RG-2 flow and black hole entanglement entropy
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Abstract: We study the evolution of a metric of a two dimensional black hole under the second loop renormalization group flow, the RG-2 flow. Since the black hole metric is non-compact (we consider it asymptotically flat) we adapt some proofs for the compact case to the asymptotically flat case. We found that the appearance of horizons during the evolution is related to the condition of parabolicity of the flow. We also show that the entanglement entropy of a two dimensional black hole is monotonic under the RG-2 flow. We generalize the results obtained for the first loop approximation and discuss the implications for higher order loops.
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1 Introduction

Nowadays, it is not known what kind of mechanism is responsible for the appearance of the irreversibility in a macroscopic theory. This irreversibility, usually stated as the second law of thermodynamics, has been addressed in many ways. However, if we want to understand the connection between, let’s say thermodynamics and the microscopic phenomena, we should use a tool capable of providing a thoughtful analysis through different scales. In quantum field theory, the renormalization group flow (RG flow) provides us with an instrument for studying microscopic process, usually by studying the behaviour of some quantity through the evolution of the flow. The RG flow is directly related to irreversibility, and therefore in some sense, to entropy.

There are some examples of microscopical irreversible processes along the RG flow, for instance, in [1] it is shown that there is a $c$-function monotonous under the 2-dimensional renormalization group flow. This function depends on the coupling constants and the renormalization scale $\Lambda$. Similar results have been proved in three and four dimensions [2–4].

The irreversibility at quantum level can be represented by the entanglement entropy, this quantity is due to the quantum correlations between subsystems separated by a compact surface. Entanglement entropy is directly related to the area of a surface when it contains all the coarsed-grained states. This feature resembles us the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy of the black hole theory, although this similarity is only partial. Ignoring all higher order corrections, the entanglement temperature shows an universal behaviour inversely proportional to the subsystem size, in contrast to the thermodynamic temperature that is independent of the size [5]. In two dimensions the relation between entanglement entropy and the $c$-theorem has been studied [6]. In [7] the authors gave an alternative proof for the $c$-theorem in two dimensions, they show that the coefficient of the area term in the entanglement entropy decreases along the RG flow between two CFTs. In [8] the authors study two dimensional gauge field theories with $N = (2, 2)$ supersymmetry. Focussing in two theories: the $U(1)$ gauge theory with one flavour and a non zero Fayet-Iliopoulos term, and a pure $SU(N)$ gauge theory, they showed that the renormalization group flow corresponding to these theories has an order of limits.

The horizon event of the black hole divides the spacetime in two subsystems, then, entanglement entropy can be calculated considering the black hole horizon as the entangling surface. In [9] the entanglement entropy for a black hole in two dimensions has been calculated, and as before, it is natural to ask about the monotonicity, under the RG flow, of this entanglement entropy. In a purely geometric theory, such as the non-linear $\sigma$ model, the one loop approximation for the RG flow corresponds to the Ricci flow. However, when curvature is high the Ricci flow is not a good approximation anymore$^1$. We should consider the two loop beta functions, this new flow is known as the second order renormalization group flow, the RG-2 flow. The evolution of black hole entanglement entropy under the Ricci flow (1-loop group flow) has been studied in [10]. Here, we extend the results to the RG-2 flow [11, 13], and discuss about extensions to higher flows.

$^1$Due to the fact that a rigorous mathematical formulation of quantization is unavailable, it is not clear how big the contribution of more loops would be.
The Ricci flow has been used for proving the Thurston’s geometrization conjecture [14, 15]. See also [17, 18]. Several articles deal with applications of the Ricci flow to physics [19–22]. It is natural to ask if the results obtained for the Ricci flow are still satisfied when we make quantities evolve under a higher loop flow, which takes into account higher curvature zones. Mathematically it is not easy to extend the results from the Ricci flow to higher order flows, but in two dimensions we have some results that will let us approach the problem safely.

In section 2 we present a review of the calculation of two dimensional black hole entropy. In section 3 we present the RG-2 flow, describing the zone where it is weakly parabolic, and we study how a two dimensional black hole metric evolves under the flow. In section 4 we study the asymptotic behaviour of the black hole and the evolution of the Hawking temperature. In section 5 we study the evolution of the scalar curvature and the appearance of apparent horizons due to the flow evolution. Here we present a particular discussion about the zones where the flow has solutions, and the zones where the curvature is controlled during evolution. We also discuss about ancient solutions. In section 6 we show that the entanglement entropy of a two dimensional black hole is monotonous is monotonic under the RG-2 flow, we also discuss about extensions to higher order flows.

2 2-dimensional black holes and entanglement entropy

In two dimensions, the entanglement entropy for black holes has been calculated [16]. Here we present a brief review of the calculation and the results that we are going to use in the article.

In a black hole the event horizon protects the interior from causal relation with the outside, therefore it divides the spacetime into two subsystems. Identifying all modes inside the event horizon with the modes that are going to be traced over, and using the replica trick, entanglement entropy can be calculated.

In 2 dimensions the entanglement entropy and its UV finite terms can be calculated explicitly because of the existence of a conformal symmetry, which helps for reproducing completely -for a conformal field theory (CFT)- the UV finite part of the corresponding gravitational effective action. When considering regular two-dimensional spacetimes the result is the non-local Polyakov action. On a manifold $\mathcal{M}$ with a conical singularity with angle deficit $\delta = 2\pi(1 - \phi)$ the Polyakov action is

$$W_{ps,\mathcal{M}} = \frac{c}{48\pi} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \left( \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \psi)^2 + \psi R \right) + \frac{c}{12}(1 - \phi)\psi_h + o(|1 - \phi|^2),$$

(2.1)

where $\psi_h$ represents the value that takes $\psi$ at the horizon, with the field equation for $\psi$ given by

$$\nabla^2 \psi = R.$$  

(2.2)

Applying the replica trick to the action (2.1) and using a conformal gauge $g_{\mu\nu} = e^{2\sigma} \delta_{\mu\nu}$ where $\psi = 2\sigma$, the entanglement entropy contribution from the UV finite part of the effective action is obtained:

$$S = \frac{c}{6} \sigma_h + \frac{c}{6} \ln \frac{\Lambda}{\epsilon},$$

(2.3)
where $\Lambda$ is the infrared cut-off.

The 2-dimensional black hole geometry can be described by the metric
\[ ds^2 = h(x)dt^2 + \frac{1}{h(x)}dx^2, \]  
(2.4)
$h(x)$ has a zero in $x = x_h$. We put the black hole in a box of size $L$, then, $x_h \leq x \leq L$. For getting a regular space there is a closing for the Euclidean time $t$, $0 \leq t \leq \beta_H$, the period of the closing is $\beta_H$. The quantity $\beta_H = T_H^{-1}$ is the inverse of the Hawking temperature $T_H$, and it can be determined by the derivative of the metric function $h(x)$ on the horizon:
\[ \beta_H = \frac{4 \pi}{h'(x_h)}. \]  
(2.5)
With the use of the change of variable
\[ \ln z = \frac{2 \pi}{\beta_H} \int_{x_h}^x \frac{dx}{h(x)}, \]  
(2.6)
we can see that the metric (2.4) is conformal to the flat disk of radius $z_o$, indeed, the metric becomes
\[ ds^2 = e^{2\sigma} z_o^2 (dz^2 + z^2 d\bar{t}^2), \]  
(2.7)
where $\sigma = \frac{1}{2} \ln h(x) - \frac{2 \pi}{\beta_H} \int_{x_h}^x \frac{dx}{h(x)} + \ln \frac{\beta_H}{2\pi z_o}$ and $\bar{t} = \frac{2\pi t}{\beta_H}$.

The entanglement entropy of a 2-dimensional black hole is [16]:
\[ S = \frac{c}{12} \int_{x_h}^L \frac{dx}{h(x)} \left( \frac{4 \pi}{\beta_H} - h' \right) + \frac{c}{6} \ln \left( \frac{\beta_H h^\frac{1}{2}(L)}{\epsilon} \right), \]  
(2.8)
where the term depending on $(\Lambda, z_o)$ has been omitted and $\epsilon$ is the UV regulator.\(^2\) This is the entropy that we want to make evolve under the RG-2 flow, we will see that we have to make some arrangements before applying the evolution equation, and we also have to be sure, all the time, if we are in the zone where the flow has solution.

3 The RG-2 flow

Here we introduce the RG-2 flow in two dimensions. Although the mathematical literature refers mostly to the compact case we will adapt the results to asymptotically flat manifolds.

When perturbatively quantizing the action of the non-linear sigma model, it is necessary to introduce a momentum cut-off $\Lambda$. After completing the whole procedure we obtain the renormalization group flow equations. Then, setting $\lambda := -\ln(\frac{\Lambda}{\Lambda'})$ the renormalization group flow equations for the non-linear sigma model in two dimensions can be written:
\[ \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial \lambda} = -\beta_{ij}(g). \]  
(3.1)
\(^2\)Defining the coordinate invariant size (of the subsystem outside the black hole) as $L_I = \int_{x_h}^L \frac{dx}{\sqrt{h(x)}}$ it was shown that the entanglement entropy (2.8) is an increasingly function of $L_I$. 
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We will have different $\beta_{ij}$ functions depending of the number of loops considered. Since in two dimensions the Riemann tensor can be written as $R_{ijkl} = g_{(k l} g_{i)j} R$ we have, after a re-escalation:

$$\partial_\lambda g_{ij} = -R g_{ij} - \frac{\alpha}{4} R^2 g_{ij}, \quad (3.2)$$

here $R$ is the scalar curvature (Ricci scalar) of the metric $g_{ij}$. The flow defined by (3.2) is called the **RG-2 flow** and it is the two loop approximation to the RG flow of the non-linear sigma model. The flow in (3.2) is not parabolic, the term $\frac{\alpha}{2} R^2 g_{ij}$ spoils the parabolicity of the flow. However, it has been shown that there are regions where the flow is weakly parabolic, namely the regions where $1 + \frac{\alpha}{2} R > 0$ [12]. Moreover, the existence of zones of weak parabolicity for the RG-2 flow can be extended to higher dimensions [11, 24]. These regions are clearly determined, and we must work inside them to ensure the existence of solutions.

### 3.1 Black hole evolution

We want to make evolve a black hole metric in two dimensions. In order to do that, we take the same ansatz as given in [10] and at "time" ($\lambda = 0$) we chose a metric of the Schwarzschild type:

$$ds^2 = f(x)dt^2 + \frac{1}{f(x)}dx^2, \quad (3.3)$$

where $f(x)$ is positive everywhere but becomes zero when evaluated at the horizon. When the black hole is non-degenerated near the horizon $x = x_h$ we can write

$$f(x) = q_0(x - x_h) + O((x - x_h)^2), \quad (3.4)$$

thus, the Hawking temperature $T_H = \frac{q_0}{4\pi}$ at $x_h$ is different from zero. Moreover, $f \to 1$ when $x \to \infty$, which implies that the metric is asymptotically flat.

Now we define the metric for $\lambda > 0$ :

$$ds^2 = f(x, \lambda)dt^2 + \frac{e^{2\phi(x, \lambda)}}{f(x, \lambda)}dx^2, \quad (3.5)$$

then, its Ricci scalar is

$$R = e^{-2\phi}(-\partial_x^2 f + \partial_x \phi \partial_x f), \quad (3.6)$$

and we set the initial value $\phi(x, 0) = 0$.

Replacing (3.5) in the RG-2 flow (3.2) we obtain:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \lambda} = -e^{-2\phi}(-\partial_x^2 f + \partial_x \phi \partial_x f) f - \frac{\alpha}{4} e^{-4\phi}(-\partial_x^2 f + \partial_x \phi \partial_x f)^2 f \quad (3.7)$$

$$\frac{\partial e^{2\phi}}{\partial \lambda} = -2(-\partial_x^2 f + \partial_x \phi \partial_x f) - \frac{\alpha}{2} e^{-2\phi}(-\partial_x^2 f + \partial_x \phi \partial_x f)^2 \quad (3.8)$$
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The previous system of equations, except for the $\alpha$ terms, is the same one obtained in the Ricci flow case. However, now the type of equations is completely different, they are not parabolic any more. From (3.7) and (3.8) we get

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \lambda} f,$$

(3.9)

which is the same equation obtained for the Ricci flow (see eq. (3.6) on [10]) then, using the initial condition (3.3) we get from the previous equation

$$\phi = \ln \left( \frac{f(x, \lambda)}{f(x, 0)} \right).$$

(3.10)

Using the eq. (3.10) we can write the metric (3.5) as:

$$ds^2 = f(x, \lambda) \left( dt^2 + \frac{1}{f^2(x, 0)} \right),$$

(3.11)

Our first result is that both flows admit the same initial metric, the same result will also works for higher loops.

The function $f(x, \lambda)$ satisfies the equation

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \lambda} = f(x, 0) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{f(x, 0) \partial_x f}{f} \right) - \frac{\alpha}{4} f^2(x, 0) \left( \frac{\partial_x \left( \frac{f(x, 0) \partial_x f}{f} \right)}{f} \right)^2.$$  

(3.12)

Introducing a new coordinate $r$ defined by [10]

$$r = \int_{x_0}^{x} \frac{f(x)}{f(x, 0)} dx, \quad \frac{\partial r}{\partial \lambda} = \partial_r f - q_o,$$

(3.13)

where $r = 0$ corresponds to $x = x_h$, the metric (3.11) in the new variable becomes:

$$ds^2 = f(r, \lambda) dt^2 + \frac{1}{f(r, \lambda)} dr^2.$$  

(3.14)

Now we are ready to make evolve the metric (3.14) under the RG-2 flow (3.2):

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \lambda} = f \partial_r f - \frac{\alpha}{4} f (\partial_r f)^2 - (\partial_r f)^2 + q_o \partial_r f,$$

(3.15)

here $q_o = f(x, 0)|_{x=x_h}$.

The previous equation can be written as:

$$\frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial \lambda} = -R g_{ij} - \frac{\alpha}{4} R^2 g_{ij} - \nabla_i \eta_j - \nabla_j \eta_i,$$

(3.16)

with

$$\eta_t = 0, \quad \eta_r = \frac{1}{f(r, \lambda)} (\partial_r f - q_o)$$

(3.17)

Setting $^3$

$$\psi(r, \lambda) = \int_r^\infty \frac{d\bar{r}}{f(\bar{r}, \lambda)} (q_o - \partial_r f),$$

(3.19)

$^3$For metric (3.11) we have

$$\psi(x, \lambda) = \ln \left( \frac{f(x, \lambda)}{f(x, 0)} \right) + \psi_o(x).$$

(3.18)
we can write

\[ \eta_t = \partial_t \psi(r, \lambda), \quad \eta_r = -\partial_r \psi(r, \lambda). \]  \hfill (3.20)

This \( \psi \) is a solution to the equation\(^4 \) (compare with equation (2.2)):

\[ \Delta \psi = -\partial_{rr} f. \]  \hfill (3.22)

In this section we have extended the analysis made in [10] to the RG-2 flow case. In this particular coordinates it is easy to see the general form of RG-2- DeTurck flow, this flow is parabolic (making the RG-2 flow weakly parabolic). We see that the extension is straightforward, and therefore, it can be done for higher loops. The only problem will be the existence of solutions for these flows, which has not been determined yet.

Now, let’s see how some properties of our two dimensional black hole behave under RG-2 evolution.

### 4 Asymptotical behaviour and Hawking temperature

An asymptotically flat metric will remain asymptotically flat after evolution under the RG-2 flow. Indeed, an asymptotically flat metric \( g_{ij} \) at infinity behaves as \( g_{ij} = 1 + \eta_{ij} \) with \( \eta_{ij} \ll 1 \), then \( \eta_{ij} \) evolves as

\[ \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial \lambda} = \partial_{rr} \eta + q_o \partial_r \eta - \frac{\alpha}{4} \eta (\partial_{rr} \eta)^2 - (\partial_r \eta)^2. \]  \hfill (4.1)

If we assume that \( \eta_o(r) = \frac{1}{r^k}, k \geq 1 \) when \( r \) is large, we can neglect the terms \((\partial_{rr} \eta)\) and \((\partial_r \eta)^2\), then \( \eta(r, \lambda) \) satisfies:

\[ \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial \lambda} = q_o \partial_r \eta. \]  \hfill (4.2)

Which is the same equation obtained for the Ricci flow. Using the initial value \( \eta_o(r) = \frac{1}{r^k} \) it is straightforward to obtain \( \eta(r, \lambda) = \eta_o(r + q_o \lambda) \approx \frac{1}{(r+q_o \lambda)^k} \). This shows that \( \eta(r, \lambda) \) will decay for large \( r \) and large \( \lambda > 0 \). The bound obtained for the Ricci flow \( |\eta(r, \lambda)| \leq |\eta_o(r)| \) is still satisfied when evolution is under the RG-2 flow. On the other hand, we know that \( R = -\partial_{rr} \eta(r, \lambda) \) then the asymptotic flatness is preserved by the RG-2 flow. This result was expected since the terms corresponding to the second loop correction are small compared with those of the one loop flow. Adding more loops will not change this behaviour, and therefore we can state that two dimensional asymptotically flat black hole will remain asymptotically flat for all loops.

Now we analyse what happens when we are near the horizon. We assume that near \( r = 0 \) the function \( f(r, \lambda) \) can be expanded using a Taylor series,

\[ f(r, \lambda) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i(\lambda) r^i. \]  \hfill (4.3)

\(^4\)The entanglement entropy can be written, with an appropriate choice of the integration constants, as:

\[ S = -\frac{c}{12} \psi(0) + \frac{c}{6} \ln \left( \frac{1}{\epsilon} \right). \]  \hfill (3.21)
We replace the series (4.3) in (3.15) and we will obtain the flow equations for the coefficients of the series. In general, we will obtain the Ricci flow equations plus the $\alpha-$ terms corresponding to the correction introduced by the RG-2 flow. The flow equations for the first coefficients become:

$$\frac{\partial a_0}{\partial \lambda} = 2a_o a_2 + a_1 (q_o - a_1) - \alpha a_o a_2^2$$

(4.4)

$$\frac{\partial a_1}{\partial \lambda} = 6a_o a_3 + 2a_2 (q_o - a_1) - \alpha (a_1 a_2^2 + 3a_o a_3)$$

(4.5)

In general (when $n \geq 2$)

$$\frac{\partial a_n}{\partial \lambda} = (n + 2)(n + 1)a_o a_{n+2} + (n + 1)(q_o - a_1)a_{n+1} + (n^2 - 1)a_1 a_{n+1}$$

$$+ \sum_{m=2}^{n} (m(2m - n - 3)a_m a_{n-2} + (m^2 - 1)a_1 a_{n+1})$$

$$- \frac{\alpha}{4} \sum_{k=0}^{m} (m + 2)(m + 1)(m + 2 - k)(m + 1 - k)a_{m+2} a_{m-k+2} a_{n-m})$$

(4.6)

We know that when $\lambda = 0$ we have $a_o = 0$ and $a_1 = q_o$. Therefore,

$$\frac{\partial^k a_o}{\partial \lambda^k} = 0,$$

(4.7)

But contrary to what happens in the Ricci flow now we have

$$\frac{\partial a_1}{\partial \lambda} = -\alpha q_o a_2.$$  

(4.8)

Thus, we can see that $a_o = 0$ for all $\lambda$, but $a_1 = q_1$ at $\lambda = 0$. The $a_1$ coefficient changes with evolution, and since we know that $T_H = \frac{1}{4\pi} \partial_\nu f(0, \lambda)$ is the Hawking temperature of the horizon, it implies that Hawking temperature changes with evolution under RG-2 flow. The correction introduced to the Ricci flow does affect the evolution of the Hawking temperature.

5 Ancient solutions, scalar curvature, and horizons

The stationary points of the flow are easily characterized. From (3.2) we see that the fixed points of the flow are given by the spaces of constant curvature. The spaces such that $R = 0$ and $R = -\frac{4}{\alpha}$ are the IR and UV fixed points respectively. The ancient solutions are trickier. If we define

$$f_{\text{as}}(\lambda, x) = \frac{f_R(x)}{h(\lambda)},$$

(5.1)

where $f_R(x)$ is a metric of constant negative curvature ($R = -1$). The scalar curvature of $f_{\text{as}}(\lambda, x)$ is $R(\lambda) = h(\lambda)$. Then from (5.4) we obtain

$$\frac{dh}{d\lambda} = h^2 + \frac{\alpha}{4} h^3$$

(5.2)
whose general solution is

\[ \lambda(h) = -\frac{1}{h} - \frac{\alpha}{4} \ln(4h + \alpha h^2) + c \]  

(5.3)

The solution (5.1) was found in [12], and it corresponds to an eternal solution connecting two fixed points, the IR fixed point and the UV fixed point. It is interesting to note that the solution \( f_{as} \) for negative \( \lambda \) belongs to the zone where the flow is backwards parabolic, and for positive \( \lambda \) it belongs to the zone where the flow is parabolic.

### 5.1 Evolution of scalar curvature

The appearance of singularities is a big problem when dealing with extrinsic flows, such as the RG-2 flow. It is not easy to control the behaviour of curvature when the manifold is evolving under the flow. Here we will study how the scalar curvature behaves under the flow\(^5\).

The scalar \( R \) evolves under the eq. (3.16) as

\[ \frac{\partial R}{\partial \lambda} = (1 + \frac{\alpha}{2} R) \Delta R + \frac{\alpha}{2} |\nabla R|^2 + \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{4} R\right) R^2 + q \eta^r \partial_r R. \]  

(5.4)

Using the metric eq. (3.14) together with (3.17) the previous equation transforms to:

\[ \frac{\partial R}{\partial \lambda} = (1 + \frac{\alpha}{2} R) f \partial_r R + \frac{\alpha}{2} |\partial_r R|^2 + \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{4} R\right) R^2 + \eta^r \partial_r R. \]  

(5.5)

We have to be sure that the flow does not change the sign of the curvature during the evolution. If we start with a manifold of positive scalar curvature the flow should maintain its positivity. This requirement is needed in order to establish monotonicity. If we assumed that \( R(r, \lambda) \) has a local minimum at some \( r_o \), then \( \partial_r R(r_o, \lambda) = 0 \) and \( \partial_{r\lambda} R(r_o \lambda) > 0 \). If the the scalar curvature \( R \) is positive then \( 1 + \frac{\alpha}{2} R > 0 \), which is the condition needed in order to have a parabolic flow. Moreover, the positivity of the scalar curvature implies that \( (1 + \frac{\alpha}{4} R) > 0 \), and therefore we can conclude that \( \partial_{r\lambda} R(r_o \lambda) > 0 \). It implies that the point \( r_o \) is a global minimum, the scalar curvature will increase from \( r_o \) and therefore, it will remain positive during the evolution. This result was expected and it was already known for two dimensional closed manifolds. However, in our case we have an asymptotically flat manifold with a horizon, therefore we have to look at what is happening at these important points\(^6\).

At infinity the manifold is flat, then \( R = 0 \) when \( r \to \infty \), so it remains no negative, and as we have seen in section 4 it will remain asymptotically flat. On the other side, in the horizon, the function \( f \) vanishes and therefore we have that

\[^5\]We also want to study the Kretschamn scalar in order to see if there is a curvature singularity. However, in two dimensions the Kretschman scalar is \( R_{ijkl} R^{ijkl} = R^2 \), then controlling the evolution of \( R \) is enough to ensure the evolution without singularities.

\[^6\]The RG-2 flow in two dimensions is parabolic only when \( 1 + \frac{\alpha}{2} R > 0 \), then a maximum principle can be used in equation (5.5). Usually, a zone of parabolicity and backwards parabolicity are defined \( M_- = \{g \in \mathcal{M} \mid 1 + \frac{\alpha}{2} R > 0 \}\) and \( M_+ = \{g \in \mathcal{M} \mid 1 + \frac{\alpha}{2} R < 0 \}\) respectively, where \( \mathcal{M} \) is the space of smooth Riemannian metrics. This analysis shows that, even in the zones where the curvature scalar is negative it will remain negative [12, 13].
\[
\frac{\partial R(0, \lambda)}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{\alpha}{2} |R'(0, \lambda)|^2 + \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{4} R(0, \lambda)\right) R^2(0, \lambda) + q_0 R'(0, \lambda) \tag{5.6}
\]

First we assume that \( \partial_r R(0, \lambda) > 0 \) then, in the zones where \( 1 + \frac{\alpha}{4} R(0, \lambda) > 0 \), because of (5.6), we have \( \partial_\lambda R(0, \lambda) > 0 \), therefore \( R(0, \lambda) \) is increasing function of \( \lambda \), then \( R(0, \lambda) \) remains positive during evolution. Now we assume the opposite, namely \( \partial_r R(0, \lambda) < 0 \).

Then, we have two options: if there is a local minimum at some point \( r_o > 0 \) then \( R(0, \lambda) > R(r_o, \lambda) > 0 \). If there is not such a minimum. then \( R(0, \lambda) > R(\infty, \lambda) = 0 \). Thus, \( R \) remains positive everywhere including the point \( r = 0 \). For compact surfaces a similar result has been found for the \( RG_{\omega}\)-2 flow. \(^7\)

5.2 Horizons

We are interested in studying the appearance of horizons. As we showed in the previous sections the flow maintains asymptotically flatness and positiveness of the scalar curvature. An asymptotically flat initial metric \( f(r, 0) \) will maintain this property, and therefore at infinite, after evolution, it will be \( f(\infty, \lambda) = 1 \). If it has a horizon, let’s say at \( r = 0 \) then \( f(0, \lambda) = 0 \). Now if we assume that for some \( \lambda > 0 \) it appears a point \( r = r_1 > 0 \) such that \( f(r_1, \lambda) = 0 \) (a new horizon), then it will be an extreme point \( f \) and therefore, \( \partial_r f(r_1, \lambda) = 0 \). We have two cases: if it is a minimum \( \partial_{rr} f(r_1, \lambda) > 0 \). The eq. (3.15) gives

\[
\frac{\partial f}{\partial \lambda} = f \partial_{rr} f \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{4} \partial_{rr} f\right). \tag{5.7}
\]

From the previous equation we can see that if \( 1 - \frac{\alpha}{4} \partial_{rr} f > 0 \) we can conclude that \( \partial_\lambda f > 0 \), which implies that \( f(r_1, \lambda) \) is increasing with \( \lambda \), therefore \( f \) will never develop a horizon. We have assumed that \( f \) belongs to the zone where \( 1 - \frac{\alpha}{4} \partial_{rr} f > 0 \), so let us take a look more closely to this condition.

We know that \( R = -\partial_{rr} f \), therefore the zone we are analysing becomes

\[1 + \frac{\alpha}{4} R > 0.\tag{5.8}\]

Inequality (5.8) will be satisfied wherever \( R \) is positive. Following our argument we have that \( \partial_{rr} f > 0 \), then the scalar curvature has to be negative. If it is negative it can only be in the zone where \(-\frac{\alpha}{4} < R < 0\), where we know that the flow is parabolic and the curvature is controlled.

The second case is when \( r_1 \) is a maximum, then \( \partial_{rr} f(r_1, \lambda) < 0 \). Inequality (5.8) will be satisfied because the scalar curvature \( R \) is going to be positive. Thus, from equation (5.7) we get that \( \partial_\lambda f < 0 \), it implies that the metric is a decreasing function of \( \lambda \). A similar result in the context of General Relativity was found in \cite{23}.

6 Entropy

It is known that some kind of entropies are monotonous under Ricci flow. In particular, in \cite{18} it is shown that the surface entropy, sometimes called Hamilton’s entropy, \( N(g) = \)

\(^7\)The \( RG_{\omega}\)-2 flow is the RG-2 flow eq. (3.16) but without the DeTurck term
\( \int_M R \log R \) is monotonous under the Ricci flow when \( M \) is a compact surface. The result was extended to asymptotically flat spaces in [10]. In [13] there is a proposal for a surface entropy considering the first \( \alpha \)-correction. The proposed entropy is written

\[
N_\alpha(g) = \int \left( R \log R + \frac{\alpha}{2} R^2 \right) d\mu.
\] (6.1)

Assuming \( R > 0 \) it is shown that the second order surface entropy of a closed manifold is monotonous under the normalized RG-2 flow. The result is directly applicable to the RG-2 without the normalization. In particular, defining \( X_i = \nabla_i R + R \nabla_i f + \frac{\alpha}{R} \nabla_i R \) and calling \( M_{ij} \) to the trace-free part of the Hessian of \( \psi \), it can be shown that

\[
\frac{dN_\alpha}{d\lambda} = - \int_M \frac{\left| \nabla R + R \nabla V + \frac{\alpha}{2} R \nabla R \right|^2}{R} - 2 \int_M |M_{ij}|^2 < 0.
\] (6.2)

This result can be easily extended to asymptotically flat spaces. We consider a metric of the type (3.14) and \( f(r) = 1 + O(r^{-k}) \), with \( k > 0 \) and \( r \) big. The scalar curvature behaves as \( R \sim 1/r^{k+2} \), then \( R^2 \sim 1/r^{(2k+4)} \). Moreover \( R' \sim 1/r^{k+3} \), therefore the integrand in (6.1) goes as \( O(\ln r/r^{k+2}) \). It is straightforward to calculate the convergence of the integrals in (6.2). Thus

\[
\frac{\left| \nabla R + R \nabla V + \frac{\alpha}{2} R \nabla R \right|^2}{R} \sim \frac{1}{r^{k+4}}, \quad |M_{ij}| \sim \frac{1}{y^{2(k+1)}}.
\] (6.3)

The integrals (6.1) and (6.2) converge. With all said we can assure that the Hamilton’s entropy is strictly decreasing (except when \( f \) represents a steady soliton) under RG-2 flow for asymptotically spaces.

### 6.1 Entanglement entropy

The black hole entanglement entropy is an of-shell definition, therefore it can be calculated for any black hole metric (not necessary a solution of equations of motion coming from any action). The entanglement entropy (2.8) in the new coordinate given by (3.13) becomes

\[
S(\lambda) = \frac{c}{12} \left( \int_0^{L_r} dr \frac{(q_o - \partial_r f(r, \lambda))}{f(r, \lambda)} + \ln f(L_r, \lambda) - 2 \ln(q_o \epsilon) \right),
\] (6.4)

where \( \partial_r f(0, \lambda) = q_o \) and as before \( L_r \) is the value of the \( r \) coordinate at the boundary of the box. Then, the variation of the entropy under \( \lambda \) is given by [10]

\[
\frac{\partial S(\lambda)}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{c}{12f(\delta)} \left( \frac{\partial f(\delta, \lambda)}{\partial \lambda} + q_o (\partial_r f(\delta, \lambda) - q_o) \right).
\] (6.5)

The proper variation of the entropy will be recovered in the limit \( \delta \to 0 \).

The previous equation can be used for any extrinsic flow, in [10] the evolution under the Ricci flow was studied. Here we extend the analysis to the RG-2 flow, and in principle, the analysis can be extended to any loop approximation of the renormalization group flow.
Using (3.15) at $r = \delta$ we find the variation of the black hole entanglement entropy under the RG-2 flow:

$$\frac{\partial S(\lambda)}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{c}{12} \left( \partial_{rr} f(\delta) - \frac{(\partial_r f(\delta) - q_\delta)^2}{f(\delta)} - \frac{\alpha}{4} (\partial_{rr} f(\delta))^2 + q_\delta \partial_r f f \right)$$

(6.6)

When we take the limit $\delta \to 0$ the terms that are of the order of $\delta$ vanish, and we get

$$\frac{\partial S(\lambda)}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{c}{12} \left( \partial_{rr} f(\delta) - \frac{\alpha}{4} (\partial_{rr} f(\delta))^2 \right)$$

(6.7)

Since $R(0,\lambda) = -(\partial_{rr} f)(0,\lambda)$ we obtain

$$\frac{\partial S(\lambda)}{\partial \lambda} = -\frac{c}{12} \left( R(0,\lambda) + \frac{\alpha}{4} R(0,\lambda)^2 \right)$$

(6.8)

The previous equation shows that if the scalar curvature is positive at the horizon for all $\lambda$, the entanglement entropy is monotonically decreasing under the RG-2 flow. This is one of the main results of this work. If we start with a metric with positive scalar curvature, it will maintain the positivity through the evolution as we have seen in section (5). On that section, the requirement is the positivity of the term $(1 + \frac{\alpha}{4} R)$, this is the only condition needed, and it appears again in (6.8). For higher loops the pattern will be recognizable, however more conditions will arise because of the weak parabolicity of the flow, putting restrictions to the zones where the flow has solutions.

7 Final comments

The irreversibility of a process carries with itself the definition of entropy. When considering a general bosonic non-linear sigma model we find that the RG flow is an evolution equation for the metric, and at first order it coincides with the Ricci flow. It is natural to ask about higher order loops. Here we have studied some properties of the RG-2 flow in two dimensions in asymptotically flat spaces. Some of them where expected, and are satisfied almost trivially, but others clearly reflect the influence of the $\alpha$-correction. For example, an asymptotically flat metric at the beginning of the flow remains asymptotically flat for all times, and since higher loops will add only small neglecting terms this result can be stated for all loops. In the compact case the end point of the flow, if any, are metrics of constant curvature, which are stationary points of the flow the. Surprisingly, Hawking temperature of a two dimensional black hole does not change under the Ricci flow but it does change under the RG-2 flow.

There are non trivial results, the existence of horizons is restricted to a given zone. However, if we consider a manifold with scalar curvature $R > 0$ the restriction is evidently satisfies, it suggests that for higher loops the study of the conditions for the existence of horizons will lead us to find a condition for the existence of solutions to the flow. The flow could lead to the appearance of a new horizon if we start evolving the flow with a metric outside of the zone $1 + \frac{\alpha}{4} R > 0$. Even inside that zone , when the scalar curvature $R$ is negative, horizons

---

*The flow needs to maintain the positivity only at the horizon.*
could appear. When studying higher flows it will appear more restrictions, and all of them will be related with the zone where the flow is parabolic, and the zones will be restricted more and more. However, it seems that the pattern will be recognizable. The entanglement entropy of a two dimensional black hole is monotonous under the RG-2 flow, the conditions needed to be satisfied are the positiveness of the scalar curvature at the horizon, and the scalar curvature, at the horizon, satisfying $1 + \frac{\alpha}{4} R > 0$.
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