Cuspidal modules for the derivation Lie algebra over a rational quantum torus
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Abstract: Let $\mathbb{C}_Q$ denote a rational quantum torus with $d$ variables, and $Z$ be the centre of $\mathbb{C}_Q$. In this paper we give a explicit description of the structure of the cuspidal modules for the derivation Lie algebra $\mathcal{D}$ over $\mathbb{C}_Q$, with an extra associative $Z$-action.
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1 Introduction

In the past few decades, the representation theory of the derivation algebra over a torus has attracted many mathematicians and physicists. Let $d > 1$ be a positive integer. Denote by $A = \mathbb{C}[x_1^\pm 1, \cdots, x_d^\pm 1]$ the commuting torus in variables $x_1, \cdots, x_d$, and by $W_d$ the derivation algebra over $A$. Irreducible modules with finite dimensional weight spaces over $W_d$ were classified in [BF1]. They are modules of tensor fields constructed independently by Shen [S] and Larsson [Lar], and modules of highest weight type given in [BB]. For the derivation algebra $\mathcal{D}$ over a rational quantum torus $\mathbb{C}_Q$, although the modules of tensor fields were constructed in [LT] and [LiuZ2] and the modules of highest weight type were given in [Xu], we are still far from the complete classification of irreducible modules.
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with finite dimensional weight spaces for $\mathcal{D}$. However, some partial classifications are known to us. For example, irreducible $\mathcal{D}$-modules with certain $\mathbb{C}_Q$-action were classified in [RBS]. In [LiuZ2], Liu and Zhao classified irreducible cuspidal $\mathcal{D}$-modules with an extra associative action of the centre $\mathcal{Z}$ of $\mathbb{C}_Q$. These modules were constructed using a finite dimensional irreducible $\mathfrak{gl}_d$-module $V$ and a finite dimensional $\mathbb{Z}^d/R$-graded irreducible $\mathfrak{gl}_N$-module $W$. Here $N$ is a positive number closely related to the structure of $\mathbb{C}_Q$, and $R$ is a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}^d$ corresponding to the center $\mathcal{Z}$. To achieve their classification result in [LiuZ2], Liu and Zhao used heavy computations (totally five pages of them). Moreover, the appearance of the algebra $\mathfrak{gl}_N$ in the proof seems a little farfetched, and it is not clear what is the relation between the $\mathfrak{gl}_d$-module $V$ and the $\mathbb{Z}^d/R$-graded $\mathfrak{gl}_N$-module $W$.

In this paper we study $\mathcal{D}$-modules (not necessarily irreducible) with an extra associative $\mathcal{Z}$-action. We will simply call such a module a $\mathcal{ZD}$-module. Our main result is that cuspidal $\mathcal{ZD}$-modules with support lying in one coset $\alpha + \mathbb{Z}^d, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}^d$, are in a one-to-one correspondence with finite dimensional $\Gamma$-graded modules over a subalgebra $\mathcal{G}$ of $\text{Der}(AC)$, where $AC = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_d] \oplus \mathbb{C}_Q/\mathcal{Z}$. The algebra $\mathcal{G}$ has a quotient isomorphic to $\mathfrak{gl}_d \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_N$. We will use some results about the solenoidal Lie algebras over $A$ and $\mathbb{C}_Q$, which were given in [BF2] and [Xu2] separately. As a corollary, we reprove the classification of irreducible cuspidal $\mathcal{ZD}$-modules, in a more conceptional way. From our proof one can see clearly that the algebras $\mathfrak{gl}_d, \mathfrak{gl}_N$ and their modules appear naturally. We mention that Liu and Zhao classified irreducible cuspidal $\mathcal{D}$-modules with no other restriction in [LiuZ] using the classification result in [LiuZ2] and a method introduced in [BF1].

The present paper is arranged as follows. In Section 2 we recall some results about the quantum torus $\mathbb{C}_Q$, the algebras $\mathcal{D}$, the solenoidal Lie algebra $W_\mu$ over $A$ and the solenoidal Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_\mu$ over $\mathbb{C}_Q$. Section 3 is devoted to finite dimensional $\mathcal{G}$-modules. In Section 4 we prove our main theorem about the cuspidal $\mathcal{ZD}$-modules and classify irreducible cuspidal $\mathcal{ZD}$-modules once again.

Throughout this paper, $\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}_+$ refer to the set of complex numbers, integers, nonnegative integers and positive integers respectively. For a Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$, we denote by $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G})$ the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathcal{G}$. Fix $1 < d \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and a standard basis $\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_d$ for the space $\mathbb{C}^d$. Denote by $(\cdot | \cdot)$ the inner product on $\mathbb{C}^d$. 

2
2 Notations and Preliminaries

For a Lie algebra, a weight module is called a cuspidal module if all weight spaces are uniformly bounded. The support of a weight module is defined to be the set of all weights.

For \( \mathbf{m} = (m_1, \ldots, m_d)^T \in \mathbb{Z}^d \) we denote by \( x^\mathbf{m} \) the monomial \( x_1^{m_1} \cdots x_d^{m_d} \) in \( A \). Then the derivation Lie algebra \( W_d \) over \( A \) has a basis \( \{ x^\mathbf{m} x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \mid \mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^d, 1 \leq i \leq d \} \) subject to the Lie bracket

\[
[x^\mathbf{m} x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, x^n x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}] = x^{\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{n}} (n_i x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - m_j x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}).
\]

Let \( \mu = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_d)^T \in \mathbb{C}^d \) be generic, which means that \( \mu_1, \ldots, \mu_d \) are linearly independent over the field of rational numbers. The solenoidal Lie algebra \( W_\mu \) over \( A \) is the subalgebra of \( W_d \) spanned by \( \{ x^\mathbf{m} \sum_{i=1}^d \mu_i x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \mid \mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^d \} \). Many others call \( W_\mu \) (centerless) higher rank Virasoro algebra.

Let \( Q = (q_{ij}) \) be a \( d \times d \) complex matrix with all \( q_{ij} \) being roots of unity and satisfying

\[ q_{ii} = 1, \quad q_{ij} q_{ji} = 1 \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i \neq j \leq d. \]

The rational quantum torus relative to \( Q \) is the unital associative algebra \( \mathbb{C}Q = \mathbb{C}[t_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, t_d^{\pm 1}] \) with multiplication

\[ t_it_j = q_{ij} t_j t_i \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i \neq j \leq d. \]

For \( \mathbf{m} = (m_1, \ldots, m_d)^T \in \mathbb{Z}^d \) we denote \( t^\mathbf{m} = t_1^{m_1} \cdots t_d^{m_d} \). For \( \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^d \), set

\[ \sigma(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq d} q_{ji}^{n_j m_i} \quad \text{and } R = \{ \mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^d \mid \sigma(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}) = \sigma(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}) \text{ for any } \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^d \}. \]

Then the center \( Z \) of \( \mathbb{C}Q \) is spanned by \( \{ t^\mathbf{m} \mid \mathbf{m} \in R \} \). By [BGK], the derivation Lie algebra \( \mathcal{D} \) over \( \mathbb{C}Q \) has a basis

\[ \{ t^\mathbf{m} \partial_i, \text{ad} t^s \mid \mathbf{m} \in R, s \notin R \}, \]

where \( \partial_i \) is the degree derivation with respect to \( t_i \) defined by \( \partial_i t^r = r_i t^r \), and \( \text{ad} t^s \) is the inner derivation given by \( \text{ad} t^s \cdot t^r = [t^s, t^r] \). For convenience we will simply write \( t^s = \text{ad} t^s \).

By Theorem 4.5 in [N], up to an isomorphism of \( \mathbb{C}Q \), we may assume that \( q_{2i,2i-1} = q_i, q_{2i-2i} = q_i^{-1} \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq z \), and other entries of \( Q \) are all 1, where \( z \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \) with \( 2z \leq d \).
and the orders \( k_i \) of \( q_i \) as roots of unity satisfy \( k_{i+1} \mid k_i \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq z \). In this paper we always assume that \( Q \) is of this form, and keep the notation \( q_i, z \) and \( k_i \). Then the subgroup \( R \) of \( \mathbb{Z}^d \) has the simple form

\[
R = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{2^z} (\mathbb{Z}k_1^2 \mathbb{Z}k_2) \oplus \bigoplus_{l>2z} \mathbb{Z}e_l,
\]

and the center \( Z \) becomes a commuting torus on variables

\[
t_1^{k_1}, t_2^{k_1}, t_3^{k_2}, t_4^{k_2}, \ldots, t_{2z-1}^{k_z}, t_{2z}^{k_z}, t_{2z+1}, \ldots, t_d.
\]

Moreover, we have

\[
\sigma(m, r) = \sigma(r, m), \quad t^m t^r = t^{m+r} \text{ for all } m, r \in \mathbb{Z}^d.
\]

Set \( \partial(u, m) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} u_i t^m \partial_i \) for \( u = (u_1, \ldots, u_d)^T \in \mathbb{C}^d \) and \( m \in R \). Then the Lie bracket of \( D \) is

\[
[\partial(u, m), \partial(v, n)] = (u \mid n) \partial(v, m+n) - (v \mid m) \partial(u, m+n);
\]

\[
[\partial(u, m), t^s] = (u \mid s)t^{m+s}; \quad [t^r, t^s] = (\sigma(s, r) - \sigma(r, s))t^{r+s},
\]

where \( u, v \in \mathbb{C}^d, m, n \in R \) and \( r, s \notin R \).

Denote by \( I \) the ideal of the associative algebra \( \mathbb{C}_Q \) generated by elements \( t^{n+r}-t^r, n \in R, r \in \mathbb{Z}^d \). By \( \mathbb{N} \), we have \( \mathbb{C}_Q/I \cong \bigotimes_{i=1}^{2^z} M_{k_i}(\mathbb{C}) \cong M_N(\mathbb{C}) \), where \( N = \prod_{i=1}^{2^z} k_i \) and \( M_n(\mathbb{C}) \) denotes the associative algebra of all \( n \times n \) complex matrices. It is well known that \( M_{k_i}(\mathbb{C}) \) can be generated as an associative algebra by

\[
X_{2i-1} = E_{1,1} + q_i E_{2,2} + \cdots + q_i^{k_i-1} E_{k_i,k_i},
\]

\[
X_{2i} = E_{1,2} + E_{2,3} + \cdots + E_{k_{i-1},k_i} + E_{k_i,k_i},
\]

where \( E_{kl} \) is the \( k_i \times k_i \) matrix with 1 at the \((k, l)\)-entry and 0 elsewhere. Denote \( X^n = \bigotimes_{i=1}^{2^z} X_{2i-1}^{n_{2i-1}} X_{2i}^{n_{2i}} \) for \( n \in \mathbb{Z}^d \). It is easy to see that for any \( n \in R \), \( X^n \) is the identity matrix in \( M_N(\mathbb{C}) \), and \( X^r X^s = \sigma(r, s)X^{r+s} \) for any \( r, s \in \mathbb{Z}^d \). Then the general linear Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{gl}_N = M_N(\mathbb{C}) \) has Lie bracket

\[
[X^m, X^n] = (\sigma(m, n) - \sigma(n, m))X^{m+n}.
\]
Set $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^d/R$ and let $\overline{n}$ denote the image of $n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. Clearly, $\Gamma$ has order $N$ and the algebra $\mathfrak{gl}_N$ has a $\Gamma$-gradation $\mathfrak{gl}_N = \bigoplus_{\pi \in \Gamma} (\mathfrak{gl}_N)_{\pi}$ where $(\mathfrak{gl}_N)_{\pi} = \mathbb{C}X^n$. In this paper by a $\Gamma$-gradation on $\mathfrak{gl}_N$ we always mean this gradation. Set

$$\Gamma_0 = \{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d \mid 0 < n_{2i-1} \leq k_i, 0 < n_{2i} \leq k_i, 1 \leq i \leq z, \text{ and } n_l = 0, 2z < l \leq d\},$$

which is a complete set of representatives for $\Gamma$. When a representative of $\overline{n}$ is needed we always choose the one $n \in \Gamma_0$.

Let $\mu \in \mathbb{C}^d$ be generic. A solenoidal Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_\mu$ over $\mathbb{C}_Q$ is the subalgebra of $\mathcal{D}$ spanned by

$$\{\partial(\mu, m), t^s \mid m \in R, s \notin R\}.$$ 

We mention that the subalgebra $\mathcal{D}_R$ spanned by

$$\{\partial(u, m) \mid u \in \mathbb{C}^d, m \in R\}$$

is isomorphic to the Lie algebra $W_d$ through the map defined by

$$\partial(u, m) \mapsto x^d \sum_{i=1}^{d} u_i k_i x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i},$$

where $m = Bn$ and $B$ is the $d \times d$ matrix $\text{diag}\{k_1, k_1, k_2, k_2, \ldots, k_z, k_z, 1, \ldots, 1\}$.

## 3 Finite dimensional $\mathcal{G}$-modules

In this section we introduce the Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$ and study its modules of finite dimension. For $s \notin R$ we will denote by $t^s$ the image of $t^s$ in $\mathbb{C}_Q/\mathbb{Z}$. Let $AC = \mathbb{C}[x_1 \cdots, x_d] \oplus \mathbb{C}_Q/\mathbb{Z}$ and $x_i t_j = t_j x_i$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq d$. Then $AC$ becomes an associative algebra. For $u \in \mathbb{C}^d$ set

$$d_u = \sum_{i=1}^{d} u_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \sum_{i=1}^{d} u_i t_i \frac{\partial}{\partial t_i} \in \text{Der}(AC).$$

Denote by $\mathcal{G}$ the Lie subalgebra of $\text{Der}(AC)$ spanned by

$$\{x^p d_u, x^l t^s \mid p \in \mathbb{N}^d \setminus \{0\}, l \in \mathbb{N}^d, s \in \Gamma, u \in \mathbb{C}^d\}.$$
For every finite dimensional $G$, the Lie algebra $G$ has Lie bracket

$$[x^m d_u, x^n d_v] = \sum_{i=1}^d u_i n_i x^{m+n-\epsilon_i} d_v - \sum_{i=1}^d v_i m_i x^{m+n-\epsilon_i} d_u;$$

$$[x^m d_u, x^p t^s] = \sum_{i=1}^d u_i n_i x^{m+n-\epsilon_i} t^s + (u | s)x^{m+s} t^s;$$

$$[x^p t^r, x^q t^s] = (\sigma(r, s) - \sigma(s, r))x^{m+s} t^{r+s},$$

where $u, v \in C^d, m, n \in \mathbb{N}^d \setminus \{0\}, p, l \in \mathbb{N}^d$ and $r, s \in \Gamma_0$. It is easy to see that $G$ is $\Gamma$-graded, i.e., $G = \bigoplus_{\Gamma \in \Gamma} G(\bar{n})$, where

$$G(\bar{n}) = \text{span}_C \{ x^n t^s \mid n \in \mathbb{N}^d \};$$

$$G(\overline{0}) = \text{span}_C \{ x^n t^s, x^p d_u \mid n \in \mathbb{N}^d, p \in \mathbb{N}^d \setminus \{0\}, u \in C^d \}.$$

On the other hand, $G$ may be decomposed into the sum of two subalgebras

$$G^{(x)} = \text{span}_C \{ x^p d_u \mid p \in \mathbb{N}^d \setminus \{0\}, u \in C^d \}$$

and

$$G^{(t)} = \text{span}_C \{ x^n t^s \mid n \in \mathbb{N}^d, s \in \Gamma \}.$$

Set $\deg x_i = 1$, $\deg \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} = -1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq d$, and for $m \in \mathbb{N}^d$ write $|m| = \sum_{i=1}^d m_i$. This gives $\mathbb{Z}$-gradations on the algebras $G^{(x)}$ and $G^{(t)}$. For $i \in \mathbb{N}$, set

$$G_i^{(x)} = \text{span}_C \{ x^p d_u \mid |p| = i + 1, u \in C^d \}, \quad G_i^{(t)} = \text{span}_C \{ x^n t^s \mid |n| = i, s \in \Gamma \}.$$

Then we have $G^{(x)} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i^{(x)}$ and $G^{(t)} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i^{(t)}$.

Set $G_+ = G_i^{(x)} + G_i^{(t)}$, which is a subspace of $G$. These subspaces $G_i$ do not make the whole algebra $G$ $\mathbb{Z}$-graded, since the derivations $d_u, u \in C^d$, are not homogeneous. However, $G_+ = \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} G_i$ still makes a $\Gamma$-graded ideal of $G$. Write $G^{(x)}_+ = \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} G_i^{(x)}$ and $G^{(t)}_+ = \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} G_i^{(t)}$. The main result in this section is the following

**Theorem 3.1.** (1) The commutator $[G^{(x)}, G^{(x)}] = [G_0^{(x)}, G_0^{(x)}] \oplus G_{\geq 1}^{(x)}$;

(2) For every finite dimensional $G^{(x)}$-module $U$, there exists an integer $p \gg 0$ such that $G_p^{(x)} U = 0$.

(3) For every finite dimensional $G$-module $U$, there exists an integer $p \gg 0$ such that
The ideal $\mathcal{G}_+$ annihilates any finite dimensional irreducible $\mathcal{G}$-module.

**Proof.** (1) is easy to check by equation (3.1). For (2) we choose a basis $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_d$, of $\mathbb{C}^d$ with all $\mu_i$ being generic. Then $\mathcal{G}^{(x)}$ has a decomposition $\mathcal{G}^{(x)} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^d \mathcal{G}^{(x,\mu_i)}$, where $\mathcal{G}^{(x,\mu_i)} = \text{span}_\mathbb{C} \{ x^m d_{\mu_i} \mid m \in \mathbb{N}^d \setminus \{0\} \}$ are subalgebras of $\mathcal{G}^{(x)}$. Notice that for each $1 \leq i \leq d$, the subalgebra $\mathcal{G}^{(x,\mu_i)}$ is exactly the infinite dimensional Lie algebra $L^+$ studied in [BF1]. By Theorem 3.1 in [BF1] we see that there exists an integer $p_i \gg 0$ such that $(\mathcal{G}^{(x,\mu_i)} \cap \mathcal{G}^{(x)}) U = 0$. Then (2) stands for the integer $p = \max \{ p_1, \ldots, p_d \}$.

To prove (3) we consider $U$ as an $\mathcal{G}^{(x)}$-module and let $p$ be as in (2). For $s \in \Gamma_0$ choose $u \in \mathbb{C}^d$ such that $(u | s) \neq 0$. Then the equation

$$[x^m d_u, t^s] = (u | s) x^m t^s$$

implies that $(x^m t^s) U = 0$ if $|m| \geq p$. This proves (3).

Let $V$ be a finite dimensional irreducible $\mathcal{G}$-module with representation map $\rho$. Since $\mathcal{G}_+$ is an ideal of $\mathcal{G}$, the subspace $V' = \{ v \in V \mid \mathcal{G}_+ v = 0 \}$ is a $\mathcal{G}$-submodule of $V$. By (3) and equation (3.1) we see that $\rho(\mathcal{G}_+)$ is nilpotent. Then the Lie’s Theorem implies that $V'$ is nonzero, hence $V' = V$ by the irreducibility of $V$. So $\mathcal{G}_+ V = 0$, proving (4). \qed

At last we mention that the quotient $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{G}_+$ is isomorphic to the direct sum $\mathfrak{gl}_d \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_N$ as Lie algebras. The isomorphism map is given by

$$X^r \mapsto t^s + \mathcal{G}_+; \quad E_{ij} \mapsto x_i d_{e_j} + \mathcal{G}_+,$$

where $r \in \Gamma_0, 1 \leq i, j \leq d$ and $E_{ij}$ is the $d \times d$ matrix with 1 at the $(i,j)$-th entry and 0 elsewhere. Through this map we also have $\mathcal{G}^{(x)}/\mathcal{G}_+^{(x)} \cong \mathfrak{gl}_d$ and $\mathcal{G}^{(t)}/\mathcal{G}_+^{(t)} \cong \mathfrak{gl}_N$.

## 4 Cuspidal $\mathcal{ZD}$-modules

In this section we study cuspidal $\mathcal{ZD}$-modules with support lying in one coset $\alpha + \mathbb{Z}^d$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^d$. Fix $M = \bigoplus_{s \in \mathbb{Z}^d} M_{\alpha+s}$ such a $\mathcal{ZD}$-module, where $M_{\alpha+s}$ is the weight space with weight $\alpha + s$. Clearly we have $t^s M_{\alpha+s} \subseteq M_{\alpha+r+s}$. Since $M$ is a free $\mathcal{Z}$-module, we
may write

\[ M \cong \bigoplus_{\varpi} U_{\varpi} \otimes Z, \]

where \( U_{\varpi} = M_{\alpha+s} \) for \( s \in \Gamma_0 \). Set \( U = \bigoplus_{s \in \Gamma_0} U_{\varpi} \), which is a \( \Gamma \)-graded space.

We should introduce some operators in \( \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{D} \ltimes Z) \) that act on \( U \). Set \( D(u, m) = t^{-m} \partial(u, m) \) for \( u \in \mathbb{C}^d \) and \( m \in R \), acts on each \( U_{\varpi} \), hence on \( U \). For \( r \in \Gamma_0, n \in R \), define the operator \( L(n, r) \) to be the restriction of \( t^{-n} t^{n+r} \) mapping \( U_{\varpi} \) to \( U_{\Gamma + r} \) for each \( \varpi \in \Gamma \). The commutators among these operators are

\[
[D(u, m), D(v, n)] = (u | n)(D(v, m + n) - D(v, n)) - (v | m)(D(u, m + n) - D(u, m));
\]

\[
[D(u, m), L(n, s)] = (u | n + s)L(m + n, s) - (u | n)L(n, s);
\]

\[
[L(m, r), L(n, s)] = (\sigma(r, s) - \sigma(s, r))L(m + n, r + s),
\]

where \( u, v \in \mathbb{C}^d, m, n \in R, r, s \in \Gamma_0 \). Since for \( v \in U_{\varpi} \), \( n \in R \), we have

\[
\partial(u, m)(t^n v) = (u | n)t^{m+n}v + t^{m+n}D(u, m)v;
\]

\[
t^{m+r}(t^n v) = t^n(t^{m+r}v) = t^{m+n}(L(m, r)v),
\]

the operators \( D(u, m), L(m, r) \) completely determine the \( \mathcal{D} \)-action on \( M \). Furthermore, the operators \( D(u, m) \) may be restricted to \( U_{\varpi} \), and \( L(n, r) \) may be considered as an operator from \( U_{\varpi} \) to \( U_{\Gamma + r} \) for each \( \varpi \in \Gamma \). In other words, \( D(u, m) \) are of degree \( \overline{\varnothing} \) and \( L(n, r) \) are of degree \( \Gamma \) in \( \text{End}(U) \).

Let \( \mu_1, \cdots, \mu_d \) be a basis of \( \mathbb{C}^d \) with all \( \mu_i \) being generic. Recall the solenoidal Lie algebra \( g_{\mu} \) over \( \mathbb{C}_Q \), the solenoidal Lie algebra \( W_\mu \) over the commuting torus \( A = \mathbb{C}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \cdots, x_d^{\pm 1}] \). Then the algebra \( \mathcal{D} \) may be decomposed into direct sum of subalgebras

\[ \mathcal{D} = g_{\mu_1} \oplus W_{\mu_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus W_{\mu_d}. \]

Here \( W_{\mu_i} \) are solenoidal Lie algebras over the commuting torus \( Z \). By results from \[ BF1 \] and \[ Xu2 \], we know that the operators \( D(\mu_i, m), 1 \leq i \leq d \) and \( L(m, r), r \in \Gamma_0 \), have polynomial dependence on \( m \in R \) with coefficients in \( \text{End}(U) \). Moreover, the constant term of \( D(\mu_i, m) \) on each \( U_{\varpi} \) is \( (u | \alpha + s)Id \). Hence \( D(u, m) \) are polynomials on \( m \), since they are linear combinations of \( D(\mu_i, m) \).
Set
\[ D(u, m) = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{N}^d} \frac{m^p}{p!} f_u(p); \quad L(m, r) = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{N}^d} \frac{m^p}{p!} g_r(p), \]
where \( f_u(p) \) are operators in \( \text{End}(U) \) of degree \( \overline{0} \) and \( g_r(p) \in \text{End}(U) \) are operators of degree \( \overline{r} \).

Now by expanding the equations in (4.1) and comparing coefficients at both sides, we get the following commutators
\[
[f_u(p), f_v(l)] = \begin{cases} 
\sum_{i=1}^{d} u_i l_i f_v(p + l - \epsilon_i) - \sum_{i=1}^{d} v_i p_i f_u(p + l - \epsilon_i), & \text{if } p, l \neq 0; \\
0, & \text{if } p = 0 \text{ or } l = 0, 
\end{cases}
\]
\[
[f_u(p), g_s(l)] = \begin{cases} 
(u | s) g_s(l), & \text{if } p = 0; \\
(u | s) g_s(p + l) + \sum_{i=1}^{d} u_i l_i g_s(p + l - \epsilon_i), & \text{if } p \neq 0, 
\end{cases}
\]
\[
[g_r(p), g_s(l)] = \begin{cases} 
(\sigma(r, s) - \sigma(s, r)) g_{r+s}(p + l), & \text{if } r + s \notin R; \\
0, & \text{if } r + s \in R, 
\end{cases}
\]

(4.3)

where \( u, v \in \mathbb{C}^d, p, l \in \mathbb{N}^d \) and \( r, s \in \Gamma_0 \). Recall the Lie bracket of the algebra \( \mathcal{G} \) from equation (3.1) and we see from equations in (4.3) that the operators
\[
\{ f_u(p), g_s(l) \mid u \in \mathbb{C}^d, s \in \Gamma_0, p \in \mathbb{N}^d \setminus \{0\}, l \in \mathbb{N}^d \}
\]
yield a finite dimensional \( \Gamma \)-graded representation for \( \mathcal{G} \) on \( U \). Denote by \( \rho \) this representation map. Then combining equation (4.2) we obtain

**Theorem 4.1.** There exists an equivalence between the category of finite dimensional \( \Gamma \)-graded \( \mathcal{G} \)-modules and the category of cuspidal \( \mathcal{ZD} \)-modules with support lying in some coset \( \alpha + \mathbb{Z}^d \). This equivalence functor associates to a finite dimensional \( \Gamma \)-graded \( \mathcal{G} \)-module \( U = \bigoplus_{\pi \in \Gamma} U_{\pi} \) an \( \mathcal{ZD} \)-module
\[
M = \bigoplus_{s \in \mathbb{Z}^d} U_{\pi} \otimes t^s
\]
with the $\mathcal{D}$-action

$$
\partial(u, m)(v \otimes t^{s+n}) = \left((u | \alpha + n + s)\text{Id} + \sum_{p \in \mathbb{N}^d \setminus \{0\}} \frac{m^p}{p!} \rho(f_u(p)) \right) v \otimes t^{s+n+m}; \\
t^{m+r}(v \otimes t^{s+n}) = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{N}^d} \frac{m^p}{p!} \rho(x_p t^r) v \otimes t^{s+n+m+r},
$$

(4.4)

where $m, n \in \mathbb{R}$, $r \in \mathbb{R}$, $s \in \mathbb{S}$, and $v_\Psi \in \mathcal{U}_\Psi$.

As a consequence we classify irreducible cuspidal $\mathcal{ZD}$-modules, which was first done in [LiuZ2]. First we recall modules of tensor fields over the algebra $\mathcal{D}$. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^d$ and let $V$ be a finite dimensional $\mathfrak{gl}_d$-module, $W = \bigoplus_{s \in \mathbb{I}} W_s$ a finite dimensional $\Gamma$-graded $\mathfrak{gl}_N$-module. On the tensor space $\bigotimes_{s \in \mathbb{Z}^d} (V \otimes W_s \otimes t^s)$ there is a $\mathcal{D}$-module structure defined by

$$
\partial(u, m)(v \otimes w \otimes t^s) = \left((u | \alpha + s)v + \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^d m_i u_j E_{ij} \right) v \right) \otimes w \otimes t^{m+s}; \\
t^r(v \otimes w \otimes t^s) = v \otimes (X^r w) \otimes t^{r+s},
$$

for $u \in \mathbb{C}^d$, $m \in \mathbb{R}$, $r \notin \mathbb{R}$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, $v \in V$ and $w \in W_\Psi$. We denote this module by $\mathcal{V}_\alpha(V, W)$, called a module of tensor fields for $\mathcal{D}$. Clearly, $\mathcal{V}_\alpha(V, W)$ becomes a $\mathcal{ZD}$-module provided the $\mathcal{Z}$-action

$$
t^n(v \otimes w \otimes t^s) = v \otimes w \otimes t^{n+s} \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{R}.
$$

Moreover, $\mathcal{V}_\alpha(V, W)$ is irreducible as a $\mathcal{ZD}$-module as long as $V, W$ are irreducible.

**Theorem 4.2.** Any irreducible cuspidal $\mathcal{ZD}$-module is isomorphic to $\mathcal{V}_\alpha(V, W)$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^d$, finite dimensional irreducible $\mathfrak{gl}_d$-module $V$ and finite dimensional $\Gamma$-graded irreducible $\mathfrak{gl}_N$-module $W$.

By applying Theorem 4.1, we will prove this theorem again in a rather conceptional way. Let $M = \bigoplus_{s \in \mathbb{Z}^d} M_{\alpha+s}$ be an irreducible cuspidal $\mathcal{ZD}$-module. By Theorem 4.1 $M \cong M' \otimes \mathcal{Z}$, where $M' = \bigoplus_{s \in \mathbb{I}} M_{\alpha+s}$ is a finite dimensional irreducible $\Gamma$-graded $\mathcal{G}$-module. By Theorem 3.1(4) we have $\mathcal{G}_+ M' = 0$. Notice that the ideal $\mathcal{G}_+$ is still $\Gamma$-graded. This reduces $M'$ to a $\Gamma$-graded and irreducible module over $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{G}_+$, which is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{gl}_d \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_N$. The following lemma is from [Li].
Lemma 4.3. Let $A_1, A_2$ be two associative algebras with identity and $V$ be an irreducible module over $A_1 \otimes A_2$. Suppose that $A_1$ is of countable dimension. Then $V$ is isomorphic to an $A_1 \otimes A_2$-module of the form $V_1 \otimes V_2$, where $V_1$ is an irreducible $A_1$-submodule of $V$, and $V_2 = \text{Hom}_{A_1}(V_1, V)$ is a natural irreducible $A_2$-module given by

$$(a \cdot f)v = (1 \otimes a)(f(v)) \text{ for } a \in A_2, f \in \text{Hom}_{A_1}(V_1, V), v \in V_1.$$ 

Denote $\mathfrak{gl} = \mathfrak{gl}_d \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_N$, which is $\Gamma$-graded with graded spaces $\mathfrak{gl}_\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{gl}_d \oplus \mathbb{C}X^0$ and $\mathfrak{gl}_\mathfrak{r} = \mathbb{C}X^s$ for $\mathfrak{s} \in \Gamma \setminus \{\mathfrak{0}\}$. Fix a $\mathfrak{s} \in \Gamma$ such that $M_{\alpha+s} \neq 0$. Notice that $M_{\alpha+s}$ is a $\mathfrak{gl}_d$-module through the $G^{(x)}/G^{(x)}_+$-action. Fix $V$ an irreducible $\mathfrak{gl}_d$-submodule of $M_{\alpha+s}$ and set $W_\mathfrak{r} = \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{gl}_d}(V, M_{\alpha+s})$ for any $\mathfrak{r} \in \Gamma$. Let

$$W = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{r} \in \Gamma} W_\mathfrak{r} = \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{gl}_d}(V, \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{r} \in \Gamma} M_{\alpha+s}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{gl}_d}(V, M')$$

and define

$$(X^p \cdot f)v = (1 \otimes X^p)(f(v)) \text{ for } v \in V, \mathfrak{r} \in \Gamma, f \in W_\mathfrak{r},$$

where $1 \otimes X^p$ is an element in $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{gl}_d) \otimes \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{gl}_N) = \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{gl}_d \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_N)$. Clearly this makes $W$ a $\Gamma$-graded $\mathfrak{gl}_N$-module. By Lemma 4.3 we have $M' \cong V \otimes W$. Moreover, $W$ is irreducible as a $\mathfrak{gl}_N$-module since $M'$ is irreducible as a $\mathfrak{gl}_d \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_N$-module. This proves Theorem 4.2.

From the proof above one can see that all irreducible $\mathfrak{gl}_d$-submodules of $M'$ are isomorphic to $V$.
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