \( t = 0 \). We get \( m(P,v) > 1 = m(P',v') \).
7.1. **General characteristic examples.** In the case of ordinary $E$ with characteristic $\neq 2, 3$ and $j(E) \neq 0$, we will see in Theorem 8.1 that every term has a primitive valuation, except possibly $D_{1P}$ and $D_{2P}$. The following examples show that sometimes these two remaining terms do not have a primitive valuation.

**Lemma 7.7.** Let $K$ be a field with $p := \text{char}(K) \neq 2$. Let $j \in K$ be an element, such that if $p = 3$, then $j = 0$. Then there exists an elliptic curve $E$ with $j(E) = j$ defined over the function field $K(t)$ of $\text{Proj} K$ and a point $P \in E(K(t))$ of infinite order such that

1. $(E, P)$ is non-constant,
2. $E$ has at least one rational place of good reduction,
3. $D_P = D_{2P} = 0$,
4. if $E$ is supersingular, then $D_{pP}$ and $D_{2pP}$ have primitive valuations.

**Proof.** If $p = 3$, take $a = 1, b = 0 \in K$. Otherwise, let $a, b \in K$ be such that

$$\tilde{E} : y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$$

defines an elliptic curve over $K$ with $j(\tilde{E}) = j$. Let $r = t^3 + at + b$, which is square-free as the discriminant of $\tilde{E}$ is non-zero. Let

$$E : y^2 = x^3 + r^2ax + r^3b,$$

so $j(E) = j(\tilde{E}) = j$. We find a point $P = (rt, r^2) \in E(F)$. Note that the given Weierstrass equation is minimal at all primes of $K[t]$, and that the point $P$ is integral at all such primes. Moreover, the curve $E$ has places of additive reduction of type $I_0^*$ hence by [20 Cor. 7.5] the point $P$ is not of finite order if it is not of order 1 or 2, which is true.

Note that the example has at most three affine places of bad reduction (the roots of $r$) and in characteristic $> 3$ there are more than 3 rational affine places in $K(t)$, hence at least one rational place of good reduction. In characteristic 3, our choice of $r$ has only one rational root, hence there are two rational affine places of good reduction.

We also find the following Weierstrass equation, which is minimal for the place at infinity of $K[t]$:

$$E : y^2 = x^3 + t^{-6}aX + t^{-12}r^3b, \quad X = t^{-4}x, Y = t^{-6}y.$$ 

Then $X(P) = t^{-4}rt$, so $P$ is also integral at that place. We find that $P$ is an integral point, so $D_{1P} = 0$.

The duplication formula gives

$$x(2P) = \frac{1}{4}(3t^2 + a)^2 - 2rt,$$

which is integral at all finite places of $K[t]$. We also get

$$X(2P) = \frac{1}{4}(3 + at^{-2})^2 - 2rt^{-3},$$

which is integral at infinity. We find that $2P$ is an integral point, so $D_{2P} = 0$. This proves (3).

Now suppose that $E$ is supersingular. Then $j \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$, so we take $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$ from the beginning. If $p = 3$, then we moreover have $j = 0$ and we take $a = 1, b = 0$. It remains only to prove that $D_{mpP}$ does have primitive valuations for $m = 1, 2$. 

We have \( P' = (t, \sqrt[t]{r}) \in \tilde{E}(K(t, \sqrt[t]{r})) \) and the valuation \( \infty \) that appears in \( D_{1P'} \) with multiplicity 1 does not appear in \( D_{1P} \).

Next, we claim \([p] = \psi \circ \mathrm{Frob}_{p^2} \) on \( \tilde{E} \) with \( \psi : (x, y) \mapsto (u^2x, u^3y) \) for some \( u \in K^* \). If \( p > 3 \), then the claim follows from [27 Corollary II.2.12], which applies as \( F_{p^2} \) is perfect. The claim is shown in the proof of Lemma 6.1 if \( p = 3 \).

We conclude that the valuation \( \infty \) appears with multiplicity \( p^2 \) in \( D_{pP'} \), hence appears in \( D_{pP} \) with multiplicity \( p^2 - v_\infty(t^{-4}r) \geq p^2 - 8 - v_\infty(r) > 0 \), hence \( m(\infty) = p \).

The valuations at the roots of \( r \), which appear in \( D_{3P'} \), do not appear in \( D_{2P} \).

They also do not appear in \( D_{pP'} \) (otherwise by the strong divisibility property (1.3) and \( \gcd(2, p) = 1 \) they would appear in \( D_{1P'} = 0 \)), hence they do not appear in \( D_{pP} \) either. They do appear with multiplicity \( p^2 \) in \( D_{2pP'} \), hence appear in \( D_{2pP} \) with multiplicity at least \( p^2 - v(r) = p^2 - 1 > 0 \), hence \( m(v) = 2p \).

\[ \text{Example 7.8 (Ordinary). In Lemma 7.7, take } K = F_5, a = b = 1, \text{ so } j(E) = 1. \]

We obtain
\[\begin{align*}
D_{1P} &= 1 \\
D_{2P} &= 1 \\
D_{3P} &= (t + 3) \cdot (t + 4) \cdot (t^2 + 3t + 4) \\
D_{4P} &= (t^3 + 2t^2 + 4t + 4) \cdot (t^3 + 3t^2 + 4) \\
D_{5P} &= (t^2 + 2t + 4)^5 \cdot \infty^2
\end{align*} \]

where \( D_{1P} \) and \( D_{2P} \) are zero, as we already saw in Lemma 7.7.

7.2. \( \text{Char} = 3 \) examples.

\[ \text{Example 7.9 (Ordinary). Let } K \text{ be a field of characteristic 3 and let } j \in K^* \text{ be a non-zero element. We consider the elliptic curve} \]
\[ E_0 : y^2 = x^3 + j^2x^2 + 2j^5 \]

with \( j \)-invariant \( j \). We consider the quadratic twist \( E_0^{(d)} \) of the curve \( E_0 \) over \( K(t) \) where \( d = t^3 + j^2t^2 + 2j^5 \). The curve \( E_0^{(d)} : y^2 = x^3 + j^2dx^2 + 2j^5d^3 \) is non-constant and has \( j \)-invariant \( j \) and discriminant \( j^{11}d^6 \).

This is a generic fibre of a Kummer K3 surface with places of bad reduction only at the roots of \( d = 0 \) and at \( t = \infty \), all of type \( I^*_0 \) (by e.g. [29 Table 4]). On the curve \( E_0^{(d)} \) we have a point \( P = (t \cdot d, d^2) \) of height 1 (hence non-torsion) which satisfies the condition \( D_P = D_{2P} = 1 \), since \( x(2P) = t^4 + 2j^5t + j^7 \).

\[ \text{Example 7.10 (Supersingular). Let } K \text{ be a field of characteristic 3. We consider the curve} \]
\[ E_t : y^2 = x^3 + t^3x + t^4 \]

over \( K(t) \), which has a point \( P = (0, t^2) \). The discriminant of the equation \( E_t \) is \( 2t^9 \), hence there is no place of bad reduction away from 0, \( \infty \). By [29 §5 and Table 4] the reduction type at \( t = 0 \) is \( IV^* \) and at \( t = \infty \) is \( III \) and our model is minimal at all places. By Shioda’s height formula the point \( P \) has height 1/6 hence is non-torsion. A direct computation of the divisors \( D_{nP} \) reveals that
\[\begin{align*}
D_{1P} &= 1 \\
D_{2P} &= 1
\end{align*} \]
\[ D_{3P} = 1 \]
\[ D_{4P} = (t + 2) \]
\[ D_{5P} = (t^2 + t + 2) \]
\[ D_{6P} = \infty^2 \]
\[ D_{9P} = t^6 \]
\[ D_{27P} = t^{60} \]

Remark 7.11. Here is how we came up with the curve and point in Example 7.10. We wanted a pair \((E, P)\) such that \(j(E) = 0\), \(\text{char } K = 3\), and \(P\) is a point of infinite order such that \(D_P = 1\), \(D_{3P} = 1\), and \(D_{9P}\) has a primitive valuation \(v\). Such an elliptic curve \(E\) has a Weierstrass model \(y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B\) with \(A, B \in K(C)\). We look for a valuation \(v\) of bad additive reduction for \(E\) such that the group of components has order 3, that is, reduction of type IV or IV* at \(v\) (see the proof of Lemma 4.1). Moreover, the point \(P\) should intersect a non-trivial component at \(v\) and the point 3\(P\) should intersect the component of the zero section but should not be zero itself. Automatically, by additive reduction in characteristic 3, the point 9\(P\) then hits the zero section at \(v\).

From [20] it follows that there are only two possible structures for the Néron-Severi group of an elliptic surface \(E \to \mathbb{P}^1\) over an algebraically closed field of any characteristic which admit a primitive embedding of the lattice \(E_6\) (which corresponds to the reduction type IV*), namely \(U \oplus E_6 \oplus A_1 \oplus 1/6\) (type 49) and \(U \oplus E_6 \oplus A_2^*\) (type 27). Over the complex numbers both types of the Néron-Severi group exist, cf. [21]. An example of such an elliptic surface with type 27 over the field of characteristic 3 was constructed in [15, 4.2.18, case 6A, 5.]. The generic fibre of this surface \(E_{J,t} : y^2 = x^3 + t^3(t^2 + t + 2)\) over \(F_3(t)\) has reduction of type III at \(t = -2\), reduction type IV* at \(t = 0\) and no other singular fibres.

It is easy to verify that \(E_t\) is isomorphic over \(F_3(t)\) to \(E_{J, t^{-1}}\).

7.3. \textbf{Char = 2 examples.}

\textbf{Example 7.12 (Supersingular).} In this example we consider a rational elliptic surface with Weierstrass equation:

\[ y^2 + ty = x^3 + t^2 x \]

over \(K(t)\) for any field \(K\) of characteristic 2. We have that \(j(E) = 0\) so the curve is supersingular. The equation above has discriminant \(t^4\), hence there is no bad reduction away from 0, \(\infty\). It has bad additive reduction at \(t = 0\) (type IV) and at \(t = \infty\) (type \(I_1^*\)) over \(K(t)\) by the extended Tate algorithm in [29] (Table 5 for \(t = 0\) and Table 7 for \(t = \infty\) with the model \(y^2 + t^3 y = x^3 + t x^2\)). From the Oguiso-Shioda classification [20] it follows that the rank of the group \(E(K(t))\) is 1 and the group is freely generated by a point of height 1/12. We checked that the point \(P = (t, 0)\) satisfies this condition. It is easy to check that the divisors \(D_P\), \(D_{2P}\), \(D_{3P}\) and \(D_{4P}\) are trivial and \(D_{6P}\) is supported at \(t = 0\) and \(D_{8P}\) is supported at \(t = \infty\). More precisely,

\[ D_{1P} = 1 \]
\[ D_{2P} = 1 \]
\[ D_{3P} = 1 \]
\[ D_{4P} = 1 \]
\[ D_{5P} = (t + 1) \]
\[ D_{6P} = t \]
\[ D_{7P} = (t^2 + t + 1) \]
\[ D_{8P} = \infty^2 \]
\[ D_{9P} = (t^3 + t^2 + 1) \]
\[ D_{10P} = (t + 1)^4 \]
\[ D_{11P} = (t^5 + t^4 + t^3 + t^2 + 1) \]
\[ D_{12P} = t^5 \]
\[ D_{13P} = (t^3 + t + 1) \cdot (t^4 + t + 1) \]
\[ D_{14P} = (t^2 + t + 1)^4 \]
\[ D_{15P} = (t + 1) \cdot (t^8 + t^7 + t^3 + t + 1) \]
\[ D_{16P} = \infty^{10} \]
\[ D_{17P} = (t^4 + t^3 + t^2 + t + 1) \cdot (t^8 + t^7 + t^6 + t^5 + t^4 + t^3 + 1) \]
\[ D_{18P} = t \cdot (t^3 + t^2 + 1)^4 \]
\[ D_{19P} = (t^6 + t^4 + t^3 + t + 1) \cdot (t^9 + t^6 + t^4 + t^3 + 1) \]
\[ D_{20P} = (t + 1)^{16} \]

**Example 7.13** (Supersingular). Let \( E_k : y^2 + t^{2k}y = x^3 + t^2(t + 1)x^2 + tx \), \( k \geq 1 \) be an elliptic curve over \( K(t) \) for any field \( K \) of characteristic 2. The curve \( E_k \) has discriminant \( t^{8k} \) and no bad reduction away from \( 0, \infty \). We apply the extended Tate algorithm [29] to places \( t = 0 \) and \( t = \infty \). For \( t = 0 \) our model is minimal for each \( k \) and of type III. For \( k = 1 \) the model of \( E_k \) with \( s = 1/t \)

\[
y^2 + s^4y = x^3 + (s + s^2)x^2 + s^7x
\]

is minimal at \( s = 0 \) (\( t = \infty \)) and of reduction type \( I_5^2 \) by the extended Tate algorithm and Table 7, cf. [29]. For \( k = 2 \) the model of \( E_k \) with \( s = 1/t \)

\[
y^2 + s^2y = x^3 + (s + s^2)x^2 + s^7x
\]

is minimal at \( s = 0 \) and of type \( I_1^* \).

There exists a point \( P = (0, 0) \) on \( E_k \) which is not of order 2 or 4, hence it is of infinite order on this curve by [23] Cor. 7.5.

(a) Let \( k = 1 \), then \( D_{2P} \) and \( D_{4P} \) have a primitive valuation. More precisely,

\[ D_{1P} = 1 \]
\[ D_{2P} = t \]
\[ D_{3P} = (t^2 + t + 1) \cdot (t^3 + t + 1) \]
\[ D_{4P} = t^6 \infty^2 \]

(b) Let \( k = 2 \), then \( D_{2P} \) and \( D_{9P} \) have a primitive valuation. More precisely,

\[ D_{1P} = 1 \]
\[ D_{2P} = t^3 \]
$$D_{3P} = t^9 + t^8 + 1$$
$$D_{4P} = t^{16}$$
$$D_{6P} = t^3 \cdot (t^9 + t^8 + 1)^4$$
$$D_{8P} = t^{68} \infty^2.$$  

**Example 7.14** (Ordinary). Let $K$ be a field of characteristic 2 and $j \in K^*$. For any $a \in K(t) \setminus K$ we have an elliptic curve

$$E_a : y^2 + xy = x^3 + \left(a + \frac{1}{a^2 j}\right)x^2 + \frac{1}{j}$$

with a point $P = (a, 0)$. Let $a = t$. Then $E_t$ is a generic fibre of an elliptic K3 surface with bad reduction at $t = 0$ and $t = \infty$. If $j$ is a square in $K$, then we have type $I_j^*$ at $t = 0$ and otherwise this is type $K_8$ according to [29] §5.1, §5.2. In both cases the model

$$E_{\text{min}} : (y')^2 + tx'y' = (x')^3 + (t^3 + \frac{1}{j}x')^2 + t^6 \frac{1}{j}$$

obtained via a transformation $x = 1/t^2 x'$, $y = 1/t^3 y'$ is minimal at $t = 0$ (see also [30] 6.12] with the model obtained from $E_{\text{min}}$ by mapping $x \mapsto x + t^3$)

There is a model at $t = \infty$, of the form (with respect to $t = 1/s$)

$$E_{\text{inf}} : (y'')^2 + sx''y'' = (x'')^3 + \left(\frac{1}{j}x'' + s\right)(x'')^2 + \frac{1}{j} s^6.$$

It is minimal and of type $I_j^*$ if $j$ is a square in $K$ and of type $T_3$ if $j$ is not a square in $K$, cf. [29] or [30] 6.14]. The point $(t, 0)$ is not a 2-torsion point, hence it is of infinite order by [29] Cor. 7.5. The point $P$ in the model $E_{\text{min}}$ has the form $P_{\text{min}} = (t^3, 0)$ and the point $2P_{\text{min}}$ on $E_{\text{min}}$ satisfies the condition $x(2P_{\text{min}}) = t^3 + 1/j$, so the points are integral and integral at infinity, hence the divisors $D_P$ and $D_{2P}$ have empty support.

8. Proof of the main theorems

We now have all the ingredients required for proving the following two main theorems.

**Theorem 8.1.** Let $F = K(C)$ be the function field of a curve over $K$.

Let $E$ be an ordinary elliptic curve over $F$ and let $P \in E(F)$ be a point of infinite order such that $j(E)$ is constant, but the pair $(E, P)$ is not constant, cf. Definition 2.7. Then for all $n > 2$, the term $D_n$ has a primitive valuation.

Conversely, for all ordinary $j$-invariants $j \in K^*$ there exists a pair $(E, P)$ as above with $j(E) = j$ such that the terms $D_1$ and $D_2$ do not have a primitive valuation and there exists a pair $(E, P)$ as above with $j(E) = j$ such that all terms $D_n$ for $n \geq 1$ have a primitive valuation.

**Proof.** For the first assertion, by Theorem 4.7 it suffices to prove that $D_{3P}$ and $D_{4P}$ each have a primitive valuation. Let $p$ be the characteristic of $K$.

Proof that $D_{3P}$ has a primitive valuation. Recall that $E$ is ordinary. If $j(E) = 0$, then this implies $p \neq 2, 3$ by Lemma 6.4[2]. So in that case, Proposition 6.2 shows that $D_{3P}$ has a primitive valuation.
By Proposition 6.2, in order to show that $D_{3P}$ has a primitive valuation, it suffices to show that for every valuation $v$ of $F$, the order $d_v$ of $P$ in the component group $E(F_v)/E_0(F_v)$ is not 3.

If $j(E) \neq 0$ and $p \neq 3$, then Lemma 4.1(1b) gives $d_v \neq 3$. If $j(E) \neq 0$ and $p = 3$, then Proposition 7.2 gives $d_v \neq 3$.

Proof that $D_{1P}$ has a primitive valuation. Again by Proposition 4.0, it suffices to prove that for every valuation $v \in F$, we have $d_v \neq 4$. If $p \neq 2$, then this is Lemma 4.1(1a). If $p = 2$, then this is Proposition 5.1. This proves the first assertion.

Examples $(E, P)$ where the terms $D_{1P}$ and $D_{2P}$ also have a primitive valuation are trivial to find: just start from an arbitrary pair $(E, Q)$ and take $P = 3Q$.

It remains to find examples $(E, P)$ for every field $F = K(C)$ and every ordinary $j \in K^*$ where the terms $D_{1P}$ and $D_{2P}$ do not have primitive valuations.

By Theorem 7.1, it suffices to find such examples $(E, P)$ for each rational function field $F = K(t)$, where $K$ ranges over all fields such that $E$ has good reduction at at least one place of degree one in $\mathbb{P}^1(K)$.

For $K$ of characteristic not 2 or 3, and any ordinary $j$-invariant $j \in K$, Lemma 7.4 does the trick. Note that the example has at most three affine places of bad reduction and there are more than 3 rational affine places in $\mathbb{P}^1(K)$, hence at least one rational place of good reduction. We obtain $D_{1P} = D_{2P} = 0$, hence no primitive valuations.

For $K$ of characteristic 3, we have Example 7.8 for any $j \in K^*$. Then $d(0) \in K^*$, hence $E$ has good reduction at the affine rational place $t = 0$. We obtain $D_{1P} = D_{2P} = 0$, hence no primitive valuations.

For $K$ of characteristic 2, we have Example 7.14 for any $j \in K^*$. It has good reduction at $t = 1$. We obtain $D_{1P} = D_{2P} = 0$, hence no primitive valuations. \[\square\]

**Theorem 8.2.** Let $K$ be a field and $n$ a positive integer. Let $p$ be the characteristic of $K$.

If the entry corresponding to $n$ and $p$ in Table 2 is ‘yes’ (respectively ‘no’), then for every $F$, every supersingular elliptic curve $E$ over $F$, and every $P \in E(F)$ with $(E, P)$ non-constant and $P$ of infinite order, the term $D_n$ has a (respectively no) primitive valuation.

If the entry is ‘*’, then for every $F$ there exist $E$ and $P$ as in the previous paragraph such that $D_n$ has a primitive valuation and there exist $E$ and $P$ such that $D_n$ has no primitive valuation.

**Proof.** For each entry, the letter(s) below it refer(s) to one or more of the proofs listed below. In case of *, the letters before the comma refer to examples where the term has a primitive valuation, and the letters after the comma to examples where it does not. If multiple letters are given, then each separately gives a complete proof.

By Proposition 4.0, in order to prove that $D_{nP}$ has a primitive valuation for $p \nmid n$, it suffices to prove for every additive valuation $v$ of $F$ that $n$ does not divide the order $d_v$ of $P$ in the component group $E(F_v)/E_0(F_v)$.

By Proposition 4.3, in order to prove that $D_{npP}$ has no primitive valuation, it also suffices to prove for every additive valuation $v$ of $F$ that $n$ does not divide the order $d_v$ of $P$ in the component group $E(F_v)/E_0(F_v)$.

A. Lemma 4.1(3) states $d_v \leq 4$, and if $p \neq 2$, then Lemma 4.1(3a) states $d_v \neq 4$. \[\square\]
\[ p = 2 \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( n )</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2 ((= p))</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4 ((= 2p))</th>
<th>6 ((= 3p))</th>
<th>8 ((= 4p))</th>
<th>( \text{odd} ) ( n )</th>
<th>( \text{even} ) ( n )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( D_n )</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( B, H )</td>
<td>( C, H )</td>
<td>( B, H )</td>
<td>( C, H )</td>
<td>( H, B )</td>
<td>( H, B )</td>
<td>( H, B )</td>
<td>( \text{yes} )</td>
<td>( \text{no} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ p = 3 \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( n )</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3 ((= p))</th>
<th>6 ((= 2p))</th>
<th>9 ((= 3p))</th>
<th>( \text{n} &gt; \frac{3n}{p} )</th>
<th>( \text{n} &gt; \frac{3n}{p} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( D_n )</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( B, F )</td>
<td>( B, F )</td>
<td>( C, F )</td>
<td>( B, F )</td>
<td>( F, B )</td>
<td>( F, B )</td>
<td>( F, B )</td>
<td>( A )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ p \equiv 1 \text{ mod } 3 \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( n )</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3 ((= p))</th>
<th>6 ((= 2p))</th>
<th>9 ((= 3p))</th>
<th>( \text{n} &gt; \frac{3n}{p} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( D_n )</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( B, F )</td>
<td>( B, F )</td>
<td>( C, F )</td>
<td>( B, F )</td>
<td>( F, B )</td>
<td>( F, B )</td>
<td>( A )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ p \equiv 2 \text{ mod } 3, \quad p \neq 2 \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( n )</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3 ((= p))</th>
<th>6 ((= 2p))</th>
<th>9 ((= 3p))</th>
<th>( \text{n} &gt; \frac{3n}{p} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( D_n )</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( B, F )</td>
<td>( B, F )</td>
<td>( C, F )</td>
<td>( B, F )</td>
<td>( F, B )</td>
<td>( F, B )</td>
<td>( A )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Table referred to in Theorem 8.2 and its proof

B. Take any pair \((E, Q)\) with \( Q \in E(F) \) of infinite order. Then for \( P = 5Q \), the pair \((E, P)\) is such an example. To see this, apply the result in the final two columns (or Theorem 4.9) to \((E, Q)\).

C. Here \( p > 3 \). If \( j(E) \neq 0 \), then \( d_v \neq 3 \) by Lemma 4.1(4b). If \( j(E) = 0 \), then \( D_{3P} \) has a primitive valuation by Proposition 6.2.

D. Here \( p \equiv 1 \text{ mod } 3 \), so \( j(E) \neq 0 \) by Lemma 6.1. But then \( d_v \neq 3 \) by Lemma 4.1(4b).

E. This is Lemma 6.3.

To prove the cases with *, by Theorem 7.1 it suffices to find examples \((E, P)\) for each rational function field \( F = K(t) \) (over every field \( K \) of the appropriate characteristic) such that \( E \) has good reduction at least one place of degree one in \( \mathbf{P}^1(K) \). The following are such examples.

F. Lemma 7.7 gives examples for all characteristics \( p \geq 3 \) where \( D_{1P} \) and \( D_{2P} \) do not have primitive valuations, and \( D_{pP} \) and \( D_{2pP} \) do. They have good reduction at least one place.

G. In Example 7.13(a) the terms \( D_{2P} \) and \( D_{4P} \) have primitive valuations. In Example 7.13(b) the terms \( D_{2P} \) and \( D_{3P} \) have primitive valuations. These examples are supersingular over \( \mathbf{F}_2(t) \) and have good reduction at \( t = 1 \).

H. Example 7.12 gives a supersingular elliptic curve and point in characteristic 2, where \( D_{nP} \) has a primitive valuation for \( n = 6 \) and \( n = 8 \), but not for \( n \leq 4 \). It has good reduction at the rational place \( t = 1 \).

I. Example 7.11 gives a supersingular elliptic curve and point in characteristic 3 such that \( D_{nP} \) has a primitive valuation for \( n = 6 \) and \( n = 9 \), but not for \( n \leq 3 \). It has good reduction at the rational place \( t = 1 \). □
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