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Abstract

We extend the definition of Noether-Lefschetz components to quasi-smooth hypersurfaces in a projective simplicial toric variety $\mathbb{P}_\Sigma^{2k+1}$, and prove that asymptotically the components whose codimension is bounded from above by a suitable effective constant correspond to hypersurfaces containing a small degree $k$-dimensional subvariety. As a corollary we get an asymptotic characterization of the components with small codimension, generalizing the work of Otwinowska for $\mathbb{P}_\Sigma^{2k+1} = \mathbb{P}_\Sigma^{2k+1}$ and Green and Voisin for $\mathbb{P}_\Sigma^{2k+1} = \mathbb{P}^3$. Some tools that are developed in this paper are a generalization of Macaulay’s theorem for Fano, irreducible normal varieties with rational singularities, satisfying a suitable additional condition, and an extension of the notion of Gorenstein ideal for normal varieties with finitely generated Cox ring.
1 Introduction

The classical Noether-Lefschetz theorem states that a very general surface $X$ in $\mathbb{P}^3$ of degree $d \geq 4$ has Picard number 1. In recent years generalizations have been proved using Hodge theory for simplicial projective toric threefolds satisfying an explicit numerical condition [4], and more generally by Ravindra and Srinivas for normal projective threefolds using a purely algebraic approach [17].

The Noether-Lefschetz locus is the subscheme of the (hyper)surface parameter space where the Picard number is greater than the Picard number of the ambient variety. Green and Voisin proved that if $N_d$ is the Noether-Lefschetz locus for degree $d$ surfaces in $\mathbb{P}^3$, with $d \geq 4$, the codimension of every component of $N_d$ is bounded from below by $d - 3$, with equality exactly for the components formed by surfaces containing a line. Otwinowska gave an asymptotic generalization of Green and Voisin’s results to hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^n$ [15].

In [5] (see also [14]) it was proved that for simplicial projective toric threefolds the codimension of the Noether-Lefschetz components are also bounded from below. There it was also proved that components corresponding to surfaces containing a “line,” defined as a curve which is minimal in a suitable sense, realize the lower bound. However the question whether these are exactly the components of smallest condimension was left open.

The purpose of the present paper is to extend and generalize Otwinowska’s ideas to odd dimensional simplicial projective toric varieties. In section 2 we present a generalization of the restriction theorem due to Green [9] and we obtain an extension of the classical Macaulay’s theorem, while in section 3 we introduce a generalization of the notion of Gorenstein ideal, which we call a Cox-Gorenstein ideal; these will be the key tools in the proof of our main result. Section 4 is a more technical; there we prove some application of Macaulay’s theorem to Cox-Gorenstein ideals. In section 5 using Hodge theory we explicitly construct the tangent space at a point in the Noether Lefschetz loci, which turns out to be a graded part of a Cox-Gorenstein ideal. In section 6 using all the machinery so far developed we prove our main result.

We shall consider a a projective simplicial toric variety $\mathbb{P}^{2k+1}_\Sigma$, whose fan is $\Sigma$, and an ample line bundle $L$ on $\mathbb{P}^{2k+1}_\Sigma$, with $\deg L = \beta \in \text{Pic}(\mathbb{P}^{2k+1}_\Sigma)$ satisfying for some $n \geq 0$ and $k \geq 1$ the condition

$$k\beta - \beta_0 = n\eta$$

where $\beta_0$ is the class of the anticanonical bundle and $\eta$ is class of a primitive ample Cartier divisor (for $k = 1$ this reduces to the condition considered in [5]). $f \in \mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2k+1}_\Sigma}(\beta)))$ will be a section such that $X_f = \{f = 0\}$ is quasi-smooth hypersurface\footnote{Heuristically this means that $X_f$ has only singularities inherited from the ambient space, or more precisely, regarding $\mathbb{P}^{2k+1}_\Sigma$ as a smooth orbifold, that $X_f$ is a smooth sub-orbifold, see e.g. [2].} Let $\mathcal{U}_\beta \subset \mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2k+1}_\Sigma}(\beta)))$ be the open subset parameterizing the quasi-smooth hypersurfaces and let $\pi : \chi_\beta \to \mathcal{U}_\beta$ be its
tautological family. Let $H^{2k}_Q$ be the local system $R^{2k}\pi_*\mathbb{Q}$ and let $\mathcal{H}^{2k}$ be the locally free sheaf $H^{2k}_Q \otimes \mathcal{O}_{U_\beta}$ over $U_\beta$. Let $0 \neq \lambda_f \in H^{k,k}(X_f, \mathbb{Q})/i^* (H^{k,k}(\mathbb{P}^{2k+1} \Sigma))$ and let $U$ be a contractible open subset around $f$, so that $H^{2k}(U)$ is constant. Finally, let $\lambda \in H^{2k}(U)$ be the section defined by $\lambda_f$ and let $\bar{\lambda}$ its image in $(H^{2k}/F_{<n})_k(U)$, where $F_{<n} = H^{2k,0} \oplus H^{2k,1} \oplus \cdots \oplus H^{k,k}$.

**Definition 1.1** (Local Noether-Lefschetz Locus). $N^{k,\beta}_{\lambda,U} := \{ G \in U \mid \lambda_G = 0 \}$.

The following is our main result.

**Theorem 1.2.** For every positive $\epsilon$ there is positive $\delta$ such that for every $m \geq \frac{1}{\delta}$ and $d \in [1,m\delta]$, if $\text{codim} N^{k,\beta}_{\lambda,U} \leq d^{m\epsilon}$, where $m = \max \{ i \mid i \eta \leq \beta \}$, then every element of $N^{k,\beta}_{\lambda,U}$ contains a $k$-dimensional subvariety whose degree is less than or equal to $(1+\epsilon)d$.
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### 2 A restriction theorem

Every positive integer $c$ can be written in the form

$$\binom{k_n}{n} + \cdots + \binom{k_\delta}{\delta}.$$ 

with $k_n > k_{n-1} > \ldots k_\delta \geq \delta > 0$. This is called the $n$-th Macaulay’s decomposition of $c$. Let $c$ be the codimension of a linear subsystem $W \subset H^0(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(d))$, and let $W_H \subset H^0(\mathcal{O}_H(d))$ be the restriction of $W$ to a general hyperplane $H$ of codimension $c_H$. Then the classical restriction theorem says that

$$c_H \leq c_{<n>}.$$ 

where

$$c_{<n>} := \binom{k_n - 1}{n} + \cdots + \binom{k_\delta - 1}{\delta}.$$ 

We generalize this result for a Fano, irreducible, projective normal variety $Y$ with rational singularities, satisfying a suitable additional condition. We note two elementary properties of the function $\phi : c \mapsto c_{<n>}$:

(A) If $c' \leq c$, then $c'_{<n>} \leq c_{<n>}$, i.e., the map $\phi$ is non-decreasing;

(B) If $k_\delta > \delta$ then $(c - 1)_{<n>} < c_{<n>}$ i.e the map $\phi$ is increasing.
Lemma 2.1. Let $Y$ be an irreducible, normal projective variety with $H^1(O_Y) = 0$. Let $W \subset H^0(Y, O_Y(D))$ be a sublinear system, $D$ a generic ample Cartier divisor and let $W_D \subset H^0(D, O_Y(D))$ be its restriction. Then

$$c_D = \text{codim}(W_D, H^0(O_D(D))) \leq c_{c,1} = \text{codim}(W, H^0(O_Y(D))) - 1$$

Proof. Taking cohomology in the fundamental short exact sequence of the divisor $D$ we obtain

$$0 \to H^0(O_Y) \to H^0(O_Y(D)) \to H^0(O_D(D)) \to 0 \to \cdots$$

so that

$$h^0(O_Y(D)) = h^0(O_Y) + h^0(O_D(D)) = 1 + h^0(O_D(D)).$$

Let $W_D = \{w|D \mid w \in W\}$. Denoting by $r$ the projection $W \to W_D$ one has

$$\dim W = \dim \ker r + \dim W_D. \quad (2)$$

so that subtracting (2) from (1) we have

$$\text{codim} W = \text{codim} W_D + 1 - \dim \ker r.$$ 

If $s_D$ a section in $H^0(O_Y(D))$ such that $D = \text{div}_0(s_D)$, then

$$\ker r = \{w \in W \mid w = \lambda s_D \in W; \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\}$$

and since $D$ is general so that $s_D \notin W$, then $\ker r = \{0\}$. \hfill \Box

Lemma 2.2. Let $W \subset H^0(O_{\mathbb{P}^1}(n)) \ (n > 1)$ be a subsystem, $D$ a generic point and let $W_D \subset H^0(O_D(n))$ be its restriction. Then

$$c_D \leq c_{c,n}$$

Proof. Clearly $H^0(O_D(n)) = \mathbb{C}$ and since $D$ is generic $c_D = 0$. On the other hand because $n > 1$ we have that $k_n > 1$, so that $c_{c,n} > 0$ i.e., $c_D \leq c_{c,n}$. \hfill \Box

Definition 2.3. A strongly Fano variety is a pair $(Y, D)$, where $Y$ is an irreducible normal projective variety with rational singularities, and $D$ is an ample ample Cartier divisor such that $-K_Y - (k - 1)D$ is ample, where $k = \dim Y$. 4
Theorem 2.4 (Restriction Theorem). Let \((Y, D)\) be a strongly Fano variety, let \(W \subset H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(nD))\), with \(n \geq 1\), be a subsystem, and let \(W_D \subset H^0(D, \mathcal{O}_D(nD))\) be its restriction. Then

\[ c_D \leq c_{c,n} >. \]

Proof. Let \(l_n, \ldots l_\delta\) be the coefficients of the \(n\)-th Macaulay decomposition of \(c_D\). The inequality of the statement is equivalent to

\[ \binom{l_n + 1}{n} + \binom{l_{n-1} + 1}{n-1} + \cdots + \binom{l_\delta + 1}{\delta} < c \]

By contradiction, and recalling that \(\binom{l+1}{n} = \binom{l}{n} + \binom{l}{n-1}\), we have

\[ c \leq \binom{l_n}{n} + \binom{l_{n-1}}{n-1} + \cdots + \binom{l_\delta}{\delta} + \binom{l_\delta}{\delta - 1} \]

or equivalently

\[ c - c_D \leq \binom{l_n}{n-1} + \cdots + \binom{l_\delta}{\delta - 1}. \]  \hfill (3)

From the exact sequence

\[ 0 \to W(-D) \to W \to W_D \to 0 \]

one has

\[ \dim W = \dim W_D + \dim W(-D). \]  \hfill (4)

By a generalized Kodaira vanishing theorem [21] applied to the divisor \((n-1)D - K_Y\) \((n \geq 1)\), we have \(H^1(Y, K_Y + (n-1)D - K_Y)) = 0\) so that

\[ 0 \to H^0(\mathcal{O}_Y(n-1)D) \to H^0(\mathcal{O}_Y(nD)) \to H^0(\mathcal{O}_D(nD)) \to 0 \]

and thus

\[ h^0(\mathcal{O}_Y(nD)) = h^0(\mathcal{O}_Y(n-1)D) + h^0(\mathcal{O}_D(nD)). \]  \hfill (5)

Then (4) minus (5) yields

\[ c = c_D + \text{codim } W(-D). \]

Taking \(D' \in |D|\) generic we are within the same assumptions of the theorem on \(D\) i.e.,

- \(D \cap D'\) is a generic Cartier divisor in \(D\);
- Moreover \(D\) is irreducible, normal with rational singularities [3];
- \(-K_D - (k-2)D|_D\), where \(k = \dim Y\), is ample because \(Y\) has rational singularities so it is Cohen-Macaulay (see e.g. [12]), and one can apply the adjunction formula [11] to get

\[ -K_D - (k-2)D|_D = -K_Y|_D - D|_D - (k-2)D|_D = (-K_Y - (k-1)D)|_D, \quad (k-1 = \dim D); \]

by assumption the last divisor is ample.
Now we have the short exact sequence

\[ 0 \rightarrow W_D(-(D \cap D')) \rightarrow W_D \rightarrow W_{D|D'} \rightarrow 0 \]

which gives

\[ c_D = \text{codim} W_{D|D'} + \text{codim} W_D(-(D \cap D')) \]

Note that \( W(-D'_D) \subset W_D(-(D \cap D')) \), hence

\[ c_D \leq \text{codim} W_{D|D'} + \text{codim} W(-D'_D) \]

Note that strongly Fano implies Fano, so by the generalized Kodaira vanishing theorem \( H^1(\mathcal{O}_Y) = 0 \); moreover since at each step of taking a successive generic divisor, the divisor is Fano, we have \( h^1(\mathcal{O}_D) = 0 = h^1(\mathcal{O}_{D-D'}) \), and so on. Now by induction on \( n \) and the dimension \( k \) the theorem is true for \( W_D \) and \( W(-D) \), note that Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 provide the induction basis.

Now applying the theorem to \( W_D \) and \( W(-D) \) we get

- \( (c_D)_{|D'} \leq (c_D)_{<n>} = \binom{l_{n-1}}{n} + \cdots + \binom{l_{\delta-1}}{\delta} \)
- \( (c-c_D)_{|D'} \leq (c-c_D)_{<n-1>} \)

Adding the two inequalities and keeping in mind that \( D' \sim D \) we have

\[ c_{D'} = c_D \leq (c_D)_{<n>} + (c-c_D)_{<n-1>} \]

and by 3 and property (A)

\[ (c-c_D)_{<n-1>} < \binom{l_{n-1}}{n-1} + \cdots + \binom{l_{\delta-1}}{\delta-1} \]

so that

\[ c_D < \binom{l_{n-1}}{n} + \cdots + \binom{l_{\delta-1}}{\delta} + \binom{l_{n-1}}{n-1} + \cdots + \binom{l_{\delta-1}}{\delta-1} = c_D \]

which is a contradiction.

Example 2.5. Taking \( Y = \mathbb{P}^k \) and \( D = H \) a generic hyperplane, we recover the classical restriction theorem [9]. Clearly

\[ -K_{\mathbb{P}^{k+1}} - (k-1)H = (k+1)H - (k-1)H = 2H \]

which is ample. △

More generally:
Example 2.6. Let \( Y = \mathbb{P}[q_0, q_1, \ldots, q_k] \) be a weighted projective space with \( \gcd(q_0, \ldots, q_k) = 1 \) and \( \delta = \text{lcm}(q_0, \ldots, q_k) \). Then for each \( 0 \leq j \leq k \), by \( \frac{\delta}{q_j} D_j \) is a generator of \( \text{Pic}(Y) \) and 
\[ -K_Y = \sum \frac{q_j}{\delta} \left( \frac{\delta}{q_j} D_j \right). \]
So taking \( D = \frac{\delta}{q_j} D_j \) we get that 
\[ K_Y - (k - 1)D \text{ is ample if and only if } \frac{\sum q_i}{\delta} \geq k. \]
\( \triangle \)

Lemma 2.7. Let \( \mathbb{P}_\Sigma \) be a Fano projective simplicial toric 3-fold. Then every general nef Cartier divisor with \( \rho(D) \leq 4 \) is toric.

Proof. By the adjunction formula \( D \) is Fano and being nef is smooth by Bertini’s theorem. The smooth Fano surfaces are either \( \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \) which is toric or the projective plane blown up in at most 8 points. Since \( \rho(D) < 4 \), \( D \) is the blow up of \( \mathbb{P}^2 \) in at most 3 points. Applying an appropriate automorphism we can take these at most 3 points to the 3 toric points of \( \mathbb{P}^2 \), making \( D \) isomorphic to a toric variety.

Macaulay’s theorem. A generalization of the classical Macaulay theorem can be obtained from the restriction Theorem 2.4. Let \( W \subset H^0(\mathcal{O}_Y(nD)) \) be a subsystem and let \( k_n, k_{n-1}, \ldots, k_\delta \) be the Macaulay coefficients of its codimension \( c \); let \( W_1 \) be the image of the multiplication map \( W \otimes H^0(\mathcal{O}_Y(D)) \to H^0(\mathcal{O}_Y(n+1)D)) \), and \( c_1 \) be the codimension of its image. Let us denote 
\[ c^{<n>} := \binom{k_n + 1}{n+1} + \cdots + \binom{k_\delta + 1}{\delta + 1}, \]
which has the next elementary properties

- if \( c' \leq c \) then \( c^{<n>}_1 \leq c^{<n>} \), i.e., the map \( c \mapsto c^{<n>} \) is non-decreasing
- \( (c + 1)^{<n>} = \begin{cases} c^{<n>} + k_1 + 1 & \text{if } \delta = 1 \\ c^{<n>} + 1 & \text{if } \delta > 1 \end{cases} \)

Theorem 2.8 (Generalized Macaulay’s Theorem). \( c_1 \leq c^{<n>} \)

Proof. Let \( l_{n+1}, l_n, \ldots, l_\delta \) be the \( (n + 1) \)-th Macaulay coefficients od \( c_1 \); then 
\[ (c_1)_D \leq c^{<n>} = \binom{l_{n+1} - 1}{n + 1} + \cdots + \binom{l_\delta - 1}{\delta} \]
and by the sequence obtained by restriction it follows that 
\[ c_1 \leq c + (c_1)_D \]
so that 
\[ \binom{l_{n+1} - 1}{n} + \cdots + \binom{l_\delta - 1}{\delta - 1} \leq c \]
and then 
\[ \binom{l_{n+1}}{n + 1} + \cdots + \binom{l_\delta}{\delta} = c_1 \leq c^{<n>} \]
\( \square \)
3 Cox-Gorenstein ideals

To any normal complete variety $Y$ with free finitely generated class group $\text{Cl}(Y)$ one can associate a Cox ring (see [1], Construction 4.1.1):

**Definition 3.1.**

$$S(Y) := \bigoplus_{D \in \text{Cl}(Y)} H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(D))$$

**Example 3.2.** Let $Y$ be a smooth projective variety with $\text{Pic}(Y)_\mathbb{R} = N^1(Y)$. Then, $Y$ is a toric variety if and only if its Cox ring is a polynomial ring (see [10]).

**Example 3.3.** The Cox ring need not be finitely generated; a counterexample is provided by a K3 surface with Picard number 20 [13].

It what follows we will assume that the Cox ring of $Y$ is finitely generated.

**Definition-Proposition 3.4 (Irrelevant Ideal).** Let $D$ be an ample Cartier divisor on $Y$ and let $R_D = \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} S(Y)_mD$. The irrelevant ideal is defined as

$$B(Y, D) := \sqrt{J_{Y,D}}$$

where $J_{Y,D} = \langle R_D \rangle$. Actually $B(Y, D)$ it is independent of the choice of the ample Cartier divisor $D$, so we denote it $B(Y)$ (see [1]).

Let us recall that in the case of a toric variety $\mathbb{P}_\Sigma$ associated with a fan $\Sigma$ one can explicitly describe a set of generators of the irrelevant ideal: for every cone $\sigma$ of maximal dimension one picks a generator

$$x_\sigma = \prod_{\rho \in \sigma(1)} x_\rho$$

where $x_\rho$ is the variable of the Cox ring associated with the ray $\rho$.

**Definition 3.5 (Cox-Gorenstein Ideals).** An ideal $I \subset B_\Sigma \subset S$ is a Cox-Gorenstein ideal of socle degree $N \in \text{Cl}(\Sigma)$ if $I$ is Artinian and there exists a nonzero linear map $\Lambda \in (S^N)^\vee$ such that for every ample class $\beta \in \text{Cl}(\Sigma)$ one has

$$I^\beta = \{ P \in B_\Sigma^\beta \mid \Lambda(PQ) = 0 \text{ for all } Q \in S^{N-\beta} \}$$

Note that the linear map $\Lambda$ induces a dual isomorphism

$$B_\Sigma^\beta/I^\beta \cong B_\Sigma^{N-\beta}/I^{N-\beta}$$

for every $\beta$ such that $N - \beta$ is ample. In particular $\text{codim } I^\beta = \text{codim } I^{N-\beta}$.

**Remark 3.6.** For every projective simplicial toric variety, $S^\beta = B_\Sigma^\beta$ for every $\beta$ ample class by Theorem 9.15 in [2].
Proposition 3.7. If $I$ and $I'$ are two Cox-Gorenstein ideals with socle degree $N$ and $N'$ with $I \subset I'$, there exists $F \in B_{\Sigma}^{N-N'} \setminus I^{N-N'}$ such that $I' = (I : F)$.

Proof. Note that $N'$ is less than or equal to $N$, and $\Lambda$ induces an isomorphism

$$B_{\Sigma}^{N-N'} / I^{N-N'} \cong (B_{\Sigma}^{N'}/I^{N'})^\vee,$$

so that, as $\Lambda'$ (the linear map defining the ideal $I'$) yields a nonzero element in $(B_{\Sigma}^{N'}/I^{N'})^\vee$, if $[F]$ is the unique element in $B_{\Sigma}^{N-N'} / I^{N-N'}$, taking a representative $F \in B_{\Sigma}^{N-N'}$ we get

$$I' = \{Q \in B_{\Sigma} \mid QF \in I\}.$$  \hfill \blacksquare

Remark 3.8. Artinian monomial ideals can be characterized as those whose minimal generators have the form $x_i^{a_i}$ with $a_i > 0$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$ ([19], Def. 2.2.13). \hfill \triangle

Example 3.9. If $\mathbb{P}_\Sigma = \mathbb{P}^k$ one recovers the classical Gorenstein ideals. Other natural examples are the Artinian base point free ideals. \hfill \triangle

Example 3.10. The Hirzebruch surface $\mathbb{F}_r$ ($r \geq 0$) has fan

```
   u_1
  /   \  (-1, r)
 /     \
σ_4 ← u_2
   \   
  \  σ_1
   \ σ_3
   \ \ σ_2
   \ u_3
  \ u_4
```

Denoting by $D_i$ the toric divisor corresponding to $u_i$ the are the equivalences $D_1 \sim D_3$ $D_4 \sim rD_1 + D_2$, so that $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{H}_r) = \{D_1, D_2\}$. The generators of its irrelevant ideal are

$$x^\sigma_1 = x_1x_4, \ x^\sigma_2 = x_1x_2, \ x^\sigma_3 = x_2x_3, \ x^\sigma_4 = x_3x_4.$$  

Introducing variables

- $w := x^\sigma_1 = x_1x_4$ with $\deg w = (r + 1, 1)$
- $x := x^\sigma_2 = x_1x_2$ with $\deg x = (1, 1)$
- $y := x^\sigma_3 = x_2x_3$ with $\deg y = (1, 1)$
- $z := x^\sigma_4 = x_3x_4$ with $\deg z = (r + 1, 1)$
one can write $B(\Sigma) = \langle w, x, y, z \rangle$.

Let us consider a monomial ideal $I$ with minimal generators of the form $w^{d_1}, x^{d_2}, y^{d_3}, z^{d_4}$ with $d_i > 0$, i.e,

$$I = \langle w^{d_1}, x^{d_2}, y^{d_3}, z^{d_4} \rangle \text{ with } d_i > 0.$$ 

Let us check that $I$ is Cox-Gorenstein with socle degree

$$N = \deg(\frac{w^{d_1-x^{d_2}} y^{d_3} z^{d_4}}{wxyz}) = (d_1 - 1) \deg w + (d_2 - 1) \deg x + (d_3 - 1) \deg y + (d_4 - 1) \deg z.$$ 

Let $F = \frac{w^{d_1-x^{d_2}} y^{d_3} z^{d_4}}{wxyz} = w^{d_1-1} x^{d_2-1} y^{d_3-1} z^{d_4-1}$, which can be seen as one of the generators of $S^N$, and denote by $G_1, \ldots, G_s$ the other generators, i.e, $P \in S^N$ is $\sum_i a_i G_i + aF$. We define $\Lambda : P \mapsto a$.

Note that, if $R \in B(\Sigma)^\beta$, we have

$$\Lambda(RQ) \neq 0 \forall Q \in S^N \iff R = \sum_{k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4} a_{k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4} w^{k_1} x^{k_2} y^{k_3} z^{k_4} \text{ such that there exists } k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4 \text{ with } 0 < k_i < d_i,$$

or equivalently,

$$\Lambda(RQ) = 0 \iff R = \sum_{k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4} a_{k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4} w^{k_1} x^{k_2} y^{k_3} z^{k_4} \text{ such that } k_i \geq d_i \forall k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4,$$

i.e, $R \in I$.  

Remark 3.11. Note that in the above example $wy = xz$, so that $F = w^{d_1-1} x^{d_2-1} y^{d_3-1} z^{d_4-1}$ has different “representations” as a polynomial in $w, x, y, z$. For the construction of the linear map $\lambda$ it is important to fix one of them. 

**Example 3.12.** If $f \in B^\beta \subset S = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_r]$ is a very ample quasi-smooth hypersurface then $J(f) = \langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \ldots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_r} \rangle$ is a Cox-Gorenstein ideal with socle degree

$$N = \deg \frac{\prod_{i=1}^r \partial f/\partial x_i}{\prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma_{max}} x_{\sigma}}.$$ 

△

### 4 Applications of Macaulay’s theorem

In this section we prove some applications of Macaulay’s theorem to Cox-Gorenstein ideals. This generalizes some of the results in [15, 16] to the more general setting of odd-dimensional toric varieties, as opposed to odd-dimensional projective spaces, which is the case considered in [15, 16]. We assume that $(\mathbb{P}_\Sigma, D)$ is a strongly Fano variety and we denote $\deg D = \eta \in \text{Pic}(\mathbb{P}_\Sigma)$. 

△
Lemma 4.1. Let $W \subset H^0(\Omega_{\mathbb P^n}(n\eta))$ be a linear subspace whose base locus has dimension $k$ and degree $d$. Then

$$\text{codim}(W) \geq \binom{n+k+1}{k+1} - \binom{n-d+k+1}{k+1}$$

Proof. Let $Z$ be the base-locus of $W$ and $I_Z$ its ideal. Since $W \subset I_Z$ and $\text{codim} W \geq \text{codim} I^n_Z$ we can just prove that the result holds true for $\text{codim} I_Z^n$. We shall prove that by induction over $n$ and $k$. For $n = 0$ it is clear. For $k = 0$ and $n > 0$ we need to show that $\text{codim} I_Z^n \geq d$. Taking cohomology in the exact sequence

$$0 \to I_Z(rD) \to \mathcal O_{\mathbb P^n}(rD) \to \mathcal O_Z(rD) \to 0$$

we have

$$0 \to H^0(I_Z(rD)) \to H^0(\mathcal O_{\mathbb P^n}(rD)) \to H^0(\mathcal O_Z(rD)) \to H^1(I_Z(r)) \to \cdots$$

where by Serre’s vanishing theorem $H^1(I(rD)) = 0$ for $r \gg 0$. Thus

$$c := \text{codim} I_Z^D = h^0(\mathcal O_{\mathbb P^n}(rD)) - h^0(rD) = h^0(\mathcal O_Z(rD)) = d$$

as $Z$ has degree $d$. Taking $n > d$ and reasoning by contradiction we have $c < d < n$, so that

$$d = \binom{n}{n} + \cdots + \binom{n-(d-1)}{n-(d-1)} = 1 + \cdots + 1, \quad \text{d-times}$$

By applying the generalized Macaulay theorem and using the fact that the map $<n> : c \mapsto c^{<n>}$ is increasing, we have

$$c_1 \leq c^{<n>} < d \quad \text{where } c_1 = \text{codim } I_Z^{(n+1)D};$$

repeating the same argument replacing $c$ with $c_1$ we have

$$c_2 \leq c_1^{<n+1>} \leq (c^{<n>})^{<n+1>} < d \quad \text{where } c_2 = \text{codim } I_Z^{(n+2)D},$$

so that

$$c_r \leq (c^{<n>})^{<n+1> \cdots <n+r-1>} < d$$

which implies $c_r \leq d - 1$. This is a contradiction as $c_r = d$.

Now let us assume that the result is true for $n - 1$ and $k - 1$. To easy the notation we write $I^n_Z$ instead of $I_Z^n$.

Claim: Since $D$ is general, the multiplication for $x_D$

$$\mu_D : B^{(n-1)}/I_Z^{(n-1)} \to B^n/I^n_Z,$$

where $D = \text{div}_0(x_D)$, is injective.

In principle the base locus $Z$ may contain $D$ but since $D$ is general we may assume by Bertini’s theorem that $Z \cap D = \emptyset$, i.e., $\mu_D \neq 0$. Now, if $\mu(f) = 0$ then $f.x_D = 0$ and since $x_D \neq 0$ then $f = 0.$
We have a well defined surjective restriction map \((D \text{ is general}), B^n/I_Z^n \to B^n/I_{Z\cap D}^n\). There is a short exact sequence
\[
0 \to \ker r \xrightarrow{r} B^n/I_Z^n \to B^n/I_{Z\cap D}^n \to 0.
\]
It is clear that \(\ker r\) contains \(B^{n-1}/I_Z^{n-1}\). By induction we have
\[
\text{codim } I_Z^{n-1} \geq \binom{n+k}{k+1} - \binom{n-d+k}{k+1} \tag{6}
\]
and
\[
\text{codim } I_{Z\cap D}^n \geq \binom{n+k}{k} - \binom{n-d+k}{k} \tag{7}
\]
thus adding (6) and (7), and keeping in mind that \(\binom{n+1}{k} = \binom{n}{k} + \binom{n}{k-1}\), we get the result. \(\square\)

**Corollary 4.2.** Let \(W \subset H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k}(\eta))\) a subsystem whose base locus has dimension and degree greater than or equal to \(k\) and \(d\), respectively. Then for every \(x \leq \min(k,n)\) one has
\[
\text{codim } W \geq x \frac{(n-x)^k}{k!}
\]

**Proof.** Since \(\binom{n+k+1}{k+1} - \binom{n-d+k+1}{k+1} = \sum_{j=1}^{d} \binom{k+1+n-j}{n-j+1}\) applying the above lemma we get
\[
\sum_{j=1}^{d} \binom{k+1+n-j}{n-j+1} \geq \sum_{j=1}^{d} \frac{(k+1+n-j)\ldots(k-(k-1)+1+n-j)}{k!}
\]
\[
geq \sum_{j=1}^{d} \frac{(n-j)^k}{k!} \geq d \frac{(n-d)^k}{k!} \geq x \frac{(n-x)^k}{k!}
\]
\(\square\)

Since \(\mathbb{P}_k\) is \(\mathbb{Q}\)-factorial, i.e., for every Weil divisor \(D\) there is an integer number \(m\) such that \(mD\) is Cartier. We establish a preorder in \(N^1(\mathbb{P}_k) = \text{Pic}(\mathbb{P}_k) \otimes \mathbb{Q}\) by letting \(N < N'\) when \(N' - N\) is numerically effective.

**Proposition 4.3.** For every \(\varepsilon_1 > 0\) there exists \(\delta_1 > 0\) such that for every \(m \geq \frac{1}{\delta_1}\) and every real \(d \in [1, \delta_1 m]\), if a Cox-Gorenstein ideal \(I\) with socle degree \(N\) satisfies
\[
\bullet \quad \beta - \beta_0 \leq N - \beta = \eta \quad \text{with} \quad n \geq 1
\]
\[
\bullet \quad \text{codim } I^\beta \leq d \frac{m^k}{k!}
\]
where \(m = \max\{i \in \mathbb{N}^+ \mid i\eta \leq \beta\}\)

then

1. For every integer \(i \in \{0, \ldots, \lfloor \delta_1 m \rfloor\}\) one has
\[
\text{codim } I^{\beta - i\eta} \leq (1 + \varepsilon_1) d \frac{m^k}{k!}
\]
2. For every \( i \in \{0, \ldots, m\} \) one has
\[
\operatorname{codim} I^{\beta - i\eta} \leq 4^k d^k \frac{m^k}{k!}
\]

**Proof.** First note that since \( I \) is Gorenstein of socle degree \( N \),
\[
\operatorname{codim} I^{\beta - i\eta} = \operatorname{codim} I^{N-(\beta-i\eta)} = \operatorname{codim} I^{(n+i)\eta}.
\]
So by the generalized Macaulay theorem (2.8)
\[
\operatorname{codim} I^{\beta - i\eta} \leq (\operatorname{codim} I^{n\eta})^{<n-x-(x+i-1)}
\]
and since for a fixed \( c \) the map \( c \mapsto c^{<n} \) is decreasing, and for a fixed \( n \) the map \( c \mapsto c^{<n} \) is increasing, for every natural number \( x \leq n \)
\[
\operatorname{codim} I^{\beta - i\eta} \leq (\operatorname{codim} I^{n\eta})^{<x-(x+i-1)} \tag{8}
\]
Also note that if
\[
\operatorname{codim} I^{\beta} \leq \left(\frac{\tau + x}{x}\right) + \cdots + \left(\frac{\tau + x - v}{x - v}\right) \text{ where } \tau, v \in \mathbb{N} \tag{9}
\]
as the map \( c \mapsto c^{<n} \) is increasing, (8) and (9) imply
\[
\operatorname{codim} I^{\beta - i\eta} \leq \left(\frac{\tau + x + i}{x + i}\right) + \cdots + \left(\frac{\tau + x - v + i}{x - v + i}\right) \tag{10}
\]
Suppose that \( \delta_1 \) is small enough that \( d \leq \frac{m-2r}{2\gamma} \) for \( r = \min\{i \mid \beta \leq i\eta\} \). By assumption \( \beta - \beta_0 \leq n\eta \) i.e., \((m-r)\eta \leq n\eta\), so that
\[
\left\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \right\rfloor + 2^k d \leq \left\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \right\rfloor + \frac{m - 2r}{2} \leq m - r \leq n.
\]
Let \( \gamma \) be the smallest positive real number such that \((2 + \gamma)^k d \) is an integer and
\[
\left\lfloor \frac{m}{2 + \gamma} \right\rfloor + (2 + \gamma)^k d \leq n;
\]
then the inequality (8) is true for \( x = \left\lfloor \frac{m}{2 + \gamma} \right\rfloor + (2 + \gamma)^k d \). On the other hand,
\[
m^k \leq (\gamma + 2 + m)^k = \left(1 + \frac{m}{2 + \gamma}\right)^k \leq \left(1 + \left\lfloor \frac{m}{2 + \gamma} \right\rfloor \right)^k =
\]
\[
(2 + \left\lfloor \frac{m}{2 + \gamma} \right\rfloor)^k \leq (k + \left\lfloor \frac{m}{2 + \gamma} \right\rfloor) \cdots (2 + \left\lfloor \frac{m}{2 + \gamma} \right\rfloor) = \left(\frac{k + \frac{m}{2 + \gamma}}{\left(\frac{m}{2 + \gamma} + 1\right)}\right)!
\]
so that
\[
\frac{m^k}{k!} \leq \left(\frac{k + \left\lfloor \frac{m}{2 + \gamma} \right\rfloor}{\left\lfloor \frac{m}{2 + \gamma} \right\rfloor + 1}\right)
\]
and
\[
\frac{d^m k^k}{k!} \leq \left( k + \left[ \frac{m}{2+\gamma} \right] + (2+\gamma)^k d - 1 \right) + \cdots + \left( k + \left[ \frac{m}{2+\gamma} \right] + (2+\gamma)^k d \right)
\]
(2+\gamma)^k d - terms

Then by the second assumption we have that the inequality (9) is true for

- \( x = \left[ \frac{m}{2+\gamma} \right] + (2+\gamma)^k d \),
- \( \tau = k - 1 \),
- \( \upsilon = (2+\delta)^k t - 1 \);

thus inequality (10) holds, i.e.,
\[
\text{codim } I^{3-i\eta} \leq (1 + (m + (2+\gamma)^k + (2+\gamma) k + (2+\gamma) \delta_1)^k d, \frac{m^k}{k!})
\]
so that, given \( \epsilon_1 > 0 \), we take \( \delta_1 > 0 \) smaller enough such that
\[
((2+\gamma)^k + (2+\gamma) k + (2+\gamma)) \delta_1 \leq \epsilon_1,
\]
i.e., one gets claim 1 and taking \( 0 \leq i \leq m \) one gets claim 2.

**Definition 4.4.** Let \( I \subset B_\Sigma \) be an ideal. For \( i \in \{0, \ldots, 2k\} \) and a fixed \( n \in \mathbb{N}^+ \) we define
\[
l_i^n (I) := \min \{ l \in \mathbb{N} \cup \infty \mid \dim V(I^{(n+l)n}) \leq 2k - i \},
\]
or, equivalently,
\[
l_i^n (I) := \max \{ l \in \mathbb{N} \cup \infty \mid \dim V(I^{(n+l-1)n}) > 2k - i \}.
\]

We let \( \dim \varnothing = -1 \), and \( l_i = \infty \) when this number does not exist.

**Remark 4.5.**
- We shall write \( l_i(I) \) instead of \( l_i^n (I) \).
- Note that \( l_0(I) \leq \cdots \leq l_{2k}(I) \).
- If \( I \) is base point free, then \( l_{2k}(I) \in \mathbb{N} \).

\[\triangle\]
Lemma 4.6. For every \( \epsilon_2 > 0 \) there exists \( \delta_2 > 0 \) such that for every \( m \geq \frac{1}{\delta_2} \) and \( d \in [1, \delta_2 m] \), if a Cox-Gorenstein ideal \( I \subset B_\Sigma \) with socle degree \( N \) satisfies

- \( N - \beta = n\eta \)
- \( \text{codim } I^\beta \leq d\frac{m^k}{k!} \), where \( m = \max \{ i \in \mathbb{N}^+ \mid i\eta \leq \beta \} \),

then

\[
l_i(I) - 1 \leq \epsilon_2(m - 2) \ \forall i \in \{ k, \ldots, 2k \}.
\]

Proof. Note that it is enough to prove the Lemma for \( \epsilon_1 = 1 \), and the Corollary for \( x = 1 \). Then for \( l = \min(l_k(I) - 1, m) \) we have

\[
\frac{(l - 1)^{k+1}}{(k+1)!} \leq \text{codim } I^{l_k} \leq 4^k d\frac{m^k}{k!}
\]

so that

\[
l \leq 1 + \left(4^k d\right) m \left(\frac{m}{k!}\right)
\]

and since \( 2 \leq m \delta_2 \),

\[
l \leq (3\delta_2 + (4^k (k + 1) \delta_2)} m - 2.
\]

So, given \( \epsilon_2 > 0 \), we take \( \delta_2 \) small enough to have \( 3\delta_2 + (4^k (k + 1) \delta_2) m < \min\{1, \epsilon_2\} \); then \( l < m \) i.e \( l = l_k(I) - 1 \) or, in other words, \( l_k(I) - 1 < \epsilon_2 m - 2 \), and taking \( \epsilon_2 \leq 1 \) we get that \( l_k(I) - 1 < \epsilon_2(m - 2) \) as desired. \( \blacksquare \)

The following Proposition will be the technical core of what follows.

Proposition 4.7. For every \( \epsilon > 0 \) there exists \( \eta > 0 \) such that for every integer \( m > \frac{1}{\delta} \) and for every \( d \in [1, \delta m] \), if a Cox-Gorenstein ideal \( I \subset B_\Sigma \subset \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_r] \) with socle degree \( N \) satisfies

- \( N = (k + 1)\beta - \beta_0 \) and \( N - \beta = n\eta \);
- \( I \) contains \( r \) polynomials in complete intersection \( \{ F_i \}_{i=1}^r \) with \( \text{deg } F_i = \beta - \text{deg } x_i \) and whose associated ideal is base point free;
- \( \text{codim } I^\beta \leq d\frac{m^k}{k!} \), where \( m = \max \{ i \in \mathbb{N}^+ \mid i\eta \leq \beta \} \),

then \( I \) contains the ideal \( I_V \) of a closed scheme \( V \subset \mathbb{P}_\Sigma \) of pure dimension \( k \) and degree less than or equal to \( (1 + \epsilon)d \). Moreover, \( I \) and \( I_V \) coincide in degree less than or equal to \( (m - 2 - (r - j) \text{deg } V) \eta \).

Proof. By definition \( \text{dim } V(I^i_k(I)) \leq k \), so that there exist \( j \in \mathbb{N}^+ \) and \( f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_{r-j} \in I^i_k(I) \) such that \( \text{dim } V(< f_1, \ldots, f_{r-j} >) = k \); more precisely, note that \( j = k + 1 \). Moreover, as
\( I \) satisfies the assumptions of the previous Lemma, \( f_1, f_2, \ldots f_{r-j} \in I^{r-j+2} \), and by the second assumption it is possible to find \( r - j \) polynomials \( f_{r-j+1}, \ldots, f_r \), where \( \text{deg}(f_i) = \beta - \text{deg}(x_i) \ (i > j) \), so that the ideal \(< f_1, \ldots, f_r >\) is base point free and it is a Cox-Gorentein ideal of socle degree

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{r-j} \text{deg}(f_i) - \text{deg}(x_i) + \sum_{i=r-j+1}^{r} \text{deg}(f_i) - \text{deg}(x_i) \leq (r-j)((m-2)\frac{\epsilon_2}{2} + 1)\eta + j\beta - \beta_0
\]

Now, by Proposition 3.6 there exists a polynomial \( P \) with

\[
\text{deg} P \leq (r-j)((m-2)\frac{\epsilon_2}{2} + 1)\eta + j\beta - \beta_0 - N = (r-j)((m-2)\frac{\epsilon_2}{2} + 1)\eta
\]

and \( I = ((f_1, \ldots f_r) : P) \). Moreover \( I \) and \( J = ((f_1, \ldots f_{r-j}) : P) \) coincide in degree less than or equal to

\[
\beta - 2\eta - \text{deg} P \geq (m-2)\eta - (r-j)((m-2)\frac{\epsilon_2}{2} + 1)\eta \leq (m-2)\eta - (r-j)((m-2)\epsilon_2)\eta;
\]

the last inequality is true when \( \delta_2 < \frac{\epsilon_2}{2} \) and \( \frac{1}{\delta_2} + 2 \leq m \). Now let us consider \( l = \left( 1 - (r-j)\epsilon_2 \right)(m-2) \) and let us apply the previous results to \( I^l \). Then for every \( x \leq \min(\text{deg} V, (r-j)\epsilon_2 m) \leq \min(k,l) \)

\[
x \frac{(l-x)^k}{k!} \leq \text{codim} I^l \leq (1 + \epsilon_1)d \frac{m^k}{k!}
\]

and

\[
x (1 - \frac{\left| \epsilon_2(r-t)m + x \right|}{m})^k \leq (1 + \epsilon_1)d
\]

so that

\[
x \leq \frac{(1 + \epsilon_1)}{(1 - 2\epsilon_2(r-j))} d;
\]

then, given \( 0 < \epsilon < 1 \) and taking \( \epsilon_1 \) and \( \epsilon_2 \) so that

\[
\frac{(1 + \epsilon_1)}{(1 - 2\epsilon_2(r-j))} d \leq (1 + \epsilon)d,
\]

one has \( x \leq (1 + \epsilon)d \leq 2d < 2\delta m \). Thus taking \( \eta < \frac{\epsilon_2}{2} \) we have \( x < \epsilon_2m \leq (r-j)\epsilon_2 m \), i.e., \( x = \text{deg} V \) and \( \text{deg} V \leq (1 + \epsilon)d \). Moreover, \( I \) and \( I^l \) coincide in degree less than or equal to

\[
(m - 2 - (r-j)\text{deg} V)\eta
\]

\[\square\]

5 The tangent space to the Noether Lefschetz Locus

Since \( \mathbb{P}^{2k+1} \) has a pure Hodge structure \([20, 23]\), there is a well defined residue map for it, and we can use it to construct the tangent space at a point of the Noether-Lefschetz locus. This
is again basically done as in [10], however we provide more details, and use the properties of the residue map as developed in [2] for simplicial toric varieties.

Let $X = \{ f = 0 \}$ be a quasi-smooth hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}_\Sigma$, with $\deg f = \beta$. Denote by $i : X \to \mathbb{P}_\Sigma$ the inclusion, and by $i^* : H^\bullet(\mathbb{P}_\Sigma^{2k+1}, \mathbb{Q}) \to H^\bullet(X, \mathbb{Q})$ the associated morphism in cohomology; $i^* : H^{2k}(\mathbb{P}_\Sigma^{2k+1}, \mathbb{Q}) \to H^{2k}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ is injective by Proposition 10.8 in [2].

**Definition 5.1.** The primitive cohomology group $H^{2k}_{\text{prim}}(X)$ is the quotient

$$H^{2k}(X, \mathbb{Q})/i^*(H^{2k}(\mathbb{P}_\Sigma^{2k+1}, \mathbb{Q}))$$

Both $H^{2k}(\mathbb{P}_\Sigma^{2k+1}, \mathbb{Q})$ and $H^{2k}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ have pure Hodge structures, and the morphism $i^*$ is compatible with them, so that $H^{2k}_{\text{prim}}$ inherits a pure Hodge structure.

Also, we shall denote by $M$ the dual lattice of the lattice $N$ which contains the fan $\Sigma$, i.e., $\Sigma \subset N \otimes \mathbb{R}$.

**Definition 5.2.** Fix an integer basis $m_1, \ldots, m_{2k+1}$ for the lattice $M$. Then given a subset $I = \{ i_1, \ldots, i_{2k+1} \} \subset \{ 1, \ldots, \# \rho(1) \}$, where $\# \rho(1)$ is the number of rays, we define

$$\det(e_i) := \det\binom{<m_j,e_{ih}>}{1 \leq j, h \leq 2k+1};$$

moreover, $dx_i = dx_{i1} \wedge \ldots \wedge dx_{i_{2k+1}}$ and $\hat{x}_i = \Pi_{i \in I} x_i$.

**Definition 5.3.** The $(2k+1)$-form $\Omega_0 \in \Omega_S^{2k+1}$ is defined as

$$\Omega_0 := \sum_{|i|=2k+1} \det(e_i) \hat{x}_i dx_i$$

where the sum is over all subsets $I \subset \{ 1, \ldots, 2k + 1 \}$ with $2k + 1$ elements.

For more details about these definitions see [2].

**Theorem 5.4.** $T[f](NT^{k,\beta}_{\lambda,U}) \simeq E^3$, where

$$E = \{ K \in B(\Sigma)^\bullet \mid \sum_{i=1}^b \lambda_i \int_{\text{Tub}\gamma_i} \frac{KR\Omega_0}{f^{k+1}} = 0 \text{ for all } R \in S^{N-\bullet} \},$$

and $\text{Tub}(\cdot)$ is the adjoint of the residue map.

**Proof.** By [4] Prop. 2.10 the $p$-th residue map

$$r_p : H^0(\mathbb{P}_\Sigma, \Omega_S^{2k+1}(2k + 1 - p)X) \to H^{p,2k-p}_{\text{prim}}(X) \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \leq p \leq 2k$$

exists; it is surjective and has kernel $H^0(\mathbb{P}_\Sigma, \Omega_S^{2k+1}(2k - p)X) + dH^0(\mathbb{P}_\Sigma, \Omega_S^{2k}(2k - p)X)$. So

$$\text{res} H^0(\Omega^{2k+1}(2k + 1)X) = r_{2k} H^0(\Omega^{2k+1}(X)) \oplus \cdots \oplus r_0 H^0(\Omega^{2k+1}(2k + 1)X)$$
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by definition of $H^0(\Omega^{2k+1}(2k+1)X)$. Or, equivalently,
\[
\text{res } H^0(\Omega^{2k+1}(2k+1)X) = H^{2k,0}_{\text{prim}}(X) \oplus \cdots \oplus H^{0,2k}_{\text{prim}}(X) = H^{2k}_{\text{prim}}(X).
\]
Similarly
\[
\text{res } H^0(\Omega^{2k+1}(kX) = F^{k+1}H^{2k}_{\text{prim}}(X).
\]
On the other hand by \cite[Thm 9.7]{2} we have
\[
H^0(\Omega^{2k+1}(kX) = \left\{ \frac{K\Omega_0}{f^k} \mid K \in S^{k\beta-\beta_0} \right\} = \left\{ \frac{K\Omega_0}{f^k} \mid K \in B^{k\beta-\beta_0} \right\};
\]
the last equality holds true because we are assuming that $k\beta-\beta_0$ is ample and hence $B^{k\beta-\beta_0}$ by Lemma 9.15 in \cite{2}.

Now fixing a basis $\{\gamma_i\}_{i=1}^b$ for $H_{2k}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ we have that the components of any element in $F^{k+1}H^{2k}_{\text{prim}}(X)$ are
\[
(\int_{\gamma_1} \text{res } \frac{K\Omega_0}{f^k}, \ldots, \int_{\gamma_b} \text{res } \frac{K\Omega_0}{f^k}),
\]
or, equivalently,
\[
(\int_{\text{Tub}(\gamma_1)} \frac{K\Omega_0}{f^k}, \ldots, \int_{\text{Tub}(\gamma_b)} \frac{K\Omega_0}{f^k})
\]
where $\text{Tub}(\gamma_j)$ is the adjoint to the residue map. Now taking $0 \neq \lambda_f \in H^{k,k}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ one has $\lambda_f \perp F^{k+1}H^{2k}_{\text{prim}}(X)$ (see \cite{22}) and since the sheaf $\mathcal{H}^{2k}$ is constant on $U$ we have
\[
NL_{\lambda_f} = \{G \in U \mid \lambda_G \in F^{k}H^{2k}_{\text{prim}}(X_G)\} = \{G \in U \mid \lambda_f \perp F^{k+1}H^{2k}_{\text{prim}}(X_G)\}.
\]
More explicitly, if $(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_b)$ are the components of $\lambda_f$, one gets
\[
\lambda_f \perp F^{k+1}H^{2k}_{\text{prim}}(X_G) \iff \sum_{i=1}^b \lambda_i \int_{\text{Tub}(\gamma_i)} \frac{K\Omega_0}{f^k} = 0 \forall K \in S^{N-\beta}
\]
where $N$ is equal to $(k+1)\beta-\beta_0$. Thus we can characterize the local Noether-Lefschetz locus in the following way:

Let us consider the differentiable map $\psi$ which assigns to every homogeneous polynomial $G \in B^\beta_{\Sigma}$ a linear map $\psi_G \in (B^\beta_{\Sigma})^\vee$, i.e., $\psi : B^\beta_{\Sigma} \to (B^\beta_{\Sigma})^\vee$ sends $G$ to
\[
\psi_G : B^{N-\beta} \to \mathbb{C} \quad K \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^b \lambda_i \int_{\text{Tub}(\gamma_i)} \frac{K\Omega_0}{f^k};
\]
then $NL_{\lambda_f} = \psi^{-1}_f(0)$, hence the tangent space at $f$ is the kernel of $d\psi_f$. Now $T(f)U \simeq S_\beta$ and since $\beta$ is ample, $S_\beta = B^\beta$. Thus we can identified canonically $T(f)(NL_{\lambda_f})$ with the subspace $E^\beta \subset B^\beta_{\Sigma}$, which is the $\beta$-summand of the Cox-Gorenstein ideal
\[
E = \{K \in B^\beta_{\Sigma} \mid \forall R \in S^{N-\bullet}, \sum_{i=1}^b \lambda_i \int_{\text{Tub}(\gamma_i)} \frac{KR\Omega_0}{f^{k+1}} = 0\}
\]
whose socle degree is $N = (k+1)\beta-\beta_0$. \hfill \qedsymbol
Proposition 5.6. We also consider the Cox-Gorenstein ideals

\[ E_s := \{ K \in B_{\Sigma}^* | \forall R \in S^{N+r+\beta-i\eta}, \lambda_i \int_{\text{Tub} \gamma_i} \frac{KR\Omega_0}{f^{k+r+1}} = 0 \} \]

with \( s \in \mathbb{N}^+ \), which have socle degree \( N + r+\beta \). For a fixed \( s \), the ideal \( E_s \) describes the deformation of order \( s+1 \) of \( NL_{\lambda, U}^{k, \beta} \) in a neighborhood of \( f \).

**Remark 5.5.** Note that \( E \) contains the Jacobian ideal \( J(f) \) which is Cox-Gorenstein. \( \triangle \)

**Proposition 5.6.** The Cox-Gorenstein ideals \( E_s \) have the following properties:

1. \( E_s = (E_{s+1}:f) \);
2. If \( f \) is a generic point of \( NL_{\lambda, U}^{k, \beta} \), then \( (E_r)^2 \Theta \subset E_{s+1} \), where \( \Theta \subset S_\beta = B_{\Sigma}^2 \) is the image of the tangent space \( T_f(N_{\Lambda, U})^{\text{red}} \);
3. For every \( K \in E_s \), we have \( \text{ker} Q_s(G) = E_s(G)^{N+r+\beta-i\eta} \), where \( E_s(G) \) is the Cox-Gorenstein ideal associated to the class \( \lambda_G \). Since \( f \) is a quasi-smooth point of \( NL_{\lambda, U}^{k, \beta} \), the map \( G \mapsto Q_s(G) \) has constant rank for every \( G \) close to \( f \). So for each \( \bar{v} \in T_f(N_{\Lambda, U})^{\text{red}} \) associated to \( M \in \Theta \) the differential of the bilinear map

\[ dQ_s(f)(\bar{v}) : B_{\Sigma}^{N+r+\beta-i\eta} \to \mathbb{C} \]

\[ (K, R) \mapsto -(k + s + 2) \sum_{i=1}^{b} \lambda_i \int_{\text{Tub} \gamma_i} \frac{KR\Omega_0}{f^{k+r+1}} \]

is zero on \( E_s^{\eta} \times E_s^{N+r+\beta-i\eta} \), or, in other words, \( E_s^{\eta}E_s^{N+r+\beta-i\eta} \Theta \subset E_s^{N+r+(s+1)\beta} \).

3. Given \( K \in E_s \), for every \( R \in B_{\Sigma}^{N+s+\beta-i\eta-\text{deg}(K)} \) we have

\[ R \left( \frac{\partial K}{\partial x_i} f - (k + s + 1)K \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \right) = \frac{\partial (KR) \Omega_0}{\partial x_i} f - (k + r + 1)KR \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} - K F \frac{\partial R}{\partial x_i} \]

Note that \( \frac{\partial \Omega_0}{\partial x_i} \) is an exact form in the kernel of the residue map, so that \( A \in E_{s+1} \). By assumption \( K \frac{\partial K}{\partial x_i} \in E_s \), so \( B \in E_{s+1} \) by the first property. Thus \( R \left( \frac{\partial K}{\partial x_i} f - (k + r + 1)K \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \right) \in E_{s+1} \) and since \( R \) is arbitrary we get the result. \( \square \)
6 Proof of the Main Theorem

Now we have all the machinery necessary to prove our main result.

**Theorem 6.1.** For every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $m \geq \frac{1}{\delta}$ and for all $d \in [1, m\delta]$, if $\text{codim } N_{k,\beta}^{\lambda,\delta} \leq \delta^{m^2}$ where $m = \max\{i \mid i\beta \leq \beta\}$ and if $G \in N_{k,\beta}^{\lambda,\delta}$, then there exists a $k$-dimensional subvariety $V \subset X_G$ with degree less than or equal to $(1 + \epsilon)d$.

**Proof.** If $f$ is a generic point in $(NL_{k,\beta}^{\lambda,\delta})^{\text{red}}$, by proposition 4.7 there exists a subscheme $V \subset \mathbb{P}_\Sigma$ of pure dimension $k$ and degree $d' \leq (1 + \epsilon)d \leq 2\delta m$ such that $I_V \subset E$; the two ideals in degree less or equal to $(m - 2 - (r - j)d')\eta$, so it is enough to prove that $f \in \sqrt{I_V}$. Moreover

**Claim 1.** $(I_V^{d'\eta})^2 \subset E_1$.

Let $R \notin E_1$ then $(E_1 : R)$ has socle degree greater than or equal to $N + \beta - \deg R \geq N + \beta - 2d'\eta \geq N + (1 - 4\delta)m\eta$.

Now by (ii) in Proposition 5.6 we have $\Theta \subset (E_1 : R)$, and by assumption $\text{codim } (\Theta) \leq \delta^{m^2} k!$, so then $\text{codim } (E_1 : R)^\beta \leq \delta^{m^2} k!$, i.e., $(E_1 : R)$ satisfies the assumptions of lemma 4.6. Then taking $\epsilon_2 = \frac{1}{2(r - (k + 1))}$ and $\delta_2 = \delta < \frac{1}{4(r - (k + 1))}$ we get

$$\frac{m - 2}{2} \eta + N \geq N + (1 - 4\delta)m\eta,$$

which implies $\delta > \frac{1}{8}$. Since

$$r - (k + 1) \geq k + 1 \iff \frac{1}{4(k + 1)} \geq \frac{1}{4(r - (k + 1))}$$

so that $\delta < \frac{1}{8}$, which is a contradiction. So one has $R \in E_1$ as desired.

**Claim 2.** $f \in \sqrt{I_V}$.

Since $V$ is of pure dimension $k$, it is enough to show that $f \in \sqrt{I_W}$ for every irreducible subscheme $W$ of $V$ associated to the primary ideal decomposition of $I_V$. Let $W'$ be the smallest subscheme of $V$ such that $I_V = I_W \cap I_{W'}$, and let $P \subset \mathbb{P}_\Sigma$ be a projective linear space of dimension $k - 1$, for which we can suppose without loss of generality that it has equations $x_1 = \ldots, x_{r-k} = 0$ and we set $B_P = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_{r-k}]$. Since $W$ and $W'$ are of pure dimension $k$, the homogeneous ideals $I_W \cap B_P \subset B_P$ and $I_{W'} \cap B_P \subset B_P$ are of pure codimension 1 for $P$. 
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generic; therefore they are principal. Let \( K_{P,W} \) and \( K_{P,W'} \) be the images of the generators in \( B_\Sigma \). Let \( \kappa = \deg K_{P,W} \) and \( \kappa' = \deg K'_{P,W} \); by construction we have that \( \kappa \leq \deg W \) and \( \kappa' \leq \deg W' \). Considering \( K_P = K_{P,W}K'_{P,W'} \), we have \( K_P \in E, K_P \notin E_1 \), so that the ideal \( (E_1 : K_P) \) has socle degree \( N + \beta - (\kappa + 2\kappa') \) and moreover contains the ideal

\[
J_P = \left( f, I_W^{\deg W}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{r-k+1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_r} \right).
\]

More precisely, the following facts hold true:

- \( K_P \in E \) as \( \kappa + 2\kappa' \leq m - 2 - (r - j)d' \);
- \( K_P \notin E_1 \). Otherwise, \( (k + r + 1)K_P \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \in E_1 \) and then, using property (iii) of Proposition 5.6 \( \frac{\partial K_P}{\partial x_i} \in E \) for all \( i \); however, by construction not all partial derivatives of \( K_P \) are in \( E \), so this is a contradiction.
- \( J_P \subset (E_1 : K_P) \); indeed, as \( \frac{\partial K_P}{\partial x_{r-k+1}} = 0, \ldots, \frac{\partial K_P}{\partial x_r} = 0 \) then \( (E_1 : K_P) \) contains \( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{r-k+1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_r} \) by property 3 of proposition 2. On the other hand by lemma 2 we have \( ((I_V)^{d_2}) \supset E_2^{d_2} \) and since \( I_W^{\deg W} K_P \subset (I_V)^{d_2} \), we have \( I_W^{\deg W} \subset (E_1 : K_P)^{d_2} \).

Now by contradiction, if \( f \notin I_W \), then \( \dim V(f, I_W^{\deg W}) \leq k - 1 \), and moreover \( J_P \) contains a Cox-Gorentein ideal with socle degree less than or equal to \( N + (k + 1)d' \). On the other hand, \( (E_1 : K_P) \) has socle degree greater than or equal to \( N + \beta - 2d' \), so that

\[
N + (r - (k + 1))2d' \geq N + (r - (k + 1))d' \geq N + \beta - 2d' \geq N + (1 - 4\delta)\eta
\]

which implies that \( \delta \geq \frac{1}{2(r-(k+1)+2)} \geq \frac{1}{2(k+\beta)} \), contradicting our choice of \( \delta \). Thus \( f \in I_W \).
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