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Abstract

We find necessary and sufficient conditions ensuring that the vacuum development of an initial data set of the Einstein’s field equations admits a conformal Killing vector. We refer to these conditions as conformal Killing initial data (CKID) and they extend the well-known Killing initial data that have been known for a long time. The procedure used to find the CKID is a classical argument, which is reviewed and presented in a form that may have an independent interest, based on the computation of a suitable propagation identity.

1 Introduction

It is an interesting observation, first made in [19, 9], that there exists a set of linear partial differential equations (PDEs) defined on the background of an initial data surface for Einstein’s vacuum equations such that the solutions of these PDEs are in bijection with the Killing vectors of the vacuum spacetime evolved from this surface. Fittingly, this system of PDEs is known as the Killing initial data (KID) equations, so named in [5]. Later, the KID equations have been generalized to cover Einstein’s equations coupled to rather general kinds of matter [24, 25]. After [5], KID equations have received a fair amount of attention in the mathematical relativity literature.

Killing vectors are solutions of the Killing equation, a geometric PDE on a Lorentzian (more generally, pseudo-Riemannian) geometry. A natural question arises: what other geometric PDEs have analogous initial data systems? A solution of such an initial data system on an initial data surface (for Einstein’s vacuum or other related equations) would give rise to a unique solution of the corresponding geometric PDE in the bulk geometry evolved from the initial data surface. It seems that this question has so far been considered in only...
a small number of cases: mostly for the valence \((1,0), (0,1), (2,0)\) and \((0,2)\) Killing spinors in 4 dimensions [13], and a few follow-up works (like [12], which was adapted to Friedrich’s conformal vacuum equations). Namely, the Killing spinor initial data equations have found applications to the characterization of initial data for the Kerr black hole family [1, 3, 2, 8], and in the study of the manifold structure of the infinite dimensional space of initial data for Einstein’s equations [6].

Conceivably, such initial data equations (or at least the methods used to obtain them) could also find applications in the study of the uniqueness and rigidity of asymptotically flat black holes. For example, such rigidity results were discussed in [15] and, while they did not directly use KID equations, they did use a propagation equation (see below) analogous but not identical to the standard one we later give in Section 2.1.

In this work, we obtain for the first time conformal Killing initial data (CKID) equations, that is, whose solutions on an initial data surface are in bijection with conformal Killing vectors on the Einstein vacuum geometry (in any number of dimensions, \(n > 2\)) evolved from this surface. We expect the CKID equations to have applications in mathematical relativity analogous to the ones already mentioned for other initial data systems. The CKID equations may be particularly useful when coupled with Friedrich’s conformal version of Einstein equations [10], or the equivalent system of conformally covariant non-linear wave equations [20, 7]. When restricted to 4 dimensions, the conformal Killing and \((1,1)\) Killing spinor equations are equivalent. Hence the spinorial version of the CKIDs could have been extracted from the intermediate results of [13], but only in 4 spacetime dimensions.

In [21], the author obtained the transformation of the KID system under a conformal transformation of the bulk geometry under the assumption that that the metric conformal equations of Friedrich are fulfilled in the bulk but did not obtain what we call the CKID system.

Our method of proof follows the same basic strategy as the old work on the Killing equation [9]. It relies on a key identity, which we call a propagation equation. This strategy is summarized in Section 2, where the main observation is Lemma 1, with the generic form of the desired key identity expressed in Equation (1). In Section 2.1 we recall how the KID equations are derived, while in Section 3 we follow an analogous route to obtain the CKID equations (Theorem 1).

It is also worth noting that the propagation equation identities giving rise to KID and CKID systems are covariantly constructed and their form does not explicitly depend on the signature of the metric tensor. Thus, they would apply also in other signatures, like in Riemannian geometry. In Lorentzian signature, we expect the propagation equations to be hyperbolic and hence have a well-posed initial value problem. On the other hand, in Riemannian signature, we expect the propagation equations to be elliptic and hence have a well-posed boundary value problem. Then, the bulk Killing or conformal Killing vectors will still induce solutions of the KID or CKID equations on the boundary, but there may exist solutions on the boundary that do not correspond to bulk
solutions when the elliptic propagation equations have non-trivial solutions for homogeneous boundary conditions. The uniqueness of the (trivial) solution for elliptic homogeneous boundary value problems may be guaranteed using the Bochner method [27], or some other technique. Under such hypotheses, then the existence of Killing or conformal Killing vectors on Ricci flat Riemannian manifolds with boundary could be predicted by the existence of solutions of KID or CKID equations with respect to the boundary data. It seems that such applications have not yet been considered in Riemannian geometry.

All the computations of this paper have been double-checked with the tensor computer algebra systems Cadabra and xAct [22, 23, 16, 17].

2 Propagation equations and initial data

From now on, all or our differential operators are presumed to be defined between vector bundles over a manifold $M$ and have smooth coefficients.

We call a linear partial differential equation (PDE) $P[\psi] = 0$ a propagation equation (of order $k \geq 1$) if it has a well-posed initial value problem: given a Cauchy surface $\Sigma \subset M$ with unit normal $n^a$, the equation can be put into Cauchy-Kovalevskaya form (solved for the highest time derivative) and for each assignment of arbitrary smooth initial data $\psi|_\Sigma = \psi_0, \ldots, \nabla_n^{k-1}\psi|_\Sigma = \psi_{k-1}$ (where $\nabla_n = n^a \nabla_a$) there exists a unique solution of $P[\psi] = 0$ on all of $M$. In particular, due to the linearity of the propagation equation, if the initial data all vanish, $\psi_0 = \cdots = \psi_{k-1} = 0$, then $\psi = 0$ is the corresponding unique solution on $M$.

There are multiple examples of propagation equations: (a) Wave equations, $P[\psi] = \Box \psi + P'(\nabla \psi, \psi)$ [4]. (b) Transport equations, $P[\psi] = u^a \nabla_a \psi + P'(\psi)$, with $u^a$ everywhere transverse to $\Sigma$ [15]. (c) Special cases, like $P[\psi]_{abcd} = \nabla^a \psi_{abcd}$ for $\psi_{abcd}$ satisfying the symmetry and tracelessness conditions of the Weyl tensor in 4 dimensions [15].

**Lemma 1.** Consider a globally hyperbolic spacetime $(M, g)$, satisfying the Einstein vacuum equations, $G_{ab} = R_{ab} - \frac{1}{2} R g_{ab} = 0$. Let $E[\phi] = 0$ be a PDE (system) defined on some (possibly multicomponent) field $\phi$. Suppose that there exist propagation equations $P[\psi] = 0$, $Q[\phi] = 0$ (of respective orders $k$ and $l$), where the differential operators $P$ and $Q$ satisfy the identity

$$P[E[\phi]] = \sigma[Q[\phi]] + \tau(G),$$

for some linear differential operators $\sigma$ and $\tau$. Then, given a Cauchy surface $\Sigma \subset M$ with unit timelike normal $n^a$, the unique solution of $Q[\phi] = 0$ with initial data $\phi|_\Sigma = \phi_0, \ldots, \nabla_n^{l-1}\phi|_\Sigma = \phi_{l-1}$ satisfies the equation $E[\phi] = 0$ provided the initial data $\psi|_\Sigma = 0, \ldots, \nabla_n^{k-1}\psi|_\Sigma = 0$ for $\psi = E[\phi]$ also vanish.

In addition, there exists a purely spatial linear PDE on $\Sigma$, $P^\Sigma[\phi_0, \ldots, \phi_{l-1}] = 0$ such that the conditions $Q[\phi] = 0$ and $P^\Sigma[\phi|_\Sigma, \ldots, \nabla_n^{l-1}\phi|_\Sigma] = 0$ imply the vanishing of the initial data $\psi|_\Sigma = 0, \ldots, \nabla_n^{k-1}\psi|_\Sigma = 0$ for $\psi = E[\phi]$. 
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Proof. Under the hypotheses on the metric $g$ and $\phi$, both $G = 0$ and $Q[\phi] = 0$ vanish. Then, letting $\psi = E[\phi]$, the identity (1) implies $P[\psi] = 0$. But, by the definition of a propagation equation, the vanishing of the initial data for $\psi$ on $\Sigma$ implies that $E[\phi] = \psi = 0$ on all of $M$.

For the second part, first notice that our notion of well-posedness for the propagation equation $Q[\phi] = 0$ implies that the values of the time derivatives $\nabla^N v$ for $N \geq l$ are given by local algebraic expressions in terms of the $\nabla^N \phi$ for $0 \leq N < l$. Setting $\psi = E[\phi]$, the vanishing of the initial data for $\psi$ may a priori involve time derivatives $\nabla^N v$ of orders $N \geq l$. But replacing these higher order time derivatives by the above expressions, reduces the dependence on time derivatives $\nabla^N v$ of order at most $N < l$. Then, obviously, these reduced order conditions can be collected into a single equation, which we can denote by $P^\Sigma[\phi_0, \ldots, \phi_{l-1}] = 0$. □

For an operator $P^\Sigma$ satisfying the second part of Lemma 1, we call

$$P^\Sigma[\phi_0, \ldots, \phi_{l-1}] = 0$$

(2)
a set of $P$-initial data conditions or a $P$-initial data system. Clearly, the operator $P^\Sigma$ is not uniquely fixed. For instance, its components may contain many redundant equations. Thus, in practice, once some $P$-initial data conditions have been obtained, they will be significantly simplified by eliminating as many higher order (in spatial derivatives) terms as possible.

There is a limited set of known examples of propagation equations for geometrically motivated equations $E[\phi] = 0$ in Lorentzian (or Riemannian) geometry. The most prominent example concerns the Killing equation in any spacetime dimension (examined in detail in Section 2.1) [5]. The list of known examples is then exhausted by the 4-spacetime dimensional Killing spinor equations of valences $(1,0)$, $(0,1)$, $(2,0)$ and $(0,2)$ [13, 12].

2.1 Example: Killing initial data

The canonical illustration of Lemma 1 is the case of the Killing equation [5],

$$K_{ab}[v] = \nabla_a v_b + \nabla_b v_a = 0 \quad (E[\phi] = 0).$$

(3)

The corresponding propagation equations are

$$\Box v_a + R^b_{\ a} v_b = 0 \quad (Q[\phi] = 0),$$

(4)

$$\Box h_{ab} - 2 R^c_{\ ab d} h_{cd} = 0 \quad (P[\psi] = 0),$$

(5)

where $h_{ab}$ is considered to be symmetric, while the propagation identity (1) takes the form

$$\Box K_{ab}[v] - 2 R^c_{\ ab d} K_{cd}[v] = K_{ab}[\Box v + R \cdot v] + 2 R_{(a} c K_{b)c}[v] - 2 L_v R_{ab},$$

(6)

where we denoted $(R \cdot v)_a = R_{a b} v_b$ and $L_v R_{ab} = v^c \nabla_c R_{ab} + 2 R_{(a} \nabla_b v^{c)}$ is the Lie derivative of $R_{ab}$ with respect to the vector field $v$. 
To obtain the K-initial data conditions, or more commonly the Killing initial data (KID) conditions, we must first introduce a space-time split around a Cauchy surface $\Sigma \subset M$, $\dim M = n$ and $\dim \Sigma = n - 1$. Let us use Gaussian normal coordinates to set up a codimension-1 foliation on an open neighborhood $U \supset \Sigma$ by level sets of a smooth temporal function $t: U \to \mathbb{R}$, of which $\Sigma = \{ t = 0 \}$ is the zero level set. Choose $t$ such that $n_a = \nabla_a t$ is a unit normal to the level sets of $t$. Let us identify tensors on $\Sigma$ by upper case Latin indices $A,B,C,...$, denote the pullback of the ambient metric to $\Sigma$ by $g_{AB}$ and its inverse by $g^{AB}$, and also denote by $h^a_A$ the injection $T\Sigma \to TM$ induced by the foliation. Raising and lowering the respective indices on $h^a_A$ with $g_{ab}$ and $g^{AB}$, we get the corresponding injections and orthogonal projections between $T\Sigma$, $T^*\Sigma$, $TM$ and $T^*M$. In our notation, all covariant and contravariant tensors split according to

$$v_a = v_0 n_a + h^A_a v_A, \quad u^b = -u^0 n^b + h^b_B u^B,$$

which we also denote by

$$v_a \to \begin{bmatrix} v_0 \\ v_A \end{bmatrix}, \quad u^b \to \begin{bmatrix} u^0 \\ u_B \end{bmatrix}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

Thus, in our convention, the ambient metric splits as

$$g_{ab} \to \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & g_{AB} \end{bmatrix}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

Let $D_A$ denote the Levi-Civita connection on $(\Sigma, g_{AB})$, depending on the foliation time $t$ of course, and let $\partial_t = \mathcal{L}_n$ denote the Lie derivative with respect to the normal vector $-n^a$. The action of $\partial_t$ extends to $t$-dependent tensors on $\Sigma$ in the natural way. The $(t$-dependent) extrinsic curvature on $\Sigma$ is then defined by

$$\pi_{AB} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_t g_{AB},$$

and the ambient spacetime connection decomposes as

$$\nabla_a v_b \to \begin{bmatrix} \nabla_0 v_b \\ \nabla_A v_b \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$\nabla_0 v_a \to \begin{bmatrix} \nabla_0 v_0 \\ \nabla_0 v_A \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \partial_t & 0 \\ 0 & \partial_0 \delta_B^A - \pi_{AB} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_0 \\ v_B \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\nabla_A v_b \to \begin{bmatrix} \nabla_A v_0 \\ \nabla_A v_B \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} D_A & -\pi_{AC} \\ -\pi_{AB} & D_B \delta_C^B \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_0 \\ v_C \end{bmatrix}.$$

The ambient vacuum Einstein equations $R_{ab} = 0$ decompose as

$$R_{ab} \to \begin{bmatrix} -\nabla_0 \pi_{C}^C - \pi_{EF} \pi_{EF}^{EF} & D_C \pi_{CB} - D_B \pi_{C}^C \\ D_C \pi_{CA} - D_A \pi_{C}^C & \nabla_0 \pi_{AB} + \pi_{C}^C \pi_{AB} + \tau_{AB} \end{bmatrix} = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)
where now $r_{AB}$ is the Ricci tensor of $g_{AB}$ on $\Sigma$, and where we have found it convenient to use the $\nabla_0$ operator instead of $\partial_t$, because of its preservation of both the orthogonal splitting with respect to the foliation and of the spatial metric, $\nabla_0 g_{AB} = \nabla_0 g^{AB} = 0$. For convenience, we note the commutator

$$(\nabla_0 D_A - D_A \nabla_0)\begin{bmatrix} v_0 \\ v_B \end{bmatrix} = -\pi^C_{\ A} D_C \begin{bmatrix} v_0 \\ v_B \end{bmatrix} + \left( D^C_\ A \pi_{AB} - D_B \pi_A^C \right) v_C.$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

According to Lemma 1 and the specific identity (6), the Killing equation $K_{ab}[v] = 0$ is satisfied when $v_a$ is any solution of (4) where both

$$K_{ab}[v]|_{\Sigma} \to \begin{bmatrix} K_{00}[v] \\ K_{0A}[v] \\ K_{AB}[v] \end{bmatrix} = 0$$ \hspace{1cm} (16a) \hspace{1cm} \text{and} \hspace{1cm} \nabla_0 K_{ab}[v]|_{\Sigma} \to \begin{bmatrix} \nabla_0 K_{00}[v] \\ \nabla_0 K_{0A}[v] \\ \nabla_0 K_{AB}[v] \end{bmatrix} = 0. \hspace{1cm} (16b)$$

In more detail, these components are

$$K_{00}[v] = 2\nabla_0 v_0, \hspace{1cm} (17a)$$
$$K_{0B}[v] = \nabla_0 v_B - \pi_{BC} v^C + D_B v_0, \hspace{1cm} (17b)$$
$$K_{AB}[v] = D_A v_B + D_B v_A - 2\pi_{AB} v_0, \hspace{1cm} (17c)$$
$$\nabla_0 K_{00}[v] = 2\nabla_0 \nabla_0 v_0, \hspace{1cm} (17d)$$
$$\nabla_0 K_{0B}[v] = \nabla_0 \nabla_0 v_B - (\nabla_0 \pi_{BC}) v^C - \pi_{BC} \nabla_0 v_0 + D_B \nabla_0 v_0 - \pi_{BC} D_C v_0, \hspace{1cm} (17e)$$
$$\nabla_0 K_{AB}[v] = D_A \nabla_0 v_B + D_B \nabla_0 v_A + 2(D^C \pi_{AB}) v_C - 2\pi_{(A} D^{C} v_{B)} - 2D(A \pi_{BC}) v^C - 2\pi_{AB} \nabla_0 v_0 - 2(\nabla_0 \pi_{AB}) v_0. \hspace{1cm} (17f)$$

On the other hand, the propagation equation (4) splits (modulo $R_{ab} = 0$) as

$$-\nabla_0 \nabla_0 v_0 + D^C D_C v_0 - 2\pi_{BC} D_B v_C \pi_{C} v_0 - \pi_{BC} v_0 = 0,$$ \hspace{1cm} (18a)
$$-\nabla_0 \nabla_0 v_A + D^C D_C v_A - 2\pi_{AB} B D_B v_0 - \pi_{C} v_A - (D_B \pi_{AB}) v_0 + \pi_{AB} B \pi_{BC} v_C = 0. \hspace{1cm} (18b)$$

Finally, eliminating the time derivatives of $v_0$ and $v_A$, while also eliminating the time derivatives of $\pi_{AB}$ using the vacuum Einstein equations (14), we obtain the well-known Killing initial data (KID) conditions:

$$D_A v_B + D_B v_A - 2\pi_{AB} v_0 = 0, \hspace{1cm} (19a)$$
$$D_A v_B + (2\pi_{A} C_{BC} \pi_{BC} - \pi_{C} \pi_{AB} - r_{AB}) v_0 - 2\pi_{(B} D_{A)} v_C - (D_C \pi_{AB}) v_C = 0. \hspace{1cm} (19b)$$

The above propagation identity and Killing initial data equations, coupled with Lemma 1, in particular allow us to identify those initial data sets for the
metric $g$ that give rise to a solution of the Einstein vacuum equations equations with Killing symmetries. This was the original motivation under which the Killing initial data conditions (19) were first identified [9, 19, 5]. Under such considerations, the propagation identity (6) could have been simplified, by dropping any terms that vanish in vacuum. However, similar condition have also been found to identify initial data sets some non-vacuum solutions of Einstein equations with Killing symmetries [24, 25], where the full propagation identity (6) plays a crucial role.

3 Conformal Killing initial data

The main technical content of this work is the application of Lemma 1 to the Conformal Killing equation. Having discussed in detail the well-known case of the Killing equation earlier in Section 2.1, we model the discussion below on that case, including the geometric setup and notation.

Recall that the Conformal Killing equation takes the form

$$
\text{CK}_{ab}[v] = \nabla_a v_b + \nabla_b v_a - \frac{2}{n} g_{ab} \nabla^c v_c
$$

(20)

where $K_{ab}[v]$ is the Killing operator from (3). That is, $\text{CK}_{ab}[v]$ is simply the trace-free part of $K_{ab}[v]$.

It appears that no propagation identity for the Conformal Killing equation has been known until now. The most straightforward way to obtain such an identity is to start with the analogous identity (6) for the Killing equation and take its trace:

$$
\Box (g^{cd} K_{cd}[v]) = g^{cd} K_{cd}[\Box v + R \cdot v] - 2 v^c \nabla_c R - 4 R^{cd} \nabla_c v_d.
$$

(21)

Next, using the identity $K_{ab}[v] = \text{CK}_{ab}[v] + \frac{1}{n} g_{ab} g^{cd} K_{cd}[v]$ in (6) and simplifying the result with the help of (21), we obtain the propagation identity for the Conformal Killing equation:

$$
\Box \text{CK}_{ab}[v] - 2 R_c{}^{a}{}_{bd} \text{CK}_{cd}[v] - 2 R_{(a}{}^{c} \text{CK}_{b)c}[v] = \text{CK}_{ab}[\Box v + R \cdot v]
$$

$$
+ 2 \mathcal{L}_v \left( \frac{R}{n} g_{ab} - R_{ab} \right) + \frac{2}{n} \left( g_{ab} R^{cd} - R \delta_a (c \delta_b d) \right) K_{cd}[v],
$$

(22)

which is manifestly traceless. Hence, the corresponding propagation equations are

$$
\Box v_a + R_a^b v_b = 0 \quad (Q[\phi] = 0), \quad (23)
$$

$$
\Box h_{ab} - 2 R_c{}^{a}{}_{bd} h_{cd} - 2 R_{(a}{}^{c} h_{b)c} = 0 \quad (P[\psi] = 0),
$$

(24)

where $h_{ab}$ is considered to be symmetric and traceless.

By performing an analysis similar to that of Section 2.1 we can compute the necessary and sufficient conditions which yield a Conformal Killing initial
data set (CKID). Lemma 1 and the propagation identity (22) imply that the Conformal Killing equation $\text{CK}_{ab}[v] = 0$ is fulfilled whenever $v_a$ is any solution of (23) such that on the Cauchy hypersurface $\Sigma$ we have

$$\text{CK}_{ab}[v] \big|_\Sigma \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \text{CK}_{00}[v] \\ \text{CK}_{0A}[v] \\ \text{CK}_{AB}[v] \end{bmatrix} \big|_\Sigma = 0 \quad (25a)$$

and

$$\nabla_0 \text{CK}_{ab}[v] \big|_\Sigma \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \nabla_0 \text{CK}_{00}[v] \\ \nabla_0 \text{CK}_{0A}[v] \\ \nabla_0 \text{CK}_{AB}[v] \end{bmatrix} \big|_\Sigma = 0. \quad (25b)$$

In this case, the explicit components are

$$\text{CK}_{00}[v] = \frac{2}{n} [(n-1)\nabla_0 v_0 - \pi^A v_0 + D_A v^A], \quad (26a)$$

$$\text{CK}_{0B}[v] = \nabla_0 v_B + D_B v_0 - \pi^B v_A, \quad (26b)$$

$$\text{CK}_{AB}[v] = D_A v_B + D_B v_A - 2\pi_{AB} v_0$$

$$+ \frac{2}{n} g_{AB} (\nabla_0 v_0 + \pi^C v_0 - D_C v^C), \quad (26c)$$

$$\nabla_0 \text{CK}_{00}[v] = \frac{2}{n} [(n-1)\nabla_0 \nabla_0 v_0 - \nabla_0 (\pi^A v_0)$$

$$+ D_A \nabla_0 v^A - \pi^{AB} D_B v_A - R_{0A} v^A], \quad (26d)$$

$$\nabla_0 \text{CK}_{0B}[v] = \nabla_0 \nabla_0 v_B - \nabla_0 (\pi^A v_A) + D_B \nabla_0 v_0 - \pi^A D_A v_0, \quad (26e)$$

$$\nabla_0 \text{CK}_{AB}[v] = 2D_A (\nabla_0 v_B) - 2\pi_{C(A} D^{C} v_{B)} + 2v^C D_C \pi_{AB} - 2v^C D_{(A} \pi_{B)C}$$

$$- 2\nabla_0 (\pi_{AB} v_0) + \frac{2}{n} g_{AB} \left[ \nabla_0 \nabla_0 v_0 + \nabla_0 (\pi^C v_0) \right.$$

$$\left. - D_C \nabla_0 v^C + \pi^{EF} D_F v_E - R_{0C} v^C \right], \quad (26f)$$

where we recall that $R_{0B} = D^C \pi_{CB} - D_B \pi^{C}$. The splitting of the propagation equation (23) adopts the form given by (18a)–(18b) if $R_{ab} = 0$ is assumed.

We are now ready to formulate the main result of this paper:

**Theorem 1.** For $n > 2$, the necessary and sufficient conditions yielding a set of CKID are given by the following equations formulated on the Cauchy hypersurface $\Sigma$

$$D_A v_B + D_B v_A - 2\pi_{AB} v_0 - \frac{2g_{AB}}{n-1} (D_C v^C - \pi^C v_0) = 0, \quad (27a)$$

$$D_A D_B v_0 + (2\pi^C v_{BC} - \pi^C \pi_{AB} - \pi_{AB}) v_0$$

$$- 2\pi_{C(A} D_{B)v^C} - v^C D_C \pi_{AB} + \frac{\pi_{AB}}{n-1} (D_C v^C - v_0 \pi^C) = 0. \quad (27b)$$

$$D_B (D_A v^A - \pi^A v_0) = 0. \quad (27c)$$
Proof. The proof is straightforward by direct calculation. The second order time derivatives are eliminated by the propagation equation (23), which splits into (18a) for $\nabla_0 \nabla_0 v_0$ and into (18b) for $\nabla_0 \nabla_0 v_A$. Then, the first order time derivatives are eliminated, by (26a) for $\nabla_0 v_0$ and by (26b) for $\nabla_0 v_B$. Finally, the components of $\text{CK}_{AB}[v]$ lead to (27a), the components of $\nabla_0 \text{CK}_{AB}[v]$ lead to (27c), and the components of $\nabla_0 \text{CK}_{AB}[v]$ lead to (27b). We have eliminated time derivatives $\nabla_0 \pi_{AB}$ using the $R_{AB}$ components and the divergence $D^C \pi_{BC}$ using the components $R_{0B}$ from (14).

Note that a conformal Killing vector $v_a$ is also a normal Killing vector exactly when it is divergence free, $\nabla_a v^a = 0$. Eliminating time derivatives, as in the proof of the theorem, this divergence free condition is given by

$$D_A v^A - \pi_A v_0 = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (28)

We have written the CKID equations (27) in such a way that it is obvious that they reduce the KID equations (19) when the above divergence condition is satisfied.

4 Discussion

We have presented for the first time in the literature a set of necessary and sufficient conditions (the CKID equations) ensuring that a vacuum initial data set of the Einstein’s equations in any dimension ($n \geq 2$) admits a conformal Killing vector in any globally hyperbolic development of this initial data. In addition to the standard quantities required for the construction of vacuum initial data (the first and the second fundamental forms, given respectively by $g_{AB}$, $\pi_{AB}$ in our notation) we need the conformal Killing lapse $v_0$ and conformal Killing shift $v_A$. The CKID conditions are given by (27) of Theorem 1 and they are a set of linear PDEs for $v_0, v_A$ on the Riemannian manifold with extrinsic curvature $(\Sigma, g_{AB}, \pi_{AB})$. Just as in the KID case, the CKID equations likely constitute an overdetermined elliptic system for $v_0, v_A$, but the true extent of this assertion requires a separate investigation.

A natural continuation of this work would be to try to construct initial data systems for other geometric PDEs, like for instance Killing-Yano equations, higher rank Killing tensor equations, and their conformal versions. For instance, the existence of a principal conformal Killing-Yano 2-form is known to characterize the Kerr-NUT-(A)dS family of higher dimensional black holes and related solutions [11]. So it is reasonable to suppose that the knowledge of the corresponding initial data system could be of use in the study of the stability and rigidity of this family. In 4 spacetime dimensions, the conformal Killing-Yano 2-form equation is equivalent to the $(2,0)$ Killing spinor equation [18], whose initial data system was already constructed in [13]. A tensorial version of this initial data system will appear in future work. In higher dimensions, the existence of the corresponding initial data system is completely open.
Since, in 4 spacetime dimensions, the conformal Killing equation is equiv-
alent to the \((1, 1)\) Killing spinor equation [26], it would be interesting to translate
our CKID system into the initial data conditions for \((1, 1)\) Killing spinors. Al-
ternatively, such initial data conditions could be rederived from the relevant
spinorial propagation identity used as an intermediate result in [13].

Another interesting open problem would be the initial data characterization
of conformal Killing vectors with special properties. For instance a homothetic
motion is a special case of conformal Killing vector in which the conformal factor
is a constant. Homothetic motions play an essential role in the definition of
(asymptotically) self-similar solutions and therefore their characterization from
an initial data set point of view could be relevant in the study of these solutions
with applications to critical phenomena in gravity (see [14] for more details
about the relation between self-similar solutions and critical phenomena).

In all the known cases where initial data systems have been found, a certain
amount of trial and error has been necessary for success. It would be an inter-
esting problem to find a systematic way to identify those cases where no initial
data system can exist.
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