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Abstract

We revisit the work of Chang and Ran on bounding the slopes of \( M_{15} \) and \( M_{16} \), correct one of the formulas used at the conclusion of the argument, and recompute the lower bounds on the slopes, yielding \( s(M_{15}) > 6.5 \) but not for \( M_{16} \). Our contribution only involves plugging in formulas.

1 Introduction

The slope of the moduli space of curves is an important invariant, giving consequence for the birational geometry of \( M_g \) [CFM13]. In particular, Chang and Ran used 1-parameter families of space curves constructed using monads to show the slopes of \( M_{15} \) and \( M_{16} \) exceed 6.5 [CR86, CR91]. The main result of [BDPP13], together with the slope bounds of Chang and Ran, would imply \( M_{15} \) and \( M_{16} \) are uniruled (see also [Far09a, Theorem 2.7]).

Our goal is to correct the computation at the conclusion of the argument in [CR86, Section 3] of the slope of the family of space curves \( Y \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^3 \) given as the degeneracy locus of a vector bundle. We find \( s(M_{15}) > 6.53 \) instead of 6.66 as originally claimed. Therefore, the qualitative result that \( M_{15} \) is uniruled remains unchanged. In fact, it has since been shown that \( M_{15} \) is rationally connected [BV05]. However, the recomputed lower bound for \( s(M_{16}) \) using [CR91] is only about 6 instead of 6.567 as originally claimed, so the question of the uniruledness of \( M_{16} \) is still open.
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2 Computation

We begin with a correction of the formula in [CR86] page 219. It is a special case of the chern numbers of degeneracy locus computed in [HT84] 2.

Theorem 2.1 (corrected form of [CR86] page 219). Let \( M \) be a smooth variety of dimension 4 and \( f : A \to B \) be a homomorphism between vector bundles of rank \( a \) and \( a + 1 \), respectively. Suppose the locus \( Z \subset M \), where \( f \) has rank \( < a \), is a locally complete intersection surface. Then, the virtual Chern numbers of \( Z \) are given by

\[
\begin{align*}
\chi_1(Z)^2 &= (c_1(M) - c_1)^2 c_2 - 2(c_1(M) - c_1)c_3 + c_4 \\
\chi_2(Z) &= (c_2(M) - c_1(M)c_1 + c_2(A) - c_2(B) + c_1(B)^2 - c_1(A)c_1(B))c_2 + \\
&\quad + (-c_1(M) + 2c_1)c_3 + c_4.
\end{align*}
\]

where \( c_i := c_i(B - A) \).

1 The main difference between the formula in Theorem 2.1 and the original is that each instance of \( c_1 c_2 \) and \( c_1^2 c_2 \) is replaced by \( c_3 \) and \( c_4 \) respectively. Note, however, the sign of \( c_1(M) \) in \((-c_1(M) + 2c_1)c_1\) is also flipped in the corrected version.

2 There are two relevant sign errors in [HT84]. First, [HT84, 1.4] is valid if you replace \( x_1, \ldots, x_{m-r} \) with the dual chern roots, as the proof in Section 2 immediately defines the \( x_i \) to be the dual chern roots (this typo is also mentioned in [Far09b, page 833]). Also, the sign in front of \( c_1(M) \) in \((-c_1(M) + 2c_1)c_1\) is flipped in [HT84] page 474], which I suspect is why the sign is also flipped in [CR86] page 219.]
Theorem 2.2. The slope of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{15}$ is at least $\frac{28}{9} \approx 6.53$, so in particular $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{15}$ has Kodaira dimension $-\infty$.

Proof. Applying Theorem 2.1 to the case $M = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^3$, $c(A) = c(\mathcal{O}^4)$ and $B = E(2)$, where $E$ is given as

$$0 \to E \to \mathcal{O}(1,0)^8 \oplus \mathcal{O}(0,-1) \to \mathcal{O}(1,1)^4 \to 0$$

as in [CR86, Example 1.6], we find

$$c_1(Z)^2 = 216 \quad c_2(Z) = 336 \quad \kappa = 328 \quad \delta = 392 \quad \lambda = 60,$$

giving the claimed lower bound to the slope of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{15}$. \hfill $\Box$

However, this is not sufficient for the application to $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{16}$ given in [CR91]. Instead, one gets

Theorem 2.3. The slope of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{16}$ is at least $\frac{1472}{245} \approx 6.008$

Proof. We will refer the reader to [CR91] for the details of the proof. We will just check one computation here. This is just Type $\beta$ family in [CR91, page 271], but there are typos in the formulas. Specifically, the second and fourth line of [CR91, (1.3)] should read

$$\beta(F, A_1, A_2)_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1}+1}^2 \delta_j = m_1 m_2 F \cdot \delta_j \quad \text{for } j \neq 0,1, i$$

$$\beta(F, A_1, A_2)_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1}+1}^2 \delta_0 = m_1 m_2 F \cdot \delta_0 + \sum_{\ell=1}^2 \left( m_{2-\ell}(m_{4\ell-2} - 2 g(A_\ell) - 2 A_\ell \cdot A_\ell) - A_1 \cdot A_2 \right).$$

In spite of this, our recomputed correction term $\beta(F, A_1, A_2)_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1}+1}^2 \delta - m_1 m_2 F \cdot \delta$ specialized to our case agrees with the correction term $-2(14 \cdot 220 + 16) + 16$ found in the formula for $F_{0,16} \cdot \delta$ on [CR91, page 273].

From the proof of Theorem 2.2 Chang and Ran construct a surface $\mathcal{Y} \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^3$, viewed as a family of curves over $\mathbb{P}^1$. Each member of $\mathcal{Y} \to \mathbb{P}^1$ is a degree 14 space curve of genus 15, and the image of $\mathcal{Y} \to \mathbb{P}^3$ is a degree 16 surface [CR91, page 273].

By pulling back generic hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}^3$, we get two smooth multisectiions $A_1$ and $A_2$ of $\mathcal{Y} \to \mathbb{P}^1$ of degree 14 meeting transversely with $A_1^2 = A_2^2 = A_1 \cdot A_2 = 16$. We can also assume $A_1$ and $A_2$ do not meet at points where either multisection is tangent to the fiber. By base changing under $B := A_1 \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} A_2 \overset{\pi}{\rightarrow} \mathbb{P}^1$, we get a family $\pi^* \mathcal{Y} \to B$ with two sections $\sigma_1, \sigma_2$ mapping isomorphically onto $A_1, A_2 \subset \mathcal{Y}$. Blowing up $\pi^* \mathcal{Y}$ at the (reduced) points of intersection of $\sigma_1$ with $\sigma_2$, we get nonintersecting sections $\tilde{\sigma}_1, \tilde{\sigma}_2$ of a family $\mathcal{Y} \to B$.

Now, we want to determine the slope of the map $\phi_B : B \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{16}$ given by $\mathcal{Y}$ in terms of the map $\phi_{\mathbb{P}^1} : \mathbb{P}^1 \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{15}$ given by $\mathcal{Y}$. We see

$$\phi_B^2 \lambda = (14)^2 \phi_{\mathbb{P}^1}^2 \lambda \quad \phi_B^2 \delta_i = 16 \quad \phi_B^2 \delta_i = (14)^2 \phi_{\mathbb{P}^1}^2 \delta_i = 0 \quad \text{for } i > 1,$$

so the only intersection left is $\phi_B^2 \delta_0$. This differs from $(14)^2 \phi_{\mathbb{P}^1}^2 \delta_0$ by the sum of the chern numbers of the normal bundles of $\tilde{\sigma}_1$ and $\tilde{\sigma}_2$ [HM98, page 147]. To do this, we see that

$$(\tilde{\sigma}_1)^2 = -\tilde{\sigma}_1^* \omega_{\mathcal{Y}/B} = -\sigma_1^* \omega_{\mathcal{Y}/B} - A_1 \cdot A_2 = 14(-A_1 \cdot \omega_{\mathcal{Y}/\mathbb{P}^1}) - 16,$$

where $A_1 \cdot \omega_{\mathcal{Y}/\mathbb{P}^1}$ can be computed using adjunction on $\mathcal{Y}$ to be

$$A_1 \cdot \omega_{\mathcal{Y}} - (14)(c_1(\omega_{\mathbb{P}^1})) = (2g(A_1) - 2) - A_1^2 - 14(-2).$$

Therefore, $\phi_B^2 \lambda = 60 \cdot 14^2$ and $\tilde{\sigma}_1^2 = 14(-15 \cdot 14 - 2) + (2 \cdot 0 - 2) \cdot 14 + 16 = -3096$, and

$$\frac{\phi_B^2 \delta}{\phi_B^2 \lambda} = \frac{\phi_{\mathbb{P}^1}^2 \delta_0 + \phi_B^2 \delta_1}{\phi_B^2 \lambda} = \frac{(14^2 \phi_{\mathbb{P}^1}^2 \delta_0 + (\tilde{\sigma}_1)^2 + (\tilde{\sigma}_2)^2) + 16}{60 \cdot 14^2} = \frac{1472}{245}. \hfill \Box
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