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Abstract

The variance and the entropy power of a continuous random variable are bounded from below by the reciprocal of its Fisher information through the Cramér-Rao bound and the Stam’s inequality respectively. In this note, we introduce the Fisher information for discrete random variables and derive the discrete Cramér-Rao-type bound and the discrete Stam’s inequality.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The Fisher information [3] is defined for continuous random variables and plays a fundamental role in information theory and related fields. The Fisher information for a random variable $X$ on $\mathbb{R}$ according to a probability density function $f$ is defined as

$$I(f) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{f'(x)^2}{f(x)} \, dx,$$

where $f'(x)$ denotes a derivative of $f(x)$ with respect to $x$. The variance and the entropy power of a random variable $X$ are bounded from below by the reciprocal of its Fisher information through the Cramér-Rao bound [2], [6] and the Stam’s inequality [9] respectively [5].

The Cramér-Rao bound is given by

$$\sigma_X^2 I(f) \geq 1,$$

where $\sigma_X^2$ denotes the variance of a random variable $X$.

The Stam’s inequality is given by

$$N(f) I(f) \geq 1,$$

where $N(f) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2\pi e} \exp(2h(f))$ denotes the entropy power and $h(f) \overset{\text{def}}{=} -\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \log f(x) \, dx$ denotes the Shannon differential entropy [8]. Carlen [1] proved the Stam’s inequality is mathematically equivalent to the Gross’s log-Sobolev inequality [4].

We can also write the Fisher information as

$$I(f) = 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \frac{d}{dx} \sqrt{f(x)} \right)^2 \, dx$$

$$I(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \left( \frac{d}{dx} \log f(x) \right)^2 \, dx.\quad (5)$$

Moreno, Yáñez and Dehesa introduced different discrete forms of the Fisher information based on [1], [4] and [5] and they mentioned the discretization based on [4] as the most appropriate definition [7].

In this note, we introduce the discrete Fisher information based on [4] in the same way and we derive the discrete Cramér-Rao-type bound and the discrete Stam’s inequality.

II. DEFINITION

Let $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

Let $Z$ denote a discrete random variable taking values on $\mathbb{N}_0$. 
A. Set of probability mass function

Let $p$ denote a probability mass function (pmf) of $Z$.

Let $\Omega$ be a set of probability mass functions $p$ which satisfy $\lim_{i \to \infty} p(i) = 0$. For a pmf with a finite support $[0, N-1]$, we define $p(i) = 0$ for all $i \geq N$. $\Omega$ includes many well-known distributions such as the uniform, the geometric, the Poisson, the Bernoulli, the binomial distributions and so on.

B. Discrete Fisher information (DFI)

We introduce the discrete Fisher information (DFI) based on (4). The DFI for $p \in \Omega$ is defined as

$$I_d(p) \overset{\text{def}}{=} 4 \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (\sqrt{p(i+1)} - \sqrt{p(i)})^2 = 4 \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} D\phi(i),$$

where $\phi(i) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \sqrt{p(i)}$ and $D$ denotes a difference operator defined as $D\phi(i) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \phi(i+1) - \phi(i)$. The advantage of the discretization based on (4) is that it can be well-defined for $p(i) = 0$.

The DFI can be also written by using the autocorrelation.

$$I_d(p) = 4(2 - p(0) - 2R_{\phi\phi}(1)),$$

where $R_{\phi\phi}(t) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \phi(i)\phi(i+t)$ is the autocorrelation. We can also interpret the DFI as the squared Hellinger distance between $p(i)$ and $q(i) \overset{\text{def}}{=} p(i+1)$. The squared Hellinger distance is defined as $H^2(p, q) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (\sqrt{p(i)} - \sqrt{q(i)})^2$ and $I_d(p) = 8H^2(p, q)$ holds.

C. Expected value

The expected value for $p \in \Omega$ is defined as

$$E[A(Z)] \overset{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} A(i)p(i),$$

where $A$ denotes a function of a random variable $Z$.

D. Entropy power

The Shannon entropy for $p \in \Omega$ is defined as

$$H(p) \overset{\text{def}}{=} -\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p(i) \log p(i),$$

where we define $0 \log 0 = 0$. The entropy power is defined as

$$N_d(p) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \exp(2H(p)).$$

III. MAIN RESULTS

A. New inequalities for the DFI

**Theorem 1.** (Discrete Cram\’er-Rao-type bound) Let $p \in \Omega$ and let $I_d(p)$ be the DFI.

Let $\sigma^2 \overset{\text{def}}{=} E[Z^2] - E[Z]^2$ be the variance and $\mu \overset{\text{def}}{=} E[Z]$ be the mean of a random variable $Z$ according to $p$. Then,

$$\left(\sigma^2 + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{(\mu + 1)^2}{2}p(0)\right) I_d(p) \geq (1 - (\mu + 1)p(0))^2,$$

with equality if and only if $p(i) = \delta_{i0}$. $\delta_{ij}$ denotes the Kronecker delta.

When $p(0) = 0$, this inequality is simplified as

$$\left(\sigma^2 + \frac{1}{2}\right) I_d(p) \geq 1.$$
Theorem 2. (Inequality for the maximum of pmf) Let $p \in \Omega$ and let $I_d(p)$ be the DFI. Then,

$$I_d(p) > \|p\|_\infty^2 + (\|p\|_\infty - p(0))^2,$$

where $\|p\|_\infty = \max_i p(i)$.

Furthermore, this inequality is “tight” in the sense that $\alpha = 1$ is the optimal constant for an inequality $\alpha I_d(p) > \|p\|_\infty^2 + (\|p\|_\infty - p(0))^2$ which holds for all $p \in \Omega$.

Proposition 1. (Discrete Stam’s inequality) Let $p \in \Omega$ and let $I_d(p)$ be the DFI. Then,

$$N_d(p) I_d(p) > 1.$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

If there exists the optimal constant for an inequality $\beta N_d(p) I_d(p) > 1$ which holds for all $p \in \Omega$, $\beta$ must be $e^{-2} \leq \beta \leq 1$.

Proposition 2. (Discrete Stam-type inequality) Let $p \in \Omega$ and let $I_d(p)$ be the DFI. Then,

$$\frac{1}{2} N_d(p) \left(I_d(p) + 2p(0) - p(0)^2\right) > 1.$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

When $p(0) = 0$, this inequality is tighter than Proposition [1]

B. Proofs of main results

We show proofs of the main results.

Proof of Theorem [1]

We consider a quantity as follows.

$$V = - \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (i - \mu) Dp(i) = - \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (i - \mu) (p(i+1) - p(i))$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

From $\lim_{i \to \infty} p(i) = 0$ and $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} i p(i+1) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (i - 1) p(i)$, we have

$$V = - \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} i (p(i+1) - p(i)) - \mu p(0) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p(i) - \mu p(0) = 1 - (\mu + 1)p(0).$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

On the other hand, we have

$$V = - \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (i - \mu) D[\phi(i)^2] = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (i - \mu) (\phi(i+1) + \phi(i)) D\phi(i),$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

where we put $\phi(i) = \sqrt{p(i)}$. Applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to this equality and using the definition of the DFI yield

$$V^2 \leq \frac{1}{4} I_d(p) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (i - \mu)^2 (\phi(i+1) + \phi(i))^2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

By using $(x + y)^2 \leq 2(x^2 + y^2)$ and $\phi(i) = \sqrt{p(i)}$, we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (i - \mu)^2 (\phi(i+1) + \phi(i))^2 \leq 2 \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (i - \mu)^2 (\phi(i+1)^2 + \phi(i)^2)$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

$$= 2 \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (i - \mu)^2 (p(i+1) + p(i)) = 2\sigma^2 + 2 \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (i - \mu)^2 p(i+1).$$
Using \((i - \mu)^2 = (i + 1 - \mu - 1)^2 = (i + 1)^2 - 2(i + 1)(\mu + 1) + (\mu + 1)^2\) yields
\[
\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (i - \mu)^2 p(i + 1) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (i + 1)^2 p(i + 1) - 2(\mu + 1) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} i p(i + 1) + (\mu + 1)^2 \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p(i + 1)
\]
(16)\]

Substituting this equality into (15) and combining with (14) yields
\[
V^2 \leq \left( \sigma^2 + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} (\mu + 1)^2 p(0) \right) I_d(p).
\]
(17)

By combining this inequality with (12), we obtain (6).

Next, we show the equality condition. Since \((x + y)^2 = 2(x^2 + y^2)\) holds if and only if \(x = y\), if equality holds in (15), \(\phi(i) = \phi(i + 1) = c\) must hold for all \(i\) except for \(i = \mu\). However, since \(\phi(i)^2 = p(i)\) satisfies \(\lim_{i \to \infty} p(i) = 0\), \(c\) must be 0. Hence, if equality holds, \(p(i)\) must be \(\delta_{i0}\) and \(\mu = 0\). By confirming the equality holds for \(p(i) = \delta_{i0}\), the result follows.

**Proof of Theorem 2**

First, we prove the first half of the theorem. Let \(m\) be an index which satisfies \(p(m) = \|p\|_{\infty}\).

We consider a quantity as follows.
\[
V_1 = - \sum_{i=m}^{\infty} Dp(i)
\]
(18)

From \(\lim_{i \to \infty} p(i) = 0\), we have
\[
V_1 = p(m) = \|p\|_{\infty}.
\]
(19)

On the other hand, we have
\[
V_1 = - \sum_{i=m}^{\infty} (\phi(i + 1) + \phi(i)) D\phi(i),
\]
(20)

where we put \(\phi(i) = \sqrt{p(i)}\). Applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to this equality yields
\[
V_1^2 \leq \sum_{i=m}^{\infty} |D\phi(i)|^2 \sum_{i=m}^{\infty} (\phi(i + 1) + \phi(i))^2.
\]
(21)

By using \((x + y)^2 \leq 2(x^2 + y^2)\), we have
\[
\sum_{i=m}^{\infty} (\phi(i + 1) + \phi(i))^2 < 2 \sum_{i=m}^{\infty} (\phi(i + 1)^2 + \phi(i)^2).
\]
(22)

Since \((x + y)^2 = 2(x^2 + y^2)\) holds if and only if \(x = y\), if \(\sum_{i=m}^{\infty} (\phi(i + 1) + \phi(i))^2 = 2 \sum_{i=m}^{\infty} (\phi(i + 1)^2 + \phi(i)^2)\) holds, \(\phi(i)\) must be a constant for \(i \geq m\). Since \(\phi(i)^2 = p(i)\) satisfies \(\lim_{i \to \infty} p(i) = 0\), the constant must be 0 and \(\max_{i} \phi(i) = \phi(m) = 0\) holds. However, \(\max_{i} \phi(i) = 0\) is inconsistent with \(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \phi(i)^2 = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p(i) = 1\). Hence, the equality doesn’t hold in (22).

By using \(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \phi(i)^2 = 1\) for (22), we have
\[
\sum_{i=m}^{\infty} (\phi(i + 1) + \phi(i))^2 < 4.
\]
(23)

Substituting this inequality into (21) and combining with (19) yields
\[
4 \sum_{i=m}^{\infty} |D\phi(i)|^2 > \|p\|_{\infty}^2.
\]
(24)
If \( m = 0 \), from the definition of the DFI and (24), the result follows. Then, we prove the case for \( m \geq 1 \) and we consider a quantity as follows.

\[
V_2 = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} D(p(i)) = p(m) - p(0) = \|p\|_\infty - p(0)
\]  

(25)

In the same way as \( V_1 \), we have

\[
V_2^2 \leq \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} |D\phi(i)|^2 \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (\phi(i + 1) + \phi(i))^2
\]  

(26)

\[
\leq 4 \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} |D\phi(i)|^2.
\]

Combining (25) with (26), we have

\[
4 \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} |D\phi(i)|^2 \geq (\|p\|_\infty - p(0))^2.
\]  

(27)

By taking the sum of (24) and (27) and using the definition of the DFI, the result follows.

Next, we prove the latter half of the proposition. Since \( \|p\|_\infty \geq \|p\|_\infty + (\|p\|_\infty - \|p\|_\infty) \) holds, \( \alpha \) must satisfy \( \alpha > \frac{\|p\|_\infty + (\|p\|_\infty - \|p\|_\infty)^2}{I_d(p)} \).

For the geometric distribution \( p(i) = q(1 - q)^i \) with \( 0 < q \leq 1 \), the DFI and the maximum of pmf are

\[
I_d(p) = 4(1 - \sqrt{1 - q})^2 \quad \|p\|_\infty \quad q = p(0).
\]  

(28)

For \( q \sim 0 \), from \( \sqrt{1 - q} = 1 - \frac{q}{2} + O(q^2) \), we have

\[
I_d(p) = q^2 + O(q^3).
\]  

(29)

Hence, \( \lim_{q \to +0} \frac{\|p\|_\infty + (\|p\|_\infty - \|p\|_\infty)^2}{I_d(p)} \) is equal to 1 and \( \alpha \) must be \( \alpha \geq 1 \). Since the inequality (8) is the case for \( \alpha = 1 \), the result follows.

**Proof of Proposition 1**

First, we prove the first half of the proposition. Since \( \|p\|_\infty \geq p(i) \) and \( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p(i) = 1 \), we have

\[
N_d(p) = \exp\left(-2 \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p(i) \log p(i)\right) \geq \frac{1}{\|p\|_\infty^2}.
\]  

(30)

From Theorem 2 we have

\[
I_d(p) > \|p\|_\infty^2.
\]  

(31)

By combining this inequality with (30), the result follows.

Next, we prove the latter half of the theorem. If an inequality \( \beta N_d(p) I_d(p) > 1 \) holds, \( \beta \) must satisfy \( \beta > \frac{1}{N_d(p) I_d(p)} \).

For the geometric distribution \( p(i) = q(1 - q)^i \) with \( 0 < q \leq 1 \), the entropy is \( H(p) = -q \log q - (1 - q) \log(1 - q) \). Then, we have

\[
N_d(p) = q^{-2} (1 - q)^{-\frac{2(1-q)}{q}}.
\]  

(32)

Combining with \( \lim_{q \to +0} (1 - q)^{-\frac{2(1-q)}{q}} = e^{-2} \) and (29) yields

\[
\lim_{q \to +0} \frac{1}{N_d(p) I_d(p)} = e^{-2}.
\]

(33)

Hence, \( \beta \) must be \( \beta \geq e^{-2} \). Since the inequality (9) is the case for \( \beta = 1 \), the result follows.

**Proof of Proposition 2**

From \( \|p\|_\infty \leq 1 \) and Theorem 2, we have

\[
2 \|p\|_\infty^2 < I_d(p) + 2\|p\|_\infty p(0) - p(0)^2 \leq I_d(p) + 2p(0) - p(0)^2.
\]  

(34)

By combining this inequality with (30), the result follows.
We show some examples of the DFI and other quantities related to the inequalities for discrete distributions.

A. Discrete uniform distribution
- pmf: \( p(i) = \frac{1}{N} \) for \( 0 \leq i \leq N - 1 \) and \( p(i) = 0 \) for \( i \geq N \).
- DFI: \( I_d(p) = \frac{4}{N} \).
- mean: \( \mu = \frac{N - 1}{2} \).
- variance: \( \sigma^2 = \frac{N^2 - 1}{12} \).
- maximum of pmf: \( \|p\|_\infty = \frac{1}{N} \).
- entropy power: \( N_d(p) = \frac{N^2}{2} \).

B. Geometric distribution
- pmf: \( p(i) = q(1-q)^i \) with \( 0 < q \leq 1 \).
- DFI: \( I_d(p) = 4(1 - \sqrt{1-q})^2 \).
- mean: \( \mu = \frac{1-q}{q} \).
- variance: \( \sigma^2 = \frac{1-q}{q^2} \).
- maximum of pmf: \( \|p\|_\infty = q \).
- entropy power: \( N_d(p) = q^{-2}(1 - q)^{-\frac{2(1-q)}{q}} \).

C. Poisson distribution
- pmf: \( p(i) = \frac{\lambda^i \exp(-\lambda)}{i!} \) with \( \lambda > 0 \).
- DFI: \( I_d(p) = 4 \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left( \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{i+1}} - 1 \right)^2 p(i) \).
- mean: \( \mu = \lambda \).
- variance: \( \sigma^2 = \lambda \).
- maximum of pmf: \( \|p\|_\infty = p(\lfloor \lambda \rfloor) \).
- entropy power: \( N_d(p) = \exp(2H(p)) \) and \( H(p) = \lambda(1 - \log \lambda) + \exp(-\lambda) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^i \log(i)}{i!} \).

V. Conclusion

We have introduced the discrete Fisher information (DFI) and we have shown the discrete Cramér-Rao-type bound, the inequality for the maximum of pmf and the discrete Stam’s and the Stam-type inequalities. We have also shown the discrete Cramér-Rao-type bound is tight and the discrete Stam’s inequality is approximately tight.

It is an open question whether a tighter bound for the discrete Stam’s inequality exists or not.
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