

# UNIQUENESS OF A THREE-DIMENSIONAL ELLIPSOID WITH GIVEN INTRINSIC VOLUMES

FEDOR PETROV<sup>†,\*</sup>, AND ALEXANDER TARASOV<sup>†</sup>

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be an ellipsoid in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . A. Gusakova and D. Zaporozhets conjectured that  $\mathcal{E}$  is uniquely (up to rigid motions) determined by its intrinsic volumes. We prove this conjecture for  $n = 3$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

**1.1. Intrinsic volumes.** For a bounded convex set  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  the *intrinsic volumes*  $V_0(K), \dots, V_n(K)$  are defined as the coefficients in the Steiner formula

$$(1) \quad \text{Vol}(K + tB_n) = \sum_{k=0}^n \kappa_{n-k} V_k(K) t^{n-k},$$

where  $B_n$  denotes the Euclidean unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\kappa_k = \pi^{k/2}/\Gamma(\frac{k}{2} + 1)$  denotes the volume of  $B_k$ , and  $\text{Vol}$  denotes the  $n$ -dimensional volume. Kubota's formula states that

$$(2) \quad V_k(K) = \binom{n}{k} \frac{\kappa_n}{\kappa_k \kappa_{n-k}} \int_{G_{n,k}} \text{Vol}_k(p_\nu(K)) d\omega(\nu), \quad 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

Here  $G_{n,k}$  denotes the Grassmannian of all  $k$ -dimensional linear subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ;  $p_\nu(K)$  denotes the orthogonal projection of  $K$  to  $\nu \in G_{n,k}$ ;  $d\omega$  is the  $O(n)$ -invariant probabilistic measure on  $G_{n,k}$  (see [3], 19.3.2).

In particular, if  $k = 1, n-1, n$ , then  $V_k(K)$  coincides up to a constant factor with the so-called mean width, surface area and the  $n$ -dimensional volume of  $K$ .

It is clear that in general the convex body can not be determined by the sequence of its intrinsic volumes, but this may be expected to be the case for certain natural  $n$ -parametric families of convex bodies. For rectangular parallelepipeds, their intrinsic volumes up to constant factor are elementary symmetric functions of the edge lengths. Hence by Vieta theorem the edge lengths are the roots of the corresponding polynomial. Therefore we can uniquely recover the edge lengths of the rectangular parallelepiped by its intrinsic volumes.

Anna Gusakova and Dmitry Zaporozhets (2017) conjectured the uniqueness in the class of ellipsoids:

**Conjecture 1.** *If  $\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2$  are two ellipsoids in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $V_i(\mathcal{E}_1) = V_i(\mathcal{E}_2)$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , then  $\mathcal{E}_1$  and  $\mathcal{E}_2$  are congruent.*

For  $n = 1$  and  $n = 2$  this conjecture is quite simple. The main goal of this note is to prove it in dimension 3, when it can be formulated as follows.

<sup>†</sup>St. Petersburg State University. 29b, the 14th line of the Vasilievsky Island. St. Petersburg, Russia.

<sup>\*</sup> St. Petersburg Department of Steklov Mathematical Institute of Russian Academy of Sciences. 27, Fontanka. St. Petersburg, Russia.

e-mails: f.v.petrov@spbu.ru, science.tarasov@gmail.com.

December 14, 2021.

**Theorem 1.** *If the volume, surface area and mean width of two ellipsoids in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  are the same, then the ellipsoids are congruent.*

**1.2. Explicit formulas for intrinsic volumes of ellipsoids.** Using Tsirelson's formula (see [2] or Theorem 1.9 in [1] for details) one can obtain the following expression for intrinsic volumes of ellipsoid  $\mathcal{E}$  with semiaxes  $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^n$ :

$$(3) \quad V_m(\mathcal{E}) = \frac{(2\pi)^{m/2}}{m!} \mathbb{E} \sqrt{\det(MM^\top)},$$

where the random rows  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m \in \mathbb{R}^m$  are i.i.d.  $\sim \mathcal{N}(0, \text{diag}(a_1^2, \dots, a_n^2))$  and  $M$  is the  $m \times n$  matrix whose rows are  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m$ . In other words,  $\sqrt{\det(MM^\top)}$  is the  $m$ -dimensional volume of the parallelepiped with the edge vectors  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m$ .

Taking  $n = 3$ ,  $m = 1$  in (3) we obtain the expression for the mean width of  $\mathcal{E}$ :

$$(4) \quad V_1(\mathcal{E}) = \sqrt{2\pi} \mathbb{E} \sqrt{\langle \xi_1, \xi_1 \rangle} = \sqrt{2\pi} \mathbb{E} \sqrt{a_1^2 x^2 + a_2^2 y^2 + a_3^2 z^2}, \quad x, y, z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1).$$

The next relation (see [1], prop. 4.8) states a duality between  $V_k$  and  $V_{n-k}$ . Consider the following ellipsoids in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ :

$$\mathcal{E} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 x_i^2 \leq 1\}, \quad \mathcal{E}^* = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i^2}{a_i^2} \leq 1\}.$$

Then

$$V_k(\mathcal{E}) = \frac{\kappa_k}{\kappa_n \kappa_{n-k}} V_n(\mathcal{E}) V_{n-k}(\mathcal{E}^*).$$

Again, taking  $n = 3$  and  $k = 2$ , we obtain

$$(5) \quad V_2(\mathcal{E}) = \frac{\pi}{2} a_1 a_2 a_3 V_1(\mathcal{E}^*) = \frac{\pi^{3/2}}{\sqrt{2}} a_1 a_2 a_3 \mathbb{E} \sqrt{\frac{1}{a_1^2} x^2 + \frac{1}{a_2^2} y^2 + \frac{1}{a_3^2} z^2}, \quad x, y, z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1).$$

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Now we have the explicit formulas for intrinsic volumes of ellipsoids in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . From now on we will use the notation  $(a, b, c)$  for semiaxes of ellipsoids instead of  $(a_1, a_2, a_3)$ .

We parametrize the family of three-dimensional ellipsoids by semiaxes and so we identify it with  $\mathbb{R}_+^3$ .

**Definition 1.** *The function  $V$  is given by*

$$(6) \quad V(a, b, c) = \left( V_1(a, b, c), V_2(a, b, c), V_3(a, b, c) \right),$$

where  $V_j(a, b, c)$ ,  $j = 1, 2, 3$ , is the  $j$ -th intrinsic volume of the ellipsoid with semiaxes  $a, b, c$ .

This parameterization of ellipsoids by semiaxes is not bijective on  $\mathbb{R}_+^3$ , but becomes bijective if we restrict it to the set of parameters  $\{(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{R}_+^3 : a \geq b \geq c\}$ .

Fix a point  $(a_0, b_0, c_0) \in \mathbb{R}_+^3$  such that

$$(7) \quad a_0 > b_0 \geq c_0 \quad \text{or} \quad a_0 \geq b_0 > c_0$$

For  $i \in \{1, 3\}$  let  $M_{V_i} = M_{V_i}(a_0, b_0, c_0)$  denote the level set of  $V_i$ :

$$M_{V_i} = \{(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{R}_+^3 : V_i(a, b, c) = V_i(a_0, b_0, c_0)\}.$$

Then  $M_{V_3}$  is a smooth 2-dimensional manifold given by the equation  $abc = \text{const}$ , and  $M_{V_1}$  is a smooth 2-dimensional manifold since  $V_1$  is a smooth function with nonzero gradient (this is clear from differentiating (4), see also the computations below.)

**Step 1.** *The manifolds  $M_{V_1}$  and  $M_{V_3}$  intersect transversally.*

*Proof.* The reason is that the gradient vectors  $\nabla V_1$  and  $\nabla V_3$  have the opposite orders of coordinates:

$$(8) \quad \frac{\partial V_1}{\partial a} \geq \frac{\partial V_1}{\partial b} \geq \frac{\partial V_1}{\partial c} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial V_3}{\partial a} \leq \frac{\partial V_3}{\partial b} \leq \frac{\partial V_3}{\partial c},$$

and the inequalities are strict when corresponding semiaxes are not equal. We have

$$\nabla V_3(a, b, c) = \nabla \left( \frac{4\pi}{3} abc \right) = \frac{4\pi}{3} abc \cdot \left( \frac{1}{a}, \frac{1}{b}, \frac{1}{c} \right),$$

so the inequality for partial derivatives of  $V_3$  is clear.

We differentiate (4) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{\partial V_1}{\partial a}(a, b, c) &= \mathbb{E} \frac{ax^2}{\sqrt{a^2x^2 + b^2y^2 + c^2z^2}} = \mathbb{E} \frac{x^2}{\sqrt{x^2 + \frac{b^2}{a^2}y^2 + \frac{c^2}{a^2}z^2}} \geq \\ &= \mathbb{E} \frac{x^2}{\sqrt{x^2 + \frac{a^2}{b^2}y^2 + \frac{c^2}{b^2}z^2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{\partial V_1}{\partial b}(a, b, c), \end{aligned}$$

analogously  $\frac{\partial V_1}{\partial b}(a, b, c) \geq \frac{\partial V_1}{\partial c}(a, b, c)$ , and equalities hold only if  $a = b$  or  $b = c$ , respectively.

Therefore the vectors  $\nabla V_1$  and  $\nabla V_3$  are collinear if and only if  $a = b = c$ . But in this case  $V_1 = (\frac{48}{\pi} V_3)^{1/3}$  is minimal possible for fixed  $V_3$  (see, for example, [3], section 20.2, or apply the isoperimetric inequality for the ellipsoid with semi-axes  $1/a, 1/b, 1/c$ ), and the equality is only possible for a ball. Hence by (7)  $M_{V_1} \cap M_{V_3}$  do not contain any point with three equal coordinates. Therefore the manifolds  $M_{V_1}$  and  $M_{V_3}$  intersect transversally.  $\square$

Further we need also the following

**Lemma 1.** *The intersection  $M_{V_1} \cap M_{V_3}$  contains the unique point of the form  $(a, a, b)$ ,  $a < b$  and the unique point of the form  $(c, c, d)$ ,  $d > c$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the curve  $\gamma(t) = (t, t, C/t^2) \subset M_{V_3}$ , where  $C = V_3(a_0, b_0, c_0)$ . For  $t = C^{1/3}$  the function  $V_1$  takes its minimal value, and this minimum is strictly less than  $V_1(a_0, b_0, c_0)$ . For large or small  $t > 0$  it tends to infinity (since the mean width is an inclusion-monotone function of the convex body, and the mean width of the long segment is large.) Thus by continuity it suffices to prove that if the derivative of  $V_1$  along  $\gamma$  equals to zero at point  $t_0$ , then  $t_0 = C^{1/3}$ . Note that the gradients of both functions  $V_3$  and  $V_1$  have the form  $(A, A, B)$  at points of  $\gamma$ . The gradient of  $V_3$  is orthogonal to the tangent vector  $(1, 1, -2C/t_0^3)$  of the curve  $\gamma(t)$  at  $t_0$ , since  $V_3$  is constant along  $\gamma$ . This orthogonality rewrites as  $A = C \cdot B/t_0^3$ . If the gradient of  $V_1$  is also orthogonal to  $(1, 1, -2C/t_0^3)$ , then these two gradients are proportional. But we have already proved that this holds only if  $t_0 = C^{1/3}$ .  $\square$

**Step 2.** *The set  $N := M_{V_1} \cap M_{V_3}$  is diffeomorphic to a union of several circles.*

*Proof.* The set  $M_{V_1}$  is bounded by the aforementioned monotonicity argument. By the implicit function theorem, the intersection of two smooth 2-dimensional transversally intersecting manifolds in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  is 1-dimensional smooth manifold. Since  $M_{V_1}$  is bounded,  $M_{V_1} \cap M_{V_3}$  is a compact 1-dimensional smooth manifold. Hence it is diffeomorphic to a union of several circles.  $\square$

**Step 3.** *There does not exist another point  $(a_1, b_1, c_1)$ ,  $a_1 \geq b_1 \geq c_1$ , with the same values of  $V_1, V_2, V_3$  as at the point  $(a_0, b_0, c_0)$ .*

*Proof.* Now we formulate the crucial lemma, whose proof is postponed to Section 3.

**Lemma 2.** *Jacobian of  $V(a, b, c)$  is non-zero on the set  $\{(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : a > b > c > 0\}$ .*

By the previous Step, the set  $N := M_{V_1} \cap M_{V_3}$  is diffeomorphic to the union of several circles, and  $N$  contains exactly 6 points with two equal coordinates by Lemma 1.

On the other hand, any connected component  $\gamma$  of  $N$  must contain at least two points with equal coordinates. Indeed, by Lemma 2 any point  $p$  on  $\gamma$  with locally maximal or locally minimal value of  $V_2$  must have two equal coordinates (since the derivatives of all three functions  $V_1, V_2, V_3$  along  $\gamma$  are equal to 0.)

Denote by  $S_{ij}$  the transposition of the  $i$ -th and  $j$ -th coordinates, say  $S_{12}((x, y, z)) = (y, x, z)$ . Obviously set  $N$  is invariant under all these symmetries.

Let  $\gamma$  be a connected component of  $N$  which contains the point  $p_0 = (a, a, b)$ ,  $a < b$ . Then  $S_{12}(\gamma)$  is also a connected component of  $N$  containing  $p_0$ . So  $S_{12}(\gamma) = \gamma$ . Analogously, if  $\gamma$  contains a point with another pair of equal coordinates, it gives another symmetry,  $S_{13}$  or  $S_{23}$ , which preserves  $\gamma$ , and  $\gamma$  is invariant under all the symmetries. In this case all 6 points from  $N$  with two equal coordinates belong to  $\gamma$ , and  $N = \gamma$ .

If not, the second point  $q_0 \in \gamma \setminus \{p_0\}$  with two equal coordinates should be  $q_0 = (c, c, d)$ ,  $c > d$ . But then by continuity there exists a point on  $\gamma$  between  $p_0$  and  $q_0$  with equal second and third coordinates. The contradiction.

Therefore  $N$  is a single circle, and six points on  $N$  have equal coordinates. The intervals between these six points belong to six Weyl chambers (corresponding to the six orderings of coordinates). Consider two our points  $(a_0, b_0, c_0)$  and  $(a_1, b_1, c_1)$  in  $M$  which belong to the same closed Weyl chamber  $\{a \geq b \geq c\}$ . If the function  $V_2$  takes the same value at these two points, it has a local maximum or minimum strictly between them. But such a point should have two equal coordinates as noted before. The contradiction.  $\square$

### 3. PROOF OF LEMMA 2

**3.1. Explicit formula for the Jacobian matrix.** It will be more convenient for us to consider functions  $\tilde{V}_1, \tilde{V}_2$  and  $\tilde{V}_3$  given by

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{V}_3(a, b, c) &= \frac{3}{4\pi} V_3(e^a, e^b, e^c) = e^a e^b e^c = e^{a+b+c}, \\ \tilde{V}_2(a, b, c) &= \frac{4\sqrt{2}}{3\sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \frac{V_2(e^a, e^b, e^c)}{V_3(e^a, e^b, e^c)} = \mathbb{E} \sqrt{e^{-2a}x^2 + e^{-2b}y^2 + e^{-2c}z^2}, \quad x, y, z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1), \\ \tilde{V}_1(a, b, c) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} V_1(e^a, e^b, e^c) = \mathbb{E} \sqrt{e^{2a}x^2 + e^{2b}y^2 + e^{2c}z^2}, \quad x, y, z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1).\end{aligned}$$

We first compute gradients of these functions:

$$(9) \quad \nabla \tilde{V}_3(a, b, c) = \nabla e^{a+b+c} = e^{a+b+c} \cdot (1, 1, 1),$$

$$\tilde{V}_2(a, b, c)'_a = \left( \mathbb{E} \sqrt{e^{-2a}x^2 + e^{-2b}y^2 + e^{-2c}z^2} \right)'_a = -\mathbb{E} \frac{e^{-2a}x^2}{\sqrt{e^{-2a}x^2 + e^{-2b}y^2 + e^{-2c}z^2}},$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \tilde{V}_2(a, b, c) &= \\ &= - \left( \mathbb{E} \frac{e^{-2a}x^2}{\sqrt{e^{-2a}x^2 + e^{-2b}y^2 + e^{-2c}z^2}}, \mathbb{E} \frac{e^{-2b}y^2}{\sqrt{e^{-2a}x^2 + e^{-2b}y^2 + e^{-2c}z^2}}, \mathbb{E} \frac{e^{-2c}z^2}{\sqrt{e^{-2a}x^2 + e^{-2b}y^2 + e^{-2c}z^2}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The same way we obtain:

$$\nabla \tilde{V}_1(a, b, c) = \left( \mathbb{E} \frac{e^{2a}x^2}{\sqrt{e^{2a}x^2 + e^{2b}y^2 + e^{2c}z^2}}, \mathbb{E} \frac{e^{2b}y^2}{\sqrt{e^{2a}x^2 + e^{2b}y^2 + e^{2c}z^2}}, \mathbb{E} \frac{e^{2c}z^2}{\sqrt{e^{2a}x^2 + e^{2b}y^2 + e^{2c}z^2}} \right).$$

Now we define auxiliary function, in terms of which the Jacobi matrix can be conveniently written as follows

**Definition 2.**

$$G(a, b, c) = \mathbb{E} \frac{a^2 x^2}{\sqrt{a^2 x^2 + b^2 y^2 + c^2 z^2}}, \text{ where } x, y, z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1).$$

**Statement 1.** *In this notation Jacobi matrix of  $V$  has the following form:*

$$J_{\tilde{V}}(a, b, c) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ G(e^{-a}, e^{-b}, e^{-c}) & G(e^{-b}, e^{-a}, e^{-c}) & G(e^{-c}, e^{-a}, e^{-b}) \\ G(e^a, e^b, e^c) & G(e^b, e^a, e^c) & G(e^c, e^a, e^b) \end{pmatrix}$$

It is sufficient to prove that the following matrix is nondegenerate for  $a > b > c > 0$ :

$$(10) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ G(\frac{1}{a}, \frac{1}{b}, \frac{1}{c}) & G(\frac{1}{b}, \frac{1}{a}, \frac{1}{c}) & G(\frac{1}{c}, \frac{1}{a}, \frac{1}{b}) \\ G(a, b, c) & G(b, a, c) & G(c, a, b) \end{pmatrix}.$$

**3.2. Alternative formula for the function  $G(a, b, c)$ .** Using the Gaussian integral

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-Ts^2} ds = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{T}}$$

with  $T = a^2 x^2 + b^2 y^2 + c^2 z^2$  we rewrite the formula of function  $G$ :

$$\begin{aligned} G(a, b, c) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \cdot \iiint_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{a^2 x^2}{\sqrt{a^2 x^2 + b^2 y^2 + c^2 z^2}} e^{-1/2(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)} dx dy dz = \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{3/2} \pi^2} \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}} \iiint_{\mathbb{R}^3} a^2 x^2 e^{-1/2(x^2 + y^2 + z^2) - s^2(a^2 x^2 + b^2 y^2 + c^2 z^2)} dx dy dz ds = \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{3/2} \pi^2} \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a^2 x^2 e^{-x^2(1/2 + s^2)} dx \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-y^2(1/2 + s^2)} dy \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-z^2(1/2 + s^2)} dz ds = \\ &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}\pi} \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{a^2}{(\sqrt{a^2 s^2 + 1/2})^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{b^2 s^2 + 1/2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{c^2 s^2 + 1/2}} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Using the above formulas and applying a change of variables  $s \rightarrow s/\sqrt{2}$  we obtain

$$G(a, b, c) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{s^2 + \frac{1}{a^2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(a^2 s^2 + 1)(b^2 s^2 + 1)(c^2 s^2 + 1)}} ds.$$

In the same way we see that

$$G\left(\frac{1}{a}, \frac{1}{b}, \frac{1}{c}\right) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{t^2 + a^2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{t^2}{a^2} + 1\right)\left(\frac{t^2}{b^2} + 1\right)\left(\frac{t^2}{c^2} + 1\right)}} dt.$$

Now we write the determinant of (10) in the following form

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \begin{array}{ccc} \frac{1}{t^2 + a^2} & \frac{1}{t^2 + b^2} & \frac{1}{t^2 + c^2} \\ \frac{1}{s^2 + \frac{1}{a^2}} & \frac{1}{s^2 + \frac{1}{b^2}} & \frac{1}{s^2 + \frac{1}{c^2}} \end{array} \right| \frac{1}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{t^2}{a^2} + 1\right)\left(\frac{t^2}{b^2} + 1\right)\left(\frac{t^2}{c^2} + 1\right)}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(a^2 s^2 + 1)(b^2 s^2 + 1)(c^2 s^2 + 1)}} dt ds.$$

The following identity holds:

$$\left| \begin{array}{ccc} \frac{1}{t^2 + a^2} & \frac{1}{t^2 + b^2} & \frac{1}{t^2 + c^2} \\ \frac{1}{s^2 + \frac{1}{a^2}} & \frac{1}{s^2 + \frac{1}{b^2}} & \frac{1}{s^2 + \frac{1}{c^2}} \end{array} \right| = \frac{(a^2 - b^2)(a^2 - c^2)(b^2 - c^2)(s^2 t^2 - 1)}{(a^2 s^2 + 1)(b^2 s^2 + 1)(c^2 s^2 + 1)\left(\frac{t^2}{a^2} + 1\right)\left(\frac{t^2}{b^2} + 1\right)\left(\frac{t^2}{c^2} + 1\right)}.$$

So the determinant of (10) equals to

$$(11) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(a^2 - b^2)(a^2 - c^2)(b^2 - c^2)(s^2 t^2 - 1)}{[(a^2 s^2 + 1)(b^2 s^2 + 1)(c^2 s^2 + 1)\left(\frac{t^2}{a^2} + 1\right)\left(\frac{t^2}{b^2} + 1\right)\left(\frac{t^2}{c^2} + 1\right)]^{\frac{3}{2}}} dt ds.$$

Note that the integrand is even with respect to  $s$  and  $t$ . Denote by  $I$  the same integral but over the set  $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+$ . Applying the change of variables  $s \rightarrow \frac{1}{x}$ ,  $t \rightarrow \frac{1}{y}$  we get

$$I = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{(a^2 - b^2)(a^2 - c^2)(b^2 - c^2)\left(\frac{1}{x^2 y^2} - 1\right)}{[(\frac{a^2}{x^2} + 1)(\frac{b^2}{x^2} + 1)(\frac{c^2}{x^2} + 1)(\frac{1}{y^2 a^2} + 1)(\frac{1}{y^2 b^2} + 1)(\frac{1}{y^2 c^2} + 1)]^{\frac{3}{2}}} \frac{1}{x^2 y^2} dx dy.$$

This integral is similar to  $I$ : the integrands differ by the factor of  $(xy)^5$ . Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{(a^2 - b^2)(a^2 - c^2)(b^2 - c^2)(1 - x^2 y^2)}{[(\frac{1}{x^2} + \frac{1}{a^2})(\frac{1}{x^2} + \frac{1}{b^2})(\frac{1}{x^2} + \frac{1}{c^2})(\frac{1}{y^2} + a^2)(\frac{1}{y^2} + b^2)(\frac{1}{y^2} + c^2)]^{\frac{3}{2}}} \frac{1}{x^4 y^4} dx dy = \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{(a^2 - b^2)(a^2 - c^2)(b^2 - c^2)(1 - x^2 y^2)}{[(xy)^{-6}(1 + \frac{x^2}{a^2})(1 + \frac{x^2}{b^2})(1 + \frac{x^2}{c^2})(1 + y^2 a^2)(1 + y^2 b^2)(1 + y^2 c^2)]^{\frac{3}{2}}} \frac{1}{x^4 y^4} dx dy = \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{(a^2 - b^2)(a^2 - c^2)(b^2 - c^2)(1 - x^2 y^2)(xy)^5}{[(1 + \frac{x^2}{a^2})(1 + \frac{x^2}{b^2})(1 + \frac{x^2}{c^2})(1 + y^2 a^2)(1 + y^2 b^2)(1 + y^2 c^2)]^{\frac{3}{2}}} dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

Redenoting the variables we write this as

$$(12) \quad I = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{(a^2 - b^2)(a^2 - c^2)(b^2 - c^2)(1 - t^2 s^2)(ts)^5}{[(1 + \frac{t^2}{a^2})(1 + \frac{t^2}{b^2})(1 + \frac{t^2}{c^2})(1 + s^2 a^2)(1 + s^2 b^2)(1 + s^2 c^2)]^{\frac{3}{2}}} dt ds.$$

Now we consider the sum of the two copies of  $I$ , using (11) and (12). The denominators of integrands coincide. Then separately write out the numerators divided by  $(a^2 - b^2)(a^2 - c^2)(b^2 - c^2)$

$$(s^2 t^2 - 1) + (1 - s^2 t^2)(st)^5 = ((st)^2 - 1)(1 - (st)^5)$$

This observation shows that  $I + I$  is an integral of a negative function. Hence  $I$  is not equal to zero on the set  $\{a > b > c > 0\}$ , that finishes the proof of Lemma:

$$I + I = (a^2 - b^2)(a^2 - c^2)(b^2 - c^2) \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{((st)^2 - 1)(1 - (st)^5)}{[(1 + \frac{t^2}{a^2})(1 + \frac{t^2}{b^2})(1 + \frac{t^2}{c^2})(1 + s^2 a^2)(1 + s^2 b^2)(1 + s^2 c^2)]^{\frac{3}{2}}} dt ds.$$

## 4. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to D. Zaporozhets for introducing us to this problem, the permanent attention to the work and helpful advices; and to P. Nikitin and G. Monakov for fruitful discussions.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Zakhar Kabluchko and Dmitry Zaporozhets. Intrinsic volumes of Sobolev balls with application to Brownian convex hulls. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 368 (2016), 8873-8899.
- [2] B. S. Tsirelson. A Geometric Approach to Maximum Likelihood Estimation for Infinite-Dimensional Location. II. *Theory of Probability and Its Applications* 30:4 (1985), 772-779.
- [3] Yurii D. Burago, Viktor A. Zalgaller. *Geometric Inequalities*. Springer (1988).