Effects of Coronal Density and Magnetic Field Distributions on a Global Solar EUV Wave
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ABSTRACT

We investigate a global extreme-ultraviolet (EUV) wave associated with a coronal mass ejection (CME)-driven shock on 2017 September 10. The EUV wave is transmitted by north- and south-polar coronal holes (CHs), which is observed by the Solar Dynamics Observatory (SDO) and Solar Terrestrial Relations Observatory A (STEREO-A) from opposite sides of the Sun. We obtain key findings on how the EUV wave interacts with multiple coronal structures, and on its connection with the CME-driven shock: (1) the transmitted EUV wave is still connected with the shock that is incurvated to the Sun, after the shock has reached the opposite side of the eruption; (2) the south CH transmitted EUV wave is accelerated inside an on-disk, low-density region with closed magnetic fields, which implies that an EUV wave can be accelerated in both open and closed magnetic field regions; (3) part of the primary EUV wavefront turns around a bright point (BP) with a bipolar magnetic structure when it approaches a dim, low-density filament channel near the BP; (4) the primary EUV wave is diffused and apparently halted near the boundaries of remote active regions (ARs) that are far from the eruption, and no obvious AR related secondary waves are detected; (5) the EUV wave extends to an unprecedented scale of ∼360° in latitudes, which is attributed to the polar CH transmission. These results provide insights into the effects of coronal density and magnetic field distributions on the evolution of an EUV wave, and into the connection between the EUV wave and the associated CME-driven shock.
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1. INTRODUCTION

A solar extreme-ultraviolet (EUV) wave is a large-scale intensity disturbance propagating in the corona, which was proposed to be the coronal counterpart (e.g., Thompson et al. 1999; Warmuth et al. 2004a; Muhr et al. 2010; Asai et al. 2012) of the “Moreton wave” (Moreton 1960). Observations and simulations suggest that large-scale EUV waves associated with coronal mass ejections (CMEs) are fast-mode magnetohydrodynamic waves/shocks driven by the expanding CMEs (e.g., Zhukov & Auchère 2004; Cohen et al. 2009; Patsourakos & Vourlidas 2009; Liu et al. 2011; Cheng et al. 2012;
Liu et al. 2012; Shen et al. 2013). An EUV wave will probably decouple from the driving CME after the expansion ceases in the corona, which is supposed to be the low-corona footprint of an expanding fast-mode shock at the initial stage (e.g., Cheng et al. 2012; Patsourakos & Vourlidas 2012; Kwon et al. 2014). Observations reveal that part of a CME-driven shock can propagate in the opposite direction of the CME propagation and reach the side of the Sun opposite to its associated eruption (e.g., Kwon et al. 2014; Liu et al. 2017). However, the connection between an EUV wave and the accompanied shock after the latter has propagated to the opposite side is not well investigated yet.

Coronal magnetic structures, e.g., coronal holes (CHs) and active regions (ARs), can affect the propagation of an EUV wave. Gopalswamy et al. (2009) reported an EUV wave reflected from a CH. Both reflection from and transmission through a CH have been observed by Olmedo et al. (2012). Shen et al. (2013) reported the diffraction, refraction, and reflection of an EUV wave interacting with remote ARs. Obvious secondary waves have been observed on the far side of remote ARs that are near the eruption site in previous studies (e.g., Li et al. 2012; Shen et al. 2013). In this paper, we will see that the secondary wave beyond a remote AR can hardly be detected if the AR is too far from the eruption site. Elevation of EUV wave speed inside a dim coronal cavity of low density on the solar limb has been reported by Liu et al. (2012). We will investigate the effect of a low-density closed magnetic field structure on the propagation of an EUV wave, when the structure is on the solar disk. We also find that a bright point (BP) with a small bipolar magnetic structure influences the wavefront of an EUV wave in combination with a filament channel. Large-scale EUV waves propagate to distances statistically in the range of 350–850 Mm (Patsourakos & Vourlidas 2012). However, it is still unclear how large and persistent an EUV wave could be and what contributes to its globalization and persistence.

In this paper we report a persistent, global EUV wave with a very large scale that interacts with multiple low-density regions with closed magnetic fields, ARs, and CHs. The global EUV wave was accompanied by an X8.2 flare and a violent CME driving a shock on the west limb of the Sun on 2017 September 10, which was observed by spacecraft on the opposite sides of the Sun. The related coronal and interplanetary properties have been studied in several works (e.g., Seaton & Darnel 2018; Li et al. 2018; Yan et al. 2018; Goryaev et al. 2018; Luhmann et al. 2018; Liu et al. 2018; Cheng et al. 2018; Liu et al. 2019). In this paper we analyze the observations of Solar Terrestrial Relations Observatory A (STEREO-A), Solar Dynamics Observatory (SDO), Geostationary Operational Environmental Satellite 16 (GOES-16), and Solar and Heliospheric Observatory (SOHO) from nearly opposite sides of the Sun. The time frame of this work was coincident with that of Liu et al. (2018), which is based on single-spacecraft observations. We perform further analysis using observations from multiple spacecraft, which covers almost 360° of the Sun. This paper focuses on the connection with the accompanied CME-driven shock at a later stage; the alterations of the speed and wavefront caused by low-density regions, a BP, ARs, and CHs; and the long persistence of the EUV wave. The global properties of the EUV wave and its connection with the CME-driven shock observed from two sides of the Sun are investigated in Section 2. The interactions with coronal structures and the global scale of the EUV wave are studied in Section 3. We conclude and discuss the results in Section 4. Our results improve the knowledge of the connection with the CME-driven shock and highlight the effects of coronal density and magnetic field distributions.
on the propagation and globalization of an EUV wave.

2. GLOBAL PROPERTIES AND ASSOCIATED SHOCK

The global EUV wave is associated with an energetic CME driving a shock and an X8.2 flare from AR 12673 (S08°W88°) that peaked at 16:06 UT on 2017 September 10. The Atmospheric Imaging Assembly (AIA) on board SDO (Lemen et al. 2012) and the Extreme Ultraviolet Imager (EUVI) on board STEREO (Kaiser et al. 2008) are advantageous when observing the Sun in EUV bands from multiple viewpoints (e.g., Patsourakos & Vourlidas 2009; Liu et al. 2011, 2014; Olmedo et al. 2012; Hu et al. 2017; Zhu et al. 2018). Figure 1 shows the EUV observations of SDO/AIA 211 Å near the Earth and STEREO-A/EUVI (EUVI-A) 195 Å from ~128° east of the Earth. The dark regions in the AIA 211 Å (Figure 1a) and EUVI-A 195 Å (Figure 1b) images illustrate three CHs in the north- and south-polar regions as well as a northern midlatitude area. The open magnetic field lines (green and red lines in Figure 1a-b) derived from a potential-field source-surface (PFSS) model also demonstrate the CHs. “BP” marks a BP that has a bipolar magnetic structure. Arrows “1” and “2” in Figure 1(a) denote two dim regions where the coronal density and possibly also the temperature are relatively low. The running-difference (RD) image of AIA 211 Å (Figure 1c) shows that the EUV wave was propagating on the western solar disk away from the flare site (indicated by arrow “A”) at 16:11 UT. The EUV wave was interacting with the polar CHs near 60° north and south latitudes on the west limb, as indicated by arrows “B” and “C”. RD images of AIA 211, 193, and 171 Å are synthesized to red-green-blue color images and then join the RD images of EUVI-A 195 Å (similar to Figure 1d) to make an animation for Figure 1(c-d). The animation illustrates that the EUV wave is reflected and also transmitted by both the north- and south-polar CHs, which is also reported by Liu et al. (2018). Two antipoleward transmitted waves emanate along the boundaries of the two polar CHs, which are indicated by the cyan arrows in Figure 1(c-d). Furthermore, the north transmitted wave is transmitted once again by the midlatitude CH (marked with “CH” in Figure 1c) and produces a secondary wave along the southern boundary of the CH, which is visible in the animation of Figure 1. The wavefronts of the transmitted waves on the limb (denoted with arrows “D” and “E”) are forwardly inclined, which are also observed on the east limb by AIA in the animation of Figure 1. Note that there is no driving CME structure following the transmitted EUV wave, which is different from the explanation with the downward expansion of a CME structure in Liu et al. (2012). This suggests that the wave speed increases with the altitude in the low corona, which is consistent with the model of Uchida (1968). The CME structure and the primary EUV wavefront are obvious in the EUVI-A images from 15:55 to 15:58 UT in the animation of Figure 1, which agrees with a primary EUV wave being a fast-mode wave/shock driven by the associated CME (e.g., Patsourakos & Vourlidas 2009; Liu et al. 2011; Cheng et al. 2012; Downs et al. 2012). As a shock signature (e.g., Warmuth et al. 2004b; Liu et al. 2009; Nitta et al. 2013; Hu et al. 2016; Yang et al. 2018), a type II radio burst associated with our case is also detected by ground-based radio spectrometers (Gopalswamy et al. 2018).

A large CME-driven shock is observed by coronagraphs on board STEREO-A and SOHO, which propagates to the opposite side of the eruption. Liu et al. (2019) present a study of the geometry and kinematics of the CME-driven shock in relation to its heliospheric impacts combining remote-sensing and in situ observations. Here we focus on the connection with the EUV wave and the morphology of the
Figure 1. EUV observations and coronal magnetic field distributions. (a-b) Images of SDO/AIA 211 Å and STEREO-A/EUVI 195 Å with coronal magnetic field lines obtained from a PFSS model. The blue lines represent the closed magnetic field lines, and the green (red) lines denote the positive (negative) open field lines. Arrows “1” and “2” indicate two dim regions, and “BP” marks a bright point. (c) Running-difference image of SDO/AIA 211 Å. Arrow “A” points to the flare. Arrows “B” and “C” indicate the approximate sites where the EUV wave interacts with the polar CHs. The closed region denoted with “CH” represents a CH in the north midlatitude area. The diamond marks the bright point. The dashed square specifies an area for Figure 6. (d) Running-difference image of STEREO-A/EUVI 195 Å, of which the FOV is slightly changed to be comparable to that of panel (c). Arrows “D” and “E” denote the polar CH transmitted waves on the limb. Cyan arrows in panels (c-d) indicate the on-disk wavefronts of the transmitted waves. “S1” is a great-circle slit along the E40° meridian, and “S2-5” are great-circle slits starting from the flare site. The circles/ellipse in panels (c-d) represent ARs. The heliographic grids are spaced by 30°. An animation of panels (c-d) is available, which begins at 15:40 UT and ends at 17:26 UT. In the left panel of the animation, running-difference images of SDO/AIA 211, 193, and 171 Å are synthesized to red-green-blue (RGB) color images. The right panel of the animation shows the running-difference images of STEREO-A/EUVI with the original FOV. The lines, arrows, and annotations are removed in the animation. (An animation of this figure is available.)
Figure 2. Running-difference images from GOES-16, SOHO, and STEREO-A superimposed with a three-dimensional modeled shock. The overlaid frame represents the constructed shock with the ellipsoid model developed by Kwon et al. (2014). Panels (a-d) show the same modeled result for 16:00 UT. The cyan ellipse indicates the intersection of the model ellipsoid and the Sun surface. The dashed curves demonstrate the part of the ellipsoid surface and the intersection that are on the far side of the image plane.
CME-driven shock on the opposite side of the eruption, which have not been fully understood yet. A three-dimensional (3D) modeled structure of the shock based on imaging observations from STEREO-A, SOHO, and GOES-16 is illustrated in Figure 2 (also see Liu et al. 2019). The 3D model is developed by Kwon et al. (2014) using an ellipsoid structure to represent a CME-driven shock, which can determine the 3D outermost structure of the shock by fitting multiple-viewpoint imaging observations (e.g., Kwon & Vourlidas 2017; Zhu et al. 2018; Liu et al. 2019). The ellipsoid model has seven free parameters: the height, longitude, and latitude of the center of the ellipsoid; the lengths of the three semiprincipal axes; and the rotation angle of the ellipsoid. In our fitting, the two semiprincipal axes perpendicular to the radial direction are set to equal, which reduces two free parameters (one semiprincipal axis and the rotation angle). We fit the parameters by visually matching the ellipsoid structure and the shock feature in the RD images from two viewpoints as shown in Figure 2. Images of GOES-16 Solar Ultraviolet Imager (SUVI) with a larger field of view (FOV) instead of those of SDO/AIA are used in the fitting. At 16:00 UT, the lateral boundary of the ellipsoid is determined by both the EUV (panels a-b of Figure 2) and coronagraph images (panels c-d), and the radial boundary is restricted by the coronagraph images. As shown in Figure 2(a), the intersection (the solid cyan curve on the disk) of the ellipsoid and the Sun surface is consistent with the primary EUV wavefront, which agrees with a primary EUV wave being the footprint of a CME-driven shock at the initial stage (e.g., Li et al. 2012; Kwon et al. 2014). In Figure 2(b), the dashed cyan ellipse illustrates that the intersection is on the side opposite to STEREO-A, which is consistent with that no on-disk EUV wave was detected by EUVI-A around 16:00 UT. Veronig et al. (2018) suggest that the EUV wave observed by SUVI is connected with the shock detected by C2 and detaches from the CME structure in the initial stage. The shock is caused by the impulsive expansion of the CME structure (Veronig et al. 2018; Liu et al. 2019). Therefore, it is still appropriate to call the shock a “CME-driven” shock despite the early detachment of the shock from the CME structure. In this paper we fit only the CME-driven shock using the 3D ellipsoid model. Investigation of the CME geometry can be seen in, e.g., Gopalswamy et al. (2018), Veronig et al. (2018), and Liu et al. (2019). Figure 2(e-f) present the modeled shock structure at ∼16:11 UT, and show that the shock has almost propagated backward to the opposite side of the eruption. However, on that time the primary EUV wave was still in the western hemisphere of the Sun (see Figure 1c). The primary EUV wave lasted about 40 minutes from ∼15:50 UT to ∼16:30 UT, and the transmitted waves started around 16:06 UT and faded out after 17:00 UT. It is surprising that the EUV waves can persist for ∼50 minutes even after the CME-driven shock has propagated to the opposite side of the eruption.

Figure 3 depicts the connection with the EUV wave and the morphology of the backward propagating shock. As indicated by the two horizontal arrows in panel (a), the RD image of STEREO-A/COR2 clearly displays that the northern and southern parts of the shock on the opposite side of the eruption are “incurvated” to the Sun and form a “funnel-like” structure. The incurvated southern part of the shock observed by COR2 around 16:24 UT is cospatial with the lateral shock observed by COR1 as marked by the two vertical arrows in panel (a). The RD COR1 image overlaid with a RD EUVI image in panel (b) (which is enlarged in panel c) obviously shows that the southern lateral shock in the COR1 image also spatially corresponds to the aforementioned south-polar CH transmitted EUV wave. It is indicated that the incurvated
shock observed by the coronagraphs on board \textit{STEREO-A} is still connected with the transmitted EUV wave on the opposite side of the eruption. The incurvation can also be seen in the RD \textit{SOHO}/C2 image in panel (d), although the shock feature is relatively faint. The spatial correspondence between the transmitted EUV wave and the lateral shock is not definitely observed as shown in Figure 3(f). However, the transmitted EUV wave on the limb is approximately radially aligned with the shock, which also suggests that they are connected. A corresponding northern lateral shock is not visible in the RD COR1 image, which is probably because it is relatively weak. However, the animation of Figure 3 of RD \textit{SOHO}/C2 images shows a lateral collision between two coronal structures on the opposite side of the eruption around 18:11 UT, which is also marked by the arrow in Figure 3(e). The two colliding structures are probably streamers pushed by the southern and northern lateral parts of the shock. (Streamers on the opposite side of the eruption are indeed observed in C2 images that are not presented here.) The
Figure 4. (a) Distance-time profile of the transmitted EUV wave by stacking SDO/AIA 193 Å running-difference images along “S1”. The dashed curve indicates the wavefront, along which the distance is extracted. The top two lines mark the boundaries of Dim Region 1 on the path, and the third line denotes the boundary of the south-polar CH. (b) The speed of the wave derived from the distance-time profile using a numerical differentiation with three-point Lagrangian interpolation, which is then binned to reduce the scatter.

Joint observation of STEREO-A/EUVI, COR1, and COR2 reveals that the CME-driven shock is incurvated to the Sun and still connected with the transmitted EUV wave on the opposite side of the eruption.

3. INTERACTIONS WITH DIM REGIONS AND ACTIVE REGIONS

Five great-circle slits “S1-5” (cyan lines in Figure 1c-d) of RD EUV images are extracted and then stacked in time sequences to investigate the propagation of the EUV wave. Figure 4 shows the distance-time profile and the derived speed of the south-polar CH transmitted wave along “S1”. We employ a three-point Lagrangian interpolation to obtain the speed of the EUV wave and use the approximate values, which is adequate to analyze the general speed variation in this paper, although the interpolation may not be sufficiently accurate as suggested by Byrne et al. (2013). The transmitted wave was traveling inside the south-polar region with a high local characteristic wave speed before ∼16:10 UT. The wave speed dropped from ∼1500 km s⁻¹ to below 500 km s⁻¹ after the transmitted wave left the south-polar region. However, the wave was unexpectedly acceler-
ated to nearly 1000 km s\(^{-1}\) around 16:27 UT. The acceleration is associated with an AIA 211 \(\AA\) dim region (Dim Region 1, indicated by arrow “1” in Figure 1a) that is also dimmer than its neighboring areas in the 171 and 193 \(\AA\) bands. The lower EUV intensity suggests that the density and possibly the temperature are lower in the dim region. A low density can cause a high characteristic wave speed by increasing the Alfvén speed. However, the possible low temperature can hardly decrease the speed in the low corona with plasma beta \(\beta < 1\). The acceleration around 16:27 UT as shown in Figure 4 is consistent with the high characteristic speed inside the dim region. The acceleration inside the dim region is not similar to that caused by a bright AR (e.g., Li et al. 2012). As shown by the PFSS modeling results in Figure 1(a), the dim region is with closed magnetic fields and is also different from a CH. No filament associated with Dim Region 1 is observed in GONG H\(\alpha\) images. As displayed in Figure 5, we enhance the contrast of the off-limb corona in AIA 211 and 193 \(\AA\) images at the beginning of September 7 (when Dim Region 1 was traversing the east limb). With no obvious prominence signature, a plausible cavity (indicated by the vertical arrow) was above the corresponding site of the dim region (indicated by the horizontal arrow). This implies that Dim Region 1 with closed magnetic fields is the on-disk signature of a cavity in the corona, assuming that the coronal structure did not change much in the past three days. Liu et al. (2012) note that an off-limb coronal cavity could elevate the EUV wave speed. Acceleration associated with an on-disk dim region with closed magnetic fields similar to our case has not been reported previously. In our case, the acceleration may be caused by the on-disk low-density cavity with closed magnetic fields, although the cavity is not a typical one embedding a filament (e.g., Liu et al. 2012; Forland et al. 2013).

Figure 6 presents the EUV and H\(\alpha\) imaging observations of an area specified by the dashed square in Figure 1(c). The diamond represents the BP that has a bipolar magnetic structure resembling a small AR as displayed in the inset overlaid in panel (b). In the inset the green and red closed curves indicate the positive and negative polarities of the magnetic field, which are linked by bright loops. The BP emerged around 23:00 UT on September 8 and started to fade on September 13. The white closed curve in Figure 6 delineates another dim region (Dim Region 2, denoted with arrow “2” in Figure 1a). A filament associated with Dim Region 2 is observed in the GONG H\(\alpha\) and AIA 304 \(\AA\) images (indicated by the arrows in Figure 6d-e). The AIA 193 \(\AA\) image in panel (f) illustrates that Dim Region 2 corresponds to the filament channel. The low EUV intensity indicates that Dim Region 2 is also of low density and has a high characteristic wave speed like Dim Region 1. The dashed line in Figure 6(a-c) represents the approximate primary EUV wavefront, which is visually identified from the enhanced intensity in the AIA 211 \(\AA\) RD images. The wavefront turns \(\sim 50^\circ\) around the BP from 16:08 to 16:20 UT. The EUV wave inside the low-density filament channel propagates faster than outside, whereas the wave interacting with the BP (a bipolar magnetic structure) is halted. These cause different speeds for different parts of the wavefront and eventually make the wavefront direction turn around the BP.

Figure 7 displays the distance-time profiles of the primary EUV wave along slits “S2-5” from the observations of AIA 211 \(\AA\) (panels a-b) and EUVI-A 195 \(\AA\) (panels c-d). As shown in panel (a), the primary EUV wave is diffused and possibly reflected near the joint boundary of adjacent ARs 12677 and 12678 at \(\sim 800\) Mm from the flare site. In contrast, the primary wave travels to 1100 Mm along “S3” without ARs on its path. The primary wave vanishes near
the boundary of AR 12672 at \( \sim 1300 \) Mm along “S4”, but propagates as far as 1700 Mm along “S5” without ARs on its path. The average speeds along “S2-5” are 600–700 km s\(^{-1}\). No obvious transmitted secondary wave is observed on the far side of the ARs, which is different from the cases in Li et al. (2012) and Shen et al. (2013) with remote ARs within 400 Mm from the eruptions. However, the animation of Figure 1 shows that the primary EUV wave continues propagating after it passes AR 12674. AR 12674 is close to the flare site with a distance of \( \sim 300 \) Mm, where the expanding CME is expected to be still driving the EUV wave. This may be the reason that the EUV wave travels beyond AR 12674.

Figure 8 shows three synoptic maps made by combining the RD images of AIA 211 Å and EUVI-A 195 Å, which illustrates the evolution of the EUV wave on the entire solar disk (despite the unobservable area) viewed from two opposite sides of the Sun. Panel (a) shows that the primary EUV wave (indicated by the cyan arrows) was observed by AIA and was just entering the FOV of EUVI-A around 16:05 UT. The GONG synoptic plot marks the CHs covering the polar regions above \( \sim 60^\circ \) north and south latitude. The EUV wave is transmitted and also refracted by the CHs, which changes...
the propagation direction to equatorward. The south transmitted wave (indicated by the vertical arrows in Figure 8b) emanates roughly along the boundary of the south-polar CH. The transmitted waves are traveling antipoleward along all meridians from the polar CHs and are observed simultaneously by SDO and STEREO-A, as displayed in Figure 8(c). The red arrow indicates a collision between the primary and transmitted waves, which is also visible in the animation of Figure 8. Corresponding parts of the primary wavefront are diffused when interacting with the remote ARs (marked with circles in Figure 8), and no obvious EUV wave is detected beyond the ARs (except AR 12674 that is close to the flare site). The primary EUV wave extends to a scale of $\sim180^\circ$ ($\sim30^\circ$--$\sim210^\circ$) in the longitudinal direction before it becomes too faint to be detected. The transmitted waves travel away from the polar CHs and reach the equator on the opposite side of the flare. The EUV wave is reflected and transmitted by both the north- and south-polar CHs, and propagates through the 360$^\circ$ solar disk, as simultaneously observed by SDO and STEREO-A from two almost opposite sides of the Sun, which is an unprecedented event.
Figure 8. Synoptic maps constructed from running-difference images of SDO/AIA 211 Å and STEREO-A/EUVI 195 Å. The black region is unobservable from the two spacecraft. The cyan arrows indicate the EUV wavefronts. The cross symbol marks the position of the flare. The diamond and circles represent the BP and ARs, respectively. A GONG synoptic CH map is overlaid in panel (a), where the green (red) regions denote the positive (negative) CHs. The region below $\sim-60^\circ$ is uncovered by the GONG map because the region is not well observed from the Earth. The red arrow indicates a collision between the primary and transmitted EUV waves. In the animation of this figure, the GONG synoptic CH map is indicated by green and red contours, and the arrows are removed. The animation begins at 15:33 UT and ends at 17:28 UT. (An animation of this figure is available.)
4. CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSIONS

We have investigated the sustained, global EUV wave and its connection with the accompanied CME-driven shock associated with the 2017 September 10 eruption, using the observations from SDO, STEREO-A, GOES-16 and SOHO. The EUV wave is reflected and also transmitted by both the north- and south-polar CHs. Two transmitted waves emanate respectively from the two polar CHs and then propagate through the entire solar disk, which is unprecedentedly observed from two nearly opposite sides of the Sun. The reflection and transmission, which have also been observed by Liu et al. (2018) using single-spacecraft observations, are consistent with the fast-mode wave/shock interpretation for an EUV wave. We have examined the connection with the accompanied CME-driven shock and the interactions with multiple coronal structures of the EUV wave. Below we conclude the results and discuss the significant effects of coronal density and magnetic field distributions on the globalization of the EUV wave and how the global EUV wave is connected with the CME-driven shock.

1. After the CME-driven shock has propagated to the opposite side of the eruption, the shock is incurvated to the Sun and still connected with the CH transmitted EUV wave. The 3D ellipsoid model reveals that the primary EUV wave is the footprint of the CME-driven shock at the initial stage. After the shock has reached the opposite side, the incurvated shock forms a “funnel-like” structure and is still connected with the transmitted EUV wave (see Figure 3), although the northern part of the shock is not clearly observed by STEREO-A/COR1. The primary EUV wave is still on the western hemisphere even after the shock has already propagated to the opposite side of the eruption. The transmitted EUV waves in the polar regions propagate much faster than the primary EUV wave in low- and midlatitudes (see the animation of Figure 1). If the primary EUV wave is also connected with the shock on the opposite side, the part of the shock coupled with the transmitted wave may also propagate faster than its counterpart connected with the primary wave. However, the connection between the shock and the primary EUV wave in our case cannot be identified by imaging observations from within the ecliptic plane, which may be determined by numerical simulations in the future. Additionally, a lateral collision between two streamers is observed (see Figure 3 and its animation), which suggests that the lateral parts of the shock probably also collide on the opposite side. These results significantly improve the understanding of the connection between an EUV wave and the associated CME-driven shock on the opposite side of an eruption.

2. The transmitted EUV wave from the south-polar CH is accelerated inside an on-disk low-density dim region with closed magnetic fields, besides being accelerated inside the CH. A plausible coronal cavity without a filament signature above the dim region was observed by SDO/AIA when the dim region was traversing the east limb. This kind of acceleration inside an on-disk, dim, closed field region has not been reported before to the best of our knowledge. In both the closed field region and the CH, the coronal density is relatively low and the local characteristic wave speed is high, although the magnetic field configurations are different. This implies that the acceleration of an EUV wave can be produced
in both open and closed field regions as long as their density is low enough.

3. Part of the primary EUV wavefront turns around a BP when the wavefront approaches a filament channel near the BP. The BP has a bipolar magnetic field structure similar to a small AR, which halts part of the primary wave that interacts with the BP. The EUV wave, inside the low-density filament channel with a high characteristic wave speed, is accelerated. The combination of the halt and the acceleration caused by the BP and the filament channel eventually changes the direction of the primary wavefront. Speed elevation in a coronal cavity hosting a filament on the limb has been observed (Liu et al. 2012). Our case demonstrates the effect of an on-disk filament channel and a small bipolar magnetic structure on the propagation of an EUV wave.

4. The primary EUV wavefront is diffused and apparently halted near the boundaries of remote ARs located 800 Mm away from the flare site, and no obvious AR transmitted secondary waves are observed beyond the ARs. Chen et al. (2016) indicate that a fast-mode wave could be converted to a slow-mode wave and then be trapped when it passes through a magnetic quasi-separatrix layer. Ofman & Thompson (2002) demonstrate that transportation or dissipation of the wave energy can be a reason for a faint AR transmitted wave. The absence of obvious transmitted secondary waves in our case is different from previous cases with remote ARs within 400 Mm from the eruptions (e.g., Li et al. 2012; Shen et al. 2013). This suggests that the intensity of an AR transmitted secondary wave probably decreases with the distance of the associated AR from the eruption. It is probably because the energy intensity of the EUV wave decreases with distance due to spread of the wave and/or energy dissipation.

5. The EUV wave extends to an unprecedented scale of $\sim$360° in latitudes, which is mainly contributed by the polar CH transmission. The primary EUV wave persists for about 40 minutes and extends to $\sim$180° in longitudes before it fades, even though it is probably restrained by the ARs on the eastern and western sides (see Figure 8). The CH transmitted EUV waves appear $\sim$15 minutes later than the primary wave and persist for over 50 minutes. The transmitted waves travel along all meridians and arrive at the opposite side of the eruption on the Sun (see the animations of Figures 1 and 8), which is the major contributor to the unusually large extent and persistence of the EUV wave. If the EUV wave had not been transmitted by the two polar CHs, the wave would not have been so persistent and globalized to a 360° scale.
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