THE COHOMOLOGY OF THE STEENROD ALGEBRA AND THE MOD $p$ LANNES-ZARATI HOMOMORPHISM
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Abstract. In this paper, we compute $\operatorname{Ext}_A^s(\tilde{H}^*(B\mathbb{Z}/p), \mathbb{F}_p)$ for $s \leq 1$. Using this result, we investigate the behavior of $\varphi^p_s$ and $\varphi^s_{\tilde{H}^*(B\mathbb{Z}/p)}$ ($s \leq 1$) for an odd prime $p$.

1. Introduction

The paper is a continuation of our previous one [11], which will refer to as Part I. In Part I, we construct a chain-level representation of the dual of the mod $p$ Lannes-Zarati homomorphism ($\varphi^M_s$)\# in the Singer-HOăng-Sum chain complex [27] as well as a chain-level representation of $\varphi^s_{\tilde{H}^*}$ in the lambda algebra [2]. Using the latter to investigate the mod $p$ Lannes-Zarati homomorphism $\varphi^M_s$, we obtain new results for the kernel and the image of $\varphi^p_s$, $s \leq 2$, for $p$ odd. For any unstable $A$-module, let us recall that the mod $p$ Lannes-Zarati homomorphism, which is first introduced by Lannes and Zarati in [34], for each $s \geq 0$, is defined as follows

$$\varphi^M_s : \operatorname{Ext}_A^{s+t}(M, \mathbb{F}_p) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{F}_p \otimes_A R_s M)\#.$$ (1.1)

Where $A$ denote the mod $p$ Steenrod algebra and $R_s M$ denote the Singer construction (see Singer [45], [46], Lannes-Zarati [34], Zarati [49], see also Hải [19], Powell [42] and citations therein for detail description).

Our interests in the map (1.1) (or its dual) lies in the fact that it is closely related to the mod $p$ Hurewicz map. Indeed, if $M$ is the reduced mod $p$ (singular) cohomology of a pointed space $X$, then $\varphi^M_s$ is considered as a graded associated version of the mod $p$ Hurewicz map

$$h_* : \pi^s_*=\pi_*=\pi_* QX \longrightarrow H_0 QX$$

of the infinite loop space $QX := \lim_{\leftarrow} \Omega^n \Sigma^n X$ in the $E_2$-term of Adams spectral sequence (see Lannes and Zarati [32], [33] for $p = 2$ and Kuhn [31] for an odd prime $p$).

Hence, the study of the behavior of the mod $p$ Lannes-Zarati homomorphism actually corresponds to the description of the image of the mod $p$ Hurewicz map, and therefore, it also closely corresponds to the famous conjectures on spherical classes of Curtis and Wellington for $S^0$ (see Curtis [16], Wellington [48]) and of Eccles for any pointed CW-complex $X$ (which is mentioned in [50]).
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We refer to the introduction of Part I for a detail survey of known facts about the mod p Lannes-Zarati homomorphism.

In Part I, we initiated use the lambda algebra in study of the image and the kernel of the map \((1.1)\) for \(M = \mathbb{F}_p\). The advantages of our method is that we can avoid using the knowledge of the so-called “hit problem” for \(\mathcal{A}_s \mathbb{F}_p\) as in [20], [22], [26]. Therefore, it can help us to not only recover previous known results with little computation involved (however, we have not pointed out this fact in Part I), but also obtain new results about the behavior of \(\varphi_s^p\) for \(s \leq 2\) with \(p\) odd. However, for \(s\) higher, the computation remains difficult because the adem relations of the mod \(p\) Dyer-Lashof algebra, considered as the dual of \(\mathcal{A}_s \mathbb{F}_p\), in general, is hard to exploit (see the proof of Theorem 4.2 in [11]).

To overcome this difficulty, in this paper, we develop the power operation \(\mathcal{P}\) acting on \(\text{Ext}^s_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\mathbb{F}_p, \mathbb{F}_p)\) (see Linuievicius [36] or May [12]). For \(M = \mathbb{F}_p\) and \(M = \hat{H}^*(B\mathbb{Z}/p)\), we show that there exist the power operations \(\mathcal{P}\)'s acting on \(\text{Ext}^s_{\mathbb{F}_p}(M, \mathbb{F}_p)\) and on \((\mathbb{F}_p \otimes \mathcal{A}_s M)^\#\). Moreover, these actions are compatible with each other through the mod \(p\) Lannes-Zarati homomorphism \(\varphi_s^M\) (see Proposition 5.3).

Using the construction above, we have the following, which is the first main our results.

**Theorem 6.1** The third Lannes-Zarati homomorphism
\[
\varphi_3^p : \text{Ext}^{3,3+t}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\mathbb{F}_p, \mathbb{F}_p) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{F}_p \otimes \mathcal{A}_3 \mathbb{F}_p)^\#
\]
is a monomorphism for \(t = 0\) and vanishing at all positive stems \(t\).

In order to investigate the behavior of \(\varphi_s^p\) for \(P := \hat{H}^*(B\mathbb{Z}/p)\), we, on one hand, construct a spectral sequence, which is a generalized version of one used in Cohen-Lin-Mahowald [13], Lin [35] and Chen [5]. Using this spectral sequence to compute \(\text{Ext}^s_{\mathbb{F}_p}(P, \mathbb{F}_p)\), we obtain the following theorems, which are the second main our results.

**Theorem 3.1** (Cf. [14] Theorem 1.1). The Ext group \(\text{Ext}^{0,t}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(P, \mathbb{F}_p)\) has an \(\mathbb{F}_p\)-basis consisting of all elements

1. \(\widehat{\alpha}_i \in \text{Ext}^{0,2(p-1)i-1}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(P, \mathbb{F}_p), i \geq 0;\)
2. \(\widehat{\alpha}_i(k) \in \text{Ext}^{0,2kp-1}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(P, \mathbb{F}_p), i \geq 0, 1 \leq k < p - 1.\)

The Ext group \(\text{Ext}^{1,1+t}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(P, \mathbb{F}_p)\) is given by the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.2.** The Ext group \(\text{Ext}^{1,1+t}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(P, \mathbb{F}_p)\) has an \(\mathbb{F}_p\)-basis consisting of all elements given by the following list

1. \(\alpha_0 \widehat{\alpha}_i \in \text{Ext}^{1,2(p-1)i}_P(P, \mathbb{F}_p), i \geq 1;\)
2. \(\alpha_0 \widehat{\alpha}_i(k) \in \text{Ext}^{1,2kp}_{P}(P, \mathbb{F}_p), i \geq 1, 1 \leq k < p - 1;\)
3. \(\widehat{\alpha}_i(\ell) \in \text{Ext}^{1,2(p+\ell)+2}_{P}(P, \mathbb{F}_p), 0 \leq \ell < p - 2;\)
4. \(\widehat{\alpha}_i(\ell) \in \text{Ext}^{1,2(p-1)i+p-2}_{P}(P, \mathbb{F}_p), i \geq 0;\)
5. \(\widehat{\alpha}_i \widehat{\alpha}_j \in \text{Ext}^{1,2(p-1)(i+j)+2}_{P}(P, \mathbb{F}_p), i, j \geq 0, j \neq i, i + 1;\)
6. \(\widehat{\alpha}_i(\ell) \in \text{Ext}^{1,2(p-1)i+2kp}_{P}(P, \mathbb{F}_p), i, j \geq 0, j \neq i, i + 1 \leq r < p - 1;\)
7. \(\widehat{\alpha}_i(k) \in \text{Ext}^{1,2(p-1)i+2kp-1}_{P}(P, \mathbb{F}_p), i, j \geq 0, j \neq i, i + 1 \leq k \leq p - 1;\)
8. \(\widehat{\alpha}_i(k) \in \text{Ext}^{1,2(p+1)i+p-1}_{P}(P, \mathbb{F}_p), i \geq 0, 1 \leq k < p - 1;\)
9. \(\widehat{\alpha}_i(\ell) \in \text{Ext}^{1,2(p-1)(i+j)+2(r+1)p-1}_{P}(P, \mathbb{F}_p), i \geq 0, 1 \leq r < p - 1.\)
The decomposable elements in $\text{Ext}_{A}^{s,s+t}(P,F_{p})$ for $s \leq 1$ satisfy only the following relations:

- $h_{i}h_{i+1} = 0, i \geq 0$;
- $h_{i}h_{i+1}(k) = 0, i \geq 0, 1 \leq k < p - 1$;
- $h_{i}h_{i} = 0, i \geq 0$;
- $h_{i}h_{i}(k) = 0, i \geq 0, 2 \leq k < p - 1$;
- $\alpha_{0}h_{0} = 0$; and
- $\alpha_{0}h_{0}(k) = 0, 1 \leq k < p - 1$.

On the other hand, we describe the dual of $\mathcal{R}_{s}M$ in term of the mod $p$ Dyer-Lashof algebra $R$, which is a quotient algebra of the lambda algebra. We show that $(\mathcal{R}_{s}M)^{\#}$ is considered as a quotient right $A$-module of $R_{s} \otimes M^{\#}$. Here $R_{s}$ denote the subspace of $R$ spanned by all monomials of length $s$, and the right $A$-action on $R$ is given via the Nishida relations. Basing on the description, we obtain a chain-level representation of $\varphi_{s}^{M}$ for any unstable $A$-module $M$ in term of the lambda algebra. Using the knowledge above to determine the image and the kernel of $\varphi_{0}$, we also obtain the following results, which are the third main our results.

**Theorem 6.3.** The Lannes-Zarati homomorphism $\varphi_{0}^{P} : \text{Ext}_{A}^{0,t}(P,F_{p}) \to (F_{p} \otimes_{A} \mathcal{R}_{0}P)^{\#}$ is an isomorphism.

This result is similar to the case $p = 2$ due to Hôàng and Tuôĕnh [28].

**Theorem 6.4.** The Lannes-Zarati homomorphism $\varphi_{1}^{P} : \text{Ext}_{A}^{1,1+t}(P,F_{p}) \to (F_{p} \otimes_{A} \mathcal{R}_{1}P)^{\#}$ sends

1. $h_{i}h_{i}(1)$ to $[\beta Q^{p}b^{(p+1)}]$, for $i \geq 0$;
2. $h_{i}h_{j}$ to $[\beta Q^{p}b^{(p-1)p^{j-1}}]$, for $0 \leq j < i$;
3. $h_{i}h_{j}(k)$ to $[\beta Q^{p}b^{k(p-1)}]$, for $0 \leq j < i, 1 \leq k < p - 1$;
4. $k_{i}(k)$ to $(\beta P)^{i}([\beta Q^{k+1}b^{k}])$, $i \geq 0, 1 \leq k < p - 1$; and
5. others to zero.

Here, we denote $a^{r}b^{s}$ the $F_{p}$-generator of $P^{\#} = \tilde{H}_{s}(BZ/p)$, which is the dual of $x^{r}y^{s} \in P = \tilde{H}^{s}(BZ/p)$ and $Q^{t}, \beta Q^{t}$ denote the generators of the mod $p$ Dyer-Lashof algebra.

It is clear that $[\beta Q^{p-1}b^{(p+1)}] + Q^{p-1}a$ is non-trivial in $(F_{p} \otimes_{A} \mathcal{R}_{1}P)^{\#}$ (see Remark 6.5). It follows that, basing on Theorem 6.4, $\varphi_{1}^{P}$ is not an epimorphism. This fact is similar to the case $p = 2$ (see Remark 3.6).

It should be note that our strategy in term of the lambda algebra is also valid for the case $p = 2$ with a bit modification. Therefore, using this method, we can review the results of Lannes-Zarati [34] and Hôang el. al. [25], [20], [22], [29], [28] with a little computation (see Appendix B).

From the results in [11] and Theorem 6.1, we observe that, for an odd prime $p$, the behavior of the mod $p$ Lannes-Zarati $\varphi_{s}^{M}, s > 2$, is similar to the case $p = 2$. Basing on the conjecture on spherical classes due to Wellington [38] and Conjecture 1.2 in [28], it leads us to a conjecture...
Conjecture 1.1 (Cf. [28 Conjecture 1.2]). Given an unstable $A$-module $M$, the mod $p$ Lannes-Zarati homomorphism

$$\phi^M_s : \text{Ext}^s_{\Lambda}(M, \mathbb{F}_p) \to C_{t+1}(\mathbb{F}_p \otimes_A \mathcal{R}_s M)$$

is trivial at all positive stems $t$, for $s > 2$.

For $p = 2$, the conjecture is verified for $M = \mathbb{F}_2$ with $3 \leq s \leq 5$ by HÔangkan et al. (see [25, 20, 22, 26]), and for $M = H^*(B\mathbb{Z}/2)$ with $3 \leq s \leq 4$ by HÔangkan-Tuôcê (28). For $p$ odd and $M = \mathbb{F}_p$, Theorem 6.1 shows that this conjecture is true for $s = 3$.

For any $A$-module $M$, the chain complex $M \otimes M^\#$ is a suitable resolution to compute $\text{Ext}^s_{\Lambda}(M, \mathbb{F}_p)$, where $\Lambda$ denotes the lambda algebra that is isomorphic to the total Kodrel resolution of the mod $p$ Steenrod algebra (see Priddy [43]). In addition, for any unstable $A$-module $M$, the dual of Singer construction $(\mathcal{R}_s M)^\#$ can be considered as a quotient module of $\Lambda \otimes M^\#$ (see Proposition 4.8). Observe from the results of Lin [35], Chen [5] and Aikawa [1] that all known elements in $\text{Ext}^s_{\Lambda}(\mathbb{F}_p, \mathbb{F}_p)$ for $s > 2$ can be represented in the lambda algebra by a cycle whose image is trivial in the mod $p$ Dyer-Lashof algebra under the canonical projection. Therefore, it leads us to a conjecture that

Conjecture 1.2 (Cf. [28 Conjecture 1.4]). Given an unstable $A$-module $M$, for $s > 2$, every elements of positive stems in $\text{Ext}^s_{\Lambda}(M, \mathbb{F}_p)$ can be represented by a cycle in $\Lambda \otimes M^\#$ whose image is trivial under the canonical projection $\Lambda \otimes M^\# \to (\mathcal{R}_s M)^\#$.

It is clear that Conjecture 1.1 is a consequence of Conjecture 1.2.

The algebraic Singer transfer, which is first constructed by Singer (for $p = 2$) [47], is defined by, for each $A$-module $M$ and for each integer $s \geq 0$,

$$\psi^M_s : (\mathbb{F}_p \otimes_A (P_s \otimes M))^\# \to \text{Ext}^s_{\Lambda}(M, \mathbb{F}_p),$$

where $P_s = H^*(B(\mathbb{Z}/p)^s)$. Later, it is generalized by Crossley [15] for $p$ odd. Here, we show that (up to sign) the canonical inclusion from $\mathcal{R}_s M$ to $P_s \otimes M$ is a chain-level representation of the following map, for any unstable $A$-module $M$ (see Corollary 4.2).

$$j^M_s := (\psi^M_s)^\# \circ (\phi^M_s)^\# : \mathbb{F}_p \otimes_A \mathcal{R}_s M \to \mathbb{F}_p \otimes_A (P_s \otimes M).$$

The Conjecture 1.1 leads us to a weaker conjecture as follows

Conjecture 1.3 (Cf. [28 Conjecture 1.6]). For any unstable $A$-module $M$, the positive component of the Singer construction $\mathcal{R}_s M$ contains in $\tilde{A}(P_s \otimes M)$ for $s > 2$, where $\tilde{A}$ is the augmentation ideal of $A$.

The conjecture is proved by HÔangkan-Nam [24] for $M = \mathbb{F}_2$ and by HÔangkan-Powell [29] for any unstable $A$-module $M$ with $p = 2$. However, for $p$ odd, it is still open.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is a preliminary on the Singer-Hùng-Sum chain complex, the lambda algebra as well as the Dyer-Lashof algebra that are required for other sections. In Section 3, we construct a spectral sequence to compute $\text{Ext}^s_{\Lambda}(P, \mathbb{F}_p)$. Using this spectral sequence, we calculate the Ext groups $\text{Ext}^s_{\Lambda}(H^*(B\mathbb{Z}/p), \mathbb{F}_p)$ for $s \leq 1$. In section 4, we recall the mod $p$ Lannes-Zarati homomorphism and its chain-level representation in Singer-HÔangkan-Sum chain complex, which is presented in [11]. In addition, we also describe therein the dual of the Singer construction $\mathcal{R}_s M$ in term of the Dyer-Lashof algebra. The section 5
provides a development of the power operations. The behavior of the mod \( p \) Lannes-Zarati homomorphism is presented in the final section. In appendix, we construct a chain-level representation of the algebraic Singer transfer and get a description of the map \( j^M_s \). In addition, we make some changes needed for the case \( p = 2 \) and recover all known results of the mod 2 Lannes-Zarati homomorphism.

2. Preliminaries

Unless stated otherwise, we will be working over the prime order field \( \mathbb{F}_p \), where \( p \) is an odd prime. The Steenrod algebra over a fixed odd prime \( p \) is denoted by \( A \).

Let \( \mathcal{M} \) denote the category of graded left \( A \)-modules and degree zero \( A \)-linear map. A module \( M \in \mathcal{M} \) is called unstable if \( \beta^* P^* x = 0 \) for \( \epsilon + 2i > \deg(x) \) and for all \( x \in M \). The full subcategory of \( \mathcal{M} \) of all unstable modules is denoted by \( U \). Given an \( A \)-module \( M \) and an integer \( s \), let \( \Sigma^s M \) denote the \( s \)-th iterated suspension of \( M \). By definition, \((\Sigma^s M)^n = M^{n-s}\), thus an element in degree \( n \) of \( \Sigma^s M \) is usually written in the form \( \Sigma^s m \), where \( m \in M^{n-s} \). The action of the Steenrod algebra is given by \( \theta(\Sigma^s m) = (-1)^{\deg \theta} \Sigma^s (\theta m) \), for \( \theta \in A \) and \( m \in \mathcal{M} \). For any left \( A \)-module \( M \), the linear dual of \( M \) is denoted by \( M^\# \), which admits a right \( A \)-module structure.

2.1. The Singer-Hưng-Sum chain complex. Let \( E_s \) be an \( s \)-dimensional \( \mathbb{F}_p \)-vector space. It is well-known that the mod \( p \) cohomology of the classifying space \( BE_s \) is given by

\[
P_s := H^* BE_s = E(x_1, \ldots, x_s) \otimes \mathbb{F}_p[y_1, \ldots, y_s],
\]

where \((x_1, \ldots, x_s)\) is a basis of \( H^1 BE_s = \text{Hom}(E_s, \mathbb{F}_p) \) and \( y_i = \beta(x_i) \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq s \) where \( \beta \) denote the Bockstein homomorphism. Here \( E(\ldots) \) and \( \mathbb{F}_p[\ldots] \) are standard notations for the exterior algebra and the polynomial algebra respectively over \( \mathbb{F}_p \) generated by the indicated variables.

Let \( GL_s \) denote the general linear group \( GL_s = GL(E_s) \). The group \( GL_s \) acts on \( E_s \) and then on \( H^* BE_s \). The algebra of all invariants of \( H^* BE_s \) under the actions of \( GL_s \) is computed by Dickson [17] and Mül [40]. We briefly summarize their results. For any \( s \)-tuple of non-negative integers \((r_1, \ldots, r_s)\), put \([r_1, \ldots, r_s] := \det(y_r^{f_r})\), and define

\[
L_{s,i} := [0, \ldots, i, \ldots, s]; \quad L_s := L_{s,s}; \quad q_{s,i} := L_{s,i}/L_s,
\]

for any \( 1 \leq i \leq s \).

In particular, \( q_{s,s} = 1 \) and by convention, set \( q_{s,i} = 0 \) for \( i < 0 \). The degree of \( q_{s,i} \) is 2(\( p^s - p^i \)). Define

\[
V_s := V_s(y_1, \ldots, y_s) := \prod_{\lambda_s \in \mathbb{F}_p} (\lambda_1 y_1 + \cdots + \lambda_{s-1} y_{s-1} + y_s).
\]

Another way to define \( V_s \) is that \( V_s = L_s/L_{s-1} \). Then \( q_{s,i} \) can be inductively expressed by the formula

\[
q_{s,i} = q_{s-1,i-1} + q_{s-1,i} V_{s}^{p-1}.
\]
For non-negative integers \(k, r_{k+1}, \ldots, r_s\), set

\[
[k; r_{k+1}, \ldots, r_s] := \frac{1}{k!} \begin{vmatrix}
  x_1 & \cdots & x_s \\
  \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
  y^{r_{k+1}}_1 & \cdots & y^{r_{k+1}}_s \\
  \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
  y^{r_s}_1 & \cdots & y^{r_s}_s 
\end{vmatrix}.
\]

For \(0 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq s - 1\), we define

\[
M_{s;i_1, \ldots, i_k} := [k; 0, \ldots, i_1, \ldots, i_k, s - 1],
\]

\[
R_{s;i_1, \ldots, i_k} := M_{s;i_1, \ldots, i_k}^{-1} L_s^{p-2}.
\]

From Mùi [40], \(M_{s;i}\) can be inductively expressed by the formula

\[
M_{s;i} = M_{s-1;i} V_s + q_{s-1;j} M_{s;j-1}.
\]

The subspace of all invariants of \(H^*BE_s\) under the action of \(GL_s\) is given by the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.1** (Dickson [17], Mùi [40]).

1. The subspace of all invariants under the action of \(GL_s\) of \(\mathbb{F}_p[y_1, \ldots, y_s]\) is given by
   
   \[
   D[s] := \mathbb{F}_p[y_1, \ldots, y_s]^{GL_s} = \mathbb{F}_p[q_{s,0}, \ldots, q_{s,s-1}].
   \]

2. As a \(D[s]\)-module, \((H^*BE_s)^{GL_s}\) is free and has a basis consisting of \(1\) and all elements of \(\{R_{s;i_1, \ldots, i_k} : 1 \leq k \leq s, 0 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq s - 1\}\).

3. The algebraic relations are given by
   
   \[
   R_{s;i_1} \cdots R_{s;i_k} = (-1)^{k(k-1)/2} R_{s;i_1, \ldots, i_k} q_{s,0}^{k-1}
   \]
   
   for \(0 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k < s\).

Let \(\Phi_s := H^*BE_s[L_s^{-1}]\) be the localization of \(H^*BE_s\) obtained by inverting \(L_s\). It should be noted that \(L_s\) is the product of all non-zero linear forms of \(y_1, \ldots, y_s\). So inverting \(L_s\) is equivalent to inverting all these forms. The action of \(GL_s\) on \(H^*BE_s\) extends an action of it on \(\Phi_s\). Set

\[
\Delta_s := \Phi_s T_s, \quad \Gamma_s := \Phi_s^{GL_s},
\]

where \(T_s\) is the subgroup of \(GL_s\) consisting of all upper triangle matrices with 1’s on the main diagonal.

Put \(u_i := M_{s;i-1}/L_{i-1}\) and \(v_i := V_i/q_{i-1,0}\), then \(|u_i| = 1\) and \(|v_i| = 2\). From [27], we have

\[
\Delta_s = E(u_1, \ldots, u_s) \otimes \mathbb{F}_p[v_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, v_s^{\pm 1}],
\]

\[
\Gamma_s = E(R_{s,0}, \ldots, R_{s,s-1}) \otimes \mathbb{F}_p[q_{s,0}^{\pm 1}, q_{s,1}, \ldots, q_{s,s-1}].
\]

Let \(\Delta_s^+\) be the subspace of \(\Delta_s\) spanned by all monomials of the form

\[
u_1^{\epsilon_1} v_1^{(p-1)i_1} \cdots v_s^{(p-1)i_s} u_s^{\epsilon_s}, \quad \epsilon_i \in \{0, 1\}, 1 \leq i \leq s, i_1 \geq \epsilon_1,
\]

and let \(\Gamma_s^+ := \Gamma_s \cap \Delta_s^+\).
From [27], \( \Gamma^+ := \{ \Gamma_s^+ \}_{s \geq 0} \) is a graded differential \( \mathbb{F}_p \)-module with the differential induced by

\[
\partial(u_1^{i_1}v_1^{j_1} \cdots u_s^{i_s}v_s^{j_s}) = \begin{cases} 
(-1)^{s_1 + \cdots + s_j - 1}u_1^{i_1}v_1^{j_1} \cdots u_{s-1}^{i_{s-1}}v_{s-1}^{j_{s-1}}, & \epsilon_s = -i_s = 1; \\
0, & \text{otherwise}, 
\end{cases}
\]

(2.2)

where \( \Gamma_0^+ = \mathbb{F}_p \).

For any \( A \)-module \( M \), define the stable total power \( S_s(x_1, y_1, \ldots, x_s, y_s; m) \), for \( m \in M \), as follows (see Hûng-Sum [27])

\[
S_s(x_1, y_1, \ldots, x_s, y_s; m) := \sum_{i, j, k \geq 0} (-1)^{s_1 + \cdots + s_j + i} u_1^{i_1}v_1^{j_1} \cdots u_{s-1}^{i_{s-1}}v_{s-1}^{j_{s-1}} - (p-1)i_j - \epsilon_s \otimes \left( \beta^{i_1} P^{j_1} \cdots \beta^{i_s} P^{j_s} \right)(m).
\]

For convenience, we put \( S_s(m) := S_s(x_1, y_1, \ldots, x_s, y_s; m) \), and \( S_s(M) := \{ S_s(m) : m \in M \} \).

Then \( \Gamma^+ M := \{ (\Gamma^+ M)_s \}_{s \geq 0} \), where \( (\Gamma^+ M)_0 := M \) and \( (\Gamma^+ M)_s := \Gamma_s^+ S_s(M) \), is a differential \( \mathbb{F}_p \)-module. For \( v = \sum_{\epsilon, \ell} v_{\epsilon, \ell} u_1^{i_1}v_1^{j_1} \cdots u_{s-1}^{i_{s-1}}v_{s-1}^{j_{s-1}}(p-1)i_j - \epsilon \in \Gamma_{s-1}^+ \) and \( m \in M \), where \( v_{\epsilon, \ell} \in \Gamma_{s-1}^+ \), the differential in \( \Gamma^+ M \) is given by

\[
\partial(vS_s(m)) = (-1)^{\deg v + 1} \sum_{\epsilon, \ell} \left( -1 \right)^{\ell} v_{\epsilon, \ell} S_{s-1}(\beta^{1-\epsilon} P^\ell m).\]

(2.3)

In [27], Hûng and Sum show that \( H_s(\Gamma^+ M) \cong \text{Tor}_{p}^A(\mathbb{F}_p, M) \) for any \( A \)-module \( M \). Therefore, \( \Gamma^+ M \) is a suitable complex to compute \( \text{Tor}_{p}^A(\mathbb{F}_p, M) \).

### 2.2. The lambda algebra and the Dyer-Lashof algebra

In [2], Bousfield et. al. define the lambda algebra (see also Bousfield-Kan [3]), that is a differential algebra for computing the cohomology of the Steenrod algebra. Hûng and Sum show, in [27], that \( \Gamma^+ \) is isomorphic to the dual of the lambda algebra as differential \( \mathbb{F}_p \)-modules. However, it is difficult to extend their isomorphism to an isomorphism between chain complexes \( \Gamma^+ M \) and \( A^\# \otimes M \) because the sign is not compatible. To overcome the difficulty, here we use the opposite algebra of the lambda algebra (see Priddy [13]), which corresponds to the original lambda algebra under the anticomorphism of differential \( \mathbb{F}_p \)-modules. It is also denoted by \( \Lambda \) and called the lambda algebra in the literature.

Recall that \( \Lambda \) is the graded, associative, with unite differential algebra over \( \mathbb{F}_p \) generated by \( \lambda_{i-1} \) \((i > 0)\) of degree \( 2i(p-1) - 1 \) and \( \mu_{j-1} \) \((j \geq 0)\) of degree \( 2j(p-1) \) satisfying the adem relations (see [2] [13], [25] and [13])

\[
\sum_{i+j=n} \binom{i+j}{i} \lambda_{i-1+pm} \lambda_{j-1+m} = 0, \\
\sum_{i+j=n} \binom{i+j}{i} (\lambda_{i-1+pm} \mu_{j-1+m} - \mu_{i-1+pm} \lambda_{j-1+m}) = 0.
\]
for all $m \geq 1$ and $n \geq 0$; and
\[
\sum_{i+j=n} \binom{i+j}{i} \lambda_{i-pm} \mu_{j-1+m} = 0,
\]
\[
\sum_{i+j=n} \binom{i+j}{i} \mu_{i+pm} \mu_{j-1+m} = 0,
\]
for all $m \geq 0$ and $n \geq 0$.

The differential is given by
\[
d(\lambda_{n-1}) = \sum_{i+j=n} \binom{i+j}{i} \lambda_{i-1} \lambda_{j-1},
\]
\[
d(\mu_{n-1}) = \sum_{i+j=n} \binom{i+j}{i} (\lambda_{i-1} \mu_{j-1} - \mu_{i-1} \lambda_{j-1}),
\]
\[
d(\sigma \tau) = (-1)^{\deg \sigma} \sigma d(\tau) + d(\sigma) \tau.
\]

For convenience, we denote $\lambda^1_{s-1} = \lambda_{s-1}$ and the $\lambda^0_{s-1} = \mu_{s-1}$. Let $\Lambda_s$ denote the subspace of $\Lambda$ spanned by all monomial $\lambda^*_i - \lambda^*_j$ of the length $s$. By the adem relations, $\Lambda_s$ has an additive basis consisting of all admissible monomials (which are monomials of the form $\lambda_I = \lambda^*_{i_1} \cdots \lambda^*_{i_s} \in \Lambda_s$ satisfying $p \epsilon_k - \epsilon_k \geq i_{k-1}$ for $2 \leq k \leq s$).

Given an $A$-module $M$, $\Lambda \otimes M^\#$ is a suitable complex for computing $\text{Ext}^\ast_A(M, \mathbb{F}_p)$, with the differential given by, for $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $h \in M^\#$,
\[
d(\lambda \otimes h) = d(\lambda) \otimes h + \sum_{i-\epsilon \geq 0} (-1)^{\deg \lambda + (1-\epsilon) \deg h} \lambda \lambda_{i-1} \otimes h \beta^{1-\epsilon} P^i.
\]

Using the same method of Hưng-Sum [27] with a bit modification by multiplying $-1$ to the right hand side of definitions of both operations $\rho$ and $\chi$ (see [27] Section 5]), it is easy to show that the map $\nu = \{\nu_s\}_{s \geq 0}$, where $\nu_s : \Gamma^+ \to \Lambda^\#$ is given by
\[
\nu_s(u_1^{\epsilon_1} u_2^{\epsilon_2} \cdots u_s^{\epsilon_s}) = (-1)^{i_1 + \cdots + i_s + \sum \epsilon_k \rho_k} (\lambda^*_{i_1} \cdots \lambda^*_{i_s})^s,
\]
is an isomorphism of differential $\mathbb{F}_p$-modules. Moreover, for $A$-module $M$, the map $\nu^M := \{\nu^M_s\}_{s \geq 0} : \Gamma^+ M \to \Lambda^\# \otimes M$ given by $\nu^M_s(v \lambda^*_s(m)) = \nu_s(v) \otimes m$, for $v \in \Gamma^+_s$ and $m \in M$, is an isomorphism of differential $\mathbb{F}_p$-modules.

An important quotient algebra of $\Lambda$ is the mod $p$ Dyer-Lashof algebra $R$, which is also well-known as the algebra of homology operations acting on the homology of infinite loop spaces.

For any monomial $\lambda_I = \lambda^*_{i_1} \cdots \lambda^*_{i_s} \in \Lambda_s$, we define the excess of $\lambda_I$ or of $I$ to be
\[
e(\lambda_I) = e(I) = 2i_1 - \epsilon_1 - \sum_{k=2}^s 2(p-1)i_k + \sum_{k=2}^s \epsilon_k.
\]
Then, the mod $p$ Dyer-Lashof algebra is the quotient algebra of $\Lambda$ over the (two-side) ideal generated by all monomials of negative excess (see Curtis [16], Wellington [43]).

Let $Q^I = \beta^{e_1} Q^{i_1} \cdots \beta^{e_s} Q^{i_s}$ denote the image of $\lambda_I$ under the canonical projection, and let $R_s$ denote the subspace of $R$ spanned by all monomials of length $s$, then $R_s$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}[s]^\#$ as $A$-coalgebras, where the $A$-action on $R$ is given by the Nishida’s relation (see May [12]).
From the above result, we observe that the restriction of \( \nu_s \) on \( \mathcal{B}[s] \) is isomorphism between \( \mathcal{B}[s] \) and \( R_s^p \).

3. The cohomology of the Steenrod algebra

In this section, we construct a spectral sequence to compute \( \text{Ext}^s_A(P, \mathbb{F}_p) \). This spectral sequence is a generalized version of one used in Lin [35] and Chen [5] for \( p \) odd.

Let \( H := H_*(B\mathbb{Z}/p) = P^\# \). It is known that \( H \) has an \( \mathbb{F}_p \)-basis consisting of all elements

\[
\{ a^\epsilon b^i : \epsilon = 0, 1, s \geq 0, t + \epsilon > 0 \},
\]

where \( a, b^i \) are respectively the dual of \( x \) and \( y^i \) in \( P \). In addition, \( H \) admits a right \( \mathbb{A} \)-module structure, with \( \mathbb{A} \)-action given by

\[
b^i \theta \rightarrow P^k = \left( \frac{t - (p - 1)k - \epsilon}{k} \right) a^\epsilon b^i \left[ t - (p - 1)k - \epsilon \right], \tag{3.1}\]

and \( \theta \) acting trivially on \( a \) for \( \theta \in \tilde{\mathbb{A}} \), where \( \tilde{\mathbb{A}} \) is the augmentation ideal of \( \mathbb{A} \).

Recall that \( \Lambda \) denote the lambda algebra. Then \( \Lambda \otimes H \) is a suitable complex to compute \( \text{Ext}^s_A(P, \mathbb{F}_p) \). The differential of \( \Lambda \otimes H \) is given by, for \( \lambda \in \Lambda \),

\[
d(\lambda \otimes a^\epsilon b^i) = d(\lambda) \otimes a^\epsilon b^i + \sum_{i - \delta \geq 0} (-1)^{\deg \lambda + (1 - \delta) \epsilon} \times \left( \frac{t - (p - 1))i - 1 + \delta}{i} \right) \lambda \lambda_{i - 1}^s \otimes a^{\epsilon - 1 - \delta} b^{i - (p - 1)i - 1 + \delta}. \tag{3.2}\]

From Liulevicius [36, 37] and May [38], there exists a power operation

\[
\mathcal{P}_0 : \text{Ext}^{s+t}_A(\mathbb{F}_p, \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^{s,p(1+t)}_A(\mathbb{F}_p, \mathbb{F}_p).
\]

Its chain-level representation in the lambda algebra is given by

\[
\mathcal{P}_0 : \Lambda_s \rightarrow \Lambda_s \ni \lambda_{1}^{t_1} \cdots \lambda_{s}^{t_s} \rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \lambda_{p_1}^{\epsilon_1} \cdots \lambda_{p_s}^{\epsilon_s}, & \epsilon_1 = \cdots = \epsilon_s = 1, \\
0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{array} \right.
\]

The operation \( \mathcal{P}_0 \) respects the adem relations and commutes with the differential in \( \Lambda \).

Define the operation \( \theta : H_t \rightarrow H_{p(t+1)-1} \) given by

\[
\theta(a^\epsilon b^i) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} ab^{p(t+1)-1}, & \epsilon = 1, \\
0, & \epsilon = 0, \end{array} \right.
\]

which is the dual of the so-called Kameko operation [39] (see also Minami [39] for an odd prime \( p \)). Since, \( \theta(ab^i)P^t = (\theta(ab^i))P^t \), there exists an operation, which is also denoted by \( \mathcal{P}_0 \), acting on the chain complex \( \Lambda \otimes H \), given as follows

\[
\lambda_{1}^{t_1} \cdots \lambda_{s}^{t_s} \otimes a^\epsilon b^i \rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \lambda_{p_1}^{\epsilon_1} \cdots \lambda_{p_s}^{\epsilon_s} \otimes ab^{p(t+1)-1}, & \epsilon_1 = \cdots = \epsilon_s = 1, \\
0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{array} \right.
\]

The latter commutes with the differential given in (3.2). Therefore, it induces an operation also denoted by \( \mathcal{P}_0 \) acting on \( \text{Ext}^{s+s}_A(P, \mathbb{F}_p) \).

The Ext groups \( \text{Ext}^{s}_A(P, \mathbb{F}_p) \) for \( s \leq 1 \) are given by the following theorems.
Theorem 3.1 ( Cf. [14] Theorem 1.1)]. The Ext group \( \text{Ext}^{0,t}_A(P, \mathbb{F}_p) \) has an \( \mathbb{F}_p \)-basis consisting of all elements

\[
(1) \quad \hat{h}_i := \left[ ab^{(p-1)p^i-1} \right] \in \text{Ext}^{0,2(p-1)p^i-1}_A(P, \mathbb{F}_p), \quad i \geq 0;
(2) \quad \hat{h}_i(k) := \left[ ab^{kp^i-1} \right] \in \text{Ext}^{0,2kp^i-1}_A(P, \mathbb{F}_p), \quad i \geq 0, 1 \leq k < p - 1.
\]

Theorem 3.2. The Ext group \( \text{Ext}^{1,1+t}_A(P, \mathbb{F}_p) \) has an \( \mathbb{F}_p \)-basis consisting of all elements given by the following list:

\[
(1) \quad \alpha_0 \hat{h}_i = \left[ \lambda_0^1 ab^{(p-1)p^i-1} \right], \quad i \geq 1;
(2) \quad \alpha_0 \hat{h}_i(k) = \left[ \lambda_0^1 ab^{kp^i-1} \right], \quad i \geq 1, 1 \leq k < p - 1;
(3) \quad \hat{g}(\ell) = \left[ \lambda_{\ell+1}^1 ab^{p+\ell} + (\ell + 1)\lambda_{0}^1 ab^{\ell+1} \right], \quad 0 \leq \ell < p - 2;
(4) \quad \hat{h}_i(1) = \left[ \lambda_1^1 a^{(p-1)p^i-1} \right], \quad i \geq 0;
(5) \quad \hat{h}_i j = \left[ \lambda_{i}^1 a^{(p-1)p^j-1} \right], \quad i, j \geq 0, j \neq i, i + 1;
(6) \quad \hat{h}_i j(k) = \left[ \lambda_{i}^1 a^{kp^j-1} \right], \quad i, j \geq 0, j \neq i, i + 1, 1 \leq r < p - 1;
(7) \quad \hat{d}(k) = (P^0)^{1-i} \left( \lambda_{0}^1 a^{kp+p-2} \right), \quad i \geq 1, 1 \leq k \leq p - 1;
(8) \quad \hat{k}(k) = (P^0)^{1} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{k} \frac{1}{j+1} \lambda_1^1 ab^{p(j-1)kp+j} \right), \quad i \geq 0, 1 \leq k \leq p - 1;
(9) \quad \hat{p}(r) = (P^0)^{1} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \frac{1}{j+1} \lambda_1^1 ab^{p(j-r+1)kp+j} \right), \quad i \geq 0, 1 \leq r < p - 1.
\]

The decomposable elements in \( \text{Ext}^{s,s+1}_A(P, \mathbb{F}_p) \) for \( s \leq 1 \) satisfy only the following relations:

- \( h_i \hat{h}_{i+1} = 0, \quad i \geq 0; \)
- \( h_i \hat{h}_{i+1}(k) = 0, \quad i \geq 0, 1 \leq k < p - 1; \)
- \( h_i \hat{h}_{i+1} = 0, \quad i \geq 0; \)
- \( h_i h_i(k) = 0, \quad i \geq 0, 2 \leq k < p - 1; \)
- \( \alpha_0 h_0 = 0; \) and
- \( \alpha_0 \hat{h}_0(k) = 0, \quad 1 \leq k < p - 1. \)

In order to prove two above theorems, we need to construct a spectral sequence to compute \( \text{Ext}^{s}_A(P, \mathbb{F}_p) \) as follows.

We filter the complex \( \Lambda \otimes H \) by the filtration \( F^n := F^n(\Lambda \otimes H), n \geq 0 \) where \( F^0(\Lambda \otimes H) := 0 \) and for \( n > 0, \)

\[
F^n(\Lambda \otimes H) := \{ \lambda \otimes h \in \Lambda \otimes H : |h| \leq n \}.
\]

Since [3.2], it is clear that \( F^n(\Lambda \otimes H) \) is a subcomplex of \( \Lambda \otimes H \) satisfying \( \bigcup_n F^n(\Lambda \otimes H) = \Lambda \otimes H \) and \( \cap_n F^n(\Lambda \otimes H) = 0. \) Therefore, the filtration gives rise to a spectral sequence converging to \( \text{Ext}^{s}_A(P, \mathbb{F}_p) \). The differential \( d_r : E_r^{n,s,t} \longrightarrow E_r^{n-r,s+1,t-1} \) is an \( \mathbb{F}_p \)-linear map.

In the spectral sequence, \( n \) is the filtration degree, \( s \) is the homological degree, \( t \) is the internal degree and \( s + t \) is the total degree.

It is easy to see that \( E_0^{n,s,t} = (F^n(\Lambda \otimes H))/F^{n-1}(\Lambda \otimes H) \) is a \( \Sigma_n \Lambda \), therefore,

\[
E_1^{n,s,t} = H^s(E_0^{n,s,t}) \cong \Sigma_n \text{Ext}^{s,s+1-n}_A(P, \mathbb{F}_p),
\]

and \( E^{n,s,t} \cong (F^nH^s(\Lambda \otimes H))/F^{n-1}H^s(\Lambda \otimes H) \), where \( F^nH^s(\Lambda \otimes H) := \text{im}(H^s(F^n(\Lambda \otimes H)) \longrightarrow H^s(\Lambda \otimes H)) \).
Therefore, $\oplus_{n\geq 1}E_{n,s,t}^* \cong \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{s,s+t}(P_\ast\mathbb{F}_p)$.

Basing on the results of Liulevicius [27] (see also Aikawa [1]), we get the $E_{1}^{*,0,*}$ has a $\mathbb{F}_p$-basis consisting of all elements

- $a^tb^i, t + \epsilon \geq 1$;

the $E_{1}^{*,1,*}$ has a $\mathbb{F}_p$-basis consisting of all elements

- $\alpha_0a^tb^i = [\lambda^0_1a^tb^i], t + \epsilon \geq 1$;
- $h_i\alpha^tb^i = [\lambda_0^{i+1}a^tb^i], i \geq 0, t + \epsilon \geq 1$;

and the $E_{1}^{*,2,*}$ has an $\mathbb{F}_p$-basis consisting of all elements

- $h_ih_j\alpha^tb^i = [\lambda^1_{p^j-1}\lambda^j_{p^i-1}a^tb^i], 0 \leq i < j - 1, t + \epsilon \geq 1$;
- $\alpha_0h_i\alpha^tb^i = [\lambda^1_1a^tb^i], t + \epsilon \geq 1$;
- $\alpha^0\alpha^2b^i = [(\lambda^0_1)^2a^tb^i], t + \epsilon \geq 1$;
- $h_i;\alpha^2b^i = [\lambda^1_1a^tb^i], i \geq 0, t + \epsilon \geq 1$;
- $h_i;\alpha^2b^i = [\lambda^1_1a^tb^i], i \geq 0, t + \epsilon \geq 1$;
- $\lambda^2a^tb^i = [\sum_{j=1}^{(p-1)^{i+1}}\lambda^1_{(p-j)p^i-1}a^tb^i], i \geq 0, t + \epsilon \geq 1$.

Here we denote $E_{*}^{*,s,*} = \oplus_{n,t}E_{n,s,t}$ and $E_{\infty}^{*,s,*} = \oplus_{n,t}E_{\infty,n,s,t}$.

We will write $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ for meaning that $\alpha$ and $\beta$ survive to $E_r$ and $d_r(\alpha) = \beta$ for some $r$, therefore, both $\alpha$ and $\beta$ do not survive to $E^{*,s,*}_r$. In such case, the element $\beta$ is a boundary, and it is supported by $\alpha$.

The differential $E_{r}^{*,0,*} \xrightarrow{d_r} E_{r}^{*,1,*}$ is given by the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.3.** The non-trivial differentials $E_{r}^{*,0,*} \xrightarrow{d_r} E_{r}^{*,1,*}$ are listed as follows:

1. $b^i \xrightarrow{\alpha_0ab^{i-1}, \text{ for } t \geq 1}$;
2. $ab^{(mp+k)p^i-1} \xrightarrow{-(k+1)h_iab^{((m-1)p+k+1)p^i-1}}, \text{ for } i \geq 0, 1 \leq k \leq p - 1, m \geq 1$.

**Proof of Theorem 3.1.** The formula (2) of Lemma 3.3 implies that the element $ab^{(mp-1)}$ is an infinite cycle and it survives to $E_{r}^{*,0,*}$ for $i \geq 0$ and $1 \leq k \leq p - 1$.

The proof is complete. □

The differential $E_{r}^{*,1,*} \xrightarrow{d_r} E_{r}^{*,2,*}$ is given by the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.4.** The non-trivial differentials $E_{r}^{*,1,*} \xrightarrow{d_r} E_{r}^{*,2,*}$ are listed as follows:

1. $\alpha_0b^i \xrightarrow{\alpha_0\alpha_0b^{i-1}, \text{ for } t \geq 1}$;
2. $\alpha_0ab^{mp\ell} \xrightarrow{-(\ell^2+2)ab^{((m-2)p+\ell+2)}, \text{ for } 0 \leq \ell \leq p - 2, m \geq 2}$;
3. $\alpha_0ab^{((mp+k)p^i-1)} \xrightarrow{(k+1)h_iab^{((m-1)p+k+1)p^i-1}}, \text{ for } i \geq 1, 1 \leq m \leq 1$;
4. $\alpha_0ab^{((mp+k)p^i-p^i-p^2-2)} \xrightarrow{(k+1)h_ia^tb^iab^{((m-1)p+k+1)p^i-p^i-p^2-2}}, \text{ for } i \geq 1, m \geq 1$;
5. $h_iab^i \xrightarrow{h_i\alpha_0ab^{i-1}, \text{ for } i \geq 1, t \geq 1}$;
6. $ab^{mp\ell} \xrightarrow{1/2(\ell-1)ab^{(m+1)p+\ell}}, \text{ for } m \geq 1 \text{ and } \ell \neq 1$;
7. $ab^{((mp+r)p^i-2^j+2p^2+1)} \xrightarrow{1/2(\ell-1)ab^{(m+1)p+\ell}}, \text{ for } i \geq 2, m \geq 1, 0 \leq j < i$;
8. $h_iab^{((mp+k)p^i-1)} \xrightarrow{1/2(\ell-1)ab^{(m+1)p+\ell}}, \text{ for } i \geq 1, m \geq 1, 0 \leq j < i$;
The proof of Theorem 5.2 is proceeded through two steps. In the first step, we compute the $\mathbb{F}_p$-basis for $E^{*,1,\ast}_\infty$; and in the second step, we find the representation in the chain complex $\Lambda \otimes H$ of the element of the basis in the first step.

First, the $\mathbb{F}_p$-basis of $E^{*,1,\ast}_\infty$ is given by the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.5.** The infinite term $E^{*,1,\ast}_\infty$ has a $\mathbb{F}_p$-basis consisting of all elements given in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Represented by</th>
<th>$t$</th>
<th>Range of indicators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha_0 ab[(p-1)p^i-1]$</td>
<td>$2(p-1)p^i-1$</td>
<td>$i \geq 1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha_0 ab[(kp^i-1]$</td>
<td>$2kp^i-1$</td>
<td>$i \geq 1, 1 \leq k &lt; p-1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha_0 ab[(p+\ell)^i]$</td>
<td>$2(p+\ell)+1$</td>
<td>$0 \leq \ell &lt; p-2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h_{\ast,ab}[p^i-1]$</td>
<td>$2(p-1)p^i+2p^i-2$</td>
<td>$i \geq 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h_{\ast,ab}[p^i(p-1)]$</td>
<td>$2(p-1)(p^i+p^i)-2$</td>
<td>$0 \leq j, i; j \neq i, i+1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h_{\ast,ab}[kp^i-1]$</td>
<td>$2(p-1)p^i+2kp^i-2$</td>
<td>$0 \leq j, i; j \neq i, i+1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h_{\ast,ab}[kp^i(p-1)p^i-1]$</td>
<td>$2(p-1)(p^i+p^i+1)+2kp^i-2$</td>
<td>$i \geq 1, 1 \leq k &lt; p-1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h_{\ast,ab}[kp^i(p^i+1)p^i-1]$</td>
<td>$2(k+1)p^i+1-2$</td>
<td>$i \geq 0, 1 \leq k &lt; p-1$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Table 1.** The generators of $E^{*,1,\ast}_\infty$.

Proof. Since (3.3.11), the element $\alpha_0 ab[i]$ is not an infinite cycle. Thus, we need only to consider the elements $\alpha_0 ab[i]$ for $t \geq 0$, $h_{\ast,ab}[i], i \geq 0, t > 0$ and $h_{\ast,ab}[i], i \geq 0, t \geq 0$.

The case $\alpha_0 ab[t]$ for $t \geq 0$. From (2) of Lemma 5.3, it is easy to see that $\alpha_0 ab[t]$ and $\alpha_0 ab[p^i+\ell]$, for $1 \leq \ell \leq p-1$, are infinite cycles. The first element is a boundary supported by $b[\ell+1]$. Therefore, we have the following relations:

$\alpha_0 \widehat{h}_0 = 0; \quad \alpha_0 \widehat{h}_0(k) = 0, 1 \leq k < p-1$. 


The second element is also a boundary supported by \(b^{[b+\ell+1]}\). However, in \(\Lambda \otimes H\), we get
\[
d(b^{[b+\ell+1]}) = \lambda_0^0 ab^{[b+\ell]} + (\ell + 2)\lambda_0^1 b^{[\ell+2]} \mod F^{2(\ell+2)-1}. \tag{3.3}
\]
Since, in \(\Lambda\), \(\lambda_0^1 b^{[\ell+2]} = 0\), it follows that \(\lambda_0^1 b^{[\ell+2]}\) is a cycle for \(\ell < p - 2\). Therefore, \(h_0 b^{[\ell+2]}\) is an infinite cycle in the spectral sequence, and then, \(\alpha_0 ab^{[p+\ell]}\) survives to \(E_\infty^{*,*}\) represented by \((\ell + 2)h_0 b^{[\ell+2]}\) of lower filtration degree.

It is easy to see that, from (3.3), \(\alpha_0 ab^{[2p-2]}\) does not survive to \(E_\infty^{*,*}\).

For \(\ell = p - 1\), since \(\lambda_0^0 ab^{[2p-1]}\) is a cycle in \(\Lambda \otimes H\), from (3.3), it implies that \(h_0 b^{[p+1]} = [\lambda_0^1 b^{[p+1]}]\) is an infinite cycle in the spectral sequence. Hence, \(\alpha_0 ab^{[2p-1]}\) survives to \(E_\infty^{*,*}\).

From (2) of Lemma 3.4 it is sufficient to consider \(\alpha_0 ab^{[mp-1]}\) and \(\alpha_0 ab^{[mp+p-2]}\) for \(m \geq 2\).

In addition, by the formulas (3) and (4) of Lemma 3.4, we obtain that the element \(\alpha_0 ab^{[kp-1]}\) and \(\alpha_0 ab^{[kp+p-2]}\), for \(1 \leq k \leq p - 1\), are infinite cycles.

From (1) of Lemma 3.4 it is easy to see that \(\alpha_0 ab^{[ kp - 1]}\) and \(\alpha_0 ab^{[ kp - p - 2]}\) are boundaries and they are respectively supported by \(b^{[kp]}\) and \(b^{[kp-1]}\). However, in \(\Lambda \otimes H\), we have
\[
d(b^{[kp-1]}) = \lambda_0^0 ab^{[kp-1]} + \lambda_0^1 b^{[kp-1]} \mod F^{2(kp-p+1)-1}; \tag{3.4}
\]
and
\[
d(b^{[kp+p-2]}) = \lambda_0^0 ab^{[kp-p+p-2]}. \tag{3.5}
\]

The second formula implies that the element \(\alpha_0 ab^{[kp-1]}\) does not survive to \(E_\infty^{*,*}\). Since \(\lambda_0^1 b^{[kp-1]}\) represents \(h_0 b^{[kp-1]}\) and \(\lambda_0^0 ab^{[kp-1]}\) is a cycle in \(\Lambda \otimes H\), it follows that \(h_0 b^{[kp-1]}\) is an infinite cycle in the spectral sequence. Therefore, \(\alpha_0 ab^{[kp-1]}\) survives and represents the elements \(\alpha_0 \hat{h}_i \neq 0\) and \(\alpha_0 \hat{h}_i(k) \neq 0\) for \(i \geq 1\) and \(1 \leq k < p - 1\).

Basing above computation, we obtain three first generators in Table 1.

**The case** \(h_0 b^{[\ell]}\) **for** \(t > 0\). From (5) of Lemma 3.4 it is sufficient to consider \(h_0 b^{[\ell]}\) for \(t \geq 1\). In addition, by (3) of Lemma 3.4 the element \(h_0 b^{[\ell]}, 1 \leq \ell \leq p - 1\), is an infinite cycle. It is clear that it is in a boundary supported by \(b^{[p+\ell-1]}\). However, in \(\Lambda \otimes H\), one gets
\[
d\left(b^{[p+\ell-1]}\right) = \lambda_0^0 ab^{[p-1+\ell-1]} + \ell \lambda_0^1 b^{[\ell]} \mod F^{2(\ell-1)}.
\]

It follows that \(h_0 b^{[\ell]}\) survives to \(E_\infty^{*,*}\) and represents the element \(\alpha_0 ab^{[p+\ell-2]}\).

For \(k \geq 1\), by (10) of Lemma 3.4 it is sufficient to consider the case \(\ell = 1\).

By (7) of Lemma 3.4 the element \(h_0 b^{[p' - p + 1]}\) is an infinite cycle in the spectral sequence.

For \(k = p - 1\), basing on (3.4), we obtain that \(h_0 b^{[p' - p + 1]}\) represents the element \(-\alpha_0 \hat{h}_i\) for \(i \geq 1\).
For \( k < p - 1 \), it is easy to see that \( h_0b^{[p^i - p^2 + kp + 1]} \) is a boundary supported by \( b^{[p^i - p^2 + (k+1)p]} \). Furthermore, in \( \Lambda \otimes H \), one gets

\[
d \left( b^{[p^i - p^2 + (k+1)p]} \right) = \lambda_{p-1}^0 ab^{[p^i - p^2 + (k+1)p - 1]}
\]

\[
+ \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \lambda_{j-1}^1 b^{[p^i - p^2 + (k-j+1)p + j]}
\]

\[
+ (k + 2) \lambda_{p-1}^1 b^{[p^i - 2p^2 + (k+2)p]}
\]

mod \( F^2(p^i - 2p^2 + (k+2)p - 1) \).

Since the first term of the right hand side represents \( \alpha_0 ab^{[p^i - p^2 + (k+1)p - 1]} \), which does not survive to \( E^*_{\infty,1} \) (see the first case), it implies that \( h_0b^{[p^i - p^2 + kp + 1]} \) does not survive to \( E^*_{\infty,1} \).

Thus, this case does not give us any new generator.

**The case** \( h_iab^{[l]} \) for \( t \geq 0 \). From (8) of Lemma 3.3 it follows that \( h_iab^{[kp - 1]}, 1 \leq k \leq p - 1 \), is an infinite cycle. It is easy to see that \( h_iab^{[kp - 1]} \) is not a boundary; and, then, it represents the elements \( h_i\hat{h}_j \neq 0 \) for \( 0 \leq j < i \) and \( h_i\hat{h}_j(k) \neq 0 \) for \( 0 \leq j < i, 1 \leq k < p - 1 \).

Since \( (k+2) \) is zero if and only if \( k = p - 2 \) or \( k = p - 1 \), by (9) of Lemma 3.4 it is sufficient to consider two cases:

(a) \( h_iab^{[mp^i + (p-1)p^i - 1]} \) for \( i \geq 1, m \geq 1 \); and

(b) \( h_iab^{[mp^i + p^i - 1]} \) for \( i \geq 1, m \geq 1 \).

First, we consider the element (a). By (10) of Lemma 3.4 for \( 1 \leq k \leq p - 1 \), the element \( h_iab^{[kp^i + (p-1)p^i - 1]} \) is an infinite cycle.

In addition, it is in a boundary supported by \( ab^{[p^i + (k-1)p^i + (p-1)p^i - 1]} \). However, in \( \Lambda \otimes H \), one gets

\[
d \left( ab^{[p^i + (k-1)p^i + (p-1)p^i - 1]} \right) = \lambda_{p-1}^1 ab^{[p^i + (k-2)p^i + p^i - 1]}
\]

\[
- k\lambda_{p-1}^1 ab^{[kp^i + (p-1)p^i - 1]}
\]

mod \( F^2(kp^i + (p-1)p^i - 1) \).

Since the second term of the right hand side of the formula is cycles in \( \Lambda \otimes H \) and the first term represents the element \( h_iab^{[p^i + (k-2)p^i + p^i - 1]} \), then it is an infinite cycle. Hence, \( h_iab^{[kp^i + (p-1)p^i - 1]} \) survives and represents a non-trivial in \( E^*_{\infty,1} \).

Also by (10) of Lemma 3.4 for \( m \geq 1 \) the element (a) reduces to the case \( k = 1 \), namely, \( h_iab^{[mp^i + p^i + (p-1)p^i - 1]} \).

It is clear that if \( 1 \leq m \leq p - 1 \), then \( h_iab^{[mp^i + p^i + (p-1)p^i - 1]} \) is also an infinite cycle and it is in a boundary supported by \( ab^{[(m+1)p^i + (p-1)p^i - 1]} \).

In \( \Lambda \otimes H \), we get

\[
d \left( ab^{[(m+1)p^i + (p-1)p^i - 1]} \right) = \lambda_{p-1}^1 ab^{[(m+1)p^i + p^i - 1]}
\]

\[
- \lambda_{p-1}^1 ab^{[mp^i + p^i + (p-1)p^i - 1]}
\]

mod \( F^2(mp^i + p^i + (p-1)p^i - 1) \).

It follows that \( h_iab^{[mp^i + p^i + (p-1)p^i - 1]} \) survives to \( E^*_{\infty,1} \), and it represents the element \( \hat{h}_{i+1}(m + 1) \neq 0 \) for \( i \geq 1 \). It should be noted that when \( m = p - 2 \) and \( m = p - 1 \), the element \( \hat{h}_{i+1}(m + 1) \) is respectively equal to \( \hat{h}_{i+1} \) and \( \hat{h}_{i+2}(1) \).
When \( m \geq p \), put \( u = (p - 1)p^{i - 1} - 1 \). From (11) of Lemma 3.4 the element (a) reduces to two cases:

(a.1) \( h_iab[(mp+k)p^{i+2}+(p-1)p^{i+1}+p^i+u] \); and

(a.2) \( h_iab[(mp+k)p^{i+2}+(p-1)p^{i+1}+p^i+u] \).

First, we treat the element (a.1).

From (12) of Lemma 3.4 it implies that \( h_iab[(kp^i-p^{i+2}+(p-2)p^{i+1}+p^i+u] \) is an infinite cycle. It is easy to check that, in the spectral sequence, it is in a boundary supported by \( ab[(kp^i-p^{i+2}+(p-1)p^{i+1}+p^i+u] \). In addition, in \( \Lambda \otimes H \),

\[
d(ab[kp^i-p^{i+1}+(p-1)p^{i-1}-1]) = -\lambda^1_{p^i-1} - ab[kp^i-p^{i+1}-1] \mod F^2(kp^i-p^{i+2}+(p-2)p^{i+1}+p^i+u).
\]

Since the first term of the right hand side of the formula represents an element which does not survives to \( E^*_{\infty} \), it follows that \( h_iab[(kp^i-p^{i+2}+(p-2)p^{i+1}+p^i+u] \) is a boundary, and then, it does also not survives to \( E^*_{\infty} \).

Second, we treat the element (a.2).

From (13) of Lemma 3.4 it follows that \( h_iab[(kp^i-p^{i+1}+p^i+(p-1)p^{i-1}-1] \) is an infinite cycle. It is easy to see that \( h_iab[(kp^i-p^{i+2}+p^i+(p-1)p^{i-1}-1] \) is in a boundary supported by \( ab[(kp^i+p^i+(p-1)p^{i-1}-1] \). However, in \( \Lambda \otimes H \), one gets

\[
d(ab[kp^i+(p-1)p^{i-1}-1]) = -\lambda^1_{p^i-1}ab[kp^i-1] \mod F^2(kp^i-p^{i+1}+p^i+(p-1)p^{i-1}-1)\]

It follows that, in the spectral sequence, \( h_iab[(kp^i-p^{i+1}+p^i+(p-1)p^{i-1}-1] \) survives to \( E^*_{\infty} \) and represents the element \( h_{i-1}h_j(k) \neq 0 \), for \( j \geq i + 2, 1 \leq k \leq p - 1 \), in \( E^*_{\infty} \).

Next, we move on the element (b). It is easy to check that, for \( m \leq p - 1 \), the element \( h_iab[(m+1)p^{i-1}-1] \) represents the element \( h_ih_{i+1}(m+1) \). However, in \( \Lambda \otimes H \), one gets

\[
d(ab[p^{i+1}+mp^{i-1}]) = m\lambda^1_{p^i-1}ab[(m+1)p^{i-1}] \mod F^2((m+1)p^{i-1}).
\]

Therefore, we obtain relations \( h_ih_1 = 0, h_ih_2(k) = 0 \) for \( i \geq 1, 2 \leq k < p - 1 \) and \( h_{i+1}(1) \neq 0 \) for \( i \geq 1 \).

From (13) of Lemma 3.3 it follows that the element \( h_iab[(mp+k)p^{i+1}+rp^i+p^i-1] \) is not an infinite cycle, for \( m \geq 0, r = 0 \) and \( k = p - 1 \).

Hence, the element (b) reduces to the following cases:

(b.1) \( h_iab[(kp^i+rp^i+p^i-1] \) for \( k \leq p - 2 \);

(b.2) \( h_iab[\{(p-1)p^{i+1}+rp^i+p^i-1] \) for \( r > 0 \);

(b.3) \( h_iab[(mp+k)p^{i+1}+rp^i+p^i-1] \) for \( m > 0 \) and \( r > 0 \).

It should be noted that the two first cases are infinite cycles in the spectral sequence.

By inspection, it is easy to verify that, in \( \Lambda \otimes H \),

\[
d(ab[(k+1)p^{i+1}+(r-1)p^{i}+p^{i-1}]) = r\lambda^1_{p^i-1}ab[(kp^{i+1}+rp^i+p^i-1] \mod F^2(kp^{i+1}+rp^i+p^i-1).
Therefore, the element (b.1) is boundaries if \( r > 0 \). For \( r = p - 1 \), one gets the relations: \( h_i \hat{h}_{i+1} = 0 \) and \( h_i \hat{h}_{i+1}(k) = 0 \) for \( 1 \leq k < p - 1 \). However, for \( r = 0 \), the element \( h_i \lambda \overline{a}^j k p^{i+1} + p' - 1 \) survives to \( E_{\infty}^{*,1,*} \).

By the same argument, in \( \Lambda \otimes H \), one gets

\[
d \left( \lambda^{(p^i + 2)} \right) = \sum_{j=1}^{p-r} \binom{r + j - 1}{j} \lambda_j^{(p^i + 2)} \lambda \overline{a}^j \overline{a}^{(p^i + 2) + (r - 1) p^i + p' - 1} \mod F_{2}^{p^i + 2 + (r - 1) p^i + p' - 1}.
\]

Since, for \( r > 0 \), the first sum of the right hand side of the formula is a cycle in \( \Lambda \otimes H \) and the last term represents \( h_i \lambda \overline{a}^j \overline{a}^{(p^i + 2) + (r - 1) p^i + p' - 1} \), then \( h_i \lambda \overline{a}^j \overline{a}^{(p^i + 2) + (r - 1) p^i + p' - 1} \) is an infinite cycle. Therefore, the element (b.2) survives to \( E_{\infty}^{*,1,*} \). For \( r = p - 1 \), it represents the element \( h_i \hat{h}_{i+1}(1) \neq 0 \).

Finally, basing on (15) of Lemma 3.4, the element (b.3) reduces two cases:

- (b.3.1) \( h_i \overline{a}^{(p^i + 2) + (r - 2) p^i + p' - 1} \) for \( r > 0 \); and
- (b.3.2) \( h_i \overline{a}^{(p^i + 2) + (r - 1) p^i + p' - 1} \) for \( k \neq p - 2 \).

From (16) of Lemma 3.4, the element \( h_i \overline{a}^{(p^i + 2) + (r - 2) p^i + p' - 1} \), for \( r > 0, 1 \leq \ell \leq p - 1 \), is an infinite cycle. In addition, it is easy to check that, in \( \Lambda \otimes H \),

\[
d \left( \overline{a}^{(p^i + 2) + (r - 1) p^i + p' - 1} \right) = r \lambda^{(p^i + 2) + (r - 2) p^i + p' - 1} \mod F_{2}^{p^i + 2 + (r - 1) p^i + p' - 1}.
\]

Since \( r > 0 \), it implies that \( h_i \overline{a}^{(p^i + 2) + (r - 2) p^i + p' - 1} \) does not survive to \( E_{\infty}^{*,1,*} \).

Similarly, from (17) of Lemma 3.4, the element \( h_i \overline{a}^{(p^i + 2) + (r - 1) p^i + p' - 1} \) is an infinite cycle. In addition, we also have, in \( \Lambda \otimes H \), that

\[
d \left( \overline{a}^{(p^i + 2) + (r - 1) p^i + p' - 1} \right) = -k \lambda^{(p^i + 2) + (r - 2) p^i + p' - 1} \mod F_{2}^{(p^i + 2) + (r - 1) p^i + p' - 1},
\]

where \( u_3 = \ell p^i - 2 p^i + 2 + (k + 2) p^i + (p - 1) p^i + 1 \).

By above computation, \( h_i \overline{a}^{(p^i + 2) + (r - 1) p^i + p' - 1} \) becomes \( E_{\infty}^{*,1,*} \) if and only if \( k = p - 1 \). Therefore, \( h_i \overline{a}^{(p^i + 2) + (r - 1) p^i + p' - 1} \) survives to \( E_{\infty}^{*,1,*} \) if and only if \( k = p - 1 \). In this case \( h_i \overline{a}^{(p^i + 2) + (r - 1) p^i + p' - 1} \) represents \( h_i \hat{h}_j(\ell) \neq 0 \) for \( 0 < i < j - 1 \) and \( 1 \leq \ell \leq p - 1 \).

The element \( h_0 \overline{a}^{(p^i + 2)} \) can be considered as a special case of the element (b) for \( i = 0 \), therefore, it can be treated by the same method.

The proof is complete. \( \square \)

**Proof of Theorem 3.3** By direct computation the representation in \( \Lambda \otimes H \) of generators given in Table 1, we get the first part of the theorem.

The second part of the theorem is followed from the proof of Proposition 3.5. \( \square \)

The rest of the section gives a proof of Lemma 3.4.

**Proof of Lemma 3.4** The lemma is proved by direct computation in the chain complex \( \Lambda \otimes H \) and in the spectral sequence.
Proof of (1). It is clear that, in $\Lambda \otimes H$, 
\[
d(\lambda_0^{0} b^{[i]}) = \lambda_0^{0} \lambda_0^{0} a b^{[i]} \mod F^{2(l-1)},
\]
for $t \geq 1$. Therefore, in the spectral sequence, we get the formula.

Proof of (2). By inspection, we have, in $\Lambda \otimes H$,
\[
d \left( \lambda_0^{0} a b^{[m p + \ell]} + (\ell + 1) \lambda_0^{0} a b^{[(m-1)p + \ell + 1]} + \left( \frac{\ell + 2}{2} \right) \lambda_1^{0} a b^{[(m-2)p + \ell + 2]} \right) =
\[
- \left( \frac{\ell + 2}{2} \right) \lambda_1^{0} \lambda_0^{0} a b^{[(m-2)p + \ell + 2]} \mod F^{2((m-2)p + \ell + 2),}
\]
for $0 \leq \ell < p - 2$, $m \geq 2$. Therefore, in the spectral sequence, we obtain the formula.

Proof of (3). By inspection, in $\Lambda \otimes H$, we have
\[
d(\lambda_0^{0} a b^{[(mp+k)p'-1]}) = (k + 1) \lambda_0^{0} \lambda_0^{1} \lambda_1^{0} a b^{[(m-1)p+k+1)p'-1]} \mod F^{2k_1},
\]
for $i \geq 1$, where $k_1 = ((m-1)p + k + 1)p' - 1$. Therefore, we get the formula in the spectral sequence.

Proof of (4). It is easy to check that, in $\Lambda \otimes H$,
\[
d(\lambda_0^{0} a b^{[(mp+k)p'-p+p-2]} + \lambda_0^{0} a b^{[(mp+k)p'-p-1]}) =
\[
(k + 1) \lambda_0^{1} \lambda_0^{1} \lambda_1^{0} a b^{[(m-1)p+k+1)p'-p+p-2]} \mod F^{2k_2},
\]
for $i \geq 1$, where $k_2 = ((m-1)p + k + 1)p' - p + p - 2$. Therefore, in the spectral sequence, we obtain (4).

Proof of (5). Similar to the proof of (1).

Proof of (6). In $\Lambda \otimes H$, we have
\[
d \left( \lambda_0^{1} b^{[kp + \ell]} + \frac{1}{2}(\ell + 1) \lambda_1^{1} b^{[(k-1)p + \ell + 1]} \right) =
\[
\frac{1}{2}(\ell - 1) \lambda_1^{1} \lambda_0^{0} a b^{[(k-1)p + \ell]} \mod F^{2((k-1)p + \ell)},
\]
for $k \geq 1$ and $\ell \neq 1$. Hence, in the spectral sequence, one gets the formula.

Proof of (7). Put $s = (mp + r)p'$ for $m \geq 0$ and $0 \leq r \leq p - 1$. By inspection, in $\Lambda \otimes H$, one gets
\[
d \left( \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \lambda_0^{1} b^{[s-p^2+(k-j)p+j+1]} + C_1 + \ldots + C_{p-k-1} \right) =
\[
- (r + 1) \lambda_0^{1} \lambda_0^{1} \lambda_0^{1} a b^{[((m-1)p+r+1)p'-p^2+kp+1]} \mod F^{2(((m-1)p+r+1)p'-p^2+kp+1) - 1},
\]
where
\[
C_{n} = \sum_{l=0}^{p-1} \binom{p+k+n-\ell}{n} \lambda_0^{1} b^{[s-(n+1)p^2+(k+n-\ell)p + \ell + 1]},
\]
for $i \geq 2$ and $m \geq 1$. Thus, in the spectral sequence, we obtain the formula.

Proof of (8). It is immediate.
Proof of (9). By inspection, in Λ ⊗ H, one gets
\[ d \left( \lambda_{p-1}^{1} \lambda_{p}^{1}(mp+k)p+1 + p^i \right) = - (k+1) \lambda_{p-1}^{1} \lambda_{p}^{1} ab([[m-1]p+k+1)p+1 + p^i-1] - \left( \begin{array}{c} k+2 \end{array} \right) \lambda_{p-1}^{1} \lambda_{2p-1}^{1} ab([(m-2)p+k+2)p+1 + p^i-1] \bmod F^2(((m-2)p+k+2)p+1 + p^i-1), \]
for \( i \geq 1 \) and \( m \geq 1 \). Since \( \lambda_{p-1}^{1} \lambda_{p}^{1} = 0 \) in Λ and the second term of the formula represents \( h_{i-1;1,2} ab([[m-2]p+k+2)p+1 + p^i-1] \), in the spectral sequence, we obtain (9) of the lemma.

Proof of (10). In Λ ⊗ H, we have, letting \( s = (m-1)p + k \) for \( m \geq 1 \) and \( 0 \leq k \leq p-1 \),
\[ d \left( 2 \lambda_{p-1}^{1} \lambda_{p}^{1}(mp+k)p+1 + p^i \right) + (k+1) \lambda_{2p-1}^{1} ab[(s+1)p+1 + p^i-1] \]
\[ = ((k+1) \lambda_{2p-1}^{1} \lambda_{p}^{1} + 2k \lambda_{p-1}^{1} \lambda_{p}^{1} + k \lambda_{p+1}^{1} + (p-1) \lambda_{p}^{1}) ab[sp^i-1] \bmod F^2(sp^i-1) \]
for \( i \geq 1 \) and \( m \geq 1 \). Since, by adem relation, in Λ, \( \lambda_{2p-1}^{1} \lambda_{p}^{1} + \lambda_{p}^{1} \lambda_{p}^{1} + \lambda_{p+1}^{1} + (p-1) \lambda_{p}^{1} = 0 \) and the element \( \lambda_{2p-1}^{1} \lambda_{p}^{1} ab[sp^i-1] \) represents the element \( h_{i-1;2,1} ab[sp^i-1] \), in the spectral sequence, we obtain the formula.

Proof of (11). Put \( u = (p-1)p^i-1 \). In Λ ⊗ H, using adem relation, one gets that the differential of the element
\[ \lambda_{p-1}^{1} ab[(mp+k)p+1 + p^i + u] + \sum_{j=2}^{p-1} \lambda_{j}^{1} \lambda_{p}^{1} ab[(mp+k)p+1 + (r+1)p^i + r^i + u] \]
\[ + (r+1) \lambda_{p}^{1} \lambda_{p}^{1} + \lambda_{p}^{1} ab[(mp+k-1)p+1 + (r+1)p^i + r^i + u] \]
\[ + \left( \begin{array}{c} r+2 \end{array} \right) \lambda_{2p-1}^{1} \lambda_{p}^{1} ab[(mp+k-2)p+1 + (r+2)p^i + r^i + u] \]
is equal to
\[ \left( \begin{array}{c} r+2 \end{array} \right) \lambda_{2p-1}^{1} \lambda_{p}^{1} ab[(mp+k-2)p+1 + (r+2)p^i + r^i + u] \bmod F^2((mp+k-2)p+1 + (r+2)p^i + r^i + u), \]
for \( i \geq 1 \) and \( m \geq 0 \).

Since, in the spectral sequence, \( \lambda_{2p-1}^{1} \lambda_{p}^{1} ab[(mp+k-2)p+1 + (r+2)p^i + r^i + u] \) represents \( h_{i-1;2,1} ab[(mp+k-2)p+1 + (r+2)p^i + r^i + u] \), we obtain the formula.

Proof of (12). In Λ ⊗ H, one gets, for \( u = (p-1)p^i-1 \) and \( v = (mp+k)p^i \), that the differential of the element
\[ \lambda_{p-1}^{1} ab[v^i - p^i + (p-2)p^i + u] + \sum_{\ell=2}^{p-1} \lambda_{p-1}^{1} ab[v^i - p^i + (p-1-\ell)p^i + u] \]
\[ - \lambda_{p}^{1} \lambda_{p}^{1} ab[v^i - 2p^i + (p-1)p^i + u] \]
is equal to
\[ -(k+1) \lambda_{p-1}^{1} \lambda_{p}^{1} ab[((m-1)p+k+1)p^i - p^i + (p-2)p^i + p^i + u] \bmod F^{2u}, \]
for \(1 \leq i \leq j-2\) and \(m \geq 1\), where \(u_1 = ((m-1)p+k+1)p^j - p^{i+2} + (p-2)p^{i+1} + p^i + u\). Therefore, in the spectral sequence, one obtains the formula.

**Proof of (13).** In \(\Lambda \otimes H\), one gets, for \(j - 2 \geq i \geq 1\) and \(u = (p-1)p^{i+1} - 1\),

\[
d \left( \lambda_{p^i-1}^1 \theta_{p^i-1}^{p^i} + \sum_{t=2}^{p-1} \lambda_{t}^1 \theta_{t}^{p^i} \right) - (k + 1) \lambda_{p^i-1}^1 \theta_{p^i-1}^{p^i} \mod F^{2u_2},
\]

for \(m \geq 1\), where \(u_2 = ((m-1)p+k+1)p^j - p^{i+1} + p^i + u\). Hence, in the spectral sequence, we obtain the formula.

**Proof of (14).** By inspection, in \(\Lambda \otimes H\), we have

\[
d \left( \lambda_{p^i-1}^1 \theta_{p^i-1}^{(mp+k)p^i} + \sum_{j=2}^{p-1} \frac{(-1)^{j+1} j - 1}{j} \lambda_{j}^1 \theta_{j}^{(mp+k-j+1)p^i + (j-1)p^i + p^i} \right)
= \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \frac{(-1)^{j+1} j - 1}{j} \lambda_{j}^1 \theta_{j}^{(mp+k)p^i + 1} \mod F^{2((m-1)p+k+2)p^{i+1} - 1},
\]

for \(i \geq 0\) and \(m \geq 1\).

Since the right hand side of the latter represents \(\tilde{\lambda}_i \theta_{i}^{(mp+k+2)p^{i+1} - 1}\), we obtain the formula.

**Proof of (15).** We have, in \(\Lambda \otimes H\), the differential of

\[
\begin{align*}
\lambda_{p^i-1}^1 \theta_{p^i-1}^{mp^i+2 + kp^{i+1} + rp^i + p^i - 1} &+ \sum_{j=2}^{p-1} \frac{r+j-1}{j} \lambda_{j}^1 \theta_{j}^{mp^i+2 + (k-j+1)p^{i+1} + (r+j)p^i} \\
&+ (k + 1) \lambda_{p^i+1}^1 \theta_{p^i+1}^{p^i+2 + (k+1)p^{i+1} + rp^i + p^i - 1} \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} k (r+1) \lambda_{p^i+1+2p^i-1}^1 \theta_{p^i+1+2p^i-1}^{(m-1)p^{i+2} + kp^{i+1} + (r+1)p^i + p^i - 1}
\end{align*}
\]

is equal to

\[
\frac{1}{2} k (r+1) \lambda_{p^i+1}^1 \lambda_{2p^i-1}^1 \theta_{2p^i-1}^{(m-1)p^{i+2} + kp^{i+1} + (r+1)p^i + p^i - 1} \\
- (r + 1) \lambda_{p^i+1}^1 \lambda_{p^i-1}^1 \theta_{p^i-1}^{(m-1)p^{i+2} + kp^{i+1} + (r+1)p^i + p^i - 1} \\
\mod F^{2((m-1)p^{i+2} + kp^{i+1} + (r+1)p^i + p^i - 1)},
\]

for \(i \geq 0\) and \(m \geq 1\).

Since, by using the adem relation, \(\lambda_{p^i+1}^1 \lambda_{2p^i-1}^1 + \lambda_{p^i+1}^1 \lambda_{p^i-1}^1 \lambda_{p^i-1}^1 = 0\), it follows that, in the spectral sequence, one gets the formula.
Proof of (18). In $\Lambda \otimes H$, for $j - 2 \geq i \geq 0, r > 0, m \geq 1$ and $u = p^i - 1$, the differential of

$$
\lambda_{p^i - 1}^1 ab^{[(mp+\ell)p^j - p^{i+2}+(p-2)p^{i+1}+rp^i+u]}
$$

is equal to

$$
- (\ell + 1) \lambda_{p^i - 1}^1 \lambda_{p^i - 1}^1 ab^{[((m-1)p+\ell+1)p^j - p^{i+2}+(p-2)p^{i+1}+rp^i+u]}
\quad \mod F^{2(((m-1)p+\ell+1)p^j - p^{i+2}+(p-2)p^{i+1}+rp^i+u)}.
$$

Therefore, in the spectral sequence, one gets the formula.

Proof of (17). Similarly, in $\Lambda \otimes H$, we also have that, for $j - 2 \geq i \geq 0, m \geq 1$ and $k \neq p - 2$, the differential of

$$
\lambda_{p^i - 1}^1 ab^{[(mp+\ell)p^j - p^{i+2}+kp^{i+1}+p^{i+1}-1]}
$$

is equal to

$$
- (\ell + 1) \lambda_{p^i - 1}^1 \lambda_{p^i - 1}^1 ab^{[((m-1)p+\ell+1)p^j - p^{i+2}+kp^{i+1}+p^{i+1}-1]}
\quad \mod F^{2(((m-1)p+\ell+1)p^j - p^{i+2}+kp^{i+1}+p^{i+1}-1)}.
$$

Hence, in the spectral sequence, we get the formula. 

4. The mod $p$ Lannes-Zarati homomorphism

The destabilization functor $D : M \to U$ is the left adjoint to the inclusion $U \hookrightarrow M$. It can be described more explicitly as follows:

$$
D(M) := M/EM,
$$

where $EM := \text{Span}_F \{ \beta^p P^i x : \epsilon + 2i > \deg(x), x \in M \}$. That $EM$ is an A-submodule of $M$ is a consequence of the adem relations. In particular, if $M$ is a graded vector space, considered as an A-module with trivial action, then $EM$ is the subspace of elements in negative degrees and, therefore, $D(M)$ can be identified with the (trivial) A-submodule of $M$ consisting of elements in non-negative degrees. This simple observation leads to the following construction.

For any A-module $M$, the projection $M \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_p \otimes_A M$ induces an A-homomorphism $D(M) \longrightarrow D(\mathbb{F}_p \otimes_A M)$. Thus, there exists a natural A-homomorphism $\mathcal{D}(M) \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_p \otimes_A M$ which is the composition

$$
\mathcal{D}(M) \longrightarrow D(\mathbb{F}_p \otimes_A M) \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_p \otimes_A M.
$$

This in turns induces maps between corresponding derived functors:

$$
i_s^M : D_a(M) \longrightarrow \text{Tor}_s^A(\mathbb{F}_p, M).
$$

The natural map $i_s^M$ raises the possibility of understanding the homology of the Steenrod algebra via knowledge of derived functors of destabilization. However,
it is generally very difficult to compute $D$, except in one important situation in which Lannes and Zarati \[34\], \[49\] discover that it can be described in terms of the Singer functors $\mathcal{R}_s$ (see below).

We proceed to describe Lannes and Zarati discovery.

Define $\alpha_1(M) : D_r(\Sigma^{-1}M) \rightarrow D_{r-1}(P_1 \otimes M)$ to be the connecting homomorphism of the functor $D(-)$ associated to the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow P_1 \otimes M \rightarrow \hat{P} \otimes M \rightarrow \Sigma^{-1}M \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\hat{P}$ is the $A$-module extension of $P_1$ by formally adding a generator $x_1y_1^{-1}$ in degree $-1$. The action of $A$ on $\hat{P}$ is given by declaring $P^n(x_1y_1^{-1}) = (-1)^nx_1y_1^{n(p-1)-1} = (-1)^nx_1y_1^{n(p-1)-1}$ and $\beta(x_1y_1^{-1}) = 1$, while $A$ acts on $P_1$ in the usual way. It can be verified directly that this gives a well-defined $A$-module structure on $\hat{P}$ which admits $P_1$ as an $A$-submodule.

Put

$$\alpha_s(M) := \alpha_1(P_{s-1} \otimes M) \circ \cdots \circ \alpha_1(\Sigma^{-1}(s-1)M),$$

then $\alpha_s(M)$ is an $A$-linear map from $D_r(\Sigma^{-s}M)$ to $D_{r-s}(P_s \otimes M)$. In particular, when $r = s$, we obtain a map $\alpha_s(M) : D_s(\Sigma^{-s}M) \rightarrow D_0(P_s \otimes M)$.

On the other hand, for an unstable $A$-module $M$, the Singer construction $\mathcal{R}_s$ provides a functorial $A$-submodule $\mathcal{R}_sM$ of $P_s \otimes M$. Lannes and Zarati \[34\] for $p = 2$ and Zarati \[49\] for $p$ odd showed that the image of $\alpha_s(\Sigma M) : D_s(\Sigma^{1-s}M) \rightarrow \Sigma\mathcal{R}_sM \subset D_0(P_s \otimes \Sigma M) \cong P_s \otimes \Sigma M$ is an isomorphism.

By the same method in \[34\], \[21\], \[28\] and \[11\], for any unstable $A$-module $M$ and for $s \geq 0$, there exists a homomorphism $(\varphi^M_s)^\#$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
D_s(\Sigma^{1-s}M) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_s(\Sigma M)} & \Sigma\mathcal{R}_sM \\
\downarrow{i_s^{1-s}M} & & \downarrow{(\varphi^M_s)^\#} \\
\text{Tor}^A_s(F_p, \Sigma^{1-s}M) & \rightarrow & \Sigma P_s \otimes M
\end{array}$$

Because the Steenrod algebra $A$ acts trivially on the target, $(\varphi^M_s)^\#$ factors through $F_p \otimes_A \Sigma\mathcal{R}_sM$. Therefore, after suspending $-1$ degree, we obtain the dual of the mod $p$ Lannes-Zarati homomorphism

$$(\varphi^M_s)^\# : (F_p \otimes_A \mathcal{R}_sM)^t \rightarrow \text{Tor}^A_s(F_p, \Sigma^{-s}M) \cong \text{Tor}^A_{s+1}(F_p, M).$$

The linear dual

$$\varphi^M_s : \text{Ext}^*_A(M, F_p) \rightarrow (F_p \otimes_A \mathcal{R}_sM)^t,$$

is called the mod $p$ Lannes-Zarati homomorphism.

In order to construct a chain-level representation of $\varphi^M_s$, we need to recall some main points of the Singer construction.

Let $\Sigma_{p^r}$ be the symmetric group (of all points) of the group $E_s := (\mathbb{Z}/p)^s$ and $r_s : E_s \rightarrow \Sigma_{p^r}$ be the inclusion via the action by translations. Denote $\mathbb{Z}/p$ the trivial $\Sigma_{p^r}$-module of $\mathbb{Z}/p$ and $\mathbb{Z}/p$ the $\Sigma_{p^r}$-module of $\mathbb{Z}/p$ via the signature action. Put

$$\mathcal{R}[s] := \text{im} \left( H^*(B\Sigma_{p^r}; \mathbb{Z}/p) \overset{r_s^*}{\rightarrow} H^*(BE_s; \mathbb{Z}/p) \right);$$
\[ \mathcal{B}[s] := \text{im} \left( H^*(B\Sigma_{pr}; \mathbb{Z}/p) \xrightarrow{r^*} H^*(BE_s; r^*_s \mathbb{Z}/p) \right). \]

The structure of \( \mathcal{B}[s] \) and \( \mathcal{B}[s] \) are given by the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.1** (Mùi [10], Zarati [49]).

1. \( \mathcal{B}[s] \) is a free \( D[s] \)-module generated by
   \[ \left\{ 1, M_{s,i_1,\ldots,i_k} L_s^{p^{-2}+(p-1)[s]} \right\} \]
   for \( 0 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq s-1 \).

2. \( \mathcal{B}[s] \) is a free \( D[s] \)-module generated by
   \[ \left\{ L_s^{p^{-1}}, M_{s,i_1,\ldots,i_k} L_s^{p^{-2}+(p-1)[s]} \right\} \]
   for \( 0 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq s-1 \).

Here denote \( [x] \) the largest integer number that is not greater than \( x \).

For any unstable \( A \)-module \( M \), the (unstable) total power \( St_s(x_1, y_1, \ldots, x_s, y_s; m) \), for \( m \in M \), is defined as follows (see Zarati [49])
\[ St_s(x_1, y_1, \ldots, x_s, y_s; m) := (-1)^s \left( \frac{[m]}{s} \right) L_s^{p^{-1}[m]} S_s(m). \]

For convenience, we put \( St_s(m) := St_s(x_1, y_1, \ldots, x_s, y_s; m) \) and \( St_s(M) := \{ St_s(m) : m \in M \} \).

Given an unstable \( A \)-module \( M \), the module \( \mathcal{B}_sM \) is defined by (see Zarati [49])
\[ \mathcal{B}_sM = \mathcal{B}[s] \cdot St_s(M^+) \oplus \mathcal{B}[s] \cdot St_s(M^-), \]
where \( M^+ \) (resp. \( M^- \)) is the subspace consisting of all elements of even degree (resp. odd degree) of \( M \). Then, for each \( s \geq 0 \), the assignment \( M \mapsto \mathcal{B}_sM \) provides an exact functor from \( \mathcal{W} \) to itself.

**Proposition 4.2** (Chôn-Nhôlı [11]). For \( M \) an unstable \( A \)-module, \( \mathcal{B}_sM \) is actually contained in \( (\Gamma^+ M)_s \).

From Lemma 2.6 and Corollary 2.7 in [11], we obtain the following result.

**Proposition 4.3.** Given an unstable \( A \)-module \( M \), for any \( \gamma \in \mathcal{B}_sM \), the element \( \gamma \) can be expressed as follows
\[ \gamma = \sum_{I=(\epsilon_1, i_1, \ldots, \epsilon_s, i_s) \in \mathcal{I}} \omega_I (-1)^s \left( \frac{[m]}{s} \right) u_1^{\epsilon_1} v_1^{(p-1)i_1-\epsilon_1} \cdots u_s^{\epsilon_s} v_s^{(p-1)i_s-\epsilon_s} S_s(m) \]
where \( m \in M, \epsilon_k = 0, 1, i_k \geq \epsilon_k \) for \( 1 \leq k \leq s \) and \( e(I) \geq [m] \).

A chain-level representation of \( \langle \varphi_s^M \rangle^\# \) in the Singer-Hôông-Sum chain complex is given by the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.4** (Chôn-Nhôlı [11, Theorem 3.1]). For any unstable \( A \)-module \( M \), the inclusion \( \langle \varphi_s^M \rangle^\# : \mathcal{B}_sM \rightarrow (\Gamma^+ M)_s \) given by
\[ \gamma \mapsto (-1)^{\frac{(s-2)(s-1)}{2}} \gamma \]
is a chain-level representation of the dual of the mod \( p \) Lannes-Zarati homomorphism \( \langle \varphi_s^M \rangle^\# \).

In order to construct a chain-level representation of \( \varphi_s^M \), we need to investigate more carefully the structure of the dual of the Singer construction.
Proposition 4.5. Given $M$ an unstable $A$-module, $\mathscr{A}_s M$ has an $\mathbb{F}_p$-basis given by

$$\mathcal{C} := \left\{ R_{s,0}^{q_{s,0}} \cdots R_{s,s-1}^{q_{s,s-1}} S_s(m) \right\}$$

for all $m \in M$, $\sigma_k \in \{0, 1\}, j_k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $j_k \geq 0$, $2 \leq k \leq s$ and $2j_1 + \sigma_1 + \cdots + \sigma_s \geq |m|$.

Proof. First, we show that $\mathcal{C}$ is contained in $\mathscr{A}_s M$.

Let $q = R_{s,0}^{q_{s,0}} \cdots R_{s,s-1}^{q_{s,s-1}} S_s(m)$ be any element satisfying the condition of the proposition, and let $\sigma_{i_{j+1}}, \ldots, \sigma_{i_{k+1}}$ be the set of all non-zero exponents of $R_{s,s} S_s$ in $q$ for $0 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq s - 1$.

For $k = 0$ and $m \in M^{2n+\delta}$,

$$q = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\pm q_{s,0}^{j_0} \cdots q_{s,s-1} St_s(m) & \text{if } \delta = 0,
\pm q_{s,0}^{j_0} \cdots q_{s,s-1} L_{s,\delta}^{k_{s,s}} St_s(m) & \text{if } \delta = 1.
\end{array} \right.$$ 

Since $2j_1 \geq 2n + \delta$, it implies that $q \in \mathscr{A}_s M$.

For $k > 0$, using the statement (3) of Theorem 2.1 for $m \in M^{2n+\delta}$,

$$q = \pm R_{s,t_1, \ldots, t_k} q_{s,0}^{j_0+k-1} \cdots q_{s,s-1} \delta S_s(m)$$

$$= \pm R_{s,t_1, \ldots, t_k} q_{s,0}^{j_0+k-1-n} \cdots q_{s,s-1} \frac{1}{L_{s,\delta}^{k_{s,s}}} \delta St_s(m).$$

If $\delta = 0$, then $q = \pm q_{s,0}^{j_0+k-1-n} \cdots q_{s,s-1} R_{s,t_1, \ldots, t_k} \delta S_s(m)$. Since $2j_1 + k \geq 2n$, then $j_1 + k - 1 - \frac{1}{2} L_{s,\delta}^{k_{s,s}} R_{s,t_1, \ldots, t_k} \delta S_s(m)$. It follows that $q \in \mathscr{A}_s M$.

If $\delta = 1$, then $q = \pm q_{s,0}^{j_0+k-1-n} \cdots q_{s,s-1} L_{s,\delta}^{k_{s,s}} q_{s,0}^{k_{s,s}} \delta S_s(m)$. Since $2j_1 + k \geq 2n + 1$, then $j_1 + k - 1 - \frac{1}{2} L_{s,\delta}^{k_{s,s}} \delta S_s(m)$. It implies that $q \in \mathscr{A}_s M$.

From the definition, for any $x \in \mathscr{A}_s M$, it can be written by $x = \lambda S_s(m)$ for some $m$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{B}[s]$ if $|m| = 2n$ and $\lambda \in B[s]$ if $|m| = 2n + 1$.

By the definition of $S_s(m)$, then if $|m| = 2n$, then $x$ can be written by $x = (-1)^m \lambda q_{s,0}^{n_0} S_s(m)$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{B}[s]$.

On the other hand, by result of ChÓan [6] Proposition 3.4, $\mathbb{B}[s]$ has an $\mathbb{F}_p$-basis consisting of all elements

$$R_{s,0}^{q_{s,0}} \cdots R_{s,s-1}^{q_{s,s-1}^s},$$

for $\sigma_k \in \{0, 1\}, j_k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $j_k \geq 0$, $2 \leq k \leq s$ and $2j_1 + \sigma_1 + \cdots + \sigma_s \geq 0$. Therefore, $x$ can be written as a linear combination of elements of $\mathcal{C}$.

Otherwise, if $|m| = 2n + 1$, then $x$ can be written by $x = (-1)^m \lambda L_{s,\delta}^{k_{s,s}}(2n+1) S_s(m)$, where $\lambda$ is a sum of $f_i Q_i$ with $f_i \in D[s]$ and $Q_i = L_{s,\delta}^{k_{s,s}}$ or $Q_i = M_{s,t_1, \ldots, t_k} L_{s,\delta}^{k_{s,s}+(2n+1)}$. If $Q_i = L_{s,\delta}^{k_{s,s}}$, then $f_i Q_i L_{s,\delta}^{k_{s,s}}(2n+1) = f_i q_{s,0}^{n_0+1}$. Therefore, $f_i Q_i S_s(m)$ can be expressed as a linear combination of the needed form.

If $Q_i = M_{s,t_1, \ldots, t_k} L_{s,\delta}^{k_{s,s}+(2n+1)}$, then

$$f_i Q_i L_{s,\delta}^{k_{s,s}}(2n+1) = f_i R_{s,t_1, \ldots, t_k} q_{s,0}^{n_0+1}.$$ 

Hence, by ChÓan’s result [6], $f_i Q_i S_s(m)$ can be also expressed as a linear combination of the elements in $\mathcal{C}$.

Thus, $\mathcal{C}$ is a set of generators of $\mathscr{A}_s M$ as an $\mathbb{F}_p$-vector space.

Also by the ChÓan’s result [6], it is easy to verify that the set $\mathcal{C}$ is linear independent.

The proof is complete. \qed
Proposition 4.8. Given an unstable $A$-module $M$, the Singer functor $\mathcal{R}_s M$ is an $A$-submodule of $\mathcal{R}[s] S_s(M)$. Therefore, in dual, $(\mathcal{R}_s M)^\#$ is isomorphic to a quotient of $R_s \otimes M^\#$. In order to define the structure of $(\mathcal{R}_s M)^\#$, we need the following results.

Fix a non-negative integer $s$, for any non-negative integer $n$, let $\mathcal{J}_n$ be the set of all admissible string $I = (\ell_1, i_1, \ldots, \ell_s, i_s)$ satisfying $c(I) \geq n$; and let $\mathcal{J}_n$ be the set of all string $J = (\sigma_1, j_1, \ldots, \sigma_s, j_s)$ satisfying the condition $\sigma_k \in \{0, 1\}, 1 \leq k \leq s, j_k \in \mathbb{Z}, j_k \geq 0, 2 \leq k \leq s$ and $2j_1 + \sigma_1 + \cdots + \sigma_s \geq n$.

Obviously, we obtain that

Lemma 4.6. The map $\varphi: \mathcal{J}_n \to \mathcal{J}_n$ given by $\varphi_n(J) = I$ where $\epsilon_k = \sigma_k$ and

$$i_k = p^{s-k}(j_1 + \sigma_1 + \cdots + j_k + \sigma_k) + \sum_{t=0}^{s-k-1} (p^{s-k} - p^t)(j_{k+t+1} + \sigma_{k+t+1}),$$

for $1 \leq k \leq s$, is a bijection.

Basing on the result of Hôàng-Sum [27], we get that

Lemma 4.7. Given an unstable $A$-module $M$, for $m \in M$, let $\sigma, j_1, \ldots, \sigma, j_s \in \mathcal{J}_n$ and $(\ell_1, i_1, \ldots, \ell_s, i_s) = \varphi\ell_1(\sigma, j_1, \ldots, \sigma, j_s)$. Then,

$$R_{s,0}^{\sigma_1} q_{s,0}^{j_1} \cdots R_{s,s-1}^{\sigma_s} q_{s,s-1}^{j_s} S_\sigma(m) = u_{s,1}^{(p-1)\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_1} \cdots u_{s,s}^{(p-1)\epsilon_s - \epsilon_s} S_\sigma(m)$$

+ smaller monomials in the lexicographical order.

We identify $\mathcal{R}_s M$ with its image in $R_s^\# \otimes M$ via the map $\nu^s_\sigma$. By the identification, the dual $(\mathcal{R}_s M)^\#$ can be considered as a quotient right $A$-module of $R_s \otimes M^\#$.

Proposition 4.8. Given an unstable $A$-module $M$, the set

$$\mathcal{I} = \{Q^I \otimes \ell = \beta^s Q^{i_1} \cdots \beta^s Q^{i_s} \otimes \ell : \ell \in M^\#, I \in \mathcal{I}_|\ell|\}$$

represents an $\mathbb{F}_p$-basis of $(\mathcal{R}_s M)^\#$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.3 for each $\ell \in M^\#$, the set of all elements

$$Q^I \otimes \ell = \beta^s Q^{i_1} \cdots \beta^s Q^{i_s} \otimes \ell$$

for $I \in \mathcal{I}_|\ell|$ represents a linear independent set of $(\mathcal{R}_s M)^\#$. Therefore, the set of all elements $Q^I \otimes \ell$ for $\ell \in M^\#$ and $I \in \mathcal{I}_|\ell|$ represents a linear independent set.

From Lemma 4.3 the number of elements of this set is equal to the dimension of $\mathcal{R}_s M$.

The proof is complete. □

The right $A$-module structure of $(\mathcal{R}_s M)^\#$ is induced from the Cartan formula, the right $A$-module structure of $M^\#$ and the Nishida relations.

Observation from Proposition 4.8 that the elements $Q^I \otimes \ell \in R_s \otimes M^\#$ of $c(I) < |\ell|$ represents a trivial element in $(\mathcal{R}_s M)^\#$.

Taking dual Theorem 4.4 we have the following result.

Proposition 4.9. For any unstable $A$-module $M$, the projection

$$\bar{\varphi}_s^M : A_s \otimes M^\# \to (\mathcal{R}_s M)^\#$$

given by

$$\lambda_I \otimes \ell \mapsto (-1)^{(s-1)\epsilon_s-2} [Q^I \otimes \ell]$$

is a chain-level representation of the mod $p$ Lannes-Zarati homomorphism $\varphi_\sigma^M$. 
5. The power operations

This section is devoted to develop the power operations, these are useful tools to study the behavior of the Lannes-Zarati homomorphism in the next section.

From Liulevicius [36, 37] and May [38], there exists a power operation $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^0 : \text{Ext}_A^{s,s+\ell}(\mathbb{F}_p, \mathbb{F}_p) \to \text{Ext}_A^{s,s+\ell}(\mathbb{F}_p, \mathbb{F}_p)$. Its chain-level representation in $\Lambda$ is given by

$$\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^0 (\lambda_{i_1}^{i_1} \cdots \lambda_{i_s}^{i_s}) = \begin{cases} \lambda_{p_1}^{i_1} \cdots \lambda_{p_s}^{i_s}, & \epsilon_1 = \cdots = \epsilon_s = 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 5.1. The operation $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^0$ induces an operation, which is also denoted by $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^0$, on the Dyer-Lashof algebra $R$ given by

$$\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^0 (\beta^s Q^{i_1} \cdots \beta^s Q^{i_s}) = \begin{cases} \beta^s Q^{pi_1} \cdots \beta^s Q^{pi_s}, & \epsilon_1 = \cdots = \epsilon_s = 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. It is sufficient to show that if $\lambda_{i_1}^{1} \cdots \lambda_{i_s}^{1}$ has negative excess then so does $\lambda_{p_1}^{1} \cdots \lambda_{p_s}^{1}$ for $s \geq 2$.

By inspection, one gets

$$e(\lambda_{p_1}^{1} \cdots \lambda_{p_s}^{1}) = 2pi_1 - \sum_{k=2}^{s} 2p(p-1)i_k + (s-2)$$

$$= pe(\lambda_{i_1}^{1} \cdots \lambda_{i_s}^{1}) - (p-1)(s-2).$$

Therefore, if $e(\lambda_{i_1}^{1} \cdots \lambda_{i_s}^{1}) < 0$ then $e(\lambda_{p_1}^{1} \cdots \lambda_{p_s}^{1}) < 0$. \hfill $\square$

Lemma 5.2. The operation $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^0$ commutes with the action of $A$. In particular,

$$\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^0 ((\beta^s Q^{i_1} \cdots \beta^s Q^{i_s})P^k) = (\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^0 (\beta^s Q^{i_1} \cdots \beta^s Q^{i_s}))P^k. \quad (5.1)$$

Proof. It is sufficient to show the assertion of lemma in the case $\epsilon_1 = \cdots = \epsilon_s = 1$.

We will prove by induction on $s$.

For $s = 1$, it is easy to see that

$$\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^0 ((\beta Q^i)P^k) = \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^0((-1)^k\binom{p-1)(i-k)-1}{k})\beta Q^{i-k}$$

$$= (-1)^k\binom{p-1)(i-k)-1}{k})\beta Q^{pi-pk},$$

and

$$(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^0 (\beta Q^i))P^k = \beta Q^{pi}P^k = (-1)^k\binom{p-1)(pi-pk)-1}{pk})\beta Q^{pi-pk}.\beta Q^{pi-pk}.$$
For $s > 1$, by the inductive hypothesis,
\[
\tilde{P}^0 ((\beta Q^{i_1} \cdots \beta Q^{i_s})^P)
\]
\[
= \tilde{P}^0 \left( \sum_t (-1)^{k+t} \left( \frac{(p-1)(i_1-k)-1}{k-p^t} \right) \beta Q^{i_1-k+t} (\beta Q^{i_2} \cdots \beta Q^{i_s})^P \right)
\]
\[
+ \tilde{P}^0 \left( \sum_t (-1)^{k+t} \left( \frac{(p-1)(i_1-k)-1}{k-p^t-1} \right) Q^{i_2-k+t} (\beta Q^{i_2} \cdots \beta Q^{i_s}) \beta P^t \right)
\]
\[
= \sum_t (-1)^{k+t} \left( \frac{(p-1)(i_1-k)-1}{k-p^t} \right) \beta Q^{p(i_1-k+t)} (\beta Q^{i_2} \cdots \beta Q^{i_s}) P^t.
\]

On the other hand,
\[
(\tilde{P}^0 (\beta Q^{i_1} \cdots \beta Q^{i_s})) P^p = (\beta Q^{p_1} \cdots \beta Q^{p_s}) P^p
\]
\[
= \sum_j (-1)^{p_k+j} \left( \frac{(p-1)(p_1-pk)-1}{pk-pj} \right) \beta Q^{p_1-pk+j} (\beta Q^{p_2} \cdots \beta Q^{p_s}) P^j
\]
\[
+ \sum_j (-1)^{p_k+j} \left( \frac{(p-1)(p_1-pk)-1}{pk-pj-1} \right) Q^{p_1-pk+j} (\beta Q^{p_2} \cdots \beta Q^{p_s}) \beta P^j
\]
\[
= \sum_j (-1)^{k+j} \left( \frac{(p-1)(i_1-k)-1}{k-j} \right) \beta Q^{p_1-pk+j} (\beta Q^{p_2} \cdots \beta Q^{p_s}) P^j.
\]

If $j$ is not divisible by $p$ then \((p-1)(p_1-j)-1 \equiv j-1 \mod p\), while \(j-p \equiv j \mod p\). Therefore,
\[
(\beta Q^{p_2} \cdots \beta Q^{p_s}) P^j
\]
\[
= \sum_j (-1)^{j+t} \left( \frac{(p-1)(p_1-j)-1}{j-p^t} \right) \beta Q^{p_1-j+t} (\beta Q^{p_3} \cdots \beta Q^{p_s}) P^t
\]
\[
+ \sum_j (-1)^{j+t} \left( \frac{(p-1)(p_1-j)-1}{j-p^t-1} \right) Q^{p_1-j+t} (\beta Q^{p_3} \cdots \beta Q^{p_s}) \beta P^t
\]
\[
= \sum_j (-1)^{j+t} \left( \frac{(p-1)(p_1-j)-1}{j-p^t} \right) \beta Q^{p_1-j+t} (\beta Q^{p_3} \cdots \beta Q^{p_s}) P^t = 0.
\]

Thus,
\[
(\tilde{P}^0 (\beta Q^{i_1} \cdots \beta Q^{i_s})) P^p
\]
\[
= \sum_j (-1)^{k+t} \left( \frac{(p-1)(i_1-k)-1}{k-p^t} \right) \beta Q^{p(i_1-k+t)} (\beta Q^{p_2} \cdots \beta Q^{p_s}) P^t.
\]

The lemma is proved. \(\Box\)

It is easy to see that if \((q, \beta) = 0\) then \((\tilde{P}^0 (q) \beta) = 0\) for \(q \in R\). This fact together with Lemma 5.2 show that the operation \(\tilde{P}^0\) induces a power operation on \((\mathbb{F}_p \otimes_A \mathcal{R}_x P)\), which is also denoted by \(P^0\).

Similarly, the power operation \(P^0\) acting on \(A \otimes H\) mentioned in Section 8 also induces a power operation on \((\mathbb{F}_p \otimes_A \mathcal{R}_x P)\) which is also denoted by \(P^0\).
Proposition 5.3. The power operations $P^0$'s commute with each other through the Lannes-Zarati homomorphism. In other words, the following diagram is commutative

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Ext}_A^{s+t}(M, \mathbb{F}_p) & \xrightarrow{P^0} & \text{Ext}_A^{s+p(s+t)}(M, \mathbb{F}_p) \\
\phi^M_s & \downarrow & \phi^M_s' \\
(\mathbb{F}_p \otimes_A \mathcal{R}_s M)^\# & \xrightarrow{P^0} & (\mathbb{F}_p \otimes_A \mathcal{R}_s M)^\#_{p(s+t)-s},
\end{array}
$$

for $M = \mathbb{F}_p$ and $M = P$.

Proof. It is immediate from Proposition 4.9.

6. THE BEHAVIOR OF THE MOD $p$ LANNES-ZARATI HOMOMORPHISM

In this section, we use the chain-level representation map of the $\phi^M_s$ constructed in the previous section to investigate its behavior.

6.1. The behavior of $\phi^F_s$.

Theorem 6.1. The third Lannes-Zarati homomorphism

$$
\phi^F_3 : \text{Ext}_A^{3s+t}(\mathbb{F}_p, \mathbb{F}_p) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{F}_p \otimes_A \mathcal{R}_s \mathbb{F}_p)^\#
$$

is a monomorphism for $t = 0$ and vanishing for all $t > 0$.

From Proposition 4.9, we get the following lemma.

Lemma 6.2. If $\lambda_I \in \Lambda_s$ and $\lambda_J \in \Lambda_t$ such that $\phi^F_s(\lambda_I) = 0$ or $\phi^F_t(\lambda_J) = 0$ then $\phi^F_{s+t}(\lambda_I \lambda_J) = 0$.

Proof of Theorem 6.1. By the results of Liulevicius [37] and Aikawa [11], $\text{Ext}_A^{3s+t}(\mathbb{F}_p, \mathbb{F}_p)$ is spanned by following elements (for convenience we will write $\text{Ext}_A^{s+t}$ for $\text{Ext}_A^{s+p(s+t)}(\mathbb{F}_p, \mathbb{F}_p)$)

\begin{enumerate}
\item $h_{i,j}h_k = [\lambda^1_{p-1}, \lambda^0_{p-1}, \lambda^2_{p-1}] \in \text{Ext}_A^{3,2(p-1)(p'+p''+p''')}, 0 \leq i \leq j - 2 \leq k - 4$;
\item $a_0h_{i,j} = [\lambda^1_{p-1}, \lambda^1_{p-1}, \lambda^0_{p-1}] \in \text{Ext}_A^{3,2(p-1)(p'+p''+p''')}, 1 \leq i \leq j - 2$;
\item $a^0_2h_i = [\lambda^0_{p-1}, \lambda^0_{p-1}, \lambda^0_{p-1}] \in \text{Ext}_A^{3,2(p-1)(p'+p''+p''')}, i \geq 1$;
\item $a^0_3 = [\lambda^0_{p-1}]^3 \in \text{Ext}_A^{3,3}$;
\item $\tilde{\lambda}h_{i,j} = [L_i, \lambda^1_{p-1}] \in \text{Ext}_A^{3,2(p-1)(p'+p''+p''')}, i, j \geq 0, j \neq i + 2$;
\item $\tilde{\lambda}_0a_0 = [L_i, \lambda^0_{p-1}, i \geq 0]$;
\item $h_{i,j}h_k = [\lambda^1_{p-1}, \lambda^0_{p-1}, \lambda^2_{p-1}] \in \text{Ext}_A^{3,2(1)(p'+p''+p''')}, 0 \leq j \leq 1 + 2, i, i - 1$;
\item $h_{i,1}a_0 = [\lambda^1_{p-1}, \lambda^0_{p-1}, \lambda^0_{p-1}] \in \text{Ext}_A^{3,2(1)(p'+p''+p''')}, i \geq 1$;
\item $h_{i,2}a_0 = [\lambda^0_{p-1}, \lambda^0_{p-1}, \lambda^0_{p-1}] \in \text{Ext}_A^{3,2(1)(p'+p''+p''')}, i \geq 1$;
\item $h_{i,2}a_0 = [\lambda^0_{p-1}, \lambda^0_{p-1}, \lambda^0_{p-1}] \in \text{Ext}_A^{3,2(1)(p'+p''+p''')}, i \geq 1$;
\item $h_{i,2}a_0 = [\lambda^1_{p-1}, \lambda^0_{p-1}, \lambda^0_{p-1}] \in \text{Ext}_A^{3,2(1)(p'+p''+p''')}, i \geq 1$;
\item $h_{i,2}a_0 = [\lambda^1_{p-1}, \lambda^0_{p-1}, \lambda^0_{p-1}] \in \text{Ext}_A^{3,2(1)(p'+p''+p''')}, i \geq 1$;
\item $h_{i,2}a_0 = [\lambda^1_{p-1}, \lambda^0_{p-1}, \lambda^0_{p-1}] \in \text{Ext}_A^{3,2(1)(p'+p''+p''')}, i \geq 1$;
\item $h_{i,2}a_0 = [\lambda^1_{p-1}, \lambda^0_{p-1}, \lambda^0_{p-1}] \in \text{Ext}_A^{3,2(1)(p'+p''+p''')}, i \geq 1$;
\item $h_{i,2}a_0 = [\lambda^1_{p-1}, \lambda^0_{p-1}, \lambda^0_{p-1}] \in \text{Ext}_A^{3,2(1)(p'+p''+p''')}, i \geq 1$;
\item $h_{i,2}a_0 = [\lambda^1_{p-1}, \lambda^0_{p-1}, \lambda^0_{p-1}] \in \text{Ext}_A^{3,2(1)(p'+p''+p''')}, i \geq 1$;
\item $h_{i,2}a_0 = [\lambda^1_{p-1}, \lambda^0_{p-1}, \lambda^0_{p-1}] \in \text{Ext}_A^{3,2(1)(p'+p''+p''')}, i \geq 1$;
\item $h_{i,2}a_0 = [\lambda^1_{p-1}, \lambda^0_{p-1}, \lambda^0_{p-1}] \in \text{Ext}_A^{3,2(1)(p'+p''+p''')}, i \geq 1$;
\item $h_{i,2}a_0 = [\lambda^1_{p-1}, \lambda^0_{p-1}, \lambda^0_{p-1}] \in \text{Ext}_A^{3,2(1)(p'+p''+p''')}, i \geq 1$.
\end{enumerate}
(15) $h_{2,1}^2 = [\lambda_2^1 \lambda_{p-1}^1 \lambda_0^0] \in \text{Ext}_A^{3,2(p-1)(2p^2+2)+1}, p = 3;$
(16) $h_{i;1,3,1} = (\mathcal{P}^0)^i [\lambda_{p-1}^1 \lambda_0^0] \in \text{Ext}_A^{3,2(p-1)(p^2+2)+1}, p \neq 3, i \geq 0;$
(17) $h_{1,3,1}^1 = [\lambda_1^1 \lambda_2^1 \lambda_0^0] \in \text{Ext}_A^{3,2(p-1)(p+3)+1}, p \neq 3;$
(18) $h_{i;2,1,2} = (\mathcal{P}^0)^i [\lambda_{2p-1}^1 \lambda_{p-1}^0] \in \text{Ext}_A^{3,2(p-1)(2p+1)+2p'}, i \geq 0;$
(19) $h_{i;1,2,3} = (\mathcal{P}^0)^i [\lambda_{p-1}^1 \lambda_{2p-1}^1 \lambda_0^2] \in \text{Ext}_A^{3,2(p-1)(p^2+2)3p'}, p \neq 3, i \geq 0;$
(20) $g_2 = [\lambda_2^1 (\lambda_{0}^0)^2] \in \text{Ext}_A^{3,6(p-1)+2}, p \neq 3;$
(21) $g_2' = [\lambda_2^1 (\lambda_{-1}^1)^2] \in \text{Ext}_A^{3,12(p-1)+2}, p = 3;$
(22) $f_3 = (\mathcal{P}^0)^{-1}[\mathcal{V}] \in \text{Ext}_A^{3,2(p-1)(p^2+2+p'), i \geq 1};$
(23) $g_i = (\mathcal{P}^0)^{-1}[\mathcal{V}] \in \text{Ext}_A^{3,2(p-1)(2p'+p'), i \geq 1};$

where

$$L_i = (\mathcal{P}^0)^i \left( \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \frac{(-1)^{j+1}}{j} \lambda_j \lambda_{j-1} \right), \quad i \geq 0;$$

$$M = \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \frac{(-1)^{j+1}}{j} \left( (\lambda_{p-j}^1 \lambda_{2p-j}^1 \lambda_{p-j}^1) - 2 \lambda_{p-1}^1 \lambda_{p-j-1} \lambda_{p-j-1} \right);$$

$$N = \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \frac{(-1)^{j+1}}{j} \left( (2 \lambda_{p-j}^1 \lambda_{2p-j}^1) - 2 \lambda_{p-1}^1 \lambda_{p-j-1} \lambda_{p-j-1} \right).$$

Observe that the elements $h_i h_j h_k (0 \leq i \leq j \leq k-4), \alpha_0 h_i h_j (1 \leq i \leq j-2), h_{i;1,2 h_j}, h_{i;1,2 \alpha_0 h_j}, h_{i;1,3,1}, h_{i;1,3,1}, h_{i;0,1,2,3},$ and $f_0$ are represented by cycles of negative excess. Therefore, their images under $\varphi_3^{\mathcal{P}^p}$ are trivial, so that their images under $\varphi_3^{\mathcal{P}^p}$ are also trivial.

By Proposition 5.3,

$$\varphi_3^{\mathcal{P}^p}(h_{i;1,3,1}) = \varphi_3^{\mathcal{P}^p}((\mathcal{P}^0)^i (h_{0;1,3,1})) = (\mathcal{P}^0)^i (\varphi_3^{\mathcal{P}^p}(h_{0;1,3,1})) = 0, i \geq 0.$$}

By the same argument, we get $\varphi_3^{\mathcal{P}^p}(h_{i;1,2,3}) = 0$ for $i \geq 0$ and $\varphi_3^{\mathcal{P}^p}(f_3) = 0$ for $i \geq 1$.

From the proof of \[11\] Theorem 4.2, we have that $\varphi_2^{\mathcal{P}^p}(\lambda_{p-i-1}^1 \lambda_{p-i}^1) = 0$ for $i \geq 0$ and $\varphi_2^{\mathcal{P}^p}(\lambda_1^1 \lambda_{2p-i}^1) = 0$. Therefore, basing on Lemma 6.2, we obtain that

- $\varphi_2^{\mathcal{P}^p}(\lambda_{2p-i-1}^1 \lambda_{p-i-1}^1 \lambda_{p-i}^1) = 0$,
- $\varphi_2^{\mathcal{P}^p}(\lambda_{p-i-1}^1 \lambda_{p-i}^1 \lambda_{2p-i}^1) = 0$,
- $\varphi_2^{\mathcal{P}^p}(\lambda_{p-i-1}^1 \lambda_{2p-i}^1 \lambda_{2p-i}^1) = 0$,
- $\varphi_2^{\mathcal{P}^p}(\lambda_{2p-i}^1 \lambda_{2p-i}^1 \lambda_{2p-i}^1) = 0$.

It follows that the images of elements $h_{i;2,1,2} h_j, h_{i;2,1} \alpha_0, h_{j'} h_{j'}, h_{3,2,1}$ and $h_{2,2,1}$ are trivial under the map $\varphi_3^{\mathcal{P}^p}$.

Basing on \[11\] Theorem 4.2, one gets $\varphi_2^{\mathcal{P}^p}(L_i) = \beta Q^{(p-1)p'} \beta Q^{p'}$. Therefore, we obtain
\[ \phi_3^F(L_i \lambda_p) = -\beta Q^{(p-1)p'} \beta Q^{p'} \beta Q^{p}, \text{ and} \]
\[ \phi_3^F(L_i \lambda_0 - 1) = -Q^{(p-1)p'} \beta Q^{p'} Q^0. \]

Since the right hand side of the first formula is of negative excess for \( i, j \geq 0 \), it implies that \( \phi_3^F(\lambda_i h_j) = 0 \).

By the proof of [11, Theorem 4.2], \( \beta Q^i Q^0 = 0 \in R_2 \), so that the right hand side of the second formula is trivial in \( R_3 \). It follows \( \phi_3^F(\alpha_0 \lambda_i) = 0 \). By the same method, we also get \( \phi_3^F(\alpha_0^i h_i) = 0 \).

From above, \( \phi_2^F(\lambda^1_{2p-1} \lambda^0_0) = 0 \) and \( \phi_2^F(\lambda^1_p \lambda^0_1) = 0 \), therefore,
\[ \phi_3^F(\lambda^1_{3p^2-1} \lambda^0_{2p-1} \lambda^0_0) = 0, \]
\[ \phi_3^F(\lambda^1_{2p^2-1} \lambda^0_{2p-1} \lambda^0_1) = 0, \] and
\[ \phi_3^F(\lambda^1_{2p^2-1} \lambda^1_p \lambda^0_1) = 0. \]

It follows that, using Proposition 5.3, \( \phi_3^F(h_{0,3,2,1}) = 0, \phi_3^F(h_{0,2,2,1}) = 0 \) and \( \phi_3^F(h_{0,2,1,2}) = 0 \), therefore, \( \phi_3^F(h_{i;3,2,1}) = 0, \phi_3^F(h_{i;2,2,1}) = 0 \) and \( \phi_3^F(h_{i;2,1,2}) = 0 \) for \( i \geq 0 \).

Applying the adem relation, in \( R_2 \), one gets \( \beta Q^3 Q^0 = 0 \) and \( \beta Q^6 Q^0 = 0 \), therefore,
\[ \phi_3^F(\lambda^1_{3} (\lambda^0_{-1})^2) = -\beta Q^3 Q^0 Q^0 = 0, \]
and
\[ \phi_3^F(\lambda^1_{1} (\lambda^0_{-1})^2) = -\beta Q^6 Q^0 Q^0 = 0. \]

Hence, \( \phi_3^F(g_3) = 0 \) and \( \phi_3^F(g_5) = 0 \).

By inspection, using Proposition 4.9 one gets
\[ \tilde{\varphi}_3(N) = \sum^{p-1}_{j=1} \frac{(-1)^j}{j} \left( 2\beta Q^{ip} \beta Q^{2p^2-j} \beta Q^p + 2\beta Q^{2p} \beta Q^{2p^2-j} \beta Q^p - \beta Q^{2p^2} \beta Q^j \beta Q^{p-j} \right). \]

Since two first terms of the right hand side of the formula are of negative excess, then
\[ \tilde{\varphi}_3(N) = \sum^{p-1}_{j=1} \frac{(-1)^j}{j} \beta Q^{2p} \beta Q^j \beta Q^{p-j}. \]

It is easy to verify that \( \beta Q^j \beta Q^{p-j} = 0 \) for \( j < p-1 \), therefore,
\[ \tilde{\varphi}_3(N) = -\beta Q^{2p} \beta Q^{p-1} \beta Q^1. \]

Applying the adem relation, we get
\[ \beta Q^{2p^2} \beta Q^{p-1} = \sum_{i} (-1)^{2p^2+i+1} \left( (p-1)(i+1-p) \right) \beta Q^{2p+p-1-i} \beta Q^i. \]

Since \( pi \geq 2p^2 + 1 \), then \( pi = 2p^2 + pa \) for some \( a \geq 1 \). In this case, we get
\[ e(\beta Q^{2p^2+p-1-i} \beta Q^i) = 2(2p^2 + p - 1 - i) - 2(p-1)i = 4p^2 + 2p - 2 - 2pi = 4p^2 + 2p - 2 - 4p^2 - 2pa = 2p - 2pa - 2 < 0. \]

Therefore, \( \beta Q^{2p^2} \beta Q^{p-1} = 0 \), and then \( \tilde{\varphi}_3(N) = 0 \). It implies that \( \phi_3^F(g_1) = 0 \) and, hence, \( \phi_3^F(g_5) = 0 \) for \( i \geq 1 \).

Finally, it is easy to check that \( \phi_3^F(\alpha_0^3) = -Q^0 Q^0 Q^0 \neq 0 \in R_3 \). The proof is complete. \( \square \)
6.2. The behavior of $\varphi^P_p$.

**Theorem 6.3.** The Lannes-Zarati homomorphism $\varphi^P_0 : \text{Ext}^{0,1}_{\mathcal{A}}(P, \mathbb{F}_p) \to (\mathbb{F}_p \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{A}_P)^\#$ is an isomorphism.

**Proof.** It is easy to see that $(\mathbb{F}_p \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{A}_P)^\#$ is spanned by $ab^{[kp'-1]}$ for $i \geq 0$ and $1 \leq k \leq p - 1$. Therefore, the assertion of the theorem is followed from Theorem 5.4 and Proposition 4.9.

**Theorem 6.4.** The Lannes-Zarati homomorphism $\varphi^P_1 : \text{Ext}^{1,1+1}_{\mathcal{A}}(P, \mathbb{F}_p) \to (\mathbb{F}_p \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{A}_P)^\#$ sends

1. $h_i(1)$ to $\left[\beta Q^i ab[[p-1]]\right]$, for $i \geq 0$;
2. $h_i h_j$ to $\left[\beta Q^i ab[(p-1)p-1]\right]$ for $0 \leq j < i$;
3. $h_i h_j(k)$ to $\left[\beta Q^i ab[[kp-1]]\right]$ for $0 \leq j < i$, $1 \leq k < p - 1$;
4. $\hat{h}_i(k)$ to $(P^0)^i\left(\beta Q^{k+1}ab[k]\right)$, $i \geq 0, 1 \leq k < p - 1$; and
5. others to zero.

**Proof.** By Theorem 3.2, $\text{Ext}^{1,1+1}_{\mathcal{A}}(P, \mathbb{F}_p)$ is spanned by

1. $\alpha_0 h_i = \left[\chi_{p-1} ab((p-1)p-1)\right], i \geq 1$;
2. $\alpha_0 h_i(k) = \left[\chi_{p-1} ab[kp'-1]\right], i \geq 1, 1 \leq k < p - 1$;
3. $\tilde{h}(\ell) = \left[\chi_{p-1} ab[p+\ell] + (\ell + 1)\chi_0 ab^{\ell+1}\right], 0 \leq \ell < p - 2$;
4. $\hat{h}_i(1) = \left[\chi_{p-1} ab[p-1]\right], i \geq 0$;
5. $\hat{h}_i h_j = \left[\chi_{p-1} ab((p-1)p-1)\right], i, j \geq 0, j \neq i, i + 1$;
6. $h_i h_j(k) = \left[\chi_{p-1} ab[kp'-1]\right], i, j \geq 0, j \neq i, i + 1, 1 \leq r < p - 1$;
7. $\tilde{h}_i(k) = (P^0)^i\left(\sum_{j=0}^{k} \frac{1}{j+1} \chi_j ab[(k-j)p+j]\right), i \geq 0, 1 \leq k < p - 1$;
8. $\tilde{h}_i(k) = (P^0)^i\left(\sum_{j=0}^{p-1-r} \frac{r+j}{j+1} \chi_j ab[(p-j-1)p+r+j]\right), i \geq 0, 1 \leq r < p - 1$.

Using Proposition 4.9 it is easy to verify that the images of the following elements

- $\alpha_0 h_i$ for $i \geq 1$;
- $\alpha_0 h_i(k)$ for $i \geq 1, 1 \leq k < p - 1$;
- $\tilde{h}(\ell)$ for $0 \leq \ell < p - 2$;
- $\hat{h}_i h_j$ for $0 \leq i < j - 1$; and
- $\hat{h}_i h_j(k)$ for $0 \leq i < j - 1, 1 \leq k < p - 1$

are trivial.

By inspection, using Proposition 4.9 we get

$$\varphi^P_1(\alpha_{p-1} ab[kp+p-2]) = \left[\beta Q^i ab[[kp+p-2]]\right].$$

Since $2p - (2(kp+p-2) + 1) < 0$ for all $k \geq 1$, it follows that $\varphi^P_1(\hat{h}_i(k)) = 0$ for all $1 \leq k \leq p - 1$. Using Proposition 5.3 we obtain

$$\varphi^P_1(\hat{h}_i(k)) = (P^0)^i-1 \varphi^P_1(\hat{h}_i(k)) = 0.$$
Remark 6.5. It is easy to see that $R$ of the cohomology of the Steenrod algebra (see [47], [4], [23], [44], [18], [41], [7], [8], [9], [10]). Let us recall the construction of the algebraic Singer transfer.

The dual of the Singer transfer

\[
\beta Q^{p-1}ab[p-1] \neq 0, \text{ for } 0 \leq i \geq 1;
\]

\[
\beta Q^{p-1}ab[kp-1] \neq 0, \text{ for } 0 \leq j < i, 1 \leq k < p-1; \text{ and}
\]

\[
\beta Q^{k+1}ab[k] \neq 0, i \geq 0, 1 \leq k < p-1.
\]

The proof is complete. □

Remark 6.5. It is easy to see that $[\beta Q^{p-1}b[i] + Q^{p-1}a]$ is non-trivial in $(\mathcal{R}_1 P)^\#$. It follows that, basing on Theorem 6.4, $\varphi^p_1$ is not an epimorphism. This fact is similar to the case $p = 2$ (see Remark 1.6).
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Appendix A. The Singer Transfer

The algebraic Singer transfer is first constructed by Singer for $p = 2$ [47], and it is later generalized for $p$ odd by Crossley [15]. It plays an important role in the study of the Steenrod algebra (see [47], [4], [23], [44], [18], [41], [7], [8], [9], [10]). Let us recall the construction of the algebraic Singer transfer.

For any $A$-module $M$, let

\[
\delta_1(\Sigma^{-(s-i)} P_i \otimes M) : \text{Tor}^A_{r}((\mathcal{F}_p, \Sigma^{-(s-i)} P_i) \otimes M) \longrightarrow \text{Tor}^A_{r-1}((\mathcal{F}_p, \Sigma^{-(s-i-1)} P_{i+1}) \otimes M)
\]

be the connecting homomorphism associated to the short exact sequence

\[
0 \longrightarrow \Sigma^{-(s-i-1)} P_{i+1} \otimes M \longrightarrow \Sigma^{-(s-i-1)} P_i \otimes \hat{P} \otimes M \longrightarrow \Sigma^{-(s-i)} P_i \otimes M \longrightarrow 0,
\]

for $0 \leq i \leq s - 1$ with the convention $P_0 = \mathbb{F}_p$.

The dual of the Singer transfer

\[
\langle \psi^M_s \rangle : \text{Tor}^A_{s,t}(\mathbb{F}_p, \Sigma^{s} M) \cong \text{Tor}^A_{s,t}(\mathbb{F}_p, \Sigma^{-s} M) \longrightarrow \text{Tor}^A_{0,t}(\mathbb{F}_p, P_s \otimes M)
\]

is defined by

\[
\langle \psi^M_s \rangle = \delta_1(\Sigma^{-1} P_{s-1} \otimes M) \circ \cdots \circ \delta_1(\Sigma^{-s} M).
\]

Taking dual, we have a homomorphism (called the algebraic Singer transfer), for each $s \geq 0$,

\[
\psi^M_s : \text{Ext}^0_{\mathcal{F}_p}(P_s \otimes M, \mathbb{F}_p) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}^s_{\mathcal{F}_p}(M, \mathbb{F}_p).
\]

Proposition A.1. The map $\langle \psi^M_s \rangle^\#: (\Gamma^+ M)_s \longrightarrow P_s \otimes M$ induced by

\[
u^i \left( (\beta^{1-e_1} - e_1) \cdots (\beta^{1-e_s} - e_s) S_s(m) \right) \mapsto

(-1)^{\frac{\beta^{1-e_1} + \cdots + \beta^{1-e_s} - s}{2}} P^i \left( x_1 y_1 \otimes (\cdots (\beta^{1-e_s} P^i (x_s y_s^{-1} \otimes m)) \cdots) \right)
\]

is a chain-level representation of $\langle \psi^M_s \rangle^\#$.  
Proof. Let \( \gamma = \sum_{i_1, \ldots, i_s} \omega_1 v_1^{(p-1)i_1-\epsilon_1} \cdots v_s^{(p-1)i_s-\epsilon_s} S_s(\Sigma^{-s}m) \) be a cycle in \((\Gamma^+\Sigma^{-s}M)_s\). Then, \( \gamma \) can be pulled back by
\[
\gamma_1 = \sum_{t \in T} \omega_t v_1^{(p-1)i_1-\epsilon_1} \cdots v_s^{(p-1)i_s-\epsilon_s} S_s(\Sigma^{-s}x, y^{-1}m) \in (\Gamma^+\Sigma^{-s}M)_s.
\]
Then, in \((\Gamma^+\Sigma^{-s}M)_s\),
\[
\partial(\gamma_1) = \sum_{t \in T} \omega_t (-1)^{\epsilon_1+\cdots+\epsilon_s+s+(s-1)\epsilon_s} 
\times u_1^{(p-1)i_1-\epsilon_1} \cdots u_s^{(p-1)i_s-\epsilon_s} S_s^{-1}(\Sigma^{-s} \beta^{1-\epsilon_s} P_i(x, y^{-1} m))
\]
It follows that
\[
\delta_1(\Sigma^{-s}M)(\gamma) = \sum_{t \in T} \omega_t (-1)^{\epsilon_1+\cdots+\epsilon_s+s+(s-1)\epsilon_s} 
\times u_1^{(p-1)i_1-\epsilon_1} \cdots u_s^{(p-1)i_s-\epsilon_s} S_s^{-1}(\Sigma^{-s} \beta^{1-\epsilon_s} P_i(x, y^{-1} m))
\]
By induction, we get
\[
(\tilde{\psi}_s^M)^#(\gamma) = \sum_{t \in T} \omega_t (-1)^{s(\deg \gamma + \deg m) + \frac{t(s+1)}{2} + \epsilon_1 + \cdots + \epsilon_s} 
\times \beta^{1-\epsilon_1} P_i(x, y^{-1} m) \cdots
\]
Since, under the isomorphism \( \Sigma^{-s}(\Gamma^+M)_s \rightarrow (\Gamma^+\Sigma^{-s}M)_s \), the image of the element \( \Sigma^{-s}u_1^{(p-1)i_1-\epsilon_1} \cdots u_s^{(p-1)i_s-\epsilon_s} S_s(m) \) is equal to
\[
(\gamma) = (-1)^{s(\deg \gamma + \deg m)} u_1^{(p-1)i_1-\epsilon_1} \cdots u_s^{(p-1)i_s-\epsilon_s} S_s(\Sigma^{-s}m),
\]
we obtain the assertion of the proposition.

The proof is complete. \( \square \)

Given an unstable \( A \)-module \( M \), let, for each \( s \geq 0 \),
\[
j_s^M := (\tilde{\psi}_s^M)^# \circ (\varphi_s^M)^# : F_p \otimes_A R_s M \rightarrow F_p \otimes_A (P_s \otimes M).
\]

The following corollary is followed from Theorem A.4 and Proposition A.1

**Corollary A.2.** The map \( R_s M \rightarrow P_s \otimes M \) given by \( \gamma \mapsto -\gamma \) induces the homomorphism \( j_s^M \).

**Appendix B. Changes needed if** \( p = 2 \)

Our framework is also valid for \( p = 2 \) with a suitable modification.

For \( p = 2 \), the lambda algebra is generated by \( \lambda_i \) of degree \( i \) for \( i \geq 0 \) satisfying the adem relations:
\[
\lambda_i \lambda_j = \sum_t \left( \frac{t-j-1}{2t-i} \right) \lambda_{i+j-t} \lambda_t, \quad i > 2j.
\]
Therefore, a monomial \( \lambda_I = \lambda_{i_1} \cdots \lambda_{i_s} \in \Lambda_s \) is called admissible if \( i_k \leq 2i_{k+1} \) for \( 1 \leq k < s \). The excess of \( \lambda_I \) or \( I \) is defined by
\[
e(I) = e(I) = i_1 - i_2 - \cdots - i_s.
\]
The Dyer-Lashof algebra is the quotient algebra of \( \Lambda \) by the (two sides) ideal of \( \Lambda \) generated by all monomials of negative excess. We also denote \( Q^I \) the image of \( \lambda_I \) under the canonical projection.
Hence, Proposition B.1 and Proposition B.2 become respectively as follows.

**Proposition B.1.** Given an unstable \( A \)-module \( M \), the set
\[
\mathcal{S} = \{ Q^I \otimes \ell : \ell \in M^\#, I \text{ admissible and } e(I) \geq |\ell| \}
\]
represents an \( \mathbb{F}_2 \)-basis of \( (\mathcal{R}_A M)^\# \).

**Proposition B.2.** For any unstable \( A \)-module \( M \), the projection \( \tilde{\varphi}_s^M : \Lambda_s \otimes M^\# \to (\mathcal{R}_A M)^\# \) given by
\[
\lambda_I \otimes \ell \mapsto [Q^I \otimes \ell]
\]
is a chain-level representation of the mod 2 Lannes-Zarati homomorphism \( \varphi_s^M \).

For \( M = \mathbb{F}_2 \) and \( M = H^*(B\mathbb{Z}/2) \), the squaring operations \( \tilde{S}_p^0 \)'s acting on \( \Lambda \otimes M^\# \) induce squaring the operations acting on \( (\mathcal{R}_A M)^\# \). Moreover, these squaring operations induce squaring operations \( Sq^0 \)'s acting on the domain and the range of the mod 2 Lannes-Zarati homomorphism.

**Proposition B.3.** The squaring operations \( Sq^0 \)'s commute with each other through the Lannes-Zarati homomorphism. In other words, the following diagram is commutative
\[
\begin{array}{c}
\Ext_A^{s+1}(M, \mathbb{F}_2) \\
\varphi_s^M \\
\downarrow \\
\Ext_A^{s+2}(M, \mathbb{F}_2)
\end{array}
\xrightarrow{\tilde{S}_p^0} \begin{array}{c}
\Ext_A^{s+2}(M, \mathbb{F}_2) \\
\varphi_s^M \\
\downarrow \\
(\mathbb{F}_2 \otimes_A \mathcal{R}_A M)^\#_{2t+s},
\end{array}
\]
for \( M = \mathbb{F}_2 \) and \( M = H^*(B\mathbb{Z}/2) \).

The rest of the section recovers all known results for \( p = 2 \).

**Proposition B.4** ([34], [25], [20], [22], [26]).

1. The first Lannes-Zarati homomorphism \( \varphi_2^F \) is an isomorphism.
2. The second Lannes-Zarati homomorphism \( \varphi_2^F \) is an epimorphism.
3. The \( s \)-th Lannes-Zarati homomorphism \( \varphi_2^F \) vanishes at all positive stems in \( \Ext_A^s(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2) \) for \( 3 \leq s \leq 5 \).

**Proof.** The statements (1) and (2) are easily proved by using Proposition B.2 and the representations of \( h_i \) and \( h_i h_i \) on lambda algebra (see Lin [35] for example).

We only give an illustrated example for our method, the detail proof of (3) is computed by the same argument.

We will to prove \( \varphi_2^F(U_i) = 0 \). By Chen [3], the element \( U_i \in \Ext_A^{s_i+8+2i+3+2i} (\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2) \) is represented in lambda algebra by the cycle
\[
\tilde{U}_i = (\tilde{S}^{i+1}_{(0)}(\lambda_{127}\lambda_{39}\lambda_{39}\lambda_{39}\lambda_{39}\lambda_{39})) = 0.
\]
Since \( \varphi_2^F(\lambda_{127}\lambda_{39}\lambda_{39}) = 0 \), \( \varphi_2^F(\lambda_{127}\lambda_{39}\lambda_{39}) = 0 \) and \( \varphi_2^F(\lambda_{127}\lambda_{39}\lambda_{39}) = 0 \), then
\[
\varphi_5^F(\tilde{U}_0) = Q^{191} Q^{39} Q^{15} Q^{15} Q^{0}.
\]
Applying the adem relation, we get \( Q^{15} Q^{0} = 0 \) in \( R_2 \), it implies that \( \varphi_5^F(\tilde{U}_0) = 0 \). Hence, \( \varphi_5^F(U_0) = 0 \) and then \( \varphi_5^F(U_i) = \varphi_5^F((\tilde{S}^{i+1}_{(0)})^i(U_0)) = (\tilde{S}^{i+1}_{(0)})^i(\varphi_5^F(U_0)) = 0 \).

**Proposition B.5** ([28]).

1. The zero-th Lannes-Zarati homomorphism \( \varphi_0^F \) is an isomorphism on \( \Ext_A^0(P, \mathbb{F}_2) \).
(2) The first Lannes-Zarati homomorphism $\varphi^P_1$ is a monomorphism on $\text{Span}\{h_i\widehat{h}_j : i \geq j\}$ and vanishes on $\text{Span}\{h_i\widehat{h}_j : i < j\}$.

(3) The $s$-th Lannes-Zarati homomorphism $\varphi^P_s$ vanishes in all positive stems in $\text{Ext}^s_\Lambda(P, \mathbb{F}_2)$ for $2 \leq s \leq 4$.

Proof. The statements (1) and (2) are easily proved by using Proposition B.2 and the representations of $\widehat{h}_i$ and $h_i\widehat{h}_j$ on $\Lambda \otimes P^*$ (see Lin [35] for example).

Similar to above proposition, here we only give some illustrated examples, the detail proof of (3) is followed by the same argument.

First, we prove $\varphi^P_2(\widehat{c}_i) = 0$ for $i \geq 0$. From Lin [35], $\widehat{c}_i \in \text{Ext}^{2i+3+2i+1+2i-1}_\Lambda(P, \mathbb{F}_2)$ is represented in $\Lambda \otimes P^*$ by the cycle

$$\widehat{c}_i = (\widehat{S}Q^0)i(\lambda_2^3 b[2]), i \geq 0.$$  

Since $e(\lambda_2^3) = 0 < 2$, then, using Proposition B.2 and Proposition B.1, it follows that $\varphi^P_2(\widehat{c}_0) = 0$, hence, $\varphi^P_2(\widehat{c}_0) = 0$. Therefore, $\varphi^P_2(\widehat{c}_i) = (\widehat{S}Q^0)i(\varphi^P_2(c_0)) = 0$ for $i \geq 0$.

Second, we will show that $\varphi^P_2(\alpha_{16}(i)) = 0$ for $i \geq 0$. From Lin [35], the element $\alpha_{16}(i) \in \text{Ext}^{2i+4+2i+2-1}_\Lambda(P, \mathbb{F}_2)$ is represented in $\Lambda \otimes P^*$ by the cycle

$$\alpha_{16}(i) = (\widehat{S}Q^0)i(\lambda_2^9 \lambda_9 b[2] + \lambda_7^2 \lambda_9 + \lambda_7 \lambda_5 \lambda_3 b[1]), i \geq 0.$$  

Using Proposition B.2 and Proposition B.1, it is easy to verify that $\varphi^P_2(\alpha_{16}(0)) = Q^7Q^0b[2]$.

Since $e(Q^7Q^0b[0]) = 0 < 2$, it implies that $\varphi^P_2(\alpha_{16}(0)) = 0$. Hence, $\varphi^P_2(\alpha_{16}(i)) = 0$ and then $\varphi^P_2(\alpha_{16}(i)) = 0$ for $i \geq 0$.

Finally, we will verify that $\varphi^P_4(\gamma_{63}(i)) = 0$ for $i \geq 0$. From Lin [35], the element $\gamma_{63}(i) \in \text{Ext}^{4i+6+2i+1+2i-1}_\Lambda(P, \mathbb{F}_2)$ is represented in $\Lambda \otimes P^*$ by the cycle

$$\gamma_{63}(i) = (\widehat{S}Q^0)i(\lambda_3^2 \lambda_9 \lambda_2 + \lambda_7^4 \lambda_9 + \lambda_9 \lambda_3^2 b[1] + (\lambda_2^2 \lambda_7 \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 \lambda_7 \lambda_3 \lambda_5 + \lambda_7 \lambda_5 \lambda_3 b[1]), i \geq 0.$$  

By the same method, it is easy to verify that $\varphi^P_4(\gamma_{63}(0)) = Q^{47}Q^0b[1]$.

Applying the adem relation, we get that $Q^0Q^3Q^3 = 0 \in R_3$, then $\varphi^P_4(\gamma_{63}(0)) = 0$. It implies that $\varphi^P_4(\gamma_{63}(i)) = 0$ for $i \geq 0$. □

Remark B.6. Basing on Proposition B.1, it is easy to verify that $(\mathbb{F}_2 \otimes_\Lambda \mathbb{F}_1 P)^*$ is spanned by

$$\left\{\left[Q^{2^i-1}b[2^i-1]\right] : i \geq j \right\} \cup \left\{ (\widehat{S}Q^0)i\left[Q^{2(2^i-1)}b[1]\right] + Q^{2i+1-1}b[2]\right\} : i \geq 0, j \geq 1 \right\}.$$  

Therefore, the first Lannes-Zarati homomorphism $\varphi^P_1$ is not an epimorphism.
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