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Abstract

Within the framework of balayage spaces (the analytical equivalent of nice Hunt processes), we prove equicontinuity of bounded families of harmonic functions and apply it to obtain criteria for compactness of potential kernels.

1 Introduction

The main purpose of this paper is to provide simple criteria for compactness of potential kernels (Proposition 4.3 and Corollary 4.5) in the general framework of balayage spaces \((X, W)\) (specified by \((B_0) - (B_3)\) and Remarks 1.1, 1.2 below). These results shall be essential in a forthcoming paper [2].

They are based on [6, Lemma 3.1], where compactness of potential kernels for continuous real potentials with compact superharmonic support has been stated. Its proof used (local) equicontinuity of bounded families of harmonic functions without providing any details or references. Therefore we shall first prove such an equicontinuity before getting to compactness of potential kernels.

In the following let \(X\) be a locally compact space with countable base. For every open set \(U\) in \(X\), let \(\mathcal{B}(U)\) (or \(\mathcal{C}(U)\)) denote the set of all Borel measurable numerical functions (continuous real functions) on \(U\). Further, let \(\mathcal{C}_0(U)\) be the set of all functions in \(\mathcal{C}(U)\) which vanish at infinity with respect to \(U\). Given any set \(\mathcal{F}\) of functions, let \(\mathcal{F}_b\) (or \(\mathcal{F}^+\)) denote the set of bounded (positive) functions in \(\mathcal{F}\).

We recall that \((X, W)\) is called a balayage space, if \(W\) is a convex cone of positive numerical functions on \(X\) such that \((B_0) - (B_3)\) hold:

\(B_0\) \(W\) has the following continuity, separation and transience properties:

- (C) Every \(w \in W\) is the supremum of its minorants in \(W \cap C(X)\).
- (S) For all \(x \neq y\) and \(\gamma > 0\), there is a function \(v \in W\) such that \(v(x) \neq \gamma v(y)\).
- (T) There are strictly positive functions \(u, v \in W \cap C(X)\) with \(u/v \in \mathcal{C}_0(X)\).

\(B_1\) If \(v_n \in W, v_n \uparrow v\), then \(v \in W\).

\(B_2\) If \(V \subset W\), then \(\inf V \in W\).

\(B_3\) If \(u, v', v'' \in W\), \(u \leq v' + v''\), then there exist \(u', u'' \in W\) such that \(u = u' + u''\) and \(u' \leq v', u'' \leq v''\).
Here $\hat{g}$ is the greatest finely lower semicontinuous minorant of $g$, where the $(\mathcal{W}_\text{f})$ fine topology on $X$ is the coarsest topology such that functions in $\mathcal{W}$ are continuous.

**REMARK 1.1.** If $\mathbb{P} = (P_t)_{t>0}$ is a sub-Markov semigroup on $X$ (for example, the transition semigroup of a Hunt process $\mathfrak{X}$) such that its convex cone

$$\mathcal{E}_\mathbb{P} := \{ u \in \mathcal{B}^+(X): \sup_{t>0} P_t u = u \}$$

of excessive functions satisfies $(B_0)$, then $(X, \mathcal{E}_\mathbb{P})$ is a balayage space; see [11 II.4.9] or [7 Corollary 2.3.8]. We might note that the essential part of $(B_0)$, the continuity property $(C)$, holds, if the resolvent kernels $V_\lambda := \int_0^\infty P_t \text{d}t, \lambda > 0$, are strong Feller, that is, $V_\lambda(\mathcal{B}_b(X)) \subset \mathcal{C}_b(X)$. – For a converse, see Remark 1.2.

For this and an exposition of the theory of balayage spaces in detail, see [1, 7]; for a description, which is more expanded than the one given here and includes a discussion of examples, we mention [5] and [9, Appendix 8.1].

In the following, let $(X, \mathcal{W})$ be a balayage space. The reader may have in mind that we mostly can assume without loss of generality that $1 \in \mathcal{W}$, since $(X, (1/s_0)\mathcal{W})$ is a balayage space for every strictly positive $s_0 \in \mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{C}(X)$.

We recall that the set $\mathcal{P}(X)$ of continuous real potentials on $X$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{P}(X) := \{ p \in \mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{C}(X): \exists w \in \mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{C}(X), w > 0, \text{ with } p/w \in \mathcal{C}_0(X) \}.$$ 

Of course, $\mathcal{P}(X)$ is a convex cone. Moreover, every function in $\mathcal{W}$ is the limit of an increasing sequence in $\mathcal{P}(X)$ and, for every $p \in \mathcal{P}(X)$, there exists a strictly positive $q \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ such that $p/q \in \mathcal{C}_0(X)$ (see [1 II.4.6] or [7 Proposition 1.2.1]).

A general minimum principle implies that, for every $p \in \mathcal{P}(X)$, there exists a unique kernel $K_p$ on $X$, called *associated potential kernel* or *potential kernel* for $p$, such that the following holds (see [1 II.6.17]):

- $K_p 1 = p$.
- For every $f \in \mathcal{B}_b^+(X)$, $K_p f \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ and $C(K_p f) \subset \text{supp}(f)$.

Further, we recall that, for every open set $V$ in $X$, we have a *harmonic kernel* $H_V$ given by

$$H_V p = R_p^{X\setminus V} = \inf\{ w \in \mathcal{W}: w \geq p \text{ on } \mathcal{C}(p) \},$$

called *carrier* or *superharmonic support* of $p$ (see [1 II.6.3] or [7 Proposition 4.1.6]). For every $p \in \mathcal{P}(X)$, there is a unique kernel $K_p$ on $X$, called *associated potential kernel* or *potential kernel* for $p$, such that the following holds (see [1 II.6.17]):

$$p = R_p^{C(p)} := \inf\{ w \in \mathcal{W}: w \geq p \text{ on } C(p) \},$$

for every $p \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ (see [1 p. 98 and II.5.4] or [7 Section 4.2]).

**REMARK 1.2.** The following holds (see [1 II.8.6, proof of IV.8.1 and VI.3.14]): If $1 \in \mathcal{W}$, then, for every $p \in \mathcal{P}_b(X)$ which is strict (that is, satisfies $K_p 1_W \neq 0$ for
every finely open Borel set $W \neq \emptyset$, there exists a Hunt processes $X$ on $X$ such that its transition semigroup $\mathbb{P} = (P_t)_{t>0}$ satisfies

$$\mathcal{E}_\mathbb{P} = \mathcal{W} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^\infty P_t \, dt = K_p$$

(so that, in particular, the resolvent kernels are strong Feller).

If $\tau_V$ is the exit time of an open set $V$, that is, $\tau_V := \inf\{t \geq 0: X_t \notin V\}$, then

$$\mathbb{E}^x(f \circ X_{\tau_V}) = H_V f(x), \quad f \in \mathcal{B}^+(X), \, x \in X.$$

Now let $U$ be an open set in $X$ and let $\mathcal{U}(U)$ denote the set of all open sets $V$ with compact closure in $U$. As usual, let $\mathcal{H}(U)$ denote the set of functions $u \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ which are hyperharmonic on $U$, that is, are lower semicontinuous on $U$ and satisfy

$$-\infty < H_V u(x) \leq u(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in V \in \mathcal{U}(U).$$

We note that $\mathcal{H}^+(X) = \mathcal{W}$ (see [12, II.5.5] or [7, Proposition 4.1.7]). The set $\mathcal{H}(U) := \mathcal{H}(U) \cap (-\mathcal{H}(U))$ is the set of functions in $\mathcal{B}(X)$ which are harmonic on $U$, that is,

$$\mathcal{H}(U) = \{h \in \mathcal{B}(X): \lim_{V \to U} h|_V = 0 \text{ for every } V \in \mathcal{U}(U)\}.$$

The equalities (1.1) and (1.2 immediately imply that the superharmonic support $C(p)$ for $p \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ is the smallest closed set such that $p$ is harmonic on its complement.

In particular, we have the following, if there is a Green function $G$ for $(X, \mathcal{W})$. If $p \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ such that $p = G\mu := \int G(\cdot, y) \, d\mu(y)$ for some measure $\mu \geq 0$ on $X$ (see [8] for such a representation), then, for every $f \in \mathcal{B}^+(X)$,

$$K_pf = G(f\mu).$$

By [12, III.2.8 and III.1.2],

$$\mathcal{P}(X) = \{p \in \mathcal{W} \cap C(X): \text{If } h \in \mathcal{H}^+(X) \text{ and } h \leq p, \text{ then } h = 0\},$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}(U) = \mathcal{H}^+(U), \quad \text{if } f \in \mathcal{B}(X) \text{ and } |f| \leq s \in \mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{C}(X).$$

Finally, we note that a function $h \in \mathcal{B}^+(X)$ satisfying $h|_U \in \mathcal{C}(U)$ is harmonic on $U$ provided that, for every $x \in U$, there is a fundamental system $\mathcal{V}(x) \subset \mathcal{U}(U)$ of neighborhoods of $x$ with $H_V h(x) = h(x)$ for every $V \in \mathcal{V}(x)$ (see [12, III.4.4] or [7, Corollary 5.2.8]). Analogously for hyperharmonic functions. In particular, for positive functions, being harmonic (hyperharmonic resp.) on an open set is a local property in the following sense: If $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ is a family of open sets in $X$, then

$$\bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{H}^+(U_i) = \mathcal{H}^+\left(\bigcup_{i \in I} U_i\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{H}^+(U_i) = \mathcal{H}^+\left(\bigcup_{i \in I} U_i\right).$$
2 Equicontinuity of sets of harmonic functions

Let $U$ be an open set in $X$, $s \in \mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{C}(X)$ and

$$\mathcal{H}_s(U) := \{ h \in \mathcal{H}(U) : |h| \leq s \}.$$  

The main result of this section is the following.

**THEOREM 2.1.** The set $\mathcal{H}_s(U)$ is (locally) equicontinuous on $U$.

It will be sufficient to prove that $\mathcal{H}_s^+(U)$ is locally equicontinuous on $U$, since, for all $V \in \mathcal{U}(U)$ and $h \in \mathcal{H}_s(U)$, we know that $h = H_V h = H_V h^+ - H_V h^-$, where $H_V h^\pm \in \mathcal{H}_s^+(V)$; see (1.4).

We assume (without loss of generality) that $s = 1$ and establish the equicontinuity first at points of $U$ which are contained in the set

$$X_0 := \{ x \in X : \lim_{V \downarrow x} H_V(x, W) = 1 \text{ for every open neighborhood } W \text{ of } x \}.$$  

In many cases, for example for harmonic spaces and for the balayage space given by Riesz potentials (symmetric $\alpha$-stable processes) on $\mathbb{R}^d$, we have $X_0 = X$.

Our approach is based on (1.4) and inspired by a result in [10] on the composition of two strong Feller kernels. We start with the following lemma.

**LEMMA 2.2.** Let $V$ be a relatively compact open set in $X$ and let $(f_n)$ be a sequence in $\mathcal{B}(X)$, $0 \leq f_n \leq 1$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there exists a subsequence $(f'_n)$ of $(f_n)$ and a function $f \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ such that $0 \leq f \leq 1$ and the sequence $(H_V f'_n)$ converges pointwise to $H_V f$ on $V$.

**Proof.** Let $\{ x_m : m \in \mathbb{N} \}$ be a dense sequence in $V$ and $\sigma := \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} 2^{-m} H_V(x_m, \cdot)$. Then $\sigma(X) \leq 1$. Since $L^\infty(\sigma)$ is the dual of $L^1(\sigma)$, there exists a subsequence $(f'_n)$ of $(f_n)$ and a function $f \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ such that $0 \leq f \leq 1$ and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int f'_n g d\sigma = \int f g d\sigma \quad \text{for every } g \in L^1(\sigma).$$

Let $x \in V$ and let $A$ be a Borel set in $V$ such that $\sigma(A) = 0$. Then $H_V 1_A(x_m) = 0$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and hence $H_V(x, A) = 0$, since the function $H_V 1_A$ is continuous on $V$, by (1.4). So, by the theorem of Radon-Nikodym, there exists $g \in L^1(\sigma)$ such that $H_V(x, \cdot) = g \sigma$. By (2.1), we conclude that $\lim_{n \to \infty} H_V f'_n(x) = H_V f(x)$.  

**COROLLARY 2.3.** Every sequence $(h_n)$ in $\mathcal{H}_1^+(U)$ has a subsequence $(h'_n)$ which converges pointwise on $U$.

**Proof.** If $V$ is an open set in $U$ and $\overline{V}$ is compact in $U$, then, by Proposition 2.2, there exists a subsequence $(h'_n)$ of $(h_n)$ such that $(H_V h'_n)$ converges pointwise on $V$. This means that $(h'_n)$ converges pointwise on $V$, since $H_V h_n = h_n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The proof is completed choosing a covering of $U$ by a sequence of such sets $V$ and using a standard diagonal procedure.

**PROPOSITION 2.4.** If $x \in U \cap X_0$, then $\mathcal{H}_s^+(U)$ is equicontinuous at $x$. 
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Proof. Let us suppose that \( \mathcal{H}_1^+(U) \) is not equicontinuous at a point \( x \in U \cap X_0. \) We have to show that this leads to a contradiction. To that end let \( (A_n) \) be a sequence of compact neighborhoods of \( x \) in \( U \) such that \( A_n \downarrow \{x\} \) and \( A_{n+1} \) is contained in the interior of \( A_n, n \in \mathbb{N}. \) Then there exists \( \delta \in (0,1) \) such that, for every \( n \in \mathbb{N}, \) there are \( h_n \in \mathcal{H}_1^+(U) \) and \( y_n \in A_n \) satisfying

\[
|h_n(y_n) - h_n(x)| \geq 5\delta. \tag{2.2}
\]

By Corollary 2.3 we may assume that the sequence \( (h_n) \) converges pointwise on \( U. \)

Since \( x \in X_0, \) there exists an open neighborhood \( V \) of \( x \) such that \( V \) is compact in \( U \) and \( H_V 1_U(x) > 1 - \delta \). By continuity of \( H_V 1_U \) on \( V, \) there exists \( n_0 \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( A := A_{n_0} \subset V \) and \( H_V 1_U > 1 - \delta \) on \( A. \) Since \( H_V 1 \leq 1, \) we obtain that

\[
H_V 1_{X \setminus U} < \delta \quad \text{on } A. \tag{2.3}
\]

We now define

\[
g_n := 1_{U \setminus x} h_n \quad \text{for every } n \in \mathbb{N} \quad \text{and} \quad g := \lim_{n \to \infty} g_n.
\]

By (2.3), for every \( n \in \mathbb{N}, \)

\[
|h_n - H_V g_n| = |H_V (h_n - g_n)| \leq H_V 1_{X \setminus U} < \delta \quad \text{on } A. \tag{2.4}
\]

For every \( n \in \mathbb{N}, \) let

\[
g'_n := \inf_{k \geq n} g_k \quad \text{and} \quad g''_n := \sup_{k \geq n} g_k.
\]

\( H_V g'_n \uparrow H_V g \) and \( H_V g''_n \downarrow H_V g \) pointwise as \( n \to \infty. \) Since these functions are continuous on \( V, \) the convergence is uniform on \( A. \) Of course, \( H_V g'_n \leq H_V g_n \leq H_V g''_n \) for every \( n \in \mathbb{N}. \) So the sequence \( (H_V g_n) \) converges to \( H_V g \) uniformly on \( A. \) Hence there exists \( n_1 \geq n_0 \) such that, for every \( n \geq n_1, \)

\[
|H_V g_n - H_V g| < \delta \quad \text{on } A. \tag{2.5}
\]

Further, by continuity of \( H_V g \) on \( V, \) there exists \( n \geq n_1 \) such that

\[
|H_V g - H_V g(x)| < \delta \quad \text{on } A_n. \tag{2.6}
\]

Finally, combining the estimates (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6) we obtain that

\[
|h_n - h_n(x)| < 5\delta \quad \text{on } A_n
\]

contradicting (2.2). Thus \( \mathcal{H}_1^+(U) \) is equicontinuous at \( x. \)

To continue our proof of Theorem 2.1 (and for later use) we define

\[
\mathcal{W}_U := *\mathcal{H}_1^+(U)|_U
\]

and observe that \( (U, \mathcal{W}_U) \) is a balayage space (see [1, V.1.1]).

Let us now consider a point \( x \in X \setminus X_0. \) By [1, III.2.7], it is finely isolated. Since \( \mathcal{W}|_U \subset \mathcal{W}_U, \) it is also finely isolated with respect to \( (U, \mathcal{W}_U). \) Therefore

\[
q_x := \inf \{w \in \mathcal{W}_U: w(x) \geq 1\}
\]

is a continuous real potential for \( (U, \mathcal{W}_U) \) with \( C(q_x) = \{x\} \) (see [1, p. 94 and III.2.8] or [7, Lemma 4.2.13]).
LEMMA 2.5. The set $\mathcal{H}^+_i(U)$ is equicontinuous at every point $x \in U \setminus X_0$.

Proof. Given $\delta > 0$, there exists a neighborhood $V$ of $x$ in $U$ such that, for all $y \in V$,

\begin{equation}
q_x > 1 - \delta \quad \text{on } V.
\end{equation}

We now fix $h \in \mathcal{H}^+_i(U)$. Then the restrictions $v, w$ of $h, 1 - h$, respectively, on $U$ are hyperharmonic on $U$. Applying Lemma 2.5 to the balayage space $(U, \mathcal{W}_U)$ we get that

$$v \geq h(x)q_x \quad \text{and} \quad w \geq (1 - h(x))q_x.$$  

Since $0 \leq h(x) \leq 1$, this implies that, for every $y \in V$, by (2.7),

$$h(y) > h(x) - \delta \quad \text{and} \quad 1 - h(y) > 1 - h(x) - \delta,$$

that is, $\delta > h(x) - h(y) > -\delta$. \hfill \Box

Having Proposition 2.4 and Lemma 2.5 the proof of Theorem 2.1 is completed.

REMARK 2.6. For the sake of completeness let us finally note that $X \setminus X_0$ is the set of all finely isolated points in $X$ and that it is (at most) countable. If $X$ is a (countable) discrete space, then, of course, $X_0 = \emptyset$. In [4] it is shown that, for $X = (0, 1)$, the set $X \setminus X_0$ can be any given countable subset of $X$.

3 Equicontinuity of specific minorants of $p \in \mathcal{P}(X)$

Let $\prec$ denote the specific order on $\mathcal{W}$, that is, if $u, v \in \mathcal{W}$, then $u \prec v$ if there exists $w \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $u + w = v$. If $q \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ and $f \in \mathcal{B}_b(X)$ such that $0 \leq f \leq 1$, then $K_qf \prec q$, since $K_q(1 - f) \in \mathcal{P}(X)$. If $q, q' \in \mathcal{P}(X)$, then obviously $K_{q+q'} = K_q + K_{q'}$, and hence $K_qf \prec K_{q+q'}f$ for every $f \in \mathcal{B}^+(X)$. For $q \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ and Borel sets $A$ in $X$, let

$$q_A := K_q1_A.$$

Having Theorem 2.1 the proof given in [3] for the following result is complete. However, for the convenience of the reader we add a quick presentation.

PROPOSITION 3.1. For every $p \in \mathcal{P}(X)$, the set $\mathcal{M}_p := \{q \in \mathcal{P}(X): q \prec p\}$ is (locally) equicontinuous on $X$.

Proof. Let $x \in X$ and $\delta > 0$. There exists an open neighborhood $U$ of $x$ such that $p_U\setminus\{x\}(x) < \delta$, and hence $p_U\setminus\{x\} \prec \delta$ on some neighborhood $V$ of $x$. Moreover, we may assume that $|p(x) - p'(x)| < \delta$ on $V$ (if $\{x\}$ is totally thin, then $p(x) = 0$). By Proposition 2.1 there exists a neighborhood $W$ of $x$ in $V$ such that, for every $q \in \mathcal{M}_p$, $|q_{X \setminus U} - q_{X \setminus U}(x)| < \delta$ on $W$.

Now let us fix $q \in \mathcal{M}_p$. Then $q_{U \setminus \{x\}} \prec p_{U \setminus \{x\}}$ and $q(x) \prec p(x)$. By (1.1), $q(x) = \alpha p(x)$ with $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. Thus $|q - q(x)| < 3\delta$ on $W$. \hfill \Box

COROLLARY 3.2. For every $p \in \mathcal{P}(X)$, the set $\{K_pf: f \in \mathcal{B}(X), 0 \leq f \leq 1\}$ is (locally) equicontinuous on $X$.

At first sight, Proposition 3.1 may look stronger than Corollary 3.2. However, it is not, since, for every $q \prec p$, there exists a function $f \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ such that $0 \leq f \leq 1$ and $K_pf = q$; see [1] II.7.11.
4 Compactness of potential kernels

Let us introduce the following boundedness property for \((X, \mathcal{W})\) (cf. Remark 4.4):

(B) There is a strictly positive bounded function \(w_0 \in \mathcal{W}\).

We first recall the statement of [6, Lemma 3.1] and prove it using Corollary 3.2.

**PROPOSITION 4.1.** Suppose (B) and let \(p \in \mathcal{P}(X)\) such that \(C(p)\) is compact. Then \(K_p\) is a compact operator on \((B_b(X), \| \cdot \|_{\infty})\).

**Proof.** Let \((f_n)\) be a bounded sequence in \(B_b(X)\), without loss of generality \(0 \leq f_n \leq 1\) for every \(n \in \mathbb{N}\). By Corollary 3.2 and the theorem of Arzelà-Ascoli, there exists a subsequence \((q_m)\) of \((Kf_n)\) which is uniformly convergent on \(C(p)\).

Let \(\delta > 0\), \(a := \inf w_0(C(p))\) and \(b := \sup w_0(C(p))\). There exists \(k \in \mathbb{N}\) such that, for all \(m, n \geq k\),

\[ q_m < q_n + (\delta/b)a \quad \text{on} \quad C(p), \]

where \(C(q_m) \subset C(p)\), and therefore \(q_m \leq q_n + (\delta/b)w_0 \leq q_n + \delta\) on \(X\), by (1.1). So the sequence \((q_m)\) is uniformly convergent.

Given \(g \in B_b(X)\), let us denote the operator \(f \mapsto fg\) on \(B_b(X)\) by \(M_g\). Clearly, for all \(g \in B^+_b(X)\) and \(p \in \mathcal{P}(X)\), the potential kernel for \(K_pg\) is \(K_pM_g\).

**COROLLARY 4.2.** Suppose (B) and let \(p \in \mathcal{P}(X)\). Then there exists a function \(\varphi_0 \in C(X), 0 < \varphi_0 \leq 1\), such that the potential kernel of \(K_p\varphi_0\) is a compact operator on \((B_b(X), \| \cdot \|_{\infty})\).

**Proof.** Let us choose \(\varphi_n \in C(X)\) with compact support, \(0 \leq \varphi_n \leq 1\), such that \(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{\varphi_n > 0\} = X\). For every \(n \in \mathbb{N}\), \(p_n := K_p\varphi_n \in \mathcal{P}(X)\) with \(C(p_n) \subset \text{supp}(\varphi_n)\), and hence \(K_{p_n}\) is a compact operator on \((B_b(X), \| \cdot \|_{\infty})\), by Theorem 4.1. Let \(0 < \alpha_n \leq 2^{-n}, n \in \mathbb{N}\), such that \(\alpha_np_n \leq 2^{-n}\). Then \(\varphi_0 := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n\varphi_n \in C(X), 0 < \varphi_0 \leq 1\), \(p_0 := K_p\varphi_0 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_nK_{p_n} \in \mathcal{P}(B_b(X))\) and \(K_{p_0} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_nK_{p_n}\) is a compact operator on \((B_b(X), \| \cdot \|_{\infty})\).

Fixing an exhaustion of \(X\) by relatively compact open sets \(U_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\), we have the following.

**THEOREM 4.3.** Assuming (B) the following are equivalent for every \(p \in \mathcal{P}(X)\):

1. \(K_p\) is a compact operator on \((B_b(X), \| \cdot \|_{\infty})\) (and \(K_p(B_b(X)) \subset C_b(X)\)).
2. \(\lim_{n \to \infty} \|K_p1_{X \setminus U_n}\|_{\infty} = 0\).

**Proof.** (1) \(\Rightarrow\) (2) Almost trivial: Obviously, \(K_p1_{X \setminus U_n} \downarrow 0\) pointwise as \(n \to \infty\). By compactness of \(K_{p_n}\), the sequence \((K_p1_{X \setminus U_n})\) contains a uniformly convergent subsequence. Thus (2) holds.

(2) \(\Rightarrow\) (1) For every \(n \in \mathbb{N}\), \(p_n := K_p1_{U_n} \in \mathcal{P}(X)\) and \(C(p_n) \subset \overline{U}_n\). Hence \(K_{p_n}\) is a compact operator on \(B_b(X)\), by Proposition 4.1 and \(K_{p_n}(B_b(X)) \subset C_b(X)\). Since

\[ K_p = K_pM_{1_{U_n}} + K_pM_{1_{X \setminus U_n}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \]

where \(K_pM_{1_{U_n}} = K_{p_n}\) and \(\|K_pM_{1_{X \setminus U_n}}\|_{\infty} = \|K_p1_{X \setminus U_n}\|_{\infty}\), we obtain that \(K_p\) is a compact operator on \(B_b(X)\) and \(K_p(B_b(X)) \subset C_b(X)\). \(\square\)

\(^1\)If \(1 \in \mathcal{W}\), then \(\|K_p1_{X \setminus U_n}\|_{\infty} = \sup\{K_p1_{X \setminus U_n}(x): x \in X \setminus U_n\}\), by (1.1).
REMARK 4.4. To apply Theorem 4.3 in the general case, we may choose $s_0 \in \mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{C}(X)$, $s_0 > 0$, and consider the balayage space $(X, \mathcal{W})$ with $\mathcal{W} := (1/s_0)\mathcal{W}$ and $1 \in \mathcal{W}$. Given $p \in \mathcal{P}(X)$, the function $\tilde{p} := p/s_0$ is a continuous real potential for $(X, \mathcal{W})$ and the associated potential kernel $\tilde{K}_p$ is given by

$$\tilde{K}_p f = (1/s_0)K_p f, \quad f \in \mathcal{B}^+(X).$$

Then compactness of $\tilde{K}_p$ on $(\mathcal{B}(X), \| \cdot \|_\infty)$ implies compactness of $K_p$ on the space of all $s_0$-bounded functions equipped with the norm

$$\|f\| := \inf\{a \geq 0 : |f| \leq as_0\}.$$

In the following let $U$ be an open set in $X$. We recall from the preceding section that taking $\mathcal{W}_U := *\mathcal{H}^+(U)|_U$ we obtain a balayage space $(U, \mathcal{W}_U)$. By [11] V.1.1, we know, in addition, that $q - H_U q \in \mathcal{P}(U)$ for every $q \in \mathcal{P}(X)$.

COROLLARY 4.5. Let $U$ be relatively compact. Then the following hold:

(a) If $q \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ and $p := q - H_U q$, then $K_p = K_q - H_U K_q$, and $K_p$ is a compact operator on $\mathcal{B}_0(U)$.

(b) If $U$ is regular, then $K_p$ is a compact operator on $\mathcal{B}_0(U)$ if and only if $p \in \mathcal{C}_0(U)$ (and $K_p(\mathcal{B}_0(U)) \subset \mathcal{C}_0(U)$).

Proof. If $w \in \mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{C}(X)$, $w > 0$, then $w_0 := w|_U \in \mathcal{W}_U \cap \mathcal{B}_0(U)$.

(a) Obviously, $K_p = K_q - H_U K_q$. Let $(V_n)$ be an exhaustion of $U$. We have $q_n := K_q 1_{U \setminus V_n} \downarrow 0$, where $q_n \in \mathcal{C}(X)$, and hence $q_n \downarrow 0$ uniformly on $\overline{U}$ as $n \to \infty$. Since $K_p 1_{U \setminus V_n} \leq q_n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we obtain that $\lim_{n \to \infty} \|K_p 1_{U \setminus V_n}\|_\infty = 0$. Thus $K_p$ is a compact operator on $\mathcal{B}_0(U)$, by Theorem 4.3.

(b) By Theorem 4.3 it suffices to observe that $p_n := K_U 1_{V_n} \in \mathcal{C}_0(U)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. (To see this we may take any strict potential $q_0 \in \mathcal{P}(X)$, consider $p_0 := q_0 - H_U q_0 \in \mathcal{P}_b(U) \cap \mathcal{C}_0(U)$, fix $x \in U$, and note that $p_n \leq ap_0$ on $\nabla_n$ for some $a > 0$, hence $p_n \leq ap_0$ on $U$.)
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