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ABSTRACT. In this paper, we analyze recurrent \( C_0 \)-semigroups of bounded operators on Banach spaces. We also introduce the notion of a (uniformly) \( C_0 \)-rigid semigroups of bounded operators and give a structural characterization of them. A characterization of a Li-Yorke chaoticity of the translation semigroup \((T(t))_{t \geq 0}\) on weighted spaces of integrable functions and continuous functions in terms of admissible weight function is given. The recurrent \( C_0 \)-semigroups induced by semiflows are characterized on the spaces of integrable functions and of spaces of continuous functions.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

One of the central notions in linear topological dynamics is that of recurrence. The notations of recurrent, rigid and uniformly rigid operators were introduced and systematically investigated by G. Costakis, I. Parissis and A. Manoussos (cf. [5]–[6]).

The notion of recurrence is closely connected to hypercyclicity. In the case of single operators, the set of hypercyclic vectors of an operator is similar structurally to the set of recurrent vectors of the same operator. We can freely say they exhibit the same invariances; If \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \), \( |\lambda| = 1 \) and \( x \) is recurrent (rigid, uniformly rigid) vector for the operator \( T \), then \( x \) is recurrent (rigid, uniformly rigid) vector for the operator \( \lambda T \) and for \( p \in \mathbb{N} \), \( x \) is a recurrent (rigid, uniformly rigid) vector for the operator \( T^p \) (see [3] Proposition 2.3, Proposition 2.5). There are some similarities in the spectral properties of hypercyclic and recurrent operators; here, we are going to give some answers concerning this questions for \( C_0 \)-semigroups of operators. As in the case of single operators, there exist examples of recurrent \( C_0 \)-groups of operators that are not hypercyclic.

Unless stated otherwise, by \( E \) we denote a Banach space; \( T : E \to E \) stands for a bounded linear operator, \((T(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}\) for a \( C_0 \)-group of bounded linear operators and \((T(t))_{t \geq 0}\) for a \( C_0 \)-semigroup of bounded linear operators on \( E \).

A linear operator \( T : E \to E \) is called recurrent operator if for every open non-empty subset \( U \) of \( E \) there exists some \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( U \cap T^{-k}(U) \neq \emptyset \). A vector \( x \in E \) is called a recurrent vector for the operator \( T \) if there exists a strictly increasing sequence of positive integers \((k_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) such that \( T^{k_n}x \to x \) as \( n \to +\infty \); the set consisting of all recurrent vectors of \( T \) will be denoted by \( \text{Rec}(T) \) henceforth. Furthermore, a much stronger notation than the recurrence is the measure theoretic rigidity, introduced in the ergodic theoretic setting by H. Furstenberg and B. Weiss.
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(see [13]). This concept, in the context of topological dynamical systems, is known as the (uniform) rigidity, which was introduced by S. Glasner and D. Maon (see [14], [10] and [11]).

For a bounded linear operator $T : E \rightarrow E$ it is said that it is rigid if there exists an increasing sequence of positive integers $(k_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $T^{k_n}x \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, for every $x \in E$. A bounded linear operator $T : E \rightarrow E$ is called uniformly rigid if there exists an increasing sequence of positive integers $(k_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $\|T^{k_n} - I\| = \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \|T^{k_n}x - x\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$.

We will always assume that the sequences $(k_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in the previous definitions satisfy $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} k_n = +\infty$. If is not the case, i.e. $(k_n)$ does not converge to $+\infty$, then $T^{k_0} = I$ for some positive integer $k_0$, so that $T^{n k_0} = I$ for every positive integer $n$.

The notion of hypercyclicity is central in linear dynamics. It has been studied by many authors (see [2], [3], [12], [16], [17], [18] and [19]).

A linear bounded operator $T : E \rightarrow E$ is called hypercyclic if there exists $x \in E$ such that the set $\{T^n x : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ is dense in $E$. A vector $x \in E$ is called a hypercyclic vector for the operator $T$. For the linear bounded operator $T : E \rightarrow E$ it is said to be cyclic if there exists $x \in E$ such that span$\{p(T)x : p$ is polynomial$\}$ is dense in $E$, while $T : E \rightarrow E$ is said to be topologically transitive if for every pair of non-empty subsets $U$ and $V$ of $E$, there exists a positive integer $n$ such that $T^n(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset$. In the case that $E$ is a separable Banach space, by Birkhoff’s transitivity theorem, the notion of hypercyclicity is equivalent to that of topological transitivity, so that every hypercyclic operator is a recurrent operator.

2. Recurrent properties of strongly continuous operator families

Our main aim here is to transfer the notions of recurrent and rigid operators to $C_0$-groups of bounded operators. For the sake of brevity and better exposition, we will work only in the setting of Banach spaces.

**Definition 2.1.** Let $I = [0, \infty)$ or $I = \mathbb{R}$. We say that a family $(W(t))_{t \in I}$ of bounded linear operators on $E$ is recurrent if for every open non-empty set $U \subset E$ there exists some $t \in I$ such that

$$U \cap (W(t))^{-1}(U) \neq \emptyset.$$  

A vector $x \in E$ is called a recurrent vector for $(W(t))_{t \in I}$ if there exists an unbounded sequence of numbers $(t_k)$ in $I$ such that $W(t_k)x \rightarrow x$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$. By $Rec(W(t))$ we denote the set consisting of all recurrent vectors for $(W(t))_{t \in I}$.

**Definition 2.2.** We say that a family $(W(t))_{t \in I}$ of bounded linear operators on $E$ is rigid if there exists an unbounded sequence of numbers $(t_k)$ in $I$ such that $W(t_k)x \rightarrow x$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$, for every $x \in E$, i.e. $W(t_k) \rightarrow I$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$ in the strong operator topology, while $(W(t))_{t \in I}$ is called uniformly rigid if there exists an unbounded sequence $(t_k)$ in $I$ such that $\|W(t_k) - I\| \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let $(T(t))_{t \in I}$ be a $C_0$-semigroup if $I = [0, \infty)$, resp. $C_0$-group if $I = \mathbb{R}$, of bounded linear operators on $E$. The following statements are equivalent:

i) $(T(t))_{t \in I}$ is recurrent.

ii) $Rec(T(t)) = E$.

If this is the case, the set of recurrent vectors for $(T(t))_{t \in I}$ is a $G_δ$ subset of $E$. 
Proof. First we will show that ii) ⇒ i). Let $\text{Rec}(T(t)) = E$ and $U$ be an arbitrary open non-empty subset in $E$. Let $x$ be a recurrent vector and $\varepsilon > 0$ be such that $B(x, \varepsilon) \subset U$, where $B(x, \varepsilon) = \{y \in E : \|x - y\| < \varepsilon\}$. Then there exists $t \in I$ such that $\|T(t)x - x\| < \varepsilon$. Thus $x \in U \cap T(t)(U) \neq \emptyset$, so $(T(t))_{t \in I}$ is recurrent.

Now, we will show that i) ⇒ ii). Let $(T(t))_{t \in I}$ be recurrent and let $B = B(x, \varepsilon)$ be an open ball in $E$, for fixed $x \in E$ and $\varepsilon < 1$. The proof will end if we show that there exists a recurrent vector in $B$. We use recurrency of $(T(t))_{t \in I}$. So, there exists $t_1 \in I$ such that $x_1 \in B \cap T(t_1)^{-1}(B)$, for some $x_1 \in E$. Since $(T(t))_{t \in I}$ is strongly continuous, we have that there exists $\varepsilon_1 < \frac{1}{2}$ such that $B_2 = B(x_1, \varepsilon_1) \subset B \cap T(t_1)^{-1}(B)$. Since $(T(t))_{t \in I}$ is recurrent, there exists $t_2 \in I$ such that $|t_2| > |t_1|$ such that for some $x_2 \in E$, we have $x_2 \in B_2 \cap T(t_2)^{-1}(B_2)$. Using the same argument with strong continuity and recurrency of $(T(t))_{t \in I}$, we can inductively construct a sequence $(x_n) \in E$, an unbounded sequence $(t_n)$ in $I$ and decreasing sequence of positive real numbers $(\varepsilon_n)_{n}$, such that $\varepsilon_n < \frac{1}{2^n}$,

\[ B(x_n, \varepsilon_n) \subset B(x_{n-1}, \varepsilon_{n-1}) \quad \text{and} \quad T(t_n)(B(x_n, \varepsilon_n)) \subset B(x_{n-1}, \varepsilon_{n-1}). \]

By Cantor’s theorem we have that

\[ \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} B(x_n, \varepsilon_n) = \{y\}, \]

for some $y \in E$. It is clear that $T(t_n)y \to y$ as $n \to +\infty$. Hence $y \in B$ is a recurrent vector in the open ball $B$, so the proof of ii) ⇒ i) is finished. Let us prove that

\[ (2.1) \quad \text{Rec}(T(t)) = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ x \in E : \|T(q_n)x - x\| < \frac{1}{k} \right\} =: \text{R}(T(t)), \]

where $(q_n)$ denotes the sequence consisting of all rational numbers which do have the module strictly greater than 1. It can be easily seen that $\text{Rec}(T(t))$ is contained in the set $\text{R}(T(t))$. For the opposite side, for each element $x \in \text{R}(T(t))$ and for each integer $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we can pick up a rational number $q_k$ which do have the module strictly greater than 1 and for which $\|T(q_k)x - x\| < 1/k$. If the sequence $(q_k)$ is unbounded, we have done. If not, then there exists a convergent subsequence $(q_{n_k})$ of $(q_n)$ such that $\lim_{k \to \infty} q_{n_k} = q$ for some real number $q \in I$ such that $|q| \geq 1$. In this case, the strong continuity of $(T(t))_{t \in I}$ shows that $x = T(q)x$ so that clearly $x \in \text{Rec}(T(t))$ because, in this case, we have $T(nq)x = x$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, $(2.1)$ holds and $(T(t))_{t \in I}$ is a $G_\delta$ subset of $E$.

Using the representation formula $(2.1)$ and the proof of [3] Proposition 2.6, it can be easily seen that the following result holds good (compare with [9] Theorem 2.5) proved for hypercyclicity:

**Theorem 2.4.** Let $(T(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ be a $C_0$-group on $E$. Then $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is recurrent if and only if $(T(-t))_{t \geq 0}$ is recurrent.

We continue by stating the following continuous analogue of [3] Proposition 2.3(i)):

**Theorem 2.5.** Let $(T(t))_{t \in I}$ be a $C_0$-semigroup if $I = [0, \infty)$, resp. $C_0$-group if $I = \mathbb{R}$, of bounded linear operators on $E$. Then, for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\lambda| = 1$, we have $\text{Rec}(T(t)) = \text{Rec}(\lambda T(t))$. 

Proof. It is enough to show that $\text{Rec}(T(t)) \subset \text{Rec}(\lambda T(t))$. For $x \in \text{Rec}(T(t))$, we define the set $L = \{ |u| = 1 : \lambda^n T(t_n)x \to \mu x$, for some unbounded sequence $(t_n)$ in $I \}$. To finish the proof, we have to prove that $1 \in L$. First of all, let us note that $L \neq \emptyset$. Since $x \in \text{Rec}(T(t))$, there exists an unbounded sequence $(t_n)$ in $I$ such that $T(t_n)x \to x$. There exists a subsequence of $(t_n)$, denoted by $(t_{n_k})$, such that $\lambda^{t_{n_k}}x \to \rho$ as $k \to \infty$, for some $|\rho| = 1$. Hence we have $\lambda^{t_{n_k}}T(t_{n_k})x \to \rho x$ as $k \to \infty$ which means that $\rho \in L$.

Let $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in L$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be fixed. Since $\mu_1 \in L$, there exist a positive integer $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ and a real number $t_1 \in I$, with module sufficiently large as we want, such that

$$
\|\lambda^{n_1}T(t_1)x - \mu_1 x\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.
$$

Since $\mu_2 \in L$, there is a positive integer $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ and a real number $t_2 \in I$, with module sufficiently large as we want, such that

$$
\|\lambda^{n_2}T(t_2)x - \mu_2 x\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2\|T(t_1)\|}.
$$

Hence,

$$
\|\lambda^{n_1+n_2}T(t_1+t_2)x - \mu_1\mu_2 x\| \leq \|\lambda^{n_1}T(t_1)(\lambda^{n_2}T(t_2)x - \mu_2 x)\| + \|\mu_2(\lambda^{n_1}T(t_1)x - \mu_1 x)\|
$$

$$
\leq \|T(t_1)\|\|\lambda T(t_2)x - \mu_2 x\| + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < \varepsilon,
$$

so $\mu_1\mu_2 \in L$. Hence, $\mu^n \in L$ for $\mu \in L$. If $\mu$ is a rational rotation, this means that $1 \in L$ and we are done. If $\mu$ is an irrational rotation, there is a strictly increasing sequence of positive integers $(s_k)$ such that $\mu^{s_k} \to 1$. Since $L$ is closed, it follows that $1 \in L$. □

**Theorem 2.6.** Let $(T(t))_{t \in I}$ be a $C_0$-semigroup if $I = [0, \infty)$, resp. $C_0$-group if $I = \mathbb{R}$, of bounded linear operators on $E$. If $(T(t) \oplus T(t))_{t \in I}$ is recurrent, then $(T(t))_{t \in I}$ is likewise recurrent.

**Proof.** Let $x_1 \oplus x_2$ be a recurrent vector for $(T(t) \oplus T(t))_{t \in I}$. Then it is clear that $x_1$ and $x_2$ are recurrent vectors for $(T(t))_{t \in I}$; hence, $(T(t))_{t \in I}$ is recurrent. □

In this paper, we will not further discuss the question whether the direct sum $(T(t) \oplus T(t))_{t \in I}$ of recurrent strongly continuous operator families $(T(t))_{t \in I}$ are recurrent, as well. The answer is positive if $(T(t))_{t \in I}$ possesses some extra properties satisfied (see [3] for more details about single-valued case). For the proof of the next theorem we need the following lemmas (for the proofs see [4]).

**Lemma 2.7.** Let $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ be a recurrent semigroup on $E$, $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \neq (0, 0)$ and let $x$ be a recurrent vector for $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$. Then $\lambda_1 x + \lambda_2 T_{t_0}x$ is also a recurrent vector for $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$.

With $T = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1 \}$ will be denoted the unit circle and with $\mathbb{D} = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq 1 \}$ the closed unit disc. Let we recall, that two maps $f, g : E \to F$ are homotopic if there is a continuous map $H : E \times [0, 1] \to F$ such that $H(x, 0) = f$ and $H(x, 1) = g$, $x \in E$. We say that $f$ is homotopically trivial if it is homotopic to a constant map. Any continuous map $f : \mathbb{D} \to F$ is homotopically trivial.

**Lemma 2.8.** It does not exist a continuous function $f : \mathbb{D} \to T$, whose restriction to the unit circle is homotopically nontrivial.
Now we will pay our attention to the continuous analogue of [3 Proposition 2.3(ii)]:

**Theorem 2.9.** Let \((T(t))_{t \in I}\) be a \(C_0\)-semigroup if \(I = [0, \infty)\), resp. \(C_0\)-group if \(I = \mathbb{R}\), of bounded linear operators on \(E\). Then the following assertions are equivalent:

i) \((T(t))_{t \in I}\) is recurrent.

ii) For every \(t_0 \in I \setminus \{0\}\), the operator \(T(t_0)\) is recurrent.

iii) There exists \(t_0 \in I \setminus \{0\}\) such that the operator \(T(t_0)\) is recurrent.

If this is the case, then for every \(t_0 \in I \setminus \{0\}\), we have \(\text{Rec}(T(t)) = \text{Rec}(T(t_0))\).

**Proof.** The only non-trivial part is that i) implies ii), with the equality \(\text{Rec}(T(t)) = \text{Rec}(T(t_0))\) for any fixed number \(t_0 \in I \setminus \{0\}\). To see this, assume that \((T(t))_{t \in I}\) is recurrent \(C_0\)-semigroup. Then it is clear that \(\text{Rec}(T(t)) \supset \text{Rec}(T(t_0))\) and, owing to Theorem 2.3, all that we need to prove is that the presumption \(x \in \text{Rec}(T(t))\) implies \(x \in \text{Rec}(T(t_0))\).

Without loss of generality, we can assume that \(t_0 = 1\). Indeed, we can consider the semigroup \((\bar{T}_t)_{t \geq 0}\), with \(\bar{T}_t := T_{t_0 t}\), for every \(t \geq 0\). It is clear that \(x\) is recurrent vector for \((\bar{T}_t)_{t \geq 0}\) and \(\bar{T}_1 = T_{t_0}\).

We define the mapping \(\phi : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{T}\) by \(\phi(t) = e^{2\pi i t}\). For every \(u \in E\) we define the set

\[ F_u = \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{T} \exists (t_n), n \in \mathbb{R}, \lim_{n \to \infty} t_n = \infty, \lim_{n \to \infty} T(t_n)u = u, \text{ and } \lim_{n \to \infty} \phi(t_n) = \lambda \}. \]

Note that the set \(F_u\) is not empty by its definition and recurrence of the semigroup \((T(t))_{t \geq 0}\).

The set \(F_u\) is closed set for \(u \in E\), i.e. if \(\lim_{k \to \infty} u_k = u, \lambda_k \in F_u\) and \(\lim_{k \to \infty} \lambda_k = \lambda\), then \(\lambda \in F_u\). Indeed, for every \(k\), we choose \(t_k > k\) such that \(\lim_{k \to \infty} (T(t_k)u - u_k) = 0\) and \(\lim_{k \to \infty} |\phi(t_k) - \lambda_k| = 0\). Hence, it is clear that \(\lim_{k \to \infty} T(t_k)u = u\) and \(\lim_{k \to \infty} \phi(t_k) = \lambda\).

Next, we will prove that if \(u \in E\) and \(\lambda, \mu \in F_u\), then \(\lambda \mu \in F_u\).

Let \(U\) be an open balanced neighborhood of zero in \(E\) and \(\varepsilon > 0\) arbitrary. We find \(t_1\) such that \(|\phi(t_1) - \mu| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\). We choose an open balanced neighborhood in \(E\) of zero \(V\) and \(t_2\) such that \(T(t_1)(V) \subset U\) and \(|\phi(t_2) - \lambda| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\). Hence,

\[ T(t_1 + t_2)u - u = T(t_1)(T(t_2)u - u) \in T(t_1)(V) \subset U, \]

\[ |\phi(t_1 + t_2) - \lambda \mu| = |\phi(t_1)\phi(t_2) - \lambda \mu| \leq |\phi(t_1) - \mu| \cdot |\phi(t_2)| + |\mu| \cdot |\phi(t_2) - \lambda| < \varepsilon. \]

So, we obtain \(\lambda \mu \in F_u\).

Let, now \(x \in \text{Rec}(T(t))\). From the previous part of the proof we have that \(F_u\) is a non-empty closed subsemigroup of \(\mathbb{T}\). First, we suppose that \(F_u = \mathbb{T}\). We have \(1 \in F_u\), which gives us an existence of a sequence \((t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) of positive real numbers tending to infinity such that \(\lim_{n \to \infty} T(t_n)u = u\) and \(\lim_{n \to \infty} \phi(t_n) = 1\). Let \((k_n)\) be a sequence of positive integers and \(\varepsilon_n \in [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]\) such that \(t_n = k_n + \varepsilon_n\), for all \(u \in \mathbb{N}\). Obviously, \(\lim_{n \to \infty} \varepsilon_n = 0\). Let \(U\) be an open balanced neighborhood of zero in \(E\). We fix \(U', V', W', Z', V\) an open balanced neighborhoods of zero such that \(T_{-\varepsilon_n}(V) \subset Z', T_{1-\varepsilon_n}(V) \subset V', -U - V' + W' + Z' \subset U\) and we choose \(n \in \mathbb{N}\) large enough such that \(T(t_n)u - u \in V, T_{1-\varepsilon_n}u - T_1u \in U'\) and \(T_{\varepsilon_n}u - u \in W'\). Hence,

\[ T_{1}u - u = T_{1}u + T_{k_n+1}u - T_{k_n+1}u - u = (T_{1}u - T_{k_n}u) + (T_{k_n}u - u) \]

\[ = -T_{1-\varepsilon_n}(T_{k_n}u - u) - (T_{1-\varepsilon_n}u - T_1u) + T_{-\varepsilon_n}(T_{k_n}u - u) + (T_{\varepsilon_n}u - u) \in -T_{1-\varepsilon_n}(V) - U' + T_{-\varepsilon_n}(V) + W'. \]
which leads to \( u \in \text{Rec}T(t_0) \), for \( t_0 = k_n \). Now, if we assume that \( F_u \neq \mathbb{T} \), we can obtain a contradiction. There is a \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( F_u = \{ z \in \mathbb{T} : z^k = 1 \} \). Indeed, for given \( z \in F_u \), the set \( \{ z^n : n \in \mathbb{N} \} \) is either dense in \( \mathbb{T} \) or finite. Since it is contained in the closed semigroup \( F_u \neq \mathbb{T} \) it should be finite. From the previous part of the proof for given \( \lambda \in F_u \) we have that \( F_u = \lambda F_u \). By Lemma \([2.8]\) we can conclude the proof. So, it must be \( F_u = \mathbb{T} \) and the statement i) implies ii) holds.

From the last two theorems, we can see that \((T(t))_{t \in I}\) is recurrent if and only if \((\lambda T(t))_{t \in I}\) is recurrent for (some) all \( |\lambda| = 1 \) if and only if \( T(t_0) \) is recurrent operator for (some) every \( t_0 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \).

The proof of the following theorem can be given with slightly modifications of the proofs of Theorem \([2.5]\) and Theorem \([2.9]\).

**Theorem 2.10.** Let \((T(t))_{t \in I}\) be a \( C_0 \)-semigroup if \( I = [0, \infty) \), resp. \( C_0 \)-group if \( I = \mathbb{R} \), of bounded linear operators on \( E \). Then

i) \( (T(t))_{t \in I} \) is (uniformly) rigid if and only if for (some) every number \( t_0 \in I \setminus \{0\} \), the operator \( T(t_0) \) is (uniformly) rigid;

ii) \( (T(t))_{t \in I} \) is (uniformly) rigid if and only if for (some) every \( |\lambda| = 1 \), \((\lambda T(t))_{t \in I}\) is (uniformly) rigid.

We proceed further with some spectral properties of the recurrent semigroups on Banach space \( E \).

**Theorem 2.11.** Let \((T(t))_{t \geq 0}\) be a \( C_0 \) semigroup on \( E \).

a) If the spectral radius of \((T(t))_{t \geq 0}\) is less than 1, i.e. \( r(T(t)) < 1 \), then \((T(t))_{t \geq 0}\) is not recurrent.

b) Every component of the spectrum of \((T(t))_{t \geq 0}\) intersects the unit circle.

c) If \((T(t))_{t \in I}\) is recurrent, then for every \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{ |x| : x \in E \} \), the operator \( A - \lambda I \) has a dense range, then \( \sigma_p((T(t))^*) \subset \{ |x| : x \in E \} \), where \((T(t))^*_{t \geq 0}\) is adjoint semigroup of \((T(t))_{t \geq 0}\).

d) If \((T(t))_{t \geq 0}\) has a discrete spectrum, i.e.

\[
\text{span}\{ x \in E : T(t)x = \lambda x \text{ for some } |\lambda| = 1 \} = E,
\]

then \((T(t))_{t \geq 0}\) is recurrent.

**Proof.** The statements follow from the Theorem \([2.5]\) and \([5]\) Proposition 2.9, Proposition 2.11, Proposition 2.15. □

The next theorem gives the spectral properties for rigid and uniformly rigid semigroups.

**Theorem 2.12.** Let \( E \) be a Banach space.

a) Let \((T(t))_{t \geq 0}\) be rigid semigroup acting on \( E \). Every component of the spectrum of \((T(t))_{t \geq 0}\) intersects the unit circle. Furthermore, \( \sigma(T(t)) \subseteq \{ |x| \leq 1 : x \in E \} \).

b) Let \((T(t))_{t \geq 0}\) be uniformly rigid on \( E \). The spectrum of \((T(t))_{t \geq 0}\) is contained in the unit circle. If \((T(t))_{t \geq 0}\) is uniformly rigid then \((T^{-1}(t))_{t \geq 0} = (T(-t))_{t \geq 0}\) is strongly continuous semigroup, i.e. \((T(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}\) is strongly continuous group.
Proof: The statements follow from the Theorem 2.10 and [5] Proposition 2.20, Proposition 2.21. □

3. Recurrent properties of translation semigroups and strongly continuous semigroups induced by semiflows

In this section, we investigate the recurrent properties of translation semigroups and strongly continuous semigroups induced by semiflows. We start with some notations about translation semigroups and strongly continuous semigroups induced by semiflows. Then we give an equivalent statements for \( C_0 \) semigroups on \( L^p_\rho([0,\infty)) \) and \( C_{0,\rho}([0,\infty)) \) to be (dense) Li-Yorke chaotic.

Suppose that \( \Delta \) is \([0,\infty) \) or \( \mathbb{R} \). A measurable function \( \rho : \Delta \to (0,\infty) \) is said to be an admissible weight function iff there exist constants \( M \geq 1 \) and \( \omega \in \mathbb{R} \) such that \( \rho(t) \leq M e^{\omega|t|} \rho(t + t') \) for all \( t, t' \in \Delta \). For such a function \( \rho \), we introduce the following Banach spaces:

\[
L^p_\rho(\Delta) := \{ u : \Delta \to \mathbb{C} \mid u \text{ is measurable and } \|u\|_p < \infty \},
\]

where \( p \in [1,\infty) \) and \( \|u\|_p := (\int_\Delta |u(t)|^p \rho(t) \, dt)^{1/p} \), as well as

\[
C_{0,\rho}(\Delta) := \{ u : \Delta \to \mathbb{C} \mid u \text{ is continuous and } \lim_{t \to \infty} u(t) \rho(t) = 0 \},
\]

with \( \|u\| := \sup_{t \in \Delta} |u(t)| \rho(t) \). Set \((T(t)f)(x) := f(x + t), x \in \Delta, t \in \Delta \). Then it is well known that \((T(t))_{t \geq 0} \) is a \( C_0 \)-semigroup on \( L^p_\rho([0,\infty)) \) and \( C_{0,\rho}([0,\infty)) \), and that \((T(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \) is a \( C_0 \)-group on \( L^p_\rho(\mathbb{R}) \) and \( C_{0,\rho}(\mathbb{R}) \); see [9] Definition 4.3, Lemma 4.6, Theorem 4.9.

The hypercyclicity of strongly continuous semigroups induced by semiflows has been analysed for the first time by Kalmes in [20]-[21]. He dealt with the space \( L^p(X,\mu) \), resp. \( C_{0,\rho}(X) \), where \( X \) is a locally compact, \( \sigma \)-compact Hausdorff space, \( p \in [1,\infty) \) and \( \mu \) is a locally finite Borel measure on \( X \), resp., \( X \) is a locally compact, Hausdorff space and \( \rho : X \to (0,\infty) \) is an upper semicontinuous function. In this paper, we will consider the spaces \( L^p_{\rho_1}(\Omega) \) and \( C_{0,\rho}(\Omega) \), where \( \Omega \) is an open non-empty subset of \( \mathbb{R}^n \). Here, \( \rho_1 : \Omega \to (0,\infty) \) is a locally integrable function, the norm of an element \( f \in L^p_{\rho_1}(\Omega) \) is given by \( \|f\|_p := (\int_{\Omega} |f(x)|^p \rho_1(x) \, dx)^{1/p} \) and \( dx \) denotes Lebesgue’s measure on \( \mathbb{R}^n \). Recall that, for a given upper semicontinuous function \( \rho : \Omega \to (0,\infty) \), the space \( C_{0,\rho}(\Omega) \) consists of all continuous functions \( f : \Omega \to \mathbb{C} \) satisfying that, for every \( \epsilon > 0 \), \( \{ x \in \Omega : |f(x)| \rho(x) \geq \epsilon \} \) is a compact subset of \( \Omega \); equipped with the norm \( \|f\| := \sup_{x \in \Omega} |f(x)| \rho(x) \), \( C_{0,\rho}(\Omega) \) becomes a Banach space. Put, by common consent, \( \sup_{x \in \Omega} \rho(x) := 0 \) and denote by \( C_{c}(\Omega) \) the space of all continuous functions \( f : \Omega \to \mathbb{C} \) whose support is a compact subset of \( \Omega \). It is well known that \( C_{c}(\Omega) \) is dense in both spaces, \( L^p_{\rho_1}(\Omega) \) and \( C_{0,\rho}(\Omega) \).

Suppose \( n \in \mathbb{N}, \Omega \) is an open non-empty subset of \( \mathbb{R}^n \) and \( \Delta \) is \([0,\infty) \) or \( \mathbb{R} \). A continuous mapping \( \varphi : \Delta \times \Omega \to \Omega \) is called a semiflow [20]-[21] iff \( \varphi(0,x) = x, x \in \Omega \),

\[
\varphi(t + s,x) = \varphi(t,\varphi(s,x)), \ t, s \in \Delta, \ x \in \Omega \text{ and } x \mapsto \varphi(t,x) \text{ is injective for all } t \in \Delta.
\]

Denote by \( \varphi(t,\cdot)^{-1} \) the inverse mapping of \( \varphi(t,\cdot) \), i.e.,

\[
y = \varphi(t,\cdot)^{-1} \text{ iff } x = \varphi(t,y), \ t \in \Delta.
\]
Given a number \( t \in \Delta \), a semiflow \( \varphi : \Delta \times \Omega \rightarrow \Omega \) and a function \( f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \), define \( T_{\varphi}(t)f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \) by \( (T_{\varphi}(t)f)(x) := f(\varphi(t, x)), \ x \in \Omega \). Then \( T_{\varphi}(0)f = f \), \( T_{\varphi}(t)T_{\varphi}(s)f = T_{\varphi}(s)T_{\varphi}(t)f = T_{\varphi}(t+s)f \), \( t, s \in \Delta \) and Brouwer’s theorem implies \( C_c(\Omega) \subseteq T_{\varphi}(t)[C_c(\Omega)] \). We refer the reader to [21, Theorem 2.1], resp. [21, Theorem 2.2], for the necessary and sufficient conditions stating when the composition operator \( T_{\varphi}(t) : L^p_{\rho}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^p_{\rho}(\Omega) \), resp. \( T_{\varphi}(t) : C_{0, \rho}(\Omega) \rightarrow C_{0, \rho}(\Omega) \), is well defined and continuous. The question whether \( (T_{\varphi}(t))_{t \in \Delta} \), and for the image measure of \( f, t, \rho \) in \( L^p_{\rho}(\Omega) \) or \( C_{0, \rho}(\Omega) \) has been analyzed in [21 and 23]. We have the following:

**Lemma 3.1.** Suppose \( \varphi : \Delta \times \Omega \rightarrow \Omega \) is a semiflow and \( \varphi(t, \cdot) \) is a continuously differentiable function for all \( t \in \Delta \). Then \( (T_{\varphi}(t))_{t \in \Delta} \) is a strongly continuous semigroup in \( L^p_{\rho}(\Omega) \) iff the following holds:

\[(1.1) \exists M, \omega \in \mathbb{R} \ \forall t \in \Delta : \rho_k(x) \leq M e^{\omega |t|} \rho_k(\varphi(t, x)) |\det D\varphi(t, x)| \ a.e. \ x \in \Omega.\]

**Lemma 3.2.** Let \( \varphi : \Delta \times \Omega \rightarrow \Omega \) be a semiflow. Then \( (T_{\varphi}(t))_{t \in \Delta} \) is a strongly continuous semigroup in \( C_{0, \rho}(\Omega) \) iff the following holds:

(i) \( \exists M, \omega \in \mathbb{R} \ \forall t \in \Delta, x \in \Omega : \rho(x) \leq M e^{\omega |t|} \rho(\varphi(t, x)) \) and

(ii) for every compact set \( K \subseteq \Omega \) and for every \( \delta > 0 \) and \( t \in \Delta \):

\[(1.2) \varphi(t, \cdot)^{-1}(K) \cap \{x \in \Omega : \rho(x) \geq \delta\} \text{ is a compact subset of } \Omega.\]

We need to introduce the following condition:

(D) For every compact subset \( K \) of \( \Omega \), there exists \( t_0 > 0 \) such that \( \varphi(t, \Omega) \cap K = \emptyset, t \geq t_0. \)

Then, for every \( f \in X_0 := C_c(\Omega) \), there exists \( t_0 > 0 \) such that \( T_{\varphi}(t)f = 0 \) for \( t \geq t_0. \)

In the sequel, we suppose that the Borel measure \( \mu \) and the upper semi-continuous function \( \rho \) are always such that \( T_{\varphi} = (T_{\varphi}(t))_{t \in [0, \infty]} \) defines a family of continuous linear operators on \( L^p(\mu) \) and \( C_{0}(E) \), respectively (cf. [21, Theorem 2.1, Theorem 2.2]). Instead of \( \mu^{\varphi(t, \cdot)} \), we will write \( \mu_t \), for the image measure of \( \mu \) under \( \varphi(t, \cdot) \). Let we note that, since \( \varphi(t, \cdot) \) is injective for every \( t \in [0, \infty) \) it has an inverse mapping from \( \varphi(t, E) \) to \( E \), denoted by \( \varphi^{-1}(t, \cdot) \). It is clear that \( \mu_{\varphi^{-1}(t, \cdot)}(B) = \mu_{\varphi^{-1}(t, \cdot)}(B) = \mu_{\varphi^{-1}(t, \cdot)}(B) = \mu_{\varphi^{-1}(t, \cdot)}(B) \), where \( \mu_{\varphi^{-1}(t, \cdot)}(B) = \mu_{\varphi^{-1}(t, \cdot)}(B) \). This means that for every Borel subset \( B \subseteq \varphi(t, E) \), the equation \( \mu(B) = \mu_{\varphi^{-1}(t, \cdot)}(B) \) holds.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let \( E \) be a locally compact, \( \sigma \)-compact countable Hausdorff space, \( \mu \) is locally finite Borel measure and \( \varphi : E \rightarrow E \) be continuous and injective. If the induced composition operator \( T_{\varphi} \) on \( L^p(\mu) \) is well defined and continuous, the following statements are equivalent:

i) \( T_{\varphi} \) is recurrent on \( L^p(\mu) \);

ii) For every compact subset \( K \) of \( E \) there exist sequence of measurable subsets \( (L_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \) of \( K \) and a sequence of positive integers \( (n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \) such that

\[
\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(K \setminus L_n) = 0, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{n_t}(L_n) = 0.
\]

Proof. Let i) holds and let \( K \) be a fixed compact subset of \( E \) and \( 0 < \varepsilon < 1 \) be arbitrary chosen. Since \( T_{\varphi} \) is recurrent, there is \( t_K \in I \) and \( v \in L^p(\mu) \), such that \( ||T_{\varphi}(t_K)v + \chi_{K_3}v||^p < \varepsilon^2 \), for \( t_n > t_K \). Since the mapping \( L^p(\mu) \rightarrow L^p(\mu), f \mapsto \mathbb{R}f \) and \( T_{\varphi} \) commutes, we can assume without loss of generality that \( v \) is real valued.
For all measurable subsets $B \subset E$ we have $\|T_\varphi(t)(u\chi_B)\| \leq \|T_\varphi(t)u\|$ for all $t \in I$ and $u \in L^p(\mu)$. It is clear that the mapping $L^p(\mu) \to L^p(\mu)$, $u \mapsto u^+$, where $u^+ = \max\{0, u\}$, satisfies $\|(u + v)^+\| \leq \|u^+ + v^+\|$ and commutes with $T_\varphi$. So,

$$
\|T_\varphi(t_n)(v^+\chi_B)\| \leq \|T_\varphi(t_n)v^+\| = \|T_\varphi(t_n)v - (-\chi_K v) + (-\chi_K v)^+\|
\leq \|T_\varphi(t_n)v - (-\chi_K v)^+\| + \|(-\chi_K v)^+\|
= \|T_\varphi(t_n)v - (-\chi_K v)^+\| + \|T_\varphi(t_n)v + \chi_K v\| < \varepsilon^2.
$$

We set $L = K \triangle \{1 - v\} \cap \{1 + T_\varphi(t)v\}$. Then $\mu(K \setminus L) < 2\varepsilon, v|_L > 1 - \varepsilon^{1/2} > 0$ and $(T_\varphi(t)v)|_L \leq \varepsilon^{1/2} - 1 < 0$. Having in mind that $\varphi(-t, \cdot)(\varphi(t, K)) = K$ and $\mu|_{\varphi(t, L)} = (\mu - \varepsilon^{1/2})\varphi(t, \cdot)$, we can conclude

$$
\varepsilon^2 > \|T_\varphi(t_n)(v^+\chi_L)\|^p = \int \|(v^+((\varphi(t, \cdot)))(\chi_L(\varphi(t, \cdot))))\|^p \, d\mu
= \int \varphi(t, \cdot)^{-1}(L) \|v^+((\varphi(t, \cdot)))\|^p \, d\mu = \int \|v^+\|^p \, d\mu_{t_n}
\geq (1 - \varepsilon^{1/2})^p \mu_{t_n}(L) \leq \frac{1}{2p} \mu_t(L).
$$

We have found $L \subset K$ measurable and $t_n \in I$ with $\mu(K \setminus L) < 2\varepsilon, \mu_{t_n}(L) < 2p\varepsilon^2$. Since $\varepsilon$ can be arbitrary small we can conclude that $ii)$ holds.

Now, let $ii)$ holds. Let $f, g$ be in $C_\sigma(E)$. We choose a compact set $K \subset E$ containing the supports of $f$ and $g$ and take $(L_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ad $(t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ to be like in the condition $ii)$. Define

$$f_n = f\chi_{L_n}, \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}.
$$

Then $f_n$ are measurable, bounded and different from zero at most on a subset of the compact set $K \cup \varphi(t_n, K)$, hence $f_n \in L^p(\mu)$. Then

$$\|f_n - f\|^p \leq \|f\|^p_{\sup\mu(K \setminus L_n)},
$$

which means that $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to $f$ and holds,

$$\|T_\varphi(t_n)f_n - f\|^p \leq \|f\|^p_{\sup\mu_{t_n}(L_n)}.
$$

From the last, we can conclude that $T_\varphi$ is recurrent on $L^p(\mu)$. 

The next statement follows from Theorem $3.3$ putting $I = \mathbb{N}$ and $\varphi(n, \cdot) = \phi \circ \phi \circ \ldots \circ \phi$, where the composition is made $n$ times.

Corollary 3.4. Let $E$ be a locally compact, $\sigma$-compact, countable Hausdorff space, $\mu$ a locally finite $\sigma$-compact Borel measure on $E$ and $\varphi : E \to E$ continuous and injective. If the induced composition operator $T_\varphi$ on $L^p(\mu)$ is well defined and continuous, the following are equivalent:

i) $T_\varphi$ is recurrent on $L^p(\mu)$;

ii) For every compact subset $K$ of $E$ there are a sequence of measurable subsets $(L_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $K$ and a sequence of positive integers $(n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(K \setminus L_n) = 0, \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(\varphi^n(L_n)) = 0.
$$

Next few results will be devoted on the composition operators on $C_{\sigma, \mu}(E)$. Here will be assumed that $E$ is locally compact Hausdorff space. We set $\text{supp}\varphi = 0$. 

Theorem 3.5. Let $E$ be a locally compact Hausdorff space, $\mu$ a locally finite Borel measure on $E$, $\varphi : E \to E$ continuous and injective and let for all compact subsets $K$ of $E$ holds $\inf_{x \in K} \rho(x) > 0$. Then i) implies ii).

i) $T_\varphi$ is recurrent on $C_{0, \rho}(E)$;

ii) For every compact subset $K$ of $E$ there exists a sequence $(t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $I$ such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{x \in \varphi(t_n, K)^{-1}} \rho(x) = 0.$$ 

If additionally, $\varphi(t, \cdot) : E \to E$ is an open mapping for all $t \in I$, then ii) implies i).

Proof. Let we suppose that i) holds. Let $K$ be a compact subset of $E$ and $0 < \varepsilon < \inf_{x \in K} \frac{\rho(x)}{2}$ and $f \in C_c(E)$ be positive with $f|_K = 1$. Since $T_\varphi$ is recurrent, there is $t_K$, and $f_n \in C_{0, \rho}(E)$ such that $\|f_n - f\| < \varepsilon$ and $\|T_\varphi(t_n)f_n + f\| < \varepsilon$, for $t_n > t_K$. Like in the proof of Theorem 3.3 we can assume without loss of generality that $f_n$ is real valued. From the positivity of $f_n$ lik in the proof of Theorem 3.3

$$\|T_\varphi(t_n)f_n^+\| < \varepsilon.$$ 

From $\|T_\varphi(t_n)f_n + f\| < \varepsilon$, we have $f_n(\varphi(t, x)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{\rho(x)} - 1 < -\frac{1}{2}$ for every $x \in K$ and from $\|f_n - f\| < \varepsilon$ we have $f_n(x) > 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{\rho(x)} < \frac{1}{2}$, for $x \in K$. Then, we get

$$\varepsilon > \|T_\varphi(t_n)f_n^+\| \leq \sup_{x \in \varphi(t_n, \cdot)^{-1}(K)} f_n^+(\varphi(t_n, x)) \rho(x) > \frac{1}{2} \sup_{x \in \varphi(t_n, \cdot)^{-1}(K)} \rho(x).$$ 

Hence, ii) follows.

Let we suppose that ii) holds. Now we assume that $\varphi(t, \cdot) : E \to E$ is open mapping for all $t \in I$. Then $\varphi(t, E)$ is open set in $E$ and $\varphi(-t, \cdot) : \varphi(t, E) \to E$ is continuous for all $t \in I$.

Let $f, g \in C_c(E)$ and let $K$ be a compact subset of $E$ containing the supports of $f$ and $g$. Let $(t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be like in the ii) for the compact set $K$. We put $f_n = \varphi(-t_n, \cdot)$ and since $\varphi(t, E)$ is an open subset of $E$ by the hypothesis, we can extend $f_n = \varphi(-t, \cdot)$ to a compactly supported continuous function $f_n$ on $E$, by setting it equal to zero outside $\varphi(t, E)$. It is clear that $T_\varphi(t)f_n = Id_E$. The functions, $f_n$ belong to $C_c(E)$, hence to $C_{0, \rho}(E)$. Hence,

$$\|f_n\| = \sup_{x \in \varphi(t_n, K)^{-1}} |\varphi(-t_n, x)| \rho(x) \leq \|f_n\| \sup_{x \in \varphi(t_n, K)^{-1}} \rho(x),$$ 

so $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to $f$. We have,

$$\|T_\varphi(t_n)f_n\| \sup_{x \in \varphi(t_n, \cdot)^{-1}(K)} |f_n(\varphi(t_n, x))| \rho(x) \leq \|f\| \sup_{x \in \varphi(t_n, \cdot)^{-1}(K)} \rho(x),$$ 

so we have that $T_\varphi$ is recurrent on $C_{0, \rho}(E)$.

In the case when $E = \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is open, the result follows from the Brouwer’s theorem.

Corollary 3.6. Let $E$ be a locally compact Hausdorff space, $\mu$ a locally finite Borel measure on $E$, $\varphi : E \to E$ continuous and injective. Let $\Omega$ be an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $\rho$ positive, upper semicontinuous function on $\Omega$ satisfying that $\inf_{x \in K} \rho(x) > 0$ for every compact subset $K$ of $\Omega$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

i) $T_\varphi$ is recurrent on $C_{0, \rho}(\Omega)$;
ii) For every compact set $K \subseteq \Omega$ there exists a sequence $(t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $I$ such that
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{x \in \varphi(t_n, K)^{-1}} \rho(x) = 0.
\]

Likewise in the case of the space $L^p(\mu)$, we can give the result on the single composition operator $T_{\varphi}$ on $C_{0, \rho}(E)$. This statement is direct consequence of Theorem 3.5.

**Corollary 3.7.** Let $E$ be a locally compact Hausdorff space, $\mu$ a locally finite Borel measure on $E$, $\varphi : E \to E$ continuous and injective. Let $\rho$ be a positive, upper semicontinuous function on $E$ satisfying $\inf_{x \in K} \rho(x) > 0$ for every compact subset $K$ of $E$ and $\phi : E \to E$ injective and continuous such that $T_{\phi}$ is a well defined continuous operator on $C_{0, \rho}(E)$. Then i) implies ii).

i) $T_{\varphi}$ is recurrent on $C_{0, \rho}(E)$;

ii) For every compact set $K \subseteq E$ there exists a sequence of positive integers $(n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that
\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} \sup_{x \in \varphi^{-n_k}(K)} \rho(x) = 0.
\]

Additionally, if $\phi : E \to E$ is an open mapping then the last statement are equivalent.

Next, we are going to investigate the case when the strongly continuous semigroups are induced by semiflows. By [21, Theorem 2.1] the mapping $T_{\varphi} : L^p(\mu) \to L^p(\mu)$, $f \mapsto f(\varphi(t, \cdot))$, $1 \leq p < \infty$ is well defined and continuous if and only if $\mu_t$ is absolutely continuous with resect to $\mu$ and its $\mu$-density $f_t$ is $\mu$-almost everywhere bounded. We recall, the next theorem, which one states whenever $T_{\varphi}$ is a strongly continuous semigroup on $L^p(\mu)$ and on $C_{0, \rho}(E)$.

**Theorem 3.8.** ([21])

a) Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be open, $\varphi$ a semiflow, $\mu$ be a locally finite Borel measure on $\Omega$. The following statements are equivalent:
   i) The family of mappings $T_{\varphi} = (T_{\varphi}(t))_{t \in [0, \infty)}$ defined by $T_{\varphi}(t) : L^p(\mu) \to L^p(\mu)$, $g \mapsto g(\varphi(t, \cdot))$ is well defined and a $C_0$-semigroup;
   ii) $\mu_t$ has a $\mu$ density $f_t \in L^\infty(\mu)$ and there are constants $C \geq 1$, $a \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\|f_t\|_\infty \leq Ce^{at}$ for all $t \geq 0$.

b) Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be open, $\varphi$ a semiflow and $\rho$ an upper semicontinuous function on $\Omega$. Then the following statements are equivalent:
   i) The family of operators $T_{\varphi}(t) : C_{0, \rho}(\Omega) \to C_{0, \rho}(\Omega)$, $g \mapsto g(\varphi(t, \cdot))$, $t \geq 0$ is well defined and forms a strongly continuous semigroup $T_{\varphi}$;
   ii) a') There are constants $C \geq 1$, $a \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\rho(x) \leq Ce^{at} \rho(\varphi(t, x))$ for all $x \in \Omega$ and $t \geq 0$.

b') For every compact subset $K$ of $\Omega$ and every $\delta > 0$ the set $\varphi(t, \cdot)^{-1}(K) \cap \{x \in E : \rho(x) \geq \delta\}$ is compact for every $t \geq 0$.

Next, for the needs of next few theorems we recall the definitions of $(L^p)$-admissible Borel measure $\mu$ on $\Omega$ and $(C_0)$-admissible weight function for the semiflow $\varphi$ (cf. [21]).

**Definition 3.9.** a) Given a semiflow $\varphi$, a Borel measure $\mu$ on $\Omega$ is called $(L^p)$-admissible (for $\varphi$) if it is locally finite and satisfies condition ii) from Theorem 3.8 a). Then the $C_0$ semigroup $T_{\varphi}$ from the Theorem 3.8 a) will be referred to as the one induced by $\varphi$. 
b) A positive valued, upper semicontinuous function $\rho$ on $\Omega$ is called a ($C_0$)-admissible weight function (for the semiflow) if it satisfies conditions $a')$ and $b')$ from Theorem 3.8(b), ii). The $C_0$ semigroup from the Theorem 3.8(b) will be referred to as the one induced by $\varphi$.

By application of Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.5, we can obtain the following results.

**Theorem 3.10.** Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be open, $\varphi$ a semiflow, and $\mu$ an ($L^p$)-admissible Borel measure on $\Omega$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

i) The $C_0$-semigroup $T_\varphi$ induced by $\varphi$ is recurrent on $L^p(\mu)$;

ii) For every compact set $K \subseteq \Omega$ there exist a sequence of measurable subsets $(L_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $K$ and a sequence of positive numbers $(t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(K \setminus L_n) = 0, \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(t_n L_n) = 0.
\]

**Theorem 3.11.** Let $\varphi$ be a semiflow and $\rho$ a ($C_0$)-admissible weight function on $\Omega$ such that $\inf_{x \in K} \rho(x) > 0$ for all compact subsets $K$ of $\Omega$. The following statements are equivalent:

i) The $C_0$-semigroup $T_\varphi$ induced by $\varphi$ is recurrent on $C_{0,\rho}(\Omega)$;

ii) For every compact set $K \subseteq \Omega$ we can find a sequence $(t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of positive numbers such that

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{x \in \varphi(t_n, K)^{-1}} \rho(x) = 0.
\]

We continue with some definitions that we will use in sequel.

**Definition 3.12.** Let the semiflow $\varphi$ be such that mapping $\varphi(t, \cdot)$ is continuously differentiable for all $t \geq 0$. Such a semiflow we call it a continuously differentiable semiflow and we denote by $D\varphi(t, \cdot)$ the Jacobian of $\varphi(t, \cdot)$. We define $C_t = \{x \in \Omega : \det D\varphi(t, \cdot) = 0\}$ and $\Omega_t = \Omega \setminus C_t$ an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$.

**Definition 3.13.** Let $\varphi$ be a differentiable semiflow. A Borel measurable function $\rho : \Omega \to (0, \infty)$ is called an ($L^p$)-admissible weight function (for the semiflow) if it satisfies the following condition:

(*) There are $C \geq 1$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for every $t > 0$ the inequality

\[
\rho \leq Ce^{at} \rho(\varphi(c, \cdot))|\det D\varphi(t, \cdot)| \quad \text{holds $\lambda^d$ - almost everywhere}
\]

and $\mu = p\lambda^d$ is locally finite. We will write $L^p_{\mu}(\Omega)$ instead of $L^p(\mu)$.

Using [21] Proposition 3.11, Proposition 3.12], we can give the following two theorems, giving a results of recurrency of $T_\varphi$, when $\varphi$ is a proper function and certain conditions are imposed on the weight function $\rho$.

**Theorem 3.14.** Let $\varphi$ be a continuously differentiable semiflow on the open subset $\Omega$ of $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that the mapping $g : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$, $g(t) = \det D\varphi(t, x)$ is continuous for all $x \in \Omega$ and such that $\Omega_t = \Omega$ for every $t > 0$. Let one of the following conditions on $\varphi$ be fulfilled:

1) For every $t > 0$, $\varphi(t, \Omega) = \Omega$;

2) For every compact subset $K$ of $\Omega$ there is $t_K > 0$ such that $K \cap \varphi(t, \Omega) = \emptyset$, for $t > t_K$. 

In the case of 1) let $J = \mathbb{R}$ and in the case of 2) let $J = [0, \infty)$. Additionally, let $\rho$ be an $(L^p)$-admissible weight function satisfying the following three conditions on $\rho$:

i) $\inf_{x \in K} \rho(x) > 0$ for every compact subset $K$ of $\Omega$;

ii) For every non-empty compact subset $K$ of $\Omega$ there is $x \in K$ such that

$$\sup_{y \in K, t \in J} \frac{\rho(\varphi(t, y))|\det D\varphi(t, y)|}{\rho(y)\rho(\varphi(t, x))|\det D\varphi(t, x)|} < \infty.$$ 

iii) For every $x \in \Omega$ there is a compact subset $K$ of $\Omega$ with $x \in K$ and $\lambda^d(K) > 0$ such that

$$\inf_{y \in K, t \in J} \frac{\rho(\varphi(t, y))|\det D\varphi(t, y)|}{\rho(y)\rho(\varphi(t, x))|\det D\varphi(t, x)|} > 0.$$ 

The following statements are equivalent:

a) $T_\varphi$ is recurrent on $L^p_\rho(\Omega)$;

b) For every $x \in \Omega$ there is a sequence of positive numbers $(t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\lim_{n \to \infty} t_n = \infty$, such that if 1) holds, then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \rho(\varphi(-t_n, x))\det D\varphi(-t_n, x) = 0.$$ 

Proof. First we will show that a) $\Rightarrow$ b). Let $x \in \Omega$ and the compact set $K$ be like in iii). Since $T_\varphi$ is recurrent on $L^p_\rho(\Omega)$, by Theorem 3.10 we can find a sequence of measurable subsets $(L_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $K$ and a sequence of positive real numbers $(t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that ii) from Theorem 3.10 holds. Note that $\lambda^d(K) > 0$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{K \setminus L_n} \rho \, d\lambda^d = 0$ together with the positivity of $\rho$ imply $\int_{L_n} \rho \, d\lambda^d > 0$ for sufficiently large $n$. By iii), we have

$$C = \inf_{y \in K, t \in J} \frac{\rho(\varphi(t, y))|\det D\varphi(t, y)|}{\rho(y)\rho(\varphi(t, x))|\det D\varphi(t, x)|} > 0.$$ 

Because of $\Omega, \Omega \setminus \Omega$ we have $\det \varphi(t_n, x) \neq 0$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The mappings $t \mapsto \varphi(t, x)$ and $t \mapsto \det D\varphi(t, x)$ are continuous and $\inf_{x \in H} \rho(x) > 0$ for every compact subset $H$ of $E$ we obtain that $(t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ tends to infinity. If 1) is fulfilled, then, by [21], Prop. 3.11,

$$\mu_{t_n}(L_n) = \int_{L_n} \frac{\rho(\varphi(-t_n, y))|\det D\varphi(-t_n, y)|}{\rho(y)} \rho \, d\lambda^d(y)$$

$$\geq C \rho(\varphi(-t_n, x))|\det D\varphi(-t_n, x)| \int_{L_n} \rho \, d\lambda^d(y).$$

Hence, $\lim_{n \to \infty} \rho(\varphi(-t_n, x))|\det D\varphi(-t_n, x) = 0.$

Let b) hold. Let $K$ be a non-empty compact subset of $\Omega$, $x \in K$ and ii) and 1) hold. There is a sequence of positive numbers $(t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ tending to infinity such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} \rho(\varphi(-t_n, x))|\det D\varphi(-t_n, x) = 0$. We set

$$C_1 = \sup_{y \in K, t \in J} \frac{\rho(\varphi(t, y))|\det D\varphi(t, y)|}{\rho(y)\rho(\varphi(t, x))|\det D\varphi(t, x)|} < \infty.$$ 

Then,

$$\mu_{t_n}(K) = \int_{K} \frac{\rho(\varphi(-t_n, y))|\det D\varphi(-t_n, y)|}{\rho(y)} \rho \, d\lambda^d(y)$$
Since show that the conditions in these theorems are satisfied. The proof is to use Theorem 3.14 and Theorem 3.15. In order to do that, we should let we first consider the spaces $L^p_\rho(\Omega)$.

Let one of the following conditions on $\varphi$ is fulfilled:

1) For every $t > 0$, $\varphi(t, \Omega) = \Omega$;
2) For every compact subset $K$ of $\Omega$ there is $t_K > 0$ such that $K \cap \varphi(t, \Omega) = \emptyset$, for $t > t_K$.

In the case of 1) let $J = \mathbb{R}$ and in the case of 2) let $J = [0, \infty)$. Additionally, let $\rho$ be an $(C_0)$-admissible weight function satisfying the following conditions on $\varphi$:

i) $\inf_{x \in K} \rho(x) > 0$ for every compact subset $K$ of $\Omega$;
ii) For every compact subset $K$ of $\Omega$ there is $x \in K$ with

$$\sup_{y \in K, t \in J} \frac{\rho(\varphi(t, y))}{\rho(\varphi(t, x))} < \infty.$$ 

The following statements are equivalent:

a) $T_\varphi$ is recurrent on $C_{0,\rho}(\Omega)$;

b) For every $x \in \Omega$ there is a sequence of positive numbers $(t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\lim_{n \to \infty} t_n = \infty$ such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \rho(\varphi(-t_n, x)).$$

It can be checked that the weight functions are $(L^p)$-admissible for the semiflow $\varphi(t, x) = t + x$ by [21, Proposition 3.12], so the left translation semigroup is well defined $C_0$-semigroup on $C_{0,\rho}(\mathbb{R})$ if $\rho$ is admissible.

**Theorem 3.16.** Let $\rho$ be an admissible function.

a) For $I = [0, \infty)$ the following statements are equivalent:
   i) The left translation semigroup is recurrent on $L^p_\rho([0, \infty))$ (respectively $C_{0,\rho}([0, \infty))$);
   ii) $\lim_{x \to \infty} \rho(x) = 0$.

b) For $I = \mathbb{R}$ the following statements are equivalent:
   i) The left translation semigroup is recurrent on $L^p_\rho(\mathbb{R})$ (respectively $C_{0,\rho}(\mathbb{R})$);
   ii) For every $x \in \mathbb{R}$ there is a sequence $(t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of positive numbers such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} \rho(x + t_n) = 0$ (lim$_{n \to \infty} \rho(x - t_n) = 0$).

**Proof.** Let we first consider the spaces $L^p_\rho(I)$ and $C_{0,\rho}(\mathbb{R})$. The main idea of the proof is to use Theorem 3.14 and Theorem 3.15. In order to do that, we should show that the conditions in these theorems are satisfied.

Since $D_\varphi(t, x) = 1$, $I_t = I$ for all $t > 0$. If $I = \mathbb{R}$, then 1) from Theorem 3.14 and Theorem 3.15 is satisfied, and condition 2) from the same theorems holds in case when $I = [0, \infty)$. By [21, Lemma 3.17], we have that $\inf_{x \in K} \rho(x) > 0$ for every compact subset $K$ of $I$, so the condition 1) from Theorem 3.14 and Theorem 3.15 is fulfilled. We put $x = \max K$ and $a = \min K$, for a compact subset $K$ of $I$. Again
by [21] Lemma 3.17, for $y \in K$, we have

$$\frac{\rho(y + t)}{\rho(y)\rho(x + t)} \leq \frac{C_x}{\rho(y)} \leq \frac{C_x^2}{\rho(a)} < \infty,$$

for suitable chosen $C_x > 0$. Hence, the condition $ii$) from the previously mentioned theorems holds. Let $x \in I$ and $y \in [x, x + 1]$. Once again by [21] Lemma 3.17, for some chosen positive constants $C_1$ and $C_{x+1}$, we have

$$\frac{\rho(y + t)}{\rho(y)\rho(x + t)} \geq \frac{1}{C_1\rho(y)} \geq \frac{1}{C_1C_{x+1}\rho(x + 1)} > 0.$$

Hence, the condition $iii$) from Theorem 3.14 is satisfied. So we can conclude that the statement of the theorem follows in this case. In the case of the space $C_0, \rho((0, \infty))$, we choose $\rho$ to be defined as $\rho : (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$ and $x \mapsto \rho(x - 1)$, for $x \geq 1$ and $x \mapsto x\rho(0)$, for $0 < x < 1$. It can be shown that there are constants $C > 1$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $r\rho(x + t) \leq Ce^at\rho(x)$ for all $x \in (0, \infty)$, $t \geq 0$. The left translation semigroup is well-defined and $C_0$-semigroup on $C_0, \rho((0, \infty))$ which is recurrent if and only if is recurrent on $C_0, \rho((0, \infty))$. By the inequality on $\rho$ we can conclude that $i$) and $ii$) from Theorem 3.14 are satisfied, so the left translation semigroup is recurrent on $C_0, \rho((0, \infty))$ if and only if $\liminf_{x \to \infty} \rho(x) = \liminf_{x \to \infty} \rho(x) = 0$, which implies the statement of the theorem. $\square$

**Example 3.17.** Let $\Omega$ be the either $\mathbb{R}$ or $(0, \infty)$ and $\varphi : [0, \infty) \times \Omega \to \Omega$, $(t, x) \mapsto t + x$. Then $D\varphi(t, \cdot) = 1$, so that $\Omega_t = \Omega$, for every $t > 0$. We note that the semigroup $T(t)f = f(\cdot + t)$ defines a $C_0$-semigroup on $C_0, \rho(\mathbb{R})$ if and only if $\rho(x) \leq Ce^at\rho(t + x)$, for some $C \geq 1$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}$ even if $\rho$ is not upper semicontinuous. This induced $C_0$-semigroup $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is known as left translation semigroup and its generator is given by the ordinary differential operator $f \mapsto \frac{d}{dt}f$ defined of some suitable domain.

We define $\tilde{\rho} : (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$, with $x \mapsto \rho(x - 1)$, for $x \geq 1$ and $x \mapsto x\rho(0)$, for $0 < x < 1$. It is clear that $\tilde{\rho}(x) \leq \tilde{C}e^at\rho(x + t)$ for some $\tilde{C} \geq 1$. It follows that for all $t > 0$ and $f \in C_0, \rho((0, \infty))$ we have

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} |f(x + t)|\tilde{\rho}(x) \leq \tilde{C}e^{a(t+1)} \lim_{x \to \infty} |f(x + t)|\tilde{\rho}(x + t) = 0$$

$$\lim_{x \to 0} |f(x + t)|\tilde{\rho}(x) = |f(t)| \lim_{x \to 0} r\rho(x) = 0.$$

Hence $T(t)f \in C_0, \rho((0, \infty))$, $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is a well defined operator on $C_0, \rho((0, \infty))$. It can be shown that the left translation semigroup is recurrent on $C_0, \rho((0, \infty))$ if and only if it is recurrent on $C_0, \rho((0, \infty))$. The left translation semigroup on $L_p^p((0, \infty))$ is recurrent if and only if $\lim_{x \to \infty} \rho(x) = 0$ is recurrent on $L_p^p(\mathbb{R})$ (respectively $C_0, \rho(\mathbb{R})$) if and only if for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$ there is a sequence $(t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of positive numbers such that $\lim_{x \to \infty} \rho(x + t_n) = 0$ (resp., $\lim_{x \to \infty} \rho(x - t_n) = 0$).
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