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Abstract

In this paper, we investigate two inverse source problems for degenerate time-fractional partial differential equation in rectangular domains. The first problem involve a space-degenerate partial differential equation and the second one involve a time-degenerate partial differential equation. Solutions to both problem are expressed in series expansions. For the first problem, we obtained solutions in the form of Fourier-Legendre series. Convergence and uniqueness of solutions have been discussed. Solutions to the second problem are expressed in the form of Fourier-Sine series and they involve a generalized Mittag-Leffler type function. Moreover, we have established a new estimate for this generalized Mittag-Leffler type function. The obtained general solutions are also illustrated by example solutions using appropriate choices of the given conditions.
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1 Introduction

It is known that the problem of finding a solution of a partial differential equation (PDE) along with all necessary additional conditions is called a direct problem. Whereas, if the PDE itself is not completely known, then we deal with an inverse problem. There are many types of inverse problems, such an inverse-coefficient [1], inverse problems of determining of order of differential equations [2], inverse source problems [3].

Inverse source problems for PDEs have numerous applications in many real-life processes [3], for instance, in heat conduction problems [3], [5], pollution detection problem [6] and etc.

We would like here to note some investigations on inverse source problems for time-fractional PDEs such as [7], [8], [9], [10] and the references therein. In these works, authors mainly dealt with PDEs without singularity. Inverse problems of space-dependent source for fractional PDEs with singularity were the subject of several works like [12], [13], [14], [15]. We also note the work in [16], where inverse source problems have been considered for the diffusion and sub-diffusion equations for positive operators. In a recent work by Kirane and Torebek [17], authors investigated inverse problems for the non-local heat equation with involution of space variable. Inverse source problems with time-dependent source term for time-fractional diffusion equation has been also investigated, see for example [18].

Solutions of inverse problems can be obtained through analytical and numerical methods as well. For numerical approaches applied to the study of inverse source problems for fractional PDEs, one may see, for example, [19].

In this paper, we consider inverse source problems for degenerate time-fractional PDEs. In particular, we consider two problems, one with space-degenerate PDE and the second with time-degenerate PDE. The paper is organized as follows. First, we present some preliminaries on fractional integrals and derivatives, Mittag-Leffler type functions and their properties and Legendre polynomials. Then, we present our main work related to the investigation of two inverse source problems for time-fractional degenerate PDEs.

It is worth mentioning here that we have established for the first time a new estimate for a generalized Mittag-Leffler type function (See Lemma 1).
2 Preliminaries

2.1 Fractional integral and differential operators

If \( \alpha \notin \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \), the Riemann-Liouville fractional integral \( I_{ax}^{\alpha} \) of order \( \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \) is defined by \([20], p.69\)

\[
I_{ax}^{\alpha} f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{x} \frac{f(t)dt}{(x-t)^{1-\alpha}} \quad (x > a, \Re(\alpha) > 0)
\]

(1)

and the Riemann-Liouville and the Caputo fractional derivatives of order \( \alpha \) are defined by \([20], pp.70, 92\]

\[
RLD_{ax}^{\alpha} f(x) = \frac{d^n}{dx^n} I_{ax}^{\alpha-n} f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} \int_{0}^{x} \frac{f(t)dt}{(x-t)^{\alpha-n+1}} \quad (x > a, n = \lfloor \Re(\alpha) \rfloor + 1),
\]

(2)

\[
CD_{ax}^{\alpha} f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_{a}^{x} \frac{f^{(n)}(t)dt}{(x-t)^{\alpha-n+1}} \quad (x > a, n = \lfloor \Re(\alpha) \rfloor + 1),
\]

(3)

respectively.

The Riemann-Liouville and the Caputo derivatives are related by the following \([20], p.91\):

\[
RLD_{ax}^{\alpha} f(x) = CD_{ax}^{\alpha} f(x) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f^{(k)}(a) \frac{(x-a)^{k-\alpha}}{\Gamma(k-\alpha+1)} \quad (n = \lfloor \Re(\alpha) \rfloor + 1, x > a).
\]

(4)

Here \( \Gamma(\cdot) \) is a well-known Euler’s gamma-function \([20], p.24\).

2.2 Mittag-Leffler type functions

A two-parameter function of the Mittag-Leffler is defined by the series expansion \([21], p.17\) as follows

\[
E_{\alpha,\beta}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(\alpha k + \beta)} \quad (\alpha > 0, \beta > 0),
\]

(5)

which satisfies the following relation \([20], p.45\] and formula of differentiation \([21], p.21\), respectively

\[
E_{\alpha,\beta}(z) - zE_{\alpha,\alpha + \beta}(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)},
\]

(6)

and

\[
RLD_{\lambda t}^{\gamma} \left( t^{\alpha k + \beta - 1} E_{\alpha,\beta}(\lambda t^\alpha) \right) = t^{\alpha k + \beta - \gamma - 1} E_{\alpha,\beta - \gamma}(\lambda t^\alpha),
\]

(7)

where \( E_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)}(\lambda) = \frac{d^k}{d\lambda^k} E_{\alpha,\beta}(\lambda) \), denotes the classical derivative of order \( k \).

The Mittag-Leffler type function of two-parameters also satisfies the inequality presented in the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** (Theorem 1.6 in \([21]\)) If \( \alpha < 2, \beta \) is an arbitrary real number, \( \mu \) is a real number such that \( \pi \alpha / 2 < \mu < \min\{\pi, \pi \alpha\} \) and \( C \) is a real constant, then

\[
|E_{\alpha,\beta}(z)| \leq \frac{C}{1 + |z|}, \quad (\mu \leq \arg z \leq \pi), \quad |\imath| \geq 0.
\]

It also appears in the solution of the following Cauchy problem.

**Theorem 2.** (Theorem 4.3 in \([20]\)) Let \( n - 1 < \alpha < n \) \((n \in \mathbb{N})\) and let \( 0 \leq \gamma < 1 \) be such that \( \gamma \leq \alpha \). Also let \( \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \). If \( f(x) \in C_{\gamma}^{n-1}[a, b] \), then the Cauchy problem

\[
(c)D_{ax}^{\alpha} y(x) - \lambda y(x) = f(x) \quad (a \leq x \leq b, n - 1 < \alpha < n; n \in \mathbb{N}, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}),
\]

\( y^{(k)}(a) = b_k \) \((b_k \in \mathbb{R}; k = 0, 1, 2, ..., n - 1)\)

has a unique solution \( y(x) \in C_{\gamma}^{n-1}[a, b] \) and this solution is given by

\[
y(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} b_j (x-a)^j E_{\alpha,j+1}[\lambda(x-a)^\alpha] + \int_{0}^{x} (x-t)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}[\lambda(x-t)^\alpha] f(t)dt.
\]
Here \( C_{\gamma}^{\alpha,n-1}[a,b] = \{ y(x) \in C^{n-1}[a,b], (CD^\alpha_{ax}y)(x) \in C_{\gamma}[a,b] \} \).

Now, we recall following generalized Mittag-Leffler type function, which was introduced by Kilbas [11]:

\[
E_{\alpha,m,n}(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha jm + n)}{\Gamma(\alpha jm + n + 1)} z^k,
\]

where \( \alpha, n \in \mathbb{C}, m \in \mathbb{R} \) such that \( \Re(\alpha) > 0, m > 0, \alpha jm + n \notin \mathbb{Z}^- (j \in \mathbb{N}_0) \).

As a particular case, if \( m = 1 \), we have

\[
E_{\alpha,1,n}(z) = \Gamma(\alpha + 1)E_{\alpha,\alpha n+1}(z).
\]

We have established a new estimate for this generalized Mittag-Leffler type function. This result is presented in the following lemma:

**Lemma 1.** If \( \alpha n + 1 > \bar{c}, m, \alpha > 0 \) and \( |z| < 1 \), then

\[
|E_{\alpha,m,n}(z)| \leq \frac{1}{1 - |z|},
\]

where \( \bar{c} \) is a point of minimum of \( \Gamma(x) \) at \( x > 0 \), precisely, \( 1 < \bar{c} < 2 \).

**Proof.** Assume that \( \alpha (k - 1)m + n + 1 > \bar{c} \) for \( k = 1, 2, 3, ... \) If we choose \( \alpha \) and \( n \) such that \( \alpha n + 1 > \bar{c} \), then above given inequality will be true for all \( k = 1, 2, 3, ... \) That condition guarantees that

\[
\frac{\Gamma[\alpha((k - 1)m + n) + 1]}{\Gamma[\alpha((k - 1)m + n + 1) + 1]} < 1.
\]

Hence,

\[
|E_{\alpha,m,n}(z)| \leq 1 + |z| + |z|^2 + |z|^3 + ... = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |z|^k.
\]

If \( |z| < 1 \), then according to the geometric series, latter series will converge to \( \frac{1}{1 - |z|} \). This completes the proof of the Lemma. \( \square \)

**Lemma 2** [22, p.107]. If \( \alpha, m \) and \( n \) are real numbers such that the condition

\[
\alpha > 0, m > 0, \alpha (im + n) + 1 \neq -1, -2, -3, ... (i = 0, 1, 2, 3, ...)
\]

is satisfied, then \( E_{\alpha,m,n}(z) \) is an entire function of variable \( z \).

### 2.3 Legendre polynomials

The following Legendre equation

\[
(1 - x^2)y''(x) - 2xy'(x) + \lambda y(x) = 0 \quad (8)
\]

has bounded solution in \([-1, 1]\) only if \( \lambda = n(n+1), \ n = 0, 1, 2, ... \) and it has a form

\[
y(x) = P_n(x) = \frac{1}{2^n \cdot n!} \frac{d^n(x^2 - 1)^n}{dx^n}, \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, ...),
\]

where \( P_n(x) \) is Legendre polynomial [23].

Below we give some statements considering certain properties of this polynomial for the sake of the reader.

**Theorem 3** [23, p.508]. \( P_n(x) \) ia a polynomial of degree \( n \). \( P_n(x) \) is an odd function or even function according to whether \( n \) is odd or even. The following identities hold for \( n = 1, 2, ... \)

a) \( P_n'(x) = xP_n'(x) - nP_{n-1}(x); \)

b) \( P_n(x) = xP_{n-1}(x) + \frac{x^2-1}{n}P_{n-1}'(x). \)
Theorem 4 ([23], p.509). The Legendre polynomials satisfy the following identities and relations:

c) \( P_{n+1}^\prime(x) - P_{n-1}^\prime(x) = (2n + 1)P_n(x) \) \((n \geq 1)\);
d) \( \frac{d}{dx}[(1 - x^2)P_n^\prime(x)] + n(n + 1)P_n(x) = 0; \)
e) \( P_{n+1}(x) = \frac{(2n+1)xP_n(x) - nP_{n-1}(x)}{n+1} \) \((n \geq 1)\);
f) \( P_n(1) = 1, P_n(-1) = (-1)^n; \)
g) \( \frac{1-x^2}{n}(P_n^\prime)^2 + P_n^2 = \frac{1-x^2}{n}(P_{n-1}^\prime)^2 + P_{n-1}^2 \) \((n \geq 1)\);
h) \( \frac{1-x^2}{n}(P_n^\prime)^2 + P_n^2 \leq 1 \) \((n \geq 1, |x| \leq 1)\);
i) \( |P_n(x)| \leq 1 \) \(|x| \leq 1\);
j) \( \int_{-1}^{1} P_n(x)P_m(x)dx = 0 \) \((n \neq m)\);
k) \( \int_{-1}^{1} |P_n(x)|^2dx = \frac{2}{2n+1}; \)
l) \( x^n \) can be expressed as a linear combination of \( P_0(x), P_1(x), ..., P_n(x) \).

Theorem 5 ([23], p.509). The Legendre polynomials \( P_n(x) \) \((n = 0, 1, 2, ...)\) form an orthogonal system for the interval \(-1 \leq x \leq 1\), and \( \|P_n(x)\|^2 = \frac{2}{2n+1} \).

Any an arbitrary piecewise continuous function \( f \) in \(-1 \leq x \leq 1\) can be expressed in the form of a Fourier series with respect to the system \( \{P_n(x)\} \):

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n P_n(x), \quad c_n = \frac{(f, P_n)}{\|P_n\|^2} = \frac{2n+1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} f(x)P_n(x)dx, \tag{9}
\]

which is called Fourier-Legendre series.

Theorem 6 ([23], p.511). If \( f(x) \) is very smooth for \(-1 \leq x \leq 1\), then the Fourier-Legendre series of \( f(x) \) converges uniformly to \( f(x) \) for \(-1 \leq x \leq 1\).

Note that there is no condition of periodicity or any other condition is imposed on \( f(x) \) at \( x = \pm 1 \), as in analogous to trigonometric series. This is due to the symmetry of Legendre polynomials. Finally, we recall the following theorem regarding Legendre polynomials:

Theorem 7 ([23], p.511). The Legendre polynomials form a complete orthogonal system for the interval \([-1, 1]\).

3 Inverse source problem for space-degenerate PDE

3.1 Statement of a Problem

We formulate an inverse source problem for space-degenerate partial differential equation with the time-fractional Caputo derivative as follows:

**Problem 1.** Find a pair of functions \( \{U(t, x), h(x)\} \), which satisfies the equation

\[
cD_0^\alpha \partial_t U(t, x) = [(1 - x^2)U_x]_x + h(x) \tag{10}
\]
in the domain \( \Omega = \{(t, x) : -1 < x < 1, 0 < t < T\} \) together with the initial condition

\[
U(0, x) = v(x), \quad -1 \leq x \leq 1, \tag{11}
\]
and the over-determining condition

\[
U(T, x) = w(x), \quad -1 \leq x \leq 1, \tag{12}
\]
such that \( U, U_x \) are bounded at \( x = -1, x = 1 \). Here, \( 0 < \alpha < 1, T > 0 \) and \( v(x), w(x) \) are given functions.
3.2 Solution Method and Existence of Solution

Solving the homogeneous equation corresponding to (10) using separation of variables leads to the Legendre equation (8). According to Theorem 7, the Legendre polynomials form a complete orthogonal system in $[-1,1]$, hence the solution set $\{U(t,x), h(x)\}$ and the given data $v(x), w(x)$ can be represented in a form of Fourier-Legendre series as follows:

$$U(t,x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} U_n(t)P_n(x), \quad h(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_nP_n(x),$$

$$v(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_nP_n(x), \quad w(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} w_nP_n(x),$$

where the coefficients $U_n(t), h_n$ are the unknowns to be found and the coefficients $v_n, w_n$, according to (9), are given by

$$v_n = \frac{2n + 1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} v(x)P_n(x)dx, \quad w_n = \frac{2n + 1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} w(x)P_n(x)dx, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, ...$$

Now, substituting the above series representations into (10) - (12), we obtain the following equation for $U_n(t), h_n$:

$$cD_0^\alpha U_n(t) + \lambda_n U_n(t) = h_n \quad n = 0, 1, 2, ...$$

subjected to the following conditions:

$$U_n(0) = v_n, \quad U_n(T) = w_n, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, ...$$

The solutions of equation (15) are found to be (See Theorem 2)

$$U_0(t) = c_0 + \frac{h_0}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} t^\alpha$$

$$U_n(t) = c_n E_\alpha (-\lambda_n t^\alpha) + \frac{h_n}{\lambda_n}, \quad n = 1, 2, ...$$

where $c_0, c_n$ are unknown constants. Using the conditions (16), we get

$$c_0 = v_0, \quad h_0 = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{T^\alpha} (w_0 - v_0)$$

$$c_n = \frac{v_n - w_n}{1 - E_\alpha (-\lambda_n T^\alpha)}, \quad h_n = \lambda_n (v_n - c_n), \quad n = 1, 2, ...$$

Hence, we have

$$U_0(t) = v_0 + (w_0 - v_0) \left(\frac{t}{T}\right)^\alpha, \quad U_n(t) = \frac{1 - E_\alpha (-\lambda_n T^\alpha)}{1 - E_\alpha (-\lambda_n T^\alpha)} (w_n - v_n) + v_n, \quad n = 1, 2, ...$$

$$h_0 = (w_0 - v_0) \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{T^\alpha}, \quad h_n = \frac{\lambda_n}{1 - E_\alpha (-\lambda_n T^\alpha)} (w_n - v_n) + \lambda_n v_n, \quad n = 1, 2, ...$$

Substituting back, we get the following expressions for $U(t,x)$ and $h(x)$:

$$U(t,x) = \frac{t^\alpha}{T^\alpha} (w_0 - v_0) + v(x) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - E_\alpha (-\lambda_n T^\alpha)}{1 - E_\alpha (-\lambda_n T^\alpha)} (w_n - v_n)P_n(x),$$

and

$$h(x) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{T^\alpha} (w_0 - v_0) - \frac{d}{dx} \left[ (1 - x^2) g'(x) \right] + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \frac{w_n - v_n}{1 - E_\alpha (-\lambda_n T^\alpha)} P_n(x).$$

In order to complete the proof of existence of a formal solution, we need to prove the uniform convergence of the series appearing in the above expressions for $U(t,x), h(x)$ as well as the corresponding series in $[(1 - x^2)U_x]_x$ and $cD_0^\alpha U(t,x)$. We start with $U(t,x), h(x)$ by considering the following estimates:

$$|U(t,x)| \leq |w_0| + |v_0| + |v(x)| + C_1 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (|w_n| + |v_n|).$$
Hence, we have

\[ |h(x)| \leq \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{T^\alpha} (|w_0| + |v_0|) + \left| \left( (1-x^2) v'(x) \right)' \right| + C_2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(n+1) (|w_n| + |v_n|), \]

where \( C_1, C_2 \) are positive constants. Here, we have used the following properties of the Mitag-Leffler function and the Legendre polynomials:

\[ E_{\alpha,\beta}(\lambda t^\alpha) \leq M, \quad 0 < \alpha \leq \beta \leq 1, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T < \infty, \]

\[ |P_n(x)| \leq 1, \quad |x| \leq 1, \]

for some positive constant \( M \). Clearly the convergence of the above series depends on finding appropriate estimates for \( v_n \) and \( w_n \). This can be done by utilizing the following properties of the Legendre polynomials:

\[ (2n+1)P_n = P_{n+1}' - P_{n-1}' \]
\[ P_n(1) = 1, \quad P_n(-1) = (-1)^n, \]

and using integration by parts to get

\[ v_n = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} g'(x) \left( P_{n+1}(x) - P_{n-1}(x) \right) dx = -\frac{1}{2} \left[ (v', P_{n+1}) - (v', P_{n-1}) \right]. \]

Hence, we have

\[ |v_n| \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( |(v', P_{n+1})| + |(v', P_{n-1})| \right) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( ||v'|| \cdot ||P_{n+1}|| + ||v'|| \cdot ||P_{n-1}|| \right) \]
\[ \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{(2n+3)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \sqrt{2}} \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{(2n-1)^{\frac{1}{2}}}. \]

Here, we have used the Schwartz inequality \( ||(f,g)|| \leq ||f|| \cdot ||g|| \) and \( ||P_n(x)||^2 = \frac{2}{2n+1} \). Repeating the above process one more time, one will arrive to

\[ |v_n| \leq \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{(2n-1)^{\frac{1}{2}}} ||v''||. \]

A similar estimate can also be obtained for \( w_n \). Clearly, these estimates on using Weierstrass M-test would be enough to ensure the uniform convergence of the series representation of \( U(t,x) \) provided \( v, w \in C(-1,1) \) and \( v'', w'' \in L(-1,1) \). However, the convergence of the series representation of \( h(x) \) would require integration by parts two more times since the series includes the term \( n(n+1) \). This would lead to the following estimates for \( v_n, w_n \):

\[ |f_n| \leq \frac{6\sqrt{2}}{(2n-7)^{\frac{1}{2}}} ||f^{(4)}||, \quad f = v, w. \] (17)

Hence, the series representation of \( h(x) \) converges uniformly provided \( v, w \in C^3(-1,1) \) and \( v^{(4)}, w^{(4)} \in L(-1,1) \). Finally, the series representations of \( C D_{0+}^\alpha U(t,x) \) and \( [(1-x^2)U_x]_x \) are given by

\[ C D_{0+}^\alpha U(t,x) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{T^\alpha} (w_0 - v_0) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n(n+1)E_{\alpha} (-\lambda n T^\alpha)}{1 - E_{\alpha} (-\lambda n T^\alpha)} (w_n - v_n) P_n(x), \]

and

\[ [(1-x^2)U_x]_x = [(1-x^2) v'(x)]' - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - E_{\alpha} (-\lambda n T^\alpha)}{1 - E_{\alpha} (-\lambda n T^\alpha)} (n(n+1))(w_n - v_n) P_n(x), \]

and they have the following estimates

\[ |C D_{0+}^\alpha U(t,x)| \leq \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{T^\alpha} (|w_0| + |v_0|) + C_3 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(n+1) (|w_n| + |v_n|), \]

and

\[ ||[(1-x^2)U_x]_x|| \leq ||[(1-x^2) g'(x)]'|| + C_4 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(n+1) (|w_n| + |g_n|), \]

where \( C_3, C_4 \) are positive constants. Hence, the estimate (17) along with the corresponding conditions on \( v \) and \( w \) would be enough to ensure the uniform convergence of the series representations of \( C D_{0+}^\alpha U(t,x) \) and \( [(1-x^2)U_x]_x \).
3.3 Uniqueness of Solution

Suppose that there are two solution sets \{u_1(t,x), h_1(x)\} and \{u_2(t,x), h_2(x)\} to the inverse problem \((10) - (12)\). Denote

\[ U(t,x) = u_1(t,x) - u_2(t,x), \]

and

\[ h(x) = h_1(x) - h_2(x). \]

Then, the functions \(U(x,t)\) and \(h(x)\) clearly satisfy equation \((10)\) and the homogeneous conditions

\[ U(0,x) = 0, \quad U(T,x) = 0, \quad x \in [-1,1] \tag{18} \]

Let us now introduce the following

\[ U_n(t) = \int_{-1}^{1} U(t,x) P_n(x) \, dx, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, ..., \tag{19} \]

\[ h_n = \int_{-1}^{1} h(x) P_n(x) \, dx, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, ... \tag{20} \]

Note that the homogeneous conditions in \((18)\) lead to

\[ U_n(0) = U_n(T) = 0, \quad n = 1, 2, ..., \tag{21} \]

Differentiating equation \((19)\) gives

\[ cD_0^\alpha U_n(t) = \int_{-1}^{1} \left[ \left( 1 - x^2 \right) U_x \right]_x P_n(x) \, dx + h_n, \]

which on integrating by parts twice reduces to

\[ cD_0^\alpha U_n(t) + n(n+1)U_n(t) = h_n. \]

One can then easily show that this equation together with the conditions in \((21)\) imply that

\[ h_n = 0, \quad u_n(t) \equiv 0. \]

Therefore, due to the completeness of the system \(\{P_n(x)\}\) in \([-1,1]\), we must have

\[ h(x) \equiv 0, \quad U(t,x) \equiv 0, \quad x \in [-1,1], \quad t \in [0,T], \]

which ends the proof of uniqueness.

3.4 Main Result

The main result for the inverse problem \((10) - (12)\) can be summarized in the following theorem:

**Theorem 8.** Let \(v, w \in C^3(-1,1)\) and \(v^{(4)}, w^{(4)} \in L_2(-1,1)\). Then, a unique solution to the inverse problem \((10) - (12)\) exists and it can be written in the form

\[ U(t,x) = \frac{t^\alpha}{T^\alpha} (w_0 - v_0) + v(x) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - E_{\alpha}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha)}{1 - E_{\alpha}(-\lambda_n T^\alpha)} (w_n - v_n) P_n(x), \]

and

\[ h(x) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{T^\alpha} (w_0 - v_0) - \frac{d}{dx} \left[ \left( 1 - x^2 \right) v'(x) \right] + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n w_n - v_n}{1 - E_{\alpha}(-\lambda_n T^\alpha)} P_n(x). \]

where \(\lambda_n = n(n+1)\) and

\[ v_n = \frac{2n+1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} v(x) P_n(x) \, dx, \quad w_n = \frac{2n+1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} w(x) P_n(x) \, dx, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, ... \]

This result will be illustrated by a simple example solution in the next section.
3.5 Example Solution

Here we consider the following choices for the functions $v$ and $w$:

$$v(x) = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad w(x) = w_0 + w_2(3x^2 - 1).$$

Solutions Corresponding to this choice of conditions are given by

$$U(x,t) = w_0 \frac{t^{\alpha}}{T^\alpha} + w_2 \frac{1 - E_\alpha(-6T^\alpha)}{1 - E_\alpha(-6T^\alpha)}(3x^2 - 1) \quad \text{and} \quad h(x) = w_0 \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{T^\alpha} + \frac{6w_2(3x^2 - 1)}{1 - E_\alpha(-6T^\alpha)}.$$

These solutions are illustrated in Figures (1) - (2) for $T = 1$.

4 Inverse source problem for time-degenerate PDE

In this section, we investigate the following time-degenerate partial differential equation with time-fractional Riemann-Liouville derivative:

$$RLD_0^{\alpha,\beta}\ddot{u}(t,x) = t^\beta \dddot{u}_{xx}(t,x) + \dddot{h}(x),$$

where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $0 < \alpha < 1$, $\beta \geq 0$.

In particular, we consider the following inverse source problem:

**Problem 2.** Find a pair of functions $\{\ddot{u}(t,x), \dddot{h}(x)\}$ which is a regular solution for the equation (22) in the domain $\Phi = \{(t,x) : 0 < x < 1, 0 < t < \bar{T}\}$ satisfying the boundary conditions

$$\ddot{u}(t,0) = 0, \quad \ddot{u}(t,1) = 0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq \bar{T}.$$
together with the initial condition
\[ I^{1-\alpha}_0 \bar{u}(t,x) \big|_{t=0} = \varphi(x), \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1 \] (24)
and the over-determining condition
\[ \bar{u}(T, x) = \tilde{\psi}(x), \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1. \] (25)

Here \( I^{1-\alpha}_0 (\cdot) \) is the Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of order \( 1-\alpha \), \( \varphi(x) \) and \( \tilde{\psi}(x) \) are given functions such that \( \varphi(0) = \varphi(1) = \tilde{\psi}(0) = \tilde{\psi}(1) = 0 \).

We use the method of separation of variables for the corresponding homogeneous equation of (22) and obtain the following boundary problem with respect to space-variable
\[ X''(x) - \lambda X(x) = 0, \quad X(0) = 0, \quad X(1) = 0, \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1, \] (26)
which is a well-known Sturm-Liouville eigenvalue problem and it has a complete orthonormal system of eigenfunctions \( X_n(x) = \sin n \pi x, \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots \).

We now look for solution to Problem 2 in the following form:
\[ \bar{u}(t, x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \bar{u}_k(t) \sin k \pi x, \quad \bar{h}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \bar{h}_k \sin k \pi x, \] (27)
where \( \bar{u}_k \) and \( \bar{h}_k \) are unknown coefficients.

Substituting (27) and (32) into (22), we will get the following ordinary differential equation of fractional order with respect to time-variable:
\[ RL D^\alpha_0 \bar{u}_k(t) - (k \pi)^2 \bar{u}_k(t) = \bar{h}_k. \] (28)

Initial condition (24) will be given as
\[ I^{1-\alpha}_0 \bar{u}_k(t) \big|_{t=0} = \varphi_k, \] (29)
where \( \varphi_k = 2 \int_0^1 \varphi(x) \sin k \pi x \, dx \) is a Fourier coefficient of the function \( \varphi(x) \). Similarly, over-determining condition (25) leads us to
\[ \bar{u}_k(T) = \tilde{\psi}_k \] (30)
with \( \tilde{\psi}_k = 2 \int_0^1 \tilde{\psi}(x) \sin k \pi x \, dx \).

Solution of the Cauchy problem (28)-(29) can be written as (20) (see page 247)
\[ \bar{u}_k(t) = \varphi_k t^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,1+\frac{\beta}{\alpha}} \left( - (k \pi)^2 t^{\alpha+\beta} \right) + \frac{\bar{h}_k}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} t^{\alpha} E_{\alpha,1+\frac{\beta}{\alpha}} \left( - (k \pi)^2 T^{\alpha+\beta} \right). \] (31)

We have to note that (31) will be a solution to problem (28)-(29) if \( \beta > -\alpha \), which is valid in our case, since \( \beta \geq 0 \).

In order to find \( \bar{h}_k \), we use the condition in (30) which leads to
\[ \bar{h}_k = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{T^\alpha E_{\alpha,1+\frac{\beta}{\alpha},1+\frac{\beta}{\alpha}} \left( - (k \pi)^2 T^{\alpha+\beta} \right)} \left[ \tilde{\psi}_k - \varphi_k T^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,1+\frac{\beta}{\alpha}} \left( - (k \pi)^2 T^{\alpha+\beta} \right) \right]. \] (32)

Substituting (31) and (32) into (27) we obtain
\[ \bar{u}(t, x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[ \varphi_k t^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,1+\frac{\beta}{\alpha},1+\frac{\beta}{\alpha}} \left( - (k \pi)^2 t^{\alpha+\beta} \right) + \frac{\bar{h}_k}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} t^{\alpha} E_{\alpha,1+\frac{\beta}{\alpha}} \left( - (k \pi)^2 T^{\alpha+\beta} \right) \right] \sin k \pi x, \]
\[ \bar{h}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{T^\alpha E_{\alpha,1+\frac{\beta}{\alpha},1+\frac{\beta}{\alpha}} \left( - (k \pi)^2 T^{\alpha+\beta} \right)} \left[ \tilde{\psi}_k - \varphi_k T^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,1+\frac{\beta}{\alpha}} \left( - (k \pi)^2 T^{\alpha+\beta} \right) \right] \sin k \pi x. \]

Having an appropriate estimate for the generalized Mittag-Leffler type function appeared in the above solution would in general contribute to the uniform convergence of the above series solutions. However, our estimate in Lemma 1 is not applicable in this case, because the argument of the Mittag-Leffler type function appeared in the solution does not satisfy condition of the Lemma 1. Moreover, appropriate choices of the given conditions will also ensure the convergence of solutions as illustrated the following example solution.
4.1 Example Solution

For the sake of the illustration, we consider here the following choices of $\bar{\psi}(x)$ and $\bar{\varphi}(x)$:

$$\bar{\psi}(x) = \sin \pi x, \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\varphi}(x) = 0.$$  

Hence, the corresponding solutions are

$$\bar{u}(t,x) = \frac{t^\alpha E_{\alpha,1+\frac{\alpha}{\pi},1+\frac{\beta}{\pi}}(-\pi^2 t^\alpha + \beta)}{T^\alpha E_{\alpha,1+\frac{\alpha}{\pi},1+\frac{\beta}{\pi}}(-\pi^2 T^\alpha + \beta)} \sin \pi x \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{h}(x) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{T^\alpha E_{\alpha,1+\frac{\alpha}{\pi},1+\frac{\beta}{\pi}}(-\pi^2 T^\alpha + \beta)} \sin \pi x.$$ 
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