COORDINATIZING DATA WITH LENS SPACES AND PERSISTENT COHOMOLOGY
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Abstract. We introduce here a framework to construct coordinates in finite Lens spaces for data with nontrivial 1-dimensional $\mathbb{Z}_q$ persistent cohomology, $q \geq 3$. Said coordinates are defined on an open neighborhood of the data, yet constructed with only a small subset of landmarks. We also introduce a dimensionality reduction scheme in $S^{2n-1}/\mathbb{Z}_q$ (Lens-PCA: LPCA), and demonstrate the efficacy of the pipeline $PH_1(\cdot;\mathbb{Z}_q)$ class $\Rightarrow S^{2n-1}/\mathbb{Z}_q$ coordinates $\Rightarrow$ LPCA, for nonlinear (topological) dimensionality reduction.

1. Introduction

One of the main questions in Topological Data Analysis (TDA) is how to use topological signatures—e.g., persistent (co)homology [11]—to infer spaces parametrizing a given data set [3, 1, 4]. This is relevant in nonlinear dimensionality reduction, as the presence of nontrivial topology (e.g., loops, voids, non-orientability, torsion, etc), can prevent accurate descriptions with low-dimensional Euclidean coordinates.

Here we seek to address this problem motivated by two facts. The first: If $G$ is a topological abelian group, then one can associate to it a contractible space, $EG$, equipped with a free right $G$-action. For instance, if $G = \mathbb{Z}$, then $\mathbb{R}$ is a model for $E\mathbb{Z}$, with right $\mathbb{Z}$-action $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z} \ni (r,n) \mapsto r + n \in \mathbb{R}$. The quotient $BG := EG/G$ is called the classifying space of $G$, since it is unique up to homotopy equivalence ($\simeq$) [8]. In particular $B\mathbb{Z} \simeq S^1$, $B\mathbb{Z}_2 \simeq \mathbb{RP}^\infty$, $BS^1 \simeq \mathbb{CP}^\infty$ and $B\mathbb{Z}_q \simeq S^\infty/\mathbb{Z}_q$.

The second fact: If $\mathcal{C}_G$ is the sheaf over a topological space $B$ which associates to each open set $U \subset B$ the abelian group of continuous maps from $U$ to $G$, then $\check{H}^1(B;\mathcal{C}_G)$—the first Čech cohomology group of $B$ with coefficients in $\mathcal{C}_G$—is in bijective correspondence with $[B, BG]$—the set of homotopy classes of continuous maps from $B$ to the classifying space $BG$. This bijection is a manifestation of the Brown representability theorem [2], and implies, in so many words, that Čech cohomology classes can be represented as classifying space coordinates.

For point cloud data—i.e., for a finite subset $X$ of an ambient metric space $(M,d)$—one does not compute Čech cohomology, but rather persistent cohomology. Specifically, the persistent cohomology of the Rips filtration on the data set $X$ or a subset of landmarks $L$). The first main result of this paper is that steps one through three below mimic the bijection $\check{H}^1(\cdot;\mathcal{C}_\mathbb{Z}_q) \cong [\cdot, S^\infty/\mathbb{Z}_q]$ for an open neighborhood of $X$ in $M$:

1. Let $(M,d)$ be a metric space and let $L \subset X \subset M$ be finite. $X$ is the data and $L$ is a set of landmarks.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 55R99, 55N99, 68W05; Secondary 55U99.
Key words and phrases. Persistent cohomology, Fiber bundle, Classifying map, Lens space.
(2) For a prime $q > 2$ compute $PH^1(R(L); \mathbb{Z}_q)$: the 1-dim $\mathbb{Z}_q$-persistent cohomology of the Rips filtration on $L$. If the corresponding persistence diagram $\text{dgm}(L)$ has an element $(a, b)$ so that $2a < b$, then let $a < \epsilon < b/2$ and choose a representative cocycle $\eta \in Z^1(R_2(L); \mathbb{Z}_q)$ whose cohomology class has $(a, b)$ as birth-death pair. $\eta_{jk} \in \mathbb{Z}_q$ will denote the value of $\eta$ on the edge $\{l_j, l_k\} \in R_2(L).

(3) Let $B_r(l)$ be the open ball in $M$ of radius $\epsilon$ centered at $l \in L = \{l_1, \ldots, l_n\}$, and let $\phi = \{\phi_l\}_{l \in L}$ be a partition of unity subordinated to $B = \{B_r(l)\}_{l \in L}$. If $\zeta_q \neq 1$ is a $q$-th root of unity, then the cocycle $\eta = \{\eta_{jk}\}$ yields a map $f : \bigcup B \rightarrow L_q^n$ to the Lens space $L_q^n = S^{2n-1}/\mathbb{Z}_q$, given in homogeneous coordinates by the formula

$$f(b) = \left[ \sqrt{\phi_1(b)} \zeta_q^{n_1} : \cdots : \sqrt{\phi_n(b)} \zeta_q^{n_n} \right]$$

If $X \subset \bigcup B$, then $f(X) = Y \subset L_q^n$ is the representation of the data—in a potentially high dimensional Lens space—corresponding to the cocycle $\eta$. The second contribution of this paper is a dimensionality reduction procedure in $L_q^n$ akin to Principal Component Analysis, called LPCA. This allows us to produce from $Y$, a family of point clouds $P_k(Y) \subset L_q^n$, $k = 1, \ldots, n$, $P_n(Y) = Y$, minimizing an appropriate notion of distortion. These are the Lens coordinates of the data set $X$, induced by the cocycle $\eta$.

The work presented here, combined with [10, 9], should be seen as one of the final steps in completing the program of using the classifying space $BG$ for $G$ abelian and finitely generated, to produce coordinates for data with nontrivial underlying 1st cohomology. Indeed, this follows from the fact that $B(G \oplus G') \cong BG \times BG'$, and that if $G$ is finitely generated and abelian, then it is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^n \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{n_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{n_r}$ for unique integers $n, n_1, \ldots, n_r \geq 0$.
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## 2. Preliminaries

### 2.1. Persistent Cohomology.** A family $\mathcal{K} = \{K_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}}$ of simplicial complexes is called a filtration, if $K_\alpha \subset K_{\alpha'}$ whenever $\alpha \leq \alpha'$. If $F$ is a field and $i \geq 0$ is an integer, then the direct sum $PH^i(\mathcal{K}; F) := \bigoplus_{\alpha} H^i(K_\alpha; F)$ of cohomology groups is called the $i$-th dimensional $F$-persistent cohomology of $\mathcal{K}$. A theorem of Crawley-Boevey [5] contends that if $H^i(K_\alpha; F)$ is finite dimensional for each $\alpha$, then the isomorphism type of $PH^i(\mathcal{K}; F)$—as a module—is uniquely determined by a multiset (i.e., a set whose elements may appear with repetitions)

$$\text{dgm} \subset \{(\alpha, \alpha') \in [-\infty, \infty]^2 : \alpha \leq \alpha'\}$$

called the persistence diagram of $PH^i(\mathcal{K}; F)$. In particular, if $(\alpha, \alpha') \in \text{dgm}$ and $\alpha < \epsilon < \alpha'$, then there exists $[\eta] \in H^i(K_\alpha; F)$, so that for all $\delta, \delta' \geq 0$ with $\alpha < \epsilon - \delta \leq \epsilon + \delta' < \alpha'$, $[\eta] \in \text{Img}(i_{\epsilon, \epsilon + \delta'}) \setminus \text{Ker}(i_{\epsilon, \epsilon + \delta'})$ for the homomorphisms in cohomology induced by the inclusions $K_{\epsilon - \delta} \xrightarrow{i_{\epsilon - \delta, \epsilon}} K_\epsilon \xrightarrow{i_{\epsilon + \delta', \epsilon + \delta}} K_{\epsilon + \delta'}$. Pairs $(\alpha, \alpha')$ with larger persistence $\alpha' - \alpha$, are indicative of stable topological features throughout the filtration $\mathcal{K}$.

Persistent cohomology is used in TDA to quantify the topology underlying a data set. There are two widely used filtrations associated to a subset $X$ of a
metric space \((M, d)\), the Rips filtration \(\mathcal{R}(X) = \{R_\alpha(X)\}_\alpha\) and Čech filtration \(\mathcal{C}(X) = \{C_\alpha(X)\}_\alpha\). \(R_\alpha(X)\) is the set of nonempty finite subsets of \(X\) with diameter less than \(\alpha\), and \(C_\alpha(X)\) is the nerve of the collection \(B_\alpha\) of open balls \(B_\alpha(x) \subset M\) of radius \(\alpha\), centered at \(x \in X\). In other words, \(C_\alpha(X) = \mathcal{N}(B_\alpha)\). Generally \(\mathcal{R}(X)\) is more easily computable, but \(\mathcal{C}(X)\) has better theoretical properties (e.g., the Nerve theorem [6, 4G.3]). Their relative weaknesses are ameliorated by noticing that \(R_\alpha(X) \subset \mathcal{N}(B_\alpha) \subset R_{2\alpha}(X)\) for all \(\alpha\), and using both filtrations in analyses: Rips for computations, and Čech for theoretical inference.

2.2. Lens Spaces. Let \(q \in \mathbb{N}\) and let \(\zeta_q \in \mathbb{C}\) be a primary \(q\)-th root of unity. Fix \(n \in \mathbb{N}\) and let \(q_1, \ldots, q_n \in \mathbb{N}\) be relatively prime to \(q\). We define the Lens space \(L^n(q_1, \ldots, q_n)\) as the quotient of \(S^{2n-1} \subset \mathbb{C}^n\) by the \(\mathbb{Z}_q\) right action

\[
[z_1, \ldots, z_n] \cdot g := [z_1^{q_1 g}, \ldots, z_n^{q_n g}]
\]

and simplify the notation \(L^n_q := L^n(q_1, 1, \ldots, 1)\). Notice that when \(q = 2\), the right action described above is the antipodal map, and therefore \(L^2_q = \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{2n-1}\).

Similarly, the infinite Lens space \(L^n_\infty\) is defined as the quotient of the infinite unit sphere \(S^\infty \subset \mathbb{C}^\infty\), modulo the action of \(\mathbb{Z}_q\) given by coordinatewise multiplication by the \(q\)-th root of unity \(\zeta_q\).

2.2.1. A Fundamental domain for \(L^n_q(1, p)\). The following is a convenient model for both \(L^n_q(1, p)\) and a fundamental domain thereof. Let \(D^3\) be the closed unit ball in \(\mathbb{R}^3\) centered at the origin, and let \(D_+ (D_-)\) be the upper (lower) hemisphere of \(\partial D^3\), including the equator. Let \(r_{p/q} : D_- \rightarrow D_-\) be rotation by \(2\pi p/q\) radians, and let \(\rho : D_+ \rightarrow D_-\) be the reflection \(\rho(x, y, z) = (x, y, -z)\). Then, \(L^n_q(1, p) \cong D^3 / \sim\), where \(x \sim y\) if and only if \(x \in D_+\) and \(\rho(x) = r_{p/q}(y)\).

2.3. Principal Bundles. Let \(B\) be a connected topological space with base point \(b_0 \in B\). A continuous map \(\pi : P \rightarrow B\) is said to be a fiber bundle with fiber \(F = \pi^{-1}(b_0)\) and total space \(P\), if \(\pi\) is surjective, and every \(b \in B\) has an open neighborhood \(U \subset B\) and a homeomorphism \(\rho_U : U \times F \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(U)\), called a local trivialization around \(b\), so that \(\pi \circ \rho_U(x, e) = x\) for every \((x, e) \in U \times F\).

Let \(G\) be an abelian topological group whose operation we write additively. A fiber bundle \(\pi : P \rightarrow B\) is said to be a principal \(G\)-bundle, if \(P\) comes equipped with a fiberwise transitive and free right \(G\)-action. Moreover, two principal \(G\)-bundles \(\pi : P \rightarrow B\) and \(\pi' : P' \rightarrow B\) are isomorphic, if there exits a homeomorphism \(F : P \rightarrow P'\), with \(\pi' \circ F = \pi\) and so that \(F(e \cdot g) = F(e)g\) for all \(e \in P\), \(g \in G\). Given an open cover \(\mathcal{U} = \{U_j\}_{j \in J}\) for \(B\), and a 1-dimensional Čech cocycle

\[
\eta = \{\eta_{jk}\} \in \check{H}^1(\mathcal{U}; \mathcal{C}_G)
\]

with respect to \(\mathcal{U}\) and coefficients in the sheaf \(\mathcal{C}_G\) of continuous \(G\)-valued functions on \(B\), one can construct a principal \(G\)-bundle with total space

\[
P_\eta = \left( \bigcup_{j \in J} U_j \times \{j\} \times G \right) / \sim
\]

where \((b, j, g) \sim (b, k, g + \eta_{jk}(b))\) for every \(b \in U_j \cap U_k\), and \(\pi : P_\eta \rightarrow B\) projection onto the first coordinate.
Theorem 2.1. If \( \text{Prin}_G(B) \) denotes the set of isomorphism classes of principal \( G \)-bundles over \( B \), then

\[
\check{H}^1(B; \mathcal{E}_G) \quad \mapsto \quad \text{Prin}_G(B)
\]

is a bijection.

Proof. See 2.4 and 2.5 in [10] \( \square \)

We now describe why \( BG \) goes by the name of classifying space. To that end, let \( j : EG \to BG = EG/G \) be the quotient map. Given \( h : B \to BG \) continuous, the pullback \( h^*EG \) is the principal \( G \)-bundle over \( B \) with total space \( \{(b,e) \in B \times EG : h(b) = j(e)\} \), and projection map \( (b,e) \mapsto b \). Moreover,

**Theorem 2.2.** Let \( [B,BG] \) denote the set of homotopy class of maps from \( B \) to the classifying space \( BG \). Then, the function

\[
[B,BG] \quad \mapsto \quad \text{Prin}_G(B)
\]

is a bijection.

Proof. See [7], Chapter 4: Theorems 12.2 and 12.4. \( \square \)

In summary, given a principal \( G \)-bundle \( \pi : P \to B \), there exists a continuous map \( h : B \to BG \) so that \( h^*EG \) is isomorphic to \( (\pi,P) \), and the choice of \( h \) is unique up to homotopy. Any such choice is called a classifying map for \( \pi : P \to B \).

3. MAIN THEOREM: EXPLICIT CLASSIFYING MAPS FOR \( L^\infty_q \)

Let \( J = \{1, \ldots, n\} \), let \( U = \{U_j\}_{j \in J} \) be an open cover for \( B \), and let \( \{\varphi_j\}_{j \in J} \) be a partition of unity dominated by \( U \). If \( \eta = Z^1(N(U); \mathbb{Z}_q) \) and \( \zeta_q \) is a primitive \( q \)-th root of unity, define \( f_j : U_j \times \{j\} \times \mathbb{Z}_q \to S^{2n-1} \subset \mathbb{C}^n \) as

\[
f_j(b,j,q) = \left[ \sqrt{\varphi_1(b)}\zeta_q^{(g + \eta_1)_j}, \ldots, \sqrt{\varphi_n(b)}\zeta_q^{(g + \eta_n)_j} \right]
\]

If \( b \in U_j \cap U_k \), then \( f_j(b,j,g) = f_k(b,k,g + \eta_{jk}) \) and thus we get an induced map \( F : P_\eta \to S^{2n-1} \subset S^\infty \). The map \( F \) takes the class of \((b,j,g)\) to \( f_j(b,j,g) \).

**Proposition 3.1.** \( F \) is well defined and \( \mathbb{Z}_q \)-equivariant.

Proof. See the Appendix. \( \square \)

Let \( p : S^{2n-1} \to L^\infty_q \) be the quotient map. Since \( F : P_\eta \to S^{2n-1} \subset S^\infty \) is \( \mathbb{Z}_q \)-equivariant, it induces a map \( f : B \to L^\infty_q \subset L^\infty_q \) such that \( p \circ F = f \circ \pi \). By construction of \( \pi : P_\eta \to B \), \( f(\pi([b,j,g])) = f(b) \) for any \( g \in \mathbb{Z}_q \). In particular for \( 0 \in \mathbb{Z}_q \)

\[
f(b) = \left[ \sqrt{\varphi_1(b)}\zeta_q^{\eta_1}, \ldots, \sqrt{\varphi_n(b)}\zeta_q^{\eta_n} \right]. \quad (1)
\]

**Remark 3.2.** The notation \([a_1 : \cdots : a_n]\) corresponds to the homogeneous coordinates in \( S^{2n-1}/\mathbb{Z}_q \), i.e. \([a_1 : \cdots : a_n] = \{(ma_1, \ldots, ma_n) : m \in \mathbb{Z}_q \}\).

**Theorem 3.3.** The map \( f \) classifies the \( \mathbb{Z}_q \)-principal bundle \( P_\eta \) associated to the cocycle \( \eta \in Z^1(N(U); \mathbb{Z}_q) \).
Proof. First we need to see that $f$ is well defined. Let $b \in U_j \cap U_k$ therefore

$$p(F([b, j, 0])) = \left[ \sqrt{\varphi_1(b)\zeta^{b_1}_q} : \cdots : \sqrt{\varphi_n(b)\zeta^{b_n}_q} \right]$$

$$= p(F([b, k, 0])).$$

This shows that $f(b)$ is independent of the open set containing $b$.

So $(F, f) : (P_\eta, \pi, B) \rightarrow (S^{2n-1}, \pi, L_q)$ is a morphism of principal $\mathbb{Z}_q$-bundles, and by [7], Chapter 4: Theorem 4.2] we conclude that $P_\eta$ and $f^*(S^{2n-1})$ are isomorphic principal $\mathbb{Z}_q$-bundles over $B$.

4. Lens coordinates for data

Let $(X, d)$ be a metric space and $L \subset M$ be a finite subset. We are going to use the following notation from now on: $B_i(l) = \{y \in M : d(y, l) < \epsilon\}$, $B_i = \{B_i(l)\}_{l \in L}$, and $L^\epsilon = \bigcup B_i$.

Given $\eta \in Z^1(N(B_i), \mathbb{Z}_q)$ we can construct a map $f : L^\epsilon \rightarrow L_q^\infty$ using Equation (1). Let $X \subset M$ be a finite set, we can choose $L \subset X$ a set of landmarks and a suitable $\epsilon > 0$ such that $X \subset L^\epsilon$ to obtaining a map $f : L^\epsilon \rightarrow L_q^\infty$ defined for every point in $X$ constructed from a much smaller subset of landmarks.

4.1. Landmark selection. We select the landmark set $L \subset X$ either at random or through maxmin sampling. The latter proceeds inductively as follows: Fix $n \leq |X|$, and $l_1 \in X$ be chosen at random. Given $l_1, \ldots, l_j \in X$ for $j < n$, we let

$$l_{j+1} = \arg\max_{x \in X} \min\{d(x, l_1), \ldots, d(x, l_j)\}.$$  

4.2. A Partition of Unity subordinated to $B_\epsilon$. Defining $f$ relies on having a partition of unity subordinated to $B_\epsilon$. Since $B_\epsilon$ is an open cover composed of metric balls, we can provide an explicit partition of the unity. Let $|r|_+ := \max\{r, 0\}$, then

$$\varphi_i(x) := |\epsilon - d(x, l)|_+ / \sum_{l' \in L} |\epsilon - d(x, l')|_+$$

is a partition of unity subordinated to $B_\epsilon$.

4.3. From Rips to Čech to Rips. Determining $\eta \in Z^1(N(B_i), \mathbb{Z}_q)$ would require computing $N(B_i)$, which in general is an expensive procedure. Instead we will use the homomorphisms

$$H^1(\mathcal{R}_2(L)) \xrightarrow{i^*} H^1(N(B_i)) \xrightarrow{\iota^*} H^1(\mathcal{R}_\epsilon(L))$$

induced by the appropriate inclusions.

Let $\tilde{\eta} \in Z^1(\mathcal{R}_2(L); \mathbb{Z}_q)$ be such that $[\tilde{\eta}] \not\in \ker(i)$. Since the previous diagram commutes, then $[\tilde{\eta}] \not\in \ker(i^*)$, so $i^*(\tilde{\eta}) \neq 0$ in $H^1(N(B_i); \mathbb{Z}_q)$. We will let $[\eta] = i^*(\tilde{\eta})$ be the class that we use in Theorem 3.3.

**Proposition 4.1.** For all $b \in B_i(l_j)$ and $k = 1, \ldots, n$, we have

$$\sqrt{\varphi_k(b)\zeta^{\eta,b}_q} = \sqrt{\varphi_k(b)\zeta^{\eta,b}_q}.$$  

That is, we can compute Lens coordinates using only the Rips filtration on the landmark set.
5. Dimensionality Reduction in $L^n_q$ via Principal Lens Components

Define $d_L : L^n_q \times L^n_q \to \mathbb{R}$ as

$$d_L([x],[y]) := d_H(Z_q x, Z_q y)$$

where $d_H$ id the Hausdorff distance for subsets of $S^{2n-1}$.

**Proposition 5.1.** Let $[x],[y] \in L^n_q$, then

$$d_L([x],[y]) = d(x, Z_q y) = \min_{g \in Z_q} d(x, gy).$$

**Proof.** See Appendix. □

**5.1. Projections in $L^n_q$.** Let $[u] \in S^{2n-1}/Z_q$. Since $\zeta_q w \in \text{span}_C(u)^\perp$ for any $\zeta_q \in Z_q$ and $w \in \text{span}_C(u)^\perp$, then

$$L_q^{n-1}(u) := (\text{span}_C(u)^\perp \cap S^{2n-1})/Z_q$$

is isometric to $L_q^{n-1}$. Let $P^\perp_v (v) = v - \langle v, u \rangle u$ for $v \in \mathbb{C}^n$, and if $v \notin \text{span}_C(u)$, then we let

$$\mathcal{P}_u([v]) := \left[ P^\perp_u (v)/||P^\perp_u (v)|| \right] \in L_q^{n-1}(u)$$

It readily follows that $\mathcal{P}_u$ is well defined, and that

**Lemma 5.2.** For $[u] \in L^n_q$ and $v \notin \text{span}_C(u)$, we have that

$$d_L([v],\mathcal{P}_u([v])) = d \left( v, P^\perp_u (v)/||P^\perp_u (v)|| \right).$$

Furthermore, $\mathcal{P}_u([v])$ is the point in $L_q^{n-1}(u)$ closest to $[v]$ with respect to $d_L$.

**Proof.** See Appendix. □

5.2. Lens Principal Components. Given $Y = \{[y_1], \ldots, [y_N]\} \subset L^n_q$, the goal is to find $u$ such that $L_q^{n-1}(u)$ is the best $(n-1)$-Lens space approximation to $Y$. In other words, we want

$$u^* = \arg\min_{u \in \mathbb{C}^n, ||u||=1} \sum_{j=1}^N d_L([y_j],\mathcal{P}_u([y_j]))^2$$

$$= \arg\min_{u \in \mathbb{C}^n, ||u||=1} \sum_{j=1}^N \left( \frac{\pi}{2} - \arccos(||y_j, u||) \right)^2$$

This nonlinear problem can be linearized using the Taylor series expansion of $\arccos(\theta)$ around 0. Indeed, $|\frac{\pi}{2} - \arccos(\theta)| \approx |\theta|$ to third order, and thus

$$u^* \approx \arg\min_{u \in \mathbb{C}^n, ||u||=1} \sum_{j=1}^N |\langle y_j, u \rangle|^2.$$
This approximation is a linear least square problem whose solution is given by the
eigenvector corresponding to the smallest eigenvalue of the covariance matrix

\[
\text{Cov}(y_1, \ldots, y_N) = \begin{bmatrix}
y_1 & \cdots & y_N \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
y_N & \cdots & y_1
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

Moreover for any \(a_1, \ldots, a_N \in \mathbb{C}\) such that \(|a_i| = 1\) we have \(\text{Cov}(a_1 y_1, \ldots, a_N y_N) = \text{Cov}(y_1, \ldots, y_N)\), so \(\text{Cov}(Y)\) is well defined for \(Y \subset \mathbb{L}_q^n\).

5.3. Inductive construction of LPCA. Let \([u] = \text{LastLensComp}(Y)\) be the
eigenvector of \(\text{Cov}(Y)\) corresponding to the smallest eigenvalue, and let \([v_n] = \text{LastLensComp}(Y)\). Assume we constructed \([v_{k+1}], \ldots, [v_n]\) for \(1 \leq k \leq n-1\), and let \(\{u_0, \ldots, u_k\}\) be an orthonormal basis of \(\text{span}_C(v_{k+1}, \ldots, v_n)\). Let \(U_k \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times (k+1)}\) be the matrix with columns \(u_0, \ldots, u_k\), and let \([v_k] := \text{LastLensComp}(U_k Y)\).

This inductive procedure yields a collection \([u_1], \ldots, [u_n] \in \mathbb{L}_q^n\). Take \(u_0 \in S^{2n-1}\) to be such that \(\text{span}_C\{u_0\} = \text{span}_C\{u_1, \ldots, u_n\}\). And finally define

\[
\text{LPCA}(Y) := \{[u_0], \ldots, [u_n]\}
\]

the Lens Principal Components of \(Y\).

Take \(u'_i \in [u_i]\) and consider the orthonormal basis \(U' = \{u'_0, \ldots, u'_n\}\) for \(\mathbb{C}^n\). Any \(y \in S^{2n-1} \subset \mathbb{C}^n\) will have coefficients given by \((\langle y, u'_0 \rangle, \ldots, \langle y, u'_n \rangle)\). Since the two sets of basis are orthonormal we can find a \((n+1) \times (n+1)\) matrix that takes the coefficients in \(U\) to \(U'\) or vice versa. This implies that the LPCA are unique up to an isometry.

5.4. Independence of the cocycle representative. Consider \(\eta \in Z^1(\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B}_e); \mathbb{Z}_q)\) such that \([\eta] \neq 0\) in \(H^1(\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B}_e); \mathbb{Z}_q)\). Let \(\eta' = \eta + \delta^0(\alpha)\) with \(\alpha \in C^0((\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B}_e); \mathbb{Z}_q)\. If \(b \in U_j\) then

\[
f_{\eta'}(b) = [\sqrt{\phi_1(b)}\zeta_{q}^{\eta 1 + \alpha 1} : \cdots : \sqrt{\phi_n(b)}\zeta_{q}^{\eta n + \alpha n}]
\]

We can define \(Z_\alpha\) to be the diagonal matrix with entries \(\zeta_{q}^{\alpha 1}, \zeta_{q}^{\alpha 2}, \ldots, \zeta_{q}^{\alpha n}\) to obtain \(f_\eta(b) = Z_\alpha \cdot f(b)\). Moreover, this implies that \(f_\eta(X) = Z_\alpha \cdot f(X)\). Since \(\text{Cov}(Z_\alpha \cdot f(X)) = Z_\alpha \text{Cov}(f(X)) Z_\alpha^*\) and \(Z_\alpha\) is orthonormal, then if \(v\) is an eigenvector of \(\text{Cov}(f(X))\) with eigenvalue \(\sigma\), we also have \(Z_\alpha v\) is an eigenvector of \(\text{Cov}(Z_\alpha \cdot f(X))\) with the same eigenvalue. Therefore

\[
\text{LastLensComp}(f_\eta'(X)) = Z_\alpha \text{LastLensComp}(f(X)).
\]

Since each component in LPCA is obtained in the same manner we have that \(\text{LPCA}(f_\eta(X)) = Z_\alpha \text{LPCA}(f(X))\). So the lens coordinates for both representatives in the same cohomology class only differ by the isometry induced by the map \(Z_\alpha\).

5.5. Visualization map. Given \(u_0, \ldots, u_n \in \mathbb{L}_q^n\) representatives for the classes that generate LPCA\((Y)\), we want to visualize \(P_2(Y) \subset \mathbb{L}_3^n\) in the fundamental domain described in Section 2.2.1. Let \(P_2(Y) = \{z_i \in S^3 \subset \mathbb{C}^2 : z_i = [(y_i, u_0), (y_i, u_1)]\}\) an define \(G : P_2(Y) \to S^3\) to be

\[
G(z, w) := \left(\zeta_3^{-k}z, (\arg(w) - \frac{\pi}{3}) \sqrt{1 - |z|^2}\right)
\]

with \(\arg(w) \in [0, 2\pi)\) and \(k\) such that \(\arg(z) = \theta + k\frac{2\pi}{3}\).
5.6. Choosing a dimension. Fix \( \{v_0, \ldots, v_k\} \) a set of unitary representatives for the classes that span \( \text{LPCA}(Y) \). The recovered variance of the projection \( P_k(Y) \) is defined as

\[
\text{var}_k(Y) := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{N} d_L \left( \|x_j\|, L^{-1}_{q}(v_i) \right).
\]

Therefore, the percentage of cumulative variance \( p.\text{var}(k) := \frac{\text{var}_k(Y)}{\text{var}_n(Y)} \). A guideline to choose the target dimension is the minimum value of \( k \) for which

\[
p.\text{var}(k) - p.\text{var}(k+1) < \gamma
\]

for a small \( \gamma > 0 \).

We can also select the target dimension as the smallest \( k \) for which \( p.\text{var}_k(Y) \) is greater than a predetermined value.

6. Examples

6.1. The Circle \( S^1 \). Let \( S^1 \subset \mathbb{C} \) be the unit circle, and let \( X \) a random sample around \( S^1 \), with 10,000 points and Gaussian noise in the normal direction. \( L \subset X \) is the landmark set described in Section 4.1.

![Sample X and PH_i(R(L); Z_3) for i = 0, 1, 2.](image)

To define \( f : X \rightarrow L_{q}^{[L]} \) we need a nontrivial element in \( Z^1(\mathcal{N}(B_\epsilon); \mathbb{Z}_q) \). Section 4.3 implies it is enough to choose \( \epsilon > 0 \) such that \( \iota([\eta']) \neq 0 \) for a non-zero \( [\eta'] \in H^1(\mathbb{R}_{2\epsilon}(L); \mathbb{Z}_q) \).

Let \( a \) be the cohomological death of the most persistent class \( PH^1(\mathcal{R}(L); \mathbb{Z}_q) \). For \( \epsilon := a + 10^{-5} \) and \( \eta = i^*(\eta') \in Z^1(\mathcal{N}(B_\epsilon); \mathbb{Z}_q) \) we define the map \( f : B_\epsilon \rightarrow L_3^{10} \) as in Equation (1).

After computing LPCA of \( f(X) \subset L_3^{10} \) and the percentage of cumulative variance \( p.\text{var}_Y(k) \) we obtain the row in Table 1 with label \( S^1 \). We see that dimension 1 recovers \( \sim 60\% \) of the variance. Moreover, Figure 2 shows \( P_2(f(X)) \subset L_3^2 \) in the fundamental domain described in Section 2.2.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dim. (n)</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( S^1 )</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( M(\mathbb{Z}_3, 1) )</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( L_3^2 )</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Percentage of recovered variance in \( L_3^m \).
Since \( f : S^1 \to L^\infty_q \) classifies \( P_\eta \) it must be homotopic to the inclusion \( S^1 \cong S^1 / \mathbb{Z}_q \to S^\infty / \mathbb{Z}_q \), which can be seen in Figure 2 as well.

![Figure 2](image)

**Figure 2.** Visualization \( P_2(f(X)) \subset L^2_3 \).

### 6.2. The Moore space \( M(\mathbb{Z}_3, 1) \)

Let \( G \) be an abelian group and \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), \( M(G, n) \) is a CW-complex such that \( H_n(M(G, n), \mathbb{Z}) = G \) and \( \tilde{H}_i(M(G, n), \mathbb{Z}) = 0 \) for all \( i \neq n \). A well known construction for \( M(\mathbb{Z}_3, 1) \) can be found in [6]. Equation (4) defines a metric on \( M(\mathbb{Z}_3, 1) \).

![Figure 3](image)

**Figure 3.** Left: \( X \subset M(\mathbb{Z}_3, 1) \) with landmarks in black. Right: \( PH^i(\mathcal{R}(L); \mathbb{Z}_3) \) for \( i = 0, 1 \).

Figure 3, on the left, shows a sample \( X \subset M(\mathbb{Z}_3, 1) \) with \( |X| = 15,000 \) and 70 landmarks. The landmarks were obtained by minmax sampling after feeding the algorithm with an initial set of 10 point on the boundary on the disc.

Figure 4 shows the persistent cohomology of \( \mathcal{R}(L) \) with coefficients in \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \) and \( \mathbb{Z}_3 \) side-by-side.

We build \( f : M(\mathbb{Z}_3, 1) \to L^70_3 \) analogously to the previous example and obtain a point cloud \( f(X) \subset L^70_3 \). The recovered variance profile is laid out in Table 1. Dimension 2 provides a low dimensional representation of \( f(X) \) inside \( L^2_3 \) with 70% of recovered variance.
Figure 4. $PH_i(R(L); Z_3)$ for $i = 0, 1$. $PH_i(R(L); Z_2)$ for $i = 0, 1$.

Figure 5. Visualization of the resulting $P_2(f(X)) \subset L_3^2$.

Since $f$ classifies the principal $Z_3$-bundle $P_\eta$ over $M(Z_3, 1)$, then $f$ has to be homotopic to the inclusion of $M(Z_q, 1)$ in $L_\infty^2$. Figure 5 shows $X \subset M(Z_3, 1)$ mapped by $f$ in $L_3^2$. Notice the identifications on $X$ are handled by the identification on $S^1 \times \{0\} \subset D^3$ from the fundamental domain on Section 2.2.1. For a more complete visualization please visit the next url: https://youtu.be/_Ic730_xFkw.

6.3. The Lens space $L_3^2 = S^3/Z_3$. We use the metric defined in Equation (3) on $L_3^2$ and randomly sample 15,000 points to create $X \subset L_3^2$. Figure 6 shows the sample set using the fundamental domain in section 2.2.1.

We can use $PH^i(\mathcal{R}(X); Z_2)$ and $PH^i(\mathcal{R}(X); Z_3)$ to verify that the sampled metric space has the expected topological features. Figure 7 contains the corresponding persistent diagrams.

Just as in the previous examples define $f : L_3^2 \to L_\infty^3$ using the most persistent class in $PH^i(\mathcal{R}(L); Z_3)$. The homotopy class of $f$ must be the same as the inclusion map since $f$ classifies the $Z_3$-principal bundle $P_\eta$. Thus we expect $L_3^2$ to be preserved up to homotopy under LPCA. Figure 6 offers a side and top view of $P_2(f(X)) \subset L_3^2$. Here we clearly see how the original data set $X$ is transformed while preserving the identifications on the boundary of the fundamental domain. Finally in Table 1 we display the variance profile for the dimensionality reduction problem. We see that for dimension 4 we have recovered more than 70% of the total variance.

6.4. Isomap dimensionality reduction. We conclude this section by providing evidence that Lens coordinates (LC) preserve topological features when compared
to other dimensionality reduction algorithms. For this purpose we use Isomap as our comparison point.

Let $dgm$ be a persistent diagram, define $per_1$ to be the largest persistence of an element in $dgm$ and $per_2$ the second largest persistence of an element $dgm$.

$$\frac{per_1}{per_2}$$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$M(Z_q,1)$</th>
<th>Isomap</th>
<th>$Z_2$</th>
<th>$Z_3$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LC</td>
<td>1.0105</td>
<td>1.0105</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_2^3$</td>
<td>Isomap</td>
<td>1.0080</td>
<td>1.0080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LC</td>
<td>1.1592</td>
<td>2.8072</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. In green we highlight the fraction that indicates which method better identifies the topological features.

For $M(Z_3,1)$ it is clear that the Isomap projection fails to preserve the difference between the cohomology groups with coefficient in $Z_2$ and $Z_3$. On the other hand the LC projections maintain this difference. Likewise the results for $L_2^3$ exhibit a similar pattern to $M(Z_3,1)$ where Isomap fails to retain the correct topological information compared to LC (see Table 4).
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Appendix

Proof of Proposition 3.1. Take \([b, j, g] \in P_\eta\) and consider a different representative of the class \([b, j, g]\), namely an element such that \((b, k, g + \eta_{jk}) \sim (b, j, g)\). By definition of \(F\) we have \(F([b, j, g]) = f_j(b, j, g)\) and \(F([b, k, g + \eta_{jk}]) = f_k(b, k, g + \eta_{jk})\). And since \(f_j(b, j, g) = f_k(b, k, g + \eta_{jk})\) we have that

\[
F([b, j, g]) = F([b, k, g + \eta_{jk}]),
\]

making \(F\) well defined.

To see that \(F\) is \(\mathbb{Z}_q\)-equivariant take \(m \in \mathbb{Z}_q\) for any \(m = 0, \ldots, q - 1\) and compute

\[
\zeta_m^m F([b, j, g]) = \left[\sqrt{\varphi_1(b)\zeta_q^{(g+m+\eta_{j1})}}, \ldots, \sqrt{\varphi_n(b)\zeta_q^{(g+m+\eta_{jn})}}\right]
= f_j(b, j, g + m) = F([b, j, g + m]) = F(m[b, j, g])
\]

\[\square\]

Proof of Proposition 5.1. First of all by definition of Hausdorff distance we have

\[
d_L([x], [y]) = \max\{\sup_{g \in \mathbb{Z}_q} \inf_{h \in \mathbb{Z}_q} \arccos((gx, hy)_R), \sup_{h \in \mathbb{Z}_q} \inf_{g \in \mathbb{Z}_q} \arccos((gx, hy)_R)\}
\]

So first lets take a look at

\[
\langle gx, hy \rangle_R = \begin{bmatrix} g & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & \cdots & g \end{bmatrix} T \begin{bmatrix} h & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & \cdots & h \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\
\vdots \\
y_n \end{bmatrix}
= [x_1 \cdots x_n]^T [y_1 \cdots y_n] = \langle x, \bar{g}hy \rangle_R = \langle \bar{h}gx, y \rangle_R
\]
And since $\mathbb{Z}_q$ is Abelian

$$
\sup_{h \in \mathbb{Z}_q} \inf_{g \in \mathbb{Z}_q} \arccos((gx, hy)_R) = \sup_{h \in \mathbb{Z}_q} \inf_{g \in \mathbb{Z}_q} \arccos((g\bar{h}x, y)_R)
$$

$$
= \sup_{h \in \mathbb{Z}_q} \inf_{g \in \mathbb{Z}_q} \arccos((\bar{h}x, g\bar{y})_R)
$$

$$
= \sup_{h' \in \mathbb{Z}_q} \inf_{g' \in \mathbb{Z}_q} \arccos((h'x, g'y)_R)
$$

This shows that

$$
d_L([x], [y]) = \sup_{g \in \mathbb{Z}_q} \inf_{h \in \mathbb{Z}_q} \arccos((gx, hy)_R).
$$

Furthermore $d_L([x], [y]) = \sup_{g \in \mathbb{Z}_q} d(gx, Z_qy) = \sup_{g \in \mathbb{Z}_q} d(x, g\bar{y}Z_qy)$ and since $\mathbb{Z}_q y = Z_qy$ for any $g \in \mathbb{Z}_q$ we obtain $d_L([x], [y]) = \sup_{g \in \mathbb{Z}_q} d(x, Z_qy) = \inf_{h \in \mathbb{Z}_q} d(x, hy)$. Finally since $\mathbb{Z}_q$ is finite we obtain the desired result. □

**Proof of Lemma 5.2.** From Proposition 5.1 we know that

$$
d_L([v], P_u\perp([v])) = \min_{g \in \mathbb{Z}_q} d(v, gP_u\perp([v]))
$$

$$
= \min_{g \in \mathbb{Z}_q} d(v, g \frac{P_u\perp(v)}{\|P_u\perp(v)\|}).
$$

Let $g^* := \argmin_{g \in \mathbb{Z}_q} d\left(v, g \frac{P_u\perp(v)}{\|P_u\perp(v)\|}\right)$, so we have

$$
d_L([v], P_u\perp([v])) = \arccos\left(\left\langle v, g^* \frac{P_u\perp(v)}{\|P_u\perp(v)\|} \right\rangle_R\right).
$$

Notice that the argument of the arccos can be simplified as follows

$$
\left\langle v, g^* \frac{P_u\perp(v)}{\|P_u\perp(v)\|} \right\rangle_R = \left\langle v, u \right\rangle_C u + \left\langle u \right\rangle_C P_u\perp(v), g^* \frac{P_u\perp(v)}{\|P_u\perp(v)\|} \right\rangle_R
$$

$$
= \left\langle v, u \right\rangle_C u, g^* \frac{P_u\perp(v)}{\|P_u\perp(v)\|} \right\rangle_R
$$

$$
+ \left\langle P_u\perp(v), g^* \frac{P_u\perp(v)}{\|P_u\perp(v)\|} \right\rangle_R,
$$

since $u$ and $P_u\perp(v)$ are orthogonal in $\mathbb{C}^n$ then they are also orthogonal in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$, making the then the firs summand on the right hand side equal to zero. Additionally since arccos as a real valued function is monotonically decreasing we have

$$
g^* = \argmax_{g \in \mathbb{Z}_q} \frac{1}{\|P_u\perp(v)\|} \left\langle P_u\perp(v), gP_u\perp(v) \right\rangle_R.
$$
Using the fact that the action of $Z_q$ is an isometry (and therefore an operator of norm one) as well as the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality we obtain

$$\langle P_v^\perp(u), gP_v^\perp(v) \rangle \leq \frac{1}{\|P_v^\perp(v)\|} \|P_v^\perp(v)\| \|P_v^\perp(v)\|$$

and the equality holds whenever $g = e \in Z_q$, so we must have $g^* = e$.

Let $[w] \in L^{n-1}_q(u)$, so $w \in \text{span}_C^\perp(u)$ which implies that for any $h \in Z_q$

$$\langle u, hw \rangle_C = \sum_k u_k(hw_k) = \bar{h} \sum_k u_kw_k = \bar{h}(u, w) = 0.$$ 

In other words $hw \in \text{span}_C^\perp(u)$ for any $h \in Z_q$.

Thus by the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality

$$\langle v, hw \rangle_R = \langle (v, u)_C u + P_v^\perp(v), hw \rangle_R \leq \|P_v^\perp(v)\| \|hw\|$$

since the action of $Z_q$ is an isometry and $w \in S^{2n-1}$.

Finally since arccos is decreasing

$$d_L([v], P_u^\perp([v])) = \arccos(\|P_u^\perp(v)\|) \leq \arccos((v, hw)_R)$$

for all $h \in Z_q$, thus $d_L([v], P_u^\perp([v])) \leq d_L([v], [w])$. \hfill \Box

Metric of the Moore space $M(Z_3, 1)$:

$$d(x, y) = \begin{cases} 
\sqrt{\langle x, y \rangle} & \text{if } |x|, |y| < 1 \\
\min_{\zeta \in Z_3} \sqrt{\langle x, \zeta y \rangle} & \text{if } |x| = 1 \text{ or } |y| = 1 \\
\min_{\zeta \in Z_3} \arccos(\langle x, \zeta y \rangle) & \text{if } |x| = 1 \text{ and } |y| = 1
\end{cases}$$ (4)

**Figure 7.** $PH^i(R(L); Z_3)$ for $i = 0, 1$. $PH^i(R(L); Z_2)$ for $i = 0, 1$. 
Table 3. Persistent homology of the Isomap vs. LPCA for $M(\mathbb{Z}_3, 1)$ into a 4 dimensional space.

Table 4. Persistent homology of the Isomap vs. LPCA for $L_3^2$ into a 4 dimensional space.