ULTRAFILTERS ON MEASURABLE SEMIGROUPS

A. PASHAPOURNIA M. AKBARI TOOTKABONI[†] D. EBRAHIMBAGHA

ABSTRACT. Let (S, \cdot) be a semigroup and \mathfrak{m} be a σ -algebra on S. We say (S, \cdot, \mathfrak{m}) is a measurable semigroup if $\pi : S \times S \longrightarrow S$ by $\pi(x, y) = x \cdot y$ is a measurable function. In this paper, we consider to \mathfrak{m}^{β} as the collection of all ultrafilters on \mathfrak{m} . We show that \mathfrak{m}^{β} is a compact right topological semigroup respect to generated topology by σ -algebra \mathfrak{m} on \mathfrak{m}^{β} . Also we study some elementary properties of the algebraic structure of \mathfrak{m}^{β} .

1. Introduction

A semigroup S which is also a Hausdorff topological space is called a semitopological semigroup, if for each $s \in S$, $\lambda_s : S \to S$ and $r_s : S \to S$ are continuous, where for each $x \in S$, $\lambda_s(x) = sx$ and $r_s(x) = xs$. If just r_s , for each $s \in S$, is continuous, S is called a right topological semigroup.

A pair (ψ, X) is a semigroup compactification of S if X is a Hausdorff compact right topological semigroup and $\psi : S \to X$ is continuous homomorphism with dense image such that for all $s \in S$, the mapping $x \mapsto \psi(s)x : X \to X$ is continuous. For more details see [6, Section 2].

All semigroup compactifications of a semitopological semigroup as a collection of z-ultrafilters or e-ultrafilters have been described, see [1], [2], [3] and [4] for more details and some applications. This approach gives us a new light on studying this kind of compactifications. It seems that the methods presented in [1], [2], [3] and [4] can serve as a valuable tool in the study of semigroup compactifications and also of topological compactifications. But this methods depend on concepts of topology on semigroups. For a clear example, the Stone- \check{C} ech compactification of real additive numbers equipped to the natural topology is not semigroup compactification.

Let (S, \cdot) be a semitopological semigroup. In Preliminary for a nonempty set X, we define ultrafiler on a σ -algebra \mathfrak{m} , is called \mathfrak{m} -ultrafilter. Also, we study \mathfrak{m}^{β} as a collection of all \mathfrak{m} -ultrafilters on \mathfrak{m} respect to σ -algebra generated by $\{\widehat{A} : A \in \mathfrak{m}\}$, where $\widehat{A} = \{p \in \mathfrak{m}^{\beta} : A \in p\}$.

In Section 3, for two measurable spaces (X, \mathfrak{m}) and (Y, \mathfrak{n}) we show that if $f : (X, \mathfrak{m}) \to (Y, \mathfrak{n})$ is measurable function then there exists a unique measurable extension of f.

Key words and phrases. Copmpactification, Measurable Space, Ultrafilter, Semigroup. 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05D10; Secondary 22A15. [†]Corresponding Arthur .

In Section 4, we define measurable semigroup and we extend " \cdot " naturally to "*" on \mathfrak{m}^{β} . Also, some elementary algebraic properties of $(\mathfrak{m}^{\beta}, *)$ as extension of measurable semigroup (S, \cdot, \mathfrak{m}) is stated.

In Section 5, we concentrate on the Lebesgue measurable subsets of $S = (0, +\infty)$.

2. Preliminary

Let X be a nonempty set and \mathfrak{m} be an infinite σ -algebra on X. Then (X, \mathfrak{m}) is called measurable space. We say that \mathfrak{m} separate X if for each $x, y \in X$ there exist $A, B \in \mathfrak{m}$ such that $x \in A, y \in B$ and $A \cap B = \emptyset$. If μ is a measure on \mathfrak{m} , then (X, \mathfrak{m}, μ) is a measure space. The outer measure μ^* can be defined for every nonnegative measure μ . The collection of μ^* -measurable sets is denoted by \mathfrak{m}_{μ} . Also μ^* is a measure on \mathfrak{m}_{μ} , $(X, \mathfrak{m}_{\mu}, \mu^*)$ is a Lebesgue extension or the Lebesgue completion of the measure μ , and $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_{\mu}$, see Theorem 1.5.6 in [7].

Let (X, \mathfrak{m}_1) and (X, \mathfrak{m}_2) be measurable spaces. The function $f : X \to Y$ is an $(\mathfrak{m}_1, \mathfrak{m}_2)$ -measurable function if $f^{-1}(A) \in \mathfrak{m}_1$ for every $A \in \mathfrak{m}_2$.

Let (Y, τ) be a topological space. The σ -algebra generated by τ is called the Borel σ -algebra and is denoted by B_{τ} . The function $f: (X, \mathfrak{m}) \to (Y, \tau)$ is an \mathfrak{m} -measurable function if $f^{-1}(A) \in \mathfrak{m}$ for every $A \in \tau$.

Let (X, \mathcal{A}) and (Y, \mathcal{B}) be two measurable spaces. For $X \times Y$, we consider the collection of all sets of the from $A \times B$, where $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}$, called measurable rectangle. The σ -algebra generated by all measurable rectangles is called product of the σ -algebras \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} , and is denoted by $\mathcal{A} \bigotimes \mathcal{B}$. Let μ and ν be measures on \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} , respectively. Define $\mu \times \nu(A \times B) = \mu(A)\nu(B)$ for each measurable rectangles $A \times B$.

Theorem 2.1. The set function $\mu \times \nu$ extends to a countably additive measure, denoted by $\mu \bigotimes \nu$, on $\mathcal{A} \bigotimes \mathcal{B}$.

Proof: See Theorem 3.3.1 in [7].

Theorem 2.2. Let (X_1, \mathcal{A}_1) and (X_2, \mathcal{A}_2) be measurable spaces. Then the set $A_{x_1} = \{x_2 \in X_2 : (x_1, x_2) \in A\} \in \mathcal{A}_2$ for every $A \in \mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2$ and $x_1 \in X_1$. Also the set $A^{x_2} = \{x_1 \in X_1 : (x_1, x_2) \in A\} \in \mathcal{A}_1$ for every $A \in \mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2$ and $x_2 \in \mathcal{A}_2$.

Proof. See Proposition 3.3.2 in [7].

Definition 2.3. Let X be a nonempty set and \mathfrak{m} be an infinite σ -algebra on X. We say $p \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ is an \mathfrak{m} -filter if

- (a) $\emptyset \notin p$ and $X \in p$.
- (b) If $A, B \in \mathfrak{m}$, $A \in p$, and $A \subseteq B$, then $B \in p$.
- (c) If $A, B \in p$, then $A \cap B \in p$.

An \mathfrak{m} -ultrafilter is an \mathfrak{m} -filter which is not properly contained in any other \mathfrak{m} -filter. Zorns Lemma guarantees that there exist \mathfrak{m}^{β} -ultrafilters.

Lemma 2.4. Let p be an \mathfrak{m} -filter and $A \in \mathfrak{m}$. Either

(a) there is some $B \in p$ such that $A \cap B = \emptyset$ or

(b) $\{C \in \mathfrak{m} : \text{ there is some } B \in p \text{ with } A \cap B \subseteq C\}$ is an \mathfrak{m} -filter.

Proof. If there is (a), then the statement is obvious.

If (a) is false, then $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$ for every $B \in p$. Let

 $\mathcal{A} = \{ C \in \mathfrak{m} : \text{ there is some } B \in p \text{ with } A \cap B \subseteq C \}.$

It is obvious that $A \in \mathcal{A}, \emptyset \notin \mathcal{A}$, and $X \in \mathcal{A}$. If $C \in \mathcal{A}$ and $C \subseteq C' \in \mathfrak{m}$, then $C' \in \mathcal{A}$. Also if $C, D \in \mathcal{A}$, then there exist $B_1, B_2 \in p$ such that $B_1 \cap A \subseteq C$ and $B_2 \cap A \subseteq D$. So $(B_1 \cap B_2) \cap A \subseteq D \cap C$ and hence $B_1 \cap B_2 \in p$ Since p is an \mathfrak{m} -filter. So $D \cap C \in \mathcal{A}$ and this implies that \mathcal{A} is an \mathfrak{m} -filter. \Box

Theorem 2.5. Let \mathfrak{m} be an infinite σ -algebra on X and $p \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ be an \mathfrak{m} -filter. The following statements are equivalent:

(a) p is an \mathfrak{m} -ultrafilter.

(b) for all $A, B \in \mathfrak{m}$, if $A \cup B \in p$ then $A \in p$ or $B \in p$.

- (c) for all $A \in \mathfrak{m}$ either $A \in p$ or $A^c \in p$.
- (d) for each $A \in \mathfrak{m} \setminus p$ there is some $B \in p$ such that $A \cap B = \emptyset$.

Proof. (a) implies (b). Let $A, B \in \mathfrak{m}$ such that $A \cup B \in p$. If $B \notin p$ and $A \notin p$, then there are $C, D \in p$ such that $A \cap C = \emptyset$ and by Lemma 2.4, $B \cap D = \emptyset$. So

$$(A \cup B) \cap (D \cap C) = (A \cap (D \cap C)) \cup (B \cap (D \cap C))$$
$$\subseteq (A \cap C) \cup (B \cap D) = \emptyset.$$

Then $\emptyset \in p$, is contradiction.

(b) implies (c). It is obvious.

(c) implies (d). Let $A \in \mathfrak{m}$ such that $A \notin p$. Then $A^c \in p$ so $A \cap A^c = \emptyset$.

(d) implies (a). Let p be an m-filter and let \mathcal{A} be an m-ultrafilter such that $p \subseteq \mathcal{A}$. Pick $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus p$. Then $A \notin p$ so there is a some $B \in p$ such that $A \cap B = \emptyset$. But $\emptyset = A \cap B \in \mathcal{A}$, a contradiction.

Definition 2.6. Let X be a nonempty set and \mathfrak{m} be an infinite σ -algebra on X. For $x \in X$, we define

$$\hat{x} = \{A \in \mathfrak{m} : x \in A\}$$

and \hat{x} is called **m**-principal ultrafilter.

It is obvious that p is the m-principal ultrafilter if and only if $\bigcap p \neq \emptyset$.

Theorem 2.7. Let X be a nonempty set, \mathfrak{m} be an infinite σ -algebra on X, and $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ has the finite intersection property. Then there is an \mathfrak{m} -ultrafilter p such that $\mathcal{A} \subseteq p$.

Proof. By Zorn's Lemma is obvious.

Definition 2.8. Let X be a nonempty set, let \mathfrak{m} be an infinite σ -algebra on X, and let \mathcal{R} be a nonempty set such that $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$. We say that \mathcal{R} is partition regular if and only if whenever $A, B \in \mathfrak{m}$ and $A \cup B \in \mathcal{R}$, there exists $C \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $C \subseteq A$ or $C \subseteq B$.

Theorem 2.9. Let X be a nonempty set, let \mathfrak{m} be an infinite σ -algebra on X, and let \mathcal{R} be a nonempty set such that $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ and assume that $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{R}$. Let $\mathbb{R}^{\uparrow} = \{B \in \mathfrak{m} : A \subseteq B \text{ for some } A \in \mathcal{R}\}$. The following statements are equivalent:

(a) \mathcal{R} is partition regular.

(b) Whenever $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ has the property that every finite nonempty subfamily of \mathcal{A} has an intersection which is in \mathbb{R}^{\uparrow} , there is an \mathfrak{m} -ultrafilter $p \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ such that $\mathcal{A} \subseteq p \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{\uparrow}$.

(c) Whenever $A \in \mathcal{R}$, there is some \mathfrak{m} -ultrafilter p such that $A \in p \subseteq R^{\uparrow}$.

Proof. (a) implies (b). Let $\mathcal{B} = \{A \in \mathfrak{m} : \forall B \in \mathcal{R}, A \cap B \neq \emptyset\}$ and note that $\mathcal{B} \neq \emptyset$. We may assume that $\mathcal{A} \neq \emptyset$, since $\{X\}$ has the hypothesized property. Let $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$. We claim that \mathcal{C} has the finite intersection property. To see this it suffices (since \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are nonempty) to let $\mathcal{F} \in P_f(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{G} \in P_f(\mathcal{B})$ and show that $\bigcap \mathcal{F} \cap \bigcap \mathcal{G} \neq \emptyset$. So suppose instead that we have such \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} with $\bigcap \mathcal{F} \cap \bigcap \mathcal{G} = \emptyset$. Pick $B \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $B \subseteq \bigcap \mathcal{F}$. Then $B \cap \bigcap \mathcal{G} = \emptyset$ and so $B = \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{G}} (B \setminus A)$. Pick $A \in \mathcal{G}$ and $C \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $C \subseteq B \setminus A$. Then $A \cap C = \emptyset$, contradicting the fact that $A \in \mathcal{B}$.

By Theorem 2.7, there is an m-ultrafilter p such that $C \subseteq p$. Given $C \in p$, $X \setminus C \notin \mathcal{B}$ (since $C \cap (X \setminus C) = \emptyset \notin p$). So pick some $B \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $B \cap (X \setminus C) = \emptyset$. That is, $B \subseteq C$.

(b) implies (c). Let $\mathcal{A} = \{A\}$.

(c) implies (a). Let \mathcal{F} be a finite set of elements of \mathfrak{m} with $\bigcup \mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{R}$ and let p be an \mathfrak{m} -ultrafilter such that $\bigcup \mathcal{F} \in p$ and for each $C \in p$ there is some $B \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $B \subseteq C$. Pick by Theorem 2.5 some $A \in \mathcal{F} \cap p$.

Corollary 2.10. Let (X, \mathfrak{m}) be a measurable space. Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ be an arbitrary family. If the intersection of every finite subfamily of \mathcal{A} is infinite, then \mathcal{A} is contained in an \mathfrak{m} -ultrafilter all of whose members are infinite. More generally, if κ is an infinite cardinal and if the intersection of every finite subfamily of \mathcal{A} has cardinality at least κ , then there exists \mathfrak{m} -ultrafilter p such that $|\mathcal{A}| \geq \kappa$ for every $\mathcal{A} \in p$.

Proof. The proof is obvious.

Let (X, \mathfrak{m}) be a measurable space. The collection of all \mathfrak{m} -ultrafilters is denoted by \mathfrak{m}^{β} . For each $A \in \mathfrak{m}$, we define

$$\widehat{A} = \{ p \in \mathfrak{m}^{\beta} : A \in p \}.$$

Lemma 2.11. Let X be a nonempty set, let \mathfrak{m} be an infinite σ -algebra on X, and let $A, B \in \mathfrak{m}$.

(a) $\widehat{A \cap B} = \widehat{A} \cap \widehat{B};$

(b) $\widehat{A \cup B} = \widehat{A} \cup \widehat{B};$ (c) $\widehat{X \setminus A} = \mathfrak{m}^{\beta} \setminus \widehat{A};$ (d) $\widehat{A} = \emptyset$ if and only if $A = \emptyset;$ (e) $\widehat{A} = \mathfrak{m}^{\beta}$ if and only if A = X;(f) $\widehat{A} = \widehat{B}$ if and only if A = B.

Proof. The proof is obvious.

The collection $\{\widehat{A} : A \in \mathfrak{m}\}$ is a basis for topology on \mathfrak{m}^{β} .

Theorem 2.12. Let X be a nonempty set, let \mathfrak{m} be an infinite σ -algebra on X. Then \mathfrak{m}^{β} is a compact and Hausdorff space.

Proof. Suppose that p and q are distinct elements of \mathfrak{m}^{β} . If $A \in p \setminus q$, then $X \setminus A \in q$. So \widehat{A} and $\widehat{X \setminus A}$ are disjoint open subsets of \mathfrak{m}^{β} containing p and q, respectively. Thus \mathfrak{m}^{β} is Hausdorff.

To show that \mathfrak{m}^{β} is compact, we shall consider a family \mathcal{A} of sets of the form \widehat{A} with the finite intersection property and show that \mathcal{A} has a nonempty intersection. Let $\mathcal{B} = \{A \in \mathfrak{m} : \widehat{A} \in \mathcal{A}\}$. If $F \in P_f(\mathcal{B})$, then there is some $p \in \bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{F}} \widehat{A}$ and so $\bigcap \mathcal{F} \in p$ and thus $\bigcap \mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset$. That is, B has the finite intersection property, so by Theorem 2.7 pick $q \in \mathfrak{m}^{\beta}$ with $\mathcal{B} \subseteq q$. Then $q \in \bigcap \mathcal{A}$.

Theorem 2.13. Let X be a nonempty set and let \mathfrak{m} be an infinite σ -algebra on X. Then the sets of the form \widehat{A} are the clopen subsets of \mathfrak{m}^{β} .

Proof. Each set \widehat{A} is closed as well as open. Suppose that C is any clopen subset of \mathfrak{m}^{β} . Let $\mathcal{A} = \{\widehat{A} : A \in \mathfrak{m} \text{ and } \widehat{A} \subseteq C\}$. Since C is open, \mathcal{A} is an open cover of C. Since C is closed, it is compact by Theorem 2.5(b). Now by Theorem 2.12, pick a finite subfamily \mathcal{F} of \mathfrak{m} such that $C = \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{F}} \widehat{A}$. Then by Lemma 2.11, $C = \bigcup \mathcal{F}$.

Theorem 2.14. Let X be a nonempty set and let \mathfrak{m} be a σ -algebra on X containing $P_f(X)$.

(a) For every $A \in \mathfrak{m}$, $\widehat{A} = cl_{\mathfrak{m}^{\beta}}e(A)$ where $e : X \to \mathfrak{m}^{\beta}$ is defined by $e(x) = \widehat{x}$.

(b) For any $A \in \mathfrak{m}$ and any $p \in \mathfrak{m}^{\beta}$, $p \in cl_{\mathfrak{m}^{\beta}}e(A)$ if and only if $A \in p$.

Proof. The proof is obvious.

Theorem 2.15. Let X be a nonempty set and let \mathfrak{m} be a σ -algebra on X and \mathfrak{m} separate X. Let $e: X \to \mathfrak{m}^{\beta}$ is defined by $e(x) = \hat{x}$.

(a) The mapping e is \mathfrak{m} -measurable.

(b) If (X, \mathfrak{m}) is measurable space and let $P_f(X) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$, then the mapping e is injective.

(c) e(X) is a dense subset of \mathfrak{m}^{β} .

Proof. (a) Since $A = e^{-1}(\widehat{A})$ for every $A \in \mathfrak{m}$, so the mapping e is \mathfrak{m} -measurable.

The proofs (b) and (c) are obvious.

Theorem 2.16. Let X be a nonempty set and let \mathfrak{m} be a σ -algebra on X containing $P_f(X)$. If U is an open subset of \mathfrak{m}^{β} , $cl_{\mathfrak{m}^{\beta}}U$ is also open.

Proof. If $U = \emptyset$, the conclusion is trivial and so we assume that $U \neq \emptyset$. Put $A = e^{-1}[U]$. We claim first that $U \subseteq cl_{\mathfrak{m}^{\beta}}e(A)$. So let $p \in U$ and let \widehat{B} be a basic neighborhood of p. Then $U \cap \widehat{B}$ is a nonempty open set and so by Theorem 2.15 $(c), U \cap \widehat{B} \cap e(X) \neq \emptyset$. So pick $b \in B$ with $e(b) \in U$. Then $e(b) \in \widehat{B} \cap e(A)$ and so $\widehat{B} \cap e(A) \neq \emptyset$. Also $e[A] \subseteq U$ and hence $U \subseteq cl_{\mathfrak{m}^{\beta}}e(A) \subseteq cl_{\mathfrak{m}^{\beta}}U$. By Theorem 2.14 $(a), cl_{\mathfrak{m}^{\beta}}U = cl_{\mathfrak{m}^{\beta}}e(A) = \widehat{A}$, and so $cl_{\mathfrak{m}^{\beta}}U$ is open in \mathfrak{m}^{β} .

Definition 2.17. Let X be a nonempty set, let \mathfrak{m} be a σ -algebra on X containing $P_f(X)$, and let \mathcal{A} be an \mathfrak{m} -filter. We define $\widehat{\mathcal{A}} = \{p \in \mathfrak{m}^{\beta} : \mathcal{A} \subseteq p\}$.

Theorem 2.18. Let X be a nonempty set and let \mathfrak{m} be a σ -algebra on X containing $P_f(X)$.

(a) If \mathcal{A} is an \mathfrak{m} -filter, then $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ is a closed subset of \mathfrak{m}^{β} .

(b) If $\emptyset \neq A \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^{\beta}$ and $\mathcal{A} = \bigcap A$, then \mathcal{A} is an \mathfrak{m} -filter and $\widehat{\mathcal{A}} = cl_{\mathfrak{m}^{\beta}}A$.

Proof. (a) Let $p \in \mathfrak{m}^{\beta} \setminus \mathcal{A}$. Pick $B \in \mathcal{A} \setminus p$. Then $\widehat{X \setminus B}$ is a neighborhood of p which misses $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$.

(b) \mathcal{A} is the intersection of a set of \mathfrak{m} -filters, so \mathcal{A} is an \mathfrak{m} -filter. Further, for each $p \in A$, $\mathcal{A} \subseteq p$ so $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ and thus by (a), $cl_{\mathfrak{m}^{\beta}}\mathcal{A} \subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{A}}$. To see that $\widehat{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq cl_{\mathfrak{m}^{\beta}}\mathcal{A}$, let $p \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ and let $B \in p$. Suppose $\widehat{B} \cap \mathcal{A} = \emptyset$. Then for each $q \in \mathcal{A}, X \setminus B \in q$ so $X \setminus B \in \mathcal{A} \subseteq p$, a contradiction. \Box

Theorem 2.19. Let X be a nonempty set, let \mathfrak{m} be a σ -algebra on X containing $P_f(X)$, $p \in \mathfrak{m}^{\beta}$, and $U \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^{\beta}$. If U is a neighborhood of p in \mathfrak{m}^{β} , then $e^{-1}[U] \in p$.

Proof. If U is a neighborhood of p, there is a basic open subset \widehat{A} of \mathfrak{m}^{β} for which $p \in \widehat{A} \subseteq U$. This implies that $A \in p$ and so $e^{-1}[U] \in p$, because $A \subseteq e^{-1}[U]$.

3. More Topology of \mathfrak{m}^{β}

Now let (X, \mathfrak{m}_1) and (Y, \mathfrak{m}_2) be two measurable spaces such that $P_f(X) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_1$ and $P_f(Y) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_2$. Also let $\varphi : X \to Y$ be an $(\mathfrak{m}_1, \mathfrak{m}_2)$ -measurable function. In Lemma 3.1, we show that φ has a unique extension.

Lemma 3.1. Let (X, \mathfrak{m}_1) and (Y, \mathfrak{m}_2) be measurable spaces such that $P_f(X) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_1$ and $P_f(Y) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_2$. Let $\varphi : (X, \mathfrak{m}_1) \to (Y, \mathfrak{m}_2)$ be an $(\mathfrak{m}_1, \mathfrak{m}_2)$ -measurable function. Then there exists a continuous function $\varphi_\beta : \mathfrak{m}_1^\beta \to \mathfrak{m}_2^\beta$ such that

(a)
$$\varphi_{\beta}(p) = \{A \in \mathfrak{m}_{2} : \varphi^{-1}(A) \in p\}.$$

(b) $e_{Y} \circ \varphi = \varphi_{\beta} \circ e_{X}.$
(c) If $A \in p \in \mathfrak{m}_{1}^{\beta}$, then $\varphi(A) \in \varphi_{\beta}(p)$ and if $B \in \varphi_{\beta}(p)$, then $\varphi^{-1}(B) \in p$

Proof. (a) It is obvious that $\{A \in \mathfrak{m}_2 : \varphi^{-1}(A) \in p\}$ is an \mathfrak{m}_2 -ultrafilter. For every $p \in \mathfrak{m}_1^\beta$, let

$$g(p) = \{ A \in \mathfrak{m}_2 : \varphi^{-1}(A) \in p \}.$$

Then for every $x \in X$,

$$g(e(x)) = \{A \in \mathfrak{m}_2 : \varphi^{-1}(A) \in e(x)\}$$
$$= \{A \in \mathfrak{m}_2 : x \in \widehat{\varphi^{-1}(A)}\}$$
$$= \{A \in \mathfrak{m}_2 : \varphi(x) \in A\}$$

So $g(e(x)) = \varphi(x)$.

To see that g is continuous, let $A \in \mathfrak{m}_2$. Then $(g \circ e)^{-1}(A) = \widehat{\varphi^{-1}(A)}$. Since g is a continuous extension of φ , we have $\widetilde{\varphi} = g$.

The proofs (b) and (c) are obvious.

Theorem 3.2. Let (X, \mathfrak{m}) be a measurable space, such that $P_f(X) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$. Then every G_{δ} -subset of X^* has nonempty interior in X^* .

Proof. Choose $p \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (U_n \cap X^*)$, where U_n is an open subset of \mathfrak{m}^{β} for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Choose a subset A_n of X such that $p \in A_n^* \subseteq U_n$ and $A_{n+1} \subseteq A_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Now choose an infinite sequence $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of distinct points of X such that $a_n \in A_n$. Let $A = \{a_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. It is obvious that A^* is an open subset of X^* and $A^* \subseteq U_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. \Box

Theorem 3.3. Let (X, \mathfrak{m}) be a measurable space, such that $P_f(X) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$. Then every countable union of nowhere dense subsets of X^* is nowhere dense in X^* .

Proof. Let A_n be a nowhere dense subset of X^* for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We show that $B = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} clA_n$ is nowhere dense. Suppose instead that $U = int_{X^*} clB \neq \emptyset$. By the Baire Category Theorem $X^* \setminus B$ is dense in X^* so $U \setminus B \neq \emptyset$. Thus $U \setminus B$ is a nonempty G_{δ} -set which thus by Theorem 3.2, has nonempty interior. This is a contradiction.

4. Measurable Semigroups

In this section we extend the operation of a measurable semigroup.

Definition 4.1. (a) A right measurable semigroup is a triple (S, \cdot, \mathfrak{m}) where (S, \cdot) is a semigroup, (S, \mathfrak{m}) is a measurable space, and $\rho_x : S \to S$ is $(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m})$ -measurable function for each $x \in S$ where $\rho_x(y) = yx$ for each $y \in S$.

(b) A left measurable semigroup is a triple (S, \cdot, \mathfrak{m}) where (S, \cdot) is a semigroup, (S, \mathfrak{m}) is a measurable space, and $\lambda_x : S \to S$ is $(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m})$ -measurable function for each $x \in S$ where $\lambda_x(y) = xy$ for each $y \in S$. In this section we assume that $P_f(S) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$, so $\{s\}$ belongs to \mathfrak{m} for each $s \in S$.

Definition 4.2. Let (X, \mathfrak{m}) be a measurable space. The tensor product of two \mathfrak{m} -ultrafilters p and q in \mathfrak{m}^{β} is denoted by $p \otimes q$ and is defined as following

$$p \otimes q = \{A \in \mathfrak{m} \otimes \mathfrak{m} : \{s \in X : A_s \in q\} \in p\}$$

where $A_s = \{t \in S : (s, t) \in A\}.$

Lemma 4.3. If $p, q \in \mathfrak{m}^{\beta}$, then $p \otimes q \in (\mathfrak{m} \otimes \mathfrak{m})^{\beta}$.

Proof. The proof is obvious.

Lemma 4.4. The following statements hold:

- (a) $S \otimes S$ is dense in $(\mathfrak{m} \otimes \mathfrak{m})^{\beta}$.
- (b) $\lim_{s\to p} \lim_{t\to q} s \otimes t = p \otimes q$ for every $p, q \in \mathfrak{m}^{\beta}$.

Proof. The proof is obvious.

Lemma 4.5. The mapping $R_q : S \to (\mathfrak{m} \otimes \mathfrak{m})^{\beta}$ by $R_q(s) = s \otimes q$ is a $(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m} \otimes \mathfrak{m})$ -measurable function where $q \in \mathfrak{m}^{\beta}$.

Proof. Since $\mathfrak{m} \times \mathfrak{m}$ generates $\mathfrak{m} \otimes \mathfrak{m}$, then

$$\begin{split} R_q^{-1}(A \times B) &= \{s \in S : s \otimes q \in \widehat{A \times B}\} \\ &= \{s \in S : A \times B \in s \otimes q\} \\ &= \{s \in S : \{u : \{t : (u,t) \in A \times B\} \in q\} \in s\} \\ &= \{s \in S : \{t : (s,t) \in A \times B\} \in q\} \\ &= \{s \in S : s \in A, B \in q\} = A \in \mathfrak{m} \end{split}$$

where $A, B \in \mathfrak{m}$.

Lemma 4.6. Let $s \in S$ and $q \in \mathfrak{m}^{\beta}$. Then $\pi^{\beta} \circ R_q(s) = \pi^{\beta}(s \otimes q) = s * q$

Proof. The proof is obvious.

Theorem 4.7. Let (S, \cdot, \mathfrak{m}) be a measurable semigroup. Then there is a unique binary operation $* : \mathfrak{m}^{\beta} \times \mathfrak{m}^{\beta} \to \mathfrak{m}^{\beta}$ satisfying the following three conditions:

(a) For every $s, t \in S$, $s * t = s \cdot t$.

(b) For each $q \in \mathfrak{m}^{\beta}$, the function $\rho_q : \mathfrak{m}^{\beta} \to \mathfrak{m}^{\beta}$ is continuous, where $\rho_q(p) = p * q$.

(c) For each $s \in S$, the function $\lambda_s : \mathfrak{m}^{\beta} \to \mathfrak{m}^{\beta}$ is continuous, where $\lambda_s(q) = s * q$.

Proof. Given any $s \in S$, define $l_s : S \to S$ by $l_s(t) = st$, then l_s is a measurable function. So there is a unique continuous function $\lambda_s : \mathfrak{m}^\beta \to \mathfrak{m}^\beta$ such that $\lambda_s(e(t)) = l_s(t)$ for each $t \in S$. If $s \in S$ and $q \in \mathfrak{m}^\beta$, we define $s * q = \lambda_s(q)$. Then (c) holds and so does (a), because λ_s extends l_s .

Now we extend * to the rest of $\mathfrak{m}^{\beta} \times \mathfrak{m}^{\beta}$. Given $q \in \mathfrak{m}^{\beta}$, define $r_q = \pi^{\beta} \circ R_q$: $S \to \mathfrak{m}^{\beta}$. The mapping r_q is measurable, so there is a unique continuous extension $\rho_q : \mathfrak{m}^{\beta} \to \mathfrak{m}^{\beta}$. If $p, q \in \mathfrak{m}^{\beta}$, we define $p * q = \rho_q(p)$. This is the only possible definition which satisfies the required conditions. \Box

Theorem 4.8. Let (S, \cdot, \mathfrak{m}) be a measurable semigroup. Then the extended operation on \mathfrak{m}^{β} is associative.

Proof. The proof is obvious.

Theorem 4.9. Let (S, \cdot, \mathfrak{m}) be a measurable semigroup. Then $(\mathfrak{m}^{\beta}, *)$ is a compact right semigroup.

Proof. The proof is obvious.

Theorem 4.10. Let (S, \cdot, \mathfrak{m}) be a measurable semigroup and $A \in \mathfrak{m}$.

- (a) For any $s \in S$ and $q \in \mathfrak{m}^{\beta}$, $A \in s \cdot q$ if and only if $s^{-1}A \in q$.
- (b) For any $p, q \in \mathfrak{m}^{\beta}$, $A \in p \cdot q$ if and only if $\{s \in S : s^{-1}A \in q\} \in p$.

Proof. (a) Necessity. Let $A \in s \cdot q$. Then $A \in \lambda_s(q)$ and hence by Lemma 3.1, $s^{-1}A = \lambda_s^{-1}(A) \in q$.

Sufficiency. Assume $s^{-1}A \in q$ and suppose that $A \notin s \cdot q$. Then $S \setminus A \in s \cdot q$. So, by the already established necessity, $s^{-1}(S \setminus A) \in q$. This is a contradiction since $s^{-1}A \cap s^{-1}(S \setminus A) = \emptyset$.

(b) Necessity. Let $A \in p \cdot q$. Then by Lemma 3.1,

$$\{s \in S : \rho_q(s) \in \widehat{A}\} = \rho_q^{-1}(A) \in p.$$

So $\{s \in S : A \in s \cdot q\} \in p$. Hence $\{s \in S : s^{-1}A \in q\} \in p$. Sufficiency. Let $\{s \in S : s^{-1}A \in q\} \in p$. Since

$$\{s \in S : s^{-1}A \in q\} = \{s \in S : A \in s \cdot q\}$$

= $\{s \in S : A \in \rho_q(s)\}$
= $\{s \in S : s \in \rho_q^{-1}(A)\}$

 $\rho_q^{-1}(A) \in p.$ So $A \in p \cdot q.$

Definition 4.11. Let (S, \cdot, \mathfrak{m}) be a measurable semigroup, then \mathfrak{m}^{β} is called measurable semigroup compactification of (S, \cdot, \mathfrak{m}) .

Now we state some algebraic properties of semigroup extension of measurable semigroup (S, \cdot, \mathfrak{m}) .

Theorem 4.12. Let (S, \cdot, \mathfrak{m}) be a measurable semigroup, then \mathfrak{m}^{β} has an idempotent.

Proof. See Theorem 2.5 in [9].

Example 4.13. a) Let \mathcal{L} denote the collection of all Lebesgue measurable subsets of real numbers. Then $(\mathcal{L}^{\beta}, +)$ and $(\mathcal{L}^{\beta}, \cdot)$ are measurable semigroup compactification of $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L})$.

b)Every vector space has a Hamel basis, i.e. a maximal linearly independent subset. Since Real numbers as a vector space on rational numbers has infinite dimension, therefore there exists an uncountable maximal linearly independent $I \subseteq [0, 1]$. Now choice a countable subset $A \subseteq I$. Then the generated vector space by $I \setminus A$ is not closed. Define i(x) = x when $x \in A$ and i(x) = 0 for $x \in I \setminus A$. We denote i as to extension i to \mathbb{R} as a linear transformation. It is obvious that i is Borel measurable but it is not continuous.

Now define $*_i : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ by $*_i(x, y) = i(x + y)$ for each $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $(\mathbb{R}, *_i)$ is measurable semigroup, but it is not semitopological semigroup.

Theorem 4.14. Let (S, \cdot, \mathfrak{m}) be a measurable semigroup. Then $S^* = \mathfrak{m}^{\beta} \setminus S$ is a subsemigroup of \mathfrak{m}^{β} if and only if for any $A \in P_f(S)$ and for any infinite subset B of \mathfrak{m} there exists $F \in P_f(B)$ such that $\bigcap_{x \in F} x^{-1}A$ is finite.

Proof. Necessity. Let $A \in P_f(S)$ and an infinite subset $B \in \mathfrak{m}$ be given. Suppose that for each $F \in P_f(B)$, $\bigcap_{x \in F} x^{-1}A$ is infinite. Then $\{x^{-1}A : x \in B\}$ has the property that all of its finite intersections are infinite so by Corollary 2.10 we may pick $p \in S^*$ such that $\{x^{-1}A : x \in B\} \subseteq p$. Pick $q \in S^*$ such that $B \in q$. Then $A \in q \cdot p$ and A is finite so $q \cdot p \in S$, a contradiction.

Sufficiency. Let $p, q \in S^*$ be given and suppose that $q \cdot p = y \in S$, (that is, precisely, that $q \cdot p$ is the m-principal ultrafilter generated by y). Let $A = \{y\}$ and let $B = \{x \in S : x^{-1}A \in p\}$. Then $B \in p$ while for each $F \in P_f(B)$, one has $\bigcap_{x \in F} x^{-1}A \in p$ so that $\bigcap_{x \in F} x^{-1}A$ is infinite, a contradiction. \Box

Definition 4.15. Let S be a measurable semigroup. We say that S is weakly left cancellative if and only if $\lambda_s^{-1}(\{y\})$ is finite for every $x, y \in S$.

Theorem 4.16. Let (S, \cdot, \mathfrak{m}) be a measurable semigroup. Then S^* is a left ideal of \mathfrak{m}^{β} if and only if S is weakly left cancellative.

Proof. Necessity. Let $x, y \in S$ be given, let $A = \lambda_s^{-1}(\{y\})$ and suppose that A is infinite. Pick $p \in S^* \cap \widehat{A}$. Then $y \cdot p = x$, a contradiction.

Sufficiency. Since S is infinite, $S^* \neq \emptyset$. Let $p \in S^*$, let $q \in \mathfrak{m}^{\beta}$ and suppose that $q \cdot p = x \in S$. Then $\{x\} \in q \cdot p$ so $\{y \in S : y^{-1}\{x\} \in p\} \in q$ and is hence nonempty. So pick $y \in S$ such that $y^{-1}\{x\} \in p$. But $y^{-1}\{x\} = \lambda_y^{-1}(\{x\})$ so $\lambda_y^{-1}(\{x\})$ is infinite, a contradiction.

Theorem 4.17. Let (S, \cdot, \mathfrak{m}) be a measurable semigroup. The following statements are equivalent:

(a) S^* is a right ideal of \mathfrak{m}^{β} .

(b) Given any finite subset $A \in \mathfrak{m}$, any sequence $\langle z_n \rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in S, and any one-to-one sequence $\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in S, there exist n < m in \mathbb{N} such that $x_n \cdot z_m \notin A$.

(c) Given any $a \in S$, any sequence $\langle z_n \rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in S, and any one-to-one sequence $\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in S, there exist n < m in \mathbb{N} such that $x_n \cdot z_m \neq a$.

Proof. See Theorem 4.32 in [9].

5. Applications

Recall a σ -algebra on a topological space X is the Borel σ -algebra generated by all open sets; it is denoted by $\mathcal{B}(X)$. A set in $\mathcal{B}(X)$ is called the Borel set in the space X.

A σ -algebra on a topological space X is generated by all sets of the form

$$\{x \in X : f(x) > 0\}$$

where f is a real valued continuous function on X, is called Baire σ -algebra and denoted by Ba(X). So $A \in Ba(X)$ is called a Baire set. By Corollary 5.3.5 in [7], when X is a metric space then $A \subseteq X$ is Borel set if and only if A is a Baire set.

Let \mathcal{L} denote the collection of all Lebesgue measurable sets on $S = (0, +\infty)$, and let λ be the Lebesgue measure on real numbers. It is obvious that $\mathcal{B}(S) \subseteq \mathcal{L}$. For each $p, q \in \mathcal{L}^{\beta}$, p + q and $p \cdot q$ are well define, see Theorem 4.10. So \mathcal{L}^{β} is a multiplicative and additive semigroup.

Now define

$$\mathcal{L}_{\circ} = \{ A \in \mathcal{B} : \lambda(A) = 0 \}$$

and for $k \in (0, 1]$ define

$$\mathcal{L}_k = \{ A \in \mathcal{B} : \lambda(A) > k \}.$$

We define $\mathcal{L}_+ = \bigcup_{k>0} \mathcal{L}_k$. It is obvious that \mathcal{L}_0 , \mathcal{L}_k and \mathcal{L}_k are partition regular, and also \mathcal{L}_0 , \mathcal{L}_k and \mathcal{L}_+ are invariant under translate, where A + x is the translate of A by $x \in S$. Therefore the below collections

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\circ}^{\beta} &= \{ p \in \mathcal{L}^{\beta} : \exists A \in p \ \lambda(A) = 0 \}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{k}^{\beta} &= \{ p \in \mathcal{L}^{\beta} : \forall A \in p \ \lambda(A) > k \} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}^{\beta}_{+} = \{ p \in \mathcal{L}^{\beta} : \forall A \in p \ \lambda(A) > 0 \}$$

are non-empty sets, by Theorem 2.9.

Let \mathfrak{m} be a σ -algebra on X and let $A \in \mathfrak{m}$, then $\mathfrak{m}_A = \{T \cap A : T \in \mathfrak{m}\}$ is a σ -algebra and we can write $\mathfrak{m}_A^\beta \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^\beta$, because for each $p_A \in \mathfrak{m}_A^\beta$ there exists a unique $p \in \mathfrak{m}$ such that $p_A \subseteq p$.

Lemma 5.1. a) \mathcal{L}_{k}^{β} and \mathcal{L}_{+}^{β} are left ideals of $(\mathcal{L}^{\beta}, +)$. Also \mathcal{L}_{+}^{β} is left ideal of $(\mathcal{L}^{\beta}, \cdot)$.

b) $\mathcal{L}_{\circ}^{\beta}$ is a multiplicative and additive subsemigroup of \mathcal{L}^{β} .

Proof. a) Pick $p \in \mathcal{L}_k^\beta$ and $q \in \mathcal{L}^\beta$. Let $A \in q + p$, so

$$\{x \in S : -x + A \in p\} \in q.$$

Since $p \in \mathcal{L}_k^\beta$ so $\lambda(A) = \lambda(-x+A) > k$. This implies $q + p \in \mathcal{L}_k^\beta$. By similar way, \mathcal{L}_+^β is left ideal of $(\mathcal{L}^\beta, +)$.

b) Pick $p, q \in \mathcal{L}_{\circ}^{\beta}$, so there exist $A_p \in p$ and $A_q \in q$ such that $A_p \cap A_q = \emptyset$ and $\lambda(A_p) = \lambda(A_q) = 0$. Now Let $A = A_p \cup A_q$, and define $p_{\circ} = \{T \cap A : T \in p\}$ and $q_{\circ} = \{T \cap A : T \in q\}$. It is obvious that p_{\circ} and q_{\circ} are \mathcal{L} -ultrafilters on $A, p_{\circ} \subseteq p$ and $q_{\circ} \subseteq q$. It is obvious that $p_{\circ} + q_{\circ} \subseteq p + q$. By similar way, we can show that $\mathcal{L}_{\circ}^{\beta}$ is a multiplicative subsemigroup. \Box

For $x \in S$, we define

$$x^* = \{ p \in \mathcal{L}^\beta : x \in \bigcap_{A \in p} cl_S A \}.$$

It is obvious that $x^* = \{p \in \mathcal{L}^{\beta} : \forall y > 0, (x - y, x + y) \in p\}$, and $\hat{x} \in x^*$. We say $p \in x^*$ is a near point to x. We define $B(S) = \bigcup_{x \in S} x^*$ and $\infty^* = \mathcal{L}^{\beta} - B(S)$. An element $p \in B(S)$ is called a bounded ultrafilter and $p \in \infty^*$ is called an unbounded ultrafilter. It is obvious that

$$\infty^* = \{ p \in \mathcal{L}^\beta : \forall x > 0, \ (x, +\infty) \in p \}.$$

Lemma 5.2. For each $x, y \in S$, then a) x^* is a non empty and compact subset of \mathcal{L}^{β} , b) $x^* + y^* \subseteq (x + y)^*$, and c) $x^*y^* \subseteq (xy)^*$.

Proof. See Lemma 2.3(i) in [5].

By above Lemma, it is obvious that 0^* is a compact multiplicative and additive subsemigroup of \mathcal{L}^{β} .

Lemma 5.3. ∞^* is a multiplicative and additive subsemigroup of \mathcal{L}^{β} .

Proof. Let $p, q \in \infty^*$ and let $pq \in x^*$ for some $x \in S$. Therefore $(a, b) \in pq$ for some $a, b \in S$, and so for some $t \in S$, $t^{-1}(a, b) \in q$ is a contradiction. So ∞^* is a multiplicative subsemigroup. By similar way, ∞^* is an additive semigroup.

We say that $A \subseteq S$ is meager if and only if A is the countable union of nowhere dense sets. Now we state a relation between Baire and meager sets.

Definition 5.4. a) A Lebesgue measurable set $A \subseteq S$ is called Baire large at ∞ if and only if for each x > 0, $A \cap (x, +\infty)$ is not meager. The collection of all Baire large sets at ∞ is denoted by $BL(\infty)$.

b) A Lebesgue measurable set $A \subseteq S$ is called Baire large at 0 if and only if for each x > 0, $A \cap (0, x)$ is not meager. The collection of all Baire large sets at 0 is denoted by BL(0).

12

Lemma 5.5. a) $BL(\infty)$ and BL(0) are partition regular. b) $\mathcal{BL}(0) = \{p \in \mathcal{L}^{\beta} : \forall A \in p, A \in BL(0)\}$ is left ideal in $(0^*, \cdot)$. c) $\mathcal{BL}(\infty) = \{p \in \mathcal{L}^{\beta} : \forall A \in p, A \in BL(\infty)\}$ is left ideal in (∞^*, \cdot) . d) $\mathcal{BL}(\infty)$ is left ideal in $(\infty^*, +)$.

Proof. a) It is obvious.

b) By a) and Theorem 2.9 implies BL(0) is non empty. Now similar Lemma 17.39 in [9], let $p \in BL(0), q \in 0^*$ and let $A \in qp$. Pick $x \in (0,1)$ such that $x^{-1}A \in p$. Let $x^{-1}A \cap (0,\epsilon)$ is not meager for some $\epsilon > 0$, so $x(x^{-1}A \cap (0,\epsilon))$ is not meager and $x(x^{-1}A \cap (0,\epsilon)) \subseteq A \cap (0,\epsilon)$.

c) and d). The proof is similar to b).

Definition 5.6. a) $A \subseteq (0, +\infty)$ is called syndetic if and only if there exists some $G \in P_f(0, +\infty)$ such that

$$(0, +\infty) = \bigcup_{t \in G} -t + A.$$

b) $A \subseteq (0, +\infty)$ is called thick if and only if for each $F \in P_f((0, +\infty))$ there exists $x \in A$ such that $x + F \subseteq A$.

c) $A \subseteq (0, +\infty)$ is called piecewise syndetic if and only if $\bigcup_{t \in G} -t + A$ is thick for some $G \in P_f(0, +\infty)$.

Theorem 5.7. a) Let $p \in \mathcal{L}^{\beta}$. Then $p \in K(\mathcal{L}^{\beta}, +)$ if and only if $\{x > 0 : -x + A \in p\}$ is syndetic for each $A \in p$.

b) Let $A \subseteq (0, +\infty)$. Then $K(\mathcal{L}^{\beta}, +) \cap \overline{A} \neq \emptyset$ if and only if A is piecewise syndetic.

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 4.39 and 4.40 in [9]. \Box

The following Theorem has been stated as Theorem 1.3 in [8].

Theorem 5.8. The closure of the minimal ideal $K(\mathcal{L}^{\beta}, +)$ is a left ideal of $(\mathcal{L}^{\beta}, \cdot)$. In particular there is a multiplicative idempotent in $cl_{\mathcal{L}^{\beta}}K(\mathcal{L}^{\beta})$.

Proof. Let $p \in cl_{\mathcal{L}^{\beta}}K(\mathcal{L}^{\beta}, +)$ and $q \in \mathcal{L}^{\beta}$. We show that $qp \in cl_{\mathcal{L}^{\beta}}K(\mathcal{L}^{\beta}, +)$. So let $A \in qp$. Then $\{x > 0 : x^{-1}A \in p\} \in q$. Pick x > 0 such that $x^{-1}A \in p$. Since $p \in cl_{\mathcal{L}^{\beta}}K(\mathcal{L}^{\beta})$, so $x^{-1}A$ is piecewise syndetic. Since $\overline{x^{-1}A}$ is a neighborhood of p, so there exists an $\eta \in \overline{x^{-1}A} \cap K(\mathcal{L}^{\beta}, +)$. This implies that $x^{-1}A \in \eta$, and hence $A \in x\eta$. Now Let $l_x : (0, \infty) \to (0, +\infty)$ is defined by $l_x(y) = xy$. It is obvious that l_x is an additive isomorphism. So l_x has a unique continuous isomorphism extension $l_x^{\beta} : \mathcal{L}^{\beta} \to \mathcal{L}^{\beta}$. Therefore $xK(\mathcal{L}^{\beta}, +) = K(\mathcal{L}^{\beta}, +)$ and so $A \in x\eta$ is piecewise syndetic. This implies that $\overline{A} \cap K(\mathcal{L}^{\beta}, +) \neq \emptyset$ for each $A \in qp$. So $qp \in cl_{\mathcal{L}^{\beta}}K(\mathcal{L}^{\beta}, +)$.

References

- [1] T. Alaste, U-filters and uniform compactification, Studia Math. 211, 215-229 (2012).
- [2] T. Alaste, A note on κ -uniform points of $G^{\mathcal{LUC}}$, Semigroup Forum, 87, 489-493,(2013).

- [3] A. Akbari Tootkaboni, *Lmc-compactification of a semitopological semigroup as a space of e-ultrafilters*, New York J. Math **19** (2013), 669-688.
- [4] M. Akbari Tootkaboni and A. Riazi, Ultrafilters on Semitopological Semigroup, Semigroup Forum 70(3), (2005), 317-328.
- [5] A. Akbari Tootkaboni and T. Vahed The semigroup of ultrafilters near an idempotent of a semitopological semigroup, Topology and its Application, 159 (2012), 3494-3503.
- [6] J. F. Berglund, H. D. Junghenn and P. Milnes, Analysis on Semigroups: Function Spaces, Compactifications, Representations, Wiley, New York., 1989.
- [7] V.I. Bogachev, Measure Theory, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelber, (2007).
- [8] A. T. Lisan, Ultrafilters on a discrete set with two binary operations, Semigroup Forum 43, (1991), 77-81.
- [9] N. Hindman and D. Strauss, Algebra in the Stone-Čech Compactification: Theory and Application, second edition, de Gruyter, Berlin, 2011.

A. PASHAPOURNIA

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCES, ISLAMIIC AZAD UNIVERSITY, CENTRAL TEHRAN BRANCH.

M. Akbari Tootkaboni

DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNI-VERSITY OF GUILAN, RASHT-IRAN.

E-MAIL: TOOTKABONI@GUILAN.AC.IR

D. Ebrahimbagha

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCES, ISLAMIIC AZAD UNIVERSITY, CENTRAL TEHRAN BRANCH.

E-MAIL: E-BAGHA@YAHOO.COM