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Abstract

For a large class of physically relevant operators on a manifold with discrete group action, we prove general results on the (non-)existence of a basis of well-localised Wannier functions for their spectral subspaces. This turns out to be equivalent to the freeness of a certain Hilbert module over the group $C^*$-algebra canonically associated to the spectral subspace. This brings into play $K$-theoretic methods and justifies their importance as invariants of topological insulators in physics.
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1 Introduction

In solid state physics, one often studies the Schrödinger equation on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with a potential which is periodic under the action of a lattice $\mathbb{Z}^d$ of translations preserving an underlying crystalline structure (the atomic positions, say). The spectral subspace $L^2_S(\mathbb{R}^d)$ corresponding to the spectrum of the Hamiltonian operator lying between some spectral gaps is then invariant under the $\mathbb{Z}^d$ translations. A (composite) Wannier basis for $L^2_S(\mathbb{R}^d)$ comprises a set of wavefunctions $w_j, j = 1, \ldots, n$ and their translates $\gamma^* w_j$ by $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, such that the set

$$\gamma^* w_j, \quad \text{with} \quad j = 1, \ldots, n, \quad \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^d$$

is an orthonormal basis for $L^2_S(\mathbb{R}^d)$, thus identifying $L^2_S(\mathbb{R}^d)$ as $n$ copies of the regular representation of $\mathbb{Z}^d$ sitting inside $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Wannier bases are convenient for expanding the “effective” electron states in a spectral subspace of physical interest, and it is often desirable to choose the $w_j$ to be as localised as possible, so that one may reasonably think of the $\gamma^* w_j$ as “atomic orbitals” localised at the atomic positions labelled by $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, see Fig. 1 for an illustration.

Wannier basis construction usually proceeds via the Bloch–Floquet decomposition over the character space $\mathbb{T}^d$ of the translation symmetry group $\mathbb{Z}^d$ (reviewed in §7.1). From this vantage point, much effort has been devoted to proving the existence, for arbitrary $L^2_S(\mathbb{R}^d)$, of “good” Wannier bases with, say, exponential decay [29, 11, 35, 8, 31, 34, 13, 12]. Remarkably, in $d \geq 2$, there is a topological obstruction — the Chern class of the so-called Bloch bundle over $\mathbb{T}^2$ [8] — which persists even if we relax the the decay condition significantly (cf. Remark 3.5). The non-existence of good Wannier bases, or “atomic limits” [7], is a paradigmatic feature of so-called topological insulators.

In this paper, we abstract the salient features of the “good Wannier basis existence problem” as follows.

Basic Setup. Let $X$ be a complete connected Riemannian manifold with an effective, cocompact, properly discontinuous, isometric action of a countable group $\Gamma$. Let $E$ be an complex $\Gamma$-equivariant vector bundle over $X$ with a $\Gamma$-invariant fiber metric.

The relevant space of fields is then the space $L^2(X, E)$ of square-integrable sections of $E$, which admits a right action of the reduced group $C^*$-algebra $C^*_r(\Gamma)$ in a canonical way. Now given a Hamiltonian $D$, i.e. a self-adjoint operator acting on sections of $L^2(X, E)$, we assume that we are given a compact subset $S \subset \text{Spec}(D)$ of the spectrum of $D$, which is separated from the rest of the spectrum by spectral gaps. A typical example has the spectrum of $D$ bounded from below, and the so-called Fermi level — this describes an insulator. The spectral subspace $L^2_S(X, E)$ for energies below the Fermi level is the subspace of occupied energy states, and is of particular physical interest in determining various material properties.

The idea is now to consider the spectral subspace $L^2_S(X, E)$ as a module over the reduced group $C^*$-algebra $C^*_r(\Gamma)$. However, in general, this space is too large to be finitely

---

1 If $E$ is just a trivialised line bundle, we will simply write $L^2(X)$, omitting reference to $E$. 
generated and projective. In the case $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^d$, where $C^*_r(\Gamma) \cong C(\mathbb{T}^d)$, continuous functions on the Brillouin torus, this parallels the fact that $L^2_{S}\mathbb{R}^d$ is identified with the space of square-integrable (not necessarily continuous) sections of the Bloch bundle; clearly this is not finitely generated as a module over $C(\mathbb{T}^d)$ and moreover does not carry any topological information.

To remedy the situation, we use a construction of Roe [39, pp. 243] which identifies a dense subspace $L^2_{\Gamma}(X, E) \subset L^2(X, E)$, which is a Hilbert module over $C^*_r(\Gamma)$, in other words, admits a $C^*_r(\Gamma)$-valued scalar product. The construction is such that in the abelian case $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^d$, the intersection $L^2_{S}\mathbb{R}^d \cap L^2_{\Gamma}\mathbb{R}^d$ is precisely the space of continuous sections of the Bloch bundle, which is finitely generated and projective as a $C(\mathbb{T}^d)$-module, by the theorem of Serre–Swan.

We then prove the following result.

**Theorem 1.1.** Assume in addition that $\Gamma$ has polynomial growth. Let $D$ be a self-adjoint equivariant differential operator acting on sections of $E$, which is either of Laplace type, or first order elliptic. Suppose that $S$ is a compact subset of the spectrum which is separated from the rest of the spectrum and let $L^2_{\Gamma}(X, E)$ be the corresponding spectral subspace. Then the subspace

$$P_S := L^2_{S}(X, E) \cap L^2_{\Gamma}(X, E)$$

is a finitely generated, projective $C^*_r(\Gamma)$-module. Moreover, the following assertions are equivalent.

(i) $P_S$ is a free $C^*_r(\Gamma)$-module of rank $n$.

(ii) There exist functions $w_1, \ldots, w_n \in S(X, E)$ such that the set $\gamma^*w_j$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$, $\gamma \in \Gamma$ is an orthonormal basis of $L^2_S(X, E)$.

Here $S(X, E)$ denotes the space of smooth sections of $E$ which decay faster than any polynomial, together with their derivatives, c.f. Def. 5.1 below.

In fact, we also prove a stronger, quantitative version of the theorem above, generalizing the results of Kuchment [31]: Namely, we show that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the existence of a module $Q$ such that $P_S \oplus Q$ is free of rank $n$, is equivalent to the existence of a tight frame of $L^2_S(X, E)$ consisting of $n$ “good” Wannier functions $w_1, \ldots, w_n \in S(X, E)$ and their $\Gamma$-translates; c.f. §6.

The above results do not require $\Gamma$ to be abelian, so they apply, for instance, to the theory of crystalline topological insulators in solid state physics, for which $X = \mathbb{R}^d$, the Euclidean space, and $\Gamma$ is a generally non-abelian crystallographic group (with $E$ trivial). Any such group has polynomial growth; we remark that by Gromov’s theorem [20], groups of polynomial growth are precisely those having a nilpotent subgroup of finite index. Our results show that the $K$-theory of $C^*_r(\Gamma)$, which is a proposed way to classify crystalline topological insulators [17, 43], presents computable obstructions to the existence of good Wannier bases (in the sense of being in the Schwartz class). Furthermore, these topological insulator inspired ideas extend to the non-Euclidean setting, as we exhibit in an example.
Let us mention that $C^*$-algebra methods have previously been applied to the mathematics of topological insulators in (implicitly) Euclidean settings, see e.g. [4, 6, 16, 26, 30, 37], and independently of the Wannier basis construction problem.

After fleshing out the constructions and proof of the main theorem in §2-§6, we formulate in the final section §7, the general “good Wannier basis existence problem” (Problem 1), and apply our theory to compute explicitly the presence/absence of obstructions in several physically interesting new examples.

Acknowledgement. We would like to thank Vito Zenobi, Varghese Mathai, Gianluca Panati, and Domenico Monaco for helpful discussion. The first-named author was supported by ARC Discovery Project grant FL170100020, under Chief Investigator and Australian Laureate Fellow Mathai Varghese, and the second-named author by ARC DECRA grant DE170100149.

2 Algebras of rapidly decaying sequences

Let $\Gamma$ be a finitely generated group. Its group algebra $C[\Gamma]$ is the set of all finite formal linear combinations of group elements. It has a $*$-involution given by

$$\left(\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} a_\gamma \gamma\right)^* = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \overline{a}_\gamma \gamma^{-1}. \quad (1)$$

The group algebra $C[\Gamma]$ acts on the Hilbert space

$$\ell^2(\Gamma) = \left\{ a = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} a_\gamma \gamma \mid \|a\|_{\ell^2(\Gamma)} := \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |a_\gamma|^2 < \infty \right\}$$

by translation. Multiplication by $a \in C[\Gamma]$ is bounded, hence one obtains a representation of $C[\Gamma]$ on $\mathcal{L}(\ell^2(\Gamma))$, which is in fact a $*$-representation. The reduced group $C^*$-algebra is then the $C^*$-algebra $C^*_r(\Gamma) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\ell^2(\Gamma))$ obtained by completing $C[\Gamma] \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\ell^2(\Gamma))$ with respect to the operator norm. Denoting by $u \in \ell^2(\Gamma)$ the element which is one at the unit of $\Gamma$ and zero otherwise, the map

$$C^*_r(\Gamma) \longrightarrow \ell^2(\Gamma), \quad a \longmapsto a \cdot u$$

provides a continuous embedding of $C^*_r(\Gamma)$ into $\ell^2(\Gamma)$, and further into the space $\ell^\infty(\Gamma)$ of all bounded, $\Gamma$-indexed sequences. In particular, elements of $C^*_r(\Gamma)$ are bounded $\Gamma$-indexed sequences.

Let $S$ be a finite generating set of $\Gamma$ with $S \not\subseteq 1$ and $S^{-1} = S$, and let

$$L(\gamma) = \min\{ n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid \exists s_1, \ldots, s_n \in S : \gamma = s_1 \cdots s_n \}$$

be the corresponding length function. Now for any auxiliary Banach space $A$, we define

$$H^\infty(\Gamma, A) := \left\{ a = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} a_\gamma \gamma \mid \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |a_\gamma|^2 L(\gamma)^s < \infty \text{ for all } s \geq 0 \right\}, \quad (2)$$

the space of rapidly decreasing sequences indexed by $\Gamma$ with values in $A$. 


Remark 2.1. Above, we wrote $|a_\gamma|_A$ for the pointwise norms of the $A$-valued sequence $a \in H^\infty(\Gamma, A)$, and we will also write $|a|_A \in H^\infty(\Gamma, C)$ for the sequence of pointwise $A$-norms. When $A = C$, we simply write $H^\infty(\Gamma) = H^\infty(\Gamma, C)$, which can be thought of as the space of “smooth functions on the dual of $\Gamma$”. For example, $H^\infty(Z)$ comprises the Fourier coefficient sequences of smooth functions on the dual circle $\mathbb{T} = \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$.

The space $H^\infty(\Gamma, A)$ is topologized by the increasing sequence of Hilbert space norms
\[
\|a\|_{s,A}^2 = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |a_\gamma|_A^2 (1 + L(\gamma))^{2s},
\]
which turn $H^\infty(\Gamma, A)$ into a Fréchet space. One easily checks that the definition of $H^\infty(\Gamma, A)$ is independent of the choice of finite generating set $S$; in particular, any such choice gives rise to an equivalent set of norms (3).

Lemma 2.2. Assume that $\Gamma$ has polynomial growth, meaning that
\[
\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} (1 + L(\gamma))^{-s} < \infty
\]
for some $s > 0$ large enough. Then for any $C^*$-algebra $A$, the space $H^\infty(\Gamma, A)$ is a Fréchet algebra in a natural way, which is continuously included in the spatial tensor product $A \otimes C^*_r(\Gamma)$.

Proof. We first show that there exist $C, s > 0$ such that
\[
\|a\|_{A \otimes C^*_r(\Gamma)} \leq C\|a\|_{s,A}
\]
for all $a \in H^\infty(\Gamma, A)$, which implies that $H^\infty(\Gamma, A)$ is continuously included in $A \otimes C^*_r(\Gamma)$. Namely, for $a \in A \otimes C[\Gamma]$ (the algebraic tensor product), we have by the triangle inequality that
\[
\|a\|_{A \otimes C^*_r(\Gamma)} \leq \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \|a_\gamma \otimes \gamma\|_{A \otimes C^*_r(\Gamma)} = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \|a_\gamma\|_A,
\]
as the spatial norm is a cross-norm. Hence by the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality,
\[
\|a\|_{A \otimes C^*_r(\Gamma)} \leq \left(\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |a_\gamma|_A^2 (1 + L(\gamma))^{2s}\right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} (1 + L(\gamma))^{-2s}\right)^{1/2}
\]
with the second $a$-independent factor finite by (4). Since $A \otimes C[\Gamma]$ is dense in $A \otimes C^*_r(\Gamma)$ and in $H^\infty(\Gamma, A)$, this shows the claim.

Clearly, $H^\infty(\Gamma, A)$ is an algebra in the obvious way. To show that the multiplication is continuous, we will show that for any $s \geq 0$, there exist $C', r > 0$ such that
\[
\|a \cdot b\|_{s,A} \leq C'\|a\|_{r,A}\|b\|_{r,A}
\]
for all \(a, b \in H^\infty(\Gamma, A)\). It suffices to consider the case \(s = n \in \mathbb{N}\). Then
\[
\|a \cdot b\|_{n,A}^2 = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \left| \sum_{\rho \in \Gamma} a_{\rho} b_{\rho^{-1}\gamma} \right|^2 \|L(\gamma) \|^2 \leq \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \sum_{\rho \in \Gamma} |a_{\rho}|_{A} |b_{\rho^{-1}\gamma}|_{A} L(\gamma)^n \|^2,
\]
Using the triangle inequality
\[
L(\gamma \rho) \leq L(\gamma) + L(\rho) \quad \text{for all} \quad \gamma, \rho \in \Gamma,
\]
we obtain
\[
\|a \cdot b\|_{n,A} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \|L^k |a|_{A} \cdot L^{n-k} |b|_{A}\|_{\ell^2(\Gamma)} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \|L^k |a|_{A}\|_{\text{op}} \|L^{n-k} |b|_{A}\|_{\ell^2(\Gamma)} \leq C \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \|L^k |a|_{A}\|_s \|b|_{A}\|_{n-k} = C \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \|a\|_{s+k,A} \|b\|_{n-k,A},
\]
where \(|a|_{A}, |b|_{A} \in H^\infty(\Gamma)\) are the respective pointwise \(A\)-norms of \(a, b\); moreover, we used the estimate \((5)\). Since the norms \((3)\) are increasing in strength, this implies \((6)\) with \(r = s + n\).

**Remark 2.3.** More generally, a group \(\Gamma\) is said to have property (RD), if there exist \(C, s > 0\) such that \(\|a\|_{\text{op}} \leq C \|a\|_{s}\) for all \(a \in C[\Gamma]\), which implies \(H^\infty(\Gamma) \subseteq C^*_r(\Gamma)\). The lemma above shows that groups of polynomial growth have property (RD). Conversely, it is known that an amenable group has property (RD) if and only if it is of polynomial growth \([25]\). The proof above also shows that also under the assumption (RD), \(H^\infty(\Gamma, A)\) is a Fréchet algebra for any \(C^*_r\)-algebra \(A\), i.e. that we have estimates of the form \((6)\).

A subalgebra \(S\) of a \(C^*_r\)-algebra \(B\) is called **spectral**, if for any \(b \in S\) that is invertible in \(B\), we already have \(b^{-1} \in S\). Moreover, we say that \(S\) is **closed under holomorphic functional calculus** when \(f(b) \in S\) for any function \(f\) that is is holomorphic on an open neighborhood of the spectrum of \(b\) (in \(B\)).

**Proposition 2.4.** Suppose that \(\Gamma\) has polynomial growth. Then for any unital \(C^*_r\)-algebra \(A\), the dense subalgebra \(H^\infty(\Gamma, A)\) of \(A \otimes C^*_r(\Gamma)\) is spectral and closed under holomorphic functional calculus.

In order to prove this proposition, we use the following criterion of Ji, Thm. 1.2 of \([24]\). In fact, Ji proves a version of Prop. \([24]\) for the special case where \(A = M_n(\mathbb{C})\), a finite-dimensional algebra, but under the weaker condition that \(\Gamma\) only satisfies property (RD). We adapt his proof to show that under the stronger condition of polynomial growth, one can allow \(A\) to be arbitrary.
Proposition 2.5. Let $L$ be a unital $C^*$-algebra and $B \subseteq L$ be a closed subalgebra containing the unit of $L$. Let

$$\delta : L \supset \text{dom}(\delta) \longrightarrow L$$

be a closed, unbounded derivation. Then $S := \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{dom}(\delta^n) \cap B$ is a subalgebra of $B$, and if $S$ is dense in $B$, then it is spectral in $B$ and closed under holomorphic functional calculus.

We remark that the theorem of Ji does not include the additional statement that $S$ is closed under holomorphic functional calculus. However, this follows easily from an additional argument by Valette, see [45], below Prop. 8.12.

Proof (of Prop. 2.4). Let $A \subseteq \mathcal{L}(H)$ for some Hilbert space $H$. We will use Prop. 2.3 for $L = \mathcal{L}(H \otimes \ell^2(\Gamma))$ and $B = A \otimes C^*_r(\Gamma) \subseteq L$. To get our hands on a derivation $\delta$, we define the densely defined, unbounded operator

$$M_L : H \otimes \ell^2(\Gamma) \supset \text{dom}(M_L) \longrightarrow H \otimes \ell^2(\Gamma)$$

given by pointwise multiplication with the length function $L$, where we set $\text{dom}(M_L) := H \otimes \mathbb{C}[\Gamma]$, making $M_L$ densely defined. Now define

$$\text{dom}(\delta) = \{ a \in A \otimes C^*_r(\Gamma) | [M_L, a] \text{ is densely defined and bounded} \}.$$ 

Hence for any $a \in \text{dom}(\delta)$, the operator $[M_L, a]$ extends by continuity to an element of $\mathcal{L}(H \otimes \ell^2(\Gamma))$, again denoted by $[M_L, a]$; the operator $\delta$ is then given by

$$\delta : A \otimes C^*_r(\Gamma) \supset \text{dom}(\delta) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(H \otimes \ell^2(\Gamma)), \quad a \mapsto [M_L, a].$$ 

It is straightforward to show by induction that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have the formula

$$\delta^n(a)h = \sum_{\gamma, \rho \in \Gamma} (L(\gamma) - L(\rho^{-1} \gamma))^n \cdot a_{\rho} h_{\rho^{-1} \gamma}$$

for $a \in A \otimes C^*_r(\Gamma)$ and $h \in H \otimes \mathbb{C}[\Gamma]$ (note that this is a well-defined, not necessarily square-summable, $\Gamma$-indexed sequence, as for fixed $\gamma$, the sum over $\rho$ is in fact finite). From the triangle inequality (7), we obtain for $a \in A \otimes \mathbb{C}[\Gamma]$, $h \in H \otimes \mathbb{C}[\Gamma]$ that

$$\|\delta^n(a)h\|_{\ell^2(\Gamma) \otimes H}^2 \leq \sum_{\gamma, \rho \in \Gamma} \sum_{\rho \in \Gamma} L(\rho)^n |a_{\rho}|_A |h_{\rho^{-1} \gamma}|_H^2 = \|L^n|a|_A \cdot |h|_H\|_{\ell^2(\Gamma)}^2$$

$$\leq \|L^n|a|_A\|_{\text{op}}^2 \|h\|_{\ell^2(\Gamma)}^2 = \|L^n|a|_A\|_{\text{op}}^2 \|h\|_{H \otimes \ell^2(\Gamma)}^2.$$ 

Here $|a|_A$ denotes the element of $C^*_r(\Gamma)$ (as a bounded $\Gamma$-indexed sequence) obtained by taking the pointwise $A$-norm of $a$; similarly for $|h|_H \in \ell^2(\Gamma)$. This shows that $A \otimes \mathbb{C}[\Gamma] \subset \text{dom}(\delta^n)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, hence the intersection of all $\text{dom}(\delta^n)$ is dense. Moreover, since $\Gamma$ has polynomial growth, Lemma 2.2 implies that there exist $C, s \geq 0$ such that

$$\|L^n|a|_A\|_{\text{op}} \leq C\|L^n|a|_A\|_s = C\|a\|_{s+n, A}.$$
This shows that
\[ \| \delta^n(a) \|_{A \otimes C^*_r(\Gamma)} \leq C \|a\|_{s+n,A}, \]
which implies that \( H^\infty(\Gamma, A) \subseteq \text{dom}(\delta^n) \), for any \( n \in \mathbb{N} \).

To see that \( \delta \) is closed, let \( a_n \in \text{dom}(\delta) \) converge to some \( a \in A \otimes C^*_r(\Gamma) \). We claim that this means in particular that \( a_{n,\gamma} \rightarrow a_\gamma \) in \( A \) for every \( \gamma \in \Gamma \). Namely, given \( \xi \in H \), denote by \( u_\xi \) the element of \( \ell^2(\Gamma) \otimes H \) which is \( \xi \) at the unit element of \( \Gamma \) and zero otherwise. Then we have
\[ |a_{n,\gamma} - a_\gamma|^2_A \leq \sup_{|\xi|=1} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |(a_{n,\gamma} - a_\gamma)\xi|^2_H = \sup_{|\xi|=1} \| (a_n - a) u_\xi \|_{H \otimes \ell^2(\Gamma)}^2 \leq \|a_n - a\|^2_{A \otimes C^*_r(\Gamma)}, \]
which converges to zero as \( n \rightarrow \infty \), proving the claim. Having established this fact, formula (8) implies that for all \( h \in H \otimes \mathbb{C}[\Gamma] \),
\[ \delta(a_n)h \rightarrow [M_L,a]h \]
pointwise, in the sense that the coefficients \( (\delta(a_n))_\gamma \) converge in \( H \) for each \( \gamma \in \Gamma \); here \( [M_L,a]h \) is some \( \Gamma \)-indexed sequence, not necessarily square-summable. But now if \( \delta(a_n) \) converges to \( b \in \mathcal{L}(H \otimes \ell^2(\Gamma)) \), then in particular, \( \delta(a_n)h \) converges pointwise to \( bh \), for all \( h \in H \otimes \mathbb{C}[\Gamma] \). Hence in fact \( bh = [M_L,a]h \) for each \( H \otimes \mathbb{C}[\Gamma] \). We obtain that \( H \otimes \mathbb{C}[\Gamma] \subset \text{dom}([M_L,a]) \), hence \( [M_L,a] \) is densely defined, and moreover, \( [M_L,a] \) is bounded, since \( b \) is bounded. This shows \( a \in \text{dom}(\delta) \), and \( b = \delta(a) \), hence \( \delta \) is a closed operator.

With a view on the result of Ji cited above, it remains to show that
\[ \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty \text{dom}(\delta^n) \subseteq H^\infty(\Gamma, A) \]
in order to show that \( H^\infty(\Gamma, A) \) is spectral in \( A \otimes C^*_r(\Gamma) \), and it is this step where we need the stronger condition of polynomial growth instead of just property (RD). Namely, let \( a \in \text{dom}(\delta^n) \) for any \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). Given \( \xi \in H \), denote by \( u_\xi \) the element of \( \ell^2(\Gamma) \otimes H \) which is \( \xi \) at the unit element of \( \Gamma \) and zero otherwise. Then as \( a \in \text{dom}(\delta^n) \), we have
\[ \delta^n(a)u_\xi = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} L(\gamma)^n a_\gamma(\xi) \in \ell^2(\Gamma) \otimes H, \]
in fact
\[ C^2_n |\xi|^2_H = C^2_n \| u_\xi \|_{\ell^2(\gamma) \otimes H}^2 \geq \| \delta^n(a)u_\xi \|_{\ell^2(\Gamma) \otimes H}^2 = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} (L(\gamma)^n a_\gamma(h) |H|)^2 \]
for some constant \( C_n > 0 \), depending only on \( n \). In particular, we have that \( |a_\gamma|_A \leq C_n L(\gamma)^{-n} \), for any \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). Since \( \Gamma \) has polynomial growth, this implies that \( a \in H^\infty(\Gamma, A) \). \( \square \)
3 The Hilbert module of a group action

In this section, we review the notion of (Pre-)Hilbert modules over $C^*$-algebras, and introduce the basic examples relevant to our paper.

Let $A$ be a pre-$C^*$-algebra, by which we mean a $*$-closed subalgebra of a $C^*$-algebra. By a pre-Hilbert $A$-module, we mean a right $A$-module $M$ together with an $A$-valued inner product, 

$$(\cdot | \cdot) : M \times M \to A,$$

which is $\mathbb{C}$-antilinear in the first argument, $\mathbb{C}$-linear in the second argument, and satisfies the identities

$$(x|ya) = (x|y)a \quad \text{and} \quad (y|x) = (x|y)^*, \quad (9)$$

for all $x, y \in M$ and $a \in A$. Moreover, we require that $(x|x)$ lies in the cone of positive elements of $A$, for each $x \in M$; here we say that an element of $A$ is positive if it is positive in the completion of $A$. Using the $C^*$-property of the norm of $A$, one deduces the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality

$$\| (x|y) \|_A \leq \| (x|x) \|_A^{1/2} \| (y|y) \|_A^{1/2}, \quad (10)$$

which implies that the positive homogeneous functional

$$\| x \|_M := \| (x|x) \|_A^{1/2} \quad (11)$$

satisfies the triangle inequality, hence defines a norm on $M$. If $M$ is complete with respect to the norm $(11)$, it is called a Hilbert $C^*$-module over $A$ or simply a Hilbert $A$-module. Otherwise, starting from a pre-Hilbert $A$-module $M$, one can “simultaneously complete” $A$ and $M$ to obtain a Hilbert $C^*$-module, see pp. 4–5 of [32].

Example 3.1 (Standard Hilbert $A$-module). Let $H$ be a separable Hilbert space and $A$ a $C^*$-algebra. The interior tensor product $^\bullet H \otimes A$ is defined as follows (for general facts regarding the interior product, which in this case happens to coincide with the exterior product, see e.g. [32, Chapter 4]). On the algebraic tensor product of $H$ and $A$, define the inner product

$$\left( \sum_\alpha h_\alpha \otimes a_\alpha \right) \left( \sum_\beta k_\beta \otimes b_\beta \right) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \langle h_\alpha, k_\beta \rangle_H a_\alpha^* b_\beta. \quad (12)$$

We then denote by $H \otimes A$ the completion of the algebraic tensor product with respect to the norm given by $(11)$ in terms of this inner product. For $H = \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$, we obtain the standard (countably-generated) Hilbert $A$-module $\ell^2(A)$ discussed in [45, p. 237]. If we take $H = \mathbb{C}^n$, we obtain the standard finitely generated free module $A^n$.

---

2In fact, in this specific example, the interior tensor product $H \otimes A$ coincides with the exterior tensor product.
Example 3.2. Let $\Gamma$ be a finitely generated group having polynomial growth or, more generally, property (RD), so that the space $H^\infty(\Gamma)$ is a $*$-subalgebra of $C^*_r(\Gamma)$, c.f. Prop. 2.2 and Remark 2.3. Given a separable Hilbert space $H$, the space $H^\infty(\Gamma, H)$ as defined in Eq. (2) is a right $H^\infty(\Gamma)$-module in a natural way. Moreover, with the inner product

$$ (h|k) = \sum_{\gamma, \rho \in \Gamma} \langle h_\rho, k_{\rho\gamma} \rangle_H \gamma, $$

$H^\infty(\Gamma, H)$ becomes a pre-Hilbert $H^\infty(\Gamma)$-module. This inner product coincides with the one defined in (12) above for $A = C^*_r(\Gamma)$. The inner product indeed takes values in $H^\infty(\Gamma)$: For any $s \geq 0$, we have

$$ \|(h|k)\|_s^2 = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \left| \sum_{\rho \in \Gamma} \langle h_\rho, k_{\rho\gamma} \rangle_H \right|^2 L(\gamma)^{2s} \leq \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \sum_{\rho \in \Gamma} |h_\rho|_H^2 |k_{\rho\gamma}|_H^2 L(\gamma)^{2s}, $$

hence

$$ \|(h|k)\|_s \leq \|h\|_H^s \cdot |k|_H \|_s \leq C \|h\|_r, H \|_r \|k|_H \|_r = C'' \|h\|_r, H \|_r \|k|_H \|_r, $$

(14)

using the estimate (6). We also have $H^\infty(\Gamma, H) \subseteq H \otimes C^*_r(\Gamma)$, because

$$ \|(h|k)\|_{op} \leq C \|(h|k)\|_s \leq C'' \|h\|_r^2, $$

using estimate (5) together with (14). Of course, the inner product (13) on $H^\infty(\Gamma, H)$ coincides with the restriction of the inner product of $H \otimes C^*_r(\Gamma)$ from Example 3.1; this shows that (13) has all required properties of a $H^\infty(\Gamma)$-valued inner product.

For our last example, we assume the Basic Setup from the introduction; in other words, let $X$ be a complete Riemannian manifold and let $\Gamma$ be a group acting properly discontinuously on $X$ by isometries, such that $X/\Gamma$ is compact. Moreover, let $E$ be a $\Gamma$-equivariant vector bundle on $X$ with a $\Gamma$-equivariant fiber metric. Denote by $L^2(X, E)$ the space of square-integrable sections of $E$. The data induce an isometric right action of $\Gamma$ on $L^2(X, E)$ by pullback, explicitly

$$ (w \cdot \gamma)(x) = (\gamma^* w)(x) = w(\gamma \cdot x), $$

for $w \in L^2(X, E), \gamma \in \Gamma, x \in X$. With respect to a choice of fundamental domain $\mathcal{F}$ for the action of $\Gamma$, we obtain a $\Gamma$-equivariant isometry

$$ \Phi_\mathcal{F}: L^2(X, E) \longrightarrow L^2(\mathcal{F}, E) \otimes \ell^2(\Gamma), \quad w \longmapsto \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma^* w|_\mathcal{F} \otimes \gamma^{-1}, $$

(15)

where the tensor product is a tensor product of Hilbert spaces; here $\Gamma$ acts on $\ell^2(\Gamma)$ by right multiplication. Notice that we need the action to be effective in order to ensure surjectivity of $\Phi_\mathcal{F}$. Of course, these right $\Gamma$-actions extend in the obvious fashion to right $*$-representations of the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]$.  
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Example 3.3 (The module $L^2_\Gamma(X, E)$). We now describe a construction due to Roe, cf. pp. 243 of [39] of a Hilbert $C^*_r(\Gamma)$-module $L^2_\Gamma(X, E)$ associated to the action of the group $\Gamma$ on $X$. As a space, $L^2_\Gamma(X, E)$ is a dense subset of $L^2(X, E)$.

On $L^2(X, E)$, we consider the pairing
\[(v|w) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \langle \gamma^* v, w \rangle_{L^2(X, E)\gamma}, \quad (16)\]
a priori taking values in the space $\ell^\infty(\Gamma)$ of bounded sequences indexed by $\Gamma$. Now starting with compactly supported $v, w \in L^2_c(X, E)$, we see that $(v|w) \in \mathbb{C}[\Gamma]$ with $(v|v)$ positive (in $C^*_r(\Gamma)$), and that the pairing satisfies (11), so $L^2_c(X, E)$ becomes a pre-Hilbert $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma)$-module (Lemma 2.1 of [39]). We then define
\[L^2_\Gamma(X, E) = \text{completion of } L^2_c(X, E) \text{ with respect to the norm } \|w\|_\Gamma := \|(w|w)\|_{\operatorname{op}}^{1/2}. \quad (17)\]

One shows that the right action of $C^*_r(\Gamma)$ preserves $L^2_\Gamma(X, E)$ and that the bracket, restricted to $L^2_\Gamma(X, E)$, takes values in $C^*_r(\Gamma) \subset \ell^\infty(\Gamma)$. This turns $L^2_\Gamma(X, E)$ into a Hilbert $C^*_r(\Gamma)$-module.

We remark that if $u \in \ell^2(\Gamma)$ is the element which is one at the unit element and zero otherwise, then
\[\|(w, w)u\|_{\ell^2(\Gamma)} = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |\langle w, \gamma^* w \rangle_{L^2(X, E)}|^2 \ge \|w\|^2_{L^2(X, E)} \|u\|_{\ell^2(\Gamma)},\]
hence $\|w\|^2 = \|(w, w)\|_{\operatorname{op}} \ge \|w\|^2_{L^2(X, E)}$ which shows that in fact, the completion (17) can be realized as a subspace of $L^2(X, E)$. Therefore, we always stipulate $L^2_\Gamma(X, E) \subseteq L^2(X, E)$.

Given a fundamental domain $F$, we see that $\Phi_F$ defined in (15) restricts to a vector space isomorphism
\[\Phi_F : L^2_c(X, E) \longrightarrow L^2(F, E) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\Gamma].\]
Moreover, the bracket (16) can be equivalently written as
\[(v|w) = \sum_{\gamma, \rho} \langle \rho^* v, \gamma^* w \rangle_{L^2(F, E)\rho \gamma^{-1}},\]
which shows that $\Phi_F$ maps the bracket of $L^2_c(X, E)$ to the bracket (12). Extending this observation by continuity, one has the following result.

**Proposition 3.4.** After choosing a fundamental domain $F$ for the $\Gamma$-action, $\Phi_F$ restricts to an isomorphism of Hilbert $C^*_r(\Gamma)$-modules
\[\Phi_F : L^2_\Gamma(X, E) \longrightarrow L^2(F, E) \otimes C^*_r(\Gamma),\]
where on the right hand side, we have the Hilbert $C^*_r(\Gamma)$-module from Example 3.1.
Remark 3.5. It is easy to see that if \( w \in L^2(X, E) \) has the decay condition
\[
\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \|\gamma^* w\|_{L^2(\mathcal{F}, E)} < \infty
\]
with respect to some fundamental domain \( \mathcal{F} \), then \( w \in L^2(X, E) \). Condition Eq. (18) was considered in [31], for \( X = \mathbb{R}^d, \Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^d \), in connection with Chern class obstructions to localised Wannier bases.

4  Finitely generated projective pre-Hilbert modules

For a unital \( C^* \)-algebra \( A \), it is known, cf. Thm. 15.3.8 of [15] that there is a correspondence between finitely generated projective (f.g.p.) \( A \)-modules and projections in \( \mathcal{K}(H) \otimes A \), the algebra of compact adjointable module maps on the Hilbert \( A \)-module \( H \otimes A \cong \ell^2(A) \), c.f. Example 3.1. Explicitly, this means that given a projection \( p \in \mathcal{K}(H) \otimes A \), one has that the sub-Hilbert \( A \)-module \( p(H \otimes A) \) is isomorphic to \( q(A^n) \) for some \( q \in M_n(A), n \in \mathbb{N} \).

For the dense subalgebra \( H^{\infty}(\Gamma) \subseteq C^*_r(\Gamma) \), we can achieve a similar result for pre-Hilbert \( H^{\infty}(\Gamma) \)-modules.

Lemma 4.1. Let \( \Gamma \) be a finitely generated group of polynomial growth. Let \( p \) be a projection in \( H^{\infty}(\Gamma, \mathcal{K}(H)) \subseteq \mathcal{K}(H) \otimes C^*_r(\Gamma) \), where \( H \) is a separable Hilbert space. Then \( p(H^{\infty}(\Gamma, H)) \) is isomorphic as a pre-Hilbert \( H^{\infty}(\Gamma) \)-module to a f.g.p. \( H^{\infty}(\Gamma) \)-module.

Proof. By Prop. 2.4, \( H^{\infty}(\Gamma, A) \) is spectral and closed under holomorphic functional calculus in \( A \otimes C^*_r(\Gamma) \) for \( A = M_n(\mathbb{C}) \) as well as \( A = \mathcal{K}^+(H) \), the \( C^* \)-algebra of compact operators on \( H \) with a unit adjoined. The proof is then essentially an adaptation of Lemma 15.4.1 of [15].

We may assume that \( H = \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \) and write \( \mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}(H) \). Let \( \pi_n \in \mathcal{K} \otimes C^*_r(\Gamma) \) denote the standard rank \( n \) projection in \( \mathcal{K} \), tensored with the identity in \( C^*_r(\Gamma) \). As \( \{ \pi_n \}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) is an approximate identity for \( \mathcal{K} \otimes C^*_r(\Gamma) \), after writing \( q_n := \pi_n p \pi_n \) for the truncation (no longer a projection in general but still self-adjoint), we can arrange for
\[
\|p - q_n\| < \epsilon \leq \frac{1}{12}.
\]
Furthermore, \( q_n \) is positive with \( \|q_n\| \leq 1 \), so that
\[
\|q_n^2 - q_n\| \leq \|q_n(q_n - p)\| + \|q_n - p\|p + \|p - q_n\| < 3\epsilon \leq \frac{1}{4}.
\]
Note that \( q_n \) can be regarded as an element of \( M_n(H^{\infty}(\Gamma)) \). If \( \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \) is in the spectrum of \( q_n \) (which can be taken in \( M_n(H^{\infty}(\Gamma)) \) or in \( M_n(C^*_r(\Gamma)) \) since the first is spectral in the latter), then by the above calculation, \( 0 \leq \lambda - \lambda^2 \leq \epsilon \leq \frac{1}{4} \). This implies that
\[
\lambda \in \left[ 0, \frac{1}{2} - \delta \right) \cup \left( \frac{1}{2} + \delta, 1 \right], \text{ where } \delta = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{1 - 4\epsilon},
\]
and
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so $q$ has a spectral gap at $\frac{1}{2}$. The function $f = f(\mu)$ which is 0 to the left of $\mu = \frac{1}{2}$ and 1 to the right is therefore holomorphic in a neighbourhood of the spectrum of $q_n$, so we obtain a projection $q = f(q_n) \in M_n(H^\infty(\Gamma)) \subset H^\infty(\Gamma, K) \subset K \otimes C^*_r(\Gamma)$ which satisfies $q \leq \pi_n$ by construction (that $q \in M_n(H^\infty(\Gamma))$ follows because $M_n(H^\infty(\Gamma))$ is closed under holomorphic functional calculus). Moreover, we have

$$
\|q_n - q\| = \max_{\mu \in \text{Spec}(q_n)} |\lambda - f(\lambda)| < \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{1 - 4\epsilon}} \leq \frac{1}{8},
$$

by the choice of $\epsilon < \frac{1}{12}$. Therefore

$$
\|p - q\| \leq \|p - q_n\| + \|q_n - q\| < \frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{8} < 1,
$$

and a standard construction (e.g. Prop. 5.2.6 in [13]) gives

$$
z = (2q - 1)(2p - 1) + 1 \in H^\infty(\Gamma, K^+) \subset K^+ \otimes C^*_r(\Gamma)
$$

implementing $qz = zp$, where $K^+$ is the unital $C^*$-algebra given by adjoining a unit to $K$. Then $\|z - 2\| = \|2(2q - 1)(p - q)\| < 2$, so that $z$ is invertible in $K^+ \otimes C^*_r(\Gamma)$. In fact, we have $z^{-1} \in H^\infty(\Gamma, K^+)$, as by Prop. 2.4 $H^\infty(\Gamma, K^+)$ is spectral in $K \otimes C^*_r(\Gamma)$. Note that $zp = qz$ gives $z^*q = pz^*$ and also $p(z^*z) = (z^*z)p$, so with $u = z(z^*z)^{-1/2}$ being the unitary in the polar decomposition of $z$, which again exists by Prop. 2.4 we obtain a unitary equivalence $upu^{-1} = q$ in $H^\infty(\Gamma, K^+)$. The unitary $u \in H^\infty(\Gamma, K^+)$ constructed above gives an isomorphism

$$
\Phi : p(H^\infty(\Gamma, H)) \longrightarrow q(H^\infty(\Gamma))^n \subset q(H^\infty(\Gamma, H)), \quad x \mapsto ux.
$$

Note that since $x \in p(H^\infty(\Gamma, H))$, we have $x = px = u^{-1}qux$, hence $\Phi(x) = qux = q(qux) \in q(H^\infty(\Gamma))^n$. Clearly, $\Phi$ commutes with right multiplication by $H^\infty(\Gamma)$, hence is a module map. Moreover, since $u$ is unitary, we have

$$
(\Phi(x)|\Phi(y)) = (ux|uy) = (x|u^*uy) = (x|y),
$$

hence $\Phi$ preserves the inner product. This finishes the proof. \hfill $\square$

Of course, Lemma 4.1 also implies that $p(H \otimes C^*_r(\Gamma))$ is unitarily isomorphic as a Hilbert $C^*_r(\Gamma)$-module to a direct summand of $C^*_r(\Gamma)^n$. A priori however, the minimal required $n$ could be smaller in the $C^*_r(\Gamma)$-module case than in the $H^\infty(\Gamma)$-module case. The next lemma shows that this is not the case.

**Lemma 4.2.** Let $p$ be a projection in $H^\infty(\Gamma, K(H)) \subset K(H) \otimes C^*_r(\Gamma)$ as in Lemma 4.1. If $p(H \otimes C^*_r(\Gamma))$ is isomorphic as a Hilbert $C^*_r(\Gamma)$-module to a direct summand of $C^*_r(\Gamma)^n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $p(H^\infty(\Gamma, H))$ is isomorphic as a pre-Hilbert $H^\infty(\Gamma)$-module to a direct summand of $H^\infty(\Gamma)^n$ for the same $n$.

In particular, $p(H^\infty(\Gamma, H))$ is (isomorphic to) a pre-Hilbert $H^\infty(\Gamma)$-module of rank $n$ if and only if $p(H \otimes C^*_r(\Gamma))$ is (isomorphic to) a free Hilbert $C^*_r(\Gamma)$-module of rank $n$. 
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Proof. Lemma 4.1 gives \( p(H^\infty(\Gamma, H)) \cong q(H^\infty(\Gamma)) \) and \( p(H \otimes C^*_r(\Gamma)) \cong q(C^*_r(\Gamma)) \) for some \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), with \( q \) a projection in \( M_n(H^\infty(\Gamma)) \subset M_n(C^*_r(\Gamma)) \). Now suppose a unitary \( y \in M_n(C^*_r(\Gamma)) \) implements a further reduction

\[
y q y^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{q} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
\]

with \( \hat{q} \in M_{n-1}(C^*_r(\Gamma)) \).

Choose a unitary \( y_0 \in M_n(H^\infty(\Gamma)) \) close to \( y \) so that \( y_0 q y_0^{-1} \) is a projection in \( M_n(H^\infty(\Gamma)) \) close to \( y q y^{-1} = \text{diag}(\hat{q}, 0) \).

On the other hand, pick \( \check{r}_0 = \check{r}_0^* \in M_{n-1}(H^\infty(\Gamma)) \) (not necessarily a projection) close to \( \check{q} \in M_{n-1}(C^*_r(\Gamma)) \) such that its spectrum avoids the line \( \text{Re}(\mu) = \frac{1}{2} \). As in the proof of Lemma 4.1, holomorphic functional calculus gives a projection \( \check{q}_0 := f(\check{r}_0) \in M_{n-1}(H^\infty(\Gamma)) \) close to \( \check{q} \).

Now the projections \( \check{q}' = y_0 q y_0^{-1} \) and \( \check{q}'' = \text{diag}(\check{q}_0, 0) \) are in \( M_n(H^\infty(\Gamma)) \) and are both close to \( \text{diag}(\check{q}, 0) \), hence close to each other; therefore, they are unitarily equivalent in \( M_n(H^\infty(\Gamma)) \) (take the polar decomposition of the invertible \( (2\check{q}'' - 1)(2\check{q}' - 1) + 1 \in M_n(H^\infty(\Gamma)) \)). This gives a unitary \( u \in M_n(H^\infty(\Gamma)) \) such that

\[
u(y_0 q y_0^{-1}) u^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \check{q}_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},
\]

which finishes the proof. \( \square \)

The above results combine to the following corollary.

**Corollary 4.3.** Let \( p \) be a projection in \( H^\infty(\Gamma, K(H)) \subset K(H) \otimes C^*_r(\Gamma) \) as in Lemma 4.1 and let \( P = p(H \otimes C^*_r(\Gamma)) \) be the corresponding \( C^*_r(\Gamma) \)-module. Then \( P \) is a finitely generated and projective Hilbert \( C^*_r(\Gamma) \)-module. Moreover, for each \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), there exists a Hilbert \( C^*_r(\Gamma) \)-module \( Q \) such that

\[
P \oplus Q \cong C^*_r(\Gamma)^n
\]

if and only if there exists a pre-Hilbert \( H^\infty(\Gamma) \)-module \( Q' \) such that

\[
(P \cap H^\infty(\Gamma, H)) \oplus Q' \cong H^\infty(\Gamma)^n.
\]

### 5 Rapidly decaying functions and admissible operators

Let \( X \) be a complete Riemannian manifold and let \( E \) be a Hermitian vector bundle over \( X \). In this section, we consider the following function spaces inside \( L^2(X, E) \), whose definitions refer to a choice of basepoint \( x_0 \in X \), but are in fact independent of it. Later, we will relate these function spaces under the assumption of polynomial growth.
Definition 5.1. For some fixed $x_0 \in X$, we define

$$L^2_{rd}(X, E) := \{ w \in L^2(X, E) \mid \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \exists C > 0 : \| w \|_{L^2(X \setminus B_{R,x_0}, E)} \leq C R^{-n} \}$$

$$S(X, E) := \{ w \in C^\infty(X, E) \mid \forall n, m \in \mathbb{N} \exists C > 0 : |\nabla^m w(x)| \leq C d(x_0, x)^{-n} \}$$

The first is the space of $L^2$-sections of $E$ having rapid decay, while the second one is the space of $E$-valued Schwartz functions on $X$.

If we are in our Basic Setup from the introduction, which we will assume from now on, the Milnor–Švarc lemma applies [14, Thm. 8.37], so $\Gamma$ must be finitely generated, and, as a metric space with its word metric, be quasi-isometric to $X$. In other words, there exist constants $0 < \varepsilon < C$ such that

$$\varepsilon (1 + L(\gamma)) \leq 1 + d(x_0, \gamma x_0) \leq C (1 + L(\gamma))$$

(19)

for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and all $x_0 \in X$. Of course, these constants (as well as the length function) depend on the choice of finite generating set $S$ for $\Gamma$ (c.f. Section 2). We moreover assume that $X$ has polynomial volume growth, meaning that there exists $s \geq 0$ such that for some (equivalently, for any) $x_0 \in X$, we have

$$\text{vol}(B_{R,x_0}) < C (1 + R)^s$$

(20)

for some constant $C > 0$ and all $R > 0$, where $B_{R,x_0}$ is the ball around $x_0$ of radius $R$.

The estimates (19) imply that this condition is equivalent to the condition that $\Gamma$ has polynomial growth in the sense of (4). The estimate (20) now implies the inclusions

$$S(X, E) \subseteq L^2_{rd}(X, E) \subseteq L^2_2(X, E);$$

(21)

in fact, the second inclusion follows from the following lemma.

Lemma 5.2. For any bounded fundamental domain, the map $\Phi_F$ defined in (15) restricts to an isomorphism of pre-Hilbert $H^\infty(\Gamma)$-modules

$$\Phi_F : L^2_{rd}(X, E) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^\infty(\Gamma, H) \subset H \otimes C^*_r(\Gamma).$$

where $H = L^2(\mathcal{F}, E)$.

Proof. For $R \geq 0$, let $\Gamma_R$ be the set of all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $\gamma \mathcal{F}$ is not included in $B_{R,x_0}$. Then for $w \in L^2(X, E)$ and any $s \geq 0$, we have the estimate

$$\| w \|_{L^2(X \setminus B_{R,x_0}, E)} \leq \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_R} \| \gamma^* w \|_H^2 \leq \| \Phi_F(w) \|_{s,H}^2 \cdot \sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma_R} (1 + L(\gamma))^{-2s}.$$

Together with (19), this shows that if $\Phi_F(w) \in H^\infty(\Gamma, H)$, then $w \in L^2_{rd}(X, E)$.

To see the converse, note that for $\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_R$, we have $\gamma \mathcal{F} \subset B_{R,x_0}$, hence (19) implies that

$$1 + L(\gamma) \leq C (1 + d(x_0, \gamma x_0)) \leq C'(1 + R);$$
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here we assume that $x_0 \in \mathcal{F}$. Using this, we obtain for any $w \in L^2(X, E)$ and any $s \geq 0$

$$
\|\Phi_F(w)\|_{s,H}^2 \leq \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_m \backslash \Gamma_{m+1}} \|w\|^2_{L^2(\gamma F, E)} (1 + L(\gamma))^{2s}
$$

$$
\leq C \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (2 + m)^{2s} \|w\|^2_{L^2(X \backslash B_{m,x_0}, E)}.
$$

If now $w \in L^2_{\text{id}}(X, E)$, this sum converges, showing that $\Phi_F(w) \in H^\infty(\Gamma, H)$.

Wrapping up the results from above, with respect to the choice of a bounded fundamental domain, we have the following diagram, where each of the horizontal arrows, given by the map $\Phi_F$, is an isomorphism preserving the respective (pre-)Hilbert module structures.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
L^2(X, E) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_F} & L^2(F, E) \otimes \ell^2(\Gamma) \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow \\
L^1_{\text{rd}}(X, E) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_F} & L^2(F, E) \otimes C^*_r(\Gamma) \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow \\
L^2_{\text{id}}(X, E) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_F} & H^\infty(\Gamma, L^2(F, E)) \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow \\
L^2_c(X, E) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_F} & L^2(F, E) \otimes C[\Gamma] \\
\end{array}
\]

(22)

**Remark 5.3.** Under the condition of polynomial growth, $H^\infty(\Gamma)$ is in fact a nuclear space [25, Thm. 3.1.7], hence the algebraic tensor product with any other Banach space has a unique tensor product topology. In particular, the tensor product $L^2(F, E) \otimes H^\infty(\Gamma)$ is unambiguously defined and equal to $H^\infty(\Gamma, L^2(F, E))$. However, we will not need this fact.

**Definition 5.4.** We say that an operator $A \in \mathcal{L}(L^2(X, E))$ is *admissible* if it has a smooth integral kernel $a(x, y)$ which is rapidly decaying in the sense that for $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and each $s \geq 0$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

\[
|\nabla_x^n \nabla_y^m a(x, y)| < C (1 + d(x, y))^{-s}
\]

(23)

for all $x, y \in X$.

**Lemma 5.5.** If $A \in \mathcal{L}(L^2(X, E))$ is an admissible operator and $w \in L^2_{\text{id}}(X, E)$, then $A(w) \in S(X, E)$. 
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Proof. Let \( a(x, y) \) be the integral kernel of \( A \) and let \( w \in L^2_{rd}(X, E) \). We have

\[
\nabla^m Aw(x) = \int_X \nabla^m_x a(x, y)w(y)dy,
\]

which is absolutely convergent by the polynomial growth condition on \( X \) and the decay of \( a(x, y) \). Now for any \( s \geq 0 \), we have

\[
|\nabla^m Aw(x)| \leq \int_X |\nabla^m_x a(x, y)||w(y)|dy \leq C \int_X (1 + d(x, y))^{-s} (1 + d(y, x_0))^{-s} dy.
\]

By the triangle inequality, we have for any \( r \geq 0 \) that

\[
d(x, x_0)^r \leq \left( 1 + (1 + d(y, x_0))^{-s} \right)^r,
\]

which is integrable with respect to \( y \) for \( s \geq 0 \) large enough, since \( X \) has polynomial growth. Thus for any \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( r \geq 0 \), \( |\nabla^m Aw(x)|d(x, x_0)^r \) is bounded independent from \( x \), which was what we needed to show. \( \square \)

**Proposition 5.6.** Let \( p \in \mathcal{L}(L^2(X, E)) \) be an admissible \( \Gamma \)-invariant projection. Then \( P = p(L^2_\Gamma(X, E)) \) is a f.g.p. Hilbert \( C^*_\Gamma(\Gamma) \)-module of \( L^2_\Gamma(X, E) \). Moreover, given \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), there exists a f.g.p. Hilbert \( C^*_\Gamma(\Gamma) \)-module \( Q \) such that

\[
P \oplus Q \cong C^*_\Gamma(\Gamma)^n
\]

if and only if there exists a f.g.p. pre-Hilbert \( H^\infty(\Gamma) \)-module \( Q' \) such that

\[
P \cap \mathcal{S}(X, E) \oplus Q' \cong H^\infty(\Gamma)^n.
\]

Proof. With a view on (22), the result follows from Corollary 4.3 if we can show that

\[
\Phi_F p \Phi_F^{-1} \in H^\infty(\Gamma, \mathcal{K}(H)), \text{ for } H = L^2(\mathcal{F}, E).
\]

for some bounded fundamental domain \( \mathcal{F} \). Corollary 4.3 states that the existence of a f.g.p. Hilbert \( C^*_\Gamma(\Gamma) \)-module \( Q \) as above implies the existence of a f.g.p. pre-Hilbert \( H^\infty(\Gamma) \)-module \( Q' \) such that \( P \cap L^2_{rd}(X, E) \oplus Q' \cong H^\infty(\Gamma)^n \) and vice versa.

However, if for \( w \in P \cap L^2_{rd}(X, E) \), then \( w = p(v) \in L^2_{rd}(X, E) \) with \( v \in L^2_\Gamma(X, E) \). Hence, since \( p \) is a projection, \( w = p(p(v)) \), which by Lemma 5.5 is contained in \( \mathcal{S}(X, E) \), as \( p(v) \in L^2_{rd}(X, E) \). In other words, we have

\[
P \cap L^2_{rd}(X, E) = P \cap \mathcal{S}(X, E).
\]

To show (24), let \( p(x, y) \) be the integral kernel of \( p \). Fix \( f \in L^2(\mathcal{F}, E) \), and let \( w = \Phi_F^{-1}(f \otimes \gamma^{-1}) \). We have

\[
p(w)(x) = \int_{\gamma^{-1}(x)} p(x, y)f(y)dy = \int_{\mathcal{F}} p(\gamma^{-1}x, y)f(y)dy,
\]
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where we used that the integral kernel of $p$ is $\Gamma$-invariant, $p(\gamma x, \gamma y) = p(x, y)$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $x, y \in X$. Hence

$$\Phi_{F} p(w)(x) = \sum_{\rho \in \Gamma} \int_{\mathcal{F}} p(\gamma^{-1} \rho x, y) f(y) dy \otimes \rho^{-1}$$

$$= \sum_{\rho \in \Gamma} \int_{\mathcal{F}} p(\rho x, y) f(y) dy \otimes \rho^{-1} \gamma^{-1}.$$

In other words, we have

$$\Phi_{F} p \Phi_{F}^{-1} = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} A_{\gamma} \otimes \gamma^{-1}, \quad \text{with} \quad (A_{\gamma} f)(x) = \int_{\mathcal{F}} p(\gamma x, y) f(y) dy,$$

which is a compact operator, as it has a bounded integral kernel. For the operator norm of $A_{\gamma}$, we have the estimate

$$\|A_{\gamma}\|_{\text{op}} \leq \text{vol}(\mathcal{F}) \sup_{x, y \in \mathcal{F}} |p(\gamma x, y)| \leq C(1 + d(\gamma x, y))^{-s} = C'(1 + L(\gamma))^{-s},$$

for any $s \geq 0$, where we used that $p$ is admissible, and that $\mathcal{F}$ is bounded, together with \cite{19}. This follows from the fact that $p$ is admissible and that $X$ is quasi-isometric to $\Gamma$. This implies \cite{24} since $\Gamma$ has polynomial growth.

\section{The main theorem}

Let $X, E, \Gamma$ be as in the Basic Setup of the introduction. Thm. \cite{1.1} from the introduction is a special case of the following result, Thm. \cite{6.1} combined with Thm. \cite{6.3} further below.

Recall that a \textit{tight frame} of a Hilbert space $H$ is a collection $f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots$ of (possibly linearly dependent) elements of $H$ such that for all $h \in H$, we have

$$\|h\|_{H}^{2} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\langle h, f_{j} \rangle|^{2}.$$

\begin{theorem}
Suppose that $\Gamma$ has polynomial growth and let $D$ be a $\Gamma$-invariant, self-adjoint (unbounded) operator on $L^{2}(X, E)$ such that $\psi(D)$ is admissible for each Schwartz function $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$. Let $S$ be a compact subset of the spectrum of $D$ which is separated from the rest of the spectrum and let $L_{S}^{2}(X, E)$ be the corresponding spectral subspace. Set

$$P_{S} := L_{S}^{2}(X, E) \cap L_{1}^{2}(X, E).$$

(i) $P_{S}$ is a f.g.p. Hilbert $C_{r}^{*}(\Gamma)$-module.

(ii) Suppose that there exists a f.g.p. Hilbert $C_{r}^{*}(\Gamma)$-module $Q$ such that $P_{S} \oplus Q \cong C_{r}^{*}(\Gamma)^{n}$. Then there exist $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n} \in S(X, E) \cap P_{S}$ such that these functions and their translates form a tight frame of $L_{S}^{2}(X, E)$. Moreover, if $Q = \{0\}$, then we can arrange for this tight frame to be an orthonormal basis.
\end{theorem}
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(iii) Conversely, suppose that there exist $w_1, \ldots, w_n \in P_S$ that together with their $\Gamma$-translates form a tight frame of $L^2_S(X, E)$. Then there exists a f.g.p. Hilbert $C^*_r(\Gamma)$-module $Q$ such that $P_S \oplus Q \cong C^*_r(\Gamma)^n$, and if the $w_1, \ldots, w_n$ together with their translates are linearly independent, then $P_S$ is a free Hilbert $C^*_r(\Gamma)$-module.

**Remark 6.2.** Statement (iii) above is false if $w_1, \ldots, w_n \in L^2_S(X, E)$ are not constrained to be in $P_S$. Indeed, there are examples where there exists an orthonormal basis of $L^2_S(X, E)$ consisting of $w_1, \ldots, w_n$ and their translates, without $P_S$ being free, see the $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^2$ case in \[\text{Remark 5.5}\]. However, Thm. \[\text{5.1}\] states that for a basis comprising $w_1, \ldots, w_n$ and their translates, if the $w_j$ do satisfy the mild decay property of being contained in $L^2_S(X, E)$ (c.f. Remark \[\text{3.5}\]), then $P_S$ is free, and one can further choose the $w_j$ from the Schwartz space $S(X, E)$.

**Proof.** Let $p_S$ be the spectral projection in $L^2(X, E)$ associated to the subset $S \subset \text{spec}(D)$. Since $S$ is separated from the rest of the spectrum, we can write $p_S = \psi(D)$ using functional calculus, where $\psi$ is a compactly supported smooth function such that $\psi(\lambda) = 1$ for $\lambda \in S$ and $\psi(\lambda) = 0$ whenever $\lambda \in \text{spec}(D) \setminus S$. Thus $p_S$ is admissible in the sense of Def. \[\text{5.4}\] and by Prop. \[\text{5.6}\] there exists a pre-Hilbert $H^\infty(\Gamma)$-module $Q'$ and an isomorphism of pre-Hilbert $H^\infty(\Gamma)$-modules

$$
\alpha : H^\infty(\Gamma)^n = \mathbb{C}^n \otimes H^\infty(\Gamma) \longrightarrow P_S \cap H^\infty(\Gamma, E) \oplus Q'.
$$

By Lemma \[\text{5.5}\], we have $P_S \cap H^\infty(\Gamma, E) = P_S \cap S(X, E)$. Define $w_1, \ldots, w_n \in P_S \cap S(X, E)$ by $w_j := \text{pr}_1(\alpha(e_j \otimes 1))$, where $\text{pr}_1$ is the projection onto the first factor $P_S \cap S(X, E)$ and $e_j \in \mathbb{C}^n$ is the $j$-th unit vector. Since $\alpha$ (being an isomorphism of pre-Hilbert $H^\infty(\Gamma)$-modules) preserves the inner products, the vectors $\gamma^* w_j = \alpha(e_j \otimes \gamma)$ satisfy

$$
(\alpha(e_i \otimes \rho) | \alpha(e_j \otimes \gamma)) = (e_i \otimes \rho | e_j \otimes \gamma) = \delta_{ij} \rho^* \gamma. \quad (25)
$$

Composing the $H^\infty(\Gamma)$-valued inner product with the standard trace on $H^\infty(\Gamma)$ gives a scalar product on $P_S \cap S(X, E) \oplus Q'$, and by \[\text{25}\], the vectors $\gamma^* w_j, j = 1, \ldots, n, \gamma \in \Gamma$, form an orthonormal basis of the completion with respect to this inner product.

The inner product on $P_S \cap S(X, E) \subset L^2(X, E)$ obtained this way coincides with the restriction of the standard inner product on $L^2(X, E)$, and since $S(X, E)$ is dense in $L^2(X, E)$, the completion of $P_S \cap S(X, E)$ is $L^2_S(X, E)$. Because the orthogonal projection of an orthonormal basis to a subspace forms a tight frame of the subspace, this shows that the sections $\gamma^* w_j = \text{pr}_1(\alpha(e_j \otimes \gamma)), j = 1, \ldots, n, \gamma \in \Gamma$ form a tight frame of $L^2_S(X, E)$. Clearly, if $Q = \{0\}$, then the $\gamma^* w_j$ are linearly independent, as then $\gamma^* w_j = \alpha(e_j \otimes \gamma)$, the $e_j \otimes \gamma$ are linearly independent and $\alpha$ is a vector space isomorphism.
To show (iii), let \(w_1, \ldots, w_n \in P_S\) be such that \(\gamma^*w_j, j = 1, \ldots, n, \gamma \in \Gamma\), forms a tight frame of \(L^2_S(X, E)\). From the characterization of tight frames \([22]\), there exists a Hilbert space \(H\) and an orthonormal basis \(v_{j, \gamma}, j = 1, \ldots, n, \gamma \in \Gamma\) of \(L^2_S(X, E) \oplus H\) such that \(\gamma^*w_j = \text{pr}_1(v_{j, \gamma})\). Setting

\[
v_{j, \gamma} \cdot \rho := v_{j, \gamma \rho}
\]

for \(\rho \in \Gamma\) defines a right representation of \(C^*_\gamma(\Gamma)\) on \(L^2_S(X, E) \oplus H\). This action preserves \(H\); in fact, if we let \(v_{j, \gamma} = (\gamma^*w_j, v'_{j, \gamma})\), then \(v'_{j, \gamma} \cdot \rho = v'_{j, \gamma \rho}\). Setting

\[
(v'_{j, \gamma} | v'_{j, \eta}) := \langle v'_{i, 1}, v'_{j, 1} \rangle_{H^{-1}}
\]

defines a \(C^*_\gamma(\Gamma)\)-valued inner product on the subspace \(Q_0 \subset H\) consisting of finite linear combinations of the \(v'_{j, \gamma}, j = 1, \ldots, n, \gamma \in \Gamma\). Letting \(Q \subset H\) be the completion of \(Q_0\) with respect to the norm induced from the inner product and the norm on \(C^*_\gamma(\Gamma)\) defines a Hilbert-\(C^*_\gamma(\Gamma)\)-module such that \(P_S \oplus Q \cong C^*_\gamma(\Gamma)^n\), via the obvious isomorphism of Hilbert-\(C^*_\gamma(\Gamma)\)-modules sending \(v_{j, \gamma}\) to \(e_j \otimes \gamma\). If the \(\gamma^*w_j\) were in fact linearly independent, then \(H = \{0\}\), so that \(P_S \cong C^*_\gamma(\Gamma)^n\).

The following result shows that there are many examples of operators \(D\) for which Thm. 6.1 applies.

**Theorem 6.3.** Suppose that \(\Gamma\) has polynomial growth. Let \(D\) be a self-adjoint, \(\Gamma\)-invariant differential operator acting on sections of \(E\) and assume that either \(D\) is elliptic of order one; or that \(D\) is of order two and a generalized Laplace type operator. Then for each Schwartz function \(\psi \in S(\mathbb{R})\), the operator \(\psi(D)\) is admissible in the sense of Def. 5.4.

**Proof.** The argument is similar to the one in \([10]\). We will show that for all \(\varphi \in S(\mathbb{R})\), \(m, n, k \in \mathbb{Z}\), there exists a constant \(C > 0\) such that

\[
\|\varphi(D)w\|_{L^k(B_{R, K}, E)} < CR^{-n}\|w\|_{L^m(X, E)}
\]

whenever \(w\) is a smooth section of \(E\) with support in a compact set \(K \subset X\). Here \(B_{R,K}\) denotes the set of \(x \in X\) with \(d(x,K) \leq R\) and for an open subset \(Y \subset X\), \(H^k(Y, E) \subset L^2(Y, E)\) is the Sobolev space of sections in \(E\) with square-integrable weak derivatives up to order \(k\). The result then follows from the results in \([15]\): The estimates \([26]\) imply that \(\varphi(D)\) is a quasi-local smoothing operator (c.f. Def. 2.14 ibid.); Sobolev embedding together with the results of Section 2.4 in \([15]\) that \(\varphi(D)\) is admissible in the sense of Def. 5.4 above.

First consider the case that \(D\) is of order one. In that case, the wave operator \(e^{isD}\) has finite propagation, meaning that there exists a constant \(\alpha > 0\) such that whenever \(w\) has support in a compact set \(K \subset X\), then \(e^{isD}w\) has support in \(B_{\alpha s,K}\). We use the formula

\[
\varphi(D)w = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\varphi}(s)e^{isD}w \, ds
\]

for Schwartz functions \(\varphi\), where \(\hat{\varphi}\) denotes the Fourier transform of \(\varphi\). Given \(k, m \in \mathbb{N}_0\), let \(\varphi(x) := (1 + x^2)^{(k-m)/2}\psi(x)\). Then by elliptic estimates, we have \(\|\psi(D)w\|_{H^k} = \ldots\)
∥φ(D)w∥_{H^m} (if one defines \(H^k\) norms suitably). Let \(χ_R\) be the function that is equal to zero on \(B_{R,K}\) and identically one on the complement. Now

\[
\|ψ(D)w\|_{H^k(X \setminus B_{R,K}, E)} = \|χ_Rφ(D)w\|_{H^m(X, E)}
\]

\[
\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{-R} + \int_{R}^{\infty} \right) |\hat{ψ}(s)| \|e^{isD}\hat{φ}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} ds
\]

by the finite propagation of \(e^{isD}\). This gives the estimate (26), since the operator \(e^{isD}\) is uniformly bounded independent of \(s\) and \(ψ\) is rapidly decaying.

If \(D\) is order two and of Laplace type, the spectrum of \(D\) is bounded below. By possibly replacing \(D\) by \(D + µ\), we may assume that the spectrum of \(D\) is bounded below by \(ε > 0\). We may then take \(ψ\) to be an even function, in which case formula (27), applied for \(\sqrt{D}\) instead of \(D\) yields

\[
ψ(D)w = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{φ}(s) \cos(s\sqrt{D})w ds;
\]

here \(\hat{φ}\) is the Fourier transform of \(φ(s) = ψ(s^2)\). The point is now that \(\cos(s\sqrt{D})\) is the solution operator to the wave equation, \((\frac{∂^2}{∂t^2} + D)w = 0\), which again has finite propagation speed [42, 2]. The proof is then similar to the argument before.

\[\Box\]

7 Application to good Wannier basis existence problem

We apply our results to the old problem of constructing well-localised Wannier bases in solid state physics. Most existing results on Wannier bases apply to the basic case where \(Γ = \mathbb{Z}^d\) is a lattice of translations acting on affine Euclidean space \(X = \mathbb{R}^d\). Fourier transform identifies \(C^∗(\mathbb{Z}^d)\) with \(C(\mathbb{T}^d)\), the continuous functions on

\[\mathbb{T}^d = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}^d, \text{U}(1)) \equiv \hat{Γ},\]

the Brillouin zone/torus in physics, and one studies \(Γ\)-invariant Hamiltonian operators \(D = D^∗\) acting on the Hilbert space \(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)\) of quantum mechanical wavefunctions.

We will recast the idea of Wannier bases in noncommutative topology/geometry language, so that our results become applicable, e.g. to all crystallographic \(Γ\) at once, and also in certain non-Euclidean settings as illustrated by our final example. It is instructive, however, to first recall the basic notions in the commutative case \(Γ = \mathbb{Z}^d\), which proceeds via Bloch theory; we remark that Bloch theory with noncommutative \(Γ\) was studied in [9, 21, 33].
7.1 Commutative Bloch–Floquet transform and Wannier bases

The fundamental domain for the $\mathbb{Z}^d$ action on $\mathbb{R}^d$ is an affine torus $T^d = \mathbb{R}^d/\mathbb{Z}^d$ (not to be confused with the Brillouin torus $\mathbb{T}^d$). The Bloch–Floquet transform is a unitary map $\hat{\Psi}: L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \ni f \mapsto \hat{f} \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^d, L^2(T^d))$, cf. Eq. (15) with $L^2(\mathbb{Z}^d) \cong L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$, explicitly defined by

$$\hat{f}(\chi, x) = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^d} f(x + \gamma) \chi(\gamma)^{-1} \equiv \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^d} (\gamma^* f)(x) \chi(\gamma)^{-1}, \quad \chi \in \mathbb{T}^d, x \in T^d.$$  

This sum of $\gamma$-shifted versions of $f$ weighted by the phase factor $\chi(\gamma)^{-1}$ is often called a Bloch sum. If we replace $x$ in the Bloch sum by $x + \gamma$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, we get the Bloch wave condition

$$\hat{f}(\chi, x + \gamma) = \hat{f}(\chi, x) \chi(\gamma).$$

Thus each fixed quasimomentum $\chi \in \mathbb{T}^d$, the function $\hat{f}(\chi, \cdot) \in L^2(T^d)$ extends to a $\chi$-quasiperiodic Bloch “wavefunction” on $\mathbb{R}^d$, albeit not normalisable over $\mathbb{R}^d$ but only over $T^d$. Equivalently, we write $\hat{f}(\chi, \cdot) \in L^2(T^d; \mathcal{L}_\chi)$ where each $\mathcal{L}_\chi \rightarrow T^d$ is the line bundle obtained by quotienting $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{C}$ by $(x, z) \sim (x + \gamma, \chi(\gamma) z)$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. Thus in total (see §D.3 of [17]), there is a Hilbert bundle $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^d$ with fibres

$$\mathcal{E}_\chi = L^2(T^d; \mathcal{L}_\chi),$$

and $\hat{f} \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^d; \mathcal{E})$ is an $L^2$-section of $\mathcal{E}$. The action of translation by $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ is represented unitarily on these sections by pointwise multiplication by the continuous function $\chi \mapsto \chi(\gamma)^{-1}$. An inversion formula

$$f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \hat{f}(\chi, x) \, d\chi \quad (28)$$

holds, recovering $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ as a “superposition” of Bloch wavefunctions $\hat{f}(\chi, \cdot) \in L^2(T^d; \mathcal{L}_\chi)$. In reverse, given a section $\phi \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^d; \mathcal{E})$, its Wannier function $w \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is the inverse Bloch–Floquet transform (28) of $\phi$.

Often, a certain finite-rank subbundle $\mathcal{E}_S$ of $\mathcal{E}$ is of interest, e.g. if the spectrum of $D$ has band structure, the spectral subspace $L^2_S(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for spectra lying between some given spectral gaps is a $\Gamma$-invariant subspace obtainable (after taking Bloch–Floquet transform) as the $L^2$-sections of a locally trivial subbundle $\mathcal{E}_S$, called a Bloch bundle [30, 31, 17]. If there are $n$ bands (so $\mathcal{E}_S$ has rank $n$), one can always find orthonormal measurable sections $\phi_j, j = 1, \ldots, n$ for $\mathcal{E}_S$; then each $\phi_j$ gives rise to a corresponding Wannier wavefunction $w_j \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that the translates $\gamma^* w_j$ are mutually orthonormal [31]. So we obtain an orthonormal Wannier basis $\gamma^* w_j$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ for the spectral subspace $L^2_S(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of interest.

As mentioned in the introduction, when $d = 2$, the Chern class of $\mathcal{E}_S$ obstructs choosing the $\phi_j$ to be continuous, thereby obstructing the existence of a Wannier basis comprising exponentially decaying wavefunctions [8], or even much more mildly decaying ones [31].
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Figure 1: Good Wannier basis $\gamma^* w_j$, $j = 1, 2$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}$, obtained from an identification of $P_S = L^2_S(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2_\Gamma(\mathbb{R})$ as a free $C^*_r(\mathbb{Z}) = C(\mathbb{T})$-module of rank 2.

This failure has been turned into a triumph in recent years, because of the experimental discovery and burgeoning theoretical interest in these topological insulators in physics, usually characterised exactly by the topological nontriviality of $\mathcal{E}_S$ as detected, e.g. by $K$-theory classes $[27, 17, 43]$.

The same obstruction can occur for a range of decay conditions on the Wannier basis. From a physical perspective, a working definition of a topological insulator is one for which $L^2_S(\mathbb{R}^d)$ does not admit an “atomic limit” $[7]$, which we can think of as the nonexistence of localised Wannier bases for $L^2_\Sigma(\mathbb{R}^d)$. For this, a weak decay condition is preferred, so as to argue that a topological insulator necessarily has very delocalised Wannier bases. On the other hand, when the obstruction is not present, we would like to be able to choose Wannier wavefunctions which are as regular (smooth) and/or localised as possible. Let us remark that the rather extreme condition of compactly supported Wannier wavefunctions was considered in $[38]$ in connection with algebraic $K$-theory obstructions, cf. our construction of $L^2_c(X,E)$ as a pre-Hilbert $C[\Gamma]$-module in §3.

*For our purposes, we say that a (Wannier) wavefunction is “good” if it belongs to the Schwartz class $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, cf. Definition 5.1.*

### 7.2 Existence of good Wannier basis: nonabelian symmetry groups

Quite generally, we can ask the nonabelian-$\Gamma$ analogue of the good Wannier basis existence problem:

**Problem 1.** Given a spectral subspace $L^2_\Sigma(X,E)$ of an admissible $\Gamma$-invariant operator $D$ on $L^2(X,E)$ (as defined in §5.6), does there exist a good Wannier basis, i.e. a set $w_1, \ldots, w_n \in \mathcal{S}(X,E)$ which together with their translates $\gamma^* w_j, \gamma \in \Gamma$, form an orthonormal basis for $L^2_\Sigma(X,E)$?

When $\Gamma$ has polynomial growth, our Thm. 6.1 says that the dense subspace $P_S = L^2(X,E) \cap L^2_\Gamma(X,E)$ of “not-so-poorly decaying” functions forms a f.g.p. Hilbert $C^*_r(\Gamma)$-module. Furthermore, if $P_S$ is freely generated by $w_1, \ldots, w_n$, we can even choose these
to be “good”, i.e. in $\mathcal{S}(X, E)$. Thus, Thm. 6.1 answers Problem 1 in the affirmative, for arbitrary $L^2_s(X, E)$, if all f.g.p. modules over $C^*_r(\Gamma)$ are free. A simple example where this occurs is $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}$ (see Fig. 1), and a new wallpaper group example is given in the next subsection (see Fig. 3). Generically, there can be f.g.p. $C^*_r(\Gamma)$-modules which are not free, in which case our Thm. 6.1 answers Problem 1 in the negative — we can only achieve a tight frame if we want $w_j$ to be in $P_s$ or better.

The semigroup structure of f.g.p. $C^*_r(\Gamma)$-modules is difficult to understand in general, so a tractable first step is to compute $K_0(C^*_r(\Gamma))$. For crystallographic groups, one can appeal to the Baum–Connes conjecture, or use algebraic topology methods after converting $K_0(C^*_r(\Gamma))$ to a twisted equivariant $K$-theory group of $T^d$ as in [17]. For physical application, a reasonable justification for restricting the search to stably (non-)free f.g.p. modules can be made, following [27]: $L^2_s(X, E)$ may be supplemented by well-localised inner atomic shells (free $C^*_r(\Gamma)$-modules) which were not accounted for in the specification of $D$. Thus the $K$-theory of $C^*_r(\Gamma)$ provides physically meaningful invariants which label topological phases given a group $\Gamma$ of symmetries [43].

Figure 2: If $P_s = L^2_s(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap L^2_{S^2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is a projective $C^*_r(\mathbb{Z}^2) = C(T^2)$-module which is not free, any Wannier basis for $L^2_s(\mathbb{R}^2)$ must comprise wavefunctions with poor decay properties, as illustrated above with one spatial dimension suppressed.

7.3 Crystallographic group examples

In this subsection, $E$ will be a trivial bundle over Euclidean $\mathbb{R}^d$ and we omit reference to it. The $\Gamma$-invariant Hamiltonians whose spectral subspaces we are considering are assumed to satisfy the generic conditions of Thm. 6.3 so the conclusions of the main theorem 6.1 apply.

Case $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^d$. The crucial difference between $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}$ and $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^2$ is that all f.g.p. modules over $C^*_r(\mathbb{Z})$ are free, whereas there are topologically nontrivial bundles over $T^2$, thus non-free f.g.p. modules over $C^*_r(\mathbb{Z}^2)$. A vector bundle over $T^2$ (f.g.p. $C^*_r(\mathbb{Z}^2)$-module) is trivial iff its first Chern class $c_1$ vanishes. Furthermore, the reduced $K$-theory of $T^2$ coincides
with $c_1$ in this case, so a nonvanishing reduced $K$-theory class detects not just the failure of a f.g.p. $C^*_r(\mathbb{Z}^2)$-module to be stably free, but its failure to be free.

The first Chern class obstruction, $c_1$, leads to “Chern topological insulators” in $d = 2$, for which there is no good Wannier basis for $L^2_S(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Note that we can measurably trivialise a topologically non-trivial Bloch bundle, and obtain a bad Wannier basis for $L^2_S(\mathbb{R}^2)$, e.g. §3 of [31], as illustrated in Fig. 2. For $d > 2$, there are further $K$-theory/Chern class obstructions to being free/stably free.

**Case** $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$. An interesting non-abelian example is $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$, where the second copy of $\mathbb{Z}$ acts on the first by the nontrivial automorphism of reflection. In crystallography, this group appears as the 2D crystallographic space group (a “wallpaper group”) $\text{pg}$, and can be realised as a group of isometries of 2D Euclidean space $X = \mathbb{R}^2$. Since $\Gamma$ is torsion free, the action is free and the fundamental domain is a manifold diffeomorphic to the Klein bottle, as illustrated in Fig. 3.

**Proposition 7.1.** Any finitely generated projective module over $C^*_r(\text{pg})$ is isomorphic to the free module $C^*_r(\text{pg})^n$ for some unique $n$.

**Proof.** The Klein bottle is a $B\text{pg}$, and invoking the Baum–Connes isomorphism [3] and low-dimension of $B\text{pg}$, we compute that

$$\mathbb{Z} \cong H_0(B\text{pg}) = H_{\text{even}}(B\text{pg}) \cong K_0(B\text{pg}) \cong K_0(C^*_r(\text{pg})).$$
The isomorphism takes the generator to the class [1] of the identity projection in $C^*_r(\text{pg})$, or equivalently, the free rank-1 module, cf. §7 of [44] or §5.3 of [19]. Thus every f.g.p. $C^*_r(\text{pg})$-module is stably isomorphic to $C^*_r(\text{pg})^n$ with $n \cdot [1]$ its $K$-theory class. Now, we note that $C^*(\mathbb{Z})$ has a canonical faithful finite trace which extracts the coefficient at the identity, and that this trace is invariant under the reflection action of the second $\mathbb{Z}$ in $\text{pg} \cong \mathbb{Z} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$. Furthermore, $C^*(\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{T}$ has (topological) stable rank 1 in the sense that invertibles are dense in $C^*(\mathbb{Z})$, cf. Prop. 1.7 of [10]. So Rieffel’s Thm. 10.8 in [10] applies, saying that every stably free f.g.p. $C^*_r(\text{pg})$-module is actually already free. 
\[ \square \]

This nice property of $\text{pg}$ allows us to invoke Thm. [1.1] to answer the good Wannier basis existence problem in the affirmative:

**Corollary 7.2.** Let the crystallographic group $\Gamma = \text{pg} = \mathbb{Z} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ act on the Euclidean plane $X = \mathbb{R}^2$ as above, and let $D$ be a Hamiltonian satisfying the conditions of Thm. [1.7]. Then for compact separated part $S \subset \text{Spec}(D)$, the module $P_S$ from Thm. [6.7] is a free $C^*_r(\text{pg})$-module (of rank $n$ say), so that there exists a good Wannier basis $\gamma^* w_j$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$, $\gamma \in \text{pg}$, for $L^2_S(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

**Case** $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$. Maybe the simplest non-abelian crystallographic group is $\mathbb{Z} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$, with $\mathbb{Z}_2$ acting on $\mathbb{Z}$ as $n \mapsto -n$. The group $\mathbb{Z} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$ acts on $\mathbb{R}$ with the (lift of the) generator $\tau$ of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ effecting reflection about some origin. We may compute that

$$K_0(C^*_r(\mathbb{Z} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2)) \cong \mathbb{R}(\mathbb{Z}_2) \oplus \mathbb{Z} M^\pm \cong \mathbb{Z}^2 \oplus \mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}^3,$$

where $\mathbb{R}(\mathbb{Z}_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ denotes the representation ring of $\mathbb{Z}_2$, and $M^\pm$ is an extra projective $C^*_r(\mathbb{Z} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2)$-module which we will construct later (Fig. [4]). This computation should be contrasted with

$$K_0(C^*_r(\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_2)) \cong K_0(C^*_r(\mathbb{Z})) \otimes K_0(C^*_0(\mathbb{Z}_2)) \cong \mathbb{Z} \otimes \mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}^2.$$

The $\mathbb{R}(\mathbb{Z}_2) \subset K_0(C^*_r(\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_2))$ part is generated by f.g.p. $C^*_r(\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$-modules induced from irreducible $\mathbb{Z}_2$-representations as follows. There is a natural action $\alpha$ of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ on $C^*_r(\mathbb{Z})$. Let $V$ be a finite-dimensional representation of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ and endow $E = V \otimes C^*_r(\mathbb{Z})$ with the diagonal $\mathbb{Z}_2$ action. Then $E$ is a “free ($C^*_r(\mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z}_2, \alpha$)-module” in the equivariant $K$-theory sense described in §11.2 of [3], and f.g.p. ($C^*_r(\mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z}_2, \alpha$)-modules are direct summands of such $E$. There is a natural way to turn f.g.p. ($C^*_r(\mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z}_2, \alpha$)-modules into f.g.p. $C^*_r(\Gamma) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$-modules, giving a correspondence of the equivariant $K^0_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(C^*_r(\mathbb{Z}))$ and $K_0(C^*_r(\mathbb{Z} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2))$, see §11.7 of [3]. If we let $V^{\text{reg}}$ be the regular representation of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ (which contains one copy each of the trivial and sign representations), then the above construction recovers $V^{\text{reg}} \otimes C^*_r(\mathbb{Z})$ as the basic free $C^*_r(\mathbb{Z} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2)$-module, and exhibits it as a direct sum of $V^{\text{triv}} \otimes C^*_r(\mathbb{Z})$ and $V^{\text{sign}} \otimes C^*_r(\mathbb{Z})$, see Fig. [4]. There is another summand $M^\pm$ of $V^{\text{reg}} \otimes C^*_r(\mathbb{Z})$, illustrated in Fig. [4].

Alternatively, in the Fourier transformed picture, $K_0(C^*_r(\mathbb{Z} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2)) \cong K^0_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(C^*_r(\mathbb{Z})) \cong K^0_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\mathbb{T})$ where $\mathbb{T}$ has the dual “flip” action of character-conjugation (momentum-reversal in physics). Then one computes $K^0_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\mathbb{T}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^3$, with generators explicitly realised by equivariant line bundles, cf. Lemma 4.3 of [18], which are physically the Bloch bundles corresponding to $V^{\text{triv}} \otimes C^*_r(\mathbb{Z}), V^{\text{sign}} \otimes C^*_r(\mathbb{Z})$, and $M^\pm$. 26
Figure 4: If the module $P_S$ corresponding to a spectral subspace $S \subset \text{Spec}(D)$ is a free $C^*_r(\mathbb{Z} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2)$-module, a good Wannier basis comprising $w$ and its mutually orthogonal translates by $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$ can be constructed (black). This free module may be written as $P^+_S \oplus P^-_S$ where the submodule $P^+_S$ (resp. $P^-_S$) is generated (non-freely) by the thick blue (resp. thick red) Wannier function $w^+ := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(w + \tau(w))$ (resp. $w^- := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(w - \tau(w))$). Notice that $\tau(w^+)$ and $w^+ = \tau(w^+)$ are not orthogonal, similarly for $\tau(w^-)$ and $w^- = -\tau(w^-)$.

7.4 A non-Euclidean example

Consider the Heisenberg group manifold

$$\text{Heis}_\mathbb{R} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & z \\ 0 & 1 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : x, y, z \in \mathbb{R} \right\},$$

which is topologically $\mathbb{R}^3$ but has a Nil geometry when equipped with the $\text{Heis}_\mathbb{R}$-invariant metric. Physically, this non-Euclidean geometry could model a uniform density of screw dislocations along the $z$-direction [28, 23]. By restricting to $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$, one obtains the the discrete subgroup $\text{Heis}_\mathbb{Z}$. Note that there is a central extension

$$1 \to \mathbb{Z} \to \text{Heis}_\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}^2 \to 1,$$

and decomposing over the character space $T$ of the central subgroup furnishes $C^*_r(\text{Heis}_\mathbb{Z})$ as a continuous field $\{A_\theta\}_{\theta \in T}$ of noncommutative tori. It is known that $K_0(C^*_r(\text{Heis}_\mathbb{Z})) \cong \mathbb{Z}^3$,
Figure 5: Inside the rank-1 free $C^*_r(\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{F}_2)$-module $P_S$ of Fig. 4, a submodule $M^\pm$ can be generated by $w^\pm := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (w + (1, \tau)^* w)$ (dark green). Note that $w^\pm$ has reflection centre at 0.5, whereas $w^+$ of Fig. 4 has reflection centre at 0.

see e.g. [1], with one of the generators being the class of the trivial projection, and that the $K$-theory class of a general projection may be computed via parings with cyclic cocycles [23]. So we may compute in principle whether a f.g.p. $C^*_r(\text{Heis}_{\mathbb{Z}})$-module is stably free or not.

**Proposition 7.3.** If a f.g.p. module over $C^*_r(\text{Heis}_{\mathbb{Z}})$ is stably free, it is even a free module.

**Proof.** We require, for a $C^*$-algebra $A$, the notions of general stable rank, $\text{gsr}(A)$, and connected stable rank, $\text{csr}(A)$, as defined in [40]. They are related by $1 \leq \text{gsr}(A) \leq \text{csr}(A)$, see pp. 328 of [40], and the computation $\text{csr}(C^*_r(\text{Heis}_{\mathbb{Z}})) = 2$ was carried out in [41], so we have $\text{gsr}(C^*_r(\text{Heis}_{\mathbb{Z}})) = 1$ or 2. As in the proof of Thm. 10.8 of [40], to show that $\text{gsr}(C^*_r(\text{Heis}_{\mathbb{Z}})) = 1$, it suffices to observe that $C^*_r(\text{Heis}_{\mathbb{Z}})$ has a faithful finite trace. Then by Corollary 10.7 of [40], $\text{gsr}(C^*_r(\text{Heis}_{\mathbb{Z}})) = 1$ implies the claim of this Proposition. \qed

Note that $\text{Heis}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is nilpotent, thus of polynomial growth, and acts freely and isometrically on $\text{Heis}_{\mathbb{R}}$ with quotient/fundamental domain a compact nilmanifold. Thus we may apply our Main Thm. 1.1 to conclude that when a spectral subspace $L^2_S(\text{Heis}_{\mathbb{R}})$ of a $\text{Heis}_{\mathbb{Z}}$-invariant Hamiltonian $D$ (satisfying the generic conditions of that theorem) has $P_S$ with $K$-theory class $n \cdot [1] \in K_0(C^*_r(\text{Heis}_{\mathbb{Z}}))$, we can already conclude that a good Wannier basis $\gamma^* w_j$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$, $\gamma \in \text{Heis}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ for $L^2_S(\text{Heis}_{\mathbb{R}})$ exists.
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