HOPF MODULES, FROBENIUS FUNCTORS AND (ONE-SIDED) HOPF ALGEBRAS

PAOLO SARACCO

Abstract. We investigate the property of being Frobenius for some functors strictly related with Hopf modules over a bialgebra and how this property reflects on the latter. In particular, we characterize one-sided Hopf algebras with anti-(co)multiplicative one-sided antipode as those for which the free Hopf module functor is Frobenius. As a by-product, this will lead us to relate the property of being an FH-algebra (in the sense of Pareigis) and of being a Hopf algebra for a given bialgebra with the property of being Frobenius for certain distinguished functors.
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Introduction

An outstanding result of Morita [13] claims that a $k$-algebra extension $A \to B$ is Frobenius if and only if the restriction of scalars $U$ from $B$-modules to $A$-modules admits an adjoint which is at the same time left and right, that is to say, if and only if $U$ is a Frobenius functor. This established Frobenius functors as the analogues of Frobenius extensions in category theory, opening the way to the study of the Frobenius property in a broader sense (see e.g. [4] for an account of Frobenius functors for general (co)module categories).

An equally outstanding result of Pareigis [17] claims that, under certain mild conditions, a $k$-bialgebra $B$ is a finitely generated and projective Hopf algebra if and only if it is
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Frobenius as an algebra and the Frobenius homomorphism is a left integral on $B$. Let us call FH-algebras those bialgebras satisfying these conditions.

If we consider the free Hopf module functor $- \otimes B$ from the category of $k$-modules to the one of (right) Hopf $B$-modules, then it is well-known, under the name of Structure Theorem of Hopf modules, that $- \otimes B$ is an equivalence of categories if and only if $B$ admits an antipode. What doesn’t seem to be known is that this functor always fits into an adjoint triple $- \otimes B \dashv - \otimes B \dashv (\cdot)_{coB}$ and the Structure Theorem essentially describes when these are equivalences. It comes natural then to ask ourselves what can be said if $- \otimes B$ is just a Frobenius functor instead of an equivalence. Our main result (Theorem 2.11) concerns exactly when those three functors form a so-called ambidextrous adjunction or, equivalently, when $- \otimes B$ is Frobenius. Surprisingly, the answer involve the notion of one-sided Hopf algebras introduced by Green, Nichols and Tatt [6] and studied further by Taft and collaborators [9, 12, 15, 20, 21]; right Hopf algebras whose right antipode is a bialgebra anti-endomorphism are precisely those bialgebras for which $- \otimes B$ is Frobenius. In fact, we will prove the following result.

**Theorem (Theorem 2.11).** The following are equivalent for a bialgebra $B$.

1. $B$ is a right Hopf algebra with a right antipode $S$ which is anti-multiplicative and anti-comultiplicative;
2. $B$ admits a unital anti-comultiplicative $k$-linear endomorphism $S$ which satisfies $a_1 S(b a_2) = S(b) \varepsilon(a)$ for all $a, b \in B$;
3. $\sigma_M : M^{coB} \to M \otimes_B k, m \mapsto m \otimes_B 1_k$ is an isomorphism natural in $M \in M_B$;
4. $\sigma_B^*$ is invertible, where $\hat{B} = B \otimes B$ with a suitable Hopf module structure.

A left-handed counterpart will be provided as well and merging the two together will give a new equivalent description of when a bialgebra is a Hopf algebra (Theorem 2.17).

A further question which arises is how these achievements can be connected with Pareigis’s classical result. In this direction, we will show that being a FH-algebra is strictly related to being Frobenius for some distinguished functors naturally involved in the Structure Theorem. Namely, we will see that the aforementioned equivalence from [17] can be merged into the following theorem, that may be interpreted as its categorical counterpart.

**Theorem (Theorem 3.14).** The following are equivalent for a finitely generated and projective $k$-bialgebra $B$.

1. The functor $- \otimes B : M \to M_B^B$ is Frobenius and $f_r B^* \cong k$.
2. $B$ is a Hopf algebra with $f_r B^* \cong k$.
3. $B$ is a FH-algebra.
4. The functor $- \otimes B : M^B \to M_B^B$ is Frobenius and $\text{Hom}^B(U_M(V^u), V^u) \cong \text{Hom}(M^{coB}, V)$, naturally in $M \in M_B^B, V \in M$, where $V^u$ denotes the trivial comodule structure.
5. The functor $- \otimes B : M \to M_B^B$ is Frobenius and $f_r B \cong k$.
6. $B^*$ is a Hopf algebra with $f_r B^{**} \cong k$.
7. $B^*$ is a FH-algebra.
The functor $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^B$ is Frobenius and $\text{Hom}_B(V, U^B(M)) \cong \text{Hom}(V, \underline{M}^B)$, naturally in $M \in \mathcal{M}_B^B, V \in \mathcal{M}$, where $V_\varepsilon$ denotes the trivial module structure.

The existence of a deep relationship between Hopf and Frobenius properties can be already deduced from many scattered results in the literature. Apart from Pareigis’s work, let us mention that Larson and Sweedler [11] proved that the existence of an antipode for a finite-dimensional bialgebra $B$ over a PID is equivalent to the existence of a non-singular integral on $B$ and deduced from this that a finite-dimensional Hopf algebras over PID are Frobenius. Hausser and Nill [7] extended these results to quasi-Hopf algebras, Bulacu and Caenepeel [2] to dual quasi-Hopf algebras and Iovanov and Kadison [8] addressed the question for the weak (quasi) Hopf algebra case. Let us also recall briefly the description of groupoids as special Frobenius objects in a suitable category given in [3].

The results in the present paper are intended to be a first step in the investigation of this relationship by revealing connections between Hopf properties and Frobenius properties of some distinguished functors. In a forthcoming paper [22], we will develop further this project by analysing, for example, the case of the functor $- \otimes B : B^B \rightarrow B^B$.

Concretely, this paper is organized as follows. In Section 1 we recall some general facts about adjoint triples, ambidextrous adjunctions and Frobenius functors that will be needed later on. Section 2 is devoted to the study of when the Larson-Sweedler’s free Hopf module functor is Frobenius. The main results of this section (Theorems 2.11, 2.16 and 2.17) characterize right (resp. left) Hopf algebras with anti-(co)multiplicative antipode as those bialgebras for which the free right (resp. left) Hopf module functor is Frobenius and then connect these two results, listing a number of properties which are equivalent to the existence of an antipode. In Section 3 we will address some categorical implications of [17] and we will investigate the connection between the Frobenius property for $- \otimes B : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^B$ and for other functors strictly related to this and the property of being an FH-algebra (or, equivalently, a Hopf algebra) for $B$. Finally, the appendix contains a direct proof of some technical results appearing in [13], which may be used to provide a naive, more categorical, proof of the fact that an FH-algebra is Hopf.

Notations and conventions. Throughout the paper, $\mathbb{k}$ will denote a base commutative ring (from time to time a field) and $B$ a bialgebra over $\mathbb{k}$ with unit $u : \mathbb{k} \rightarrow B$, multiplication $m : B \otimes B \rightarrow B$, counit $\varepsilon : B \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ and comultiplication $\Delta : B \rightarrow B \otimes B$. We will write $B^+$ for the augmentation ideal of $B$, that is to say, the kernel of the counit $\text{ker}(\varepsilon)$. The category of all (central) $\mathbb{k}$-modules will be denoted by $\mathcal{M}$ and by $\mathcal{M}_B$, $\mathcal{M}^B$ and $\underline{\mathcal{M}}_B$ (resp. $B\mathcal{M}$, $B^B\mathcal{M}$ and $B^B\underline{\mathcal{M}}$) we will mean the categories of right (resp. left) modules, comodules and Hopf modules over $B$, respectively. The unadorned tensor product $\otimes$ will be the tensor product over $\mathbb{k}$ as well as the unadorned $\text{Hom}$ will stand for the space of $\mathbb{k}$-linear maps. The coaction of a comodule will be usually denoted by $\delta$ and the action of a module by $\mu$, $\cdot$ or simply juxtaposition.
1. Adjoint triples

Let \( C, D \) be categories and \( L, R : C \to D, F : D \to C \) be functors such that \( L \) is left adjoint to \( F \) which is left adjoint to \( R \), i.e. \( L \dashv F \dashv R \). They form a so-called adjoint triple. As a matter of notation, we set \( \eta : \text{Id} \to F L \), \( \epsilon : LF \to \text{Id} \) for the unit and counit of the left-most adjunction and \( \gamma : \text{Id} \to RF, \theta : FR \to \text{Id} \) for the right-most one.

Assume also that \( F \) is fully faithful, or equivalently that either \( \epsilon \) or \( \gamma \) (and hence both of them) is a natural isomorphism, and consider the composition

\[
\sigma := \left( \begin{array}{ccc}
R(\epsilon R)^{-1} & LFR & L \theta \\
\eta R & F L & \eta L
\end{array} \right).
\]

Naturality of \( \epsilon \) entails that \( \sigma F \circ \gamma \circ \epsilon = \text{Id} \) and hence we have that

(1) \[ \sigma F = \epsilon^{-1} \circ \gamma^{-1} \]

is a natural isomorphism. Note also that

(2) \[ F \sigma = F L \theta \circ (F \epsilon R)^{-1} = F L \theta \circ \eta F R = \eta \circ \theta. \]

One may consider \( (\gamma L)^{-1} \circ R \eta \) as well but this would not add anything new to the picture in light of the following result.

**Lemma 1.1.** We have that \( \sigma = (\gamma L)^{-1} \circ R \eta \).

**Proof.** By resorting to the naturality of the morphisms involved, the invertibility of \( \gamma \) and \( \epsilon \) and the triangular identities one shows that \( \gamma L \circ L \theta = R \eta \circ \epsilon R \). \( \square \)

**Lemma 1.2.** Assume that \( L \dashv F \dashv R \) is an adjoint triple with \( F \) fully faithful. Then it is an ambidextrous adjunction (or ambijunction), i.e. there is a natural isomorphism \( L \cong R \), if and only if \( \sigma \) is a natural isomorphism.

**Proof.** If \( \sigma \) is a natural isomorphism then clearly \( L \dashv F \dashv R \) is an ambidextrous adjunction. Conversely, assume that there exists a natural isomorphism \( \tau : R \to L \). By naturality, the following diagram commutes

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
R & \xrightarrow{R \eta} & RF \to \text{Id} \\
\tau \downarrow & & \tau \downarrow \\
L & \xrightarrow{\eta L} & LF \to \text{Id}
\end{array}
\]

From the triangular identities of the adjunction \( L \dashv F \) we have that \( L \eta \) is a natural isomorphism (recall that \( \epsilon \) is a natural isomorphism by assumption). Therefore, \( R \eta \) is invertible and hence \( (R \eta)^{-1} \circ \gamma L \) gives an inverse for \( \sigma \). \( \square \)

Recall now that a *Frobenius pair* \((F, G)\) for the categories \( C \) and \( D \) is a couple of functors \( F : C \to D \) and \( G : D \to C \) such that \( G \) is left and right adjoint to \( F \). A functor \( F : C \to D \) is said to be *Frobenius* if there exists a functor \( G : D \to C \) which is at the same time left and right adjoint to \( F \). The subsequent lemma collects some equivalent ways of rephrasing the Frobenius property for future reference.
Lemma 1.3. The following are equivalent for a functor \( F : C \to D \):

1. \( F \) is Frobenius;
2. there exists \( R : D \to C \) such that \((F, R)\) is a Frobenius pair;
3. there exists \( L : D \to C \) such that \((L, F)\) is a Frobenius pair;
4. there exist \( L, R : D \to C \) such that \( L \dashv F \dashv R \) is an ambidextrous adjunction.

Proposition 1.4. For a fully faithful functor \( F : C \to D \), the following are equivalent:

1. \( F \) is Frobenius;
2. \( F \) is part of an adjoint triple \( L \dashv F \dashv R \) where the canonical map \( \sigma \) is a natural isomorphism.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 1.2 and Lemma 1.3. \( \square \)

Since we are mainly interested in adjoint triples whose middle functor is fully faithful, Proposition 1.4 allows us to study the Frobenius property by simply looking at the invertibility of the canonical map \( \sigma \).

Recall finally from [14] that a functor \( F : C \to D \) is said to be separable if the natural transformation \( F : \text{Hom}_C(\cdot, \cdot) \to \text{Hom}_D(F(\cdot), F(\cdot)) \) splits, that is to say, if there exists a natural transformation \( P : \text{Hom}_D(F(\cdot), F(\cdot)) \to \text{Hom}_C(\cdot, \cdot) \) such that \( P \circ F = \text{Id}_{\text{Hom}_C(\cdot, \cdot)} \).

In light of Rafael’s Theorem [19, Theorem 1.2], a left (resp. right) adjoint is separable if and only if the unit (resp. counit) of the adjunction is a split monomorphism (resp. epimorphism).

Corollary 1.5. For a fully faithful functor \( F : C \to D \), the following are equivalent:

1. \( F \) is an equivalence;
2. \( F \) is Frobenius and its adjoint \( G \) is separable;
3. \( F \) is part of an adjoint triple \( L \dashv F \dashv R \) where the canonical map \( \sigma \) is a natural isomorphism and either \( L \) or \( R \) is separable.

Proof. If \( F \) is an equivalence then its quasi-inverse is separable. Thus the implications from (1) to (2) and from (2) to (3) are immediate. To prove that (3) implies (1) notice that we already know from equation (2) that the unit of the left-most adjunction and the counit of the right-most are an epimorphism and a monomorphism respectively. Therefore, the additional condition on separability entails that either the unit or the counit is an isomorphism and hence that \( F \) is an equivalence. \( \square \)

As a matter of terminology: if a morphism is a split epimorphism, then we say that it admits a section (i.e. a right inverse). If it is a split monomorphism, we say that it admits a retraction (i.e. a left inverse).

We conclude this section with the following result. Recall from [26, Definition 1.1] that a monad \((T : C \to C, \mu, u)\) is Frobenius when it is equipped with a natural transformation \( e : T \to \text{Id}_C \) such that there exists a natural transformation \( \rho : \text{Id}_C \to T^2 \) satisfying

\[
T \mu \circ \rho T = \mu T \circ T \rho \quad \text{and} \quad T e \circ \rho = u = e T \circ \rho.
\]

Moreover, recall that a functor \( F : C \to D \) is said to be monadic if it admits a left adjoint \( L \) and the comparison functor \( K : C \to D^2 \) is an equivalence of categories, where
\( \mathbb{T} = (F \mathcal{L}, \eta, F \epsilon \mathcal{L}) \) is the monad associated with the adjunction \( F \dashv \mathcal{L} \) and \( D^\mathbb{T} \) is its Eilenberg-Moore category.

**Lemma 1.6.** Let \( F : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D} \) be monadic with left adjoint \( \mathcal{L} \). Then the monad \( \mathbb{T} \) is Frobenius if and only if \( F \) is Frobenius. In particular, if \( \mathcal{L} \dashv F \dashv \mathcal{R} \) is an adjoint triple with \( F \) fully faithful, then \( \mathbb{T} = (F \mathcal{L}, \eta, F \epsilon \mathcal{L}) \) is a Frobenius monad on \( \mathcal{C} \) if and only if \( F \) is Frobenius.

**Proof.** By [26, Proposition 1.5] we know that \( \mathbb{T} \) is Frobenius if and only if the forgetful functor \( U \mathbb{T} : D^\mathbb{T} \to \mathcal{D} \) is Frobenius. Denote by \( F \mathbb{T} : \mathcal{D} \to D^\mathbb{T} \) the free algebra functor (which is left adjoint to \( U \mathbb{T} \)) and recall that \( F \mathbb{T} = KL \) and that \( U \mathbb{T} = F \). The following bijections

\[
\text{Hom}_\mathcal{D}(F(X), Y) \cong \text{Hom}_\mathcal{D}(U \mathbb{T} K(X), Y),
\]

\[
\text{Hom}_\mathcal{C}(X, \mathcal{L}(Y)) \cong \text{Hom}_\mathcal{D}(K(X), \mathcal{K}(Y)) \cong \text{Hom}_\mathcal{D}(K(X), F \mathbb{T}(Y)),
\]

make it clear that \( U \mathbb{T} \) is left adjoint to \( F \mathbb{T} \) (i.e. \( U \mathbb{T} \) is Frobenius) if and only if \( F \) is left adjoint to \( \mathcal{L} \). Concerning the second assertion of the statement, recall from [1, Proposition 2.5] that in the stated hypotheses the functor \( F \) is monadic. \( \square \)

### 2. One-sided Hopf algebras and Sweedler’s Hopf module functor

In this section we study an example of an adjoint triple that naturally arises working with Hopf modules over a bialgebra. Deciding when this adjoint triple gives rise to a Frobenius functor leads to consider a distinguished weaker analogue of Hopf algebras, namely one-sided Hopf algebras.

Let \((B, m, u, \Delta, \varepsilon)\) be a bialgebra over \(k\) such that \(B\) is flat as a \(k\)-module. It is well-known that \((B_B, \Delta, \varepsilon)\) is a comonoid in the monoidal category \(\mathcal{M}_B\) of right \(B\)-modules and hence the underlying functor \(U^B : \mathcal{M}_B^B \to \mathcal{M}_B\) is left adjoint to \(- \otimes B : \mathcal{M}_B \to \mathcal{M}_B^B\). In addition, since \(\_k\) is a \((B, \_k)\)-bimodule, the hom-tensor adjunction gives rise to another pair of adjoint functors between \(\mathcal{M}\) and \(\mathcal{M}_B^B\): \(- \otimes_B \_k \dashv \text{Hom}(\_k, -)\). Composing the two adjunctions, we get a new one

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{M}_B^B \\
(\_)^B \downarrow \\
\mathcal{M} \\
\end{array}
\]

where for every Hopf module \(M\) the \(k\)-module \(\overline{M}^B\) is the quotient

\[
\overline{M}^B = \frac{M}{MB^B} \cong U^B(M) \otimes_B \_k
\]

and for every \(V\) in \(\mathcal{M}\) the Hopf module structure on \(V \otimes B\) is given by

\[
\delta(v \otimes b) = v \otimes b_1 \otimes b_2, \quad (v \otimes b) \cdot a = v \otimes ba,
\]

for every \(v \in V, a, b \in B\) (the notation \(b_1 \otimes b_2\) stands for \(\Delta(b)\), by resorting to Sweedler’s Sigma Notation). On the other hand, since \((B^B, m, u)\) is also a monoid in the monoidal
category $\mathcal{M}^B$, we can joint the two pairs of adjoint functors $- \otimes B \dashv U_B$ (between $\mathcal{M}_B$ and $\mathcal{M}_B^B$) and $- \otimes k^u \dashv (-)^{coB}$ (between $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}^B$) to get another adjunction

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}_B^B} \longrightarrow (-)^{coB}$$

where the Hopf module structure on $V \otimes B$ is the same of $\mathcal{M}_B$, $k^u$ is the right $B$-comodule structure on $k$ induced by $u : k \to B$ and for every Hopf module $M$,

$$M^{coB} = \text{Hom}_B^B (k^u, U_B (M)) = \{m \in N \mid \delta (m) = m \otimes 1\}.$$  

As a matter of notation, if the context requires to explicitly describe the (co)module structures on a particular $k$-module $V$, we will adopt the following conventions. With a full bullet, such as $V_*$ or $V^*$, we will denote a given action or coaction respectively. For example, a left $B$-comodule $V$ will be also denoted by $^*V$. With $V^u := V \otimes k^u$ and $V_\varepsilon := V \otimes k_\varepsilon$ we will denote the trivial right comodule and right module structures on $V$ (analogously for the left ones).

**Remark 2.1.** It is noteworthy that for every Hopf module $M$ with action $\mu$ and coaction $\delta$, $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^B$ is the coequalizer of $\mu$ and $M \otimes \varepsilon$, while $M^{coB}$ is the equalizer of $\delta$ and $M \otimes u$, both computed in $\mathcal{M}$. Moreover, recall that if $B$ is a Hopf algebra with antipode $S$, then $- \otimes B$ is an equivalence of categories (in fact, these are equivalent properties). This is known as the Structure Theorem of Hopf modules and it appeared for the first time in [11, Proposition 1 of §3] (even if in a less general form).

Summing up, we have an adjoint triple

$$\overline{-)^B} \dashv - \otimes B \dashv (-)^{coB}$$

with units and counits given by

$$\eta_M : M \to \overline{\mathcal{M}_B^B} \otimes B, \quad m \mapsto \overline{m_0 \otimes m_1}, \quad \epsilon_V : \overline{V \otimes B}^B \cong V, \quad v \otimes b \mapsto v \varepsilon (b),$$

$$\gamma_V : V \cong (V \otimes B)^{coB}, \quad v \mapsto v \otimes 1, \quad \theta_M : M^{coB} \otimes B \to M, \quad m \otimes b \mapsto m \cdot b,$$

and we are exactly in the situation of [11]. The canonical morphism $\sigma$ is simply

$$\sigma_M : M^{coB} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}_B^B}, \quad m \mapsto \overline{m},$$

and we want to investigate what happens if this is a natural isomorphism, that is to say, we are interested in characterizing when the functor $- \otimes B$ is a Frobenius functor.

**Proposition 2.2.** Given $M \in \mathcal{M}_B^B$, $\sigma_M$ is an isomorphism if and only if $M \cong M^{coB} \oplus MB^+$ as a $k$-module.

**Proof.** Firstly observe that $\sigma_M$ is injective if and only if $M^{coB} \cap MB^+ = 0$. Secondly, $\sigma_M$ is surjective if and only if for every $m \in M$, we have that $m = v + \sum m_i \cdot b_i$ for some $v \in M^{coB}, m_i \in M$ and $b_i \in B^+$. Therefore, $\sigma_M$ is bijective if and only if $M^{coB} \cap MB^+ = 0$ and $M = M^{coB} + MB^+$, if and only if the canonical morphism $M^{coB} \oplus MB^+ \to M$ induced by the universal property of the direct sum is bijective. \(\square\)
In order to see how being Frobenius for $-\otimes B : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}_B$ reflects on $B$, consider the distinguished component of $\sigma$ corresponding to the Hopf module $B_\ast \otimes B^\ast_\ast$ (which will be denote with $B \otimes B$ or simply $\hat{B}$) with structures

$$\delta (a \otimes b) = a \otimes b_1 \otimes b_2, \quad (a \otimes b) \cdot c = ac_1 \otimes bc_2,$$

that is, consider the linear map $\sigma_B : (B \otimes B)_{coB} \to \hat{B} \otimes B^B$.

Remark 2.3. Notice that for $a_i \otimes b_i \in (B \otimes B)_{coB}$ we have

$$a_i \otimes b_i = (a_i \varepsilon (b_i)) \otimes 1$$

because coinvariance implies that $a_i \otimes (b_i)_1 \otimes (b_i)_2 = a_i \otimes b_i \otimes 1$. Thus every element in $(B \otimes B)_{coB}$ is of the form $x \otimes 1$ for $x \in B$.

**Lemma 2.4.** The $k$-modules $(B \otimes B)_{coB}$ and $\hat{B} \otimes B^B$ are left $B$-modules with actions

$$a \cdot (x \otimes 1) = ax \otimes 1 \quad \text{and} \quad a \cdot x_i \otimes y_i = ax_i \otimes y_i,$$

respectively. The canonical morphism $\sigma_B$ is left $B$-linear with respect to these actions.

**Proof.** It is a straightforward check and hence it is left to the reader. \hfill $\Box$

**Proposition 2.5.** Assume that the canonical map $\sigma_B$ is invertible. The endomorphism $S (-) := (B \otimes \varepsilon) \left(\sigma_B^{-1} \right)$ of $B$ satisfies $S (1) = 1$,

$$a_1 S (ba_2) = S (b) \varepsilon (a) \quad \text{for all} \ a, b \in B$$

and, in particular, $\varepsilon \circ S = \varepsilon$.

**Proof.** Clearly, $S (1) = (B \otimes \varepsilon) \left(\sigma_B^{-1} \left(1 \otimes 1\right)\right) = (B \otimes \varepsilon) (1 \otimes 1) = 1$. Moreover, since $\sigma_B^{-1}$ is $B$-linear with respect to the action of Lemma 2.3 a direct computation shows that

$$a_1 S (ba_2) = a_1 \left(B \otimes \varepsilon\right) \left(\sigma_B^{-1} \left(1 \otimes ba_2\right)\right) = (B \otimes \varepsilon) \left(a_1 \otimes 1\right) \left(\sigma_B^{-1} \left(1 \otimes ba_2\right)\right)$$

$$= (B \otimes \varepsilon) \left(a_1 \cdot \left(\sigma_B^{-1} \left(1 \otimes ba_2\right)\right)\right) = (B \otimes \varepsilon) \left(\sigma_B^{-1} \left(a_1 \otimes ba_2\right)\right)$$

$$= (B \otimes \varepsilon) \left(\sigma_B^{-1} \left(1 \otimes b\right) \cdot a\right) = \varepsilon (a) \left(B \otimes \varepsilon\right) \left(\sigma_B^{-1} \left(1 \otimes b\right)\right) = \varepsilon (a) S (b)$$

as claimed. From these two relations, we can conclude also that

$$\varepsilon (S (a)) = \varepsilon (a_1) \varepsilon (S (a_2)) = \varepsilon (a_1 S (a_2)) = \varepsilon (u \varepsilon (a)) = \varepsilon (a)$$

for every $a \in B$ and this concludes the proof. \hfill $\Box$

Recall that given a bialgebra $(B, m, u, \Delta, \varepsilon)$, the vector space $\text{End}(B)$ of $k$-linear endomorphisms of $B$ is an algebra in a natural way: the unit is $u \circ \varepsilon$ and the multiplication is given by the convolution product

$$f * g := m \circ (f \otimes g) \circ \Delta.$$

A left (resp. right) convolution inverse of the identity is called left (resp. right) antipode and if it exists then $B$ is called left (resp. right) Hopf algebra (see [8]). If the identity admits a two-sided inverse, then the latter is called antipode and $B$ is a Hopf algebra. With this terminology, Proposition 2.5 leads to the following result.
Lemma 2.6. The endomorphism $S$ of Proposition 2.3 is a right convolution inverse of the identity and hence $B$ is a right Hopf algebra.

The subsequent corollary collects some results from [5].

Corollary 2.7. In the hypothesis of Proposition 2.3, if in addition $B$ satisfies any of the following:

- $B$ is finitely generated as a $k$-module;
- $B$ is commutative and either $k$ is a field or $B$ as an algebra is left or right Noetherian over $k$;
- $k$ is a field and $B$ is a pointed coalgebra (e.g. when $B = kM$ for some monoid $M$);
- $k$ is a field and the coradical $B_0$ of $B$ is a cocommutative coalgebra;

then $B$ is a Hopf algebra.

Remark 2.8. The condition $\text{Id}_B \ast S = u \circ \varepsilon$ implies that $S(1) = 1 \varepsilon(1) = u \varepsilon(1) = 1$ and that $\varepsilon(S(a)) = \varepsilon(a_1 S(a_2)) = \varepsilon(u a(a)) = \varepsilon(a)$ for every $a \in B$. Thus every right antipode is automatically unital and counital (analogously for left antipodes). Moreover, if $\sigma_B$ is invertible, then

\begin{equation}
\sigma_B^{-1}(x \otimes y) = x \cdot \sigma_B^{-1}(1 \otimes y) \equiv x_i S(y_i) \otimes 1,
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
S(x) \otimes 1 = \sigma_B(S(x) \otimes 1) = \sigma_B(\sigma_B^{-1}(1 \otimes x)) = 1 \otimes x
\end{equation}

for every $x \otimes y \in B \otimes B$ (summation understood), $x \in B$.

Lemma 2.9. Assume that $\sigma_B$ is invertible. The right antipode $S$ of Proposition 2.3 is an anti-coalgebra endomorphism of $B$. Moreover, both $(B \otimes B)^{coB}$ and $B \otimes B^B$ come with a left $B$-coaction with respect to which $\sigma_B$ is left colinear. Namely, these are given by

$\delta_{coB}(x \otimes 1) := x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes 1,$

$\delta_B(x \otimes y) = x_{i_1} S(y_{i_2}) \otimes x_{i_2} S(y_{i_1}) \otimes 1,$

respectively, for all $x \in B$ and $x_i \otimes y_i \in B \otimes B$.

Proof. The coaction on $(B \otimes B)^{coB}$ is well-defined since $B$ is flat as a $k$-module. Therefore, let us focus on the coaction on $B \otimes B^B$ and the colinearity. We divide the proof into three steps: anti-comultiplicativity of $S$, well-definition of the coaction, colinearity of $\sigma_B$. First of all, consider the map $\lambda : B \otimes B \rightarrow B \otimes B \otimes B^B$ given by

$\lambda(x \otimes y) := x_{i_1} S(y_{i_2}) \otimes x_{i_2} S(y_{i_1}) \otimes 1$

and pick $x_{i_1} z_{i_1} \otimes y_{i_2} z_{i_2} \in (B \otimes B) B^+$. We have that

$\lambda(x_{i_1} z_{i_1} \otimes y_{i_2} z_{i_2}) = (x_{i_1} z_{i_1})_1 S((y_{i_2} z_{i_2})_2) \otimes (x_{i_2} z_{i_2})_2 S((y_{i_1} z_{i_1})_1) \otimes 1$

$= x_{i_1} z_{i_1} S(y_{i_2} z_{i_2}) \otimes x_{i_2} z_{i_2} S(y_{i_1} z_{i_1}) \otimes 1$ (2)

$= (x_{i_1} S(y_{i_2}) \otimes x_{i_2} S(y_{i_1}) \otimes 1) \varepsilon(z_i) = 0$
and so $\lambda$ factors through the quotient, giving a linear morphism $B \otimes B^B \to B \otimes B \otimes B^B$ that we denote by $\lambda$ again. From the following computation

$$\lambda \left( \sigma_B (x \otimes 1) \right) = \lambda (x \otimes 1) = x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes 1 = \left( B \otimes \sigma_B \right) (\delta_{coB} (x \otimes 1))$$

it follows that $\left( B \otimes \sigma_B^{-1} \right) \circ \lambda = \delta_{coB} \circ \sigma_B^{-1}$. Thus

$$S(x_2) \otimes (S(x_1) \otimes 1) = \left( B \otimes \sigma_B^{-1} \right) \left( S(x_2) \otimes S(x_1) \otimes 1 \right) = \left( \left( B \otimes \sigma_B^{-1} \right) \circ \lambda \right) (1 \otimes x)$$

By applying $B \otimes B \otimes \varepsilon$ to both sides of this relation we conclude that

$$S(x_2) \otimes S(x_1) = S(x_1) \otimes S(x_2)$$

for all $x \in B$, that is, $S$ is anti-comultiplicative and so an anti-coalgebra endomorphism of $B$. Now we may show that $\lambda$ in fact defines a coaction of $B$ on $B \otimes B^B$, by observing that

$$\left( (1 \otimes \lambda) \circ \lambda \right) (x_1 \otimes y_1) = x_{i_1} S(y_{i_2}) \otimes x_{i_2} S(y_{i_1}) \otimes \delta_{coB} (x_1 \otimes 1),$$

$$\left( (\Delta \otimes 1) \circ \lambda \right) (x_1 \otimes y_1) = x_{i_1} S(y_{i_2}) \otimes x_{i_2} S(y_{i_1}) \otimes 1$$

and that

$$x_{i_1} S(y_{i_2}) \otimes x_{i_2} S(y_{i_1}) \otimes \delta_{coB} (x_1 \otimes 1) = x_{i_1} S(y_{i_3}) \otimes x_{i_2} S(y_{i_2}) \otimes x_{i_3} S(y_{i_1}) \otimes 1$$

Finally, we showed in the first step that $\lambda \circ \sigma_B = \left( B \otimes \sigma_B \right) \circ \delta_{coB}$, so that $\sigma_B$ is $B$-colinear with respect to these coactions. \hfill $\Box$

**Lemma 2.10.** Assume that $\sigma_B$ is invertible. The right antipode $S$ of Proposition 2.5 is an anti-algebra endomorphism of $B$.

**Proof.** The $k$-module $B \otimes B^B$ can be endowed with the $B \otimes B$-module structure given by $(a \otimes b) \triangleright (x \otimes y) = ax \otimes by$ for $a, b, x, y \in B$. Now,

$$S(b) S(a) \otimes 1 \simeq \sigma_B^{-1} \left( S(b) \otimes a \right) = \sigma_B^{-1} \left( 1 \otimes a \right) \triangleright \left( S(b) \otimes 1 \right)$$

and so $S(b) S(a) = S(ab)$. Since we know that $S$ is unital, the proof is complete. \hfill $\Box$

**Theorem 2.11.** The following are equivalent for a bialgebra $B$.

(1) $B$ is a right Hopf algebra with a right antipode $S$ which is anti-multiplicative and anti-comultiplicative;

(2) $B$ admits a unital anti-comultiplicative $k$-linear endomorphism $S$ which satisfies $\delta$;

(3) $\sigma$ is a natural isomorphism;

(4) $M \cong M^{coB} \oplus MB^+$ as $k$-modules for every $M \in \mathfrak{M}_B$;

(5) $\sigma_B$ is invertible.
Proof. The equivalence between (3) and (4) is the content of Proposition 2.2. The implication from (5) to (1) has already been proved in the foregoing paragraphs: from Proposition 2.5 we know that if $\sigma_B$ is invertible then $B$ is a right Hopf algebra and from Lemma 2.9 and Lemma 2.10 we know that its right antipode $S$ is anti-multiplicative and anti-comultiplicative. The implication from (3) to (5) is clear as well as the one from (1) to (2).

To show that (2) implies (3), assume that $B$ admits a unital anti-comultiplicative $k$-linear endomorphism $S$ which satisfies (8). We claim that for every Hopf module $M$

\[ \zeta_M : M_B \to M^{coB}, \quad m \mapsto m_0 \cdot S(m_1) \]

is an inverse for $\sigma_M$. First of all, let us see that $\zeta_M$ is well-defined. Consider the endomorphism of $M$ sending every $m$ to $m_0 \cdot S(m_1)$ and pick $i \in MB^+$. Then

\[ (m_i z_i)_0 \cdot S((m_i z_i)_1) = m_{i_0} \cdot z_i S(m_{i_1} z_{i_2}) = m_{i_0} \cdot S(m_{i_1}) \varepsilon(z_i) = 0 \]

so that it factors through the quotient and moreover

\[
\delta(m_0 \cdot S(m_1)) = m_{i_0} \cdot S(m_1)_1 \otimes m_{i_0} S(m_1)_2 = m_0 \cdot S(m_2) \otimes m_1 S(m_1_2) = m_0 \cdot S(m_1) \otimes 1
\]

so that it lands into the coinvariants, proving that the $\zeta_M$ is indeed well-defined. Now, in light of Remark 2.8 $S$ is unital and counital and hence for every $m \in M^{coB}$

\[ \zeta_M(\sigma_M(m)) = \zeta_M(\overline{m}) = m_0 \cdot S(m_1) = m \cdot S(1) = m, \]

and for every $m \in M$

\[ \sigma_M(\zeta_M(\overline{m})) = \sigma_M(m_0 \cdot S(m_1)) = \overline{m_0} \cdot S(m_1) = \overline{m_0} \varepsilon(S(m_1)) = \overline{m} \]

as claimed. This concludes the proof. \hfill $\square$

Recall, from [1] for example, that a bimodule $sP_R$ is a Frobenius bimodule if and only if $(- \otimes_S P, \text{Hom}_R(P, -)) : \mathcal{M}_S \to \mathcal{M}_R$ is a Frobenius pair of functors.

Corollary 2.12. Let $B$ be a bialgebra which is finitely generated and projective as a $k$-module and denote by $B^c$ the co-opposite bialgebra (same algebra structure, co-opposite coalgebra structure). Then $B$ is a Hopf algebra if and only if $\kappa B_{B \# B^c}$ is a Frobenius $(k, B \# B^c)$-bimodule.

Proof. Note that $B$ is a left $B^c$-comodule algebra, so that we can consider the category $B^c \mathcal{M}(B^c)_B$ of Doi-Hopf modules over $(B^c, B)$ in the sense of [2]. Note also that $\mathcal{M}_B^B = \mathcal{M}(B)_B$ and that we have an equivalence of categories $\mathcal{M}(B)_B \cong B^c \mathcal{M}(B^c)_B$. Since $B$ is finitely generated and projective, we also have an equivalence $B^c \mathcal{M}(B^c)_B \cong \mathcal{M}_{B\#B^c}$ (see [2] Remark 1.3(b)). Thus, $\mathcal{M}_B^B \cong \mathcal{M}_{B\#B^c}$ and we can identify $- \otimes : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}_B^B$ with $- \otimes \kappa B_{B\#B^c}$ : $\mathcal{M}_k \to \mathcal{M}_{B\#B^c}$ and $\text{Hom}_B(B, -)$ with $\text{Hom}_{B\#B^c}((\kappa B_{B\#B^c}, -)).$ In this context, and in light of Corollary 2.7 Theorem 2.11 can be restated by saying that $B$ is a (right) Hopf algebra if and only if $- \otimes : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}_{B\#B^c}$ is Frobenius, if and only if $\kappa B_{B\#B^c}$ is a Frobenius $(k, B \# B^c)$-bimodule. \hfill $\square$
Remark 2.13 (Hopfish algebras). Consider a bialgebra \((B, m, u, \Delta, \varepsilon)\) and its modulation \(\varepsilon := \k \varepsilon\) \(\Delta := B \otimes B (B \otimes B) \Delta\) in the sense of [27]. If we consider the Hopf module \(B_m \otimes B^*_e\), then

\[
\frac{B \otimes B^B}{U^B (B_m \otimes B^*_e) B^B} = \frac{B \otimes B}{(B \otimes B) \Delta (\ker(\varepsilon))} =: S.
\]

By construction, \(S\) is just a \(\k\)-module, but we may endow it with the \(B \otimes B\)-module structure induced by the left multiplication, that is, \((a \otimes b) \triangleright (x \otimes y) := ax \otimes by\). Recall from [27, Theorem 4.2] that \(S\) is a preantipode for the modulation of \(B\). If we assume further that the distinguished morphism \(\sigma_B^\sim\) is invertible, then the left \(B\)-linear morphism

\[
B \xrightarrow{\otimes} (B \hat{\otimes} B)^{\sigma_B^\sim} \xrightarrow{B \otimes B^B} \frac{B \otimes B}{(B \otimes B) \Delta (\ker(\varepsilon))}
\]

is invertible and hence \(S\) is a free left \(B\)-module of rank one generated by the class of \(1 \otimes 1\). Summing up, if \(\sigma_B^\sim\) is invertible then \((B, \Delta, \varepsilon, S)\) is a Hopfish algebra.

An interesting question which remains open is if the converse is true as well, that is to say, if we can characterize Hopfish algebras which are modulations of bialgebras in terms of the invertibility of \(\sigma\).

Example 2.14. Let \(\k\) be a commutative ring, \(G\) a monoid with neutral element \(1\) and \(\k G\) the free \(\k\)-module generated by \(\{e_g \mid g \in G\}\) with the monoid bialgebra structure. Then \(− \otimes \k G\) is Frobenius if and only if \(\k G\) is a right Hopf algebra with right antipode \(S\), if and only if for every \(g \in G\) we have \(e_1 = e_g S(e_g) = \sum_{h \in G} e_{gh} e_{h}^* S(e_g)\), if and only if for every \(g \in G\) there exists \(h \in G\) such that \(gh = 1\), if and only if \(G\) is a group (i.e. \(\k G\) is Hopf).

In [21] we will see that there exist examples of genuine one-sided Hopf algebras whose one-sided antipode is a bialgebra endomorphism. As a consequence, there is no hope to have that the right antipode of Proposition [23] is also a left convolution inverse in general. In light of this, let us proceed along a different path. The left-handed analogue of the previous construction holds, in the sense that we have another adjoint triple

\[
\xrightarrow{B(-)} \xrightarrow{B \otimes -} \xrightarrow{\text{coB}(-)}
\]

between the category of \(\k\)-modules \(\mathcal{M}\) and the category of left Hopf modules \(B \mathcal{M}\), where

\[
B^M = \frac{M}{B^+ M}, \quad \text{coB} M = \{m \in M \mid \delta(m) = 1 \otimes m\}
\]

and \(B \otimes V\) has the left module and comodule structures induced by those of \(B\). We will denote with \(\eta', \epsilon', \gamma'\) and \(\theta'\) the units and counits of these adjunctions, analogously to [3].

Notice that we are again in the framework of [11] the canonical morphism being \(\zeta : \text{coB} M \to B^M, \ m \mapsto \mathfrak{m}\). As before, we may look at the distinguished component of \(\zeta\) corresponding to the Hopf module \(\hat{B} = B \hat{\otimes} B := \hat{B} \otimes \hat{B}\), that is to say,

\[
\zeta_B : \text{coB}(B \hat{\otimes} B) \to B \hat{\otimes} B; \quad a_i \otimes b_i \mapsto a_i \otimes b_i.
\]
and by mimicking the arguments used to prove Proposition 2.5, Lemma 2.9, Lemma 2.10 and Corollary 2.11 one can prove the following results.

**Lemma 2.15.** If the canonical map \( \varsigma_B \) is invertible, then the endomorphism \( S'(\ ) := (\varepsilon \otimes B) \left( \varsigma_B^{-1} \left( \varepsilon \otimes 1 \right) \right) \) of \( B \) satisfies \( S'(1) = 1 \),

\[
S'(a_1 b) a_2 = \varepsilon(a) b \quad \text{for all } a, b \in B
\]

and \( \varepsilon \circ S' = \varepsilon \). In particular, \( B \) is a left Hopf algebra with a left convolution inverse of the identity \( S' \) which is anti-multiplicative and anti-comultiplicative.

**Theorem 2.16.** The following are equivalent for a bialgebra \( B \).

1. \( B \) is a left Hopf algebra with a left antipode \( S' \) which is anti-multiplicative and anti-comultiplicative;
2. \( B \) admits a unital anti-comultiplicative \( k \)-linear endomorphism \( S' \) which satisfies (11);
3. \( \varsigma \) is a natural isomorphism;
4. \( M \cong \text{co}^B M \oplus B^+ M \) as \( k \)-modules for every \( M \in \mathcal{B}_\mathcal{M} \);
5. \( \varsigma_B \) is invertible.

In light of Theorem 2.11 and Theorem 2.16 we may now draw the following conclusions.

**Theorem 2.17.** The following are equivalent for a bialgebra \( B \).

1. \( B \) is a Hopf algebra,
2. the canonical morphisms \( \sigma \) and \( \varsigma \) are natural isomorphisms,
3. the distinguished components \( \hat{\sigma}_B \) and \( \hat{\varsigma}_B \) are isomorphisms,
4. the canonical morphism \( \sigma \) is a natural isomorphism and either the functor \((-) \text{co}^B \) or the functor \((-)^B \) is separable,
5. \( \hat{\sigma}_B \) is an isomorphism and either \( \hat{\eta}_B \) admits a section or \( \eta_B \) admits a retraction,
6. \( \hat{\sigma}_B \) is an isomorphism and either \( \hat{\eta}_B \) is surjective or \( \eta_B \) is injective,
7. the canonical morphism \( \varsigma \) is a natural isomorphism and either the functor \( \text{co}^B(-) \) or the functor \( (-)^B \) is separable,
8. \( \varsigma_B \) is an isomorphism and either \( \theta_B' \) admits a section or \( \eta_B' \) admits a retraction,
9. \( \varsigma_B \) is an isomorphism and either \( \theta_B' \) is surjective or \( \eta_B' \) is injective.

**Proof.** Let us prove first the equivalence between (1), (2) and (3). The chain of implications (1) \( \Rightarrow \) (2) \( \Rightarrow \) (3) is clear. To go from (3) to (1) notice that Proposition 2.5 and Lemma 2.15 provide for us a right and a left convolution inverses of the identity morphism: \( S \) and \( S' \) respectively. Since \( \text{End}(B) \) is a monoid with the convolution product, the two have to coincide and hence the resulting endomorphism \( S' = S \) is an antipode for \( B \).

Now we prove the equivalence between (1), (4), (5) and (6). Since the chain of implications (1) \( \Rightarrow \) (4) \( \Rightarrow \) (5) \( \Rightarrow \) (6) should be clear, we only prove that (6) implies (1). Let us assume then that \( \hat{\sigma}_B \) is an isomorphism and that \( \eta_B : B \otimes B \to B \otimes B^B \otimes B, \ x_i \otimes y_i \mapsto x_i \otimes y_{i1} \otimes y_{i2}, \) is injective (the proof with \( \theta_B \) surjective is analogous \((1)\)). In light of relation

\[(1)\] Note that \( \theta_B \) is the Hopf-Galois map \( \beta : B \otimes B \to B \otimes B; a \otimes b \mapsto ab_1 \otimes b_2.\)
we deduce immediately that \( \eta_B \) has to be surjective as well and hence an isomorphism of Hopf modules, which is also \( B \)-linear with respect to the left actions
\[
b \triangleright (x_i \otimes y_i) = bx_i \otimes y_i \quad \text{and} \quad b \triangleright (x_i \otimes y_i \otimes z_i) = bx_i \otimes y_i \otimes z_i
\]
on \( B \otimes B \) and \( B \otimes B^B \otimes B \) respectively. For all \( b \in B \), set \( \nu(b) := \left( B \otimes \varepsilon \right) \left( \eta_B^{-1} \left( 1 \otimes b \otimes 1 \right) \right) \).

This gives an endomorphism \( \nu \) of \( B \). Since \( \eta_B^{-1} \) is \( B \)-bilinear and \( B \)-colinear, we have that
\[
\eta_B^{-1} \left( 1 \otimes b \otimes 1 \right) = \left( B \otimes \varepsilon \otimes B \right) \left( (B \otimes \Delta) \left( \eta_B^{-1} \left( 1 \otimes b \otimes 1 \right) \right) \right)
\]
and hence \( \eta_B^{-1} (x_i \otimes y_i \otimes z_i) = x_i \nu(y_i) z_i \otimes z_i \) for every \( x_i \otimes y_i \otimes z_i \in B \otimes B \otimes B \). Now, for every \( b \in B \) we have
\[
1 \otimes b = \eta_B^{-1} \left( \eta_B \left( 1 \otimes b \right) \right) = \nu(b_1)b_2 \otimes b_3
\]
and so, by applying \( B \otimes \varepsilon \) to both sides, \( 1 \varepsilon(b) = \nu(b_1)b_2 \), i.e. \( \nu \) is a left convolution inverse of the identity. Since we already have a right one, the two have to coincide, giving an antipode for \( B \). The proof of the equivalence between (1), (7), (8) and (9) is similar and hence it is omitted.

\( \Box \)

Remark 2.18. Observe that the equivalence between (1), (4) and (7) in Theorem 2.17 is a particular instance of Corollary 1.5, once recalled that \( B \) is Hopf if and only if \( - \otimes B : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}_B \) is an equivalence. Moreover, by rephrasing (6) in functorial terms we have that, in fact, \( B \) is Hopf if and only if \( - \otimes B \) is Frobenius and either \( (-)B \) is faithful or \( (-)^{coB} \) is full (and analogously on the other side). Finally, let us also highlight the fact that we implicitly proved another structure theorem for Hopf modules, namely that a bialgebra \( B \) is a Hopf algebra if and only if the distinguished morphism \( \eta_B \) is an isomorphism, if and only if every Hopf module \( M \) over \( B \) satisfies \( M \cong M^B \otimes B \). Indeed, if \( B \) is Hopf then \( - \otimes B \) is an equivalence and hence, in particular, \( \eta_B \) is an isomorphism. Conversely, if \( \eta_B \) is invertible then Lemma 1.4 entails that \( \sigma_B \) is invertible as well and hence we conclude by the above argument. This is the coassociative analogue of the structure theorem for quasi-Hopf bimodules [24, Theorem 4].

2.1. Some (counter)examples. In this subsection we collect some significant examples of genuine one-sided Hopf algebras. For the sake of consistency with the original sources, in this subsection we assume \( k \) to be a field.

Example 2.19 ([6, Example 21]). Consider the free algebra
\[
T := k \left\langle e_{ij}^{(k)} \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n, k \geq 0 \right\rangle
\]
with comultiplication and counit uniquely determined by
\[
\Delta \left( e_{ij}^{(k)} \right) = \sum_{h=1}^{n} e_{ih}^{(k)} \otimes e_{hj}^{(k)} \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon \left( e_{ij}^{(k)} \right) = \delta_{ij}
\]
HOPF MODULES, FROBENIUS FUNCTORS AND (ONE-SIDED) HOPF ALGEBRAS

for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n, k \geq 0$. These make of $T$ a bialgebra. Consider the assignment $s := e_{ij}^{(k)} \mapsto e_{ji}^{(k+1)}$ for all $i, j, k$. The ideal $K \subseteq T$ generated by

$$\left\{ \sum_{h=1}^{n} e_{hi}^{(k+1)} e_{hj}^{(k)} - \delta_{ij} 1, \sum_{h=1}^{n} e_{ih}^{(l+1)} e_{jh}^{(l)} - \delta_{ij} 1 \mid k \geq 0, l \geq 1, 1 \leq i, j \leq n \right\}$$

is an $s$-stable bi-ideal and so $H := T/K$ is a bialgebra with a bialgebra anti-endomorphism $S$, induced by $s$, which is a left antipode but not a right one. Thus $(H, S)$ is a genuine left Hopf algebra.

Example 2.20. In [15, §3], the authors exhibit a left Hopf algebra such that no left antipode is a bialgebra anti-endomorphism. Therefore, the requirements that the one-sided antipodes are either anti-comultiplicative or anti-multiplicative all along the section cannot be avoided, as in general a one-sided antipode can be neither.

Example 2.21 ([20, §3]). Let $q \in k^\times$. Recall that the quantum group $SL_q(2)$ is the Hopf algebra generated over $k$ by four generators $X_{ij}, i, j = 1, 2$, with relations

\begin{align*}
(12) \quad X_{21}X_{11} &= qX_{11}X_{21}, \quad X_{22}X_{12} = qX_{12}X_{22}, \\
X_{12}X_{11} &= qX_{11}X_{12}, \quad X_{22}X_{21} = qX_{21}X_{22}, \\
(13) \quad X_{22}X_{11} &= qX_{12}X_{21} + 1, \quad X_{21}X_{12} = qX_{11}X_{22} - q, \\
X_{21}X_{12} &= X_{12}X_{21}.
\end{align*}

By only requiring (12) and (13) one gets a bialgebra $\widetilde{SL}_q(2)$ admitting a left antipode which is not a right one. Also in this case no left antipode is an algebra anti-endomorphism.

This example is important for another reason as well. Namely, in [9] it is proved that the Sweedler (or finite) dual of $\widetilde{SL}_q(2)$ coincides with $SL_q(2)^c$, i.e. the Sweedler dual of the Hopf algebra $SL_q(2)$. Since the category of modules with finite-dimensional underlying vector space over an algebra $A$ is isomorphic to the category of finite-dimensional comodules over its Sweedler dual $A^c$, it turns out that $\widetilde{SL}_q(2)^c_{\mathcal{M}_f}$ is a rigid monoidal category with monoidal underlying functor to finite-dimensional vector spaces, even if $\widetilde{SL}_q(2)$ is not a Hopf algebra.

3. ADJUXT Pairs and Triples Related to Hopf Modules and FH-algebras

As we have seen at the beginning of §2, the adjoint triple (4) studied in the previous section is just one member of a family of adjuctions appearing in the study of Hopf modules. In the present section we will spend a few words concerning the others and the property of being Frobenius for them and we will address the question concerning the relationship between being Frobenius for certain functors, FH-algebras and Pareigis’s results [17]. Henceforth, $k$ is assumed to be a commutative ring again.

Let $M \in \mathcal{M}_B$. For the sake of clearness, for every $N \in \mathcal{M}_B$ we set $N \otimes M := N \otimes M_\bullet$ for every $P \in \mathcal{M}_B$ we set $P \otimes M := P^\bullet \otimes M_\bullet$ and for every $V \in \mathcal{M}$ we set $V \otimes M := V \otimes M_\bullet \in \mathcal{M}_B$. The notation $- \otimes M$ will be reserved for the functor $\mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}_B, V \mapsto V \otimes M_\bullet$. 


Given a bialgebra $B$ over a commutative ring $k$, it is straightforward to check that the category of left $B$-modules is not only monoidal, but in fact a (right) closed monoidal category with internal hom-functor $\hom_B(B \otimes N, -)$ for all $N \in \mathcal{M}_B$.

**Lemma 3.1** (compare with [23, §2.1], [25, Proposition 3.3]). Let $B$ be a bialgebra. The category of right $B$-modules is left and right closed. Namely, we have bijections

\begin{equation}
\hom_B(M \otimes N, P) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \hom_B(M, \hom_B(B \otimes N, P)),
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\hom_B(N \otimes M, P) \xrightarrow{\varphi'} \hom_B(M, \hom_B(N \otimes B, P)),
\end{equation}

natural in $M$ and $P$, given explicitly by

$\varphi(f)(m) : a \otimes n \mapsto f(m \cdot a \otimes n)$, \quad $\psi(g) : m \otimes n \mapsto g(m)(1 \otimes n)$,

$\varphi'(f)(m) : n \otimes a \mapsto f(n \otimes m \cdot a)$, \quad $\psi'(g) : n \otimes m \mapsto g(m)(n \otimes 1)$,

where the right $B$-module structures on $\hom_B(B \otimes N, P)$ and $\hom_B(N \otimes B, P)$ are induced by the left $B$-module structure on $B$ itself.

**Lemma 3.2.** For $N \in \mathcal{M}_B$, the natural bijection (14) induces a bijection

$$\hom_B^B(M \otimes N, P) \cong \hom_B^B(B \otimes N, P)$$

natural in $M \in \mathcal{M}_B$ and $P \in \mathcal{M}_B$. Thus, the functor $\hom_B^B(B \otimes N, -) : \mathcal{M}_B \to \mathcal{M}_B$ is right adjoint to the functor $- \otimes \mathcal{M}_B$.

**Proof.** We refer to the notation used in Lemma 3.1. We already know that for every $f \in \hom_B^B(M \otimes N, P)$, $\varphi(f) \in \hom_B^B(M, \hom_B^B(B \otimes N, P))$. In addition,

$$\left(\varphi(f)(m)\right)(a \otimes n_0) \otimes n_1 = f(m \cdot a \otimes n_0) \otimes n_1 = f(m \cdot a \otimes n) \otimes f(m \cdot a \otimes n)_1$$

for all $m \in M$, $n \in N$, $a \in B$, whence it is also colinear. For what concerns $\psi$, we know that $\psi(g) \in \hom_B^B(M \otimes N, P)$ for all $g \in \hom_B^B(M, \hom_B^B(B \otimes N, P))$. In addition,

$$\psi(g)(m \otimes n_0) \otimes n_1 = g(m)(1 \otimes n_0) \otimes n_1 = g(m)(1 \otimes n) \otimes g(m)(1 \otimes n)_1$$

for every $m \in M$, $n \in N$. Naturality is left to the reader. \hfill \Box

**Remark 3.3.** For every right $B$-module $N$, denote by $N_B = \{ n \in N \mid n \cdot b = n \varepsilon(b) \}$ the space of invariant elements of $N$. The functor $\hom_{(-)}(\varepsilon k, -)$ admits a right adjoint $\hom_B^B(\varepsilon k, -)$ which satisfies

$$\hom_B^B(\varepsilon k, N) \cong N_B.$$

Now, the composition functor $\hom_B^B(B \otimes B, -)_B$ is right adjoint to $- \otimes B : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}_B$, and hence $\hom_B^B(B \otimes B, -)_B \cong (-)^{\otimes B}$. Explicitly, for every $f \in \hom_B^B(B \otimes B, M)_B$ we have

$$f(a \otimes b) = (f \cdot a)(1 \otimes b) = \varepsilon(a)f(1 \otimes b)$$

and
\[ f(1 \otimes 1) \cdot b = f(b_1 \otimes b_2) = f(1 \otimes b), \]
hence \( f(a \otimes b) = \varepsilon(a)f(1 \otimes 1)b \) for all \( a, b \in B \). The assignment \( \text{Hom}_B^B \left( B \otimes B, M \right) \to M^{\text{co}B} \) is simply given by \( f \mapsto f(1 \otimes 1) \).

Summing up, we can consider the following family of adjunctions strictly connected with Hopf \( B \)-modules and the Structure Theorem:

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
- \otimes B & - \otimes B & - \otimes B & - \otimes B \\
\text{Hom}(B, -) & \text{Hom}(B, -) & \text{Hom}(B, -) & \text{Hom}(B, -)
\end{array}
\]

(15)

For every \( V \in \mathcal{M} \), \( N \in \mathcal{M}_B \) and \( P \in \mathcal{M}^B \) we have

\[
U^B(V \otimes B) = V \otimes B, \quad (N \otimes B)^{\text{co}B} \cong U(N),
\]

(16)

\[
U_B(V \otimes B) = V \otimes' B \quad \text{and} \quad P \otimes B^{\text{co}B} \cong U'(P).
\]

**Proposition 3.4.** The following assertions hold.

1. If the pair \((- \otimes B, U_B)\) is Frobenius and \( \text{Hom}^B(B(M), V) \cong \text{Hom}(M^{\text{co}B}, V) \) naturally in \( M \in \mathcal{M}_B^B \), then the pairs \((- \otimes B, (-)^{\text{co}B})\) and \((-B, - \otimes B)\) are Frobenius.

2. If the pair \((U^B, - \otimes B)\) is Frobenius and \( \text{Hom}_B^B(V, U^B(M)) \cong \text{Hom}(V, \mathcal{M}^B) \) naturally in \( \mathcal{M}_B, V \in \mathcal{M} \) then the pairs \((- \otimes B, (-)^{\text{co}B})\) and \((-B, - \otimes B)\) are Frobenius.

3. If the pairs \((- \otimes B, (-)^{\text{co}B})\) and \((U^B, - \otimes B)\) are Frobenius then the pair \((- \otimes B, U)\) is Frobenius.

4. If the pairs \((-B, - \otimes B)\) and \((- \otimes B, U_B)\) are Frobenius then the pair \((U', - \otimes' B)\) is Frobenius.

5. If the pair \((- \otimes B, U_B)\) is Frobenius and \( \text{Hom}^B(B(M), V) \cong \text{Hom}(M^{\text{co}B}, V) \) naturally in \( M \in \mathcal{M}_B^B, V \in \mathcal{M} \) then the pair \((U', - \otimes' B)\) is Frobenius.

6. If the pair \((U^B, - \otimes B)\) is Frobenius and \( \text{Hom}_B^B(V, U^B(M)) \cong \text{Hom}(V, \mathcal{M}^B) \) naturally in \( M \in \mathcal{M}_B^B, V \in \mathcal{M} \) then the pair \((- \otimes B, U)\) is Frobenius.

**Proof.** In light of (16), we can prove the first four statements by the following computations:

\[
\text{Hom}(M^{\text{co}B}, V) \cong \text{Hom}^B(B(M), V^u) \cong \text{Hom}^B(M, V^u \otimes B) = \text{Hom}^B(M, V \otimes B),
\]


\[ \text{Hom} \left( V, \overline{M}^B \right) \cong \text{Hom}_B \left( V, U^B (M) \right) \cong \text{Hom}_B \left( V \circ B, M \right) = \text{Hom}_B ^B \left( V \overline{\otimes} B, M \right), \]
\[ \text{Hom} \left( U(N), V \right) \cong \text{Hom} \left( \left( N \circ B \right)^{coB}, V \right) \cong \text{Hom}_B \left( N \circ B, V \overline{\otimes} B \right) \]
\[ \cong \text{Hom}_B \left( N, U^B (V \overline{\otimes} B) \right) = \text{Hom}_B (N, U \otimes B), \]
\[ \text{Hom} \left( V, U'(P) \right) \cong \text{Hom} \left( V, P \circ B^B \right) \cong \text{Hom}_B \left( V \overline{\otimes} B, P \circ B \right) \]
\[ \cong \text{Hom}_B \left( U_B (V \overline{\otimes} B), P \right) = \text{Hom}_B (V \overline{\otimes} B, P), \]
respectively, for \( V \in \mathcal{M} \), \( N \in \mathcal{M}_B \), \( P \in \mathcal{M}^B \) and \( M \in \mathcal{M}_B^B \). Statements (5) and (6) are immediate consequences of (1), (2), (3) and (4). □

**Remark 3.5.** Concerning when the adjunctions (15) give rise to Frobenius pairs, let us recall the following results.

(i) [4] Theorem 35] The pair \( (U', - \circ' B) \) is Frobenius if and only if there exist \( \vartheta \in (B \otimes B)^* \) and \( z \in B \) such that

\[ \vartheta (a \otimes b_1) b_2 = a_1 \vartheta (a_2 \otimes b) \quad \text{and} \quad \vartheta (z \otimes a) = \varepsilon (a) = \vartheta (a \otimes z) \]

for all \( a, b \in B \). [4] Theorem 36] This is also equivalent to the fact that \( B \) is finitely generated and projective over \( \k \) and there exist \( \vartheta \in (B \otimes B)^* \) and \( z \in B \) such that \( \vartheta (a \otimes b_1) b_2 = a_1 \vartheta (a_2 \otimes b) \) for all \( a, b \in B \) and

\[ B \rightarrow B^*: b \mapsto [a \mapsto \vartheta (a \otimes b)], \quad B^*: f \mapsto z_1 f (z_2), \]

are each others inverses in \( _B \mathcal{M} \), that is to say, that \( B^* \) is a Frobenius \( \k \)-algebra.

(ii) [4] Theorem 38] The pair \( (U_B, - \circ B) \) is Frobenius if and only if there exists \( \vartheta \in \text{Hom} (B \otimes B, B) \) and \( z = z^1 \circ z^2 \in B \otimes B \) such that

\[ \vartheta (b \circ c) a = a_1 \vartheta (ba_2 \circ ca_3), \quad a z^1 \circ z^2 = z^1 a_1 \circ z^2 a_2 \]

and \( z^1 \vartheta (z^2 \circ a) = \varepsilon (a) 1 = z^1_1 \vartheta (az^2_2 \circ z^2) \)

for all \( a, b \in B \). If \( B \) is also finitely generated and projective over \( \k \) with dual basis \( \{ e_i, e_i^* \} \), this is equivalent to the existence of \( \vartheta \in (B \otimes B)^* \) and \( z = z^1 \circ z^2 \in B \otimes B \) such that for all \( a, b \in B \), \( \vartheta (a \otimes b)c = a_1 \vartheta (ca_2 \circ da_3) \), \( a z^1 \circ z^2 = z^1 a_1 \circ z^2 a_2 \) and the maps \( \phi : B^* \otimes B \rightarrow B \otimes B \) and \( \tilde{\vartheta} : B \otimes B \rightarrow B^* \otimes B \) given by

\[ \phi (f \circ a) = z^1 a_1 \circ z^2 a_2 f (z^2_2), \quad \tilde{\vartheta} (a \circ b) = \sum_i e_i^* \circ a_1 \tilde{\vartheta} (e_i a_2 \circ b) \]

are each other inverses.

(iii) For \( f : B \rightarrow B \otimes B \), set \( f (b) = f^1 (b) \otimes f^2 (b) \) for all \( b \in B \) (summation understood). [4] Proposition 76] The pair \( (- \circ B, U_B) \) is Frobenius if and only if there exist \( \vartheta \in (B \otimes B)^* \) and \( \zeta : B \rightarrow B \otimes B \) such that, for all \( a, b \in B \),

\[ \vartheta (a_1 \circ b_1) b_2 a_2 = a_1 \vartheta (a_2 \circ b), \quad \zeta^1 (a) \otimes \zeta^2 (a) b = b_1 \zeta^1 (ab_2) \otimes \zeta^2 (ab_2), \]

\[ \zeta^1 (a_1) \otimes \zeta^2 (a_2) a_2 = \zeta^1 (a_2) _1 \otimes \zeta^2 (a_2) a_2 \otimes \zeta^2 (a_2) \]

and

\[ \vartheta (a_1 \otimes \zeta^1 (a_2)) \zeta^2 (a_2) = \varepsilon (a) 1 = \zeta^1 (a_2) \vartheta (a_1 \zeta^1 (a_2) a_2 \otimes \zeta^2 (a_2)). \]
In [17], Pareigis proved that for a bialgebra $B$ over a commutative ring $k$ the following assertions are equivalent: (1) $B$ is a Hopf algebra, finitely generated and projective as a $k$-module, such that $\int B^* \cong k$ and (2) $B$ is Frobenius as an algebra and its Frobenius homomorphism is a left integral on $B$ (see also [14]). Let us discuss some categorical implications of this result.

Let us begin by recalling some facts about Frobenius algebras. A $k$-algebra $A$ is Frobenius if it is finitely-generated and projective as a $k$-module and $A \cong A^*$ as right (or left) $A$-modules. This is equivalent to say that there exist an element $e := e^1 \otimes e^2 \in B \otimes B$ (summation understood) and a linear map $\psi : A \to k$ such that $ae = ea$ for all $a \in A$ and

$$e^1 \psi(e^2) = 1 = \psi(e^1)e^2.$$  

The element $e$ is called a Casimir element and the morphism $\psi$ a Frobenius homomorphism. The pair $(\psi, e)$ is a Frobenius system for $B$. A Frobenius homomorphism $\psi$ is a free generator of $A^*$ as a right (resp. left) $A$-module and the isomorphism $\Psi : A \to A^*$ is given by $\Psi(a) = \psi \cdot a$ (resp. $\Psi(a) = a \cdot \psi$), where $(\psi \cdot a)(b) = \psi(ab)$ for every $a, b \in B$. If $A$ is Frobenius and it is also augmented with augmentation $\varepsilon : A \to k$, then there exists $T \in A$ such that $\varepsilon = \Psi(T) = \psi \cdot T$. It is called a right norm in $A$ with respect to $\psi$. In particular, $\psi(T) = 1$. If $e$ is a Casimir element such that $\Psi(t)$ holds (we will naively call it the Casimir element corresponding to $\psi$), then $T = \varepsilon(e^1)e^2$, because

$$\psi(\varepsilon(e^1)e^2a) = \varepsilon(ae^1)\psi(e^2) = \varepsilon(ae^1\psi(e^2)) = \varepsilon(a)$$

for every $a \in A$ and $\Psi$ is invertible. In particular, $T$ is a right integral in $A$. Analogously, one may call left norm an element $t \in A$ such that $t \cdot \psi = \varepsilon$ and in this case the identity $t = e^1\varepsilon(e^2)$ tells us that $t$ is a left integral. Finally, if $B$ is a bialgebra which is also a Frobenius algebra such that the Frobenius morphism $\psi$ is a right integral in $B^*$, then we call $B$ an FH-algebra, mimicking [10] [10].

**Remark 3.6.** If we consider a right-handed analogue of Pareigis’ results, then we have that any finitely generated and projective Hopf algebra $B$ with $\int_r B^* \cong k$ is Frobenius with Frobenius morphism $\psi \in \int_r B^*$ (by using the Structure Theorem for left Hopf modules). Conversely, if $B$ is a FH-algebra with Frobenius morphism $\psi$ and if $T$ is a right norm in $B$ with respect to $\psi$, then $B$ is a (finitely generated and projective) Hopf algebra, where the antipode is given by $S(a) = \psi(T_1a)T_2$ for all $a \in B$ (see [10]). Moreover, if $t$ is a left norm in $B$ with respect to $\psi$, then the assignment $B \to B : a \mapsto \psi(at_2)t_1$ provides an inverse $S^{-1}$ for the antipode $S$, in light of [18] Proposition 10.5.2(a)] for example. We will often make use of these facts in what follows, as well as of the fact that for a finitely generated and projective $k$-bialgebra $B$, $\psi \in \int_r B^*$ if and only if $\psi(a_1)a_2 = \psi(a)1$ for all $a \in B$.

**Lemma 3.7.** If $B$ is an FH-algebra, then the Casimir element $e$ corresponding to $\psi \in \int_r B^*$ satisfies $e_1 \otimes e_1 \otimes e_2^2 = e^1 \otimes e^2 \otimes 1$, that is to say, $e \in (B^* \otimes B^*)^{coB}$.

**Proof.** Let $T$ be a right norm in $B$ with respect to $\psi$ and $t$ be a left norm instead. In light of [10] Proposition 4.2], the element $S^{-1}(T_2) \otimes T_1 = \psi(T_2t_1)t_2 \otimes T_1$ is the Casimir element corresponding to $\psi$ and it is easy to see that it is coinvariant with respect to the coaction of the statement. \( \square \)
Proposition 3.8. Let $B$ be an FH-algebra with Frobenius morphism $\psi \in j_* B^*$ and Casimir element $e = e^1 \otimes e^2$. Then the assignment
\[
\Hom^B(B(M), P) \to \Hom^B(B(M, P \otimes B)) : \quad f \mapsto [m \mapsto f(me^1) \otimes e^2]
\]
is a bijection, natural in $M \in \mathcal{M}_B^B$ and $P \in \mathcal{M}_B^B$, with inverse
\[
\Hom^B(B(M, P \otimes B)) \to \Hom^B(B(M), P) : \quad g \mapsto [m \mapsto (P \otimes \psi)(g(m))].
\]
In particular, the functor $U_B : \mathcal{M}_B^B \to \mathcal{M}_B^B$ forgetting the $B$-module structure is Frobenius with left and right adjoint $\otimes : \mathcal{M}_B^B \to \mathcal{M}_B^B$.

Proof. Since $B$ is in particular a Frobenius algebra, we know that there exists a bijection
\[
(18) \quad \phi : \Hom(U(M), V) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Hom_B(M, V \otimes B)
\]
for every $M \in \mathcal{M}_B^B$ and $V \in \mathcal{M}$, where $U : \mathcal{M}_B^B \to \mathcal{M}$ is the functor forgetting the $B$-module structure. We claim that this bijection induces a bijection $\Hom^B(U(M), P) \cong \Hom^B(M, P \otimes B)$ for $M \in \mathcal{M}_B^B$ and $P \in \mathcal{M}_B^B$. To prove this, let us show that the unit $\eta_M : M \to U(M) \otimes B : m \mapsto me^1 \otimes e^2$ and the counit $\epsilon_V : U(V \otimes B) \to V : v \otimes b \mapsto v\psi(b)$ of (18) are colinear whenever $M \in \mathcal{M}_B^B$ and $V \in \mathcal{M}_B^B$. In light of Lemma 3.7, we have
\[
\delta_{U_B(M) \otimes B}(\eta_M(m)) = \delta_{U_B(M) \otimes B}(me^1 \otimes e^2) = (me^1)_0 \otimes e_1^1 \otimes (me^1)_1 e_2^2
\]
\[
= m_0 e_1^1 \otimes e_1^2 \otimes m_1 e_2^1 e_2^2 = m_0 e_1^1 \otimes e_2^2 \otimes m_1 = \eta_M(m_0) \otimes m_1,
\]
so that $\eta_M \in \Hom^B(M, U_B(M) \otimes B)$, and since $\psi \in j^* B^*$ we have
\[
(V \otimes \psi \otimes B)(\delta_{V \otimes B}(v \otimes b)) = v_0 \psi(b_1) \otimes v_1 b_2 = v_0 \otimes v_1 \psi(b_1) b_2 = v_0 \otimes v_1 \psi(b) = \delta_V(\epsilon_V(v \otimes b)),
\]
so that $\epsilon_V \in \Hom^B(U_B(V \otimes B), V)$. Concerning the last claim, since $B$ is a monoid in the monoidal category of right $B$-comodules, it is well-known that $\otimes : \mathcal{M}_B^B \to \mathcal{M}_B^B$ is left adjoint to $U_B : \mathcal{M}_B^B \to \mathcal{M}_B^B$. The foregoing bijection states that it is also right adjoint to $U_B : \mathcal{M}_B^B \to \mathcal{M}_B^B$. \qed

Remark 3.9. Concerning (iii) of Remark 3.5, the morphism $\vartheta : B \otimes B \to \mathcal{k}$ is given by $\vartheta(a \otimes b) = \varepsilon(a)\psi(b)$ and $\zeta : B \to B \otimes B$ by $\zeta(a) = \varepsilon(a) e^1 \otimes e^2$ for every $a, b \in B$.

Lemma 3.10. Let $B$ be an FH-algebra with Frobenius morphism $\psi \in j_*$ $B^*$ and Casimir element $e = e^1 \otimes e^2$. Then for every $M \in \mathcal{M}_B^B$ and every $V \in \mathcal{M}$ the assignment
\[
\phi_{M,V} : \Hom^B(U_B(M), V^u) \to \Hom(M^{coB}, V) : \quad f \mapsto [m \mapsto f\left(me^1\varepsilon(e^2)\right)]
\]
provides a bijection $\Hom^B(U_B(M), V^u) \cong \Hom(M^{coB}, V)$, natural in $M$ and $V$, with explicit inverse
\[
\varphi_{M,V} : \Hom(M^{coB}, V) \to \Hom^B(U_B(M), V^u) : \quad g \mapsto [m \mapsto g\left(m_0 S(m_1)\psi(m_2)\right)].
\]
Proof. Set \( \phi := \phi_{M,V} \) and \( \varphi := \varphi_{M,V} \) for the sake of brevity and recall that for a finitely generated and projective \( \mathbb{k} \)-bialgebra \( B \), \( \psi \) is a right integral on \( B \) if and only if \( (\psi \otimes B) \circ \Delta = \psi \otimes u \). Recall also that \( e^1 \psi (e^2) = t \) is the left norm in \( B \) with respect to \( \psi \), whence we can rewrite \( \phi(f) = t \cdot f \) and \( \varphi(g) = (g \otimes \psi) \circ \theta_M^{-1} \) where \( \theta \) is the one of \([5]\). The first assignment is clearly well-defined. For what concerns the second one, the following computation

\[
(g \otimes \psi \otimes B) \circ (\theta_M^{-1} \otimes B) \circ \delta_M = (g \otimes \psi \otimes B) \circ (M^{\text{co}B} \otimes \Delta) \circ \theta_M^{-1} = (V \otimes u) \circ (g \otimes \psi) \circ \theta_M^{-1}
\]

proves that \( \varphi(g) \) is colinear, so that \( \varphi \) is well-defined. Let us prove that \( \phi \) and \( \varphi \) are each other inverses. On the one hand, for all \( m \in M^{\text{co}B} \) and \( g \in \text{Hom} (M^{\text{co}B}, V) \) we have

\[
\phi(\varphi(g))(m) = \varphi(g)(mt) = g(m_0 t_1 S(m_1 t_2)) \psi(m_2 t_3) = g(mt_1 S(t_2)) \psi(t_3) = g(m),
\]

which proves that \( \varphi \circ \psi \) is the identity. On the other hand, recall from Lemma \([3,7]\) that we have \( e = e_1^1 \otimes e_2^2 \in (B^* \otimes B^*)^{\text{co}B} \), so that \( t \otimes 1 = e_1^1 \otimes e_1^1 \otimes e_2^2 \), and that \( at = \varepsilon(a)t \) for all \( a \in B \). For every \( m \in M \) and \( f \in \text{Hom}^B (U_B(M), V^w) \) compute

\[
\varphi(\phi(f))(m) = \phi(f)(m_0 S(m_1)) \psi(m_2) = f(m_0 t) \psi(m_1) = f\left(m_0 e_1^1 \psi(m_1 e_2^2)\right) = f\left(me_1^1 \psi(e_2^2)\right) = f(m),
\]

where \((* )\) follows from colinearity of \( f \):

\[
f(m_0 e_1^1 \psi(m_1 e_2^2)) = (V \otimes (\psi \circ m_B)) \left(f\left(me_1^1\right) \otimes (me_1^1) \otimes e_2^2\right) = (V \otimes (\psi \circ m_B)) \left(f\left(me_1^1\right) \otimes 1 \otimes e_2^2\right) = f\left(me_1^1\right) \psi(e_2^2).
\]

Therefore \( \varphi \circ \phi \) is the identity as well. We are left to check that \( \phi_{M,V} \) is natural. To this aim, consider \( \alpha : M' \to M \) in \( \mathfrak{M}_B^B \) and \( \beta : V \to V' \) in \( \mathfrak{M} \). For every \( f \in \text{Hom}^B (U_B(M), V^w) \) and \( m \in M' \) we have

\[
\phi_{M',V}(\beta \circ f \circ \alpha)(m) = \beta(f(\alpha(mt))) = \beta(f(\alpha(m)t)) = (\beta \circ \phi_{M,V}(f) \circ \alpha)(m),
\]

which entails that \( \phi := \{ \phi_{M,V} \}_{M,V} \) is natural as claimed. \( \square \)

Consider now the adjoint triple

\[
U^B \dashv \dashv \otimes \otimes B \dashv \text{Hom}^B_B \left( B \otimes B, - \right)
\]

between \( \mathfrak{M}_B^B \) and \( \mathfrak{M}_B \), with units and counits

\[
\eta_M : M \to U^B(M) \otimes B, \quad m \mapsto m_0 \otimes m_1, \quad \epsilon_N : U^B(N \otimes B) \to N, \quad n \otimes b \mapsto n \varepsilon(b),
\]

\[
\gamma_N : N \to \text{Hom}^B_B \left( B \otimes B, N \otimes B \right), \quad n \mapsto [a \otimes b \mapsto n \cdot a \otimes b],
\]

\[
\theta_M : \text{Hom}^B_B \left( B \otimes B, M \right) \otimes B \to M, \quad f \otimes a \mapsto f(1 \otimes a).
\]

**Proposition 3.11.** Assume that \( B \) is an FH-algebra with Frobenius homomorphism \( \psi \in f, B^* \) and Casimir element \( e = e_1^1 \otimes e_2^2 \). The assignment

\[
\Gamma : \text{Hom}^B_B \left( B \otimes B, M \right) \to U^B(M) : \quad f \mapsto f(e_1^1 e_2^2 e_2^2) = f(e_1^1 \otimes 1) \cdot e_2^2
\]
is an isomorphism of right $B$-modules, natural in $M \in \mathcal{M}_B^B$, with inverse given by

$$
\Lambda : U^B(M) \to \text{Hom}_B^B \left( B \otimes B, M \right) : \; m \mapsto \left[ a \otimes b \mapsto m_0 \cdot S(m_1) \psi(m_2 a S(b_1)) b_2 \right].
$$

In particular, the functor $- \otimes B : \mathcal{M}_B \to \mathcal{M}_B^B$ is Frobenius with left and right adjoint the functor $U^B : \mathcal{M}_B^B \to \mathcal{M}_B$ forgetting the $B$-comodule structure.

**Proof.** We may compute directly

$$
\Lambda(\Gamma(f))(a \otimes b) = \Lambda(f(e^1 e_1^2 \otimes e_2^2))(a \otimes b) = f(e^1 e_1^2 \otimes e_2^2) \cdot S\left( f(e^1 e_1^2 \otimes e_2^2)_{1} \right) \psi(f(e^1 e_1^2 \otimes e_2^2)_{2})a S(b_1) b_2 = f(e^1 \otimes 1) e_2^1 S(e_2^2) \psi(e_3^2) a S(b_1) b_2 = f(e^1 \otimes 1) \psi(e^2 a S(b_1)) b_2 = f(a S(b_1) e^1 \otimes 1) \psi(e^2) b_2 = f(a S(b_1) b_2 \otimes b_3) = f(a \otimes b)
$$

for all $f \in \text{Hom}_B^B \left( B \otimes B, M \right)$ and $a, b \in B$ and

$$
\Gamma(\Lambda(m)) = \Lambda(m)(e^1 \otimes 1) \cdot e^2 = m_0 \cdot S(m_1) \psi(m_2 e^1) e^2 = m_0 \cdot S(m_1) \psi(e^1) e^2 m_2 = m
$$

for all $m \in M$, so that both $\Gamma \circ \Lambda$ and $\Lambda \circ \Gamma$ are the identity morphism. \qed

**Remark 3.12.** Concerning (ii) of Remark 3.3, the morphism $\vartheta : B \otimes B \to B$ is given by

$$
\vartheta(a \otimes b) = S(a_1) \psi(a_2 S(b_1)) b_2
$$

for all $a, b \in B$ and the element $z \in B \otimes B$ is $z = e^1 e_1^2 \otimes e_2^2$.

**Lemma 3.13.** Assume that $B$ is a FH-algebra with Frobenius homomorphism $\psi$ and Casimir element $e = e^1 \otimes e^2$. For every $M \in \mathcal{M}_B^B$ and $V \in \mathcal{M}$ the assignment

$$
\phi'_{M,V} : \text{Hom}_B \left( V, U^B(M) \right) \to \text{Hom} \left( V, \overline{M}^B \right) : \; f \mapsto \left[ v \mapsto \overline{f(v) \psi(f(v))} \right]
$$

provides a bijection $\text{Hom}_B \left( V, U^B(M) \right) \cong \text{Hom} \left( V, \overline{M}^B \right)$, natural in $M \in \mathcal{M}_B^B$ and $V \in \mathcal{M}$, with explicit inverse

$$
\varphi'_{M,V} : \text{Hom} \left( V, \overline{M}^B \right) \to \text{Hom}_B \left( V, U^B(M) \right) : \; g \mapsto \left[ g(v)_0 \cdot S_{g(v)_1} \psi(e^1) e^2 \right],
$$

where $g(v)' \in M$ is any element such that $g(v) = g(v)'$.

**Proof.** We leave to the reader to check that $\varphi'_{M,V}$ is well-defined, i.e. it does not depend on the choice of $g(v)' \in M$. Notice that for $f \in \text{Hom}_B \left( V, U^B(M) \right)$ we have $f(v) \cdot b = f(v) b$ and compute

$$
\varphi'_{M,V}(f)(v) = f(v)_0 \cdot S(f(v)_1) \psi(f(v)_2) \psi(e^1) e^2 = f(v)_0 \cdot e_1^1 S(f(v)_1) e_1^2 \psi(f(v)_2) e_2^1 e^2 = f(v)_0 \cdot S(f(v)_1) \psi(e^1) e^2 f(v)_2
$$

for every $v \in V$, that is to say, $\varphi'_{M,V} \circ \phi'_{M,V}$ is the identity. The other way around, for every $g \in \text{Hom} \left( V, \overline{M}^B \right)$ we have

$$
\phi'_{M,V}(\varphi'_{M,V}(g))(v) = g(v)'_0 \cdot S_{g(v)'_1} \psi(e^1) e_1^2 \psi(g(v)'_2 S(g(v)_2) e_2^2)
$$
\[
\frac{g(v_0') \cdot S \left( g(v_1) \right) \varepsilon e^1 \psi (g(v_1') \psi (g(v_2) e^2))}{g(v_0') \cdot S \left( g(v_1') \varepsilon (e^1) e^2 \psi (e^2) \right)}
\]

for all \( v \in V \), where \( (\ast) \) follows from the fact that \( mb = m \varepsilon (b) \). Therefore, \( \phi_{M,V}^I \circ \phi_{M,V}^I \) is the identity as well.

Summing up, we have the following central result.

**Theorem 3.14.** The following are equivalent for a finitely generated and projective \( k \)-bialgebra \( B \).

1. The functor \( - \otimes B : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}_B \) is Frobenius and \( \int_r B^* \cong k \).
2. \( B \) is a Hopf algebra with \( \int_r B^* \cong k \).
3. \( B \) is a FH-algebra.
4. The functor \( - \otimes B : \mathcal{M}_B \to \mathcal{M} \) is Frobenius and \( \text{Hom}^B (U_B(M), V^u) \cong \text{Hom}(M^\text{co}B, V) \), naturally in \( M \in \mathcal{M}_B, V \in \mathcal{M} \).
5. The functor \( - \otimes B : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}_B \) is Frobenius and \( \int_r B \cong k \).
6. \( B^* \) is a Hopf algebra with \( \int_r B^{**} \cong k \).
7. \( B^* \) is a FH-algebra.
8. The functor \( - \otimes B : \mathcal{M}_B \to \mathcal{M}_B \) is Frobenius and \( \text{Hom}_B (V_\varepsilon, U_B(M)) \cong \text{Hom}(V, \mathcal{M}_B^B) \), naturally in \( M \in \mathcal{M}_B, V \in \mathcal{M} \).

**Proof.** Let us show firstly that \( (1) \iff (2) \iff (3) \iff (4) \). The implication from \( (1) \) to \( (2) \) follows from Theorem 2.11 and Corollary 2.12. The one from \( (2) \) to \( (3) \) is the right-handed analogue of Pareigis’s [1]. The fact that \( (3) \) implies \( (4) \) is the content of Proposition 3.8 and Lemma 3.10. Finally, \( (4) \Rightarrow (1) \) follows from Proposition 3.1.1 and the observation that \( \int_r B^* \cong \text{Hom}^B (U_B(B), k^u) \cong \text{Hom}(B^\text{co}B, k) \cong k \).

Secondly, let us prove that \( (3) \iff (5) \iff (6) \iff (7) \iff (8) \). If \( (5) \) holds then \( B \) is a Hopf algebra and \( \int_r B \cong \int_r B^{**} \), whence we have \( (6) \). The implication from \( (6) \) to \( (7) \) is again Pareigis’s result applied to \( B^* \). The one from \( (7) \) to \( (3) \) is the content of [10 Proposition 4.3]. The fact that \( (3) \) implies \( (8) \) follows from Proposition 3.1.1 and Lemma 3.13 and, lastly, the implication from \( (8) \) to \( (5) \) is Proposition 3.1.1 and the observation that \( \int_r B \cong \text{Hom}_B (k_\varepsilon, U^B(B)) \cong \text{Hom}(k, \mathcal{M}_B^B) \cong k \). 

### Appendix A. Frobenius pairs of functors and Pareigis’s result.

Given the results of \( \text{[1]} \) one may wonder if it would be possible to recover directly Pareigis’s result in terms of Frobenius pairs of functors. This appendix develops a naive (and, unfortunately, unsatisfactory) approach to this question, which remains still open. Nevertheless, we reckon that the results that we are going to prove deserve to be highlighted, as they may be useful in other contexts.
Lemma A.1. Let $B$ be an FH-algebra with Frobenius morphism $ψ ∈ f_r B^*$, $T$ be a right norm in $B$ with respect to $ψ$ and $t$ a left norm instead. Denote by $T', t'$ other copies of $T, t$ respectively. For all $a, b ∈ B$, the following relations hold

$$\begin{align*}
(19a) & \psi(at_1)ψ(T_1t_2)T_2 = a = ψ(T_1a)ψ(T_2t_1)t_2, \\
(19b) & ψ(T_1ab)T_2 = ψ(T_1b)T_2ψ(T_1'a)'_2, \\
(19c) & ψ(abt_1)t_2 = ψ(bt'_1)t'_2ψ(at_1)t_2, \\
(19d) & a_1ψ(T_1a_2)T_2 = ε(a)1 = ψ(a_2t_1)t_2a_1, \\
(19e) & ψ(T'_1a_2)T'_2 ⊗ ψ(T_1a_1)T_2 = ψ(T_1a)T_2 ⊗ T_3, \\
(19f) & ψ(a_2t'_1)t'_2 ⊗ ψ(a_1t_1)t_2 = ψ(at_1)t_2 ⊗ T_3.
\end{align*}$$

Proof. Recall that $ψ(T) = 1 = ψ(t)$ and that $ψ(a_1)a_2 = ψ(a)1$ for all $a ∈ B$, whence all the relations are straightforward computations using the properties of $ψ, T$ and $t$. To show the left-hand side equality in (19a), compute

$$ψ(at_1)ψ(T_1t_2)T_2 = ψ(a_1t_1)ψ(T_1a_2)t_2(T_2a_3) = ψ(a_1t_1)ψ(T_1(a_2t_2))T_2a_3 = ψ(t_1)ψ(T_1t_2)T_2a = ψ(t)ψ(T_1t_2)T_2a = ψ(T)a = a.$$ 

The right-hand side is proved analogously. To prove (19b), compute

$$ψ(T_1b)T_2ψ(T'_1a)T'_2 = ψ(T_1ψ(T'_1a)(T'_2a_2)b)T_2T'_3 = ψ(T'_1a_1)ψ(T_1T_2)a_2b(T_2T'_3) = ψ(T_1a_1)ψ(T_1a_2b)T_2 = ψ(T_1ab)T_2.$$ 

Relation (19c) is proved in the same way. For the left-hand side equality of (19d), compute

$$a_1ψ(T_1a_2)T_2 = ψ(a_1t_1)ψ(T_1t_2)T_2ψ(T_1a_2)T_2 = ψ(a_1t_1)ψ(T_1(a_2t_2))T_2 = ψ(T_1ψ(a_1t_1)(a_2t_2))T_2 = ε(a)ψ(T_1)T_2 = ε(a)1.$$ 

The proof of the right-hand side follows the same line. Concerning (19f), we have

$$ψ(a_2t'_1)t'_2 ⊗ ψ(a_1t_1)t_2 = ψ(a_2t_1t_2)a_3t_3' ⊗ ψ(a_1t_1)t_4 = ψ(ψ(a_1t_1)(a_2t_2))t_3' ⊗ t_4 = ψ(ψ(at_1)t_2t_2' ⊗ t_3 = ψ(at_1)t_2 ⊗ t_3.$$ 

The proof of the remaining relation (19e) is similar. □

Remark A.2. Observe that relations (19b) and (19e) express anti-multiplicativity and anti-comultiplicativity of the antipode $S(a) := ψ(T_1a)T_2$, while (19c) and (19f) express those of the inverse $S^{-1}(a) := ψ(at_1)t_2$.

By means of Lemma A.1, one can give a direct proof of Lemma 3.7 and Lemma 3.11 without referring explicitly to the existence of $S$ or of $S^{-1}$.

Lemma A.3 (Lemma 3.7). If $B$ is an FH-algebra, then the Casimir element $e$ corresponding to $ψ ∈ f_r B^*$ satisfies $e^1_1 ⊗ e^1_1 ⊗ e^1_2 e^2_2 = e^1 ⊗ e^2 ⊗ 1$, that is to say, $e ∈ (B^* ⊗ B^*)_{coB}$. 

Proof. Let $T$ be a right norm in $B$ with respect to $\psi$ and let $t$ be a left norm instead. Consider the element $e := \psi(T_2t_1)t_2 \otimes T_1$. Since

$$\psi(T_2t_1)t_2 \otimes T_1a = \psi(T_2(a_2t_1))(a_3t_2) \otimes T_1a_1 = \psi((T_2a_2)t_1)a_3t_2 \otimes (T_1a_1) = a_\psi(T_2t_1)t_2 \otimes T_1,$$

$e$ is a Casimir element. To show that together with $\psi$ it satisfies (17), compute

$$(B \otimes \psi)(e) = \psi(T_2t_1)t_2\psi(T_1) = \psi(\psi(T_1)t_2)t_2 = \psi(t_1)t_2 = \psi(t) = 1.$$ 

This entails that the assignment $B^* \to B, f \mapsto f(e^1)e^2$ is a right inverse for $\Psi : B \to B^*, b \mapsto \psi \cdot b$. Being $\Psi$ an isomorphism entails that $(\psi \otimes B)(e) = 1$ as well. Finally, we may compute directly

$$e_0 \otimes e_1 = \psi(T_3t_1)t_2 \otimes T_1 \otimes t_3T_2 \quad \psi(T_4't_1)t_2 \otimes T_1 \otimes \psi(T_3t_1)t_2T_2 \quad \psi(T_2t_1)t_2 \otimes T_1 \otimes 1 = e \otimes 1,$$

whence it is coinvariant, as claimed. \hfill $\Box$

Lemma A.4 (Lemma 3.10). Let $B$ be an $FH$-algebra with Frobenius morphism $\psi \in \mathfrak{f}, B^*$ and Casimir element $e = e^1 \otimes e^2$. Then for every $M \in \mathcal{M}_B$ and every $V \in \mathcal{M}$ the assignment

$$\phi_{M,V} : \text{Hom}^B(U_B(M), V^u) \to \text{Hom}^B(M^{coB}, V) : f \mapsto [m \mapsto f(me^i\varepsilon(e^2))],$$

provides a bijection $\text{Hom}^B(U_B(M), V^u) \cong \text{Hom}^B(M^{coB}, V)$, natural in $M$ and $V$, with explicit inverse

$$\varphi_{M,V} : \text{Hom}^B(M^{coB}, V) \to \text{Hom}^B(U_B(M), V^u) : g \mapsto [m \mapsto g(m_0\psi(T_1m_1)T_2)\psi(m_2)],$$

where $T$ is a right norm in $B$ with respect to $\psi$.

Proof. Set $\phi := \phi_{M,V}$ and $\varphi := \varphi_{M,V}$ for the sake of brevity. The first one is clearly well-defined. For what concerns the second one, observe first of all that

$$\delta_M(m_0\psi(T_1m_1)T_2) = m_0\psi(T_1m_2)T_2 \otimes m_1T_3 = m_0\psi(T_1m_3)T_2 \otimes m_1\psi(T_1m_2)T_2 \quad \text{(18d)}$$

whence the element $m_0\psi(T_1m_1)T_2$ is coinvariant and moreover for all $m \in M^{coB}$

$$\varphi(g)(m_0) \otimes m_1 = g(m_0\psi(T_1m_1)T_2) \psi(m_2) \otimes m_3 = g(m_0\psi(T_1m_1)T_2) \otimes \psi(m_2)m_3$$

$$= g(m_0\psi(T_1m_1)T_2) \otimes \psi(m_2)1 = g(m_0\psi(T_1m_1)T_2) \psi(m_2) \otimes 1 = \varphi(g)(m) \otimes 1$$

so that $\varphi(g)$ is colinear and $\varphi$ is well-defined. Let us now prove that $\phi$ and $\varphi$ are inverses of each other. On the one hand, for every $m \in M^{coB}$ and $g \in \text{Hom}^B(M^{coB}, V)$ compute

$$\phi(\varphi(g))(m) = \varphi(g)(me^i\varepsilon(e^2)) = g(m_0e_1^i\psi(T_1m_1e_2^j)T_2) \psi(m_2e_3^i)\varepsilon(e^2)$$

$$= g(me_1^i\psi(T_1e_2^j)T_2) \psi(e_3^i)\varepsilon(e^2) \quad \text{(18d)} = g(m) \varepsilon(\psi(e^1)e^2) = g(m),$$

and viceversa.
which proves that \( \phi \circ \varphi \) is the identity. On the other hand, recall from Lemma \( \ref{lem:colinear} \) that we have \( e = e^1 \otimes e^2 \in (B^* \otimes B^*)^{\text{co} B} \) that \( \psi(T^1)T^2 = 1 \) and that \( Ta = T \varepsilon(a) \) for every \( a \in B \). For every \( m \in M \) and \( f \in \text{Hom}^B(U_B(M), V^u) \) compute
\[
\varphi(\phi(f))(m) = \phi(f) (m_0 \psi(T_1m_1)T_2) \psi(m_2) = f \left( m_0 \psi(T_1m_1)T_2 e^1 \varepsilon(e^2) \right) \psi(m_2)
\]
\[
= f \left( m_0 \psi(T_1m_1)T_2 e^1 \varepsilon(e^2) \right) \psi(e^1 e_2^2 m_2) = f \left( m_0 \psi(T_1m_1)T_2 e^1 \right) \psi(e^1 e_2^2 m_2)
\]
\[
= f \left( m_0 \psi(T_1m_1)T_2 e^1 \right) \psi(m_3 e^2) = f \left( m_0 \psi(T_1)T_2 e^1 \right) \psi(m_1 e^2)
\]
\[
= f \left( m_0 e_1^1 \right) \psi(m_1 e^2) = f \left( m e^1 \right) \psi(e^2) = f(m),
\]
where (*) follows from colinearity of \( f \):
\[
f(m_0 e_1^1) \psi(m_1 e^2) = (V \otimes (\psi \circ m_B)) \left( f \left( me^1 \right) \right) \otimes (me^1) \otimes e^2
\]
\[
= (V \otimes (\psi \circ m_B)) \left( f \left( me^1 \right) \right) \otimes e^2 = f \left( me^1 \right) \psi(e^2).
\]
Therefore \( \varphi \circ \phi \) is the identity as well.

Since the proof of Proposition \( \ref{prop:HopfAlgebra} \) only used the Frobenius system, one can now combine together Proposition \( \ref{prop:HopfAlgebra} \), Lemma \( \ref{lem:phi} \) and \( \ref{thm:HopfAlgebra} \) of Proposition \( \ref{prop:HopfAlgebra} \) to conclude that if \( B \) is an FH-algebra then it is a Hopf algebra with \( f \), \( B^* \) free of rank one.

Analogously, but the other way around, one may prove that if \( B \) is a finitely generated and projective Hopf algebra with \( f \), \( B^* \) free of rank one, then Proposition \( \ref{prop:HopfAlgebra} \) holds and hence, in light of \( \ref{thm:HopfAlgebra} \) of Proposition \( \ref{prop:HopfAlgebra} \) that \( B \) is a Frobenius algebra. However, as above, we don’t know at the present moment a proof of this claim that does not implicitly pass through the construction of a Frobenius system for \( B \).

References


Département de Mathématique, Université Libre de Bruxelles, Boulevard du Triomphe, B-1050 Bruxelles, Belgium.

URL: sites.google.com/view/paolo-saracco
E-mail address: paolo.saracco@ulb.ac.be