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Abstract

By the SYZ construction, a mirror pair $(X, \check{X})$ of a complex torus $X$ and a mirror partner $\check{X}$ of the complex torus $X$ is described as the special Lagrangian torus fibrations $X \to B$ and $\check{X} \to B$ on the same base space $B$. Then, by the SYZ transform, we can construct a simple projectively flat bundle on $X$ from each affine Lagrangian multi section of $\check{X} \to B$ with a unitary local system along it. However, there are non-unique choices of transition functions of it, and this fact actually causes difficulties when we try to construct a functor between the symplectic geometric category and the complex geometric category. In the present paper, by solving this problem, we prove that there exists a bijection between the set of the isomorphism classes of their objects.
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1 Introduction

Let $X$ be an $n$-dimensional complex torus, and we denote by $\check{X}$ a mirror partner of the complex torus $X$. For this mirror pair $(X, \check{X})$, the homological mirror
symmetry conjecture [11], which is proposed by Kontsevich in 1994, states that there exists an equivalence

$$D^b(Coh(X)) \cong Tr(Fuk(\hat{X}))$$

of triangulated categories. Here, $D^b(Coh(X))$ is the bounded derived category of coherent sheaves on $X$, and $Tr(Fuk(\hat{X}))$ is the derived category of the Fukaya category $Fuk(\hat{X})$ on $\hat{X}$ [4] which is obtained by the Bondal-Kapranov-Kontsevich construction [3], [11]. Historically, first, this conjecture has been studied when $(X, \hat{X})$ is a pair of elliptic curves (see [18], [17], [1] etc.), and after that, the case of abelian varieties has been studied in [5] as a generalization of the case of elliptic curves to the higher dimensional case (see also [12]).

On the other hand, the SYZ construction [19], which is proposed by Strominger, Yau, and Zaslow in 1996, proposes a way of constructing mirror pairs geometrically. By this construction, the mirror pair $(X, \hat{X})$ is realized as the trivial special Lagrangian torus fibrations $\pi : X \to B$ and $\hat{\pi} : \hat{X} \to B$ on the same base space $B$ which is homeomorphic to an $n$-dimensional real torus. Here, for each point $b \in B$, the special Lagrangian torus fibers $\pi^{-1}(b)$ and $\hat{\pi}^{-1}(b)$ are related by the T-duality. In particular, it is expected that the homological mirror symmetry on the mirror pair $(X, \hat{X})$ is realized by the SYZ transform (an analogue of the Fourier-Mukai transform) along the special Lagrangian torus fibers of $\pi : X \to B$ and $\hat{\pi} : \hat{X} \to B$.

Considering the above discussions, we explain the purpose of this paper. For a given mirror pair $(X, \hat{X})$, we regard it as the trivial special Lagrangian torus fibrations $\pi : X \to B$ and $\hat{\pi} : \hat{X} \to B$ in the sense of the SYZ construction. First, in the symplectic geometry side, we consider the Fukaya category $Fuk(\hat{X})$ consisting of affine Lagrangian multisections of $\hat{\pi} : \hat{X} \to B$ with unitary local systems along them. Then, according to the discussions in [13] and [2], we can obtain a holomorphic vector bundle on $X$ which admits a constant curvature connection from each object of $Fuk(\hat{X})$. This is called the SYZ transform. More precisely, the above constant curvature is expressed locally as

$$dz^t R dz \cdot \text{id},$$

(1)

where $z = (z_1, \cdots, z_n)^t$ is the local complex coordinates of $X$, and $R$ is a constant matrix of order $n$ (actually, $R$ is a Hermitian matrix of order $n$). On the other hand, for a holomorphic vector bundle on $X$ with the Hermitian connection, if its curvature form is expressed locally as the form (1), such a holomorphic vector bundle admits a projectively flat structure (for example, see [10]). Therefore, we see that each object of $Fuk(\hat{X})$ is transformed to a projectively flat bundle on $X$, which in particular becomes simple. However, there are non-unique choices of transition functions of it. In this paper, we consider the DG-category $DG_X$ consisting of such simple projectively flat bundles with any compatible transition functions. We expect that this $DG_X$ generates $D^b(Coh(X))$ though we do not discuss it in this paper. At least, it is known that it split-generates $D^b(Coh(X))$ when $X$ is an abelian variety (cf. [16], [1]). In this setting, when we fix a choice of transition functions of holomorphic vector
bundles in $DG_X$, we can obtain a map

$$\iota : \text{Ob}(Fuk(\hat{X})) \to \text{Ob}(DG_X)$$

by the SYZ transform. Then, for example, it is shown in [5, Proposition 13.2] that the map $\iota$ induces an injection

$$\iota_{\text{isom}} : \text{Ob}_{\text{isom}}(Fuk(\hat{X})) \to \text{Ob}_{\text{isom}}(DG_X),$$

where $\text{Ob}_{\text{isom}}(DG_X)$ and $\text{Ob}_{\text{isom}}(Fuk(\hat{X}))$ denote the set of the isomorphism classes of holomorphic vector bundles in $DG_X$ and the set of the isomorphism classes of objects of $Fuk(\hat{X})$, respectively. Thus, in the present paper, we prove that the map $\iota_{\text{isom}}$ is actually a bijection by constructing a natural map

$$\text{Ob}(DG_X) \to \text{Ob}(Fuk(\hat{X}))$$

whose direction is opposite to the direction of the map $\iota$.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we take a complex torus $X$, and explain the definition of a mirror partner $\hat{X}$ of the complex torus $X$. In section 3, we define a class of a certain kind of simple projectively flat bundles on $X$, and construct the DG-category $DG_X$ consisting of those holomorphic vector bundles. We also study the isomorphism classes of them in section 3. In section 4, we consider the Fukaya category $Fuk(\hat{X})$ consisting of affine Lagrangian multi sections of $\hat{\pi} : \hat{X} \to B$ with unitary local systems along them, and study the isomorphism classes of objects of $Fuk(\hat{X})$. In section 5, we explicitly construct a bijection $\text{Ob}_{\text{isom}}(DG_X) \to \text{Ob}_{\text{isom}}(Fuk(\hat{X}))$. This result is given in Theorem 5.1.

## 2 Preparations

In this section, we define a complex torus $T_{j=1}^{2n}$ and a mirror partner $\hat{T}_{j=1}^{2n}$ of the complex torus $T_{j=1}^{2n}$.

First, we define an $n$-dimensional complex torus $T_{j=1}^{2n}$ as follows. Let $T$ be a complex matrix of order $n$ such that $\text{Im}T$ is positive definite. We consider the lattice $2\pi(Z^n \oplus T \mathbb{Z}^n)$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and define

$$T_{j=1}^{2n} := \mathbb{C}^n/2\pi(Z^n \oplus T \mathbb{Z}^n).$$

Sometimes we regard the $n$-dimensional complex torus $T_{j=1}^{2n}$ as a $2n$-dimensional real torus $\mathbb{R}Z_{j=1}^{2n}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}Z_{j=1}^{2n}$. In this paper, we further assume that $T$ is a non-singular matrix. Actually, in our setting described bellow, it turns out that the mirror partner of the complex torus $T_{j=1}^{2n}$ does not exist if $\det T = 0$. However, we can avoid this problem and discuss the homological mirror symmetry even if $\det T = 0$ by modifying the definition of the mirror partner of the complex torus $T_{j=1}^{2n}$ and a class of holomorphic vector bundles which we treat. This fact will
be discussed in [9]. Here, we fix an \( \varepsilon > 0 \) small enough and let

\[
O_{m_1 \cdots m_n}^{j_1 \cdots j_n} := \left\{ \begin{array}{c} x \\ y \\ \end{array} \right\} \in T_{J=\pi}^{2n} \mid \frac{2}{3} \pi (l_j - 1) - \varepsilon < x_j < \frac{2}{3} \pi l_j + \varepsilon, \\
\frac{2}{3} \pi (m_k - 1) - \varepsilon < y_k < \frac{2}{3} \pi m_k + \varepsilon, \quad j, k = 1, \cdots, n \right\}
\]

be a subset of \( T_{J=\pi}^{2n} \), where \( l_j, m_k = 1, 2, 3 \),

\[
x := (x_1, \cdots, x_n)^t, \quad y := (y_1, \cdots, y_n)^t,
\]

and we identify \( x_i \sim x_i + 2 \pi, \ y_i \sim y_i + 2 \pi \) for each \( i = 1, \cdots, n \). Sometimes we denote \( O_{m_1 \cdots m_n}^{j_1 \cdots j_n} \) instead of \( O_{m_1 \cdots m_n}^{j_1 \cdots j_n} \) in order to specify the values \( l_j = l, m_k = m \). Then, \( \{O_{m_1 \cdots m_n}^{j_1 \cdots j_n}\}_{l_j, m_k=1,2,3} \) is an open cover of \( T_{J=\pi}^{2n} \), and we define the local coordinates of \( O_{m_1 \cdots m_n}^{j_1 \cdots j_n} \) by

\[
(x_1, \cdots, x_n, y_1, \cdots, y_n)^t \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}.
\]

Furthermore, we locally express the complex coordinates \( z := (z_1, \cdots, z_n)^t \) of \( T_{J=\pi}^{2n} \) by \( z = x + T y \). Next, we define a mirror partner of \( T_{J=\pi}^{2n} \). We consider a \( 2n \)-dimensional real torus \( T^{2n} = \mathbb{R}^{2n}/2\pi \mathbb{Z}^{2n} \), and of course, for each point \( (x^1, \cdots, x^n, y^1, \cdots, y^n)^t \in T^{2n} \), we identify \( x^i = x^i + 2 \pi, \ y^i = y^i + 2 \pi \), where \( i = 1, \cdots, n \). We also denote by \( (x^1, \cdots, x^n, y^1, \cdots, y^n)^t \) the local coordinates in the neighborhood of an arbitrary point \( (x^1, \cdots, x^n, y^1, \cdots, y^n)^t \in T^{2n} \). Furthermore, we use the same notation \( (x^1, \cdots, x^n, y^1, \cdots, y^n)^t \) when we denote the coordinates of the covering space \( \mathbb{R}^{2n} \) of \( T^{2n} \). Here, for simplicity, we set

\[
\tilde{x} := (x^1, \cdots, x^n)^t, \quad \tilde{y} := (y^1, \cdots, y^n)^t.
\]

We define a complexified symplectic form \( \tilde{\omega} \) on \( T^{2n} \) by

\[
\tilde{\omega} := d\tilde{x}^t(-T^{-1})^t d\tilde{y},
\]

where \( d\tilde{x} := (dx^1, \cdots, dx^n)^t \) and \( d\tilde{y} := (dy^1, \cdots, dy^n)^t \). We decompose \( \tilde{\omega} \) into

\[
\tilde{\omega} = d\tilde{x}^t \text{Re}(-T^{-1})^t d\tilde{y} + i d\tilde{x}^t \text{Im}(-T^{-1})^t d\tilde{y},
\]

and define

\[
\omega := \text{Im}(-T^{-1})^t, \quad B := \text{Re}(-T^{-1})^t.
\]

Here, \( i = \sqrt{-1} \). Sometimes we identify the matrices \( \omega \) and \( B \) with the 2-forms \( d\tilde{x}^t \omega d\tilde{y} \) and \( d\tilde{x}^t B d\tilde{y} \), respectively. Then, \( \omega \) defines a symplectic form on \( T^{2n} \). The closed 2-form \( B \) is often called the B-field. This complexified symplectic torus \( (T^{2n}, \tilde{\omega} = d\tilde{x}^t(-T^{-1})^t d\tilde{y}) \) is a mirror partner of the complex torus \( T_{J=\pi}^{2n} \), so hereafter, for simplicity, we denote

\[
T_{J=\pi}^{2n} := (T^{2n}, \tilde{\omega} = d\tilde{x}^t(-T^{-1})^t d\tilde{y}).
\]
3 Complex geometry side

The purpose of this section is to explain the complex geometry side in the homological mirror symmetry setting on \((T_{J=\mathbb{T}}^{2n}, T_{J=\mathbb{T}}^{2n})\). In subsection 3.1, we define a class of holomorphic vector bundles

\[ E_{(r,A,r',\mathcal{U},p,q)} \rightarrow T_{J=\mathbb{T}}^{2n}, \]

and construct the DG-category

\[ DG_{T_{J=\mathbb{T}}^{2n}} \]

consisting of these holomorphic vector bundles \(E_{(r,A,r',\mathcal{U},p,q)}\). In particular, we first construct \(E_{(r,A,r',\mathcal{U},p,q)}\) as a complex vector bundle, and then discuss when it becomes a holomorphic vector bundle later in Proposition 3.1. In subsection 3.2, we study the isomorphism classes of holomorphic vector bundles \(E_{(r,A,r',\mathcal{U},p,q)}\) by using the classification result of simple projectively flat bundles on complex tori by Matsushima [14] and Mukai [15].

3.1 The definition of \(E_{(r,A,r',\mathcal{U},p,q)}\)

We assume \(r \in \mathbb{N}, A = (a_{ij}) \in M(n;\mathbb{Z})\), and \(p, q \in \mathbb{R}^n\). First, we define \(r' \in \mathbb{N}\) by using a given pair \((r,A) \in \mathbb{N} \times M(n;\mathbb{Z})\) as follows. By the theory of elementary divisors, there exist two matrices \(A, B \in GL(n;\mathbb{Z})\) such that

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\tilde{a}_1 & & \\
& \ddots & \\
& & \tilde{a}_s \\
0 & & \\
& & & \ddots \\
& & & & 0
\end{pmatrix},
\]

where \(\tilde{a}_i \in \mathbb{N} (i = 1, \ldots, s, 1 \leq s \leq n)\) and \(\tilde{a}_i | a_{i+1} (i = 1, \ldots, s - 1)\). Then, we define \(r'_i \in \mathbb{N}\) and \(a'_i \in \mathbb{Z} (i = 1, \ldots, s)\) by

\[
\tilde{a}_i = \frac{a'_i}{r'_i}, \quad \text{gcd}(r'_i, a'_i) = 1,
\]

where \(\text{gcd}(m,n) > 0\) denotes the greatest common divisor of \(m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\). By using these, we set

\[
r' := r'_1 \cdots r'_s \in \mathbb{N}.
\]
Let \( x_j + 2\pi, y_k \rightarrow y_k + 2\pi \) are given by \( e^{\frac{a_j}{r}} V_j, U_k \), respectively, where \( j, k = 1, \ldots, n \). Let
\[
\psi_{l_1, \ldots, l_n}^{(1, \ldots, m)} : O_{l_1, \ldots, l_n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}', \quad l_j, m_k = 1, 2, 3
\]
be a smooth section of \( E_{(r, A, r', l, p, q)} \). The transition functions of \( E_{(r, A, r', l, p, q)} \) are non-trivial on
\[
O_{l_1, \ldots, l_n} \cap O_{l_1, \ldots, l_n} \cap O_{l_1, \ldots, l_n} \cap O_{l_1, \ldots, l_n} \cap O_{l_1, \ldots, l_n},
\]
and otherwise are trivial. We define the transition function on \( O_{l_1, \ldots, l_n} \cap O_{l_1, \ldots, l_n} \) by
\[
\psi_{l_1, \ldots, l_n}^{(1, \ldots, m)} \left| O_{l_1, \ldots, l_n} \cap O_{l_1, \ldots, l_n} \right.
= e^{\frac{a_j}{r}} V_j \psi_{l_1, \ldots, l_n}^{(1, \ldots, m)} \left| O_{l_1, \ldots, l_n} \cap O_{l_1, \ldots, l_n} \right.
\]
where \( a_j := (a_{jj}, \ldots, a_{jn}) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \) and \( V_j \in U(r') \). Similarly, we define the transition function on \( O_{l_1, \ldots, l_n} \cap O_{l_1, \ldots, l_n} \) by
\[

where \( U_k \in U(r') \). In the definitions of these transition functions, actually, we only treat \( V_j, U_k \in U(r') \) which satisfy the cocycle condition, so we explain the cocycle condition below. When we define
\[
\psi_{l_1, \ldots, l_n}^{(1, \ldots, m)} \left| O_{l_1, \ldots, l_n} \cap O_{l_1, \ldots, l_n} \right.
= U_k \psi_{l_1, \ldots, l_n}^{(1, \ldots, m)} \left| O_{l_1, \ldots, l_n} \cap O_{l_1, \ldots, l_n} \right.
= \left( U_k \right) \left( e^{\frac{a_j}{r}} V_j \right) \psi_{l_1, \ldots, l_n}^{(1, \ldots, m)} \left| O_{l_1, \ldots, l_n} \cap O_{l_1, \ldots, l_n} \right.
\]
the cocycle condition is expressed as
\[
V_j V_k = V_k V_j, \quad U_j U_k = U_k U_j, \quad \zeta^{-a_k} U_k V_j = V_j U_k,
\]
where \( \zeta \) is the \( r \)-th root of 1 and \( j, k = 1, \ldots, n \). We define a set \( \mathcal{U} \) of unitary matrices by
\[
\mathcal{U} := \left\{ V_j, U_k \in U(r') \mid V_j V_k = V_k V_j, \quad U_j U_k = U_k U_j, \quad \zeta^{-a_k} U_k V_j = V_j U_k, \quad j, k = 1, \ldots, n \right\}.
\]
Of course, how to define the set $\mathcal{U}$ relates closely to (in)decomposability of $E_{(r,A,r',\mathcal{U},p,q)}$. Here, we only treat the set $\mathcal{U}$ such that $E_{(r,A,r',\mathcal{U},p,q)}$ is simple. Actually, we can take such a set $\mathcal{U} \neq \emptyset$ for any $(r,A,r') \in \mathbb{N} \times M(n;\mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{N}$, and this fact is discussed in Proposition 3.2. Furthermore, we define a connection $\nabla_{(r,A,r',\mathcal{U},p,q)}$ on $E_{(r,A,r',\mathcal{U},p,q)}$ locally as

$$\nabla_{(r,A,r',\mathcal{U},p,q)} := d - \frac{i}{2\pi} \left( \left( \frac{1}{r} p^t A^t + \frac{1}{r} q^t T \right) \ dy \cdot I_{r'} \right),$$

where $dy := (dy_1, \ldots, dy_n)^t$ and $d$ denotes the exterior derivative. In fact, $\nabla_{(r,A,r',\mathcal{U},p,q)}$ is compatible with the transition functions and so defines a global connection. Then, its curvature form $\Omega_{(r,A,r',\mathcal{U},p,q)}$ is expressed locally as

$$\Omega_{(r,A,r',\mathcal{U},p,q)} = -\frac{i}{2\pi r} dx^t A^t dy \cdot I_{r'},$$

where $dx := (dx_1, \ldots, dx_n)^t$. In particular, this local expression (4) implies that holomorphic vector bundles $E_{(r,A,r',\mathcal{U},p,q)}$ are simple projectively flat bundles (for example, the definition of projectively flat bundles is written in [10]). Moreover, the interpretation of these simple projectively flat bundles $E_{(r,A,r',\mathcal{U},p,q)}$ by using the factors of automorphy is given in section 3 of [8]. Now, we consider the condition such that $E_{(r,A,r',\mathcal{U},p,q)}$ is holomorphic. We see that the following proposition holds.

**Proposition 3.1.** For a given quadruple $(r,A,p,q) \in \mathbb{N} \times M(n;\mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$, the complex vector bundle $E_{(r,A,r',\mathcal{U},p,q)} \to T^2_{\mathbb{Z}^2}$ is holomorphic if and only if $AT = (AT)^t$ holds.

**Proof.** In general, a complex vector bundle is holomorphic if and only if the $(0,2)$-part of its curvature form vanishes, so we calculate the $(0,2)$-part of $\Omega_{(r,A,\mu,\mathcal{U})}$. It turns out to be

$$\Omega_{(r,A,r',\mathcal{U},p,q)}^{(0,2)} = \frac{i}{2\pi r} d\tilde{z}^t \{ T(T-T)^{-1} \}^t A^t (T-T)^{-1} d\tilde{z} \cdot I_{r'},$$

where $d\tilde{z} := (d\tilde{z}_1, \ldots, d\tilde{z}_n)^t$. Thus, $\Omega_{(r,A,r',\mathcal{U},p,q)}^{(0,2)} = 0$ is equivalent to that $\{ T(T-T)^{-1} \}^t A^t (T-T)^{-1}$ is a symmetric matrix, i.e., $AT = (AT)^t$. \hfill \Box

Considering the above discussions, here, we mention the simplicity of holomorphic vector bundles $E_{(r,A,r',\mathcal{U},p,q)}$. In general, the following proposition holds.

**Proposition 3.2.** For each quadruple $(r,A,p,q) \in \mathbb{N} \times M(n;\mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$, we can take a set $\mathcal{U} \neq \emptyset$ such that $E_{(r,A,r',\mathcal{U},p,q)}$ is simple.

**Proof.** For a given pair $(r,A) \in \mathbb{N} \times M(n;\mathbb{Z})$, we can take two matrices $A, B \in GL(n;\mathbb{Z})$ which satisfy the relation (2). Then, note that $r' := r'_1 \cdots r'_s \in \mathbb{N}$ is uniquely defined in the sense of the relation (3). We fix such matrices $A, B \in GL(n;\mathbb{Z})$, and set

$$T' := B^{-1} T A^t.$$
By using this $T'$, we can consider the complex torus $T_{j=T}^{2n} = \mathbb{C}^n / 2\pi(Z^n \oplus T'Z^n)$, and we locally express the complex coordinates $Z := (Z_1, \cdots, Z_n)^t$ of $T_{j=T}^{2n}$, by $Z = X + T'Y$, where $X := (X_1, \cdots, X_n)^t$, $Y := (Y_1, \cdots, Y_n)^t$. In particular, the complex torus $T_{j=T}^{2n}$ is biholomorphic to the complex torus $T_{j=T}^{2n}$, and the biholomorphic map

$$\varphi : T_{j=T}^{2n} \cong T_{j=T}^{2n},$$

is actually given by

$$\varphi(z) = B^{-1}z.$$

Furthermore, when we regard the complex manifolds $T_{j=T}^{2n}$ and $T_{j=T}^{2n}$ as the real differentiable manifolds $\mathbb{R}^{2n} / 2\pi\mathbb{Z}^{2n}$, the biholomorphic map $\varphi$ is regarded as the diffeomorphism

$$\varphi \left( \begin{array}{c} x \\ y \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{cc} B^{-1} & O \\ O & (A^{-1})^t \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} x \\ y \end{array} \right).$$

Now, we define a set $U' \neq \emptyset$ as follows. First, we set

$$\tilde{V}_i := \left( \begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots \end{array} \right) \in U(r'_i), \quad \tilde{U}_i := \left( \begin{array}{cccc} 1 & \cdots & \cdots & \zeta_i \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \zeta_i & \cdots & \cdots & \zeta_i \end{array} \right) \in U(r'_j),$$

where $\zeta_i := e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r_i}}$, $i = 1, \cdots, s$. By using these matrices $\tilde{V}_i, \tilde{U}_i$, we define

$$V'_i := e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r'_1}}Y_1 \tilde{V}_1 \otimes I_{r'_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{r'_s} \in U(r'),$$

$$V'_2 := I_{r'_1} \otimes e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r'_2}}Y_2 \tilde{V}_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{r'_s} \in U(r'),$$

$$\vdots$$

$$V'_s := I_{r'_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{r'_{s-1}} \otimes e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r'_s}}Y_s \tilde{V}_s \in U(r'),$$

$$V'_{s+1} := I_{r'_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{r'_1} \in U(r'),$$

$$\vdots$$

$$V'_n := I_{r'_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{r'_s} \in U(r'),$$

$$U'_1 := \tilde{U}_1^{-a'_1} \otimes I_{r'_2} \cdots \otimes I_{r'_s} \in U(r'),$$

$$U'_2 := I_{r'_1} \otimes \tilde{U}_2^{-a'_2} \cdots \otimes I_{r'_s} \in U(r'),$$

$$\vdots$$

$$U'_s := I_{r'_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{r'_s} \otimes \tilde{U}_s^{-a'_s} \in U(r'),$$

$$U'_{s+1} := I_{r'_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{r'_s} \in U(r'),$$

$$\vdots$$

$$U'_n := I_{r'_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{r'_s} \in U(r').$$
and set
\[ U' := \left\{ V'_1, V'_2, \ldots, V'_s, V'_{s+1}, \ldots, V'_n, U'_1, U'_2, \ldots, U'_r, U'_{s+1}, \ldots, U'_n \in U(r') \right\} \neq \emptyset. \]

Then, we can construct the holomorphic vector bundle
\[ E_{(r, A, B, r', U', A, B', q)} \rightarrow T\mathcal{J}_{j=T}, \]
and in particular, \( V'_j \) and \( U'_k \) are used in the definition of the transition functions of \( E_{(r, A, B, r', U', A, B', q)} \) in the \( X_j \) and \( Y_k \) directions, respectively (\( j, k = 1, \ldots, n \)). For this holomorphic vector bundle \( E_{(r, A, B, r', U', A, B', q)} \), we can consider the pullback bundle \( \varphi^* E_{(r, A, B, r', U', A, B', q)} \) by the biholomorphic map \( \varphi \), and we can regard the pullback bundle \( \varphi^* E_{(r, A, B, r', U', A, B', q)} \) as the holomorphic vector bundle
\[ E_{(r, A, r', U, p, q)} \rightarrow T\mathcal{J}_{j=T} \]
by using a suitable set \( \mathcal{U} \) which is defined by employing the data \((U', A, B)\). In particular, since we can check \( \mathcal{U} \neq \emptyset \) easily, in order to prove the statement of this proposition, it is enough to prove that \( E_{(r, A, B, r', U', A, B', q)} \) is simple.

Let \( \varphi^{r'_1 \cdots r'_s} \) be an element in \( \text{End}(E_{(r, A, B, r', U', A, B', q)}) \). Since the rank of \( E_{(r, A, B, r', U', A, B', q)} \) is \( r' = r'_1 \cdots r'_s \), we can treat \( \varphi^{r'_1 \cdots r'_s} \) as a matrix of order \( r' \). Then, we can divide \( \varphi^{r'_1 \cdots r'_s} \) as follows.
\[
\varphi^{r'_1 \cdots r'_s} = \begin{pmatrix}
\varphi^{r'_1 \cdots r'_s}_{11} & \cdots & \varphi^{r'_1 \cdots r'_s}_{1 r'_1} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\varphi^{r'_1 \cdots r'_s}_{r'_1 1} & \cdots & \varphi^{r'_1 \cdots r'_s}_{r'_1 r'_1}
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
\varphi^{r'_1 \cdots r'_s}_{k_1 l_1} & \cdots & \varphi^{r'_1 \cdots r'_s}_{k_1 l_{r'_1}} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\varphi^{r'_1 \cdots r'_s}_{k_{r'_1} l_1} & \cdots & \varphi^{r'_1 \cdots r'_s}_{k_{r'_1} l_{r'_1}}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Here, each \( \varphi^{r'_1 \cdots r'_s}_{k_{i_1} l_{j}} \) is a matrix of order \( r'_2 \cdots r'_s \). Similarly, we can divide each \( \varphi^{r'_1 \cdots r'_s}_{k_{i_1} l_{j}} \) as follows.
\[
\varphi^{r'_2 \cdots r'_s}_{k_{i_1} l_{j_1}} = \begin{pmatrix}
\varphi^{r'_2 \cdots r'_s}_{k_{i_1} l_{j_1}}^{r'_1 \cdots r'_s} & \cdots & \varphi^{r'_2 \cdots r'_s}_{k_{i_1} l_{j_1}}^{r'_1 \cdots r'_s} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\varphi^{r'_2 \cdots r'_s}_{k_{i_1} l_{j_1}}^{r'_1 \cdots r'_s} & \cdots & \varphi^{r'_2 \cdots r'_s}_{k_{i_1} l_{j_1}}^{r'_1 \cdots r'_s}
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
\varphi^{r'_2 \cdots r'_s}_{k_{i_2} l_{j_2}}^{r'_1 \cdots r'_s} & \cdots & \varphi^{r'_2 \cdots r'_s}_{k_{i_2} l_{j_{r'_2}}}^{r'_1 \cdots r'_s} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\varphi^{r'_2 \cdots r'_s}_{k_{i_2} l_{j_1}}^{r'_1 \cdots r'_s} & \cdots & \varphi^{r'_2 \cdots r'_s}_{k_{i_2} l_{j_{r'_2}}}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Here, each \( \varphi^{r'_2 \cdots r'_s}_{k_{i_2} l_{j_1}} \) is a matrix of order \( r'_3 \cdots r'_s \). By repeating the above steps, as a result, we can express \( \varphi^{r'_1 \cdots r'_s} \) as
\[
\left( \left( \left( \cdots \left( \left( \left( \varphi^{r'_2 \cdots r'_s}_{k_{i_{s-1}} l_{j_{s-2}}}^{r'_1 \cdots r'_s} \right)^{r'_s} \right) \cdots \right) \right) \right)^{r'_s} \right).
where \(1 \leq k_i, l_i \leq r_i \) (\( i = 1, \cdots, s \)). Hereafter, we consider the local expression of each component

\[
\left( \left( \cdots \left( \left( \varphi_{k_1,l_1}^{r_1} \right)_{k_2,l_2}^{r_2} \cdots \right)_{k_s-1,l_{s-1}}^{r_s} \right)_{k_{s},l_{s}} \right)
\]  

(5)

of \( \varphi_{t_1}^{r_1} \cdots \varphi_{t_s}^{r_s} \). First, for each \( k = 1, \cdots, n \), by considering the transition functions of \( E_{(\nu,AAB,r',\ell',A_p,B')} \) in the \( Y_k \) direction, we see that the morphism \( \varphi_{t_1}^{r_1} \cdots \varphi_{t_n}^{r_n} \) must satisfy

\[
U_k' \cdot \varphi_{t_1}^{r_1} \cdots \varphi_{t_n}^{r_n}(X_1, \cdots, X_n, Y_1, \cdots, Y_n) = \varphi_{t_1}^{r_1} \cdots \varphi_{t_n}^{r_n}(X_1, \cdots, X_n, Y_1, \cdots, Y_k + 2\pi, \cdots, Y_n) \cdot U_k'.
\]

(6)

Furthermore, the morphism \( \varphi_{t_1}^{r_1} \cdots \varphi_{t_n}^{r_n} \) need to satisfy not only the relation (6) but also the Cauchy-Riemann equation

\[
\bar{\partial}(\varphi_{t_1}^{r_1} \cdots \varphi_{t_n}^{r_n}) = 0.
\]

(7)

Therefore, by the relations (6) and (7), we can give a local expression of the component (5) of \( \varphi_{t_1}^{r_1} \cdots \varphi_{t_n}^{r_n} \) as follows.

\[
\sum_{l_1^{k_1}, \cdots, l_n^{k_n} \in \mathbb{Z}} \lambda_{l_1^{k_1}, \cdots, l_n^{k_n}}^{k_1, \cdots, k_n} e^{\frac{i}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left( (l_i - k_i) + \sum_{s \neq i}^{n} (l_s - k_s) + l_{s+1}^{k_s} + \cdots + l_{n}^{k_n} \right) \cdot (X \cdot T Y)}.
\]

Here,

\[
\lambda_{l_1^{k_1}, \cdots, l_n^{k_n}}^{k_1, \cdots, k_n} \in \mathbb{C}
\]

is an arbitrary constant. Finally, for each \( j = 1, \cdots, n \), we consider the conditions on the transition functions of \( E_{(\nu,AAB,r',\ell',A_p,B')} \) in the \( X_j \) direction. By a direct calculation, if \( j = 1, \cdots, s \), we obtain

\[
\left( \cdots \left( \varphi_{k_1,l_1}^{r_1} \right)_{k_2,l_2}^{r_2} \cdots \right)_{k_{s},l_{s}}^{r_s} (X_1, \cdots, X_j + 2\pi, \cdots, X_n, Y_1, \cdots, Y_n)
\]

(8)

and if \( j = s + 1, \cdots, n \), we obtain

\[
\left( \cdots \left( \varphi_{k_1,l_1}^{r_1} \right)_{k_{s+1},l_{s+1}}^{r_{s+1}} \cdots \right)_{k_{n},l_{n}}^{r_n} (X_1, \cdots, X_n, Y_1, \cdots, Y_n)
\]

(9)

In particular, the relation (8) implies

\[
\left( \cdots \left( \varphi_{k_1,l_1}^{r_1} \right)_{k_{s},l_{s}}^{r_s} \right)_{k_{s+1},l_{s+1}}^{r_{s+1}} (X_1, \cdots, X_j + 2\pi r_j, \cdots, X_n, Y_1, \cdots, Y_n)
\]

(10)
and by using the relations (9) and (10), we have the condition
\[
\left( \frac{a_1'}{r_1'} (l_1 - k_1) + I_{k_1,l_1}, \cdots, \frac{a_{r_1}'}{r_{r_1}'} (l_{r_1} - k_{r_1}) + I_{k_{r_1},l_{r_1}}, I^{s+1}, \cdots, I^n \right) T^{r-1} \\
\in \mathbb{Z} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z} \times \cdots \mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{R}^n.
\]
(11)

Now, since \( \text{Im} T^{r-1} \) is positive definite, the condition (11) turns out to be
\[
\left( \frac{a_1'}{r_1'} (l_1 - k_1) + I_{k_1,l_1}, \cdots, \frac{a_{r_1}'}{r_{r_1}'} (l_{r_1} - k_{r_1}) + I_{k_{r_1},l_{r_1}}, I^{s+1}, \cdots, I^n \right) = 0.
\]
(12)

Here, recall the relation (8). By the relation (8), it is enough to consider the condition (12) in the case \( k_1 = \cdots = k_{r_1} = 1 \). We focus on the first component in the condition (12), i.e.,
\[
\frac{a_1'}{r_1'} (l_1 - 1) + I_{k_1,l_1} = 0.
\]
(13)

In the case \( l_1 = 1 \), the condition (13) turns out to be \( I_{k_1,l_1} = 0 \), so by the relation (8), we see
\[
\varphi_{11}^{r_2' \cdots r_{r_1}'} = \varphi_{22}^{r_2' \cdots r_{r_1}'} = \cdots = \varphi_{r_1' l_1'}^{r_2' \cdots r_{r_1}'}, \quad \text{Given in } \mathbb{M}(r_2' \cdots r_{r_1}'; \mathbb{C}).
\]

We consider the cases \( l_1 = 2, \cdots, r_1' \). Note that \( \text{gcd}(r_1', a_1') = 1 \) holds by the assumption. Therefore, we have
\[
l_1 - 1 \in r_1' \mathbb{Z}
\]
by the condition (13). However, this fact contradicts the assumption \( l_1 = 2, \cdots, r_1' \). Thus, for each \( l_1 = 2, \cdots, r_1' \), we obtain
\[
\varphi_{11}^{r_2' \cdots r_{r_1}'} = 0,
\]
and by using the relation (8) again, we also obtain
\[
\varphi_{22}^{r_2' \cdots r_{r_1}'} = \varphi_{33}^{r_2' \cdots r_{r_1}'} = \cdots = \varphi_{r_1' l_1'}^{r_2' \cdots r_{r_1}'} = 0.
\]

Similarly, by focusing on the second component in the condition (12), we see
\[
\left( \phi_{11}^{r_2' \cdots r_{r_1}'} \right)_{11} = \left( \phi_{11}^{r_2' \cdots r_{r_1}'} \right)_{22} = \cdots = \left( \phi_{11}^{r_2' \cdots r_{r_1}'} \right)_{r_1' r_2} \in \mathbb{M}(r_3' \cdots r_{r_1}'; \mathbb{C}),
\]
and the other components \( \left( \phi_{11}^{r_2' \cdots r_{r_1}'} \right)_{k_2 l_2} \) of the matrix \( \phi_{11}^{r_2' \cdots r_{r_1}'} \) vanish. By repeating the above discussions, as a result, we have
\[
\varphi^{r_1' \cdots r_{r_1}'} = \left( \cdots \left( \left( \phi_{11}^{r_2' \cdots r_{r_1}'} \right)_{11} \right)_{11} \cdots \right)_{11} \cdot I_{r_1'},
\]
We decompose $\nabla DG$ together with the wedge product for the anti-holomorphic differential forms. The structure is defined by the composition of homomorphisms of vector bundles. We can check that this linear map is a differential. Furthermore, the product $\Hom DG r A r A r' r' V r A r A r' r' U$, also written in section 2 of [8]. The objects of $(E, A, B, r, A, r', B, s, s, 0)$ is the space of homomorphisms from $(E, A, B, r, A, r', B, s, s, 0)$ to the higher dimensional case in [7] (see section 3), and it is also written in section 2 of [8]. The objects of $DG_{T^2_{J=0}}$ are holomorphic vector bundles $E_{(r, A, r', U, p, q)}$ with $U(r)$-connections $\nabla_{(r, A, r', U, p, q)}$. Of course, we assume $AT = (AT)^t$. Sometimes we simply denote $(E, A, B, r, A, r', B, s, s, 0)$, $E_{(r, A, r, A, r', B, s, s, 0)}$.

For any two objects
\[
E_{(r, A, r', U, p, q)} = (E_{(r, A, r', U, p, q)}, \nabla_{(r, A, r', U, p, q)}),
\]
\[
E_{(s, B, s', V, u, v)} = (E_{(s, B, s', V, u, v)}, \nabla_{(s, B, s', V, u, v)}),
\]
the space of morphisms is defined by
\[
\Hom_{DG_{T^2_{J=0}}} (E_{(r, A, r', U, p, q)}, E_{(s, B, s', V, u, v)}) := \Gamma(E_{(r, A, r', U, p, q)}, E_{(s, B, s', V, u, v)}) \otimes \Omega^{n-p}(T^2_{J=0}),
\]
where $\Omega^{n-p}(T^2_{J=0})$ is the space of anti-holomorphic differential forms, and
\[
\Gamma(E_{(r, A, r', U, p, q)}, E_{(s, B, s', V, u, v)})
\]
is the space of homomorphisms from $E_{(r, A, r', U, p, q)}$ to $E_{(s, B, s', V, u, v)}$. The space of morphisms $\Hom_{DG_{T^2_{J=0}}} (E_{(r, A, r', U, p, q)}, E_{(s, B, s', V, u, v)})$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded vector space, where the grading is defined as the degree of the anti-holomorphic differential forms. In particular, the degree $r$ part is denoted
\[
\Hom^r_{DG_{T^2_{J=0}}} (E_{(r, A, r', U, p, q)}, E_{(s, B, s', V, u, v)}).
\]
We decompose $\nabla_{(r, A, r', U, p, q)}$ into its holomorphic part and anti-holomorphic part
\[
\nabla_{(r, A, r', U, p, q)} = \nabla^{(1,0)}_{(r, A, r', U, p, q)} + \nabla^{(0,1)}_{(r, A, r', U, p, q)},
\]
and define a linear map
\[
\Hom^r_{DG_{T^2_{J=0}}} (E_{(r, A, r', U, p, q)}, E_{(s, B, s', V, u, v)}) \to \Hom^{r+1}_{DG_{T^2_{J=0}}} (E_{(r, A, r', U, p, q)}, E_{(s, B, s', V, u, v)})
\]
by
\[
\psi \mapsto (2\nabla^{(0,1)}_{(s, B, s', V, u, v)})(\psi) - (-1)^r (2\nabla^{(0,1)}_{(r, A, r', U, p, q)})(\psi).
\]
We can check that this linear map is a differential. Furthermore, the product structure is defined by the composition of homomorphisms of vector bundles together with the wedge product for the anti-holomorphic differential forms. Then, these differential and product structure satisfy the Leibniz rule. Thus, $DG_{T^2_{J=0}}$ forms a DG-category.
3.2 The isomorphism classes of $E_{(r,A,r',\mathcal{U},p,q)}$

In this subsection, we fix $E$ and consider the condition such that $E_{(r,A,r',\mathcal{U},p,q)} \cong E_{(r,A,r',\mathcal{U}',p',q')}$ holds. Here,

$$p, q, p', q' \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

and

$$\mathcal{U} := \left\{ V_j, U_k \in U(r') \mid V_j V_k = V_k V_j, U_j U_k = U_k U_j, \zeta^{-a_{kj}} U_k V_j = V_j U_k, \right. \left. j, k = 1, \ldots, n \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{U}' := \left\{ V_j', U_k' \in U(r') \mid V_j' V_k' = V_k' V_j', U_j' U_k' = U_k' U_j', \zeta^{-a_{kj}} U_k' V_j' = V_j' U_k', \right. \left. j, k = 1, \ldots, n \right\}.$$  

Furthermore, for each $j = 1, \ldots, n$, we define $\xi_j, \theta_j, \xi_j', \theta_j' \in \mathbb{R}$ by

$$e^{i\xi_j} = \det V_j, e^{i\theta_j} = \det U_j, e^{i\xi'_j} = \det V'_j, e^{i\theta'_j} = \det U'_j,$$

and set

$$\xi := (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n)^t, \theta := (\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n)^t, \xi' := (\xi'_1, \ldots, \xi'_n)^t, \theta' := (\theta'_1, \ldots, \theta'_n)^t \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{14}$$

Now, in order to consider the condition such that $E_{(r,A,r',\mathcal{U},p,q)} \cong E_{(r,A,r',\mathcal{U}',p',q')}$ holds, we recall the following classification result of simple projectively flat bundles on complex tori by Matsushima and Mukai (see [14, Theorem 6.1], [15, Proposition 6.17 (1)], and note that the notion of semi-homogeneous vector bundles in [15] is equivalent to the notion of projectively flat bundles).

**Theorem 3.3** (Matsushima [14], Mukai [15]). *For two simple projectively flat bundles $E, E'$ over a complex torus $\mathbb{C}^n / \Gamma$ ($\Gamma \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is a lattice) which satisfy $(\text{rank} E, c_1(E)) = (\text{rank} E', c_1(E'))$, there exists a line bundle $L \in \text{Pic}^0(\mathbb{C}^n / \Gamma)$ such that $E' \cong E \otimes L$.***

Furthermore, since we are going to use in the proof of Theorem 3.4 (this theorem gives the condition such that $E_{(r,A,r',\mathcal{U},p,q)} \cong E_{(r,A,r',\mathcal{U}',p',q')}$ holds), we again take the biholomorphic map

$$\varphi : T_{r,A,\mathcal{U}}^{2n} \xrightarrow{\sim} T_{r,A,\mathcal{U}'}^{2n},$$

in the proof of Proposition 3.2. Of course, we can also regard this biholomorphic map $\varphi$ as the diffeomorphism $\mathbb{R}^{2n}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}^{2n} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{R}^{2n}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}^{2n}$ which is expressed locally as

$$\varphi \left( \begin{array}{c} x \\ y \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} B^{-1} \\ O \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} (A^{-1})^t \\ 0 \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} x \\ y \end{array} \right).$$

Then, the following theorem holds.
Theorem 3.4. Two holomorphic vector bundles $E_{(r,A,r',\mathcal{U},p,q)}$, $E_{(r,A,r',\mathcal{U}',p',q')}$ are isomorphic to each other,

$$E_{(r,A,r',\mathcal{U},p,q)} \cong E_{(r,A,r',\mathcal{U}',p',q')}$$

if and only if

$$(p + T^t q) - (p' + T^t q') \equiv \frac{r}{r'} (\theta - \theta') + T^t \frac{r}{r'} (\xi' - \xi) \pmod{2 \pi r (A^{-1})} \otimes T^t (B^{-1})^t \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}$$

holds.

Proof. First, we consider the condition such that

$$(\varphi^{-1})^* E_{(r,A,r',\mathcal{U},p,q)} \cong (\varphi^{-1})^* E_{(r,A,r',\mathcal{U}',p',q')}$$

holds. Now, by using the suitable sets $\tilde{U}$ and $\tilde{U}'$ (the definitions of $\tilde{U}$ and $\tilde{U}'$ depend on the data $(\mathcal{U}, A, B)$ and $(\mathcal{U}', A, B)$, respectively), we can regard $(\varphi^{-1})^* E_{(r,A,r',\mathcal{U},p,q)}$ and $(\varphi^{-1})^* E_{(r,A,r',\mathcal{U}',p',q')}$ as $E_{(r,\tilde{A},r,\tilde{U},\tilde{p},\tilde{q})}$ and $E_{(r,\tilde{A},r',\tilde{U}',\tilde{p}',\tilde{q}')}$, respectively, where

$$\tilde{A} := AAB = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{a}_1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \tilde{a}_s \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{p} := Ap, \quad \tilde{q} := B'q, \quad \tilde{p}' := Ap', \quad \tilde{q}' := B'q'.$$

Then, constant vectors $\xi$, $\theta$, $\xi'$, $\theta'$ are in $\mathbb{R}^n$ are also transformed to constant vectors

$$\tilde{\xi} := B'\xi, \quad \tilde{\theta} := A\theta, \quad \tilde{\xi}' := B'\xi', \quad \tilde{\theta}' := A\theta' \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

respectively. For these holomorphic vector bundles $E_{(r,\tilde{A},r,\tilde{U},\tilde{p},\tilde{q})}$ and $E_{(r,\tilde{A},r',\tilde{U}',\tilde{p}',\tilde{q}')}$, by Theorem 3.3, we see that there exists a holomorphic line bundle $E_{(1,0,1,V,u,v)}$ such that

$$E_{(r,\tilde{A},r,\tilde{U},\tilde{p},\tilde{q})} \cong E_{(r,\tilde{A},r',\tilde{U}',\tilde{p}',\tilde{q}')} \otimes E_{(1,0,1,V,u,v)}.$$

Here,

$$V := \left\{ e^{i\tau_1}, \ldots, e^{i\tau_n}, e^{i\sigma_1}, \ldots, e^{i\sigma_n} \in U(1) \right\}, \quad u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

and for simplicity, we set

$$\tau := (\tau_1, \cdots, \tau_n)^t, \quad \sigma := (\sigma_1, \cdots, \sigma_n)^t \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$
In particular, for each $j = 1, \ldots, n$, we assume that $e^{ir_j}$ and $e^{is_j}$ are the transition function of $E_{(1,0,1,v,u,v)}$ in the $x_j$ direction and the transition function of $E_{(1,0,1,v,u,v)}$ in the $y_j$ direction, respectively. Therefore, since

$$E_{(r, A, r', \tilde{A}, \tilde{r}, \tilde{q})} \equiv E_{(r, A, r', \tilde{A}, \tilde{r}, \tilde{q})}$$

(15)

holds if and only if

$$E_{(r, A, r', \tilde{A}, \tilde{r}, \tilde{q})} \equiv E_{(r, A, r', \tilde{A}, \tilde{r}, \tilde{q})} \otimes E_{(1,0,1,v,u,v)}$$

(16)

holds, our first goal is to find the relation on the parameters $u, v, \tau, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that the relation (16) holds. By using Theorem 3.3 again, we see that there exists a holomorphic line bundle $E_{(1,0,1,v',u',v')}$ such that

$$E_{(r, A, r', \tilde{A}, \tilde{r}, \tilde{q})} \equiv E_{(r, A, r', \tilde{A}, \tilde{r}, \tilde{q})} \otimes E_{(1,0,1,v',u',v')},$$

where

$$\mathcal{U}_0 := \{V_1', \cdots, V_n', U_1', \cdots, U_n' \in U(r')\}, \quad p_0, q_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$  

Here, note that the definitions of $V_1', \cdots, V_n', U_1', \cdots, U_n' \in U(r')$ are given in the proof of Proposition 3.2. Thus, since we can rewrite the relation (16) to

$$E_{(r, A, r', \tilde{A}, \tilde{r}, \tilde{q})} \otimes E_{(1,0,1,v',u',v')} \equiv \left(E_{(r, A, r', \tilde{A}, \tilde{r}, \tilde{q})} \otimes E_{(1,0,1,v',u',v')}\right) \otimes E_{(1,0,1,v,u,v)},$$

as a result, it is enough to consider the condition such that

$$E_{(r, A, r', \tilde{A}, \tilde{r}, \tilde{q})} \equiv E_{(r, A, r', \tilde{A}, \tilde{r}, \tilde{q})} \otimes E_{(1,0,1,v,u,v)}$$

holds. By a direct calculation, we can actually check that

$$E_{(r, A, r', \tilde{A}, \tilde{r}, \tilde{q})} \equiv E_{(r, A, r', \tilde{A}, \tilde{r}, \tilde{q})} \otimes E_{(1,0,1,v,u,v)}$$

holds if and only if

$$u + T^t v \equiv \sigma - T^t \tau \pmod{2\pi}$$

(17)

holds, and in particular, we can regard the relation (17) as

$$(\tilde{p} + T^t \tilde{q}) - ((\tilde{p} + T^t \tilde{q}) + r(u + T^t v)) \equiv \frac{r}{r'}(\tilde{\theta} - (\tilde{\theta} + r' \sigma)) + T^t \frac{r}{r'}((\tilde{\xi} + r' \tau) - \tilde{\xi})$$

(18)

$$(\mod 2\pi r) \left(\begin{array}{c}
\frac{z}{r'} \\
\vdots \\
\frac{z}{r'}
\end{array}\right) \oplus T^t \left(\begin{array}{c}
\frac{z}{r'} \\
\vdots \\
\frac{z}{r'}
\end{array}\right)$$

(19)
Hence, we see that the relation (16) holds if and only if the relation (18) holds. Here, note that there exists an isomorphism
\[
\det E_{(r,A,r',\tilde{A},\tilde{B},\tilde{q})} \cong \det \left( E_{(r,A,r',\tilde{A},\tilde{B},\tilde{q})} \otimes E_{(1,O,1,V,u,v)} \right)
\]
with
\[
(\tilde{p} + T^n \tilde{q}) - \left\{ (\tilde{p} + T^n \tilde{q}) + r(u + T^n v) \right\} \equiv \frac{r}{\rho} \left\{ \tilde{\theta} - (\tilde{\theta}' + r') \right\} + T^n \frac{r}{\rho'} \left\{ (\tilde{\xi} + r' \tau) - \tilde{\xi} \right\} \quad \left( \text{mod} \, \frac{r}{\rho'} 2\pi (\mathbb{Z}^n \oplus T^n \mathbb{Z}^n) \right).
\]
Now, we consider the condition such that the relation (15) holds. As a necessary condition for the relation (15),
\[
\det E_{(r,A,r',\tilde{A},\tilde{B},\tilde{q})} \cong \det E_{(r,A,r',\tilde{A},\tilde{B},\tilde{q}')} \quad \text{(19)}
\]
need to hold, and by a direct calculation, we can rewrite the relation (19) to the following.
\[
(\tilde{p} + T^n \tilde{q}) - (\tilde{p}' + T^n \tilde{q}') \equiv \frac{r}{\rho'} (\tilde{\theta} - \tilde{\theta}') + T^n \frac{r}{\rho'} (\tilde{\xi}' - \tilde{\xi}) \quad \left( \text{mod} \, \frac{r}{\rho'} 2\pi (\mathbb{Z}^n \oplus T^n \mathbb{Z}^n) \right) \quad \text{(20)}
\]
Therefore, since the definition of \( E_{(1,O,1,V,u,v)} \) is given by
\[
E_{(r,A,r',\tilde{A},\tilde{B},\tilde{q})} \cong E_{(r,A,r',\tilde{A},\tilde{B},\tilde{q})} \otimes E_{(1,O,1,V,u,v)},
\]
and the relations (16) and (18) are equivalent, by considering the relation (20), we can give the condition such that the relation (15) holds as follows.
\[
(\tilde{p} + T^n \tilde{q}) - (\tilde{p}' + T^n \tilde{q}') \equiv \frac{r}{\rho'} (\tilde{\theta} - \tilde{\theta}') + T^n \frac{r}{\rho'} (\tilde{\xi}' - \tilde{\xi}) \quad \left( \text{mod} \, \frac{2\pi r}{\rho'}(\mathbb{Z} \oplus T^n \mathbb{Z}) \right).
\]
Thus, by considering the pullback bundles \( \phi^* E_{(r,A,r',\tilde{A},\tilde{B},\tilde{q})} \) and \( \phi^* E_{(r,A,r',\tilde{A},\tilde{B},\tilde{q}')} \), we can conclude that
\[
E_{(r,A,r',\tilde{A},\tilde{B},\tilde{q})} \cong E_{(r,A,r',\tilde{A},\tilde{B},\tilde{q}')} \quad \text{holds if and only if}
\]
\[
(p + T^q) - (p' + T^{q'}) \equiv \frac{r}{\rho'} (\theta - \theta') + T^q \frac{r}{\rho'} (\xi' - \xi) \quad \left( \text{mod} \, 2\pi r (\mathbb{Z} \oplus T^n (B^{-1})^t) \right)
\]
holds. \( \Box \)
Remark 3.5. When we work over a pair \((T^2_{\mathcal{J}} = T, \hat{T}^2_{\mathcal{J}} = T)\) of elliptic curves, Theorem 3.4 implies that there exists a one-to-one correspondence between the set of the isomorphism classes of holomorphic vector bundles \(E_{(r, A, r', \mathcal{U}, p, q)}\) and the set of the isomorphism classes of holomorphic line bundles \(\det E_{(r, A, r', \mathcal{U}, p, q)}\).

4 Symplectic geometry side

In this section, we define the objects of the Fukaya category \(Fuk(\hat{T}^2_{\mathcal{J}} = T)\) corresponding to holomorphic vector bundles \(E_{(r, A, r', \mathcal{U}, p, q)} \rightarrow T^2_{\mathcal{J}} = T\), and study the isomorphism classes of them. The discussions in this section are based on the SYZ construction [19] (see also [13], [2]). Note that objects of the Fukaya category are pairs of Lagrangian submanifolds and unitary local systems on them.

4.1 The definition of \(L_{(r, A, p, q)}\)

In this subsection, we define a class of pairs of affine Lagrangian submanifolds

\[ L_{(r, A, p)} \]

in \(\hat{T}^2_{\mathcal{J} = T}\) and unitary local systems

\[ L_{(r, A, p, q)} \rightarrow L_{(r, A, p)}. \]

First, let us consider the following \(n\)-dimensional submanifold \(\hat{L}_{(r, A, p)}\) in \(\mathbb{R}^{2n}\).

\[ \hat{L}_{(r, A, p)} := \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{c} \hat{x} \\ \hat{y} \end{array} \right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \mid \hat{y} = \frac{1}{r} A \hat{x} + \frac{1}{r} p \right\}. \]

We see that this \(n\)-dimensional submanifold \(\hat{L}_{(r, A, p)}\) becomes a Lagrangian submanifold in \(\mathbb{R}^{2n}\) if and only if \(\omega A = (\omega A)^t\) holds. Then, for the covering map \(\pi : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \hat{T}^2_{\mathcal{J} = T}\),

\[ L_{(r, A, p)} := \pi(\hat{L}_{(r, A, p)}) \]

defines a Lagrangian submanifold in \(\hat{T}^2_{\mathcal{J} = T}\). On the other hand, we can also regard the complexified symplectic torus \(\hat{T}^2_{\mathcal{J} = T}\) as the trivial special Lagrangian torus fibration \(\hat{\pi} : \hat{T}^2_{\mathcal{J} = T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n / 2\pi \mathbb{Z}^n\), where \(\hat{x}\) is the local coordinates of the base space \(\mathbb{R}^n / 2\pi \mathbb{Z}^n\) and \(\hat{y}\) is the local coordinates of the fiber of \(\hat{\pi} : \hat{T}^2_{\mathcal{J} = T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n / 2\pi \mathbb{Z}^n\). Then, we can regard each affine Lagrangian submanifold \(L_{(r, A, p)}\) in \(\hat{T}^2_{\mathcal{J} = T}\) as the affine Lagrangian multi section

\[ s(\hat{x}) = \frac{1}{r} A \hat{x} + \frac{1}{r} p \]

of \(\hat{\pi} : \hat{T}^2_{\mathcal{J} = T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n / 2\pi \mathbb{Z}^n\).
Remark 4.1. As explained in section 3, although \( r' := r'_1 \cdots r'_s \in \mathbb{N} \) is the rank of \( E_{(r,A,r',U,p,q)} \rightarrow T^{2n}_{j=T} \) (see the relations (2) and (3)), in the symplectic geometry side, this \( r' \in \mathbb{N} \) is interpreted as follows. For the affine Lagrangian submanifold \( L_{(r,A,p)} \) in \( T^{2n}_{j=T} \), which is defined by a given data \( (r,A,p) \in \mathbb{N} \times M(n; \mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{R}^n \), we regard it as the affine Lagrangian multisection \( s(\tilde{x}) = \frac{1}{r} A\tilde{x} + \frac{1}{r}p \) of \( \tilde{\pi} : \tilde{T}^{2n}_{j=T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n / 2\pi \mathbb{Z}^n \). Then, for each point \( \tilde{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n / 2\pi \mathbb{Z}^n \), we see

\[
s(\tilde{x}) = \left\{ \left( \frac{1}{r} A\tilde{x} + \frac{1}{r}p + \frac{2\pi}{r} ABM_s \right) \in \tilde{\pi}^{-1}(\tilde{x}) \approx \mathbb{R}^n / 2\pi \mathbb{Z}^n \mid M_s = (m_1, \ldots, m_s, 0, \ldots, 0)^t \in \mathbb{Z}^n, \ 0 \leq m_i \leq r'_i - 1, \ i = 1, \ldots, s \right\},
\]

and this fact indicates that \( s(\tilde{x}) \) consists of \( r' \) points. Thus, we can regard \( r' \in \mathbb{N} \) as the multiplicity of \( s(\tilde{x}) = \frac{1}{r} A\tilde{x} + \frac{1}{r}p \).

Furthermore, we consider the trivial complex line bundle

\[
\mathcal{L}_{(r,A,p,q)} \rightarrow L_{(r,A,p)}
\]

with the flat connection

\[
\nabla_{\mathcal{L}_{(r,A,p,q)}} := d - \frac{1}{2\pi r} q^i d\tilde{x}.
\]

Note that \( q \in \mathbb{R}^n \) is the holonomy of \( \mathcal{L}_{(r,A,p,q)} \) along \( L_{(r,A,p)} \approx T^n \). By the definition of the Fukaya category, the relation

\[
\Omega_{\mathcal{L}_{(r,A,p,q)}} = d\tilde{x} Bdy \big|_{L_{(r,A,p)}}
\]

need to hold, where \( \Omega_{\mathcal{L}_{(r,A,p,q)}} \) is the curvature form of the flat connection \( \nabla_{\mathcal{L}_{(r,A,p,q)}} \), i.e., \( \Omega_{\mathcal{L}_{(r,A,p,q)}} = 0 \). Hence, we see

\[
d\tilde{x} Bdy \big|_{L_{(r,A,p)}} = \frac{1}{r} d\tilde{x} BAd\tilde{x} = 0,
\]

so one has \( BA = (BA)^t \). Note that \( \omega A = (\omega A)^t \) and \( BA = (BA)^t \) hold if and only if \( AT = (AT)^t \) holds. Hereafter, for simplicity, we set

\[
\mathcal{L}_{(r,A,p,q)} := (L_{(r,A,p)}, \mathcal{L}_{(r,A,p,q)}).
\]

By summarizing the above discussions, we obtain the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.2.** For a given quadruple \( (r,A,p,q) \in \mathbb{N} \times M(n; \mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \), \( \mathcal{L}_{(r,A,p,q)} \) is an object of the Fukaya category \( \text{Fuk}(T^{2n}_{j=T}) \) if and only if \( AT = (AT)^t \) holds.

Here, note that the condition \( AT = (AT)^t \) in Proposition 4.2 is also the condition such that a complex vector bundle \( E_{(r,A,r',U,p,q)} \rightarrow T^{2n}_{j=T} \) becomes a holomorphic vector bundle in Proposition 3.1.
4.2 The isomorphism classes of $\mathcal{L}_{(r,A,p,q)}$

The discussions in this subsection correspond to the discussions in subsection 3.2, so throughout this subsection, we fix $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $A \in M_n; \mathbb{Z}$, and consider the condition such that $\mathcal{L}_{(r,A,p,q)} \cong \mathcal{L}_{(r,A,p',q')}$. Let us consider the condition such that $\mathcal{L}_{(r,A,p,q)} \cong \mathcal{L}_{(r,A,p',q')}$. Here, we explain the definition of the equivalency of objects of the Fukaya categories. Let $(M,\omega)$ be a symplectic manifold. We consider two objects $L := (L, L), L' := (L', L')$ of the Fukaya category $\text{Fuk}(M, \omega)$, where $L, L'$ are Lagrangian submanifolds in $(M, \omega)$, and $\pi \rightarrow L, L' \rightarrow L'$ are unitary local systems. Then, if there exists a symplectic automorphism $\Phi : (M, \omega) \rightarrow (M, \omega)$ such that $\Phi^{-1}(L') = L$, $\Phi^* L' \cong L$, we say that $L$ is isomorphic to $L'$, and write $L \cong L'$.

Since we considered the complex torus $T^2_{j=1}$ which is biholomorphic to the complex torus $T^2_{j=1}$, first, we take a mirror partner of the complex torus $T^2_{j=1}$. Here, we consider the complexified symplectic torus $\tilde{T}^2_{j=1}$ as a mirror partner of the complex torus $T^2_{j=1}$. We denote the local coordinates of $\tilde{T}^2_{j=1}$ by $(X^1, \ldots, X^n, Y^1, \ldots, Y^n)^t$, and set $\tilde{X} := (X^1, \ldots, X^n)^t, \tilde{Y} := (Y^1, \ldots, Y^n)^t$.

Let us consider a diffeomorphism $\phi : \tilde{T}^2_{j=1} \sim \tilde{T}^2_{j=1}$ which is expressed locally as

$$\phi \left( \begin{array}{c} \tilde{x} \\ \tilde{y} \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{cc} B^{-1} & O \\ O & A \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} \tilde{x} \\ \tilde{y} \end{array} \right).$$

By a direct calculation, we see

$$\phi^*(d\tilde{X}^t(-T^{-1})^td\tilde{Y}) = d\tilde{x}^t(-T^{-1})^td\tilde{y},$$

where $d\tilde{X} := (dX^1, \cdots, dX^n)^t, d\tilde{Y} := (dY^1, \cdots, dY^n)^t$, so this diffeomorphism $\phi$ is a symplectomorphism.

Now, we consider the isomorphism classes of $\mathcal{L}_{(r,A,p,q)}$, namely, we consider the condition such that $\mathcal{L}_{(r,A,p,q)} \cong \mathcal{L}_{(r,A,p',q')}$ holds as an analogue of Theorem 3.4. Actually, the following theorem holds.

**Theorem 4.3.** Two objects $\mathcal{L}_{(r,A,p,q)}, \mathcal{L}_{(r,A,p',q')}$ are isomorphic to each other,

$$\mathcal{L}_{(r,A,p,q)} \cong \mathcal{L}_{(r,A,p',q')}.$$
if and only if

\[ p \equiv p' \pmod{2\pi r A^{-1}} \]

and

\[ q \equiv q' \pmod{2\pi r (B^{-1})^t} \]

hold.

Proof. We define

\[ (\phi^{-1})^* L_{(r,A,p,q)} := ((\phi^{-1})^{-1}(L_{(r,A,p)}), (\phi^{-1})^* L_{(r,A,p,q)}), \]

\[ (\phi^{-1})^* L_{(r,A,p',q')} := ((\phi^{-1})^{-1}(L_{(r,A,p')}), (\phi^{-1})^* L_{(r,A,p',q')}), \]

First, we consider the condition such that

\[ (\phi^{-1})^* L_{(r,A,p,q)} \cong (\phi^{-1})^* L_{(r,A,p',q')} \]

holds, namely, our first goal is to consider when it is possible to construct a symplectic automorphism \( \Phi : \tilde{T}^{2n}_{2T} \rightarrow \tilde{T}^{2n}_{2T} \) such that

\[ \Phi^{-1}((\phi^{-1})^{-1}(L_{(r,A,p)})) = (\phi^{-1})^{-1}(L_{(r,A,p')}), \]

\[ \Phi^*(\phi^{-1})^* L_{(r,A,p',q')} \cong (\phi^{-1})^* L_{(r,A,p,q)}, \]

Now, we consider the condition (21). Since \( r \in \mathbb{N}, A \in M(n;\mathbb{Z}) \) are fixed, in the case \( (\phi^{-1})^{-1}(L_{(r,A,p)}) = (\phi^{-1})^{-1}(L_{(r,A,p')}) \) only, we can take the map

\[ \Phi = \text{id}_{\tilde{T}^{2n}_{2T}} \]

as a symplectic automorphism \( \Phi : \tilde{T}^{2n}_{2T} \rightarrow \tilde{T}^{2n}_{2T} \) which satisfies the condition (21), and

\[ (\phi^{-1})^{-1}(L_{(r,A,p)}) = (\phi^{-1})^{-1}(L_{(r,A,p')}) \]

holds if and only if

\[ \mathcal{A} p \equiv \mathcal{A} p' \pmod{2\pi r} \]

holds. Then, the condition (22) simply becomes

\[ (\phi^{-1})^* L_{(r,A,p,q)} \cong (\phi^{-1})^* L_{(r,A,p',q')}, \]
so hereafter, we consider when \((\phi^{-1})^*L_{(r,A,p,q)} \cong (\phi^{-1})^*L_{(r,A,p',q')}\) holds on 
\((\phi^{-1})^{-1}(L_{(r,A,p)}) = (\phi^{-1})^{-1}(L_{(r,A,p')}) \) by computing an isomorphism 
\[
\psi : (\phi^{-1})^*L_{(r,A,p,q)} \cong (\phi^{-1})^*L_{(r,A,p',q')}
\]
explicitly. The morphism \(\psi\) need to satisfy the differential equation 
\[
\nabla(\phi^{-1})^*L_{(r,A,p',q')}\psi = \psi\nabla(\phi^{-1})^*L_{(r,A,p,q)},
\]
In particular, since the differential equation (24) turns out to be 
\[
\left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial X_1}, \ldots, \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial X^n}\right) - \frac{i}{2\pi r}\left((q''B)_{1} + (q'B)_{1}, \ldots, (q''B)_{n} - (q'B)_{n}\right)\psi = 0,
\]
by solving the differential equation (25), we obtain a solution 
\[
\psi(\bar{X}) = \lambda e^{\frac{i}{2\pi r}(q' - q)\bar{B}\bar{X}},
\]
where \(\lambda \in \mathbb{C}\) is an arbitrary constant. Furthermore, since \((\phi^{-1})^*L_{(r,A,p,q)}\) and 
\((\phi^{-1})^*L_{(r,A,p',q')}\) are trivial, this morphism \(\psi\) must satisfy 
\[
\begin{align*}
\psi(X^1, \ldots, X^i + 2\pi r, \ldots, X^n) &= \psi(X^1, \ldots, X^i, \ldots, X^n) \quad (i = 1, \ldots, s), \\
\psi(X^1, \ldots, X^i + 2\pi, \ldots, X^n) &= \psi(X^1, \ldots, X^i, \ldots, X^n) \quad (i = s + 1, \ldots, n).
\end{align*}
\]
By a direct calculation, we see that 
\[
\begin{align*}
\psi(X^1, \ldots, X^i + 2\pi r, \ldots, X^n) &= e^{\frac{i}{2\pi r}(q' - q)\bar{B}} \psi(X^1, \ldots, X^i, \ldots, X^n) \quad (i = 1, \ldots, s), \\
\psi(X^1, \ldots, X^i + 2\pi, \ldots, X^n) &= e^{\frac{i}{2}(q' - q)\bar{B}} \psi(X^1, \ldots, X^i, \ldots, X^n) \quad (i = s + 1, \ldots, n)
\end{align*}
\]
hold, where \(\bar{B}_i := (B_{i1}, \ldots, B_{in})^t\), so we obtain 
\[
\begin{align*}
e^{\frac{i}{2\pi r}(q' - q)\bar{B}_i} &= 1 \quad (i = 1, \ldots, s), \\
e^{\frac{i}{2}(q' - q)\bar{B}_i} &= 1 \quad (i = s + 1, \ldots, n).
\end{align*}
\]
Clearly, these relations are equivalent to 
\[
\bar{B}'(q' - q) = 2\pi r N_{r'},
\]
where 
\[
N_{r'} := \left(\frac{N_1}{r_1}, \ldots, \frac{N_s}{r_s}, N_{s+1}, \ldots, N_n\right)^t, \quad (N_1, \cdots, N_s, N_{s+1}, \cdots, N_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n,
\]
and then, by the formula (26), the isomorphism \(\psi\) is expressed locally as 
\[
\psi(\bar{X}) = \lambda e^{iN_{r'}\bar{X}}
\]
with $\lambda \neq 0 \in \mathbb{C}$. Hence,

$$(\phi^{-1})^* \mathcal{L}_{(r,A,p,q)} \cong (\phi^{-1})^* \mathcal{L}_{(r,A,p',q')}$$

holds on $(\phi^{-1})^{-1}(L_{(r,A,p)}) = (\phi^{-1})^{-1}(L_{(r,A,p')})$ if and only if

$$B'q \equiv B'q' \pmod{2\pi r}$$

holds. Thus, by the relations (23) and (27), we can conclude that

$$\mathcal{L}_{(r,A,p,q)} \cong \mathcal{L}_{(r,A,p',q')}$$

holds if and only if

$$p \equiv p' \pmod{2\pi r A^{-1}} \quad \text{and} \quad q \equiv q' \pmod{2\pi r (B^{-1})'}$$

hold.

Hence, by comparing Theorem 3.4 with Theorem 4.3, we can expect that the isomorphism classes of holomorphic vector bundles $E_{(r,A,r',U,p,q)} \to T^{2n}$ correspond to the isomorphism classes of objects $\mathcal{L}_{(r,A,p,q)}$ of the Fukaya category $\text{Fuk}(T^{2n}_{J=T})$. Actually, by a direct calculation, we can check that this correspondence is correct. We discuss this fact in section 5.

## 5 Main result

In this section, we prove that there exists a bijection between the set of the isomorphism classes of holomorphic vector bundles $E_{(r,A,r',U,p,q)} \to T^{2n}$ and the set of the isomorphism classes of objects $\mathcal{L}_{(r,A,p,q)}$ of the Fukaya category $\text{Fuk}(T^{2n}_{J=T})$.

First, we prepare two notations. We denote the set of the isomorphism classes of objects of the DG-category $\text{DG}_{T^{2n}_{J=T}}$ (i.e., the set of the isomorphism classes of holomorphic vector bundles $E_{(r,A,r',U,p,q)}$) by

$$\text{Ob}^{\text{isom}}(\text{DG}_{T^{2n}_{J=T}}).$$
Similarly, we denote the set of the isomorphism classes of objects \( \mathcal{Z}_{(r,A,p,q)} \) of the Fukaya category \( \text{Fuk}(T_{j=T}^{2n}) \) by

\[
\text{Ob}^{\text{isom}}(\text{Fuk}(\tilde{T}_{j=T}^{2n})).
\]

Now, in order to state the main theorem, we define a map \( F : \text{Ob}(\text{DG}_{T_{j=T}^{2n}}) \to \text{Ob}(\text{Fuk}(\tilde{T}_{j=T}^{2n})) \) as follows. Clearly, we need four parameters \( r, A, p, q \) when we define objects \( \mathcal{Z}_{(r,A,p,q)} \) of \( \text{Fuk}(\tilde{T}_{j=T}^{2n}) \). On the other hand, we need five parameters \( r, A, p, q, U \) when we define objects \( E_{(r,A,r',U,p,q)} \) of \( \text{DG}_{T_{j=T}^{2n}} \). Hence, when we define a map \( \text{Ob}(\text{DG}_{T_{j=T}^{2n}}) \to \text{Ob}(\text{Fuk}(\tilde{T}_{j=T}^{2n})) \), we must transform not only the information about four parameters \( r, A, p, q \) but also the information about \( U \). For example, let us consider a map \( \text{Ob}(\text{DG}_{T_{j=T}^{2n}}) \to \text{Ob}(\text{Fuk}(\tilde{T}_{j=T}^{2n})) \) which is simply defined by

\[
E_{(r,A,r',U,p,q)} \mapsto \mathcal{Z}_{(r,A,p,q)}.
\]

For a quadruple \( (r, A, p, q) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{M}(n; \mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \) with mutually distinct \( U \) and \( U' \), \( E_{(r,A,r',U,p,q)} \) and \( E_{(r,A,r',U',p,q)} \) are not isomorphic to each other in general. However, the above map sends both \( E_{(r,A,r',U,p,q)} \) and \( E_{(r,A,r',U',p,q)} \) to the same object \( \mathcal{Z}_{(r,A,p,q)} \) unfortunately. Thus, by considering these facts, here, we define a map

\[
F : \text{Ob}(\text{DG}_{T_{j=T}^{2n}}) \to \text{Ob}(\text{Fuk}(\tilde{T}_{j=T}^{2n}))
\]

by

\[
F(E_{(r,A,r',U,p,q)}) = \mathcal{Z}_{(r,A,p-\frac{1}{2} \rho + \theta, q+\xi)},
\]

where \( \xi, \theta \in \mathbb{R}^n \) denote the vectors associated to \( U \) in the sense of the definition (14).

In the above setting, we present the following theorem which is the main theorem in this paper.

**Theorem 5.1.** The map \( F \) induces a bijection between \( \text{Ob}^{\text{isom}}(\text{DG}_{T_{j=T}^{2n}}) \) and \( \text{Ob}^{\text{isom}}(\text{Fuk}(\tilde{T}_{j=T}^{2n})) \).

**Proof.** In this proof, for a given object \( E_{(r,A,r',U,p,q)} \in \text{Ob}(\text{DG}_{T_{j=T}^{2n}}) \), we denote by \( \xi, \theta \in \mathbb{R}^n \) the vectors associated to \( U \) in the sense of the definition (14). Similarly, for a given object \( E_{(s,B,s',V,u,v)} \in \text{Ob}(\text{DG}_{T_{j=T}^{2n}}) \), we denote by \( \tau, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}^n \) the vectors associated to \( V \) in the sense of the definition (14). We denote the induced map from the map \( F \) by

\[
F^{\text{isom}} : \text{Ob}^{\text{isom}}(\text{DG}_{T_{j=T}^{2n}}) \to \text{Ob}^{\text{isom}}(\text{Fuk}(\tilde{T}_{j=T}^{2n})).
\]

Explicitly, it is defined by

\[
F^{\text{isom}}([E_{(r,A,r',U,p,q)}]) = [F(E_{(r,A,r',U,p,q)})],
\]

where, of course, \( [E_{(r,A,r',U,p,q)}] \) and \( [F(E_{(r,A,r',U,p,q)})] \) denote the isomorphism class of \( E_{(r,A,r',U,p,q)} \) and the isomorphism class of \( F(E_{(r,A,r',U,p,q)}) \), respectively.
First, we check the well-definedness of the map $F_{\text{isom}}$. We take two arbitrary objects $E_{(r,A,r',U,p,q)}; E_{(s,B,s',V,u,v)} \in \text{Ob}(DG_{\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{D}_n}^m})$ and assume

$$E_{(r,A,r',U,p,q)} \cong E_{(s,B,s',V,u,v)}.$$  

By considering the $i$-th Chern characters $ch_i(E_{(r,A,r',U,p,q)}), ch_i(E_{(s,B,s',V,u,v)})$ of the holomorphic vector bundles $E_{(r,A,r',U,p,q)}; E_{(s,B,s',V,u,v)}$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we see

$$ch_i(E_{(r,A,r',U,p,q)}) = ch_i(E_{(s,B,s',V,u,v)}).$$  

(28)

We consider the equality (28) in the cases $i = 0, 1$. Then, we obtain

$$r' = s', \quad \frac{r'}{r} A = \frac{s'}{s} B,$$

so one has

$$\frac{1}{r} A = \frac{1}{s} B.$$

Hence, we see that there exists a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$s = kr, \quad B = kA.$$  

(29)  

(30)

Therefore, since we can regard $E_{(s,B,s',V,u,v)}$ as $E_{(kr,kA,r',V,u,v)} = E_{(r,A,r',V,\frac{u}{k},\frac{v}{k})}$, by Theorem 3.4, we see

$$p - \frac{r}{r'} \theta \equiv \frac{1}{k} \left( u - \frac{s}{s'} \sigma \right) \pmod{2\pi r A^{-1}} \left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{2}{r_1} \\ \vdots \\ Z \end{array} \right),$$  

(31)

$$q + \frac{r}{r'} \xi \equiv \frac{1}{k} \left( v + \frac{s}{s'} \tau \right) \pmod{2\pi r (B^{-1})^t} \left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{2}{r_2} \\ \vdots \\ Z \end{array} \right).$$  

(32)

Thus, by Theorem 4.3 and the relations (29), (30), (31), (32), we can conclude

$$\mathcal{L}_{(r,A,p-\frac{r}{r'} \theta, q+\frac{r}{r'} \xi)} \cong \mathcal{L}_{(r,A,p-\frac{r}{r'} \theta, q+\frac{r}{r'} \xi)};$$

namely,

$$F(E_{(r,A,r',U,p,q)}) \cong F(E_{(s,B,s',V,u,v)}).$$
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Next, we prove that $F_{\text{isom}}$ is injective. We take two arbitrary objects $E_{(r,A,r',U,p,q)}$, $E_{(s,B,s',V,u,v)} \in \text{Ob}(DG_{T_j=T}^{2n})$ and assume

$$F(E_{(r,A,r',U,p,q)}) \cong F(E_{(s,B,s',V,u,v)}),$$

namely,

$$\mathcal{L}_{(r,A,\frac{p}{r} \theta - q + \xi)} \cong \mathcal{L}_{(s,B,\frac{s}{u} - \sigma + \tau + \nu)}.$$

Then, we see that there exists a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ which satisfies the relations (29) and (30). Here, we take two matrices $A, B \in GL(n; \mathbb{Z})$ such that

$$\begin{pmatrix}
\tilde{a}_1 \\
\vdots \\
\tilde{a}_t \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
0
\end{pmatrix}, \quad (33)$$

where $\tilde{a}_i \in \mathbb{N}$ ($i = 1, \cdots, t$, $1 \leq t \leq n$) and $\tilde{a}_i|a_{i+1}$ ($i = 1, \cdots, t - 1$). Therefore, since the relation (30) holds, we obtain

$$\begin{pmatrix}
k\tilde{a}_1 \\
\vdots \\
k\tilde{a}_t \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
0
\end{pmatrix}, \quad (34)$$

In particular, the relations (29), (30), (33), (34) imply

$$r' = s'.$$  \hspace{1cm} (35)

Hence, by Theorem 4.3, the relations (31) and (32) hold. Now, note that we can regard $E_{(s,B,s',V,u,v)}$ as $E_{(kr,kA,r',V,u,v)} = E_{(r,A,r',V,\frac{1}{k}u,\frac{1}{k}v)}$ by the relations (29), (30), (35). Thus, by Theorem 3.4 and the relations (31) and (32), we see that

$$E_{(r,A,r',U,p,q)} \cong E_{(r,A,r',V,\frac{1}{k}u,\frac{1}{k}v)}$$

holds, and this relation indicates

$$E_{(r,A,r',U,p,q)} \cong E_{(s,B,s',V,u,v)}.$$

Finally, we prove that $F_{\text{isom}}$ is surjective. We take an arbitrary quadruple $(r, A, p, q) \in \mathbb{N} \times M(n; \mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$, and consider the element

$$[\mathcal{L}_{(r,A,p,q)}] \in \text{Ob}_{\text{isom}}(Fuk(T_{j=T}^{2n})).$$
In particular, a representative of \( \mathcal{L}(r,A,p,q) \) is expressed as
\[
\mathcal{L}(r,A,p+2\pi r A^{-1} M, q+2\pi r (B^{-1})^t N)
\]
by using a pair
\[
(M, N) \in \left( \frac{Z}{r} \right) \times \left( \frac{Z}{r} \right)
\]
(see Theorem 4.3). For the element \( [\mathcal{L}(r,A,p,q)] \), we consider the element
\[
[E_{(r,A,r',M,p+\theta,q-\xi)}] \in \text{Ob}^{\text{isom}}(DG_{T_{p=R}}),
\]
where \( \xi, \theta \in \mathbb{R}^n \) are the vectors associated to \( \mathcal{U} \) in the sense of the definition (14). Here, note that how to choose a set \( \mathcal{U} \) is not unique even if we fix a quadruple \( (r, A, p, q) \in \mathbb{N} \times M(n; \mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \). Therefore, a representative of \( [E_{(r,A,r',M,p+\theta,q-\xi)}] \) is expressed as
\[
E_{(r,A,r',M',p+\theta',q+2\pi r A^{-1} M, q-\xi'-2\pi r (B^{-1})^t N)}
\]
by using a set \( \mathcal{U}' \) with the associated vectors \( \xi', \theta' \in \mathbb{R}^n \) and a pair
\[
(M, N) \in \left( \frac{Z}{r} \right) \times \left( \frac{Z}{r} \right)
\]
(see Theorem 3.4). Then, by a direct calculation, we see
\[
F^{\text{isom}}([E_{(r,A,r',M,p+\theta,q-\xi)}])
= F^{\text{isom}}(E_{(r,A,r',M',p+\theta',q+2\pi r A^{-1} M, q-\xi'-2\pi r (B^{-1})^t N)})
= [F(E_{(r,A,r',M',p+\theta'+2\pi r A^{-1} M, q-\xi'+2\pi r (B^{-1})^t N)})]
= [\mathcal{L}(r,A,(p+\theta'+2\pi r A^{-1} M) - \theta', q-\xi'+2\pi r (B^{-1})^t N + \xi)]
= [\mathcal{L}(r,A,q+2\pi r (B^{-1})^t N)]
= [\mathcal{L}(r,A,p,q)].
\]
This completes the proof. \( \square \)
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