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Abstract

The classical Mahowald invariant is a method for producing nonzero classes in the stable homotopy groups of spheres from classes in lower stems. In [59], we studied an analog of this construction in the setting of motivic stable homotopy theory over $Spec(\mathbb{C})$. In this paper, we define analogs in the settings of motivic stable homotopy theory over $Spec(\mathbb{R})$ and $C_2$-equivariant stable homotopy theory. To do so in the $C_2$-equivariant setting, we prove a $C_2$-equivariant analog of Lin’s Theorem by adapting the motivic Singer construction developed by Gregersen [24] to the $C_2$-equivariant setting. In both the real motivic and $C_2$-equivariant settings, we prove an analog of the theorem of Mahowald and Ravenel in the classical setting [46] and the author in the complex motivic setting that the Mahowald invariant of $(2 + \rho\eta)^i$, $i \equiv 2, 3 \mod 4$, is $v_1$-periodic. Up to a conjecture about the $R$-motivic stable stems, we also prove that the Mahowald invariant of $\eta^i$, $i \geq 1$, is $w_1$-periodic. Finally, we study the behavior of the Mahowald invariant under various functors between the motivic, equivariant, and classical stable homotopy categories.

Contents

Abstract
1. Introduction
2. The parametrized Tate construction
3. $C_2$-equivariant Lin’s Theorem
4. Real motivic and $C_2$-equivariant Mahowald invariants
5. Some real motivic and parametrized $\Sigma_2$-Tate constructions
6. $v_1$-periodicity over $\mathbb{R}$ and generalized Mahowald invariants of $(2 + \rho\eta)^i$
7. Real motivic and $C_2$-equivariant Mahowald invariants of $\eta^i$
Appendix A. Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence charts
References

1. Introduction

Classical stable homotopy theory, and especially the computation of the stable homotopy groups of spheres, has benefited greatly from recent developments in motivic and equivariant stable homotopy theory. On the equivariant side, the slice spectral sequence has provided a fantastic new tool for the chromatic approach to computing the stable stems; see, for example, the work of Hill-Hopkins-Ravenel [30], Li-Shi-Wang-Xu [41], and Hill-Shi-Wang-Xu [31]. On the motivic side, the classical stable stems have been computed past the 90-stem using motivic methods in recent work of Isaksen [36], Gheorghe-Wang-Xu [21], and Isaksen-Wang-Xu [37]. Our goal in this paper is to explore the interactions between classical, motivic, and equivariant stable homotopy theory by defining and computing generalizations of the Mahowald invariant and the Tate construction.
1.1. Recollection of classical and complex motivic Mahowald invariants. Mahowald defined the classical Mahowald invariant using Lin’s Theorem [42], which states that after 2-completion, there is an equivalence of spectra
\[ S^0 \simeq \lim \Sigma RP^\infty_{-n} \]
between the sphere spectrum and the homotopy limit of the stunted real projective spectra defined by setting
\[ RP^\infty_{-n} := Th(-n \gamma \to RP^\infty) \]
where \( Th(-) \) is the Thom construction and \( \gamma \) is the tautological line bundle over \( RP^\infty \). Given a class \( \alpha \in \pi_t(S^0) \), there must be some minimal \( N > 0 \) such that the composite
\[ S^t \xrightarrow{\alpha} S^0 \simeq \Sigma RP^\infty_{-\infty} \to \Sigma RP^\infty_{N} \]
is nontrivial. By choosing \( N \) minimal, we obtain a lift to the fiber of the collapse map \( \Sigma RP^\infty_{-N} \to \Sigma RP^\infty_{N+1} \), i.e. a nontrivial map \( S^0 \to S^{-N+1} \). The coset of lifts is the classical Mahowald invariant of \( \alpha \).

In order to define the complex motivic Mahowald invariant [59], we used a motivic analog of Lin’s Theorem due to Gregersen [24], which states that after 2-completion, there is a \( \pi_* \)-equivalence
\[ S^{0,0} \xrightarrow{\sim} \lim \Sigma^{1,0} L^\infty_{-n} \]
between the motivic sphere spectrum and the homotopy limit of stunted motivic lens spectra. Given a class \( \alpha \in \pi_*(S^{0,0}) \), we can imitate the classical construction to obtain a coset of lifts \( S^{t,1} \to S^{-2N+1+\epsilon,N+\epsilon} \) with \( \epsilon \in \{0,1\} \). The coset of lifts is the complex motivic Mahowald invariant of \( \alpha \).

We showed in [59] that the \( C \)-motive Mahowald invariant can be used to produce many interesting \( v_1 \)-periodic (resp. \( w_1 \)-periodic) families in the \( C \)-motivic stable stems starting with \( v_0 \)-periodic (resp. \( w_0 \)-periodic) families. These results may be interpreted as \( C \)-motivic analogs of Mahowald and Ravenel’s computation [40] that the Mahowald invariant of a \( v_0 \)-periodic class is \( v_1 \)-periodic. Our goal in this paper is to obtain \( \mathbb{R} \)-motivic and \( C_2 \)-equivariant analogs of this result.

The stated results of [40] and [59] rely on three key components:

1. (Definition via Lin’s Theorem) One needs Lin’s Theorem (resp. Gregersen’s Theorem) in order to define the Mahowald invariant (resp. motivic Mahowald invariant).
2. (Upper bounds via the Tate construction) One needs to calculate an appropriate approximation of the Mahowald invariant in order to obtain an upper bound on its dimension. This approximation was calculated using the Tate construction of \( ko \) equipped with a trivial \( \Sigma_2 \)-action in [40] and an appropriate motivic analog in [59].
3. (Lower bounds via finite complexes) One needs to calculate a lower bound via other methods, and then check that the upper bound calculated in the second step coincides with this lower bound. This lower bound was calculated using the degrees of the identity map of certain stunted projective spectra in [40] and [59].

We will take the remainder of the introduction to explain the \( \mathbb{R} \)-motivic and \( C_2 \)-equivariant analogs of each of these steps further.

1.2. Generalizations of Lin’s Theorem to define generalized Mahowald invariants. In order to define \( \mathbb{R} \)-motivic and \( C_2 \)-equivariant Mahowald invariants, we need \( \mathbb{R} \)-motivic and \( C_2 \)-equivariant analogs of Lin’s Theorem. First, we note that in [59], we only used results from Gregersen’s work restricted to the base scheme \( Spec(\mathbb{C}) \). However, Gregersen’s thesis works over \( Spec(F) \) where \( F \) is any field of characteristic zero. Therefore the definition of the complex motivic Mahowald invariant can be applied over \( Spec(\mathbb{R}) \) without change to define the real motivic Mahowald invariant. Our main task in the first part of this paper is proving a \( C_2 \)-equivariant analog of Lin’s Theorem.

We now recall some of Gregersen’s work from the motivic setting. Let \( A^F \) denote the mod two Steenrod algebra in the motivic stable homotopy category over \( Spec(F) \). The technical workhorse
in Gregersen’s thesis is the motivic Singer construction, which associates an $\text{Ext}_{A^F}$-equivalent $A^F$-module $R_+(M)$ to any $A^F$-module $M$. This construction closely mirrors the classical Singer construction developed in the work of Lin [42] and Adams-Gunawardena-Miller [1]. Gregersen’s key observation is that the Singer construction relies only on the structure of the Steenrod algebra, and the motivic Steenrod algebra over fields of characteristic zero [68] is close enough to the structure of the odd-primary classical Steenrod algebra that most of the analysis from [1] carries over unchanged (after circumventing some technical hurdles).

**Remark 1.1.** This idea can be applied in the setting of motivic stable homotopy theory over much more general base schemes. The motivic Steenrod algebra was computed over essentially smooth schemes $S$ over a field of positive characteristic $\ell \neq \text{char} S$ by Hoyois-Kelly-Østvær in [32] and in mixed characteristic by Spitzweck in [66]. Since its presentation over the motivic homology of a point is identical to the presentation of the motivic Steenrod algebra over fields of characteristic zero, one would expect that the motivic Singer construction should apply in these more general settings. In forthcoming work of Gregersen-Heller-Kylling-Rognes-Østvær, they prove analogs of Lin’s Theorem in motivic stable homotopy theory over fields of positive characteristic $\ell \neq 2$.

The $C_2$-equivariant Steenrod algebra was computed by Hu and Kriz in [33]. This is one of the last settings for stable homotopy theory where the Steenrod algebra is well-understood but the Singer construction has not been studied. As in the setting of motivic stable homotopy theory over fields of positive characteristic, the presentation of the $C_2$-equivariant Steenrod algebra over the $C_2$-equivariant homology of a point is identical to the presentation of the motivic Steenrod algebra over fields of characteristic zero. This suggests that the the techniques of [1] and [24] carry over to define the $C_2$-equivariant Singer construction. We make the relevant definitions and carry out the relevant algebra in Section 3 in order to prove the following $C_2$-equivariant analog of Lin’s Theorem.

**Theorem (Theorem 3.30).** After 2-completion, there is an equivalence

$$S^{0,0} \to \Sigma^1,0 R_{-\infty}^\infty$$

between the $C_2$-equivariant sphere spectrum and a certain inverse limit of $C_2$-equivariant stunted projective spectra.

We note that applying $\pi_{0,0}^{C_2}(-)$ recovers a case of Norihiko Minami’s work on relative Burnside modules [56]. With this theorem in hand, we define the $C_2$-equivariant Mahowald invariant in Section 4 following the classical and motivic definitions.

1.3. **Upper bounds via generalized Tate constructions.** The inverse limit of projective spectra appearing in Theorem 3.30 can be regarded as a $C_2$-equivariant enhancement of the Tate construction. To explain this statement, we recall that if $X$ is a spectrum equipped with a trivial $\Sigma_2$-action, then there is an equivalence of spectra

$$X^{\Sigma_2} \simeq \lim_{\leftarrow}(\Sigma X \wedge P^\infty_{-k})$$

by [22, Thm. 16.1]. In Section 2 we define a $G$-equivariant analog of the Tate construction called the parametrized Tate construction, denoted $(-)^{\Sigma_2,p}$. This takes as input a genuine $G$-spectrum with a naive (but possibly twisted with $G$) $\Sigma$-action, and outputs a genuine $G$-spectrum. We prove the following analog of Greenlees and May’s result:

**Theorem (Theorem 2.39).** Let $X$ be a genuine $C_2$-spectrum equipped with a trivial $\Sigma_2$-action. Then there is an equivalence of genuine $C_2$-spectra

$$X^{t\Sigma_2,p} \simeq \holim_{\leftarrow}(R^\infty_{-k} \wedge \Sigma X).$$

The $C_2$-spectra $R^\infty_{-k}$ appearing in the right-hand side are used to define the $C_2$-spectrum $R^\infty_{-\infty}$ appearing in Theorem 3.30. In particular, there is an equivalence of $C_2$-spectra

$$S^{0,0} \to (S^{0,0})^{t\Sigma_2,p}$$
after 2-completion. The computation of the $C_2$-equivariant Mahowald invariant may then be recast as a partial computation of $(S^{0,0})^{Ω_2,p}$ via the skeletal filtration of $R^∞_∞$.

To compute the Mahowald invariant, we use approximations based on various unital cohomology theories $E$, called the $E$-Mahowald invariant. The $E$-Mahowald invariant takes as input a class in the homotopy groups of the parametrized Tate construction $E^{Ω_2,p}$ and outputs an element in the homotopy groups of $E$. In other words, one attempts to understand $(S^{0,0})^{Ω_2,p}$ via the map of $C_2$-spectra

$$(S^{0,0})^{Ω_2,p} \to E^{Ω_2,p}$$

induced by the unit map $S^{0,0} \to E$.

For the purposes of this paper, we are interested in the cases where $E = ko$ or its motivic and $C_2$-equivariant analog, $kq_R$ and $koC_2$. In the real motivic and $C_2$-equivariant settings, the $E_2$-pages of the motivic and equivariant Adams spectral sequences were computed by Hill [29] and Guillou-Hill-Isaksen-Ravenel [20]. We use their real motivic computations and the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence to compute the real motivic $Σ_2$-Tate construction of $kq_R$. In particular, we prove the following splitting analogous to those proven in [12] and [59].

**Proposition** (Proposition 5.12). There is an isomorphism

$$π^{R}_*(-) (kq_R^{Ω_2,p}) \cong \lim_{←} π^{R}_*(-) (Σ^{4i,2j} HZ_R)$$

after 2-completion.

We then show that the analogous $C_2$-equivariant computation decomposes into a “real motivic part” and a “negative cone part.” We partially analyze this negative cone part to understand the $Σ_2$-equivariant Tate construction of $kq_R$ well enough for our computations. In the end, this analysis of generalized Tate constructions provides upper bounds on the dimensions of various real motivic and $C_2$-equivariant Mahowald invariants.

### 1.4. Lower bounds via finite complex calculations

To establish a lower bound on the dimension of the Mahowald invariant, we use different arguments in the $R$-motivic case. In the $R$-motivic case, we imitate the arguments in [40] and [29]. In particular, we analyze the degree of the identity map of certain stunted $R$-motivic lens spectra. This gives a recursive formula for the lower bound of the $R$-motivic Mahowald invariant which, paired with the upper bound computations above, allow us to compute the $R$-motivic Mahowald invariants of many classes.

In particular, we prove the following theorem. Note that in the real motivic and $C_2$-equivariant settings, the analog of $2^i \in π_0(S^0)$ is $(2 + ρπ)^i \in π_{0,0}(S^{0,0})$.

**Theorem** (Theorem 6.12). Let $i ≥ 0$ and let $j \in \{2, 3\}$. The $R$-Mahowald invariant of $(2 + ρπ)^{4i+j}$ is given by

$$M^R((2 + ρπ)^{4i+j}) \ni \begin{cases} u_1^{4i}τπ^2 & j = 2, \\ u_1^{4i}τπ^3 & j = 3. \end{cases}$$

The classes appearing on the right-hand side of Theorem 6.12 are defined in Section 6. We also prove the following exotic $R$-motivic analog assuming a conjecture about the degree of $π$ on the identity map of certain stunted $R$-motivic lens spectra. The classes appearing on the right-hand side are defined in Section 7.

**Theorem** (Theorem 7.32). (Assuming Conjecture 7.30) For any $i ≥ 0$, we have

$$M^{R}(π^{4i+j}) \ni \begin{cases} u_1^{4i}τπ^{2j} & j = 0, \\ u_1^{4i}π & j = 1, \\ u_1^{4i}τ^2 & j = 2, \\ u_1^{4i}τ^3 & j = 3. \end{cases}$$
The definition of the classes on the right-hand sides of the previous two theorems depends on comparisons to the $C$-motivic setting. This alludes to a compatibility between generalized Mahowald invariants across categories; we discuss this further in the next section.

1.5. **Lower bounds via comparison across categories.** As stated above, one goal of this paper is to explore the interactions between computations in different settings for stable homotopy theory. It may be possible to carry out the analysis of the $C_2$-equivariant homotopy of finite complexes to obtain lower bounds in the $C_2$-equivariant setting, but we provide a different argument which leverages the relationship between $R$-motivic, $C_2$-equivariant, and classical homotopy theory.

The relationship between the complex motivic stable stems and classical stable stems has been analyzed from a computational perspective in the work of Dugger-Isaksen [14], Isaksen [38], Gheorghe [20], Gheorghe-Wang-Xu [21], Levine [40], the author [59], and in forthcoming work of Isaksen-Wang-Xu [37]. The usual methods of comparison are Betti realization (induced by taking the $\mathbb{C}$-points of a scheme) [57], or relating the homotopy groups of the cofiber of $\tau \in \pi_{0,-1}(S^{0,0})$ to the classical stable stems.

The prototypical example of this method are the computations of Andrews [6], where he compared the classical and motivic Adams-Novikov spectral sequences to produce certain $\omega_i$-periodic families. In [59] Section 5], we compared the motivic and $C$-induced Adams spectral sequences to the classical Adams spectral sequence in order to compute the complex motivic Mahowald invariants of $2^i$ and $\eta^i$ for $i \geq 1$. In those computations, our algebraic comparisons were sufficient to transport computations between categories.

There is a close relationship between real motivic and $C_2$-equivariant stable homotopy theory which was first explored using étale cohomology by Cox [11] and Scheiderer [64]. Morel and Voevodsky defined a functor called equivariant Betti realization [57] which is induced by taking the $\mathbb{C}$-points of a scheme over $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{R})$. This functor has been studied recently in the work of Bachmann [7], Heller-Ormsby [27]-[28], and Dugger-Isaksen [16]. Using some of the formal properties of equivariant Betti realization, we obtain an alternative definition of the $C_2$-equivariant spectra $\mathcal{R}^{\infty}$ which allows us to compare real motivic and $C_2$-equivariant Mahowald invariants. We establish the following “squeeze lemmas” for Mahowald invariants.

**Theorem** (Lemma 4.5 and Lemma 4.12). Suppose $F : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ is any of the following combinations of functors and categories:

1. Equivariant Betti realization $\text{Rec}_{C_2} : \text{Mot}_{\mathbb{R}} \to \text{Spt}^{C_2}$.
2. The forgetful functor $U : \text{Spt}^{C_2} \to \text{Spt}$.
3. Geometric fixed points $\Psi^{C_2} : \text{Spt}^{C_2} \to \text{Spt}$.
4. Betti realization $\text{Rec}_{\mathbb{R}} : \text{Mot}_{\mathbb{R}} \to \text{Spt}$.

Suppose $\alpha, \beta \in \pi^n_{0,*}(S_D)$ satisfy $M^D(\alpha) = \beta$. Suppose further that there exist $\alpha', \beta' \in \pi^n_{C,*}(S_C)$ such that $F(\alpha') = \alpha$ and $F(\beta') = \beta$. Then

$$|M^C(\alpha')| \leq |\beta'|.$$

These comparison results allow us to compute the $C_2$-equivariant Mahowald invariants of $(2 + \rho_2)^i$ and $\eta^i$ by comparison with the real motivic Mahowald invariants of $(2 + \rho)^i$ and $\eta^i$, respectively, and the classical Mahowald invariant of $2^i$. The answers are identical to the $\mathbb{R}$-motivic computations above. These serve as a first step towards understanding the image of a real motivic $J$-homomorphism (compare with [34] and [3]) as well as reinterpreting the $C_2$-equivariant $J$-homomorphism defined by Minami in [55].

1.6. **Outline.** In Section 2 we define an equivariant version of the Tate construction which we call the parametrized Tate construction. We begin with a recollection of the classical Tate construction. We then define and record some elementary facts about the parametrized Tate construction. Finally, we prove an equivariant analog of [22] Thm. 16.1 which relates the parametrized Tate construction to the homotopy limit of stunted projective spectra.
In Section 3, we show that the techniques of [1] and [24] carry over to define the $C_2$-equivariant Singer construction. To avoid repeating some technical steps, we use Ricka’s work on profile functions in the $C_2$-equivariant setting [63] to define and analyze certain subalgebras of the $C_2$-equivariant Steenrod algebra. We then apply the $C_2$-equivariant Singer construction to prove the following $C_2$-equivariant analog of Lin’s Theorem.

In Section 1, we define $\mathbb{R}$-motivic and $C_2$-equivariant Mahowald invariants using the results from Section 3. We make some low-dimensional calculations and establish lemmata for comparing Mahowald invariants across categories.

In Section 5, we compute the real motivic and parametrized $\Sigma_2$-Tate constructions of various $\mathbb{R}$-motivic and $C_2$-equivariant spectra using the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence. These are used to compute certain approximations to the $\mathbb{R}$-motivic and $C_2$-equivariant Mahowald invariants which give an upper bound on the dimensions of $M^{\mathbb{R}}((2 + \rho_i)^i)$ and $M^{C_2}((2 + \rho_i)^i)$.

In Section 6, we define an $\mathbb{R}$-equivariant May spectral sequence and use it to lift various $\nu_1$-periodic classes from the $\mathbb{C}$-motivic stable stems to the $\mathbb{R}$-motivic setting. We then analyze the $\mathbb{R}$-equivariant homotopy of stunted lens spectra to obtain a lower bound on the dimension of $M^{\mathbb{R}}((2 + \rho_i)^i)$. Since this lower bound is shown to agree with the upper bound obtained in Section 5 when $i \equiv 2, 3 \mod 4$, it completes the calculation of $M^{\mathbb{R}}((2 + \rho_i)^i)$ for $i \equiv 2, 3 \mod 4$. Finally, we use these results and the comparison methods from Section 4 to compute $M^{C_2}((2 + \rho_i)^i)$ for $i \equiv 2, 3 \mod 4$.

In Section 7, we use the $\rho$-Bockstein spectral sequence studied in [29] [13] [26] to relate the real motivic stable stems to the complex motivic and classical stable stems. This allows us to lift several of the $\nu_1$-periodic families of [6] to the $\mathbb{R}$-equivariant setting. Up to a conjecture about the homotopy of certain stunted lens spectra, this gives a calculation of $M^{\mathbb{R}}(\eta^i)$ for all $i \geq 1$. As in Section 6, the $\mathbb{R}$-motivic calculation can be used along with the comparison methods from Section 4 to compute $M^{C_2}(\eta^i)$ for all $i \geq 1$.

In Appendix A, we present charts for the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence calculations in Section 5.

1.7. Notation and conventions. We will use the following notation throughout the paper:

- The category of spectra will be denoted $Spt$.
- The category of genuine $C_2$-spectra will be denoted $Spt^{C_2}$.
- The categories of motivic spectra over $Spec(\mathbb{C})$ and $Spec(\mathbb{R})$ will be denoted $Mot_\mathbb{C}$ and $Mot_\mathbb{R}$, respectively.
- $SH$ denotes the classical stable homotopy category.
- $SH_{C_2}$ denotes the $C_2$-equivariant stable homotopy category.
- $SH_\mathbb{C}$ and $SH_\mathbb{R}$ denote the $\mathbb{C}$-motivic and $\mathbb{R}$-motivic stable homotopy categories, respectively.
- $A^{C_2}$ is the $C_2$-equivariant mod two Steenrod algebra.
- $A^k$ is the $k$-motivic mod two Steenrod algebra with $k = \mathbb{C}$ or $k = \mathbb{R}$.
- The same decorations will be used for subalgebras of the Steenrod algebra.
- $S_{C_2}$ denotes the $C_2$-equivariant sphere spectrum and $S_k$ denotes the $k$-motivic sphere spectrum with $k = \mathbb{C}$ or $k = \mathbb{R}$. If the context is clear, we may omit these subscripts.
- The $C_2$-equivariant, $\mathbb{C}$-motivic, and $\mathbb{R}$-motivic homology of a point will be denoted $M^{C_2}$, $M^{C}$, and $M^{R}$, respectively.
- We will use the notation for $Ext$-groups defined in [26, Notation 1.2].

We will also employ the following conventions:

- If the context is clear, we will not decorate motivic homotopy groups to indicate the base field.
- We will use $\pi^{C_2}$ or $\pi^{C_2^*}$ to denote $RO(C_2)$-graded $C_2$-equivariant homotopy groups where the regular representation $\rho \in RO(C_2)$ has bidegree $(2, 1)$.
- Unless otherwise stated, everything is implicitly 2-complete. We defer to [48] for a discussion of completions in motivic homotopy theory.
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2. The parametrized Tate construction

Let $G$ be a finite group and let $\Sigma$ be a compact Lie group. We begin by reviewing the classical Tate construction from [22]. We then define a $G$-equivariant enhancement of the classical $\Sigma$-Tate construction called the parametrized $\Sigma$-Tate construction. This construction is defined by replacing the universal space $E\Sigma$ in the classical definition of the $\Sigma$-Tate construction from [22] by the $G$-equivariant universal space $E G \Sigma$, the construction of which we recall from [25]. We then establish some $G$-equivariant analogs of results of Greenlees-May [22] and Lewis-May-Steinberger [18]. In particular, we show that for certain pairs of groups $(G, \Sigma)$, the parametrized $\Sigma$-Tate construction may be expressed as a homotopy limit of $G$-equivariant stunted projective spectra.

2.1. The classical Tate construction. We begin by recalling some results of Greenlees and May [22] from classical stable homotopy theory which we would like to generalize to the equivariant setting. We also include the $\infty$-categorical analogs of these results where possible, since these may be more amenable to generalization in the motivic setting.

**Construction 2.1.** [22, Introduction] Let $X$ be a $\Sigma$-spectrum. The **homotopy orbits** of $X$ are defined by setting

$$X_{h\Sigma} := (F(E\Sigma_+, X) \wedge E\Sigma_+)^{\Sigma}$$

and the **homotopy fixed points** of $X$ are defined by setting

$$X^{h\Sigma} := F(E\Sigma_+, X)^{\Sigma}.$$  

There is cofiber sequence

$$E\Sigma_+ \to S^0 \to \widetilde{E\Sigma}$$

which gives rise to a cofiber sequence of spectra

$$X_{h\Sigma} \to X^{h\Sigma} \to (F(E\Sigma_+, X) \wedge \widetilde{E\Sigma})^{\Sigma}.$$  

We define the cofiber to be the **Tate construction** of $X$, denoted $X^{t\Sigma}$.

**Remark 2.2.** The above definition of homotopy orbits is equivalent to the usual definition. Indeed, the Adams isomorphism implies a weak equivalence

$$X_{h\Sigma} = (F(E\Sigma_+, X) \wedge E\Sigma_+)^{\Sigma} \simeq (X \wedge E\Sigma_+)^{\Sigma}.$$  

**Remark 2.3.** [45, Definition 6.1.6.24] Let $C$ be an $\infty$-category which admits limits and colimits indexed on classifying spaces of finite groups, let $\Sigma$ be a finite group, and let $X$ be a $\Sigma$-equivariant object of $C$. The **$\infty$-categorical homotopy orbits** of $X$ are defined by setting

$$X_{h\Sigma} := \colim_{B\Sigma} X$$

and the **$\infty$-categorical homotopy fixed points** of $X$ are defined by setting

$$X^{h\Sigma} := \lim_{B\Sigma} X.$$  

Suppose further that $C$ is a stable $\infty$-category which admits countable limits and colimits. Then there is a norm map

$$Nm_{\Sigma} : M_{h\Sigma} \to M^{h\Sigma}.$$
by \[45\] Remark 6.1.6.23. We will denote the cofiber of the norm map by \(X^{t\Sigma}\) and refer to it as the \(\infty\)-categorical Tate construction.

The most fundamental tool for calculating the homotopy groups of the Tate construction is the Tate spectral sequence. Roughly speaking, this spectral sequence is obtained by splicing together the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequences arising from the cellular filtrations of the classifying space \(B\Sigma\) and its Spanier-Whitehead dual \(D(B\Sigma)\). We refer the reader to \[22\] Section 9 for a precise definition of this filtration.

**Theorem 2.4.** \[22\] Section 10] Let \(X\) be a calculable \(\Sigma\)-CW spectrum \[22\] Definition 10.1]. The homotopical Tate spectral sequence has the form

\[
\hat{E}^2_{p,q} = \hat{H}^{-p}(\Sigma; \pi_q(X)) \Rightarrow \pi_{p+q}(X^{t\Sigma}).
\]

This spectral sequence is conditionally convergent.

One can define the homotopy fixed point and homotopy orbit spectral sequences similarly. These spectral sequences have been used to great effect in classical homotopy theory.

**Remark 2.5.** For a discussion of these spectral sequences in the \(\infty\)-categorical setting, we refer the reader to \[50\], Sec. 2-3].

Let \(X \in Sp\) be a nonequivariant spectrum. We may regard \(X\) as an object with \(\Sigma\)-action by equipping \(X\) with the trivial \(\Sigma\)-action. By abuse of notation, we will also denote the resulting \(\Sigma\)-spectrum by \(X\); in \[22\], this \(\Sigma\)-spectrum is denoted \(i^*X\). Suppose that \(\Sigma\) is a group such that there exists a representation \(V\) of \(\Sigma\) whose unit sphere \(S(V)\) is a free \(\Sigma\)-space. Let \(L^\infty_{-k} := Th((Ad(\Sigma) - kV) \to B\Sigma)\) where \(Ad(\Sigma)\) is the adjoint representation of \(\Sigma\).

**Theorem 2.6.** \[22\] Thm. 16.1] There is an equivalence of spectra

\[
X^{t\Sigma} \simeq \text{holim} \leftarrow (X \wedge \Sigma L^\infty_{-k}).
\]

**Example 2.7.** When \(\Sigma = \Sigma_2\) is cyclic of order two, then the previous theorem implies that

\[
X^{t\Sigma_2} \simeq \text{holim} \leftarrow (X \wedge \text{RP}^\infty_{-k})
\]

where \(\text{RP}^\infty_{-k} = Th(-k\gamma \to \text{RP}^\infty)\) is the Thom spectrum of the \((-k)\)-fold Whitney sum of the tautological line bundle over \(\text{RP}^\infty\).

This example allows us to identify the version of Lin’s Theorem from the introduction with the usual statement of Lin’s Theorem.

**Theorem 2.8** (Lin’s Theorem). \[42\] Regard the sphere spectrum \(S^0\) as a \(\Sigma_2\)-spectrum by equipping it with the trivial action. The map

\[
S^0 \to S^{t\Sigma_2}
\]

is an equivalence of spectra after 2-completion.

### 2.2. Equivariant classifying spaces.

We now review the construction of equivariant classifying spaces from \[25\]. Equivariant classifying spaces have also been studied in \[69\] \[38\] \[54\] \[43\] \[44\]. Let \(\Sigma\) and \(G\) be topological groups and let \(G\) act on \(\Sigma\) so that we have a semidirect product \(\Gamma = \Sigma \rtimes G\) and a split extension

\[
1 \to \Sigma \overset{\varphi}{\to} \Gamma \overset{\rho}{\to} G \to 1.
\]

One of the goals of \[25\] is to define a classifying space for principal \((G, \Sigma_G)\)-bundles \(p : E \to B\) where \(\Sigma_G\) is \(\Sigma\) with its given \(G\)-action.

**Definition 2.9.** \[25\] Def. 0.2-0.3] A principal \((G, \Sigma_G)\)-bundle is a principal \(\Sigma\)-bundle where \(B\) is a \(G\)-space, the (free) action of \(\Sigma\) on \(E\) extends to an action of \(\Gamma\), and \(p\) is a \(\Gamma\)-map where \(\Gamma\) acts on \(B\) through the quotient map \(\Gamma \to G\). A principal \((G, \Sigma_G)\)-bundle \(p : E \to B\) is universal if for all \(G\)-spaces \(X\) of the homotopy types of \(G\)-CW complexes, pullback of \(p\) along \(G\)-maps \(f : X \to B\) induces
a natural bijection from the set of homotopy classes of $G$-maps $X \to B$ to the set of equivalence classes of $(G, \Sigma_G)$-bundles over $X$.

Let $\text{Cat}$ denote the 2-category of categories.

**Definition 2.10.** A $G$-category $A$ is a category with an action of $G$ specified by a homomorphism from $G$ to the automorphism group of $A$, i.e. a functor $G \to \text{Cat}$ where we regard $G$ as a groupoid with one object and morphisms the elements of $G$.

Let $G\text{Cat}$ denote the category of $G$-categories; this is enriched over $G\text{Cat}$ by allowing $G$ to act on the mapping categories $\text{Cat}(A, B)$ by conjugation. If $A$ and $B$ are small topological categories, then let $\text{Cat}(A, B)$ denote the category of continuous functors $A \to B$ and all natural transformations. If $A$ and $B$ are $G$-categories, then $\text{Cat}(A, B)$ is a $G$-category via conjugation.

Let $G$ be discrete and let $\widetilde{G}$ denote the unique contractible groupoid with object set $G$; this is a $G$-category. Identify the topological group $\Sigma$ with the topological groupoid with a single object and morphism space $\Sigma$; the action of $G$ on $\Sigma$ makes it a $G$-groupoid. Then by the above remarks, $\text{Cat}(\widetilde{G}, \Sigma)$ and $\text{Cat}(\widetilde{G}, \Sigma)$ are $G$-categories.

The (equivariant) classifying space functor $B$ is the composition of the nerve functor $N$ and geometric realization functor $|−|$. The equivariant classifying space functor takes topological $G$-categories to $G$-spaces.

**Theorem 2.11.** [25, Thm. 0.4] If $G$ is discrete and $\Sigma$ is either discrete or a compact Lie group, then the canonical map $B\text{Cat}(\widetilde{G}, \Sigma) \to B\text{Cat}(\widetilde{G}, \Sigma)$ is a universal principal $(G, \Sigma_G)$-bundle.

We are particularly interested in the case where $G$ acts trivially on $\Sigma$. In this special situation, we will make the following definitions.

**Definition 2.12.** The $G$-equivariant universal space of $\Sigma$, denoted $E_G\Sigma$, is defined by

$$E_G\Sigma := B\text{Cat}(\widetilde{G}, \Sigma).$$

The $G$-equivariant classifying space of $\Sigma$, denoted $B_G\Sigma$, is defined by

$$B_G\Sigma := B\text{Cat}(\widetilde{G}, \Sigma).$$

**Example 2.13.** When $G = e$ is the trivial group, we obtain the classical universal space $E\Sigma$ and classifying space $B\Sigma$.

When the regular representation of $G$ can be modeled using the complex numbers or quaternions, we can obtain explicit geometric descriptions of the $G$-equivariant universal and classifying spaces for certain groups $\Sigma$. This geometric description is motivated by the construction of geometric universal spaces and geometric classifying spaces in motivic homotopy theory which were originally defined in [57, Sec. 4]; we recall their construction below.

**Definition 2.14.** [68, Sec. 6] Let $\Sigma$ be a linear algebraic group and let $\Sigma \to GL(V)$ be a faithful representation of $\Sigma$. Denote by $V_n$ the open subset in $k(V)^n$ where $\Sigma$ acts freely. We have a sequence of closed embeddings $V_n \to V_{n+1}$ given by $(v_1, \ldots, v_n) \mapsto (v_1, \ldots, v_n, 0)$. Define the geometric universal space of $\Sigma$ by

$$E_{gm}\Sigma := \text{colim}_n V_n$$

and the geometric classifying space of $\Sigma$ by

$$B_{gm}\Sigma := \text{colim}_n V_n/\Sigma$$

where $V_n/G$ is the quotient scheme and the colimit is taken in the category of sheaves.
Remark 2.15. Note that \( B_{gm}\Sigma \) classifies Nisnevich \( \Sigma \)-torsors and \( B_{et}\Sigma \) classifies étale \( \Sigma \)-torsors. Therefore when the base scheme satisfies Hilbert’s Theorem 90, the geometric classifying space \( B_{gm}\Sigma \) is equivalent to the étale classifying space \( B_{et}\Sigma \) [57 Sec. 4.3.2].

The following definition gives a \( C_2 \)-equivariant analog of the above construction.

**Definition 2.16.** Let \( G = C_2 \) be cyclic of order two. Let \( \mathbb{C} \) be a model for the regular representation of \( C_2 \) by letting \( C_2 \) act by complex conjugation. Let \( \Sigma = \Sigma_2 \) act on \( \mathbb{C} \) by the sign representation \( z \mapsto -z \), so \( \mathbb{C} \) is a \( C_2 \times \Sigma_2 \)-representation. Let \( \tilde{V}_n = \mathbb{C}^n - \{0\} \). Then we have inclusions \( \tilde{V}_n \hookrightarrow \tilde{V}_{n+1} \) given by \((v_1, \ldots, v_n) \mapsto (v_1, \ldots, v_n, 0)\). The \( C_2 \)-equivariant universal space of \( \Sigma_2 \) can be constructed as the colimit

\[
E_{C_2}\Sigma_2 := \lim_{\longleftarrow} \tilde{V}_n
\]

and the \( C_2 \)-equivariant classifying space of \( \Sigma \) can be constructed as the colimit

\[
B_{C_2}\Sigma_2 := \lim_{\longleftarrow} \tilde{V}_n/\Sigma_2.
\]

One may similarly define \( E_{C_2}\mathbb{T} \) and \( B_{C_2}\mathbb{T} \) where \( \mathbb{T} \) is the circle group which acts on \( \mathbb{C} \) by rotation. In the \( C_2 \)-equivariant setting, equivariant classifying spaces played a fundamental role in the work of Hu and Kriz [33]. The spaces \( B_{C_2}\mathbb{T} \) were used to define Real orientations and the spaces \( B_{C_2}\Sigma_2 \) defined and computed the \( C_2 \)-equivariant Steenrod algebra. This has also been discussed by Tilson [67 Section 8] and Wilson [70 Section 2]. Note that the underlying space of \( B_{C_2}\mathbb{Z}/2 \) is \( RP^\infty \), and the \( C_2 \)-fixed points are \( RP^\infty \sqcup RP^\infty \).

We conclude our discussion of equivariant classifying spaces by recalling their construction via classifying spaces of families of subgroups following Lück [43]. The following result of Lashof-May serves as a motivation for this definition. Recall that a principal \((G, \Sigma_G)\)-bundle \( p : E \to B \) is *numerable* if it is trivial over the subspaces of \( B \) in a numerable open cover.

**Theorem 2.17.** [39 Thm. 9] A numerable principal \((G, \Sigma_G)\)-bundle \( p : E \to B \) is universal if and only if \( E^\Lambda \) is contractible for all (closed) subgroups \( \Lambda \) of \( \Gamma \) such that \( \Lambda \cap \Sigma = \{e\} \).

We are only interested in the case where the split extension

\[
1 \to \Sigma \to \Gamma \to G \to 1
\]

has the form \( \Gamma = G \times \Sigma \). In this case, we can regard \( G \) and \( \Sigma \) as subgroups of \( G \times \Sigma \) in the obvious way, and we can make the following definition. The first part can be found in [18 Def. 2.1].

**Definition 2.18.** A *family* \( \mathcal{F} \) in \( \Gamma \) is a set of subgroups which is closed under conjugation and passage to subgroups. Let \( \mathcal{F}(G, \Sigma) \) denote the family of all (closed) subgroups \( \Lambda \) of \( G \times \Sigma \) such that \( \Lambda \cap \Sigma = \{e\} \).

By [43 Thm. 1.9], there exists a \( G \times \Sigma \)-CW-complex \( E_{\mathcal{F}(G, \Sigma)}(G \times \Sigma) \) such that \( (E_{\mathcal{F}(G, \Sigma)}(G \times \Sigma))^K \) is weakly contractible for all \( K \in \mathcal{F}(G, \Sigma) \). If \( G \) and \( \Sigma \) are discrete (as they will be in our cases of interest), then we may further conclude by [43 Thm. 2.5] and [43 Thm. 3.7] that \( (E_{\mathcal{F}(G, \Sigma)}(G \times \Sigma))^K \) is contractible for all \( K \in \mathcal{F}(G, \Sigma) \).

**Example 2.19.** The family \( \mathcal{F}(C_2, \Sigma_2) \) consists of the trivial subgroup, \( C_2 \), and the graph subgroup \( \Delta = \{(eC_2, e\Sigma_2), (\gamma C_2, \gamma \Sigma_2)\} \). Therefore \( E_{\mathcal{F}(C_2, \Sigma_2)}(C_2 \times \Sigma_2) \) is the unique \( C_2 \times \Sigma_2 \)-equivariant homotopy type studied in [70 Def. 1.9] [33]. It is clear from this example and its \( C_2 \times C_2 \)-equivariant analog that the geometric constructions above are in fact models for equivariant classifying and universal spaces.

### 2.3. The parametrized Tate construction

**Construction 2.20.** Let \( X \) be a \( G \)-spectrum with \( \Sigma \)-action. The *parametrized \( \Sigma \)-homotopy orbits* of \( X \) are defined by

\[
X_{h\Sigma,p} := (F(E_G\Sigma^+, X) \wedge E_G\Sigma^+)\Sigma
\]
and the parametrized $\Sigma$-homotopy fixed points of $X$ are defined by

$$X^{h\Sigma,p} := F(E_G\Sigma^+, X)^\Sigma.$$  

The collapse map $E_G\Sigma^+ \to S^0$ which sends the disjoint base-point to the basepoint and $E_G\Sigma$ to the non-basepoint fits into a cofiber sequence

$$E_G\Sigma^+ \to S^0 \to \widetilde{E_G\Sigma}$$

which gives rise to a cofiber sequence of spectra

$$X_{h\Sigma,p} \to X^{h\Sigma,p} \to F(E_G\Sigma^+, X) \wedge \widetilde{E_G\Sigma}.$$  

We define the cofiber to be the parametrized $\Sigma$-Tate construction $X^{t\Sigma,p}$.

We provide the following two examples to relate this construction to previous versions of the homotopy fixed points, orbits, and Tate construction.

**Example 2.21.** When $G = \{e\}$ is the trivial group, then $E_G\Sigma = ES$ is the classical universal space for $\Sigma$. Therefore the parametrized $\Sigma$-Tate construction is the classical $\Sigma$-Tate construction.

**Example 2.22.** Our definition of parametrized homotopy orbits is a specialization of Ramras' definition of generalized homotopy fixed points in [60]. For example, if one takes $\Gamma = C_2 \times \Sigma_2$ and chooses $\mathcal{F} = F(C_2, \Sigma_2) = \{1, C_2, \Delta\}$ as in Example 2.19, then Ramras' generalized homotopy fixed points agree with our parametrized $\Sigma$-homotopy fixed points.

**Remark 2.23.** We use the word 'parametrized' to emphasize the connection between the above constructions and parametrized higher category theory. The $G$-equivariant classifying and universal spaces above can also be defined in the $\infty$-categorical setting. The following definition will appear in forthcoming work of Nardin and Shah [58].

Let $O_{G \times \Sigma, \Gamma} \subset O_{G \times \Sigma}$ be the full subcategory of the orbit category of $G \times \Sigma$ consisting of the $\Sigma$-free transitive $(G \times \Sigma)$-sets. In other words, the objects are isomorphic to $(G \times \Sigma/\Gamma_\phi)/\Sigma = G/K$ where $\Gamma_\phi$ is the graph of a homomorphism $\phi : K \to \Sigma$ with $K \subset G$. This defines a $G$-$\infty$-category which we also denote $B_G\Sigma$.

In analogy with the above definitions of the classical and $\infty$-categorical Tate constructions, we make the following definitions. Let $X \in Fun(B_G\Sigma, Sp^G)$. The $\infty$-categorical parametrized $\Sigma$-homotopy orbits of $X$ are defined by

$$X_{h\Sigma,p} := \text{colim}_{B_G\Sigma} X.$$  

The $\infty$-categorical parametrized $\Sigma$-homotopy fixed points of $X$ are defined by

$$X^{hH,p} := \text{lim}_{B_G\Sigma} X.$$  

In both cases, limits and colimits over $G$-spaces as in [65]. Nardin and Shah produce a norm map between these $G$-spectra

$$X_{h\Sigma,p} \xrightarrow{Nm_{\Sigma,p}} X^{h\Sigma,p}$$

analogous to Lurie’s norm map. The $\infty$-categorical parametrized $\Sigma$-Tate construction of $X$ is defined to be the cofiber (in $G$-spectra) of the parametrized norm, i.e.

$$X^{t\Sigma,p} := \text{cofib}(X_{h\Sigma,p} \xrightarrow{Nm_{\Sigma,p}} X^{h\Sigma,p}).$$

**2.4. The parametrized Tate spectral sequence.** In this subsection we construct the parametrized Tate spectral sequence which will be needed in the sequel. Recall that geometric realization of a simplicial space $X_\bullet$ may be expressed as the coend

$$|X| := \int_{n \in \Delta} X_n \times \Delta^n.$$
Since $E_G\Sigma$ is the geometric realization of the simplicial $G$-space $N_\bullet\text{Cat}(\tilde{G}, \tilde{\Sigma})$, we obtain a $G$-equivariant filtration

$$pt = E_G^{(0)}\Sigma \to E_G^{(1)}\Sigma \to \cdots \to E_G^\infty\Sigma = E_G\Sigma$$

by setting

$$E_G^{(i)}\Sigma := \int_{n \in \Delta \leq i} N_n\text{Cat}(\tilde{G}, \tilde{\Sigma}) \times \Delta^n$$

to be usual coend restricted to the full subcategory $\Delta^{\leq i} \subset \Delta$ of the simplex category consisting of the objects $[n]$ with $n \leq i$. We may similarly define a filtration of $\tilde{E}_G\Sigma$ by restriction of geometric realization to the subcategories of $\Delta$.

This filtration of $E_G\Sigma$ induces $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$-indexed filtrations of the parametrized homotopy fixed points and parametrized homotopy orbits functors by setting

$$(-)_{h\Sigma,p}^{(i)} := (F(E_G^{(i)}\Sigma_+, X) \wedge E_G\Sigma_+)^\Sigma$$

and

$$(-)_{h\Sigma,p}^{(i)} := F(E_G^{(i)}\Sigma, X)^\Sigma.$$  

These filtrations give rise to the parametrized homotopy orbit spectral sequence and parametrized homotopy fixed point spectral sequence in the usual way.

Following [23, Sec. 1], we may define a $\mathbb{Z}$-indexed filtration of $(-)^{\Sigma,p}$ by splicing together the filtration of $\tilde{E}_G\Sigma$ and the filtration obtained by taking $G$-equivariant Spanier-Whitehead duals. The resulting spectral sequence is the parametrized Tate spectral sequence.

**Example 2.24.** When $G = e$ is the trivial group, this recovers the usual homotopy orbit, fixed point, and Tate spectral sequences of [22, Sec. 10].

**Example 2.25.** In the $C_2$-equivariant setting, one can identify the filtration quotients $(E_C^{(i)}\Sigma_2)/(E_C^{(i-1)}\Sigma_2)$ with $S^{i,p}$. In the $C_2$-equivariant setting, the $E_2$-pages of the parametrized homotopy orbit, fixed point, and Tate spectral sequences for $C_2$-spectra with trivial $\Sigma_2$-action are therefore reindexed versions of the classical $RO(C_2)$-graded homotopy orbit, fixed point, and Tate spectral sequence.

### 2.5. Relation to $C_2$-equivariant stunted projective spectra.

Our goal in this subsection is to identify the parametrized $\Sigma$-Tate construction for $G$-spectra with trivial $\Sigma$-action with a homotopy limit of $G$-equivariant stunted projective spectra. We will need several $G$-equivariant analogs of results from [18] and [22]. We begin by defining the parametrized analogs of the functors $f, c$, and $t$ from [22, Introduction].

**Definition 2.26.** Let $X$ be a $G \times \Sigma$-spectrum. We define the $\Sigma$-free $G \times \Sigma$-spectrum associated to $X$ by

$$f_p(X) := X \wedge E_G\Sigma_+.$$  

The **parametrized homotopy completion** of $X$ is defined by

$$c_p(X) := F(E_G\Sigma_+, X).$$

The **parametrized $\Sigma$-Tate $G \times \Sigma$-spectrum** associated to $X$ is defined by

$$t_p(X) := F(E_G\Sigma_+, X) \wedge \tilde{E}_G\Sigma.$$  

The categorical $\Sigma$-fixed points of these spectra are the parametrized homotopy orbits, fixed points, and Tate construction, respectively. We will need the following definitions:

**Definition 2.27.** [18, Def. 2.1] Let $\Gamma$ be a group and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of subgroups of $\Gamma$.

1. An unbased $\Gamma$-space is an **$\mathcal{F}$-space** if the isotropy group of each of its points is in $\mathcal{F}$; a based $\Gamma$-space is an **$\mathcal{F}$-space** if the isotropy group of each of its basepoints other than the basepoint is in $\mathcal{F}$.
(2) A Γ-CW spectrum is an $F$-CW spectrum if the domains of its attaching maps are all of the form $S^n_k$ with $K \in F$.

(3) A map of based or unbased Γ-spaces or Γ-spectra is a (weak) $F$-equivalence if it is a (weak) $K$-equivalence for all $K \in F$.

By [18] Def. 1.8 and [18] Def. 2.9, the $G$-equivariant universal space $E_G \Sigma = E_{\mathcal{F}(G, \Sigma)}(G \times \Sigma)$ is an $\mathcal{F}(G, \Sigma)$-space. We spell out the condition of being an $\mathcal{F}(G, \Sigma)$-equivalence for our case of interest in the following example.

**Example 2.28.** Let $\Gamma = C_2 \times \Sigma_2$ and let $\mathcal{F}(C_2, \Sigma_2)$ be the family from Example 2.19. Then a map of $C_2 \times \Sigma_2$-spectra $f : X \to Y$ is a (weak) $\mathcal{F}(C_2, \Sigma_2)$-equivalence if $f^K : X^K \to Y^K$ is a (weak) $K$-equivalence for $K = \{(e, e)\}$, $K = C_2 \times \{e\}$, and $K = \{(ec_2, e\Sigma_2), (\gamma c_2, \gamma \Sigma_2)\}$.

The following is a specialization of the $\mathcal{F}$-isomorphism theorem of Lewis-May-Steinberger [18 Thm. 2.2].

**Lemma 2.29.** If $\phi : X \to Y$ is a map of $G \times \Sigma$-spectra which is an $\mathcal{F}(G, \Sigma)$-equivalence, then $\phi_* : [D, X]_{G \times \Sigma} \to [D, Y]_{G \times \Sigma}$ is an isomorphism for every $\mathcal{F}$-CW spectrum $D$. If $X$ and $Y$ are $\mathcal{F}(G, \Sigma)$-spectra, then $\phi$ is a $G \times \Sigma$-equivalence.

The following lemma says that smashing with $E_G \Sigma$ provides the correct $G$-equivariant Borel construction.

**Lemma 2.30.** Let $\phi : X \to X'$ be a map of $G \times \Sigma$-spectra which is an $\mathcal{F}(G, \Sigma)$-equivalence. Then the induced maps

$$
\phi \wedge 1 : X \wedge E_G \Sigma_+ \to X' \wedge E_G \Sigma_+ \quad \text{and} \quad F(1, \phi) : F(E_G \Sigma_+, X) \to F(E_G \Sigma_+, X')
$$

are $G \times \Sigma$-equivalences.

**Proof.** The isotropy groups of $E_G \Sigma_+$ are in $\mathcal{F}(G, \Sigma)$, so the isotropy groups of $X \wedge E_G \Sigma_+$ and $X' \wedge E_G \Sigma_+$ are also in $\mathcal{F}(G, \Sigma)$ since $\mathcal{F}(G, \Sigma)$ is closed under taking subgroups. It follows that $X \wedge E_G \Sigma_+$ and $X' \wedge E_G \Sigma_+$ are $\mathcal{F}(G, \Sigma)$-CW spectra. Therefore the previous lemma implies the statement about $\phi \wedge 1$. The proof of the other statement follows from similar modifications to the proof of [22] Prop. 1.1.

As in the classical setting, we will want to understand the parametrized norm-restriction diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
X \wedge E_G \Sigma_+ & \xrightarrow{e \wedge 1} & X \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow e
\end{array}
\quad \xrightarrow{\phi \wedge 1} \quad \begin{array}{ccc}
F(E_G \Sigma_+, X) \wedge E_G \Sigma_+ & \xrightarrow{e \wedge 1} & F(E_G \Sigma_+, X)
\end{array}
$$

where $X$ is a $G \times \Sigma$-spectrum.

The previous lemma implies the following analog of [22] Prop. 1.2 since the middle arrow above is a map of $G \times \Sigma$-spectra which is an $\mathcal{F}(G, \Sigma)$-equivalence.

**Proposition 2.31.** For any $G \times \Sigma$-spectrum $X$, the map

$$
\epsilon \wedge 1 : X \wedge E_G \Sigma_+ \to F(E_G \Sigma_+, X) \wedge E_G \Sigma_+
$$

is an equivalence of $G \times \Sigma$-spectra.

We will also need the following properties of the parametrized Tate construction, which are analogs of [22] Prop. 2.4-2.6. In the following, the grading $\star$ is allowed to run through representations $RO(K)$ where $K \in \mathcal{F}(G, \Sigma)$. Note that in particular $G \in \mathcal{F}(G, \Sigma)$ so these hold on underlying $G$-spectra.

**Proposition 2.32.** Let $X$ be a $\mathcal{F}(G, \Sigma)$-space or $\mathcal{F}(G, \Sigma)$-spectrum and let $E$ be a $G \times \Sigma$-spectrum. Then

$$
t_p(E)^\star(X) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad t_p(E)_\star(X) = 0.
$$
Proposition 2.33. If \( E \) is \( G \times \Sigma \)-contractible \( G \times \Sigma \)-space or \( G \times \Sigma \)-spectrum, then
\[
c_p(E)^*(X) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad f_p(E)_*(X) = 0.
\]
Therefore
\[
t_p(E)^*(X) \cong f_p(E)^{*+1}(X) \quad \text{and} \quad c_p(E)_*(X) \cong t_p(E)_*(X).
\]

Proof. By the above lemmas, \( X \) is \( G \times \Sigma \)-equivalent to \( E G \Sigma \wedge X \) and thus \( E G \Sigma \wedge X \) is \( G \times \Sigma \)-contractible. Therefore \( (t_p(E))_*(X) = 0 \). Similar modifications to the proof of [22, Prop. 2.4] prove the second statement.

Proposition 2.34. Let \( E \) be a \( G \times \Sigma \)-spectrum. We have an equivalence of \( G \times \Sigma \)-spectra
\[
t_p(E) \simeq F(E G \Sigma, \Sigma f_p(E)).
\]
Therefore, for any \( G \times \Sigma \)-space or \( G \times \Sigma \)-spectrum,
\[
t_p(E)^*(X) \cong f_p(E)^{*+1}(E G \Sigma \wedge X).
\]

Proof. We see that \( F(E G \Sigma^+, t_p(E)) \) and \( F(E G \Sigma, c_p(E)) \) are trivial by the previous two results. Hence the maps \( S^0 \to E G \Sigma \) and \( E G \Sigma \to E G \Sigma^+ \) induce equivalences
\[
t_p(E) = F(S^0, t_p(E)) \leftarrow F(E G \Sigma, t_p(E)) \rightarrow F(E G \Sigma, \Sigma f_p(E)).
\]

We will need the following specialization of the Adams isomorphism in the sequel.

Lemma 2.35. Let \( X \) be an \( F(G, \Sigma) \)-spectrum. Then there is a natural map
\[
\tilde{\tau} : X/\Sigma \to (\Sigma^{-A i_*} X)^\Sigma
\]
which is a natural equivalence of \( G \)-spectra, where \( A \) is the \( G \times \Sigma \)-representation given by the tangent space of \( G \times \Sigma \) at \( (e, e) \) and \( i_*(-) \) is the functor which associates a genuine \( G \times \Sigma \)-spectrum to a \( F(G, \Sigma) \)-spectrum indexed on a complete \( G \)-universe \( U^\Sigma \).

Proof. This follows from applying [18, Thm. II.7.1] to the normal subgroup \( \{ e \} \times \Sigma \) of \( G \times \Sigma \). In terms of families, the lemma follows from [47, VI.4.7] and the observation that the family \( F(N) \) where \( N = \{ e \} \times \Sigma \subset G \times \Sigma \) defined in [47, Def. VI.4.2] agrees with the family \( F(G, \Sigma) \) from Definition 2.18.

In [22, Sec. 16], Greenlees and May require that the group \( G \) admits a representation \( V \) whose unit sphere \( S(V) \) is a free \( G \)-space. The following restriction gives the parametrized analog of this requirement.

Restriction 2.36. From the remainder of this section, we only work with pairs of groups \( (G, \Sigma) \) for which there exists a \( G \times \Sigma \)-representation \( V \) whose unit sphere \( S(V) \) is a \( F(G, \Sigma) \)-space.

Example 2.37. When \( G = \{ e \} \) is the trivial group, this restriction agrees with that of Greenlees and May.

Example 2.38. The pair of groups \( (C_2, \Sigma_2) \) satisfies the restriction. Indeed, the representation \( \mathbb{C} \) of \( C_2 \times \Sigma_2 \) where \( C_2 \) acts by complex conjugation and \( \Sigma_2 \) acts by \( z \mapsto -z \) is easily seen to be a \( F(C_2, \Sigma_2) \)-space.

For the remainder of the section, we will always use \( V \) to denote the \( G \times \Sigma \)-representation from Restriction 2.36.
Proposition 2.39. There is a natural equivalence
\[ E_G \Sigma \times_{G \times \Sigma} S^{A-iV} \simeq \text{Th}((A - iV) \to B_G \Sigma). \]

Proof. We modify the discussion from [53, pp 362-363]. Let \( Y \) be a \( G \times \Sigma \)-space and consider the composite
\[ \alpha : KO_{G \times \Sigma}(Y) \to KO_{G \times \Sigma}(E_G \Sigma \times Y) \cong KO_G(E_G \Sigma \times_{G \times \Sigma} Y) \]
induced by the projection \( E_G \Sigma \times Y \to Y \). Let \( U \) be a complete \( G \times \Sigma \)-universe. By [18, Thm. 2.6] we may define an evaluation map
\[ e : \mathcal{J}(U, U^\Sigma) \times_{G \times \Sigma} BO_{G \times \Sigma}(U) \to BO_{G}(U^\Sigma) \]
where \( \mathcal{J}(U, U^\Sigma) \) is the function \((G \times \Sigma)\)-space of linear isometries \( U \to U^\Sigma \) with \( G \times \Sigma \) acting via conjugation. Let \( \chi : E_G \Sigma \to \mathcal{J}(U, U^\Sigma) \) and \( \xi : Y \to BO_{G \times \Sigma}(U) \) be \( G \times \Sigma \)-maps. Then \( \alpha(\xi) \) is represented by the composite
\[ E_G \Sigma \times_{G \times \Sigma} Y \xrightarrow{\chi \times \xi} \mathcal{J}(U, U^\Sigma) \times_{G \times \Sigma} BO_{G \times \Sigma}(U) \xrightarrow{e} BO_{G}(U^\Sigma). \]
Further, we have \( \text{Th}(\xi \circ (\chi \times \xi)) \simeq \chi \times \text{Th}(\xi) \) by [18] Prop. X.6.1. Setting \( Y = pt \) and \( \xi = -V \) gives \( \text{Th}(\xi) = S^{-V} \) and stated equivalence.

We have now developed all the necessary ingredients for proving the \( G \)-equivariant analog of Theorem 2.6. Our proof simply imitates the proof of [22, Thm. 16.1].

Theorem 2.40. Let \( U \) be a complete \( G \times \Sigma \)-universe and let \( i : U^\Sigma \to U \) be the inclusion of the \( \Sigma \)-fixed universe. Let \( X \) be a \( G \)-spectrum. There is an equivalence of \( G \)-spectra
\[ (i_\ast X)^{\Sigma,p} \simeq \varprojlim \text{Th}((A - nV) \to B_G \Sigma \land \Sigma X). \]

Proof. Let \( V \) be as in the previous lemma. By Proposition 2.34 Subsection 2.4 and adjunction, we have
\[ t_p(i_\ast X) \simeq F(E_G \Sigma, \Sigma f_p(i_\ast X)) \simeq F(\text{colim}_i S^{nV}, \Sigma(E_G \Sigma^+ \land i_\ast X)) \simeq \text{lim}_i(E_G \Sigma^+ \land S^{-nV} \land \Sigma i_\ast X). \]
Then we have
\[ i_\ast(E_G \Sigma \times S^{-nV}) \simeq E_G \Sigma^+ \land S^{-nV} \]
by applying \( i_\ast(-) \) to the equivalence in [18] Thm. VI.1.17] with \( X = E_G \Sigma \) and \( E = S^{-nV} \). Since \( i_\ast(-) \) is a left-adjoint (see e.g. [22 pg. 15]), it commutes with smash products and we have
\[ E_G \Sigma^+ \land S^{-nV} \land \Sigma i_\ast X \simeq i_\ast(E_G \Sigma \times S^{A-nV} \land \Sigma X). \]
By Lemma 2.35 and the compatibility of smash products, twisted half smash products, and Thom spectra, we have
\[ (E_G \Sigma^+ \land S^{-nV} \land \Sigma i_\ast X)^\Sigma \simeq (E_G \Sigma \times_{G \times \Sigma} S^{A-nV}) \land \Sigma X. \]
Finally, by Proposition 2.39 we have
\[ E_G \Sigma \times_{G \times \Sigma} S^{A-nV} \simeq \text{Th}(A - nV \to B_G \Sigma) \]
The theorem then follows by passing to homotopy limits.

We now specialize to \( G = C_2 \) and \( \Sigma = \Sigma_2 \).
Definition 2.41. A slight modification of Definition 2.16 shows that $BO_2$, $\Sigma_2$ may be modeled by $S(\mathbb{C}^\infty)/\Sigma_2$ where $C_2$ acts by complex conjugation and $\Sigma_2$ acts on $S(\mathbb{C}^\infty)$ by $z \rightarrow -z$. The tautological $C_2$-equivariant $\Sigma_2$-bundle over $BO_2$, $\Sigma_2$ is the $C_2$-equivariant $\Sigma_2$-bundle $\gamma \rightarrow BO_2$ with the fibers $\gamma_x = ([x], v)$ where $[x]$ is the image of $x \in E_{C_2} \Sigma_2$ under the projection $E_{C_2} \Sigma_2 \rightarrow (E_{C_2} \Sigma_2)/\Sigma_2 \simeq BO_2$, i.e. a real line in $\mathbb{C}^\infty$, and $v$ is a vector in the complexification of that line. For each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we define $C_2$-equivariant stunted projective spectra, denoted $Q_k^\infty$, by setting

$$Q_k^\infty := Th(k\gamma \rightarrow BO_2)$$

where $\gamma$ is the tautological $C_2$-equivariant $\Sigma_2$-bundle over $BO_2$.

Corollary 2.42. Let $U$ be a complete $C_2 \times \Sigma_2$-universe and let $i : U^\Sigma_2 \rightarrow U$ be the inclusion of the $\Sigma_2$-fixed universe. Let $X$ be a $C_2$-spectrum. There is an equivalence of $C_2$-spectra

$$(i_*X)^{\Sigma_2,p} \simeq \text{holim}_{\leftarrow} (Q_k^\infty \wedge \Sigma X).$$

3. $C_2$-equivariant Lin’s Theorem

3.1. The $C_2$-equivariant Singer construction. In this subsection we translate the results of [42] and [24] into the setting of $C_2$-equivariant homotopy theory. We will closely follow the discussion in [24]. The main difference in our argument is that we will avoid some technical diagram chasing by constructing certain finite subalgebras of the $C_2$-equivariant using profile functions as in [63].

We begin by recalling some properties of the category of $C_2$-equivariant spectra and the $C_2$-equivariant Steenrod algebra from [33] and [63]. Let $RO(C_2)$ denote the ring of real orthogonal representations of $C_2$. Then there is an isomorphism

$$RO(C_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}\{\epsilon\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}\{\sigma\}$$

where $\epsilon$ is the trivial 1-dimensional representation and $\sigma$ is the sign representation. If $X$ and $Y$ are $C_2$-spectra, then equivariant stable homotopy classes of maps from $X$ to $Y$ will be denoted $[X, Y]$. These maps form an $RO(C_2)$-graded Mackey functor where restriction is induced by the projection $C_2 \rightarrow S^0$ and transfer is induced by its Spanier-Whitehead dual $S^0 \rightarrow C_2$.

Let $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{F}_2$ denote the constant $C_2$-Mackey functors, i.e. the $C_2$-Mackey functors whose value on objects is always $\mathbb{Z}$ or $\mathbb{F}_2$ and whose restriction is given by the identity and transfer is given by multiplication by 2. There are Eilenberg-MacLane $C_2$-spectra $HM$ for any Mackey functor $M$. The $RO(C_2)$-graded Mackey functor coefficients $M_{C_2} = H\mathbb{F}_2$ are depicted in [63] Proposition 2.13]. The cooperations $A_{C_2}^* = M_{C_2}H\mathbb{F}_2$ form an $RO(C_2)$-graded Hopf algebroid called the $C_2$-equivariant mod 2 dual Steenrod algebra.

Proposition 3.1. [33] The $C_2$-equivariant mod 2 dual Steenrod algebra has presentation

$$A_{C_2}^* = H\mathbb{F}_2 C_2, H\mathbb{F}_2 = M_{C_2}[\xi_i, \tau_i : i \geq 0]/I$$

where $|\xi_i| = (2^i - 1)\rho$, $|\tau_i| = (2^i - 1)\rho + \epsilon$, and $I$ is the ideal generated by the relation $\tau_i^2 = a\xi_{i+1} + (ar_0 + u)\tau_{i+1}$.

If we forget the Mackey functor structure and think of the $RO(C_2)$-grading as a bigrading with $|\epsilon| = (1, 0)$ and $|\sigma| = (1, 1)$, then the $C_2$-equivariant Steenrod algebra $A_{C_2}^*$ is isomorphic as bigraded Hopf algebroids to an induction of the real motivic Steenrod algebra $M_{C_2}^* \otimes_{M_{\mathbb{R}}} A_{C_2}^*$, where the real motivic homology of a point is given by

$$M_{\mathbb{R}} \cong \mathbb{F}_2[\tau, \rho].$$

Translating the profile function techniques of Margolis [49] to the $C_2$-equivariant setting, Ricka studied certain quotient Hopf algebroids of $A_{C_2}^*$. We now recall some of the results from [63] Section 5].
Definition 3.2. A profile function is a pair of maps \((h, k)\),
\[
\begin{align*}
  h : \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\} &\to \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}, \\
  k : \mathbb{N} &\to \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}.
\end{align*}
\]
Given a profile function, denote by \(I(h, k)\) the two-sided ideal of \(A^C_{\ast}\) generated by \(\xi_i^{2^h(i)}\) and \(\tau_i^{2^k(i)}\) with the convention that \(x^\infty = 0\).

A profile function is minimal if for all \(i, n \geq 0\), \(\tau_i^n \in I(h, k)\) if and only if \(n \geq k(i)\).

Proposition 3.3. [63] Proposition 5.13 Let \((h, k)\) be a minimal pair of profile functions. Then \(I(h, k)\) is a \(\text{RO}(C_2)\)-graded Hopf algebroid ideal if and only if the profile functions satisfy:
\[
\forall i, j \geq 1, \quad h(i) \leq j + h(i + j) \quad \text{or} \quad h(j) \leq h(i + j),
\]
\[
\forall i \geq 1, j \geq 0, \quad h(i) \leq j + k(i + j) \quad \text{or} \quad k(j) \leq k(i + j).
\]

The previous proposition gives a condition for when \(A^C_{\ast} / I(h, n)\) is a quotient Hopf algebroid of \(A^C_{\ast}\).

Definition 3.4. A profile function \((h, k)\) is free if for all \(i \geq 0\), \(m \geq k(i)\), and \(j \leq m\),
\[
k(i + m) = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad h(i + j) \leq m - j.
\]

Proposition 3.5. [63] Proposition 5.16 If \((h, k)\) is a free profile function, then \(A^C_{\ast} / I(h, k)\) is free as a left \(\mathcal{M}^{C_2}\)-module.

Example 3.6. The \(C_2\)-equivariant analog of the ideal \(I(n)\) from [24] Definition 3.2.1 is defined by the profile function \((h, k)\) where
\[
h = (n, n - 1, n - 3, \ldots, 2, 1, 0, 0, \ldots),
\]
\[
k = (\infty, \infty, \ldots, \infty, 0, 0, \ldots),
\]
where the first zero occurs as \(k(n + 1)\). One can verify using the previous propositions that this profile function is minimal and free, and that the corresponding quotient is a quotient Hopf algebra. We define \(I(n) := I(h, k)\), and set
\[
A^\vee(n) := A^C_{\ast} / I(n).
\]

It follows from [63] Theorem 6.15 that after dualizing with respect to \(\mathcal{M}^{C_2}\), \(A^C_{\ast}\) is cofree as a comodule over \(A^\vee(n)\).

In this section only, all the subalgebras and quotient Hopf algebras are in the \(C_2\)-equivariant setting. To streamline notation, we will suppress the decoration \((-)^{C_2}\) in this section.

Example 3.7. The \(C_2\)-equivariant analog of the ideal \(J(n)\) from [24] Definition 3.2.4 is defined by the profile function \((h', k)\) where
\[
h' = (\infty, n - 1, n - 3, \ldots, 2, 1, 0, 0, \ldots),
\]
\[
k = (\infty, \infty, \ldots, \infty, 0, 0, \ldots),
\]
where the first zero in \(k\) is \(k(n + 1)\). This profile function is minimal and free, but it does not follow from the above proposition that the resulting quotient is a quotient Hopf algebra. Following Gregersen, we define \(J(n) := I(h', k)\), and set
\[
C^\vee(n) := A^C_{\ast} / J(n).
\]

We further define
\[
B^\vee(n) := C(n)^\vee[\xi_1^{-1}].
\]
The results and proofs of [24] from Definition 3.2.4 up until Definition 3.2.10 carry over verbatim to the $C_2$-equivariant setting since the coproduct in the motivic Steenrod algebra and the coproduct in the $C_2$-equivariant Steenrod agree. The only modification necessary is to replace tensoring over $M_p = M^F$ with tensoring over $\tilde{M}^{C_2}$. In particular, we conclude that $B^\vee(n)$ is a left $A^\vee(n)$-comodule and a right $A^\vee(n-1)$-comodule.

**Definition 3.8.** We define the following $RO(C_2)$-graded subalgebras of $A^{C_2}$ as follows:

\begin{align*}
A(n) &:= \text{Hom}_{M^{C_2}}(A^\vee(n), \tilde{M}^{C_2}), \\
B(n) &:= \text{Hom}_{M^{C_2}}(B^\vee(n), \tilde{M}^{C_2}), \\
C(n) &:= \text{Hom}_{M^{C_2}}(C^\vee(n), \tilde{M}^{C_2}).
\end{align*}

With these defined, the discussion in [24] following Definition 3.2.10 up until Lemma 3.2.15 carries over to the $C_2$-equivariant setting *mutatis mutandis*. The key points are summarized in the following proposition combining Lemmata 3.2.13 and 3.2.14.

**Proposition 3.9.** The subalgebra $B(n)$ is a free left $A(n)$-module with generators

\[ \{ P^k : k \in \mathbb{Z}, \ 2^n|k \}. \]

Moreover, $B(n)$ is a free right $A(n-1)$-module with generators

\[ \{ P^k, \beta P^k : k \in \mathbb{Z} \}. \]

By cofreeness of $A^\vee(n)$, we see that $A^{C_2}$ is free as a module over $A(n)$ for each $n$. We are now ready to define the $C_2$-equivariant Singer construction.

**Definition 3.10.** Let $M$ be a left $A^{C_2}$-module. The $C_2$-equivariant Singer construction of $M$ is defined by

\[ R^{C_2}_+(M) := \lim_{\leftarrow} B(n) \otimes_{A(n-1)} M. \]

The compositions

\[ B(n) \otimes_{A(n-1)} M \to A \otimes_{A(n-1)} M \to A \otimes_A M \cong M \]

are compatible with increasing $n$, so taking the colimit over $n$ defines a map

\[ \epsilon : R^{C_2}_+(M) \to M \]

from the $C_2$-equivariant Singer construction of $M$ to $M$.

**Definition 3.11.** A map of $RO(C_2)$-graded $A^{C_2}$-modules $M \to N$ is a Tor-equivalence if the induced map

\[ \text{Tor}^{A^{C_2}}_{**}(\tilde{M}^{C_2}, M) \to \text{Tor}^{A^{C_2}}_{**}(\tilde{M}^{C_2}, N) \]

is an isomorphism for all $* \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $* \in RO(C_2)$.

Note that we work with ordinary $RO(C_2)$-graded $\text{Tor}$, valued in abelian groups, instead of $\text{Tor}$, which is valued in Mackey functors. We want to show that $\epsilon : R^{C_2}_+(M) \to M$ is a Tor-equivalence. To do so, we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.12.** If $M$ is a free $A^{C_2}$-module, then $R^{C_2}_+(M)$ is flat as an $A^{C_2}$-module and

\[ \tilde{M}^{C_2} \otimes_{A^{C_2}} R^{C_2}_+(M) \underleftarrow{\text{id} \otimes \epsilon} \tilde{M}^{C_2} \otimes_{A^{C_2}} M \]

is an isomorphism.

**Proof.** The proof of flatness is identical to the first part of the proof of [24, Lemma 3.3.5].

The second claim is also follows as in the proof of [24, Lemma 3.3.5], although we no longer have vanishing in the same range for $\tilde{M}^{C_2}$. However, it’s clear from inspection of [63, Proposition 2.13] that $\tilde{M}^{C_2}$ vanishes in the correct degrees for the proof to carry through. \( \square \)
Taking a free resolution of $M$ and applying the $C_2$-equivariant Singer construction at each level allows us to compare resolutions of $M$ and resolutions of $R^n_{C_2}(M)$. One can show through homological algebra and knowing where the maps in the colimit send the generators for $B(n)$ as an $A(n)$-module that if $F$ is a free $A^{C_2}$-module, then the map

$$F_{2,\ast}^{C_2} \otimes_{A^{C_2}} R^n_{C_2}(F) \xrightarrow{id \otimes \varepsilon} F_{2,\ast}^{C_2} \otimes_{A^{C_2}} F$$

is an isomorphism. Therefore we obtain isomorphisms at each stage of the free resolutions we were comparing and we can conclude the following.

**Theorem 3.13.** Let $M$ be an $A^{C_2}$-module. Then the map $\epsilon : R^n_{C_2}(M) \to M$ is a Tor-equivalence, i.e. the induced map

$$Tor_{\ast,\ast}^{A^{C_2}}(F_{2,\ast}^{C_2}, M) \to Tor_{\ast,\ast}^{A^{C_2}}(F_{2,\ast}^{C_2}, N)$$

is an isomorphism.

### 3.2. Homotopical realization via equivariant Thom spectra

Our goal in the next two subsections is to provide a homotopical realization of the $C_2$-equivariant Singer construction. To do so, we will provide more analysis of the $G$-equivariant spectra $Q^\infty_k$ from Definition 2.41 in the case where $G = C_2$. Recall that we gave a geometric model for the $C_2$-equivariant classifying space of $\Sigma$ in Definition 2.10.

**Definition 3.14.** Let $Q^n$ denote the (non-equivariant) $2n$-skeleton of the $C_2$-equivariant classifying space of $\Sigma_2$, $B_{C_2}\Sigma_2$. Let $Q^\infty := B_{C_2}\Sigma_2 = \lim_{\leftarrow} Q^n$.

**Lemma 3.15.** [33 Theorem 6.22] [70 Proposition 3.2] There are ring isomorphisms

$$H^*_{C_2}(Q^n) \cong \mathbb{M}^{C_2}[x, y]/(x^2 + ax + uy, y^n),$$

$$H^*_c(Q^n) \cong \mathbb{M}^{C_2}[x, y]/(x^2 + ax + uy)$$

where $|x| = \sigma$ and $|y| = \rho$.

By construction, $B_{C_2}\Sigma_2$ is equipped with a tautological $C_2$-equivariant line bundle $\gamma^1$. Let $\gamma^{n-1}_{n-1}$ denote the restriction of the tautological line bundle over $B_{C_2}\Sigma_2$ to $Q^n$.

**Definition 3.16.** Define

$$Q^{n-k} := \Sigma^{-2kn-kn}Th(k\gamma^1_{n-1} \to Q^n).$$

The proof of [24 Proposition 4.1.24] translates easily to give the following calculation.

**Lemma 3.17.** As modules over $\mathbb{M}^{C_2}$, there is an isomorphism

$$H^*_{C_2}(Q^{n-k}) \cong \Sigma^{-2kn-kn}\mathbb{M}^{C_2}[x, y]/(x^2 + ax + uy, y^n).$$

As usual, we have compatibility with respect to changing $n$ and $k$ in the previous definition.

**Definition 3.18.** Define

$$Q^{-k} := \colim_{n \to \infty} Q^{n-k},$$

$$Q^{-\infty} := \lim_{\leftarrow} Q^{-k}.$$

Following the proofs in Section 4.2 of [24], we conclude the following.

**Proposition 3.19.** As modules over $\mathbb{M}^{C_2}$, we have

$$H_*(Q^{n-k}) \cong \Sigma^{-2kn-kn}\mathbb{M}^{C_2}[x, y]/(x^2 + ax + uy)$$

and

$$H_*(Q^{-k}) \cong \mathbb{M}^{C_2}[x, y, y^{-1}]/(x^2 + ax + uy).$$

Moreover, the action of $Sq^i \in A^{C_2}$ on the above (continuous) Bredon cohomology is identical to the action of $Sq^i \in A^{\mathbb{R}}$ on the (continuous) motivic cohomology of $L^{-k}$. 
Homotopical realization via equivariant Betti realization. The goal of this subsection is to provide a motivic-to-equivariant construction of the $C_2$-equivariant analog of $L_{-\infty}^{\infty}$. In Section 3.3 of [57], Morel and Voevodsky defined Betti realization functors

$$Re_B : Mot \rightarrow Spt$$

$$Re_B^{C_2} : Mot^C \rightarrow Spt^{C_2}$$

which are induced by the functor which sends a scheme over $Spec(\mathbb{C})$ or $Spec(\mathbb{R})$ to its $C$-points. For the first realization functor, they note that

$$Re_B(S^{1,0}) \cong Re_B(S^{1,1}) \cong S^1$$

and

$$Re_B(BG) \cong B(G(\mathbb{C}))$$

for any smooth group scheme over $\mathbb{C}$. The non-equivariant Betti realization functor was studied further in [40, Section 5]. In [27], Heller and Ormsby study the $C_2$-equivariant Betti realization functor. We recall some of their results below.

Proposition 3.20. [27, Proposition 4.8] There is a Quillen adjoint pair

$$Re_B^{C_2} : Spt_\infty(C_2) \leftrightarrow Spt_{\Sigma^{\infty}_+\sigma}(C_2) : Sing^{C_2}_B.$$

Moreover, $Re_B^{C_2}$ is strong symmetric monoidal.

Heller and Ormsby go on to identify the image of equivariant Betti realization for $P^1$-suspension spectra. We restrict to the case where the ground field is $\mathbb{R}$ below, but their result holds for any field with a real embedding.

Proposition 3.21. [27, Lemma 4.14] For any $X$ in $Sch/\mathbb{R}$, the natural map

$$LRe_B^{C_2}(\Sigma^\infty_+X_+) \rightarrow \Sigma^{\infty}_+X(\mathbb{C})^{an}$$

is an isomorphism in $SH_{C_2}$. Here $L(-)$ denotes the left-derived functor.

Analyzing symmetric powers and using equivariant and motivic analogs of the Dold-Thom theorem proves the following.

Theorem 3.22. [27, Theorem 4.17] Let $A$ be an abelian group. There is an isomorphism in $SH_{C_2}$

$$LRRe_B^{C_2}(HA) \cong HA.$$

Our goal is to combine these results with some results of Gregersen to show that the equivariant Betti realizations of the stunted real motivic lens spaces $L_{-n}^{\infty}$ provide a topological realization of the $C_2$-equivariant Singer construction. We will need the following result.

Lemma 3.23. [24, Lemma 4.1.2] The motivic spaces $L^n := (A^n \setminus 0)/\mu_p$ are represented by smooth schemes.

We will denote the equivariant Betti realization of $L^n$ by $R^n$. By Proposition 3.21 we have

$$R^n = LRe_B^{C_2}(L^n) \cong L^n(\mathbb{C})^{an}$$

where $(-)^{an}$ indicates that we are using the analytic topology. Define $R^\infty := \text{colim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} R^n$.

Lemma 3.24. There is an equivalence in $SH_{C_2}$

$$L^n(\mathbb{C})^{an} \cong Q^n.$$

Proof. The $C_2$-equivariant spaces $Q^n$ are defined by analogy with the definition of the motivic spaces $L^n$ in [68, Section 6]. Since $A_1^k(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}$ and equivariant Betti realization is compatible with taking skeleta, we see that the equivariant Betti realization of $L^n$ is $Q^n$. \qed
We now define another model for $C_2$-equivariant stunted projective spaces. Recall that for $n > 0$, Gregersen defines

$$L_{-k}^{n-k} := \Sigma_{\pi}^{-kn} Th(k\epsilon^n, k\gamma_{n-1}^1),$$

where if $\eta \mapsto \xi$ is an inclusion of vector bundles, then

$$Th(\xi, \eta) := \frac{E(\xi)}{E(\xi) \setminus E(\eta)}.$$

Define $R_{-k}^{n-k} := \mathbb{L} Re_C^{\infty} (L_{-k}^{n-k})$. Since left derived functors preserve homotopy colimits, we have

$$R_{-k}^{n-k} := \mathbb{L} Re_B^{\infty} (L_{-k}^{n-k})$$

$$= \mathbb{L} Re_B^{\infty} (\Sigma_{\pi}^{-kn} Th(k\epsilon^n, k\gamma_{n-1}^1))$$

$$= \mathbb{L} Re_B^{\infty} ((\mathbb{P}^1)^{-kn} \wedge Th(\mathbb{L} Re_B^{\infty} (k\epsilon^n), \mathbb{L} Re_B^{\infty} (k\gamma_{n-1}^1)))$$

$$= \Sigma^{-2kn,-kn} Th(k\epsilon^n, k\gamma_{n-1}^1)$$

where in the bottom line, $\epsilon$ and $\gamma_{n-1}^1$ denote the trivial and tautological Real vector bundles studied in [33]. We can compute the Bredon cohomology of these $C_2$-spectra from the motivic cohomology $H^*(\mathbb{L}_{-k}^{n-k})$, which can be found in [24] Proposition 4.1.24.

**Lemma 3.25.** As modules over $\mathcal{M}^{C_2}$, there is an isomorphism

$$H^{\ast}_{C_2}(L_{-k}^{n-k}) \cong \Sigma^{-2k,-kn} \mathcal{M}^{C_2}[x, y]/(x^2 + ax + uy, y^n).$$

**Proof.** The proof is similar to the proof of the previous lemma. \hfill \Box

We can now give a second definition of the $C_2$-equivariant analog of $RP^\infty_k$.

**Definition 3.26.** Define

$$R_{-k}^{\infty} := Re_C^{\infty} (L_{-k}^{\infty})$$

and

$$R_{-\infty} := \lim_{k \to \infty} R_{-k}^{\infty}.$$

We opt to define $R_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ as the above homotopy limit, instead of as the equivariant Betti realization of $L_{-\infty}^{\infty}$, since it is not a priori clear that equivariant Betti realization preserves homotopy limits. However, we will see below that in this case, these choices produce equivalent spectra.

Similar arguments to the above using equivariant Betti realization, the fact that left derived functors commute with homotopy colimits, and [24] Proposition 4.1.32] allow us to compute the Bredon cohomology of these spectra.

**Proposition 3.27.** As modules over $\mathcal{M}^{C_2}$, we have

$$H^{\ast}_{C_2}(L_{-k}^{\infty}) \cong \Sigma^{-2k,-kn} \mathcal{M}^{C_2}[x, y]/(x^2 + ax + uy)$$

and

$$H^{\ast}_{C_2}(R_{-\infty}^{\infty}) \cong \mathcal{M}^{C_2}[x, y, y^{-1}]/(x^2 + ax + uy).$$

So far, we have not said anything about the $A^{C_2}$-module structure of $H^{\ast}_{C_2}(R_{-k}^{\infty})$. We address this point in the following proposition. Intuitively, this proposition holds since equivariant Betti realization takes the cell in the motivic classifying space $B_{gm} \Sigma_2$ carrying $Sq^i \in A^R$ to the cell in the $C_2$-equivariant classifying space $B_{C_2} \mathbb{Z}/2$ carrying $Sq^i \in A^{C_2}$.

**Proposition 3.28.** Equivariant Betti realization preserves module structure over the Steenrod algebra for the motivic cohomology of schemes over $\mathbb{R}$. In particular, the action of $Sq^i \in A^{C_2}$ on $x, y \in H^{\ast}_{C_2}(R_{-k}^{\infty})$ is identical to the action of $Sq^i \in A^R$ on $u, v \in H^{\ast} \mathbb{R}(L_{-k}^{\infty})$. 

□
Theorem 3.30. After Corollary 3.32, the result follows from the previous theorem. □

There is an isomorphism of Corollary 3.29.

\[ H^*_{C_2,c}(\mathbb{F}_2^{-\infty}) \cong \Sigma^{-1,0} R_+ (M^{C_2}) \]

where the left-hand side is the continuous cohomology \( H^*_{C_2,c}(\mathbb{F}_2^{-\infty}) := \text{colim}_k H^*_{C_2}(\mathbb{F}_2^{-k}) \).

Therefore by Theorem 3.13, we obtain an isomorphism

\[ \text{Tor}^A_{C_2^*}(\mathbb{F}_2^{-\infty} \otimes C_2, H^*_{C_2}(\mathbb{F}_2^{-\infty})) \cong \text{Tor}^A_{C_2^*}(\mathbb{F}_2^{-\infty}, \Sigma^{-1,0} \mathbb{F}_2^{C_2}). \]

The left-hand side is the \( E_2 \)-page of the inverse limit \( RO(C_2) \)-graded Adams spectral sequence converging to \( \pi_*(\mathbb{F}_2^{-\infty}) \) and the right-hand side is the \( E_2 \)-page of the \( RO(C_2) \)-graded Adams spectral sequence converging to \( \pi_*(S^{-1,0}) \), so we have proven the desired \( C_2 \)-equivariant analog of Lin’s Theorem.

Theorem 3.30. After 2-completion, there is an equivalence

\[ S^{-1,0} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2^{-\infty}. \]

In particular, we have the following special case of a homotopy limit commuting with a left derived functor.

Corollary 3.31. There is an isomorphism in \( SH_{C_2} \)

\[ \lim \mathbb{L} Re_{C_2}(L_2^{-\infty}) \cong \mathbb{L} Re_{C_2}(\lim L_2^{-\infty}). \]

Proof. The left-hand side is \( L_2^{-\infty} \) by definition, and the right-hand side is the equivariant Betti realization of \( L_2^{-\infty} \). Since \( L_2^{-\infty} \cong \Sigma^{-1,0} \) by [24] Theorem 2.0.2 and \( Re_{C_2}(S^{-1,0}) \cong S^{-1,0} \) by [28] Proposition 2.3, the result follows from the previous theorem. □

Since the equivariant Betti realization of the tautological algebraic line bundle over \( B_{gm} \Sigma_2 \) is the tautological \( C_2 \)-equivariant line bundle over \( B_{C_2} \Sigma_2 \), we can identify the spectra \( Q_2^{-\infty} \) with \( \mathbb{F}_2^{-\infty} \).

Therefore we also conclude the following, where the second statement follows from Theorem 2.40.

Corollary 3.32. After 2, \( \eta \)-completion, there is an equivalence

\[ S^{-1,0} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2^{-\infty}. \]

Equivalently, after 2-completion, there is an equivalence

\[ S^{0,0} \cong \mathbb{L} \Sigma_2 \mathbb{L}^{\mathbb{L}^\infty} \mathbb{L} \Sigma_2. \]
4. REAL MOTIVIC AND C2-EQUIVARIANT MAHOWALD INVARIANTS

In [59], we defined the motivic Mahowald invariant over $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$ and showed that it produced two forms of redshift in the motivic stable stems over $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$. In this section, we begin proving the analogous results over $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{R})$ and in the $C_2$-equivariant setting by defining $\mathbb{R}$-motivic and $C_2$-equivariant Mahowald invariants, carrying out some low-dimensional calculations, and establishing a method for comparing generalized Mahowald invariants across categories.

4.1. Definitions. We establish notation by recalling the definition of the classical Mahowald invariant.

Definition 4.1. Let $\alpha \in \pi_t(S^0)$. The classical Mahowald invariant of $\alpha$ is the coset of completions of the diagram
\[
S^t \longrightarrow S^{-N+1} \\
\downarrow \alpha \\
S^0 \longrightarrow \Sigma \mathbb{R}P_{-\infty}^\infty \longrightarrow \Sigma \mathbb{R}P_{-N}^\infty
\]
where $N > 0$ is minimal so that the left-hand composite is nontrivial. The equivalence on the left-hand side is by Lin’s Theorem [42], and the dashed arrow is the lift to the fiber of the sequence
\[
S^{-N+1} \rightarrow \Sigma \mathbb{R}P_{-N}^\infty \rightarrow \Sigma \mathbb{R}P_{-N+1}^\infty
\]
which is nontrivial by choice of $N$. The classical Mahowald invariant of $\alpha$ will be denoted $M_{cl}(\alpha)$.

We now define the $k$-motivic and $C_2$-equivariant analogs of the Mahowald invariant, starting with the motivic case. Here, $k = \mathbb{C}$ or $k = \mathbb{R}$. We will write $S_k$ for the $k$-motivic sphere spectrum.

Definition 4.2. Let $\alpha \in \pi_{s,t}(S_k^{0,0})$. The $k$-motivic Mahowald invariant of $\alpha$ is the coset of completions of the diagram
\[
S^{s,t} \longrightarrow S^{-2N+1,-N} \vee S^{-2N+2,-N+1} \\
\downarrow \alpha \\
S_{0,0} \longrightarrow \Sigma^{1,0} \mathbb{L}_{-\infty} \longrightarrow \Sigma^{1,0} \mathbb{L}_{-N}
\]
where $N > 0$ is minimal so that the left-hand composite is nontrivial. The dashed arrow is the lift to the fiber of the sequence
\[
S^{-2N+1,-N} \vee S^{-2N+2,-N+1} \rightarrow \Sigma^{1,0} \mathbb{L}_{-N}^{\infty} \rightarrow \Sigma^{1,0} \mathbb{L}_{-N+1}^{\infty}
\]
which is nontrivial by choice of $N$. The $k$-motivic Mahowald invariant of $\alpha$ will be denoted $M_k(\alpha)$.

Note that the target of the dashed arrow is a wedge of spheres. The $k$-motivic Mahowald invariant could be detected on both of these spheres, but we can (and will) regard $M_k(\alpha)$ as a coset in the homotopy of only the higher-dimensional sphere if this is the case. That is, if the composition of the dashed arrow in the diagram with projection onto $S^{-2N+2,-N+1}$ is non-trivial, we will say that $M(\alpha)$ is detected on $S^{-2N+2,-N+1}$; otherwise, we will say it is detected on $S^{-2N+1,-N}$. This convention is also used in [59].

We now define the $C_2$-equivariant analog.

Definition 4.3. Let $\alpha \in \pi_{s,t}^{C_2}(S^{0,0})$. The $C_2$-equivariant Mahowald invariant of $\alpha$ is the coset of completions of the diagram
\[
S^{s,t} \longrightarrow S^{-2N+1,-N} \vee S^{-2N+2,-N+1} \\
\downarrow \alpha \\
S_{0,0} \longrightarrow \Sigma^{1,0} \mathbb{R}_{-\infty} \longrightarrow \Sigma^{1,0} \mathbb{R}_{-N}^{\infty}
\]
where $N > 0$ is minimal so that the left-hand composite is nontrivial. The $C_2$-equivariant Mahowald invariant of $\alpha$ will be denoted $M^{C_2}(\alpha)$.

We will employ the same convention as above and say that the $C_2$-equivariant Mahowald invariant is only detected on one cell.

More generally, we will sometimes want to talk about Mahowald invariants inside a category $\mathcal{C}$. In this case, we will denote the Mahowald invariant by $M^\mathcal{C}(\_)$.

4.2. Elementary computations. As in the classical and complex motivic cases, we can compute the real motivic and $C_2$-equivariant Mahowald invariants of the Hopf invariant one maps. In the motivic case, these were constructed using Cayley-Dickson algebras in [15]. In the equivariant case, these are the usual Hopf maps where $C_2$ acts on the source and target by (a fixed) complex conjugation [26, Section 10].

The proof of the following is similar to the proofs of [59, Propositions 2.18-2.21]. In particular, one can compute the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence by replacing Betti realization $R_{\mathbb{C}}$ by equivariant $R_{\mathbb{C}}$ in those proofs by the base-change functor $i^* : SH_{\mathbb{R}} \to SH_{\mathbb{C}}$ induced by the morphism $Spec(\mathbb{C}) \to Spec(\mathbb{R})$.

Proposition 4.4. We have

(1) $\eta_{alg} \in M^R(2 + \rho \eta) \subset \pi_{1,1}(S_{\mathbb{R}})$, $\tau \eta_{alg}^2 \in M^R((2 + \rho \eta)^2) \subset \pi_{2,1}(S_{\mathbb{R}})$, and $\tau \eta_{alg}^3 \in M^R((2 + \rho \eta)^3) \subset \pi_{3,2}(S_{\mathbb{R}})$, 

(2) $\nu_{alg} \in M^R(\eta_{alg}) \subset \pi_{3,2}(S_{\mathbb{R}})$, and 

(3) $\sigma_{alg} \in M^R(\nu_{alg}) \subset \pi_{7,4}(S_{\mathbb{R}})$.

A similar proof can be given in the $C_2$-equivariant setting, but we will provide an alternative proof using the results of the next section instead.

4.3. Squeeze lemmas for generalized Mahowald invariants. The following lemmas allow us to compare Mahowald invariants in different categories.

Lemma 4.5. Suppose $F : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ is any of the following combinations of functors and categories:

1. Equivariant Betti realization $R_{\mathbb{C}2} : Mot_{\mathbb{R}} \to Spf^{C_2}$.
2. The forgetful functor $U : Spf^{C_2} \to Spf$.

Suppose $\alpha, \beta \in \pi^D_\ast(S_D)$ satisfy $M^\mathcal{D}(\alpha) = \beta$. Suppose further that there exist $\alpha' \in \pi^\mathcal{C}_\ast(S_C)$ such that $F(\alpha') = \alpha$ and $F(\beta') = \beta$. Then

$$|M^\mathcal{C}(\alpha')| \leq |\beta'|.$$

Proof. First we determine the image of the analog of $R^{\infty}_{\mathbb{C}2 \mathbb{R}N}$ under each functor.

1. $R_{\mathbb{C}2}(L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}N}) = R^\infty_{\mathbb{C}2 \mathbb{R}N}$. This follows from Definition 3.26.
2. $U(R^\infty_{\mathbb{C}2 \mathbb{R}N}) \simeq R^{\infty}_{\mathbb{C}2 \mathbb{R}N}$. On cohomology, the forgetful functor preserves $A$-module structure while setting $a = 0$ and $u = 1$. Therefore we have an isomorphism of $A$-modules

$$H^*(U(R^\infty_{\mathbb{C}2 \mathbb{R}N})) \cong \Sigma^{-2N}[x,y]/(x^2 = y) \cong \Sigma^{-2N}F_2[x] \cong H^*(R^{\infty}_{\mathbb{C}2 \mathbb{R}N})$$

which implies an abstract weak equivalence $U(R^\infty_{\mathbb{C}2 \mathbb{R}N}) \simeq R^{\infty}_{\mathbb{C}2 \mathbb{R}N}$ via the Adams spectral sequence.

Let $\alpha \in \pi^\mathcal{D}_s(S_D)$ and let $\beta \in \pi^\mathcal{D}_{s+2N+1,+t,N+\epsilon}(S_D)$ with $\epsilon \in \{0,1\}$. Then $M^\mathcal{D}(\alpha) = \beta$ means the following diagram commutes,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
S^s_t & \overset{\beta}{\longrightarrow} & S^s_{-2N+1,+t,N+\epsilon} \\
\downarrow{\alpha} & & \downarrow \\
S^0_0 & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \Sigma_\mathcal{D}R^\infty_{\mathbb{R}N} \longrightarrow \Sigma_\mathcal{D}R^\infty_{\mathbb{R}N},
\end{array}$$
where $N > 0$ is minimal so that the left-hand composite is nontrivial. Here, $\Sigma_D = \Sigma^{1,0}$ if $D = SH_R, SH_C, SH_{C_2}$ and $\Sigma_D = \Sigma$ if $D = SH$. By assumption, this diagram can be obtained by applying $F$ to the diagram

$$
\begin{array}{c}
\xymatrix{ S^c_{\epsilon', \epsilon'} \ar[r]^{\beta''} \ar[d]^{\alpha'} & S^c_{-2N+1+\epsilon, -N+\epsilon} \ar[d] \\
S^c_{0, 0} \ar[r]_{\cong} & \Sigma_c L_{\infty} \ar[r] & \Sigma_c L_{-N}^\infty.
}\end{array}
$$

If the left-hand composite in the second diagram were trivial, then so would the left-hand composite in the first diagram, which would be a contradiction. However, we cannot conclude that $N$ is minimal so that the left-hand composite in the second diagram is nontrivial. Therefore we have $|M^C(\alpha')| \leq |\beta'|$. □

We demonstrate the lemma by computing the $C_2$-equivariant Mahowald invariants of the classes $\eta$ and $\nu$. We will need the following result of Dugger-Isaksen:

**Theorem 4.6.** [10, Theorem 1.5] The map $\pi^R_{*, n_w}(S) \to \pi^C_{*, n_w}(S)$ induced by equivariant Betti realization is an isomorphism in the range $s \geq 3w - 5$ or $s \leq -1$.

**Proposition 4.7.** We have

1. $\eta \in M^C_2(2 + \rho \eta) \subset \pi^C_{*, 1}(S)$
2. $\nu \in M^C_2(\eta) \subset \pi^C_{*, 2}(S)$
3. $\sigma \in M^C_2(\nu) \subset \pi^C_{*, 3}(S)$

**Proof.** We need the following relations:

1. $U(2 + \rho \eta) = 2, U(\eta) = \eta, U(\nu) = \nu$, and $U(\sigma) = \sigma$,
2. $Rec_C(2 + \rho \eta) = 2, Rec_C(\eta) = \eta, Rec_C(\nu) = \nu$, and $Rec_C(\sigma) = \sigma$,

Then by Lemma 4.5 applied to (1), we have

$$
|M^C_2(2 + \rho \eta)| \leq |\eta| = (1, 1),
$$

$$
|M^C_2(\eta)| \leq |\nu| = (3, 2),
$$

$$
|M^C_2(\nu)| \leq |\sigma| = (7, 4).
$$

Suppose that $M^C_2(2 + \rho \eta) = \gamma$ for some $\gamma \neq \eta$ with $|\gamma| < |\eta|$. Then we have $\gamma : S^{0,0} \to S^{0,-\epsilon}$ where $\epsilon \in \{0, 1\}$, i.e. $\gamma \in \pi^C_2(S)$ where $s \geq 3w - 5$. By Theorem 4.6, there exists some $\gamma' \in \pi^R_*(S^{0,0})$ such that $|\gamma'| < |\eta|$ and $Rec_C(\gamma) = \gamma$. By (2), this would imply that $M^R_k(2) \leq |\gamma'| < |\eta|$ which contradicts our computation that $\eta \in M^R_k(2)$. Therefore we must have $M^C_2(2 + \rho \eta) = \eta$.

The same argument applies to prove (2) and (3) in the statement of the proposition. □

We will also need the following analysis for computing more complicated $C_2$-equivariant Mahowald invariants. We begin by recalling the geometric fixed points functor.

**Definition 4.8.** The geometric fixed points functor $\Phi^{C_2} : Spt^{C_2} \to Spt$ is defined by setting

$$
\Phi^{C_2}(X) := (X \wedge \wedge C_2)^{C_2}.
$$

We will want a “squeeze lemma” for geometric fixed points and non-equivariant Betti realization.

**Lemma 4.9.** There are equivalences of spectra

$$
\Phi^{C_2}(R^\infty_k) \simeq RP^\infty_k \vee RP_k^\infty
$$

and

$$
Rec_k(L^\infty_k) \simeq RP^\infty_k \vee RP_k^\infty.
$$
Proof. To see the first equivalence, recall that we have equivalences
\[ \Phi^{C_2}(\mathbb{R}^\infty) \simeq \Phi^{C_2}(B_{C_2}\Sigma_2) \simeq \mathbb{R}P^\infty \sqcup \mathbb{R}P^\infty. \]
Recall that the geometric fixed points of a suspension spectrum are the suspension spectrum of the fixed points. Further, recall that the geometric fixed points of an equivariant Thom spectrum can be calculated as
\[ \Phi^{C_2}(\text{Th}(V \to X)) \simeq \text{Th}(V |_{X^{C_2}}) \]
We need to calculate \( \Phi^{C_2}(\text{Th}(k\gamma \to B_{C_2}\Sigma_2)) \). By the above, we have \((B_{C_2}\Sigma_2)^{C_2} \simeq \mathbb{R}P^\infty \sqcup \mathbb{R}P^\infty\). The fixed points of the restriction \((k\gamma)|_{(B_{C_2}\Sigma_2)^{C_2}}\) can be identified with \( k\gamma \). Therefore we have
\[ \Phi^{C_2}(\mathbb{R}^\infty k) \simeq \text{Th}(k\gamma \to \mathbb{R}P^\infty \sqcup \mathbb{R}P^\infty) \simeq \text{Th}(k\gamma \to \mathbb{R}P^\infty) \vee \text{Th}(k\gamma \to \mathbb{R}P^\infty) \simeq \mathbb{R}P^\infty_k \vee \mathbb{R}P^\infty_k. \]

The second equivalence follows from commutativity of the diagram [7, Prop. 31]
\[ \text{SH}[1/2, \eta^{-1}] \xrightarrow{\text{Re}_{C_2}} \text{SH}_{C_2}[1/2, \eta^{-1}] \xrightarrow{\Phi^{C_2}} \text{SH}[1/2] \]
along with our calculations of \( \Phi^{C_2}(\mathbb{R}^\infty_k) \) and \( \text{Re}_{C_2}(\mathbb{L}^\infty_k) \).

The previous lemma gives the following example of non-commutativity of geometric fixed points and homotopy limits.

**Corollary 4.10.** We have equivalences
\[ \Phi^{C_2} \left( \lim_{\leftarrow} \mathbb{R}^\infty_k \right) \simeq S^{-1} \]
and
\[ \lim_{\leftarrow} \left( \Phi^{C_2}(\mathbb{R}^\infty_k) \right) \simeq S^{-1} \vee S^{-1}. \]

There is a natural transformation
\[ \Phi^{C_2} \lim(-) \to \lim \Phi^{C_2}(-) \]
and in this case, the resulting map of spectra is induced by the desuspension of the pinch map
\[ p: S^0 \to S^0 \vee S^0. \]
We will need the following lemma regarding the effect of this map in homotopy.

**Lemma 4.11.** Let \( \alpha \in \pi_1(S^0) \). Then \( \alpha \) can be represented by the composite
\[ S^1 \xrightarrow{\pi_1} S^0 \xrightarrow{\Delta} S^0 \vee S^0 \xrightarrow{\pi_1} S^0 \]
where \( \pi_1 : S^0 \vee S^0 \to S^0 \) is the projection onto the first summand.

**Proof.** This follows from commutativity of the diagram
\[ \begin{array}{ccc}
S^1 & \xrightarrow{=\pi_1} & S^1 \\
\Delta \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\
S^1 \times S^1 & \xrightarrow{=} & S^1 \vee S^1
\end{array} \]
in the stable homotopy category. \( \square \)

We can now prove our analog of the squeeze lemma for \( \Phi^{C_2} : \text{Spt}^{C_2} \to \text{Spt} \) and \( \text{Re}_\mathbb{R} : \text{Mot}_\mathbb{R} \to \text{Spt} \).

**Lemma 4.12.** Suppose \( F : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D} \) is any of the following combinations of functors and categories:
1. Geometric fixed points \( \Phi^{C_2} : \text{Spt}^{C_2} \to \text{Spt} \).
2. Betti realization \( \text{Re}_\mathbb{R} : \text{Mot}_\mathbb{R} \to \text{Spt} \).
Suppose $\alpha, \beta \in \pi^{D}_{\ast\ast}(S_{D})$ satisfy $M^{D}(\alpha) = \beta$. Suppose further that there exist $\alpha', \beta' \in \pi^{C}_{\ast\ast}(S_{C})$ such that $F(\alpha') = \alpha$ and $F(\beta') = \beta$. Then $|M^{C}(\alpha')| \leq |\beta'|$.

**Proof.** (1) We claim that there is a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
S^{s,t} & \xrightarrow{\beta'} & S'^{s',t'} \\
\downarrow^{\alpha'} & & \downarrow \\
S^{0,0} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \Sigma^{1,0}_{R_{-\infty}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \Sigma^{1,0}_{R_{-N'}}
\end{array}
\]

where the left-hand composite is nontrivial and $s', t'$ are determined by $N'$. Consider the following diagram:

The top-left vertical map $S^{s-t} \xrightarrow{\alpha} S^{0}$ along with the middle dashed arrow and the top full row are the diagram defining the classical Mahowald invariant $M^{cl}(\alpha)$. The left-hand vertical composite is $\alpha \in \pi_{s-t}(S^{0})$ by Lemma 4.11 so the outer part of the diagram is also the diagram defining the classical Mahowald invariant $M^{cl}(\alpha)$. The middle part of the diagram consisting of the composite $S^{0} \rightarrow S^{0} \vee S^{0}$, the middle full row, and the bottom dashed arrow (unlabeled) are the diagram resulting from applying $\Phi_{C2}$ to the claimed commutative diagram by Corollary 4.10. By commutativity of the entire diagram, we conclude that the composite

$S^{0} \xrightarrow{p} S^{0} \vee S^{0} \xrightarrow{\cong} \Sigma P_{\infty} \vee \Sigma P_{\infty} \rightarrow \Sigma P_{-N} \vee \Sigma P_{-N} \rightarrow S^{-N+1}$

is nontrivial. Since this composite is the image of the composite (in $Spt^{C2}$)

$S^{s,t} \xrightarrow{\alpha'} S^{0,0} \xrightarrow{\cong} \Sigma^{1,0}_{R_{-\infty}} \rightarrow \Sigma^{1,0}_{R_{-N}}$

under $\Phi_{C2}$, we conclude that the composite in $Spt^{C2}$ is nontrivial. The result then follows from the same proof as in Lemma 4.5.

(2) This follows from the previous case and Part (1) of Lemma 4.5.

\[\square\]

5. SOME REAL MOTIVIC AND PARAMETRIZED $\Sigma_{2}$-TATE CONSTRUCTIONS

5.1. Definitions. In analogy with the computations of [46] and [59], we will use approximations to the Mahowald invariant based on spectra in the real motivic and $C_{2}$-equivariant categories. To do so, we need an $\mathbb{R}$-motivic version of the parametrized $\Sigma_{2}$-Tate construction.
Lemma 5.6. Let $E$ be a real motivic spectrum with trivial $\Sigma_2$-action. The real motivic $\Sigma_2$-Tate construction of $E$ is defined by setting
\[ E^{\Sigma_2,p} := \lim_{\leftarrow}(\Sigma^{1,0} E \wedge L^\infty_{-k}). \]

The $C_2$-equivariant version of the $\Sigma_2$-Tate construction is the parametrized Tate construction. The following corollary of Theorem 2.40 makes precise the analogy between the parametrized $\Sigma_2$-Tate construction and the real motivic Tate construction.

Corollary 5.2. Let $E$ be a $C_2$-equivariant spectrum with trivial $\Sigma_2$-action. The parametrized $\Sigma_2$-Tate construction of $E$ is given by
\[ E^{\Sigma_2,p} \simeq \lim_{\leftarrow}(\Sigma^{1,0} E \wedge R^\infty_{-k}). \]

We can now define the real motivic and $C_2$-equivariant analogs of the $E$-Mahowald invariant.

Definition 5.3. Let $E$ be a real motivic spectrum, and let $\alpha \in \pi_{s,t}(E^{\Sigma_2,p})$. The real motivic $E$-Mahowald invariant of $\alpha$ is the coset of completions of the following diagram
\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
S^{s,t} & \longrightarrow & \Sigma^{-2N+1,-N} E \vee \Sigma^{-2N+2,-N+1} E & \longrightarrow \\
\downarrow^\alpha & & \downarrow & \\
E^{\Sigma_2,p} & \longrightarrow & \Sigma^{1,0} E \wedge L^\infty_{-N} & \\
\end{array}
\]

where $N > 0$ is minimal so that the left-hand composition is nontrivial. The real motivic $E$-Mahowald invariant of $\alpha$ will be denoted $M_E^R(\alpha)$.

The $C_2$-equivariant analog is defined similarly.

Definition 5.4. Let $E$ be a $C_2$-equivariant spectrum, and let $\alpha \in \pi_{s,t}(E^{\Sigma_2,p})$. The $C_2$-equivariant $E$-Mahowald invariant of $\alpha$ is the coset of completions of the following diagram
\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
S^{s,t} & \longrightarrow & \Sigma^{-2N+1,-N} E \vee \Sigma^{-2N+2,-N+1} E & \longrightarrow \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
E^{\Sigma_2,p} & \longrightarrow & \Sigma^{1,0} E \wedge R^\infty_{-N} & \\
\end{array}
\]

where $N > 0$ is minimal so that the left-hand composition is nontrivial. The $C_2$-equivariant $E$-Mahowald invariant of $\alpha$ will be denoted $M_E^{C_2}(\alpha)$.

5.2. Real motivic $\Sigma_2$-Tate construction of $kq_R$. We need real motivic analogs of the following classical result. Analogous results for $kq_C$ and its exotic analog $wko_C$ were proven in [59, Section 3].

Theorem 5.5. [12] There is an equivalence of spectra
\[ ko^{\Sigma_2} \simeq \lim_{\leftarrow} \bigvee_{i \geq -n} \Sigma^{4i} H\mathbb{Z} \]

where $ko$ has the trivial $\Sigma_2$-action.

In the real motivic setting, we will take the very effective cover of hermitian K-theory studied in [4] as our model for $kq_R$ with trivial $\Sigma_2$-action. We thank Oliver Röndigs for pointing out the existence of this spectrum. In the $C_2$-equivariant setting, we will use the spectrum $ko_{C_2}$ discussed in [26], endowed with the trivial $\Sigma_2$-action. The homotopy groups of $kq_R$ and $ko_{C_2}$ were computed by Guillou-Hill-Isaksen-Ravenel in [20]. In order to compute the real motivic Tate construction of $kq_R$, we will use the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence arising from the cellular filtration of $\Sigma^{1,0} L^\infty_{-\infty}$.

Lemma 5.6. Let $E \in SH_R$ have trivial $\Sigma_2$-action. The Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence converging to $\pi_{s,t}(E^{\Sigma_2,p})$ has the form
\[ E^1_{s,t,u} = E_{t,u}(S^{s-\lfloor s/2 \rfloor}) \simeq E_{t-s,u-\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} \Rightarrow \pi_{t,u}(E^{\Sigma_2,p}). \]
In the grading with vertical axis s the filtration degree, horizontal axis t the stem, and into-the-page-axis motivic weight, the differentials in this spectral sequence change tridegree by \((-1, -r, 0)\).

Before analyzing the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence for \(kgl\), we demonstrate our methodology using the real motivic analog of \(ku\), denoted \(kgl\). The \(E_2\)-page of the real motivic Adams spectral sequence converging to the real motivic homotopy groups of \(BPGL(n)\) was computed by Hill in \([29]\). For \(n = 1\), we have \(BPGL(1) = kgl\), and this spectral sequence collapses for degree reasons. Thus \(\pi^R_{\ast\ast}(kgl)\) is given by the below Ext-groups.

**Theorem 5.7.** \([29]\) Corollary 3.3] There is an isomorphism

\[
\Ext_{E(1)}(\mathbb{M}^R, \mathbb{M}^R) \cong \mathbb{F}_2[\rho, \tau^4, v_i(j)|0 \leq i \leq 1, 0 \leq j|/ \sim
\]

where \(\sim\) consists of the relations

\[
\rho^{2^{i+1}} v_i(j) = 0,
\]

\[
v_i(j) \cdot v_k(l) = v_i(j + 2^{k-1}l) \cdot v_k(0) \quad \text{when} \quad i \leq k,
\]

\[
v_i(j) = \tau^4 v_i(j - 2^{1-i}) \quad \text{when} \quad j \geq 2^{1-i}.
\]

We will also need the \(\mathbb{R}\)-motivic coefficients of the \(\mathbb{R}\)-motivic integral Eilenberg-MacLane spectrum \(HZ\mathbb{R}\). For \(n = 0\), we have \(BPGL(0) = \mathbb{R}Z\), and this spectral sequence collapses for degree reasons. Thus \(\pi_{\ast\ast}(HZ\mathbb{R})\) is given by the below Ext-groups.

**Theorem 5.8.** \([29]\) Corollary 3.3] There is an isomorphism

\[
\Ext_{E(0)}(\mathbb{M}^R, \mathbb{M}^R) \cong \mathbb{F}_2[\rho, \tau^2, v_0(j)|i = 0, 0 \leq j|/ \sim
\]

where \(\sim\) consists of the relations

\[
\rho^2 - v_i(j) = 0,
\]

\[
v_i(j) \cdot v_k(l) = v_i(j + 2^{k-1}l) \cdot v_k(0) \quad \text{when} \quad i \leq k,
\]

\[
v_i(j) = \tau^4 v_i(j - 2^{1-i}) \quad \text{when} \quad j \geq 2^{1-i}.
\]

We can now prove the \(\mathbb{R}\)-motivic analog of the Davis-Mahowald splitting above.

**Proposition 5.9.** There is an isomorphism of real motivic homotopy groups

\[
\pi_{\ast\ast}(kgl_{\Sigma^2,p}) \cong \varprojlim_{i \geq -n} \pi_{\ast\ast}(\Sigma^{2i+1}HZ\mathbb{R}).
\]

**Proof.** The differentials in the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence correspond to the attaching maps in \(\Sigma^{1,0}L_{-n}^\infty\) which are detected by \(kgl\). These can be read off from the A-module structure of \(H^{**}(\Sigma^{1,0}L_{-n}^\infty)\) given in \([29]\) Theorems 4.1 and 6.10). In particular, \(kgl\) detects 2 and \(v_1\)-attaching maps, which are detected by \(Sq^1\) and \([Sq^2, Sq^1]\) in \(H^{**}(\Sigma^{1,0}L_{-n}^\infty)\).

First, we run the \(d_1\)-differentials. By the above discussion, wherever possible, there are \(d_1\)-differentials with source a copy of \(\mathbb{Z}\) in bidegree \((2k, t)\) and target a copy of \(\mathbb{Z}\) in bidegree \((2k - 1, t - 1)\). Since these differentials are \(\tau\)-linear and everything else in the \(E_1\)-page is in the kernel of multiplication by two, this gives the \(E_2\)-page. There are no \(d_2\)-differentials since the action of \(\eta\) on \(\pi_{\ast\ast}^R(kgl)\) is zero, so \(E_2 = E_3\).

Examination of the action of \(v_1\) on \(\pi_{\ast\ast}^R(kgl)\) shows that there must be \(d_3\)-differentials as follows:

1. \(d_3(\rho[2k + 1, k]) = \rho v_1[2k - 2, k - 1]\) and \(d_3(\rho^3[2k + 1, k]) = \rho^2 v_1[2k - 2, k - 1]\)
2. \(d_3(\rho v_1[2k + 1, k]) = \rho v_1^{i+1}[2k - 2, k - 1]\) and \(d_3(\rho^2 v_1[2k + 1, k]) = \rho^2 v_1^{i+1}[2k - 2, k - 1]\)

There can be no further differentials, so we conclude that \(E_4 = E_\infty\).

To solve extensions, we compare with the inverse limit real motivic Adams spectral sequence. There is an algebraic spectral sequence with filtration quotients \(E(1)/E(0)\) converging to

\[
\Ext_{E(1)}(H^{**}(\varprojlim_{i \geq -n} \Sigma^{1,0}L_{-n}^\infty), \mathbb{M}^R)
\]
(compare with the proof of [59, Proposition 3.3]). This collapses to give the $E_2$-page of the Adams spectral sequence converging to $\pi_{**}(kgl_{R}^{\Sigma_2 \cdot p})$. This Adams spectral sequence collapses for tridegree reasons. Comparing this with the $E_{\infty}$-page of the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence above, we see that there must be hidden $v_0$-extensions as depicted in the figure.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure1.png}
\caption{The $E_1$-page of the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence for $kgl_{R}^{\Sigma_2 \cdot p}$}
\end{figure}

In Figures 1-3 the horizontal axis is the stem and the vertical axis is the filtration. A $\Box$ represents $\mathbb{Z}[\tau]$; each $\Box$ is decorated with a superscript and a subscript. A subscript indicates that the class $x$ in that tridegree has motivic weight $m$. A superscript indicates that the class $x$ detected in that tridegree satisfies $\rho^i x \neq 0$ for $i \leq n$ and $\rho^{n+1} x = 0$.

From this computation, we can immediately read off the real motivic $kgl_{R}$-Mahowald invariants of $v_0^i$.

**Corollary 5.10.** The real motivic $BPGL^R(1)$-Mahowald invariant of $v_0^i$ is given by $M_{BPGL^R(1)}^{R}(v_0^i) = v_1^i$.

We now compute the homotopy groups of the real motivic $\Sigma_2$-Tate construction of $kq_{R}$. First, we recall the real motivic homotopy groups of $kq_{R}$. These were computed by Hill in [29].

**Theorem 5.11.** The real motivic homotopy groups $\pi_{**}(kq_{R})$ are generated by elements $\rho$, $h_0$, $h_1$, $\tau h_1$, $\tau^2 h_0$, $a$, $\tau^2 a$, $b$, and $\tau^4$; these generators have bidegrees $|\rho| = (-1, -1)$, $|h_0| = (0, 0)$, $|h_1| = (1, 1)$, $|\tau h_1| = (1, 0)$, $|\tau^2 h_0| = (0, -2)$, $|a| = (4, 2)$, $|\tau^2 a| = (4, 0)$, $|b| = (8, 4)$, and $|\tau^4| = (0, -4)$. These generators satisfy twenty-two relations, given in [26, Table 2].

Normally, we would like to represent the real motivic homotopy groups of $kq_{R}$ using an Adams chart. However, in the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence we must concentrate all of the generators and relations into one filtration. We do so using Figure 4. Only a few additive relations are not encoded, but we will keep them in mind as we compute.
Figure 2. The $E_2 = E_3$-page of the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence for $kgl^{t\Sigma_2}$

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
4 & & & & & 0 \\
2 & & & & & 1 \\
0 & & & & & 0 \\
-2 & & & & & 1 \\
-4 & & & & & 0 \\
-4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & 4 & 4 \\
\end{array}
\]

Figure 3. The $E_4 = E_\infty$-page of the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence for $kgl^{t\Sigma_2}$

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
4 & & & & & 0 \\
2 & & & & & 1 \\
0 & & & & & 0 \\
-2 & & & & & 1 \\
-4 & & & & & 0 \\
-4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & 4 & 4 \\
\end{array}
\]

In Figure 4 our conventions are as follows. Everything supports $\tau^4$-towers unless otherwise indicated. The right-hand subscript is motivic weight. The right-hand superscript is the number of $\rho$-multiples that element supports. The left-hand subscript of a $\bullet$ is number of $(2 + \rho\eta)$-multiples.
that element supports; zero is suppressed. If two elements are related by $\rho$-multiplication, this is indicated by matching left-hand superscripts.

**Proposition 5.12.** There is an isomorphism

$$\pi_{*+}(kq_R^{\Sigma^2, p}) \cong \lim_{i \geq -n} \Sigma^{kq, i} \mathbb{R}.\pi_{*, j}.$$ 

**Proof.** We will use the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence as in the previous example. Our notation is as in the figure. The $E_1$-page, $E_2$-page, $E_3$-page, and $E_4 = E_\infty$-page are depicted in the Appendix. The $d_1$-differentials are as follows, where $k \in \mathbb{Z}$:

1. $d_1((2 + \rho)(2 + \rho)[2k + 1, 1]) = (2 + \rho)[2k + 1, 1]$ for $i \geq 0$ and $d_1((2 + \rho)[2k + 1, 1]) = (2 + \rho)[2k + 1, 1]$ for $i = 0$.
2. $d_2((2 + \rho)[2k + 1, 1]) = (2 + \rho)[2k + 1, 1]$ and $d_1((2 + \rho)[2k + 1, 1]) = (2 + \rho)[2k + 1, 1]$ for $i = 0$.
3. $d_1((2 + \rho)[2k + 1, 1]) = (2 + \rho)[2k + 1, 1]$ for $i = 0$.
4. $d_1((2 + \rho)[2k + 1, 1]) = (2 + \rho)[2k + 1, 1]$ for $i = 0$.
5. $d_2((2 + \rho)[2k + 1, 1]) = (2 + \rho)[2k + 1, 1]$ for $i = 0$.
6. $d_3((2 + \rho)[2k + 1, 1]) = (2 + \rho)[2k + 1, 1]$ for $i = 0$.
7. $d_4((2 + \rho)[2k + 1, 1]) = (2 + \rho)[2k + 1, 1]$ for $i = 0$.
8. $d_5((2 + \rho)[2k + 1, 1]) = (2 + \rho)[2k + 1, 1]$ for $i = 0$.
9. $d_6((2 + \rho)[2k + 1, 1]) = (2 + \rho)[2k + 1, 1]$ for $i = 0$.
10. $d_7((2 + \rho)[2k + 1, 1]) = (2 + \rho)[2k + 1, 1]$ for $i = 0$.

The $d_2$-differentials are as follows, where $k \in \mathbb{Z}$:

1. $d_2((2 + \rho)[2k + 1, 1]) = (2 + \rho)[2k + 1, 1]$ for $i = 0$.
2. $d_2((2 + \rho)[2k + 1, 1]) = (2 + \rho)[2k + 1, 1]$ for $i = 0$.
3. $d_2((2 + \rho)[2k + 1, 1]) = (2 + \rho)[2k + 1, 1]$ for $i = 0$.
4. $d_2((2 + \rho)[2k + 1, 1]) = (2 + \rho)[2k + 1, 1]$ for $i = 0$.
5. $d_2((2 + \rho)[2k + 1, 1]) = (2 + \rho)[2k + 1, 1]$ for $i = 0$.
6. $d_2((2 + \rho)[2k + 1, 1]) = (2 + \rho)[2k + 1, 1]$ for $i = 0$.
7. $d_2((2 + \rho)[2k + 1, 1]) = (2 + \rho)[2k + 1, 1]$ for $i = 0$.
8. $d_2((2 + \rho)[2k + 1, 1]) = (2 + \rho)[2k + 1, 1]$ for $i = 0$.
9. $d_2((2 + \rho)[2k + 1, 1]) = (2 + \rho)[2k + 1, 1]$ for $i = 0$.
10. $d_2((2 + \rho)[2k + 1, 1]) = (2 + \rho)[2k + 1, 1]$ for $i = 0$.

The $d_3$-differentials are as follows, where $k \in \mathbb{Z}$:

1. The following are implied by the relation $\tau h_1 \in \langle \rho, h_0, h_1 \rangle$:
   a. $d_3((\rho)[3, 1]) = \rho^{-1}[\tau h_1, h_0]$ for $i = 0, 1$.
   b. $d_3((\tau h_1)[1, 0]) = (\tau h_1)^{-1}[\tau h_1, h_0]$ for $i = 0, 1$.
2. The following is implied by the relation $a \in \langle \tau h_1, h_0, h_1 \rangle$:
   a. $d_3((\tau h_1)[1, 0]) = \rho(-2, -1)$.

To solve extensions, we compare with the inverse limit real motivic Adams spectral sequence. The $E_2$-page of this spectral sequence is given by

$$E_2 \cong \lim_{\cdots} \mathrm{Ext}_{A}^{*}(H^{*+}\Sigma^{1,0}L_{-\infty} \wedge kq_{R}, M_{R})$$

which we can rewrite by change-of-rings as

$$E_2 \cong \lim_{\cdots} \mathrm{Ext}_{A}^{*}(H^{*+}\Sigma^{1,0}L_{-\infty}, M_{R}).$$

The analysis of the action of $A_{R}^{1}(1)$ on $H^{*+}(\Sigma^{1,0}L_{-\infty})$ is identical to the analysis in the complex motivic case given in the proof of [59] Proposition 3.3. In particular, one sees that both $Sq^1$ and
$Sq^2$ act trivially on cells of topological dimension congruent to zero mod four. The same spectral sequence arising from the filtration with associated graded consisting of suspensions of $A^R(1)\!/A^R(0)$ collapses to show that

$$\pi_\ast((kq_0^\Sigma_2)^p) \cong \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \pi_\ast((\Sigma^{4i}2^i)H\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{R}}).$$

Using the above analysis, we obtain the following $kq$-based approximation to $M^R((2 + \rho\eta)^i)$.

**Proposition 5.13.** For any $i \geq 0$, we have

$$M^R_{kq_0}((2 + \rho\eta)^{4i+j}) \ni \begin{cases} b^j & j = 0, \\ \eta b^j & j = 1, \\ \tau \eta^2 b^j & j = 2, \\ ab^j & j = 3. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* The proof is identical to the proof of [59, Proposition 4.1]. The point is that regardless of if one works in the real or complex motivic setting, $(2 + \rho\eta)^i$ is detected on the $(0,0)$ cell of $\Sigma^{1.0}_{\infty}$ in the same filtration. This can be seen by comparing the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence computations from the previous section to those of [59, Section 3]. \qed

### 5.3. The parametrized $\Sigma_2$-Tate construction of $kq_{C^2}$.

In this subsection we will use the notation

$$Ext_{AC} := Ext_{AC}(M^C, M^C)$$

where $C = \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{R}, C_2$. Let $NC$ be the negative cone in $M^C_{C^2}$ as defined in [26, Section 2.1], i.e.

$$NC = \bigoplus_{s \geq 1} F_2[\tau] \left\{ \theta \right\}_{\tau^s}$$

where $|\theta| = (0,2)$. We will also use the notation

$$Ext_{NC} := Ext_{NC}(M^C_{C^2}, M^C_{C^2}).$$

Recall the following decomposition of $Ext_{AC}^\tau$.

**Proposition 5.14.** [26, Proposition 2.2] The algebra $Ext_{AC}^{\tau}$ is a square-zero extension of $Ext_{AC}$. In particular,

$$Ext_{AC}^{\tau} \cong Ext_{AC} \oplus Ext_{NC}$$

as $Ext_{AC}$-modules. Further, this decomposition holds if we replace $AC^2$ by $AC^2(n)$ and $A^R$ by $A^R(n)$.

The algebras $Ext_{AC}$ and $Ext_{AC}^\tau$ can be computed from the algebra $Ext_{AC}$ using the $\rho$-Bockstein spectral sequence [26, Section 3]

$$E_1 = Ext_{AC}[\rho] \Rightarrow Ext_{AC}$$

where $C = \mathbb{R}, C_2$. In the $C_2$-equivariant case, the $\rho$-Bockstein spectral sequence splits to give

$$E_1 = E_1^- \oplus E_1^+ = E_1^- \oplus Ext_{AC}[\rho] \Rightarrow Ext_{AC} \oplus Ext_{AC} \cong Ext_{AC}^{\tau}.$$

The piece $E_1^-$ can be computed using [26, Remark 3.6] which says that copies of $M^C$ in $Ext_C$ give rise to copies of the negative cone in $E_1^-$ and copies of $M^C/\tau$ gives rise to infinitely $\rho$-divisible shifted copies of $M^C/\tau$.

Since we will need to know $\pi_{\ast}^{C^2}(H\mathbb{Z})$ later, we demonstrate this machinery to compute the $E_2$-page of the $C_2$-equivariant Adams spectral sequence converging to $\pi_{\ast}^{C^2}(H\mathbb{Z})$

$$Ext_{AC^2(n)}(M^C_{C^2}, M^C_{C^2}) \Rightarrow \pi_{\ast}^{C^2}(H\mathbb{Z}).$$

This Adams spectral sequence collapses, so the $\rho$-Bockstein spectral sequence actually outputs the desired homotopy groups.
Lemma 5.15. There is an isomorphism
\[ \pi_{\ast \ast}^{C_2}(HZ) \cong \pi_{\ast \ast}^{R}(HZ_R) \oplus F_2 \left\{ \frac{\theta}{\rho^2k + 1} \right\}_{k \geq 0}. \]

Proof. By the previous remarks, it suffices to show that the \( \rho \)-Bockstein spectral sequence restricted to the negative cone \( E_1^- \) converges to \( F_2\left\{ \frac{\theta}{\rho^2k + 1} \right\}_{k \geq 0} \). Since \( Ext_{A^C(0)} \) is \( \tau \)-free, the \( E_1^- \) page is given by
\[ E_1^- = NC \otimes Ext_{A^C(0)}/\tau \cong NC \otimes P(h_0). \]
There are infinitely \( \rho \)-divisible differentials
\[ d_1 \left( \frac{\theta}{\rho^2k + 1} \right) = \frac{\theta}{\rho^2k + 2}h_0, \]
for \( k \geq 0 \) which can be read off from the \( A^{C_2} \)-module structure of \( NC \). Further, \( d_1(h_0) = 0 \), so the differentials above are \( h_0 \)-linear. After running these differentials, there is no more room for differentials and we obtain the desired isomorphism. \( \square \)

The same technique can be applied to compute \( \pi_{\ast \ast}^{C_2}(BP\mathbb{R}(1)) \).

Lemma 5.16. There is an isomorphism
\[ \pi_{\ast \ast}^{C_2}(BP\mathbb{R}(1)) \cong \pi_{\ast \ast}^{R}(BP\mathbb{R}(1)) \oplus \left( P(v_1) \otimes F_2\left\{ \frac{\theta}{\rho^2k + 1} \right\}_{k \geq 0} \right). \]

Proof. Recall that \( H_{\ast \ast}^{C_2}(BP\mathbb{R}(1)) \cong A^{C_2}//E^{C_2}(1) \). By change-of-rings, the \( E_2 \)-page of the \( C_2 \)-equivariant Adams spectral sequence converging to \( \pi_{\ast \ast}^{C_2}(BP\mathbb{R}(1)) \) is isomorphic to \( Ext_{E_{2}^{C_2}(1)} \). Therefore, we need to analyze the \( \rho \)-Bockstein spectral sequence converging to this algebra. As above, \( E_1^{+} \) is converging to \( Ext_{E_{1}^{C_2}(1)} \) which is the \( E_2 \)-page of the \( \mathbb{R} \)-motivic Adams spectral sequence converging to \( \pi_{\ast \ast}^{R}(BP\mathbb{R}(1)) \).

We now analyze \( E_1^- \). Since there is no \( \tau \)-torsion in \( Ext_{E_{1}^{C_2}(1)} \), we have
\[ E_1^- \cong NC \otimes Ext_{E_{1}^{C_2}(1)}/\tau \cong NC \otimes P(v_1, h_0). \]
We have the same \( d_1 \)-differentials as in the previous proof, so we obtain
\[ E_2^- = P(v_1) \otimes F_2\left\{ \frac{\theta}{\rho^2k + 1} \right\}_{k \geq 0}. \]
There is no more room for differentials, so we obtain the desired isomorphism. \( \square \)

The computation of \( \pi_{\ast \ast}^{C_2}(ko_{C_2}) \) is substantially more difficult and is the subject of [29]. It turns out that the \( C_2 \)-equivariant Adams spectral sequence computing these groups collapses at \( E_2 \), so one has
\[ \pi_{\ast \ast}^{C_2}(ko_{C_2}) \cong \pi_{\ast \ast}(ko_R) \oplus Ext_{NC(1)}. \]

We now want to use the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence to compute \( \pi_{\ast \ast}^{C_2}(BP\mathbb{R}(1)\Sigma^2, p) \) and \( \pi_{\ast \ast}(ko_{C_2}^{\Sigma^2, p}) \). This Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence is just the parametrized Tate spectral sequence discussed in Subsection 2.4 when \( G = C_2 \), and \( \Sigma = \Sigma_2 \) acts trivially. It has the same form as in the real motivic case.

We can use the decompositions of the above \( C_2 \)-equivariant homotopy groups into \( \mathbb{R} \)-motivic and negative cone pieces to simplify these computations. In particular, each row of the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence decomposes into a real motivic and a negative cone part. If we know that there are no differentials between these two pieces of the spectral sequence, then we can analyze the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence for each piece separately.

Lemma 5.17. In the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequences converging to \( \pi_{\ast \ast}^{C_2}(ko_{C_2}^{\Sigma^2, p}) \) and \( \pi_{\ast \ast}^{C_2}(BP\mathbb{R}(1)\Sigma^2, p) \), there are no differentials between the real motivic part and the negative cone part.
Proof. There are no multiplicative relations or Massey products in $Ext_{\mathcal{A}_C^2(1)}$ of purely real motivic classes which contain classes in the negative cone by [20 Table 5]. Therefore there are no differentials in the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence between the two summands. □

In view of this splitting, we will use the convention $E_r = E_r^+ \oplus E_r^-$ for the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence, where $E_r^+$ is the real motivic part and $E_r^-$ is the negative cone part. We have already analyzed the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequences for $BPGL_\mathbb{R}(1)$ and $kq_\mathbb{R}$ in the previous subsection, i.e. we already understand $E_r^+$. Therefore we only need to analyze $E_r^-$ to determine $\pi_{**}^c(BPR(1))$ and $\pi_{**}^c(ko_{C_2})$. We begin by computing $\pi_{**}^c(BPR(1)\langle \Sigma_2, p \rangle)$.

Proposition 5.18. There is an isomorphism of $C_2$-equivariant homotopy groups

$$\pi_{**}^c(BPR(1)\langle \Sigma_2, p \rangle) \cong \lim_{\leftarrow} \pi_{**}(\bigvee_{i \geq -n} \Sigma^{2i,i} H\mathbb{Z}).$$

Proof. By Lemma 5.17 it suffices to analyze $E_r^+$ and $E_r^-$ separately. We know that $E_r^+$ converges to

$$\pi_{*+}^R(BPGL(1)\langle \Sigma_2, p \rangle) \cong \lim \pi_{*+}^R(\bigvee_{i \geq -n} \Sigma^{2i,i} H\mathbb{Z}^R).$$

by Proposition 5.9. Therefore we just need to analyze the negative cone part

$$E_1^- = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \Sigma^{i,-|i|/2} \left( P(v_1) \otimes F_2\left( \frac{\theta}{\rho^{2k+1}} \right) \right).$$

Each class is $h_0$-torsion, so there are no $d_1$-differentials. Further, there are no $d_2$-differentials for degree reasons. There is an infinitely $\rho$-divisible, $v_1$-linear $d_3$-differential

$$d_3\left( \frac{\theta}{\rho^{2k+1}} \right) = v_1 \frac{\theta}{\rho^{2k+1}}$$

which follows from the Massey product

$$\langle h_1, h_0, x \rangle = v_1 x.$$ 

There is no more room for differentials, so we obtain

$$E_\infty^- = E_\infty^- = \lim \bigoplus_{i \geq -n} \Sigma^{2i,i} R_2 \left( \frac{\theta}{\rho^{2k+1}} \right).$$

Comparison with the inverse limit $C_2$-equivariant Adams spectral sequence solves the extension problems as in the proof of Proposition 5.9. □

Remark 5.19. In the classical setting, Ando-Morava-Sadofsky [5] used complex orientations to show that there is a splitting

$$\pi_*(BP(n)\langle \Sigma_2, p \rangle) \simeq BP(n-1)^{p_*(x)}(x)$$

with $|x| = 2$. In forthcoming work with Guchuan Li and Vitaly Lorman, we generalize this splitting to the $C_2$-equivariant setting using the theory of Real orientations; in particular, we show that

$$\pi_*(BPR(n)\langle \Sigma_2, p \rangle) \simeq BPR(n-1)^{p_*(x)}(x)$$

with $|x| = \rho$.

A starting point for generalizing this result to the motivic setting would be establishing that for complex-oriented motivic spectra $E$ with trivial $\Sigma_2$-action, one has

$$\pi_{*,*}(E^{\Sigma_2,p}) \cong E^{**}(x)/(p(x)).$$

This would follow from a motivic analog of Theorem 2.40 in the same way that the classical result [22 Cor. 16.3] follows from [22 Thm. 16.1].
The analogous computation for $ko\Sigma^2_{C^2}$ turns out to be very involved. For the purpose of computing the $ko\Sigma^2_{C^2}$-based $C_2$-equivariant Mahowald invariant, it turns out that we do not need to fully analyze this Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence. The following proposition says that $(2 + \rho \eta)^i$ can only be detected in the real motivic part of the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence.

**Proposition 5.20.** In the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequences converging to $\pi^C_*(ko\Sigma^2_{C^2})$, the class $(2 + \rho \eta)^i$ is detected in the same filtration degree as in the $\mathbb{R}$-motivic Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence converging to $\pi^\mathbb{R}_*(ko\Sigma^2_{C^2})$.

**Proof.** By our previous remarks, the $\mathbb{R}$-motivic Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence sits inside of the $C_2$-equivariant Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence. Since equivariant Betti realization preserves $A$-module structure and $Sq^1$ detects multiplication by 2 in both the $\mathbb{R}$-motivic and $C_2$-equivariant settings, we see that $(2 + \rho \eta)^i$ is detected in the $C_2$-equivariant spectral sequence in filtration at least the filtration it is detected in the $\mathbb{R}$-motivic spectral sequence (note that everything is in a negative filtration degree, so “at least” means it might be detected on a higher dimensional cell).

On the other hand, we can rule out the possibility of $(2 + \rho \eta)^i$ being detected in a higher (i.e. less negative) filtration degree by checking bidegrees. The class $(2 + \rho \eta)^i$ lies in $\pi^C_{i,0}(ko\Sigma^2_{C^2})$, so the class detecting $(2 + \rho \eta)^i$ in the $C_2$-equivariant Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence will have tridegree $(s, s, 0)$. Note that $E^{-1}_1$ is generated (including division by $\rho$) over $\pi^{\mathbb{R}}_*(ko\mathbb{R})$ by the classes

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\theta}{\rho^2 k} \\
\frac{Q}{\rho} \eta \theta
\end{array}$$

where $k \geq 0$ by [20 Table 6]. Since these classes have bidegrees $(0, k+1)$ and $(4, 4)$, respectively, we see that nothing in $E^{-1}_1$ has the correct combination of topological degree and motivic weight to detect $(2 + \rho \eta)^i$. \hfill \Box

Using the above analysis, we may compute the $ko\Sigma^2_{C^2}$-based approximation to $M^{C_2}((2 + \rho \eta)^i)$. The key point is that the $\mathbb{C}$-motivic computations do not actually depend on knowing the $\mathbb{C}$-motivic Davis-Mahowald splitting for $\pi^\mathbb{C}_*(ko\Sigma^2_{C^2})$. In fact, one only needs to use which cell of $L^{-\infty}_{\mathbb{C}}$ the class $(2 + \rho \eta)^i$ is detected on in the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence, and this is precisely what we computed in Proposition 5.20. Therefore the $\mathbb{C}$-motivic computation of the $ko\mathbb{C}$-based $\mathbb{C}$-motivic Mahowald invariant of $2^i$ works in the $C_2$-equivariant setting to prove the following.

**Proposition 5.21.** For any $i \geq 0$, we have

$$M^{C_2}_{ko\Sigma^2}((2 + \rho \eta)^{4i+j}) \cong \begin{cases} b^i & j = 0, \\
\eta b^i & j = 1, \\
\tau \eta^2 b^i & j = 2, \\
ab^i & j = 3. \end{cases}$$

6. $v_1$-periodicity over $\mathbb{R}$ and generalized Mahowald invariants of $(2 + \rho \eta)^i$

In this section, we study $v_1$-periodicity over $\mathbb{R}$, lift the $ko$-based computations of Section 5 to compute $M^{\mathbb{R}}((2 + \rho \eta)^i)$ for $i \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}$, and compute $M^{C_2}(\mathbb{C})((2 + \rho \eta)^i)$ for $i \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}$. This section benefited greatly from discussions with Jonas Irgens Kylling; we are especially thankful for his suggestion to use the cobar complex and matric Massey products in order to produce certain $v_1$-periodic families.

6.1. The $\mathbb{R}$-motivic May spectral sequence. We begin by defining the $\mathbb{R}$-motivic May spectral sequence following the definition of the $\mathbb{C}$-motivic May spectral sequence in [14 Sec. 5] and the classical May spectral sequence in [11 Sec. 3.1].

Recall that $Ext^{*\ast}_{A^{**}_*}(M_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathbb{R}}, M_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathbb{R}})$ may be computed as the cohomology of the cobar complex

$$\cdots \to M_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathbb{R}}(A^{\mathbb{R}})^{\vee} \otimes M_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathbb{C}}(A^{\mathbb{R}})^{\vee} \to \cdots$$
as described in [13, pg. 6]. The \( \mathbb{R} \)-motivic May spectral sequence is obtained by filtering the cobar complex as follows. For \( i \geq 1, j \geq 0 \), define

\[
h_{i,j} := \begin{cases} 
\tau_{i-1} & \text{if } j = 0, \\
\xi_i^{2^j-1} & \text{if } j > 0.
\end{cases}
\]

Any monomial in \((A^R)^\vee\) may be expressed uniquely as a product of powers of \( h_{i,j}, \rho \), and \( \tau \). Define a grading by setting \( |h_{i,j}| = 2i - 1, |\tau| = 0, |\rho| = -1 \), and extending linearly. Let \( F_i((A^R)^\vee) \subseteq (A^R)^\vee \) be the sub-comodule consisting of elements of degree less than or equal to \( i \). This gives rise to a filtration of the cobar complex by setting

\[
F_i(C^k) = \bigoplus_{i_1 + \ldots + i_k = i} F_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes F_{i_k}.
\]

We will refer to the resulting spectral sequence as the \( \mathbb{R} \)-motivic May spectral sequence

\[
E_1^{a,b,c}(\mathbb{R}) \Rightarrow \Ext^{a,b,c}_R(\mathcal{M}_2^R, \mathcal{M}_2^R).
\]

Remark 6.1. The grading \( |\rho| = -1 \) is chosen to make the filtration multiplicative while still compatible with the Hopf algebra structure maps. For example, the pairing

\[
\mu : F_1(C^\bullet) \otimes F_1(C^\bullet) \to F_2(C^\bullet)
\]

given on elements of \( F_1(C^1) \) by \([x] \otimes [y] \mapsto [xy]\) must be compatible with the relation

\[
\tau_i^2 = \tau \xi_{i+1} + \rho \tau_{i+1} + \rho \tau_0 \xi_{i+1}
\]

in the \( \mathbb{R} \)-motivic Steenrod algebra. In particular, we have

\[
\mu([\tau_0] \otimes [\tau_0]) = [\tau_0^2] = [\tau \xi_1] + [\rho \tau_1] + [\rho \tau_0 \xi_1] = \tau h_{11} + \rho h_{20} + \rho h_{10} h_{11}.
\]

The degrees of the summands on the right-hand side are given by \( |\tau| + 1, |\rho| + 3, \) and \( |\rho| + 2 \). Taking \( |\rho| = -1 \) then places \( \mu([\tau_0], [\tau_0]) \) in a degree less than or equal to 2.

Now, we could choose \( |\rho| = -n \) for \( n > 1 \), but this choice gives a filtration which is not compatible with the Hopf algebra structure maps. In particular, we have

\[
\eta_{h_0}(\tau) = \tau + \rho \tau_0.
\]

The degree of \( \tau \) is zero, so the degree of \( \rho \tau_0 \) must be zero; since \( |\tau_0| = 1 \), we must take \( |\rho| = -1 \).

In [13, Sec. 5], Dugger and Isaksen identify the \( E_1 \)-page of the \( \mathbb{C} \)-motivic May spectral sequence, denoted \( E_1(\mathbb{C}) \), with the \( E_1 \)-page of the classical May spectral sequence base-changed along the inclusion \( F_2 \hookrightarrow F_2[\tau] \cong \mathcal{M}_2^\mathbb{C} \). In the \( \mathbb{R} \)-motivic setting, an identification in terms of the classical May spectral sequence is not straightforward. In particular, the \( \mathbb{R} \)-motivic analog of [13, Lem. 5.1] is complicated by the presence of \( \rho \) in the Adem relations over \( Spec(\mathbb{R}) \). Nevertheless, we may identify the \( E_1 \)-page in a range via the following observation.

Lemma 6.2. The generator \( \rho \) is primitive and exterior. Also, for all \( i \geq 1 \) and \( j \geq 0 \), the generator \( h_{ij} \) is primitive in the associated graded algebra. Moreover, the generator \( h_{ij} \) squares to zero unless \( i = 1 \) and \( j = 0 \), in which case we have \( h_{10}^2 = \rho h_{20} \).

Proof. Primitivity follows from inspection of the coproduct in \((A^R)^\vee\). For the second claim, if \( j > 0 \) then we have

\[
h_{ij}^2 = [\xi_i^{2^j-1}] \cdot [\xi_i^{2^j-1}] = [\xi_i^{2^j}] = h_{ij+1}.
\]

The degree of the left-hand side is \( 2(2i - 1) = 4i - 2 \) and the degree of the right-hand side is \( 2i - 1 \). Since \( 4i - 2 \geq 2i - 1 \) for all \( i \geq 1 \), the claim holds in this case. If \( j = 0 \), then we have

\[
h_{i0}^2 = [\tau_{i-1}] \cdot [\tau_{i-1}] = [\tau \xi_i] + [\rho \tau_i] + [\rho \tau_0 \xi_i] = \tau h_{11} + \rho h_{11,0} h_{11,0}.
\]

The degree of the left-hand side is \( 2(2i - 1) = 4i - 2 \) and the degrees of the terms on the right-hand side (from left to right) are \( 2i - 1, 2(i+1) - 1 - 1 = 2i, \) and \( 1 + 2i - 1 - 1 = 2i - 1 \). When \( i \geq 2 \), the left-hand degree is strictly greater than each right-hand degree, but when \( i = 1 \), the degree of \( [\rho \tau_i] \)
Finaly, $\rho$ is exterior since the degree of $\rho^2$ is $-2 < -1$. \hfill \Box

One may be able to compute the $E_1$-page of the $\mathbb{R}$-motivic May spectral sequence via the Cartan-Eilenberg spectral sequence associated to the extension

$$\Phi \rightarrow gr_*((A^\mathbb{R})^\vee) \rightarrow E_{2i,j}(h_{ij} : i \geq 1, j \geq 0, \text{ and } (i,j) \notin \{(1,0),(2,0)\})$$

where

$$\Phi = \mathbb{F}_2[\rho, \tau_0, \tau_1]/(\rho^2 = 0, \tau_1^2 = 0, \tau_0 = \rho \tau_1).$$

The $Ext$-groups over the right-hand side are isomorphic to a polynomial algebra over $\mathbb{F}_2[\tau]$ by [14], but the $Ext$-groups over the left-hand side $Ext_{\Phi}(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2)$ are more mysterious. We will not make this computation in this section but plan to in future work.

Although we will not identify $E_1(\mathbb{R})$ explicitly, we observe that quotienting by $\rho$ gives rise to a map of spectral sequences $E_\nu(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow E_\nu(\mathbb{C})$. Moreover, we may calculate the $E_1$-page of the $\mathbb{R}$-motivic May spectral sequence via a $\rho$-Bockstein spectral sequence of the form

$$E_1 = E_1^{n(a,b,c)}(\mathbb{C})[\rho] \Rightarrow E_1^{n(a,b,c)}(\mathbb{R}).$$

We do not need to analyze the $\mathbb{R}$-motivic May spectral sequence extensively here; in fact, we only need the following lemma in the sequel.

**Lemma 6.3.** There is an $\mathbb{R}$-motivic May differential $d_4(b_{20}^2) = h_3 h_0^4 + c_0 h_1^2 \rho^3$.

**Proof.** The classes $h_3 h_0^4$ and $h_3 h_1^4$ are not $\rho$-divisible, so their images under the quotient map $E_1(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow E_1(\mathbb{C})$ are the (nontrivial) classes with the same name. Since $b_{20}^2$ and $h_3 h_0^4$ both survive to the $E_4$-page of the $\mathbb{C}$-motivic May spectral sequence, the same holds in the $\mathbb{R}$-motivic May spectral sequence. Furthermore, there is a $\mathbb{C}$-motivic May differential $d_4(b_{20}^2) = h_3 h_0^4$ by [14, Sec. 5.3]. Examining [13, Fig. 3, MW=3], we see that there is a relation $h_3 h_0^4 = c_0 h_1^2 \rho^3$. The only way of achieving this relation in $Ext_{\mathbb{A}^4}^{n}(M_{2,1}^{\mathbb{R}}, M_{2,1}^{\mathbb{R}})$ which is compatible with the $\mathbb{C}$-motivic May differential is for there to be an $\mathbb{R}$-motivic May differential $d_4(b_{20}^2) = h_3 h_0^4 + c_0 h_1^2 \rho^3$. \hfill \Box

### 6.2. Some $v_1$-periodic classes in $\pi_*^{\mathbb{R}}(S^{0,0})$ and $\pi_*^{\mathbb{C}}(S^{0,0})$

Recall from [59] that we defined a periodicity operator $P(-)$ on the $h_0^4$-torsion in $Ext_{\mathbb{A}^4}^{\mathbb{R}}(M_{2,1}^{\mathbb{R}}, M_{2,1}^{\mathbb{R}})$ by setting

$$P(x):=\langle h_3, h_0^4, x \rangle.$$

We will denote this $\mathbb{C}$-motivic periodicity operator by $P_C(-)$ in this section.

Examination of [13, Fig. 3] reveals that $h_3 h_1^4 \neq 0$ in $Ext_{\mathbb{A}^4}^{\mathbb{R}}(M_{2,1}^{\mathbb{R}}, M_{2,1}^{\mathbb{R}})$, so the same definition is not possible in the $\mathbb{R}$-motivic setting. However, note that the definition of $P_C(-)$ relied on the $\mathbb{C}$-motivic May differential $d_4(b_{20}^2) = h_3 h_0^4$. We observed in Lemma 6.3 that there is an $\mathbb{R}$-motivic May differential $d_4(b_{20}^2) = h_3 h_0^4 + c_0 h_1^2 \rho^3$. This motivates the following definition.

**Definition 6.4.** We define the $\mathbb{R}$-motivic periodicity operator $P_R(-)$ on the elements $x \in Ext_{\mathbb{A}^4}^{\mathbb{R}}(M_{2,1}^{\mathbb{R}}, M_{2,1}^{\mathbb{R}})$ which are both $h_0^4$-torsion and $c_0$-torsion as the matric Massey product

$$P_R(x):=\left\langle h_3, \rho^3 h_1^2, \frac{h_0^4}{c_0}, x \right\rangle.$$

**Lemma 6.5.** The map $p: Ext_{\mathbb{A}^4}^{\mathbb{R}}(M_{2,1}^{\mathbb{R}}, M_{2,1}^{\mathbb{R}}) \rightarrow Ext_{\mathbb{A}^4}^{\mathbb{C}}(M_{2,1}^{\mathbb{C}}, M_{2,1}^{\mathbb{C}})$ defined by quotienting by $\rho$ sends $P_R(x)$ to $P_C(p(x))$.

**Proof.** Recall from [30, Thm. 2.2.2] (or [51] classically) that the value of a matric Massey product $\left\langle \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} , e \right\rangle$ is given by $a B + b C + Ae$ where $A, B,$ and $C$ are defined by the May differentials $d_s(A) = ac + bd$, $d_s(B) = ce$, and $d_s(C) = de$ for some $r, s, t \geq 1$. Therefore the value of $P_R(x)$ is given by $b_{20}^2 x + B h_3 x + C \rho^3 h_1^2$, where $d_s(B) = h_3 x$ and $d_s(C) = c_0 x$. Therefore we have $p(P_R(x)) = b_{20}^2 p(x)$.
where $\rho$. By compatibility of $\mathbb{R}$- and $\mathbb{C}$-motivic May differentials for classes which are not $\rho$-divisible, there is a $\mathbb{C}$-motivic May differential $d_2(p(B)) = p(ce) = p(c)p(e)$. Therefore

$$p(P_h(x)) = b_{20}p(x) + p(B)h_3 = (h_3, h_0^3, p(x)) = P_C(p(x)).$$

\[ \Box \]

Examination of [13 Fig. 3] reveals that both $\tau h_1^2$ and $\tau h_1^3$ are $h_0^3$- and $c_0$-torsion.

**Corollary 6.6.** The iterated Massey products $P^R_h(\tau h_1^2)$ and $P^R_h(\tau h_1^3)$ are nontrivial for all $i \geq 0$. Moreover, their images under $p$ are $P^C_h(\tau h_1^2)$ and $P^C_h(\tau h_1^3)$, respectively.

**Proof.** The value of $P^R_h(\tau h_1^2)$ can be computed as follows. We have $d_1(h_0^3 h_3 \tau(h_3)) = h_0^3 h_2 h_3$. Let $x = h_3 h_0^3 + h_2 h_0^3$ following [62 pg. 9] so that $d_2(x\tau) = h_0^3 h_2 h_3$. We can compute $d_2(b_{20}) = h_2 h_0^3 + \tau h_1^3 h_3 + h_0^3 \rho h_3$, so we have $d_2(b_{20} x \tau) = x \tau h_1^3 = \tau c_0 h_1^3$. Therefore we have $d_2(b_{20} x \tau) = x \tau h_1^3 = \tau h_1^2 c_0$, where the additional terms in the differential support are the targets of $d_2$-differentials. Therefore we have

$$P^R_h(\tau h_1^3) = h_3 h_0^3 h_1 \tau h_2 h_0 + \rho h_3 h_2 h_0 + b_{20} \tau h_1^2 = b_{20} \tau h_1^2$$

since the first term is the target of a $d_3$-differential and the second term supports a $d_2$-differential.

Since $d_1(b_{20}^2) = 0$ and $d_2(b_{20}^2) = 0$, induction on $j$ shows that the value of $P^R_h(\tau h_1^2)$ is $h_0^3 h_2 h_3$. A similar analysis shows that the value of $P^R_h(\tau h_1^3)$ is $b_0 h_2 h_3$. Since none of these classes are $\rho$-divisible, their images under the quotient map $Ext_{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{M}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}) \to Ext_{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{M}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}, \mathbb{M}_{\mathbb{Z}/2})$ are the (nontrivial) classes of the same name, i.e. the claimed iterated Massey products.

\[ \Box \]

**Definition 6.7.** We define $v_i^4 \tau \eta^2$ and $v_i^4 \tau \eta^3$ to be the classes in $\pi_{*,*}^R(S^0,0)$ detected by $P^R_h(\tau h_1^2)$ and $P^R_h(\tau h_1^3)$, respectively.

**Corollary 6.8.** The classes $v_i^4 \tau \eta^2$ and $v_i^4 \tau \eta^3$ are nonzero in $\pi_{*,*}^R(S^0,0)$.

**Proof.** By construction, these classes base-change to the classes with the same name in $\pi_{*,*}^C(S^0,0)$. Since their images under base-change are nonzero, they must also be nonzero.

\[ \Box \]

**Definition 6.9.** Let $v_i^4 \tau \eta^2$ and $v_i^4 \tau \eta^3$ in $\pi_{*,*}^C(S^0,0)$ be the images of the classes with the same name in $\pi_{*,*}^R(S^0,0)$ under equivariant Betti realization.

**Corollary 6.10.** The classes $v_i^4 \tau \eta^2$ and $v_i^4 \tau \eta^3$ are nonzero in $\pi_{*,*}^C(S^0,0)$.

**Proof.** The images of $v_i^4 \tau \eta^2$ and $v_i^4 \tau \eta^3$ under the forgetful functor $Spt C_2 \to Spt$ are the classical elements $v_i^4 \eta^2$ and $v_i^4 \eta^3$, respectively.

\[ \Box \]

6.3. $\mathbf{\mathbb{R}}$-motivic homotopy of stunted lens spectra. We now show that the degree 16 map is null on $L_3^{3+m}$ for all $m$ in $\mathbb{R}$. More precisely, we will show that the degree 16 map is null in $\pi_0^R(\mathcal{L}_{3+m}^{3+m} \wedge \mathcal{L}_{3-m})$ for all $m$ in $\mathbb{Z}$. Our approach is to use base-change, Betti realization, and the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence associated to the cellular filtration of $L_3^{3+m} \wedge \mathcal{L}_{3-m}$.

**Proposition 6.11.** Let $L_m \in Mot_R$ denote the subcomplex of $L_0^{\infty}$ with cells in topological dimension $-5 + 8m \leq d \leq 2 + 8m$. Then the degree 16 map is null on $L_m$ for all $m$ in $\mathbb{Z}$.

**Proof.** An analogous result was proven over $Spec(\mathbb{C})$ in Proposition 2.5.11. Let $X = L_m \wedge D_\mathbb{R} L_m$ where $D_\mathbb{R} (-)$ is the $\mathbb{R}$-motivic Spanier-Whitehead dual functor $D_\mathbb{R} (-) = F(-, S^0,0)$. Suppose that the degree 16 map on $L_m$ is not null, i.e. $16 \neq 0 \in \pi_0(X)$. Note that base-change $f^*$ along $f : Spec(\mathbb{C}) \to Spec(\mathbb{R})$ sends $L_m \in Mot_R$ to $L_m \in Mot_C$ and that Betti realization $R_{\mathbb{C}}C_2$ sends $L_m \in Mot_C$ to $\mathcal{L}_{3-m} \in Spt$. Since $\pi_0(R_{\mathbb{C}}C_2(f^*(X)))$ may be obtained by localizing $\pi_0(f^*(X))$ with respect to $\tau$ and then setting $\tau = 1$, we see that the class detecting 16 in $\pi_0^R(f^*(X))$ must base-change to a $\tau$-torsion class in $\pi_0^R(f^*(X))$.

The $\mathbb{R}$-motivic homotopy group $\pi_0^R(X)$ may be computed via the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence arising from the filtration of $X$ by topological dimension. Observe that $X$ is a 64-cell
complex with cells concentrated in bidegrees of the form \((2k \pm \epsilon, k \pm \epsilon)\) where \(-3 \leq k \leq 3\) and \(\epsilon \in \{0, 1\}\). The possible contributions to \(\pi^R_{0,0}(X)\) in the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence have the form \(\alpha[-2k \pm \epsilon, -k \pm \epsilon]\) with \(\alpha \in \pi^R_{2k \pm \epsilon, k \pm \epsilon}(S^{0,0})\). We remark that this implies \(MW(\alpha) \leq 4\).

By the previous paragraph, we may exclude any \(\alpha\) which base-changes to a \(\tau\)-free class in \(\pi^C_{m,n}(f^*(S^{0,0})) \cong \pi^C_{m,n}(S^{0,0})\). Examining \([13\text{ Fig. } 3]\), we obtain the following possibilities for \(\alpha\), where \(G_{m,n}\) denotes the subset of nonzero classes in \(\pi^R_{m,n}(S^{0,0})\) which base-changes to a \(\tau\)-torsion class in \(\pi^C_{m,n}(S^{0,0})\):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(k)</th>
<th>(G_{2k-1,i})</th>
<th>(G_{2k,i})</th>
<th>(G_{2k+1,i})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>(\rho^2 h^2_2)</td>
<td>(\rho^2 h^2_2, \rho^2 h^2_1, h_3, \rho^4 h^2_1 h_3, \rho^2 \tau h^2_1 h_1, \rho^2 \tau h^2_1 h_1^2)</td>
<td>(\rho \tau h_1 h_3, \rho \tau c_0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>(\rho^2 h^2_2)</td>
<td>(\rho^2 h^2_2, \rho^2 \tau h^2_1 h_1, \rho^3 \tau h^2_1 h_1^2)</td>
<td>(\tau h_1 h_0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(\rho \tau h^2_1, i \geq 2)</td>
<td>(\rho h_2)</td>
<td>(\rho^2 h^2_1, i \geq 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We show below that all of these classes (on the relevant cells of \(X\)) die in the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence. We will use the notation \(e_{i,j}\) for the cell in \(L_m\) in bidegree \((i,j)\) and \(f_{i,j}\) for the cell in \(D^R L_m\) in bidegree \((i,j)\).

(1) \(k = 3\):

(a) The class \(\rho^2 h^2_2\) is the target of a \(d_4\)-differential.

(b) The class \(\rho h_3\) is the target of a \(d_8\)-differential. This differential comes from the relation \(Sq^5(e_{-4,-2} \otimes f_{-2,-1}) \neq 0\), which follows from the Cartan formula and the fact that \(Sq^5(e_{-4,-2}) \neq 0\) and \(Sq^5(f_{-2,-1}) \neq 0\).

(c) The classes \(\rho^2 h_1 h_3\) and \(\rho^4 h^2_1 h_3\) are the targets of \(d_2\)-differentials.

(d) The classes \(\rho \tau h^2_1 h_1\) and \(\rho^2 h^2_2 h^2_1\) are the sources of \(d_2\)-differentials.

(e) The class \(\rho \tau h^2_1 h_3\) is the target of a \(d_8\)-differential. This differential comes from the relation \(Sq^5(e_{-5,-2} \otimes f_{-2,-1}) \neq 0\), which follows from the Cartan formula and the fact that \(Sq^5(e_{-5,-2}) \neq 0\) and \(Sq^5(f_{-2,-1}) \neq 0\).

(f) The class \(\rho \tau c_0\) is the target of a \(d_3\)-differential corresponding to the Massey product \(c_0 \in \langle h_1, h_0, h^2_2 \rangle\).

(2) \(k = 2\):

(a) The class \(\rho^2 h^2_2\) is the target of a \(d_4\)-differential.

(b) The classes \(\rho \tau h^2_1 h^2_1, 1 \leq i \leq 3\), support or are the targets of \(d_2\)-differentials. We note that some of the relevant nontrivial actions of \(Sq^2\) arise from the Cartan formula and nontrivial actions of \(Sq^4\) in \(L_m\) and \(D^R L_m\).

(3) \(k = 1\):

(a) The classes \(\rho^3 h^2_1, i \geq 2\), support or are the targets of \(d_2\)-differentials.

(b) The class \(\rho h_2\) supports or is the target of a \(d_4\)-differential.

(4) \(k = 0\): The class \(\tau h_1 h_0\) is the target of a \(d_1\)-differential.

(5) \(k = -1\): The classes \(\rho^4 h^2_1, i \geq 0\), all support or are the targets of \(d_2\)-differentials.

We have therefore shown that there can be no class detecting \(16 \in \pi^R_{0,0}(X)\), so we must have \(16 = 0\).

\(\square\)

6.4. **Generalized Mahowald invariants of \((2 + \rho \eta)^i\).** We conclude this section with some of our main results.

**Theorem 6.12.** Let \(i \geq 0\) and let \(j \in \{2, 3\}\). The \(R\)-Mahowald invariant of \((2 + \rho \eta)^{4i+j}\) is given by

\[
M^R((2 + \rho \eta)^{4i+j}) \cong \begin{cases} 
\eta^2 & j = 2, \\
\eta^3 & j = 3.
\end{cases}
\]

**Proof.** The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.5.12. We summarize the argument below:
Theorem 7.1.\footnote{5.13} may be used to give an upper bound on the $N > 0$ defining $M^R((2 + \rho \eta)^{4i+j})$. Moreover, if that upper bound is also the lower bound (i.e. it is the minimal $N > 0$ such that the relevant diagram commutes), then $M^R((2 + \rho \eta)^{4i+j})$ has the desired form.

(2) Proposition \ref{6.11} may then be used along with the low-dimensional computations from Section 4.2 to show that the upper bound given above is optimal; compare with the proof of \cite{46} Thm. 2.17.

\[ \text{Theorem 6.13. Let } i \geq 0 \text{ and let } j \in \{2,3\}. \text{ The } C_2\text{-equivariant Mahowald invariant of } (2 + \rho \eta)^{4i+j} \text{ is given by} \]

\[ M^C_2((2 + \rho \eta)^{4i+j}) \cong \begin{cases} v_1^{4i+1} \eta^2 & j = 2, \\ v_1^{4i+1} \eta^3 & j = 3. \end{cases} \]

Proof. We have that $Re_{C_2}(v_1^{4i+1} \eta^j) = v_1^{4i+1} \eta^j$ by definition and $U(v_1^{4i+1} \eta^j) = v_1^{4i} \eta^j \in \pi_*(S)$. The theorem then follows from applying Lemma 4.5 to both functors in the composite

\[ \text{Mot}_R \xrightarrow{Re_{C_2}} \text{Sp}^C_2 \xrightarrow{U} \text{Sp} \]

along with our computation of $M^R((2 + \rho \eta)^{4i+j})$ in the previous theorem and the computation of $M(2^{4i+j})$ in \cite{46} Thm. 2.17. \qed

7. Real motivic and $C_2$-equivariant Mahowald invariants of $\eta^i$

In this section, we study $\pi_*^{R}(C\rho)$, lift Andrews’ $w_1$-periodic families \cite{6} to $\pi_*^{R}(S)$, and compute the real motivic and $C_2$-equivariant Mahowald invariants of $\eta^i$ modulo a conjecture about the real motivic homotopy groups of certain finite spectra.

We begin by defining a real motivic analog of the spectrum $wko$ which detects height one exotic periodic families in the real motivic homotopy groups of $C\rho$. To define this spectrum, we use forthcoming work of Behrens-Isaksen-Shah-Wang-Xu identifying $C\rho$-modules in the real motivic setting with cellular complex motivic spectra. We then determine some properties of the real motivic spectrum $wko$; these computations essentially follow from \cite{59} Section 3.2. The Hurewicz image of $wko$ contains several $w_1$-periodic families in the real motivic homotopy groups of $C\rho$.

Unfortunately, we cannot easily lift these $w_1$-periodic families in $\pi_*^{R}(C\rho)$ to $\pi_*^{R}(S)$. Instead, we lift Andrews’ $w_1$-periodic families in $\pi_*^{C}(S)$ by studying the motivic Adams spectral sequence and the $\rho$-Bockstein spectral sequence. In particular, we prove a vanishing result for $Ext^*_A(M^{R}_2, M^{R}_2)$ which implies that Andrews’ $w_1$-periodic families lift uniquely to $\pi_*^{R}(S)$. With these classes constructed, we are able to compute the real motivic Mahowald invariants $M^R(\eta^i)$.

We then proceed to computation in the $C_2$-equivariant setting. We begin by proving that Andrews’ $w_1$-periodic families realize to $w_1$-periodic families in the $C_2$-equivariant stable stems. We then apply the ideas from the proof of Lemma 4.5 to infer the $C_2$-equivariant Mahowald invariants from related $\mathbb{R}$-motivic and classical Mahowald invariants.

7.1. An exotic analog of $ko$ in $C\rho \text{-Mod}_{\text{cell}}^{R}$. In \cite{59} Section 3.2] we constructed and analyzed a complex motivic spectrum $wko_{\mathbb{C}}$ which detected $w_1$-periodic elements in $\pi_*^{C}(S)$. The $wko_{\mathbb{C}}$-based complex motivic Mahowald invariant was then used to calculate $M^C(\eta^i)$. In this subsection we construct a real motivic spectrum $wko_{\mathbb{C}} \in \text{Mot}_{R}$ with the same applications in mind.

Recall that in the complex motivic setting, there is an element $\tau \in \pi_{4n-1}^{C}(S)$. The cofiber of $\tau$, denoted $C\tau$, is a naive $E_{\infty}$-ring spectrum with a fascinating module theory which has been studied by Gheorghe in \cite{20}. We begin by stating the theorem of Gheorghe-Wang-Xu which makes precise the connection between $C\tau$-modules and a more familiar algebraic category.

Theorem 7.1. \footnote{21} There is an equivalence of stable $\infty$-categories with t-structures

\[ C\tau\text{-mod}_{\mathbb{C}}^{b,\text{cell}} \to D^b(BP, BP\text{-comod}) \]
whose restriction to the hearts is taking BPGL-homology. Here, $C\tau$-mod$^{\text{cell}}$ is the category of complex motivic cellular module spectra over $C\tau$ whose BPGL-homology has bounded Chow degree, and $D^b(BP,BP\text{-comod})$ is the bounded derived category of the Abelian category of p-completed $BP,BP$-comodules which are concentrated in even degrees.

Using this equivalence, one can define $C\tau$-modules by writing down the corresponding $BP,BP$-comodule.

**Definition 7.2.** [59] Def. 3.12 Recall that $BP_* \cong \mathbb{Z}_2[v_1,v_2,\ldots]$ and $BP_*BP \cong BP_*[t_1,t_2,\ldots]$. We define $wko_C$ to be the $C\tau$-module corresponding to the $BP_*BP$-comodule

$$BP_*[t_1^4,t_2^2,t_3,\ldots]$$

under the above equivalence of categories.

The complex motivic homotopy groups of $wko_C$ are depicted in [59] Fig. 3.15].

**Lemma 7.3.** [59] Lem. 3.14 The complex motivic homotopy groups of $wko_C$ are given by

$$\pi_n^C(wko_C) \cong \mathbb{F}_2[\eta,\nu,\alpha,\beta]/(\eta\nu,\nu^{3},\nu\alpha,\alpha^2-\eta^2\beta)$$

where $|\eta| = (1,1)$, $|\nu| = (3,2)$, $|\alpha| = (11,7)$, and $|\beta| = (20,12)$.

We related the complex motivic $\Sigma_2$-Tate construction of $wko_C$ to the $C\tau$-module $wBP(0)_C$ which was defined by Gheorghe in [19]. The complex motivic homotopy groups of this spectrum are recalled below.

**Lemma 7.4.** [19] Section 4 The complex motivic homotopy groups of $wBP(0)_C$ are given by

$$\pi_n^C(wBP(0)_C) \cong \mathbb{F}_2[w_0]$$

where $|w_0| = (1,1)$.

By analyzing the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence, we proved the following splitting of the complex motivic $\Sigma_2$-Tate construction of $wko_C$.

**Proposition 7.5.** [59] Prop. 3.16 There is an isomorphism

$$\pi_n^C(wko_C^{\Sigma_2,p}) \cong \lim_{\leftarrow n} \bigoplus_{i \geq -n} (\Sigma_{i+n}^{\text{s.g}-1,1} \pi_n^C(wBP(0)_C) \oplus \Sigma_{i+n}^{\text{s.g}+1,1} \pi_n^C(wBP(0)_C)).$$

We have now recalled all of the necessary information about $wko_C$ in the complex motivic setting. Our goal now is to translate this information to the real motivic setting. This translation will be done using the following result which will appear in forthcoming work of Behrens-Isaksen-Shah-Xu.

**Theorem 7.6.** [9] There is an equivalence of symmetric monoidal $\infty$-categories

$$C\rho\text{-Mod}_R^{\text{cell}} \simeq \text{Mot}_C^{\text{cell}}.$$  

Here $C\rho\text{-Mod}_R^{\text{cell}}$ is the category of real motivic cellular module spectra over $C\rho$.

There is a self-map of $C\rho$ called $\tau$ which base-changes to the complex motivic class $\tau \in \pi_0^C(S_0^{0,0})$.

**Corollary 7.7.** There is an equivalence of stable $\infty$-categories

$$C(\rho,\tau)\text{-mod}_R^{\text{cell}} \simeq C\tau\text{-mod}_C^{\text{cell}}.$$  

Here $C(\rho,\tau)\text{-mod}_R^{\text{cell}}$ is the category of real motivic cellular module spectra over $C(\rho,\tau)$.

We can use this equivalence to define the spectra $wko_R$ and $wBP(0)_R$ in the real motivic setting.

**Definition 7.8.** Define $wko_R$ and $wBP(0)_R$ to be the $C(\rho,\tau)$-module spectra corresponding to $wko_C$ and $wBP(0)_C$ under the above equivalence of categories.

The real motivic homotopy groups of these spectra then follow from Lemmas [7.3] and [7.4].
Corollary 7.9. The real motivic homotopy groups of $wko_R$ are given by
\[ \pi^R_{*,*}(wko_R) \cong F_2[\eta,\nu,\alpha,\beta]/(\eta \nu, \nu^3, \nu \alpha, \alpha^2 - \eta^2 \beta) \]
where $|\eta| = (1, 1)$, $|\nu| = (3, 2)$, $|\alpha| = (11, 7)$, and $|\beta| = (20, 12)$.

The real motivic homotopy groups of $wBP(0)_R$ are given by
\[ \pi^R_{*,*}(wBP(0)_R) \cong F_2[w_0] \]
where $|w_0| = (1, 1)$.

We then have the following computation of the real motivic $\Sigma_2$-Tate construction of $wko_R$.

Proposition 7.10. There is an isomorphism
\[ \pi^R_{*,*}(wko_R^{\Sigma_2}) \cong \lim_{\leftarrow \mathbb{Z}} \left( \bigoplus_{i \geq -n} \pi^R_{*,*}(wBP(0)_R) \oplus \bigoplus_{i \geq -n} \pi^{R,4i}_{*,*}(wBP(0)_R) \right) \cdot \pi^C_{*,*}(wko_C). \]
Furthermore, the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence converging to the left-hand side is isomorphic to the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence converging to the complex motivic homotopy groups $\pi^C_{*,*}(wko_C)$.

Proof. The isomorphism follows by definition of $wko_R$ and the fact that the real motivic spectra $L_n^{\infty}$ correspond to the complex motivic spectra with the same name under base change along $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$.

For the second statement, note that the $E_1$-page of the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence converging to $\pi^R_{*,*}(wko_R^{\Sigma_2})$ is isomorphic to the $E_1$-page of the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence converging to $\pi^C_{*,*}(wko_C^{\Sigma_2})$. This follows from the isomorphism
\[ \pi^R_{*,*}(wko_R) \cong \pi^C_{*,*}(wko_C). \]

Recall that differentials in the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence are induced by the attaching maps in $L_n^{\infty}$. Since $L_n^{\infty}$ has the same $A$-module structure regardless of base field, the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence computing the real motivic $\Sigma_2$-Tate construction of $wko_R$ is isomorphic to the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence computing the complex motivic $\Sigma_2$-Tate construction of $wko_C$. Indeed, both spectral sequences collapse at the $E_7$-page, with all nontrivial differentials induced by attaching maps which are detected by the $A$-module structure on the continuous motivic cohomology of $L_n^{\infty}$. $\square$

Remark 7.11. One can define a variant of the motivic Mahowald invariant in the category of $C\rho$-modules by smashing $L_n^{\infty}$ with $C\rho$ in the diagram defining the motivic Mahowald invariant. Following [59] Sec. 4-5, one may use the above analysis to compute an approximation to this variant based on $wko_R$ and then lift the result to $\pi^R_{*,*}(C\rho)$.

7.2. $w_1$-periodic families in the real motivic stable stems. We will use the results of the previous subsection to compute the real motivic Mahowald invariants $M^R(\eta^i)$. Our goal is to show that these are certain $w_1$-periodic classes in the real motivic stable stems. In this subsection, we show that the exotic periodic families in the complex motivic stable stems constructed by Andrews in [6] lift to the real motivic setting.

We begin with a quick review of these elements in the complex motivic setting. Andrews defines explicit cocycles in the motivic Adams-Novikov spectral sequence, shows that these are permanent cycles for tridegree reasons, and then shows that they detect nontrivial composites of the form
\[ S^{*,t} \xrightarrow{x} C\eta \xrightarrow{w^*_1} \Sigma^{-20i,-12i} C\eta \to S^{-20i+2,-12i+1}. \]
These composites are denoted $w^*_1\eta^i$, and if they are nontrivial for all $i \geq 1$ we say that $x$ is $w^*_1$-periodic.

Theorem 7.12. [6] Thm. 3.12 The classes $\nu, \nu^2, \nu^3, \eta^2 \eta_3, \bar{\sigma}$, and $\sigma \nu$ in the complex motivic stable stems are $w^*_1$-periodic.

To produce real motivic analogs of these classes, we begin by studying $\text{Ext}^{*,*}_A(M^R_2, M^R_2)$. Recall the following result of Dugger-Isaksen.
Theorem 7.13. [13, Thm. 4.1] There is an isomorphism from $\text{Ext}_{A^c}^*(F_2, F_2)[\rho^{+1}]$ to $\text{Ext}_{A^c}^{\ast*}(M_2^R, M_2^R)[\rho^{-1}]$ such that 

1. The isomorphism is highly structured, i.e., preserves products, Massey products, and algebraic squaring operations in the sense of [52].

2. The element $h_n \in \text{Ext}_{A^c}^*(F_2, F_2)$ corresponds to the element $h_{n+1}$ of $\text{Ext}_{A^c}^{\ast*}(M_2^R, M_2^R)$.

3. An element of $\text{Ext}_{A^c}^{\ast*}(F_2, F_2)$ in stem $s$ and Adams filtration $f$ corresponds to an element in $\text{Ext}_{A^c}^{\ast*}(M_2^R, M_2^R)$ of stem $2s + f$, Adams filtration $f$, and motivic weight $s + f$.

We now use this theorem to obtain names of elements in $\text{Ext}_{A^c}^{\ast*}(M_2^R, M_2^R)$ which will detect the desired periodic families in $\pi_{\ast*}^R(S^{0,0})$.

Corollary 7.14. The following statements hold:

1. The elements $h_2, h_2^3, h_4 h_3$ in $\text{Ext}_{A^c}^{\ast*}(M_2^R, M_2^R)$ are permanent cycles in the $\rho$-Bockstein spectral sequence.

2. If $h_1 x = 0$, define a periodicity operator $P_w$ by setting $P_w x := (h_4 h_1, x)$. Let $P_w^i x$ be the $i$-fold iterate of this periodicity operator. Then $P_w^i h_2, P_w^i h_2^3, P_w^i h_4 h_3$ are permanent cycles in the $\rho$-Bockstein spectral sequence for all $i \geq 0$.

3. All of the classes above are $\rho$-torsion free.

Proof. All of the statement follow from applying the previous theorem to the classical periodic families in $\text{Ext}_{A^c}^{\ast*}(F_2, F_2)$ constructed by Adams in [2].

We can say even more about these classes. We first need the following definition.

Definition 7.15. The Milnor-Witt stem of a class $\alpha \in \pi_{s,t,w}^k(S^{0,0})$ is defined by $MW(x) := s - w$.

Proposition 7.16. Fix $\alpha \in \{ P_w^i h_2, P_w^i h_2^3, P_w^i h_4 h_3 : i \geq 0 \}$ and take $(s, t, w) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ such that $\alpha \in \text{Ext}_{A^c}^{s,t,w}(M_2^R, M_2^R)$. There are no classes in higher $\rho$-Bockstein filtration which can detect a class in $\text{Ext}_{A^c}^{s,t,w}(M_2^R, M_2^R)$.

Example 7.17. The case $i = 0$ follows for $h_2, h_2^3$, and $h_3$ by inspection of [13, Fig. 3] and for $h_4 h_3$ by inspection of the machine calculations of Knight Fu and Glen Wilson [17].

Proof. For this proof only, we will use the indexing convention $\text{Ext}_{A^c}^{s,t,w}$ where $s$ is stem, $f$ is Adams filtration, and $w$ is motivic weight (compare with [36]). Fix $\alpha \in \text{Ext}_{A^c}^{s,t,w}$ to be one of the classes above, and write $f = 4k + \ell$ with $k \geq 0$ and $1 \leq \ell \leq 4$. Then we have

$$s = \begin{cases} 20k + 3 & \text{if } \ell = 1, \\ 20k + 6 & \text{if } \ell = 2, \\ 20k + 9 & \text{if } \ell = 3, \\ 20k + 18 & \text{if } \ell = 4, \end{cases}$$

and

$$w = \begin{cases} 12k + 2 & \text{if } \ell = 1, \\ 12k + 4 & \text{if } \ell = 2, \\ 12k + 6 & \text{if } \ell = 3, \\ 12k + 11 & \text{if } \ell = 4. \end{cases}$$

The possible contributions (with higher $\rho$-Bockstein filtration) to $\text{Ext}_{A^c}^{s,t,w}$ in the $\rho$-Bockstein spectral sequence therefore have the form $\rho^m x$ where $x \in \text{Ext}_{A^c}^{s+t+m,f,w+m}$ with $m \geq 1$. We will show that $x = 0$.

This follows from an explicit calculation in the motivic May spectral sequence converging to $\text{Ext}_{A^c}^{s,t,w}$ studied in [13] and [36]. Recall that the $E_1$-page of the motivic May spectral sequence is generated over $F_2[\tau]$ by $\{ h_{i,j} : i > 0, j \geq 0 \}$ with $|h_{i,j}| = (2^i - 2, 1, 2^{i-1} - 1)$ and $|h_{i,j}| =$
Let \( h_{i,j} \) denote the ratio of stem to Milnor-Witt degree for \( h_{i,j} \), i.e. \( r(i, j) = s(h_{i,j})/(s(h_{i,j}) - w(h_{i,j})) \). Then we have \( r(i, j) > r(2, 1) \) for all \( i > 0, j \geq 0 \), except for \( r(1, 1) = \infty \) and \( r(1, 2) = 3 \). Moreover, for all pairs \( (i, j) \), we have \( 11/6 \leq r(i, j) \leq 7/3 \) unless \( (i, j) \in S := \{(1, 1), (1, 2), (2, 1)\} \).

We illustrate the situation using Figure 5 (not drawn to scale). The classes \( \alpha \) lie along a line of slope \( r(2, 1) = 5/2 \). Polynomials in the \( h_{i,j} \)'s with \( (i, j) \notin S \) lie inside the region \( R \) below a line of slope 13/6. The only way of obtaining a class in the same stem and Milnor-Witt stem as \( y \), is by multiplying by \( y \) which has stem 9 and Milnor-Witt stem 3. In particular, if \( y \in R_{a>9} \) we cannot obtain a class in the same stem and Milnor-Witt stem as \( y \) exclusively via \( h_{2} \)-multiplication. For \( y \in R_{a>9} \), one can explicitly check \( Ext^*_{\mathbb{A}^2}(\mathbb{M}^2_3, \mathbb{M}^2_5) \) pictured in [35] to see that there are no \( y \) which one can use to obtain \( \alpha \) through \( h_{2} \)-multiplication.

We must therefore analyze multiplication by \( h_{1} \). If \( y \in R \) has stem \( a \) and Milnor-Witt stem \( b \), then \( h_{1}y \) has stem \( a+c \) and Milnor-Witt stem \( b \). For \( h_{1}y \) to land in the same Milnor-Witt stem as \( x \), we must have \( b = w \). In the edge case, we then have \( 7a = 4b = 4w \), or \( a = 4/7w \). For \( h_{1}y \) to land in the same stem as \( x \), we must have \( c = s - a \). Substituting the values of \( s \) and \( w \) above, we have

\[
c = 20k - \epsilon - 4/7w = 20k - \epsilon - 4/7(12k + \delta) = 20k - \epsilon - 48/7k - 4/7\delta \geq 13k - \epsilon - \delta \geq 11k
\]

for all \( k \geq 1 \), where \( (\epsilon, \delta) \in \{(3, 2), (6, 4), (9, 6), (18, 11)\} \). In particular, the Adams filtration of \( h_{1}y \) is at least \( 11k > 4k + 4 \geq f \) for all \( k \geq 1 \). Therefore we cannot obtain \( x \) from \( y \) by \( h_{1} \)-multiplication and we have ruled out all possible ways of obtaining \( x \) from \( y \). We conclude that \( x = 0 \).

\[\Box\]

\textbf{Corollary 7.18.} The following statements hold:

(1) There is no indeterminacy in the names of the classes \( P^i_{w}h_{2}, P^i_{w}h_{3}, P^i_{w}h_{4}, P^i_{w}h_{4}h_{1} \) in \( Ext^{**}_{\mathbb{A}^2}(\mathbb{M}^2_5, \mathbb{M}^2_5) \).

(2) Base-change \( f^* : \text{Mot}_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{Mot}_{\mathbb{C}} \) along \( f : \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbb{R}) \) sends each class above to the class of the same name in \( Ext^{**}_{\mathbb{A}^2}(\mathbb{M}^2_5, \mathbb{M}^2_5) \).

(3) If a class above is a permanent cycle in the \( \mathbb{R} \)-motivic Adams spectral sequence, then it survives the real motivic Adams spectral sequence and detects a nontrivial class in \( \tau_{**}^R(S^{0,0}) \).
We now show that all of the classes listed above are permanent cycles in the \( \mathbb{R} \)-motivic Adams spectral sequence; we thank Mark Behrens for suggesting the following argument. Recall that the classes \( w_i^4 \nu^j \) for \( i \geq 0, 1 \leq j \leq 3 \), are represented by the composite

\[
S^{i,j} \overset{\nu^j}{\longrightarrow} C\eta \overset{w_i^4}{\longrightarrow} \Sigma^{-20i, -12i}C\eta \rightarrow S^{-20i+2, -12i+1}
\]

where \( \nu^j \in \pi_{i,j}^C(C\eta) \) is a lift of \( \nu^j \) to the top cell of \( C\eta \). Similarly, the class \( w_1^4 \eta^2 \eta_4 \), \( i \geq 1 \), is represented by the composite

\[
S^{0,0} \overset{i}{\rightarrow} C\eta \overset{w_1^4}{\longrightarrow} \Sigma^{-20i, -12i}C\eta \rightarrow S^{-20i+2, -12i+1}
\]

where \( i : S^{0,0} \rightarrow C\eta \) is the inclusion of the bottom cell.

Consider the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\pi^R_{**}(C\eta) & \longrightarrow & \pi^C_{**}(C\eta) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\pi^R_{**}(S^{2,1}) & \longrightarrow & \pi^C_{**}(S^{2,1}).
\end{array}
\]

where the horizontal arrows are base-change along \( f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \) and the vertical arrows are projection onto the top cell. The classes \( w_i^4 \alpha \in \pi^C_{**}(S^{2,1}) \) with \( \alpha \in \{ \nu^j, \eta^2 \eta_4 : 1 \leq j \leq 3, i \geq 0 \} \) lift to the classes \( \tilde{w}_i^4 \alpha \in \pi^C_{**}(C\eta) \) which are represented by the composites above where we do not project onto the top cell.

**Lemma 7.19.** The classes \( \tilde{w}_i^4 \alpha \in \pi^C_{**}(C\eta) \) lift uniquely to classes \( \tilde{w}_i^{4} \alpha' \in \pi^R_{**}(C\eta) \). Moreover, the image of \( \tilde{w}_i^4 \alpha' \) under the projection onto the top cell of \( C\eta \) satisfies the following:

1. It is a nontrivial class in \( \pi^R_{**}(S^{0,0}) \).
2. It base-changes to \( w_i^4 \alpha' \).
3. It is detected in the real motivic Adams spectral sequence by \( P_w^i x \) where \( x = h_2^j \) if \( \alpha = \nu^j \) and \( x = h_1^3 h_4 \) if \( \alpha = \eta^2 \eta_4 \).

**Proof.** The groups \( \pi^C_{**}(C\eta) \) may be computed using the motivic Adams spectral sequence

\[
\text{Ext}^*_{A^e}(\mathbb{M}_{2}^R, H^{**}(C\eta)) \Rightarrow \pi^C_{**}(C\eta).
\]

The \( \text{Ext} \)-group above may be computed by means of the algebraic Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence arising from the filtration of \( H^{**}(C\eta) \) by topological dimension; a class coming from the top dimension will be denoted \( x[(2,1)] \) and a class coming from the bottom dimension will be denoted \( x[(0,0)] \) where \( x \in \text{Ext}^*_{A^e}(\mathbb{M}_{2}^R, \mathbb{M}_{2}^C) \). The fact that \( \nu^j \) is a lift to the top cell of \( C\eta \) implies that \( \tilde{w}_i^4 \nu^j \) is represented by \( P_w^i h_2^j [(2,1)] \), and similarly \( \tilde{w}_i^4 \eta^2 \eta_4 \) is represented by \( P_w^i h_1^3 h_4 [(0,0)] \).

The groups \( \pi^R_{**}(C\eta) \) may also be computed using the motivic Adams spectral sequence

\[
\text{Ext}^*_{A^e}(\mathbb{M}_{2}^R, H^{**}(C\eta)) \Rightarrow \pi^R_{**}(C\eta).
\]

A slight modification of the analysis in the proof of Proposition 7.16 shows that for all \( i \geq 1 \), the classes \( P_w^i h_1^3 h_4 [(0,0)] \) and \( P_w^i h_2^j, 1 \leq j \leq 3 \), are nonzero in \( \text{Ext}^*_{A^e}(\mathbb{M}_{2}^R, H^{**}(C\eta)) \) and that there are no classes in the same tridegrees in higher \( \rho \)-Bockstein filtration.

Note that Adams differentials decrease stem by one, decrease Milnor-Witt stem by one, and increase Adams filtration. In the proof of 7.16 we saw that multiplication by \( h_1 \) was the only means of producing classes in the appropriate stem and Milnor-Witt stem as \( P_w^i x \) with \( x \in \{ h_2^j, h_3^2, h_3^2, h_3^2 h_4 \} \), \( i \geq 0 \), by multiplication with a class in the region \( R \). The same argument implies that any possible target \( y \in \text{Ext}^*_{A^e}(\mathbb{M}_{2}^R, \mathbb{M}_{2}^R) \) of an Adams differential \( d_r(P_w^i x) = y \) would be \( h_1 \)-divisible; however, since \( h_1 \) detects \( \eta \), we see that \( h_1 = 0 \) in \( \text{Ext}^*_{A^e}(\mathbb{M}_{2}^R, H^{**}(C\eta)) \). Therefore there are no nonzero targets for Adams differentials and \( P_w^i x \) is a permanent cycle.
Since \( P_w^i x \in \widehat{\text{Ext}}^{**}(M_{2,2}^R, H^{**}(\mathcal{C}_\eta)) \) base-changes to \( P_w^i x \in \widehat{\text{Ext}}^{**}(M_{2,2}^R, H^{**}(\mathcal{C}_\eta)) \) and \( P_w^i x \) survives to detect \( w_1^{4i} \alpha \in \pi_4^{R}\mathcal{C}_\eta(\mathcal{C}_\eta) \), we have that \( P_w^i x \in \widehat{\text{Ext}}^{**}(M_{2,2}^R, H^{**}(\mathcal{C}_\eta)) \) survives to detect a nontrivial class \( w_1^{4i} \alpha \in \pi_4^{R}\mathcal{C}_\eta(\mathcal{C}_\eta) \). Since the image of \( w_1^{4i} \alpha \) under the upper-right composite is \( w_1^{4i} \alpha \in \pi_4^{C}(S^{2,1}) \), the image of \( w_1^{4i} \alpha \) under the projection onto the top cell of \( \mathcal{C}_\eta \) is a nontrivial class which we call \( w_1^{4i} \alpha' \). Moreover, the image of \( w_1^{4i} \alpha' \) under base-change is \( w_0^4 \alpha \) by commutativity of the diagram. By Corollary 7.18 \( P_w^i x \) is the unique class in the real motivic Adams spectral sequence which base-changes to the class \( P_w^i x \) in the complex motivic Adams spectral sequence. Therefore \( P_w^i x \) detects \( w_1^{4i} \alpha' \).

**Definition 7.20.** We define the following classes in \( \pi_4^{R}(S^{0,0}) \):

1. For all \( i \geq 0 \) and \( 1 \leq j \leq 3 \), define \( w_1^i \nu_j \) to be the class detected by \( P_w^i h^4_2 \) in the real motivic Adams spectral sequence.
2. For all \( i \geq 0 \), define \( w_1^i \eta_2 \eta_4 \) to be the class detected by \( P_w^i h^4_4 h^3_3 \) in the real motivic Adams spectral sequence.

We have shown the following:

**Theorem 7.21.** For all \( i \geq 0 \), the classes \( w_1^i \nu_j \), \( 1 \leq j \leq 3 \), and \( w_1^i \eta_2 \eta_4 \) are nonzero in \( \pi_4^{R}(S^{0,0}) \). Moreover, their images under the base-change functor \( f^*: \text{Mot}_R \rightarrow \text{Mot}_C \) are Andrews’ \( w_1 \)-periodic elements with the same names.

7.3. \( w_1 \)-periodic families in the \( C_2 \)-equivariant stable stems. We now construct the \( C_2 \)-equivariant analogs of Andrews’ \( w_1 \)-periodic families. We begin with the following lemma.

**Lemma 7.22.** The equivariant Betti realization of \( \eta^i \in \pi_4^{i,i}(S^{0,0}) \) is \( \eta^i \in \pi_4^{C_2}(S^{0,0}) \).

**Proof.** Let \( C^R \) denote the cofibrant whose homology is the \( E_2 \)-page of the real motivic Adams spectral sequence and let \( C^{C_2} \) denote the cofibrant whose homology is the \( E_2 \)-page of the \( C_2 \)-equivariant Adams spectral sequence. Equivariant Betti realization induces a map between these cofibrant complexes which sends \( [\xi_1] \cdots [\xi_1] \in C^R \) to \( [\xi_1] \cdots [\xi_1] \in C^{C_2} \) by [16 Section 3]. Since these classes detect \( \eta^i \) in the real motivic and \( C_2 \)-equivariant settings, the result follows.

We first learned of the following lemma from Mike Hill.

**Lemma 7.23.** The image of \( \eta^i \in \pi_4^{C_2}(S^{0,0}) \) under the geometric fixed points functor \( \Phi^{C_2} \) is \( 2^i \in \pi_0(S^0) \) for all \( i \geq 1 \).

**Proof.** Recall that an explicit model of \( \eta \in \pi_4^{C_2}(S^{0,0}) \) is given by

\[
\eta: S^{3,2} \simeq S(C^2) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^1 \simeq S^{2,1}.
\]

Since \( \Phi^{C_2}(S^{s,t}) \simeq S^{s-t} \), we see that \( \Phi^{C_2}(\eta) \in \pi_0(S^0) \). Moreover, since the geometric fixed points of a map between suspension spectra may be computed by taking the fixed points of the corresponding \( C_2 \)-spaces, we can see from the explicit model that \( \Phi^{C_2}(\eta) = 2 \). The general result follows.

**Corollary 7.24.** The class \( \eta \in \pi_4^{C_2}(S^{0,0}) \) is not nilpotent.

**Proof.** Suppose not. Then there exists some minimal \( j > 0 \) such that \( \eta^j = 0 \). Then we would have \( 2^j = \Phi^{C_2}(\eta^j) = \Phi^{C_2}(0) = 0 \), which is a contradiction.

In fact, the previous lemma is an example of a more general relationship between the \( C_2 \)-equivariant and classical stable stems.

**Lemma 7.25.** The map of Adams spectral sequences

\[
\text{Ext}_{A_2}(M_{C_2}^C, M_{C_2}^C) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2)
\]
induced by the geometric fixed points functor

\[ \Phi^{C_2} : Spt^{C_2} \rightarrow Spt \]

satisfies

\[ h_{i,j} \mapsto h_{i,j-1}. \]

The following proof is due to Isaksen.

**Proof.** The geometric fixed points functor \( \Phi^{C_2} : Spt^{C_2} \rightarrow Spt \) is the composite

\[ Spt^{C_2} \xrightarrow{(-)^\Phi} Spt^{C_2} \xrightarrow{(-)^{C_2}} Spt \]

of the geometric localization functor \( (-)^\Phi : Spt^{C_2} \rightarrow Spt^{C_2} \) defined by \( X \mapsto X \wedge \tilde{EC_2} \) and the categorical \( C_2 \)-fixed points functor \( (-)^{C_2} : Spt^{C_2} \rightarrow Spt \). Recall the following facts from [10 Section 2]:

- There is an isomorphism \( \pi^{C_2}(X^\Phi) \cong \pi^{C_2}_i(X)[a^{-1}] \).
- There is an isomorphism \( \pi_i^{C_2} X \cong \pi_i(X^{C_2}) \).

Putting these together shows that there is an isomorphism

\[ \pi_*(\Phi^{C_2}(X)) \cong (\pi_*(X)[a^{-1}]) \vert_{* \in \mathbb{Z}}. \]

Explicitly, if \( \alpha \in \pi^{C_2}_{i+j}\sigma(X) \), then \( \Phi^{C_2}(\alpha) \) is computed by mapping \( \alpha \) to the \( a \)-localization of \( \pi^{C_2}_{i+j}\sigma(X) \) and then multiplying the image by \( a^j \) to obtain a class in \( \pi_i(X)[a^{-1}] \).

Our goal is to understand this composite at the level of Adams spectral sequence \( E_2 \)-pages. In this case, we must understand the composite

\[ \text{Ext}^{s*,*}_{A^{C_2}_*}(\mathcal{M}^{C_2}, \mathcal{M}^{C_2}) \xrightarrow{(-)^\Phi} \text{Ext}^{s*,*}_{A^{C_2}_*}(\mathcal{M}^{C_2}, \mathcal{M}^{C_2})[a^{-1}] \xrightarrow{\alpha} \text{Ext}^{s*,*}_{A^{C_2}_*}(\mathcal{M}^{C_2}, \mathcal{M}^{C_2})[a^{-1}]. \]

The right-hand side is isomorphic to \( \text{Ext}^{s*,*}_{A^{C_2}_*}(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2) \) by the above remarks. The proof of [13 Thm. 4.1] can easily be adapted to show that the middle term can be rewritten as

\[ \text{Ext}^{s*,*}_{A^{C_2}_*}(\mathcal{M}^{C_2}, \mathcal{M}^{C_2})[a^{-1}] \cong \text{Ext}^{s*,*}_{D^{C_2}_*}(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2) \otimes \mathbb{F}_2[a, a^{-1}] \]

where \( DA^i_2 \) is the image of the classical dual Steenrod algebra under the dual of the doubling homomorphism defined by sending \( Sq^j \mapsto Sq^{2j} \), and that moreover the class \( h_{ij} \in \text{Ext}^{s*,*}_{A^{C_2}_*} \) corresponds to the class \( h_{ij} \in \text{Ext}^{s*,*}_{D^{C_2}_*} \). Since the doubling homomorphism induces a map which sends \( h_{ij} \mapsto h_{i,j+1} \) on \( Ext \)-groups, the lemma follows. \( \square \)

**Remark 7.26.** The above lemma also follows from the construction of the classical and equivariant Steenrod algebras. We saw in the proof of Lemma 4.5 that the geometric fixed points of \( B_{C_2} \Sigma_2 \) are \( B\Sigma_2 \), and that the image of the nonequivariant 2-periodic stems detected by \( P_{\alpha} \) is the Massey product \( \langle h_4, h_4, - \rangle \) from the previous subsection.

This lemma implies the nontriviality of the \( C_2 \)-equivariant analogs of Andrews’ \( w_1 \)-periodic families.

**Definition 7.27.** Suppose that \( \alpha \in \pi^{C_2}_{s*}(S^{0,0}) \) is detected in the \( C_2 \)-equivariant Adams spectral sequence by a cocycle \( x \). We define \( w^1_{x,\alpha} \in \pi^{C_2}_{s*}(S^{0,0}) \) to be the class in the \( C_2 \)-equivariant stable stems detected by \( P_{\alpha, x} \) where \( P_{\alpha}(-) \) is the Massey product \( \langle h_4, h_4, - \rangle \) from the previous subsection.

The geometric fixed points functor relates these \( w_1 \)-periodic families to Adams’ \( v_1 \)-periodic families [3].

**Proposition 7.28.** The classes \( \nu, \nu^2, \nu^3, \eta^2 \eta_4, \tau, \) and \( \pi \nu \) in the \( C_2 \)-equivariant stable stems are \( w^4_1 \)-periodic.
Proof. We provide the proof that \( \nu \) is \( w_1^4 \)-periodic. The remaining classes are similar.

Recall that \( \eta \in \pi_1(S^0) \) is detected by \( h_{11} \) in the classical Adams spectral sequence. By [10], the classes \( v_1^4 \eta \in \pi_{8i+1}(S^0) \) are nontrivial for all \( i > 0 \). By [10], the class \( v_1^4 \eta \) is detected by iterating the Massey product \( P(-) = (h_3, h_1^4, -) \) \( i \)-times.

The class \( \nu \in \pi_{3,2}^C(S^0,0) \) is detected by \( h_{12} \) in the \( C_2 \)-equivariant Adams spectral sequence, and \( w_1^4 \nu \) is detected by iterating the Massey product \( P_w \) \( i \)-times. We then have \( \Phi^{C_2}(w_1^4 \nu) = v_1^4 \eta \) by Lemma 7.25. Since \( v_1^4 \eta \neq 0 \) for all \( i \geq 0 \), we conclude that \( w_1^4 \nu \neq 0 \) for all \( i \geq 0 \).

We conclude by relating these classes to those constructed in the previous subsection.

Lemma 7.29. Let \( \alpha \in \{ w_1^4 \nu, w_1^4 \nu^2, w_1^4 \nu^3, w_1^4 \eta^2 \eta_4 : 1 \geq 0 \} \) be a class in \( \pi_{\ast\ast}^C(S^0,0) \). The equivariant Betti realization of \( \alpha \) is the class with the same name in \( \pi_{\ast\ast}^C(S^0,0) \).

Proof. The lemma is clear since equivariant Betti realization preserves May names.

7.4. Real motivic and \( C_2 \)-equivariant Mahowald invariants of \( \eta^i \). In this subsection we compute \( M^R(\eta^i) \) and \( M^{C_2}(\eta^i) \) modulo the following conjecture:

Conjecture 7.30. The map \( \eta^i \) is null on \( L^{i+2m}_{-i+2m} \), for all \( m \in \mathbb{Z} \). In particular, the topological dimension of \( M^R(\eta^{i+4}) \) is at least 20 more than the topological dimension of \( M^R(\eta^i) \).

Remark 7.31. This conjecture is a real motivic analog of [59] Prop. 5.16; compare also with Proposition 6.1. We expect that a similar proof should work once we know the real motivic stable stems in the correct range. In particular, we need to know \( \nu^R_{4-2k+e.4-k}(S^0,0) \) for \(-9 \leq k \leq 9\). This corresponds to a small portion of the first ten Milnor-Witt stems; the first four were computed by Dugger-Isaksen in [13].

Theorem 7.32 (Assuming Conjecture 7.30). For any \( i \geq 0 \), we have

\[
M^R(\eta^{3i+j}) \cong \begin{cases} w_1^{4(i-1)} \eta^2 \eta_4 & j = 0, \\
w_1^{4i} \nu & j = 1, \\
w_1^{4i} \nu^2 & j = 2, \\
w_1^{4i} \nu^3 & j = 3. 
\end{cases}
\]

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of [59] Thm. 5.17. We provide the proof for \( j = 1 \); the other cases are similar.

By base-change along \( f : \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbb{R}) \), we obtain the upper bound on the topological dimension \( |M^R(\eta^{4i+1})| \leq |M^{C_2}(\eta^{4i+1})| = |w_1^{4i} \nu| = 3 + 20i \). Moreover, if this upper bound is sharp, then \( w_1^{4i} \nu \in M^R(\eta^{4i+1}) \).

Explicit computation and Conjecture 7.30 provides a lower bound on the topological dimension. More precisely, we have \( \nu \in M^R(\eta) \). We have

\[
3 + 20i = |\nu| + 20i \leq |M^R(\eta^{4i+1})| \leq 3 + 20i
\]

from which we conclude that the upper bound is sharp.

We now use Lemma 4.5 to calculate \( M^{C_2}(\eta^i) \) by comparison with \( M^R(\eta^i) \) and \( M^{cl}(2^i) \).

Theorem 7.33 (Assuming Conjecture 7.30). For any \( i \geq 0 \), we have

\[
M^{C_2}(\eta^{4i+j}) \cong \begin{cases} w_1^{4(i-1)} \eta^2 \eta_4 & j = 0, \\
w_1^{4i} \nu & j = 1, \\
w_1^{4i} \nu^2 & j = 2, \\
w_1^{4i} \nu^3 & j = 3. 
\end{cases}
\]
Proof. By [46, Thm. 2.17], the classical Mahowald invariants $M^{el}(2^i)$ are determined by the commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
S^0 & \xrightarrow{M^{el}(2^i)} & S^{s(i)} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
S^0 & \cong & \Sigma P^\infty \\
\end{array}
\]

where $s(i)$ and $N(i)$ are functions determined by $i$ with $N(i) > 0$ minimal such that the diagram commutes. Let $x(i)$ denote the claimed $C_2$-equivariant Mahowald invariant of $\eta^i$. We claim that there is a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
S^{s,i} & \xrightarrow{x(i)} & S^{s'(i),t'(i)} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
S^{0,0} & \cong & \Sigma^{1,0} P^\infty \\
\end{array}
\]

where the left-hand composite is nontrivial, $N'(i) = N(i)$, and $s'(i)$ and $t'(i)$ are determined by $N(i)$. Indeed, this follows from applying the proof of Lemma 4.12 to $\alpha = 2^i \in \pi_0(S^0)$, $\beta = M^{el}(2^i) \in \pi_{s(i)}(S^0)$, $\alpha' = \eta^i \in \pi_{s(i)}(S^0)$, and $\beta' = x(i) \in \pi_{s'(i),t'(i)}(S^0)$.

To see that $N'(i)$ is minimal such that the diagram commutes, we will use the real motivic Mahowald invariant. By Theorem 7.32, the real motivic Mahowald invariants $M^R(\eta^i)$ are determined by the commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
S^{s',i} & \xrightarrow{M^R(\eta^i)} & S^{s''(i),t''(i)} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
S^{0,0} & \cong & \Sigma^{1,0} L^\infty \\
\end{array}
\]

where $s''(i)$, $t''(i)$, and $N''(i)$ are functions determined by $i$ with $N''(i) > 0$ minimal such that the diagram commutes. Inspection of the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequences used in the proofs of [46, Thm. 2.17] and [59, Thm. 5.12] shows that $N(i) = N''(i)$ and therefore $N''(i) = N'(i)$. Applying equivariant Betti realization $Rc_{C_2}$ to the real motivic diagram produces a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
S^{s',i} & \xrightarrow{M^C_2(\eta^i)} & S^{s''(i),t''(i)} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
S^{0,0} & \cong & \Sigma^{1,0} L^\infty \\
\end{array}
\]

Suppose $N'(i)$ were not minimal so that the diagram above commutes. Then by Lemma 4.5 we would have $N''(i) \leq N'(i) < N(i)$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $N'(i)$ is minimal and the result follows. □

Appendix A. Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence charts

This appendix consists of the charts for the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence converging to $\pi_{**}(kq_{\mathbb{R}}^{\Sigma_2})$ which was used in the proof of Proposition 5.12.
Figure 6. The $E_1$-page of the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence for $k_{6p}$.
Figure 7. The $E_2$-page of the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence for $kq^p$. 
Figure 8. The $E_3$-page of the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence for $k_{BG}$.

\[\begin{array}{c}
\ldots
\end{array}\]
Figure 9. The $E_4 = E_\infty$-page of the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence for $kq_{R^P}^{\Sigma_2}$
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