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Abstract. We estimate the frequency of polynomial iterations which falls in a given multiplicative subgroup of a finite field of \(p\) elements. We also give a lower bound on the size of the subgroup which is multiplicatively generated by the first \(N\) elements in an orbit. We derive these from more general results about sequences of compositions or a fixed set of polynomials.

1. Introduction

1.1. Motivation. Recently, several of so called *unlikely intersection* type results have been obtained on the scarcity of elements in orbits of polynomial maps in fields of characteristic zero that fall in a set of prescribed multiplicative structure. This set can be the set of all roots unity in \(\mathbb{C}\), see [3,4], or the set of all perfect powers in a number field, see [2], or a finitely generated group of a number field, see [1,5].

Here, motivated by these results, we consider analogous problems in positive characteristic and in particular investigate the frequency of values in an orbit of a polynomial over a finite field which fall in a multiplicative subgroup of a given order.

1.2. Set-up. Let \(\mathbb{F}_q\) be the field of \(q\) elements. Given a polynomial \(f \in \mathbb{F}_q[X]\), we consider the trajectories generated by iterations of \(f\) starting from some \(u \in \mathbb{F}_q\), that is, sequences of the form

\[
(1.1) \quad u_0 = u \quad \text{and} \quad u_{n+1} = f(u_n), \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots
\]

Clearly, each trajectory is eventually periodic. That is, there are some integers \(0 \leq s < t \leq q\) such that \(u_s = u_t\) and thus \(u_{s+n} = u_{t+n}\), \(n = 0, 1, \ldots\). In particular, the smallest \(T_u = t\) satisfying the above condition is called the *trajectory length*.

For \(u \in \mathbb{F}_q\) let \(\mathcal{P}_u\) be the *period length* of the sequence (1.1) with starting point \(v\), that is, the minimal \(k > 0\) such that \(u_n = u_{n+k}\) for
n = 0, 1, . . . with the convention, that \( \mathcal{P}_u = \infty \) if the sequence (1.1) is not periodic (just eventually periodic).

In the case of prime \( q = p \), we consider the size \( G(N) \) of the smallest subgroup \( \mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^* \) which contains the first \( N \) non-zero elements of the sequence \( (u_n) \), that is,

\[
\forall_n \in \mathcal{G} \cup \{0\}, \quad n = 1, \ldots, N.
\]

Some bounds on \( G(N) \) and also on the frequency of the event \( u_n \in \mathcal{G} \) for a given subgroup \( \mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^* \), and related questions, are given in [6]. However, the results of [6] apply to either very long segments of an orbit or are not uniform with respect to \( f \) (that is, depend on the size of the coefficients of \( f \)). Here we use a different approach, utilizing a recent result of Vyugin [7] and obtain stronger and fully uniform results. In fact, we study analogous problems in a much more general situation of semigroups generated by several polynomials under the composition.

Let \( f_1, \ldots, f_k \in \mathbb{F}_q[X] \) be polynomials of positive degree. Consider the functional graph \( \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{F}_q) \) with vertices \( \mathbb{F}_q \) and directed edges \( (x, y) \) with \( f_i(x) = y, \ 1 \leq i \leq k \).

For primes \( q = p \) and a point \( u \in \mathbb{F}_p \) we consider the vertices in this graph which are close to \( u \):

\[
\mathcal{V}_u(N) = \{f_{i_1} \circ \cdots \circ f_{i_n}(u) : i_1, \ldots, i_n \in \{1, \ldots, k\}, \ 0 \leq n \leq N\}
\]

and study whether these points are contained in small subgroups. Put

\[
\mathcal{V}_u(N) = \# \mathcal{V}_u(N)
\]

and define \( G_k(N) \) as the size of the smallest subgroup \( \mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^* \) which contains \( \mathcal{V}_u(N) \):

\[
\mathcal{V}_u(N) \subseteq \mathcal{G} \cup \{0\}.
\]

1.3. Results. In order to state the results, for a graph \( \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{F}_q) \) we denote by \( \overline{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{F}_q) \) the undirected graph (that is, \( (x, y) \) is an edge in \( \overline{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{F}_q) \) if \( (x, y) \) or \( (y, x) \) is an edge in \( \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{F}_q) \)) and we also denote by \( \mathcal{C}_0 \) the length of a shortest cycle in \( \overline{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{F}_p) \) which contains 0. Clearly, for the case of one polynomial (\( k = 1 \)), we have \( \mathcal{C}_0 = \mathcal{P}_0 \).

**Theorem 1.1.** Let \( \varepsilon > 0, \ k \geq 1 \) and \( d \geq 2 \) be fixed. Then there exist constants \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \) depending only on \( d, k \) and \( \varepsilon \), such that if \( f_1, \ldots, f_k \in \mathbb{F}_p[X] \) are polynomials of degree at most \( d \) and \( \mathcal{C}_0 \geq c_1 \), then

\[
G_k(N) \geq c_2 \min\{V_u(N)^{2-\varepsilon}, p^{1-\varepsilon}\} \quad \text{for } N \geq 1.
\]

As a special case, we have the following result for the dynamical system (1.1) defined by just one polynomial.
Corollary 1.2. For any \( \varepsilon > 0 \) and \( d \geq 2 \) there exist constants \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \) depending only on \( d \) and \( \varepsilon \) such that if \( f \in \mathbb{F}_p[X] \) is of degree at most \( d \), \( \mathcal{P}_0 \geq c_1 \) and \( N < T_u \), where \( T_u \) is the trajectory length of \( (u_n) \), then
\[
G(N) \geq c_2 \min \{ N^{2-\varepsilon}, p^{1-\varepsilon} \}.
\]

Alternatively, for a subgroup \( \mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^* \) we denote
\[
T_k(N, \mathcal{G}) = \#(V_u(N) \cap \mathcal{G})
\]
and put
\[
\rho_k(N) = \frac{T_k(N, \mathcal{G})}{V_u(N)}.
\]

Theorem 1.3. For any \( \varepsilon > 0 \), \( d \geq 2 \) and \( k \geq 1 \) there exist positive constants \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \), depending only on \( k, d \) and \( \varepsilon \), such that if \( f_1, \ldots, f_k \in \mathbb{F}_p[X] \) are of degree at most \( d \), \( \mathcal{G} \) is a subgroup of \( \mathbb{F}_p^* \) with
\[
V_u(N) < \#\mathcal{G} < \min \{ V_u(N)^{2-\varepsilon}, p^{1-\varepsilon} \},
\]
then for \( 2 \leq V_u(N) < d^{c_1 \varepsilon_0} \) we have
\[
\rho_k(N) < c_2 \frac{1}{\log V_u(N)}.
\]

As a special case, we have the following result for the dynamical system (1.1) defined by just one polynomial.

Corollary 1.4. For any \( \varepsilon > 0 \) and \( d \geq 2 \) there exist positive constants \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \), depending only on \( d \) and \( \varepsilon \), such that if \( f \in \mathbb{F}_p[X] \) is of degree \( d \), \( \mathcal{G} \) is a subgroup of \( \mathbb{F}_p^* \) with
\[
N^\varepsilon < \#\mathcal{G} < \min \{ N^{2-\varepsilon}, p^{1-\varepsilon} \},
\]
then for \( 2 \leq N < d^{c_1 \varepsilon_0} \) we have
\[
\rho(N) < c_2 \frac{1}{\log N}.
\]

2. Auxiliary results

2.1. Notation. We use the Landau symbol \( O \) and the Vinogradov symbol \( \ll \). Recall that the assertions \( U = O(V) \) and \( U \ll V \) are both equivalent to the inequality \( |U| \leq cV \) with some absolute constant \( c > 0 \), which throughout this paper, may depend on \( k \) and \( \varepsilon \).
2.2. Dense sets in graphs. Here we present a graph theory results which can be of independent interest.

Let \( \mathcal{H} \) be a directed graph, with possible multiple edges. Let \( \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{H}) \) be the set of vertices of \( \mathcal{H} \). For \( u, v \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{H}) \), let \( d(u, v) \) be the distance from \( u \) to \( v \), that is, the length of a shortest (directed) path from \( u \) to \( v \). Assume, that all the vertices have the out-degree \( k \geq 1 \), and the edges from all vertices are labeled by \( \{1, \ldots, k\} \).

For a word \( \omega \in \{1, \ldots, k\}^* \) over the alphabet \( \{1, \ldots, k\} \) and \( u \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{H}) \), let \( \omega(u) \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{H}) \) be the end point of the walk started from \( u \) and following the edges according to \( \omega \).

Let us fix \( u \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{H}) \) and a subset of vertices \( A \subseteq \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{H}) \). Then for words \( \omega_1, \ldots, \omega_\ell \) put

\[
L_N(u, A; \omega_1, \ldots, \omega_\ell) = \# \{ v \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{H}) : d(u, v) \leq N, \\
\quad d(u, \omega_i(v)) \leq N, \omega_i(v) \in A, \ i = 1, \ldots, \ell \}.
\]

We need the following combinatorial statement which we believe can find further applications in the study of semigroups generated by several polynomials or other functions.

To state the results, for \( k, h \geq 1 \) let \( B(k, h) \) denote the size of the complete \( k \)-tree of depth \( h \) – 1, that is

\[
B(k, h) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{h}{k^{h-1}} & \text{if } k = 1, \\
\frac{k^h}{k-1} & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]

Lemma 2.1. Let \( u \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{H}) \), and \( h, \ell \geq 1 \) be fixed. If \( A \subseteq \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{H}) \) is a subset of vertices with

\[
\# \{ v \in A : d(u, v) \leq N \} \\
\geq \max \left\{ 3B(k, h), \frac{3\ell}{h} \# \{ v \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{H}) : d(u, v) \leq N \} \right\},
\]

then there exist words \( \omega_1, \ldots, \omega_\ell \in \{1, \ldots, k\}^* \) of length at most \( h \) such that

\[
L_N(u, A; \omega_1, \ldots, \omega_\ell) \gg \frac{h}{B(k, h)^{\ell+1}} \# \{ v \in A : d(u, v) \leq N \},
\]

where the implied constant depends only on \( \ell \).

Proof. Put

\[
\beta = B(k, h) \quad \text{and} \quad \nu = \# \{ v \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{H}) : d(u, v) \leq N \}.
\]

Let \( P \) be the number of pairs \((z, v) \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{H}) \times A\) such that

\[
d(u, z) \leq N - h \quad d(z, v) \leq h.
\]
If \( v \in A \) and \( h \leq d(u, v) \leq N \), then there is a path from \( u \) to \( v \) of length at least \( h \), thus there are at least \( h \) vertices \( z \in V(H) \) such that \( d(u, z) \leq N - h \) and \( d(z, v) \leq h \). As there are at most \( \beta \) vertices \( v \) with \( d(u, v) < h \), we have

\[
P \geq h(\# v \in A : d(u, v) \leq N) - \beta.
\]

On the other hand, let \( J \) be the number of \( z \in V(H) \) such that \( d(u, z) \leq N - h \) and

\[
\# v \in A : d(z, v) \leq h \geq \ell.
\]

Then

\[
P \leq \beta J + (\ell - 1)(\nu - J).
\]

Comparing \((2.2)\) and \((2.3)\), we derive

\[
J \geq \frac{h \# v \in A : d(u, v) \leq N} {\beta - \ell + 1} \geq \frac{h}{\beta} \# v \in A : d(u, v) \leq N.
\]

There are at most

\[
\binom{\beta}{\ell} \leq \beta^\ell
\]

choices for \( \omega_1, \ldots, \omega_\ell \), so we see that there is at least one choice, that

\[
L_N(u, A; \omega_1, \ldots, \omega_k) \geq \frac{h}{\beta^{\ell}} \# v \in A : d(u, v) \leq N
\]

which concludes the proof. \( \Box \)

2.3. **Polynomial values if subgroups.** We call the set of polynomials \( g_1, \ldots, g_\ell \in F_p[X] \) admissible if there exist \( x_1, \ldots, x_\ell \in F_p \) such that

\[
g_i(x_i) = 0 \quad \text{but} \quad g_j(x_i) \neq 0 \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \leq i, j \leq k, i \neq j.
\]

**Lemma 2.2.** For polynomials \( g_1, \ldots, g_\ell \in F_p[X] \), if \( h < C_0/2 \), then the polynomials

\[
g_{i_1} \circ \cdots \circ g_{i_r}, \quad r \leq h, \quad i_1, \ldots, i_r \in \{1, \ldots, \ell\}
\]

are admissible.
Proof. For a given $F$ of form $F = g_{i_1} \circ \ldots \circ g_{i_r}$, $r \leq h$, $i_1, \ldots, i_r \in \{1, \ldots, \ell\}$, let $x \in \mathbb{F}_p$ be a zero of $F$. If it is also a zero of $G$ of form $G = g_{j_1} \circ \ldots \circ g_{j_s}$, $s \leq h$, $j_1, \ldots, j_r \in \{1, \ldots, \ell\}$, $G \neq F$, then there are two different paths from $x$ to 0 in the functional graph $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ along the edges $i_r, \ldots, i_1$ and $j_s, \ldots, j_1$. As $r, s \leq h$ these two paths define a cycle of size at most $r + s \leq 2s < \mathcal{C}_0$, a contradiction. \hfill \Box

Our main tool is the following result of Vyugin [7].

Lemma 2.3. Let $G$ be a subgroup of $\mathbb{F}_p^*$ and let $G_1, \ldots, G_k$ be $k \geq 2$ cosets of $G$. If $g_1, \ldots, g_k \in \mathbb{F}_p[X]$ form an admissible set of polynomials of degrees $m_1 \leq \ldots \leq m_k$ and

$$C_1(m, k) < \#G < C_2(m, k)p^{1 - \frac{1}{2m + 1}},$$

then

$$\#\{x : g_i(x) \in G_i, i = 1, \ldots, k\} \leq C_3(m, k)\#G^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{k}},$$

where for $m = (m_1, \ldots, m_k)$ we define

$$C_1(m, k) = 2^{2k}m_k^{4k}, \quad C_2(m, k) = (k + 1)\frac{2k}{2k + 1}(m_1 \ldots m_k)^{-\frac{2}{2k + 1}},$$

and

$$C_3(m, k) = 4(k + 1)(m_1 + \ldots + m_k)(m_1 \ldots m_k)^{\frac{1}{k}}.$$

3. Proof of main results

3.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $h \geq 1$ be the smallest integer such that

$$\frac{1}{2B(k, h)} < \varepsilon$$

where $B(k, h)$ is defined by (2.1). For an appropriate choice of the constant $c_1$, we can assume, that $h < \mathcal{C}_0/2$.

We also set

$$\beta = B(k, h).$$

Let $G$ be the group generated by $\mathcal{V}_u(N)$. Then

$$f_{i_1} \circ \ldots \circ f_{i_r}(x) \in G,$$

for

$$x \in \mathcal{V}_u(N - h), \quad i_1, \ldots, i_r \in \{1, \ldots, k\}, \quad 1 \leq r \leq h.$$  

Moreover, the polynomials

$$f_{i_1} \circ \ldots \circ f_{i_r}, \quad i_1, \ldots, i_r \in \{1, \ldots, k\}, \quad 1 \leq r \leq h,$$

are admissible by Lemma 2.2.

For an appropriate choice of the constant $c_1$ we have

$$\#G > 2^{2h}d^{4h\beta}.$$
We can also assume, that

\[ \#G < \left( (\beta + 1)^{-1} d^{-1} p \right)^{2\beta/(2\beta + 1)}. \]

as otherwise there is nothing to prove. Then by Lemma 2.3

\[ V_u(N - h) \ll \#G^{(\beta + 1)/(2\beta + 1)}. \]

Finally, we remark that

\[ V_u(N) \leq \beta V_u(N - h) \]

which gives the desired result.

3.2. Proof of Theorem 1.3. We can assume throughout the proof, that both \( p \) and \( N \) are large enough.

Define

\[ \ell = \left\lfloor \frac{4}{\varepsilon} - 1 \right\rfloor \quad \text{and} \quad h = \frac{1}{2\ell (\ell + 1) \log k + 2 \log d} \log \#G, \]

Put

\[ \delta_0 = \frac{1 + \log k}{2\ell ((\ell + 1) \log k + 2 \log d)}. \]

Clearly, \( 0 < \delta_0 < 1/2 \). By the above choice of parameters, we have

\[ B(k, h) \leq V_u(N)^{(2-\varepsilon)\delta_0}. \]

Assume, that

\[ \rho_k(N) \geq \frac{6\ell (1 + \log k)}{\varepsilon \delta_0 \log V_u(N)}. \]

Then we have

\[ T_k(N, G) \geq \max \left\{ 3B(k, h), \frac{3\ell}{h} V_u(N) \right\}. \]

By Lemma 2.1, there are \( \omega_1, \ldots, \omega_\ell \in \{1, \ldots, k\}^* \) of form \( \omega_i = (\omega_{i,1}, \ldots, \omega_{i,r_i}) \), \( r_i \leq h, 1 \leq i \leq \ell \) such that

\[ \# \{ v \in V_u(N - h) : f_{\omega_{i,1}} \circ \ldots \circ f_{\omega_{i,r_i}}(v) \in G, \ i = 1, \ldots, \ell \} \]

\[ \geq \frac{h V_u(N)}{B(k, h)^{\ell + 1} \rho_k(N)}. \]

Consider the polynomials \( F_i = f_{\omega_{i,1}} \circ \ldots \circ f_{\omega_{i,r_i}} \) of degree \( m_i = \deg F_i \leq d^h, \ i = 1, \ldots, \ell \).

If \( G \) is large enough in terms of \( \ell \), then

\[ C_1(m, \ell) \leq 2^{2\ell} d^{4h} \leq \#G. \]
Moreover, if \( \ell \) is large enough, then
\[
C_2(m, \ell)p^{2\ell + 1} \geq (\ell + 1)^{-2\ell + 1}d^{-2\ell^{-1}h}p^{2\ell + 1} \\
\geq (\ell + 1)^{-2\ell + 1}d^{-2\ell^{-1}h}\#G^{1-\varepsilon2\ell^{-1}} \geq \#G.
\]

By the choice of \( h \),
\[
h \leq \frac{1}{2\ell (\ell + 1) \log k + 2 \log d} < \frac{1}{2}C_0,
\]
by an appropriate choice of \( c_1 \). Then the polynomials \( F_1, \ldots, F_\ell \) are admissible by Lemma 2.2. Then by Lemma 2.3 we have
\[
\#\{v \in V_u(N-h) : F_i(v) \in G, \ i = 1, \ldots, \ell\} \\
\leq \#\{x \in \mathbb{F}_p : F_i(x) \in G, \ i = 1, \ldots, \ell\} \ll d^{2h} \#G^{\varepsilon2\ell^{-1}}.
\]
Comparing (3.2) and (3.3), we have
\[
\rho_k(N) < \frac{B(k, h)^{\ell+1}d^{2h} \#G^{\varepsilon2\ell^{-1}}}{hV_u(N)} < \frac{h^{\ell} \#G^{\varepsilon2\ell^{-1}}}{V_u(N)} \ll \left( \frac{(2-\varepsilon)\log V_u(N)}{4\ell \log d} \right)^\ell V_u(N)^{(2-\varepsilon)(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{4})-1}.
\]
Using \( \ell < 4\varepsilon^{-1} - 2 \) we see then the exponent of \( V_u(N) \) is negative, that is
\[
(2-\varepsilon) \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\ell} \right) - 1 = \frac{2}{\ell} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon}{\ell} = -\frac{\varepsilon}{2\ell} (\ell + 2 - 4\varepsilon^{-1}) < 0,
\]
which contradicts (3.1), provided that \( V_u(N) \) is large enough.
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